

# Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms

**Nick Higham**  
**School of Mathematics**  
**The University of Manchester**

`higham@ma.man.ac.uk`  
`http://www.ma.man.ac.uk/~higham`  
`@nhigham`

**SIAM Chapter Day, Cardiff University**  
**January 21, 2013**

# SIAM

- ~ 13,500 members: ~ 9000 USA, ~ 500 UK.
- 59% in maths depts
  - 16% in eng depts
  - 11% in CS depts
- 108 student chapters:

Oxford (2007)	#53
Heriot Watt & Edinburgh	#71
Manchester	#76
Strathclyde	#93
Warwick	#93
Reading	#100
Cardiff	#104
Bath	#105

# Outline

- 1 Rounding
- 2 Precision
- 3 Accuracy
- 4 Higher Precision
- 5 Tiny Relative Errors

# Floating Point Number System

Floating point number system  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ :

$$y = \pm m \times \beta^{e-t}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq \beta^t - 1.$$

- *Base*  $\beta$ ,
- *precision*  $t$ ,
- *exponent range*  $e_{\min} \leq e \leq e_{\max}$ .

# Floating Point Number System


Floating point number system  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ :

$$y = \pm m \times \beta^{e-t}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq \beta^t - 1.$$

- *Base*  $\beta$ ,
- *precision*  $t$ ,
- *exponent range*  $e_{\min} \leq e \leq e_{\max}$ .

Floating point numbers are **not equally spaced**.

If  $\beta = 2$ ,  $t = 3$ ,  $e_{\min} = -1$ , and  $e_{\max} = 3$ :



# Rounding

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $fl(x)$  is an element of  $F$  nearest to  $x$ , and the transformation  $x \rightarrow fl(x)$  is called **rounding** (to nearest).

## Theorem

If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  lies in the range of  $F$  then

$$fl(x) = x(1 + \delta), \quad |\delta| \leq u := \frac{1}{2}\beta^{1-t}.$$

$u$  is the **unit roundoff**, or machine precision.

# Types of Rounding

- **Round to nearest**—with rule for breaking ties.

**8.12**  $\rightarrow$  **8.1**, **8.17**  $\rightarrow$  **8.2**.

**8.15**  $\rightarrow$  **8.1** or **8.2**.

# Types of Rounding

- **Round to nearest**—with rule for breaking ties.

**8.12**  $\rightarrow$  **8.1**, **8.17**  $\rightarrow$  **8.2**.

**8.15**  $\rightarrow$  **8.1** or **8.2**.

- **Round up**: to  $+\infty$ .

**8.11**  $\rightarrow$  **8.2**.



# Types of Rounding

- **Round to nearest**—with rule for breaking ties.

**8.12**  $\rightarrow$  **8.1**, **8.17**  $\rightarrow$  **8.2**.

**8.15**  $\rightarrow$  **8.1** or **8.2**.

- **Round up**: to  $+\infty$ .

**8.11**  $\rightarrow$  **8.2**.

- **Round down**: to  $-\infty$ .

**8.68**  $\rightarrow$  **8.6**.

# Types of Rounding

- **Round to nearest**—with rule for breaking ties.

8.12  $\rightarrow$  8.1, 8.17  $\rightarrow$  8.2.

8.15  $\rightarrow$  8.1 or 8.2.

- **Round up**: to  $+\infty$ .

8.11  $\rightarrow$  8.2.

- **Round down**: to  $-\infty$ .

8.68  $\rightarrow$  8.6.

## Thomas (9) homework

- *Round 17.37 to the nearest tenth.* “17.4”
- *Round 13.75 to the nearest tenth.* “13.8”

# Justin Gatlin Record, 2006

**BBC SPORT** ATHLETICS

[Low graphics](#) | [Help](#)

Last Updated: Wednesday, 17 May 2006, 09:56 GMT 10:56 UK

[E-mail this to a friend](#) [Printable version](#)

## Gatlin denied outright 100m mark

**Justin Gatlin has been denied the outright world 100m record after his time was suddenly altered almost a week after his blistering run in Qatar.**

Officials have revealed the World and Olympic champion clocked 9.766 seconds, not 9.760 seconds as first thought.



Gatlin poses with what he thought was a new world record

*“The American was given a time of 9.76sec at the Qatar Super grand prix but his official time was 9.766, which was rounded down instead of being rounded up to Powell’s time of 9.77 set in Athens last year according to rules set out by track and field’s governing body, the timekeeper Tissot admitted.”*

# Bank of England: Inflation Rate, 2007

## King faces a point of embarrassment



**A TINY price movement equivalent to one hundred thousandth of one per cent on the inflation rate could make all the difference to the Bank of England this week.**

**With Government statisticians crunching cost of living numbers to six decimal places, the slightest rise could force Bank governor Mervyn King to explain what went wrong.**

**The Office for National Statistics publishes inflation numbers to one decimal place, meaning that a 3.049 rate would appear as three per cent, letting the MPC off the hook.**

# Vancouver Stock Exchange Index

- January 1982: Index established at 1000.
- November 1983: Index was 520.

But exchange seemed to be doing well.

# Vancouver Stock Exchange Index

- January 1982: Index established at 1000.
- November 1983: Index was 520.

But exchange seemed to be doing well.

## Explanation:

- Index **rounded down** to three digits at each recomputation.
- Errors always in same direction  $\Rightarrow$  thousands of small errors add up to a large error.

Upon correct recalculation, the index doubled!

# Virgin Media, 2007

## *Important information on your Virgin Media services*

Dear Mr Higham

We're writing to tell you about changes to your phone charges that will be coming into effect from 1st May 2007.

---

The price of our monthly phone packages is coming down, so *Size: XL* (Talk Unlimited) will go from £14 a month to £9.95 and *Size: L* (Talk Evenings and Weekends) will go from £5.50 to £3.95. Your phone line will stay the same at £11 a month.

The way your call charges are calculated is also changing. Instead of charging to the nearest second, calls will be rounded up to the next minute. So, for example, a call that lasts 4 minutes 50 seconds will be rounded up to 5 minutes. If you have a phone package, any calls made outside your call plan will be rounded up to the next minute.

# Virgin Media, 2007

## *Important information on your Virgin Media services*

Dear Mr Higham

We're writing to tell you about changes to your phone charges that will be coming into effect from 1st May 2007.

The price of our monthly phone packages is coming down, so *Size: XL* (Talk Unlimited) will go from £14 a month to £9.95 and *Size: L* (Talk Evenings and Weekends) will go from £5.50 to £3.95. Your phone line will stay the same at £11 a month.

The way your call charges are calculated is also changing. Instead of charging to the nearest second, calls will be rounded up to the next minute. So, for example, a call that lasts 4 minutes 50 seconds will be rounded up to 5 minutes. If you have a phone package, any calls made outside your call plan will be rounded up to the next minute.

## Remember

Rounding doesn't always mean round to nearest!



# PORTERFIELD



© 1988 North America Synovate, Inc. All rights reserved.

**Next time, no rounding off!**

# Outline

- 1 Rounding
- 2 Precision**
- 3 Accuracy
- 4 Higher Precision
- 5 Tiny Relative Errors

# Precision versus Accuracy

Unit roundoff  $u = \frac{1}{2}\beta^{1-t}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} fl(abc) &= ab(1 + \delta_1) \cdot c(1 + \delta_2) & |\delta_i| \leq u, \\ &= abc(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2) \\ &\approx abc(1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2). \end{aligned}$$

- Precision =  $u$ .
- Accuracy  $\approx 2u$ .

# Precision versus Accuracy

Unit roundoff  $u = \frac{1}{2}\beta^{1-t}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} fl(abc) &= ab(1 + \delta_1) \cdot c(1 + \delta_2) & |\delta_i| \leq u, \\ &= abc(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2) \\ &\approx abc(1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2). \end{aligned}$$

- Precision =  $u$ .
- Accuracy  $\approx 2u$ .

Accuracy is not limited by precision



# Walkers' Trousers Review

## THE LOWDOWN

**Fabric** Nikwax Analogy Insulator  
(polyester microfibre outer, 100g polyester fill)

**Sizes** XS-XL (unisex)

**Inside leg** 79cm only

**Waist** integral belt, front flap with Velcro tabs

**Pockets** none

## RATINGS

**Comfort** 80%

**Fabric performance** 100%

**Versatility** 50%

**Quality/value** 85%

.....  
**Overall** **78.75%**

# RGB to XYZ

From CIE Standard (1931):

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.49 & 0.31 & 0.20 \\ 0.17697 & 0.81240 & 0.01063 \\ 0 & 0.01 & 0.99 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix} .$$

# RGB to XYZ

From CIE Standard (1931):

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.49 & 0.31 & 0.20 \\ 0.17697 & 0.81240 & 0.01063 \\ 0 & 0.01 & 0.99 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix} .$$

But in many books:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.49000 & 0.31000 & 0.20000 \\ 0.17697 & 0.81240 & 0.01063 \\ 0 & 0.01000 & 0.99000 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix} .$$




# Outline

- 1 Rounding
- 2 Precision
- 3 Accuracy**
- 4 Higher Precision
- 5 Tiny Relative Errors

# Rational Function


$$r(x) = \frac{(((7x - 101)x + 540)x - 1204)x + 958}{(((x - 14)x + 72)x - 151)x + 112}$$



# Continued Fraction

$$r(x) = 7 - \frac{3}{x - 2 - \frac{1}{x - 7 + \frac{10}{x - 2 - \frac{2}{x - 3}}}}$$

Division by zero at  $x = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , but  $r$  evaluates correctly in IEEE arithmetic!



# Cancellation Example

$$0 \leq \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} < 1/2, \quad x \neq 0.$$

With  $x = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $\cos x$  rounded to 10 sig figs is

$$c = 0.9999\ 9999\ 99 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - c = 0.0000\ 0000\ 01.$$

Then  $(1 - c)/x^2 = 10^{-10}/1.44 \times 10^{-10} = 0.6944\dots!$

To avoid cancellation, rewrite as

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sin(x/2)}{x/2} \right)^2.$$

# Cancellation Example

$$0 \leq \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} < 1/2, \quad x \neq 0.$$

With  $x = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $\cos x$  rounded to 10 sig figs is

$$c = 0.9999\ 9999\ 99 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - c = 0.0000\ 0000\ 01.$$

Then  $(1 - c)/x^2 = 10^{-10}/1.44 \times 10^{-10} = 0.6944\dots!$

To avoid cancellation, rewrite as

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sin(x/2)}{x/2} \right)^2.$$

- The subtraction  $1 - c$  is **exact**.

# Cancellation

## Theorem (Sterbenz)

*Let  $x$  and  $y$  be floating point numbers with  $y/2 \leq x \leq 2y$ . Then  $x - y$  is computed exactly (assuming  $x - y$  does not underflow).*

# Cancellation

## Theorem (Sterbenz)


*Let  $x$  and  $y$  be floating point numbers with  $y/2 \leq x \leq 2y$ . Then  $x - y$  is computed exactly (assuming  $x - y$  does not underflow).*

Cancellation **brings earlier errors into prominence** but is not *always* a bad thing.

- Numbers being subtracted may be error free.
- Cancellation may be a symptom of intrinsic ill conditioning of problem.

# Midpoint of Arc

Guo, H & Tisseur (2009):



- **Problem:** Find midpoint  $c$  of an arc  $(a, b)$ .
- Obvious formula  $c = (a + b)/|a + b|$  is unstable when  $a \approx -b$ .
- **Solution:** If  $a = e^{i\theta_1}$ ,  $b = e^{i\theta_2}$  then  $c = e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2}$ .



# How to Compute $\log \lambda_2 - \log \lambda_1$

Define the **unwinding number**

$$\mathcal{U}(z) := \frac{z - \log e^z}{2\pi i} = \left\lceil \frac{\operatorname{Im} z - \pi}{2\pi} \right\rceil \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $z = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)$ .

# How to Compute $\log \lambda_2 - \log \lambda_1$

Define the **unwinding number**

$$\mathcal{U}(z) := \frac{z - \log e^z}{2\pi i} = \left\lceil \frac{\operatorname{Im} z - \pi}{2\pi} \right\rceil \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $z = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log \lambda_2 - \log \lambda_1 &= \log \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right) + 2\pi i \mathcal{U}(\log \lambda_2 - \log \lambda_1) \\ &= \log \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) + 2\pi i \mathcal{U}(\log \lambda_2 - \log \lambda_1) \\ &= \operatorname{atanh}(z) + 2\pi i \mathcal{U}(\log \lambda_2 - \log \lambda_1). \end{aligned}$$

H (2008): used in MATLAB **logm**.

# Outline

- 1 Rounding
- 2 Precision
- 3 Accuracy
- 4 Higher Precision**
- 5 Tiny Relative Errors

## IEEE Standard 754-2008 and 1985

Type	Size	Range	$u = 2^{-t}$
single	32 bits	$10^{\pm 38}$	$2^{-24} \approx 6.0 \times 10^{-8}$
double	64 bits	$10^{\pm 308}$	$2^{-53} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-16}$
quadruple	128 bits	$10^{\pm 4932}$	$2^{-113} \approx 9.6 \times 10^{-35}$

- Arithmetic ops (+, -, \*, /,  $\sqrt{\quad}$ ) performed *as if* first calculated to infinite precision, then rounded.
- Default: round to nearest, round to even in case of tie.

# Need for Higher Precision

- Bailey, Simon, Barton & Fouts, **Floating Point Arithmetic in Future Supercomputers**, Internat. J. Supercomputer Appl. 3, 86–90, 1989.
- Bailey, Barrio & Borwein, **High-Precision Computation: Mathematical Physics and Dynamics**, Appl. Math. Comput. 218, 10106–10121, 2012.
- Long-time simulations.
- Large-scale simulations.
- Resolving small-scale phenomena.

# Increasing the Precision

$y = e^{\pi\sqrt{163}}$  evaluated at  $t$  digit precision:

$t$	$y$
20	262537412640768744.00
25	262537412640768744.0000000
30	262537412640768743.999999999999

Is the last digit before the decimal point 4?

# Increasing the Precision

$y = e^{\pi\sqrt{163}}$  evaluated at  $t$  digit precision:

$t$	$y$
20	262537412640768744.00
25	262537412640768744.0000000
30	262537412640768743.999999999999

Is the last digit before the decimal point 4?

$t$	$y$
35	262537412640768743.99999999999925007
40	262537412640768743.9999999999992500725972

So no, it's 3!

# Zimbabwe resorts to the \$100 trillion note

By Our Foreign Staff

ZIMBABWE'S central bank will introduce a 100 trillion Zimbabwean dollar banknote, worth about £22, on the black market, to try to ease desperate cash shortages, state-run media said yesterday. Prices

are doubling every day and food and fuel are in short supply.

A cholera epidemic has killed more than 2,000 people and a deadlock between Mr Mugabe and the opposition has put hopes of ending the crisis on hold. Hyper-inflation

has forced the central bank to continue to release new banknotes which quickly become almost worthless. There is an official exchange rate, but most Zimbabweans use the informal market for currency deals.

As well as the Z\$100 trillion

dollar note, the Reserve Bank of Zimbabwe plans to introduce Z\$10 trillion, Z\$20 trillion and Z\$50 trillion notes, the *Herald* newspaper reported.

Zimbabweans often line up for hours outside banks to withdraw barely enough to buy a loaf of bread.

## Old Mutual's new chief weighs rescue options

JUDGING by the empty state of his spacious South African office, it is quite clear that Julian Roberts has yet to settle into his role as the new chief executive of Old Mutual.

While his secretary bustles around, tidying away his few possessions – a 5p piece and a penny coin left lying on his desk – the four books on his vacant shelves stand out. The titles *Blown to Bits* and *On the Brink of Failure* could almost sum up the state of the blue-chip company Mr Roberts has just taken over. Old Mutual was the worst-performing European

### PROFILE

#### Julian Roberts

Chief executive,  
*Old Mutual*

The economic turmoil revealed cracks in Old Mutual's model when it emerged that its \$2.8bn (£1.9bn) variable annuity business in the US could not meet guarantees due to adverse movements in the Asian markets. It has been forced to inject

going to be immune. South Africa lags the rest of the world by six months to a year."

Political tensions are also playing on his mind. Old Mutual is listed not only in the UK and Johannesburg but also on the Zimbabwe Stock Exchange. Due to technical difficulties of transferring a figure with so many noughts on the end of it, Old Mutual struggled to pay shareholders an interim dividend of Z\$453 trillion per share – which in November equated to just 2.45p.

"It is absolutely tragic. We have a significant business with a large



# Going to Higher Precision

If we have quadruple or higher precision, what do we need to do to modify existing algorithms?

To what extent are existing algs precision-independent?

# Matrix Functions

**(Inverse) scaling and squaring**-type algorithms for  $e^A$ ,  $\log(A)$ ,  $\cos(A)$ ,  $A^t$  use Padé approximants.

- Padé degree chosen to achieve accuracy  $u$ .
- Padé coeffs and algorithm parameters need rederiving for a different  $u$ . Logic may change!
- MATLAB's **expm**, **logm** need changing for smaller  $u$ .

Methods based on best  $L_\infty$  approximations to  $e^A$  for Hermitian  $A$  also need higher order approximations deriving.

- Scalar elementary functions!

# Outline

- 1 Rounding
- 2 Precision
- 3 Accuracy
- 4 Higher Precision
- 5 **Tiny Relative Errors**

# Tiny Relative Errors

Normwise relative errors

$$\frac{\|x - y\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} = \frac{\max_i |x_i - y_i|}{\max_i |x_i|}$$

from a numerical experiment:

1.32e-22	3.39e-22	3.39e-21	8.67e-20
1.39e-18	4.36e-18	5.30e-18	5.83e-18
1.45e-17	3.76e-17	3.76e-17	4.27e-17

...

How can errors be  $\ll u \approx 10^{-16}$ ?

Base  $\beta = 2$ ,  $u = 2^{-t}$ . Dingle & H (2011):

## Theorem

*If  $x \neq 0$  and  $y$  are distinct normalized flpt numbers then  $|x - y|/|x| \geq u$  and this lower bound is attainable.*

Base  $\beta = 2$ ,  $u = 2^{-t}$ . Dingle & H (2011):


## Theorem

*If  $x \neq 0$  and  $y$  are distinct normalized flpt numbers then  $|x - y|/|x| \geq u$  and this lower bound is attainable.*

But  $\frac{\|x - y\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} \ll u$  is possible.

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 10^{-22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \times 10^{-22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{\|x - y\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} = 10^{-22}.$$

# Relative Errors



# Performance Profiles

**Dolan & Moré** (2002).


For the given set of solvers and test problems, **plot**

**x-axis:**  $\alpha$

**y-axis:** probability that solver has error within factor  $\alpha$   
of smallest error over all solvers on the test set.



# Performance Profile




# The Effect of Tiny Errors

Problem	Algorithm 1	Algorithm 2
1	$4e-14$	$1e-16$
2	$6e-16$	$4e-16$
3	$1e-16$	$3e-16$
4	$9e-23$	$1e-17$
5	$6e-20$	$5e-17$

**Which algorithm is better?**

# Profile




Alg 1	Alg 2
4e-14	1e-16
6e-16	4e-16
1e-16	3e-16
9e-23	1e-17
6e-20	5e-17

# Transform the Data


- Map 0 to  $a$  (parameter). Typically,  $a = u/20$ .
  - Map  $[0, u]$  to  $[a, u]$  linearly.
  - Leave values  $\geq u$  alone.
- 
- Imposes positive minimum.
  - Preserves ordering of errors.

# Before




Alg 1	Alg 2
4e-14	1e-16
6e-16	4e-16
1e-16	3e-16
9e-23	1e-17
6e-20	5e-17

## After




Alg 1	Alg 2
4.0e-14	<b>1.0e-16</b>
6.0e-16	4.0e-16
<b>1.0e-16</b>	3.0e-16
<b>5.6e-18</b>	<b>1.1e-17</b>
<b>5.6e-18</b>	<b>5.0e-17</b>

# Matrix Exponential (Al-Mohy & H, 2011)



# Matrix Exponential Transformed






SECOND EDITION

Nicholas J. Higham

Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms

# Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms

SECOND EDITION



siam

*Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms* gives a thorough, up-to-date treatment of the behavior of numerical algorithms in finite precision arithmetic. It includes algorithms, derivations, performance theory, and rounding error analysis, all enhanced by historical perspective and information acquisition.

This second edition expands and updates the coverage of the first edition (1996) and includes numerous improvements to the original material. The new chapters cover symmetric indefinite systems and dense symmetric systems, and nonlinear systems and Newton's method. An expanded treatment of floating-point arithmetic covers rounding and additional error bounds. Other new topics include rank-revealing LU factorizations, weighted and unweighted least squares problems, and the fused multiply-add operation based on some modern computer architectures.

Although not designed specifically as a textbook, this new edition is a valuable reference for an advanced course. It can also be used by instructors as a text in a supplementary text from which to draw examples, historical perspective, statements of results, and exercises.

From reviews of the first edition:

"The author writes on the accuracy and stability of numerical algorithms in quite a lucid and yet authoritative fashion in the history of my discipline (early interest in computing)." —Richard S. Steihaug, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, March 1998.

"This text may become the one 'bible' about accuracy and stability for the solution of linear equations. It covers 100 pages carefully selected, organized and written. One will find that this book is a very readable and comprehensive reference for research in numerical linear algebra, software usage and development, and for numerical linear algebra courses." —H. Klotzer, *Zentralblatt für Mathematik*, Band 84(7)9.

"Nick Higham has assembled an enormous amount of expertise and careful material in a coherent, readable form. His book belongs on the shelf of anyone who has more than a casual interest in teaching linear and matrix computation." —G. W. Stewart, *SIAM Review*, March 1997.

Nicholas J. Higham is Richardson Professor of Applied Mathematics at the University of Manchester, England. He is the author of more than 80 publications and is a member of the editorial board of *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, the *SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, and the *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*.



For more information about SIAM books, journals, newsletters, membership, or activities, contact:

**siam**

Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics  
3600 University City Science Center  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-1285  
215-382-1602 • Fax: 215-382-7999  
siam@siam.org • www.siam.org



SIAM0280



siam

CSFO



## Time to L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

DX2-33	7.5 mins	Pentium 2.8Ghz	5 secs
Pentium 120Mhz	1.3 mins	Athlon X2 4400	4 secs
Pentium 500Mhz	20 secs	Core i7 @4.4Ghz	slower!
Pentium 1Ghz	10 secs		

# References I

-  A. H. Al-Mohy and N. J. Higham.  
Computing the action of the matrix exponential, with an application to exponential integrators.  
*SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 33(2):488–511, 2011.
-  N. J. Dingle and N. J. Higham.  
Reducing the influence of tiny normwise relative errors on performance profiles.  
MIMS EPrint 2011.90, Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences, The University of Manchester, UK, Nov. 2011.  
11 pp.

# References II

-  E. D. Dolan and J. J. Moré.  
Benchmarking optimization software with performance profiles.  
*Math. Programming*, 91:201–213, 2002.
-  C.-H. Guo, N. J. Higham, and F. Tisseur.  
An improved arc algorithm for detecting definite Hermitian pairs.  
*SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 31(3):1131–1151, 2009.

# References III



N. J. Higham.

*Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms.*

Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics,  
Philadelphia, PA, USA, second edition, 2002.

ISBN 0-89871-521-0.

xxx+680 pp.