

# Active colloids in complex fluids

Alison E. Patteson<sup>1,\*</sup>, Arvind Gopinath<sup>2,\*</sup> & Paulo E. Arratia<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, PA 19104.*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Engineering, University of California Merced, CA 95340.*

*\*Equal contribution*

*\*\*Corresponding Author*

*Email address: parratia@seas.upenn.edu*

---

## Abstract

We review recent work on active colloids or swimmers, such as self-propelled microorganisms, phoretic colloidal particles, and artificial micro-robotic systems, moving in fluid-like environments. These environments can be water-like and Newtonian but can frequently contain macromolecules, flexible polymers, soft cells, or hard particles, which impart complex, nonlinear rheological features to the fluid. While significant progress has been made on understanding how active colloids move and interact in Newtonian fluids, little is known on how active colloids behave in complex and non-Newtonian fluids. An emerging literature is starting to show how fluid rheology can dramatically change the gaits and speeds of individual swimmers. Simultaneously, a moving swimmer induces time dependent, three dimensional fluid flows, that can modify the medium (fluid) rheological properties. This two-way, non-linear coupling at microscopic scales has profound implications at meso- and macro-scales: steady state suspension properties, emergent collective behavior, and transport of passive tracer particles. Recent exciting theoretical results and current debate on quantifying these complex active fluids highlight the need for conceptually simple experiments to guide our understanding.

*Keywords:* Colloids, Active matter, Complex fluids, Bacterial suspensions, Self-propulsion

---

## 1. Introduction

Active fluids are ubiquitous in nature and permeate an impressive range of length scales, ranging from collectively swimming schools of fish ( $\sim$  km) [1] and motile ants ( $\sim$ mm) [2] to microorganisms ( $\sim$   $\mu$ m) [3, 4, 5, 6] and molecular motors within individual cells ( $\sim$  nm) [7, 8]. Suspensions of active particles, commonly defined as self-propelling particles that inject energy, generate mechanical stresses, and create flows within the fluid medium, constitute so-called *active* fluids [9, 10]. This internally-injected energy drives the fluid out of equilibrium (even in the absence of external forcing) and can lead to swirling collective behavior [11] and beautiful pattern formation [12, 13], that naively appear unique to life. Indeed, the motility of swimming microorganisms such as nematodes, bacteria, protozoa and algae has been a source of wonder for centuries now. Anton van Leeuwenhoek, upon discovering bacteria in 1676, observed, “*I must say, for my part, that no more pleasant sight has ever yet come before my eye than these many thousands of living creatures, seen all alive in a little drop of water, moving among one another*” [14]. Since then, scientists have observed and classified other collective large-scale patterns in active fluids, such as vortices [15, 16], flocks [17], and plumes [18, 19, 20] that form at high concentrations of their organisms and highlight the link between life, fluid motion and complex behavior. Surprisingly, synthetic materials/particles have been recently developed which also exhibit these life-like complex behaviors. Examples are shaken granular mat-

ter [21, 22], phoretic colloidal particles [23, 24], soft field-responsive gels [25], and included in this review externally-actuated artificial swimmers [26, 27, 28, 29].

These active particles (living or synthetic, hard or soft), as collected in Fig. 1, have sizes that range from a few tenths of a micron to a few hundred microns, spanning colloidal length scales over which thermal noise is important [30]. The motion of these active colloids allows one to either direct (channel) or extract (harness) the energy injected at one length scale at other scales. For instance, activity can render large, normally athermal spheres diffusive [31] and yield controllable, directed motility of micro-gears [32, 33, 34].

Recently, there has been much interest in the production and dynamics of suspensions of active colloids [10]. The study of such active suspensions is driven by both practical and scientific relevance. From a technological and engineering standpoint, active suspensions play an integral role in medical, industrial, and geophysical settings. The spread and control of microbial infections [35, 36], design of microrobots for drug delivery [37] or non-invasive surgery [27], biofouling of water-treatment systems [38] and biodegradation of environmental pollutants [39] are just a handful of examples. From a scientific standpoint, active suspensions are interesting in their own right because they are non-equilibrium systems that exhibit novel and unique features such as turbulence-like flow in the absence of inertia [40, 41], anomalous shear viscosities [42, 43, 44], enhanced fluid mixing [45, 46], giant density fluctuations [15, 22] and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65




Figure 1: An overview of active colloidal systems - natural and synthetic. (a)-(c): Individual natural swimming microorganisms arranged in order of increasing size: (a) prokaryotic bacterium *Escherichia coli* with cell body approximately  $2 \mu\text{m}$  [48], (b) Eukaryotic unicellular alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* with a cell body that is approximately  $8 \mu\text{m}$  [5], and (c) multi-cellular organism *C. elegans* that is approximately 1 mm long [49]. (d)-(f) Examples of collective behavior seen in aggregates of microorganisms: (d) a bacterial colony of *P. vortex* on agar [50], (e) bioconvection of algae under shear [18], and (f) cooperative behavior in sperm [51]. (g)-(i) Synthetic swimmers: (g) field driven translation of helical magnetic robots [52], (h:A) magnetically driven chain comprised of paramagnetic spheres attached via DNA strands [26], (h:B) metachronal waves generated by reconstituted microtubule-motor extracts [53], and (i) magnetically driven surface snakes comprised of self-assembled  $80\text{-}100 \mu\text{m}$  spheres [54].

1 liquid crystal like orientational ordering [47]. Because these  
2 features are generic to many other active materials (e.g. cell,  
3 tissues, vibrated granular matter), active suspensions can also  
4 serve as a toolbox for understanding and deciphering generic  
5 features of active materials across many length scales.

6 The suspending fluid in these active (colloidal) suspensions  
7 can be simple and Newtonian (e.g. water) or complex and non-  
8 Newtonian. Complex fluids are materials that are usually ho-  
9 mogeneous at the macroscopic scale and disordered at the mi-  
10 croscopic scale, but possess structure at intermediate scale. Ex-  
11 amples include polymeric solutions, dense particle suspensions,  
12 foams, and emulsions. These complex fluids often exhibit non-  
13 Newtonian fluid properties under an applied deformation (e.g.  
14 shear) including viscoelasticity, yield-stress, and shear-thinning  
15 viscosity. An overarching goal in the study of complex flu-  
16 ids is to understand the connection between the structure and  
17 dynamics of the fluid microstructure to its bulk flow behavior  
18 [55, 56]. For example, recent experiments by Keim and Arratia  
19 [57, 58], which visualize a monolayer of dense colloidal par-  
20 ticles under cyclic shear at low strains, have shown how local  
21 particle re-arrangements connect to the suspension bulk yield-  
22 ing transition. This work highlights how local measures of the  
23 microstructure can shed new light on the bulk material response  
24 in an amorphous material.

25 In active fluids, it is even more challenging to link the ac-  
26 tivity at the microscale to the fluid meso- and macro-scales.  
27 For instance, living tissues are continuously exposed to stimu-  
28 li, which can lead to growth and remodeling of their struc-  
29 ture. This remodeling in the tissue microstructure is often im-  
30 plicated in medical conditions such as asthma. Recent work  
31 by Park *et al.* [59] has shown how tissue microstructural de-  
32 tails, such as cell shape, affects bulk properties, such as fluidity  
33 and rigidity. In a similar vein, recent experiments have shown  
34 that the interplay between the motion of active particles and the  
35 complex fluid rheology of the suspending medium leads to a  
36 number of intricate and often unexpected results. In particular,  
37 the local mechanical stresses exerted by microorganisms in an  
38 active colloidal suspension can alter the local properties of its  
39 environment [60, 61]; while simultaneously, the complex fluid  
40 rheology modifies the swimming gaits and spread of individual  
41 organisms [62, 63]. It is essential to understand this two-way  
42 coupling in order to uncover the universal principles underly-  
43 ing these active complex materials and in order to design and  
44 engineer new active materials.

45 In this paper, we review recent work on active colloids mov-  
46 ing in fluidic environments and show how recent theory and  
47 experiments can elucidate the connections between microscale  
48 descriptions and the resulting macroscale collective response.  
49 We begin in Section 2 at the level of individually swimming  
50 colloids and how their motion couples to the suspending New-  
51 tonian (2.1) and non-Newtonian fluids (2.2). In Section 3, we  
52 focus on suspensions of swimming colloids at both dilute (3.1)  
53 and non-dilute (3.2) concentrations. A number of studies have  
54 shown that active colloids moving in Newtonian fluids (sections  
55 3.1.1 and 3.2.1) can introduce non-Newtonian features, such as  
56 shear dependent bulk viscosities and viscoelasticity, to the sus-  
57 pension. In contrast, the change in the bulk rheology due to

activity – when the suspending fluid is itself non-Newtonian  
(sections 3.1.2 and 3.2.2) – is just beginning to be explored  
theoretically as well as experimentally. Figure 2 illustrates the  
importance of non-Newtonian fluid properties for individually  
swimming bacteria and for non-dilute concentrations of bacte-  
ria. We conclude by highlighting new experimental techniques  
that will help address challenges and answer emerging ques-  
tions related to active colloids in complex fluids.

## 2. Fluid rheology and single swimmers

### 2.1. Single swimmer in Newtonian fluids

Many organisms move in the realm of low Reynolds number  
 $Re \equiv \ell U \rho / \mu \ll 1$  because of either small length scales  $\ell$ , low  
swimming speeds  $U$  or both. In a Newtonian fluid with density  
 $\rho$  and viscosity  $\mu$ , this implies that inertial effects are negligi-  
ble, the hydrodynamics is governed by the Stokes’ equation,  
and stresses felt by the swimmer are linear in the viscosity. To  
therefore achieve any net motion (i.e. swim), microorganisms  
must execute non-reversible, asymmetric strokes as shown in  
Fig. 3 in order to break free of the constraints imposed by the  
so-called “scallop theorem” [64].

In the Stokes’ limit, the flow caused by the moving particle  
can then be described as linear superposition of fundamental  
solutions such as stokelets and stresslets. The exact form of the  
generated flow depends on the type of swimmer. For instance,  
an externally-actuated swimmer with fixed gaits creates flow  
that decay a distance  $r$  away from the swimmer as  $1/r$ . A freely  
propelled swimmer is however both force free and torque free;  
therefore the induced fields are due to force dipoles, which de-  
cay as  $1/r^2$ , or higher order multipoles. Naturally-occurring,  
freely-moving organisms can typically be classified into one  
of two categories: (i) pullers (negative force dipole) such as  
*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [5] or (ii) pushers (positive force  
dipole) such as the bacteria *Escherichia coli* [45] and *Bacillus*  
*subtilis* [15, 42]. Note that other organisms such as the alga  
*Volvox carteri* may fall between this pusher/puller distinction;  
other organisms move by exerting tangential waves along their  
surfaces and are called squirmers [4]. While this pusher/puller  
classification is limited and oversimplified, it provides a di-  
chotomy for a reasonable framework.

The dipole approximations are useful in estimating force dis-  
turbances far from the swimmer. Closer to the moving swim-  
mer, the flow field is time-dependent and can significantly de-  
viate from these dipole approximations [65, 66]. Significant  
theoretical work exists on characterizing these complex tem-  
poral and spatial flow fields around individual swimmers and  
obtaining approximate descriptions that may then be used as a  
first step in understanding how two and more swimmers inter-  
act [67]. Other geometries such as infinitely long waving sheets  
and cylinders have also been used to gain insight into the motil-  
ity behavior of undulatory swimmers such as sperm cells and  
nematode (*C. elegans*) [68, 69, 70].

A feature common to these theoretical studies is that the  
swimming gait - i.e, the temporal sequence of shapes generat-  
ing the propulsion - is assumed to be constant and independent




Figure 2: Swimming bacteria at dilute and non-dilute concentrations in Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluids. (a) *Escherichia coli* trajectories in water-like Newtonian fluid. Trajectories consist of straight segments (runs) punctuated by re-orienting tumbles. Scale bar is  $15\ \mu\text{m}$ . (Inset) A fluorescently-stained *E. coli* [48]. Scale bar is  $2\ \mu\text{m}$ . (b) Replacing the Newtonian fluid with a polymeric solution results in straighter trajectories with suppressed tumbling and cell body wobbles [61]. Scale bar is  $15\ \mu\text{m}$ . (c) In Newtonian fluids, high concentrations of bacteria exhibit collective motion near an air/water interface [11]. Scale bar is approximately  $15\ \mu\text{m}$ . (d) In a liquid crystal, the swimming bacteria tend to align with the local nematic director. At high enough bacterial concentrations, the flow generated by the bacteria affects the long-range nematic order of the liquid crystal and creates dynamic patterns of the director and bacterial orientations [71]. Scale bar is  $30\ \mu\text{m}$ .

of the fluid properties. Recent experiments paint a more colorful picture. Even in simple Newtonian fluids, fluid viscous stresses can significantly affect the microorganisms swimming gait and therefore their swimming speed [61, 63].

## 2.2. Single swimmer in complex fluids

The two-way coupling between swimmer kinematics and fluid rheological properties can give rise to many unexpected behaviors for microorganism swimming in complex fluids. For instance, the stresses in a viscoelastic fluid are both viscous and elastic, and therefore time dependent. Consequently, kinematic reversibility can break down and propulsion is possible even for reciprocal swimmers [75, 76]. This effect is especially important for small organisms since the time for the elastic stress to relax is often comparable to the swimming period [63, 77]. Therefore, elastic stresses may persist between cyclic strokes.

Emerging studies - some of which are highlighted in Fig. 4 - are revealing the importance of fluid rheology on the swimming dynamics of microorganisms. Consider the effects of fluid elasticity on swimming at low  $Re$ . Would fluid elasticity enhance or hinder self-propulsion? Theories on the small amplitude swimming of infinitely long wave-like sheets [78] and cylinders [79] suggest that fluid elasticity can reduce swimming speed, and these predictions are consistent with experimental observations of undulatory swimming in *C. elegans* [62]. On the other hand, simulations of finite-sized moving filaments [80]

or large amplitude undulations [77] suggest that fluid elasticity can increase the propulsion speed - consistent with experiments on rotating rigid mechanical helices [81]. In recent work on *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [63], we found that the beating frequency and the wave speed characterizing the cyclical bending of the flagella are both enhanced by fluid elasticity. Despite these enhancements, the net swimming speed of the alga is hindered for fluids that are sufficiently elastic. In complementary studies on *E. coli*, we found that the swimming velocity of the bacteria is enhanced for fluids that are sufficiently elastic [61]. Visualization of individual fluorescently labeled DNA polymers reveals that the flow generated by individual *E. coli* is sufficiently strong to stretch polymer molecules, inducing local elastic stresses in the fluid. These elastic stresses suppress inefficient wobbling, acting on the *E. coli* body in such a way that the cells swim faster. Overall, the emerging hypothesis is that there is no universal answer to whether motility is enhanced or hindered by viscoelasticity or shear-thinning viscosity. Instead, the microorganism propulsion speed in complex fluids depends on how the fluid microstructure (e.g. polymers, particles) interact with the velocity fields generated by a microorganism.

### 2.2.1. Surfaces and swimming

Swimming near surfaces plays a central role in many important biological settings. For example, sperm cells are guided by microgrooves and fluid flows along the surface of the female reproductive path [82]. Similarly, bacteria swim and adhere to

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65




Figure 3: Single natural swimmers moving in Newtonian fluids. (a) (i) Experimentally measured period averaged, color-coded velocity field around *Escherichia coli* bacterium [67]. (ii) Three-dimensional streamlines of a simulation of the flow in a frame co-moving with the bacterium [66]. (b) (i) Averaged streamlines around *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [72] - the color map denoting velocity magnitudes. (ii) Snapshots of the computed nutrient concentration fields  $C$  around a model swimmer swimming in a nutrient gradient ( $B$ ) [70]. (c) (i) Streamlines around a swimming nematode *C. elegans* [73]. (ii) Computed velocity fields around a flexible self-propelling swimmer [74].






Figure 4: Single swimmers moving in viscoelastic fluids. (a) The axial component of fluid velocity generated by a rotating, force-free helical segment [93]. (c) (i) The sequence of shapes (swimming gait) attained by the cilia in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* in Newtonian fluid of viscosity around 6 Pa.s. The direction of the power stroke is indicated. (ii) The ciliary shapes seen when the same organism moves in a viscoelastic fluid are dramatically different [63]. (d) Contour plots of the polymers stress generated around a moving soft swimmer for a (i,ii) soft kicker and a (iii, iv) soft burrower. The mobility is affected by both the softness of the swimmer as well as by the elasticity of the fluid through which the swimmer moves [77].




Figure 5: Collective dynamics in active colloids. (a) A snapshot of a swarming bacterial colony of *B. subtilis* on agar. Velocity vectors are overlaid on the bacteria. The lengths correspond to the speeds and are used to identify individual clusters [15]. (b) Scalar fields such as tracer concentration can be passively advected by the background velocity field generated by motile bacteria, demonstrating the mixing efficiency of active suspensions [94]. (c) (i-iii) Simulated spatial distribution of microorganisms in Taylor-Green vortices for different mobilities and elasticities. Relatively higher elastic effects cause an initially uniform distribution of microorganisms to aggregate. In real systems, where bacteria secrete polymers, this effect may enhance the aggregation and biofilm formation [95]. (iv) Bacterial biofilm streamers (red) form efficiently at high bacterial concentrations and may lead to catastrophic blockage in synthetic and natural channels through which fluids flow [96]. (d) Bright field microscopy image of a mixture of motile bacteria and polymers, evidencing the formation of bacterial clusters due to depletion effects [97]. (e) (i) Fluorescence microscopy image of a microtubule active nematic with defects of charge  $+1/2$  (red) and  $-1/2$  (blue). (ii) Snapshot of simulated nematic with marked defects. The color of the rod indicates its orientation and the black streamlines guide the eye over the coarse-grained nematic field [98].




Figure 6: Interplay between passive and active particles. (a) Passive spheres temporarily capture micro-swimmers. The active colloids are Au-Pt rods moving in aqueous hydrogen peroxide [99]. Trajectories of single rods are shown in blue. (b) Bacterially driven microgears: Collisions between swimming bacteria and gears drive clockwise or counterclockwise rotation depending on the orientation of the teeth (i vs ii) [32]. (c) Surface topology in the presence of motile bacteria guides an (i) initial distribution of colloids to (ii) either aggregated (left) or depleted (right) regions [100]. (d) Tracers moving in bacterial baths exhibit anomalous size and concentration dependent effective particle diffusivities  $D_{\text{eff}}$ . The dashed line is the particle thermal diffusivity given by the classical Stokes-Einstein relationship. Colors correspond to bacterial concentrations (lowest, green to highest, red) [101].

1 surfaces before biofilms can form [83]. Hydrodynamic effects  
2 typically drive the organisms towards solid surfaces [4]. Once  
3 in the vicinity of such boundaries, these swimmers exhibit ad-  
4 ditional fascinating features not observed in bulk swimming.  
5 Features include circular trajectories [84], rheotaxis [85, 86],  
6 and suppressed bacterial tumbling [87]; these arise specifically  
7 due to the no-slip boundary condition near the solid interface.  
8 A bacterium swimming along the surface experiences higher  
9 shear stress compared to the bulk. Combined with the rotations  
10 of the cell body and the flagellar bundle, the cell swims in a  
11 clockwise trajectory as viewed from above the surface. Inter-  
12 estingly, at an air-water interface where the boundary condi-  
13 tion is full-slip, the effect is opposite i.e., the bacterium swims  
14 counter-clockwise. Applying an external shearing flow biases  
15 the trajectory by reorienting the rod-shaped bacteria - the organ-  
16 isms then tend to swim upstream, a feature known as rheotaxis.

17 Furthermore, swimming near surfaces can be influenced by  
18 the fact that the ambient medium is often a non-Newtonian  
19 fluid. Recent theoretical work [88] has shown that residence  
20 times of a bacterium near a solid wall can be significantly en-  
21 hanced due to fluid elasticity. Also, at an air-fluid surface, or-  
22 ganic molecules in nutrient-rich growth media can adsorb at the  
23 interface creating a local, high-viscosity region. This modifies  
24 the full-slip boundary condition, and the interface acts more  
25 like a solid boundary [89, 90]. Even in the case of clean air-  
26 water surface, the possibility of interface deformations intro-  
27 duces interesting characteristics. For example, nonlinearities  
28 due surface tension can even propel bodies that have reciprocal  
29 swimming strokes [91], a strategy that does not work at hard  
30 surfaces or in the bulk of Newtonian fluids for low-Reynolds  
31 number swimmers.

32 We have discussed slip and boundary effects near surfaces  
33 external to the swimming active colloids. An interesting ques-  
34 tion that arises is the role of boundary conditions at the surface  
35 of the swimmer. Indeed, in microstructured fluids, the swim-  
36 mer might modify the local microstructure and *slip* through  
37 the medium. In polymer solutions, shear-thinning viscosity  
38 effects *E. coli* may contribute to the increase in bacterial cell  
39 velocity [60]. For the undulatory swimmer *C. elegans*, shear-  
40 thinning viscosities in polymer solutions have little to no effect  
41 on the swimming speed [73], while in colloidal suspensions, the  
42 swimming speed increases [92]. More work is needed to fully  
43 understand the role of slip at the surface of the swimmer.

### 44 2.3. Synthetic swimmers in complex fluids

45 As shown above, fluid rheology can significantly affect the  
46 swimming behavior of microorganisms. In this section, we will  
47 explore a different, and perhaps simpler, question: Can the fluid  
48 non-Newtonian rheological properties *enable* propulsion? To  
49 answer this question, one needs to think back to the “scallop  
50 theorem” which tell us that only non-reciprocal deformations  
51 of the swimmer can break time reversal symmetry and result in  
52 net motion. One of the main assumptions of the theorem is that  
53 the swimmer is moving in a purely viscous (Newtonian) fluid.  
54 If we relax this assumption, then it may be possible to break  
55 kinematic reversibility and achieve net motion even for reciproc-  
56 al swimming strokes. A simple thought experiment may lead

to this conclusion: Consider Purcell’s scallop now swimming in  
a shear-thinning fluid. One can imagine that if the scallop opens  
and closes its mouth at different rates, it may encounter differ-  
ent shear viscosities and viscous stresses as it opens and closes  
its mouth; the shear-rates associate with opening and closing  
motions are different. This viscous stress “imbalance” may be  
enough to lead to net motion. It is important to note, however,  
that just because kinematic reversibility is broken, it does not  
mean that one has achieved efficient propulsion; it only means  
that propulsion is possible.

This possibility - that the fluid non-Newtonian rheological  
properties can enable propulsion - has been explored theoretic-  
ally for a handful of special cases, particularly for viscoelastic  
fluids. Normand and Lauga [102] and Pak, Normand, and  
Lauga [103] analyzed the role of fluid elasticity on model  
tethered-flappers, which execute prototypical small amplitude  
reciprocal motion. Analysis of the flow fields generated by  
swimmers moving in Oldroyd-B and FENE-P model fluids sug-  
gests that elastic effects can generate forces that scale quadrati-  
cally with the amplitude of the motion. This demonstrates that  
normal-stress differences due to elasticity can be exploited to  
enable propulsive forces, circumventing the scallop theorem.  
In a related study, Fu, Wolgemuth and Powers [104] studied a  
variation of the Taylor sheet problem [68]: an infinitely long  
“wiggling” cylinder in an Oldroyd-B fluid. Using domain per-  
turbation techniques, the authors find that net motion is possi-  
ble for reciprocal motion in which the backward and forward  
strokes occur at different rates, possible due to an imbalance of  
generated normal stress differences. Another case is a ‘squirm-  
ing’ sphere, which executes small-amplitude motion along its  
surface. Using concepts drawn from differential geometry and  
utilizing the reciprocal theorem, Lauga [105] found that in an  
Oldroyd-B fluid the accumulation of local elastic stresses drives  
the sphere forward. This is true even when the surface motion  
is time-reversible, breaking free of the constraints imposed by  
the scallop theorem. These theoretical works clearly allude to  
the possibility of elasticity-enabled propulsion.

Perhaps the first experimental demonstration of elasticity-  
enabled propulsion was provided by Arratia and co-workers  
[75, 76]. In these experiments, an asymmetric particle (in this  
case a dimer) is actuated by an external magnetic field and it  
is forced to execute periodic reciprocal strokes, which results  
in no net motion in viscous Newtonian fluids. In a dilute poly-  
meric solution [75], however, net motion is achieved by elas-  
tic stresses which do not entirely cancel out over one forcing  
period, but instead have a small rectified component that accu-  
mulates. This elasticity-enabled propulsion has also been ob-  
served in “structured” fluids such as worm-like micellar solu-  
tions [76]. Furthermore, propulsion may be enabled by other  
non-Newtonian fluid properties, such as shear-rate dependent  
viscosity. Indeed, Qiu *et al.* [29] have observed the propulsion  
of reciprocally-swimming micro-scallops in shear-thinning and  
shear-thickening fluids.

Promising advances have been in the design of self-  
propelling micro- and nano-swimmers. These advances in-  
clude using hydrogen peroxide as a fuel source [106] and elec-  
tric field-induced polarization [107]. However, powering self-



propelling synthetic swimmers still remains a challenge [108]. Detailed experiments [75, 76] demonstrate that driven artificial swimmers can move through complex fluids with only reciprocal actuations and a simple body shape. These synthetic externally-driven swimmers are appealing for biological applications since their propulsive mechanism is less complicated than alternate strategies. The propulsive efficiency of microswimmers is commonly defined as the ratio of the power to drag the swimmer at its period-average velocity to the total power dissipated by the fluid during a period. The synthetic reciprocal swimmers in polymeric solutions have propulsive efficiencies ( $\approx 1\%$  [75]) similar to those of non-reciprocal swimmers in Newtonian fluids, including magnetic torque-driven helical micro-robots ( $\approx 1\%$  [109]) and self-propelling force-free bacteria ( $\approx 2\%$  [110]). Further understanding of factors controlling this efficiency could greatly simplify fabrication of microswimmers.

### 3. Suspensions of Active Colloids & Swimmers

In general, a single active entity in a fluid - Newtonian or complex - behaves very differently from a suspension comprised of multiple such entities. Examples are shown in Fig. 5. Interactions between multiple swimmers (or active colloids) can lead to many fascinating phenomena not seen in suspension of passive particles at equilibrium including anomalous density and velocity fluctuations, large scale vortices and jets, and traveling bands and localized asters. Identifying means to relate the microstructural features (e.g. swimmer local orientation) to macrostructural properties and bulk phenomena would yield ways to control, manipulate, and even direct the properties in these novel living systems.

#### 3.1. Dilute suspensions of active particles

A suspension of active colloids is considered dilute when interactions among particles are negligible. Even in the absence of particle interactions, however, the interplay between activity and the fluidic environment, as reviewed below, leads to novel and even unexpected phenomena.

##### 3.1.1. Active particles in Newtonian fluids

In the absence of activity, the shear viscosity  $\eta$  of a dilute suspensions of (passive) hard spheres is given by the Einstein relation,  $\eta = \eta_s(1 + \frac{5}{2}\phi)$  [111], where  $\eta_s$  is the viscosity of the suspending fluid and  $\phi$  is the volume fraction of the particles. In the presence of activity, however, the shear viscosity can be a strong function of the microorganisms swimming kinematics. We will briefly discuss the origins of this behavior below.

By using a kinetic theory based approach and solving the Fokker-Plank equation for the distribution of particle orientations under shear, Saintillan [112] showed that for a dilute suspension of force dipoles, the zero-shear viscosity  $\eta$  still follows an Einstein-like relation,  $\eta = \eta_s(1 + K\phi)$ , with the constant  $K$  now related to swimmer kinematics. For pushers,  $K < 0$ , while for pullers,  $K > 0$ . This leads to an interesting result: activity can either enhance or reduce the fluid viscosity depending on the swimmer kinematics (puller or pusher).

Due to the exceptionally low shear rates and stresses needed to realize these potential modifications in viscosity, experimental verifications of theories have been limited [42, 43, 44]. In 2009, Sokolov and Aranson [42] presented some of the first experimental evidence of activity-modified viscosity in a fluid film of pushers (*Bacillus subtilis*). They found that the presence of bacteria significantly reduces the suspension effective viscosity. Subsequent experiments using shear rheometers have shown that the fluid viscosity can be effectively larger in suspensions of *C. reinhardtii* (pullers) [43] or lower in suspensions of *E. coli* (pushers) [44] compared to the case of passive particles (non-motile organisms) for the same shear-rates. Activity also seems to affect the suspension extensional viscosity in a similar way [113, 114].

Clearly, activity has a fascinating effect on the viscosity of active suspensions; theoretical and numerical investigations seem to predict a regime in which the viscosity of the suspension can be lower than the viscosity of the suspending fluid. This striking phenomenon has been recently observed in experiments for *E. coli* (pushers) [44], where it was also found that the suspension viscosity linearly decreased as the bacterial concentration increased (in the dilute regime). Despite such advances, however, it has been a challenge to experimentally visualize the evolution of the microstructure (particle positions and orientations) during the rheological (viscosity) measurements. This type of information and measurements are critical to obtain insights into the physical mechanisms leading to this “vanishing” viscosity phenomenon in bacterial suspensions.

##### 3.1.2. Active particles in complex fluids

Given the evidence that bacterial activity can alter suspension viscosity, it is natural to expect that the interaction of active particles or microorganisms with the fluid microstructure (polymers, particles, liquid crystals, cells, and networks) in complex fluids can also lead to interesting phenomena. Indeed, an extreme example of this is how even dilute concentrations of bacteria can disrupt long range order in lyotropic liquid crystals. The presence of bacteria can locally melt the underlying nematic order and generate large scale undulations with a length scale that balances bacterial activity and the anisotropic viscoelasticity of the suspending liquid crystal [71]. Related experiments using active liquid crystals comprised of reconstituted microtubule-motor mixtures [98] suggest similar disruptive effects on long range order. In this case, the active entities are motile defects, which generate flow, and are spontaneously created and annihilated within the ambient environment, as shown in Fig. 5e. These studies illustrate how even dilute concentrations of active particles can locally deform and activate the microstructure of complex fluids. These synergistic and dynamic materials possess qualities (new temporal and spatial scales) distinct from both passive complex fluids and suspensions of active particles in Newtonian fluids.

The microstructure of complex fluids, however, does not simply submit to the flow generated by active particles. Instead, as discussed in Section 2.2 and 2.3, the microstructure couples to the active particles, altering their swimming gait and speed. Indeed, the microstructure can even be exploited to adaptively

1 guide active particles. For instance, it has recently been shown  
2 that the underlying nematic structure of lyotropic liquid crystals  
3 can align bacteria, controlling their motility and direction [71].  
4 The nematic director can even set the bacterial direction near  
5 walls, where near-wall hydrodynamic torques can reorient cells  
6 [115]. In recent experiments, Trivedi *et al.* [116] demonstrated  
7 that in lyotropic liquid crystals bacteria can transport particles  
8 and non-motile eukaryote cells along the nematic director. Con-  
9 versely, passive particles ( $\approx 1\text{-}15\ \mu\text{m}$  diameter) can be used to  
10 manipulate and capture active particles (self-propelled Au-Pt  
11 rods) [99], which tend to orbit along surfaces of passive parti-  
12 cles, as shown in Fig. 6a. Together, these works seem to mirror  
13 the trafficking of cargo in cells by active motors [7] and suggest  
14 novel methods to transport active and passive components of  
15 these living, complex fluids, some of which are highlighted in  
16 Fig. 6.

### 18 3.2. *Non-dilute suspensions of active particles*

19 The investigations briefly discussed above highlight the strik-  
20 ing role of activity on material fluid properties even in the dilute  
21 regime when particle interactions are negligible. As the concen-  
22 tration of particles increases, however, the particle interactions  
23 (either steric and aligning interactions or hydrodynamic inter-  
24 actions) can suddenly give rise to collective motion. Interest-  
25 ingly, as reviewed next (Section 3.2.1), even when the suspend-  
26 ing medium is not a complex fluid, the collective dynamics of  
27 the active particles can lead to non-Newtonian suspension prop-  
28 erties. The interplay between collective particle dynamics and a  
29 suspending complex fluid are yet to be explored in detail, with  
30 the exceptions of the works mentioned in Sec. 3.2.2.

#### 33 3.2.1. *Active particles in Newtonian fluids*

34 Perhaps one of the first models for collective motion in the  
35 absence of fluid hydrodynamic interactions was proposed by  
36 Toner and Tu [17] using a modification of the classical liq-  
37 uid crystal model. This seminal work has been significantly  
38 extended theoretically to cover a range of interactions. Inter-  
39 estingly, these models as well as simpler discrete agent-based  
40 simulations are able to capture many of the universal features  
41 observed in natural active colloidal systems including flocking  
42 and collective behavior.

44 In order to incorporate the role of fluid interactions, recent  
45 mean-field models use dipole approximations in simple (New-  
46 tonian) fluids. Recent reviews by Koch and Subramanian [117]  
47 and Marchetti, *et al.* [10] summarize linear stability analyses of  
48 these mean-field models. The general consensus of these stud-  
49 ies is that hydrodynamic interactions mediated by the fluid can,  
50 in some cases, destabilize homogeneous suspensions and assist  
51 collectively moving states.

52 As mentioned above, even when the suspending fluid is New-  
53 tonian, interactions between active particles can induce non-  
54 Newtonian features, such as elasticity. In order to model the  
55 rheology of active suspensions, Hatwalne *et al.* [118] general-  
56 ized the kinetic equations for liquid crystals and obtained a gen-  
57 eral expression for frequency-dependent stress in an oscillatory  
58 shear flow. This stress depends on the detailed swimming kin-  
59 ematics (pusher or puller), the active correlation times, and the

density. Importantly, the theory predicts that – as the system  
approaches an orientational-order transition – this previously  
Newtonian fluid begins to exhibit elasticity, with elastic stresses  
than increase with the orientational order. This work highlights  
how the active particle microstructure can dramatically alter the  
bulk material properties.

#### 3.2.2. *Active particles in complex fluids*

The implications of a suspending non-Newtonian fluid on  
the bulk material properties of active fluids, such as collec-  
tive behavior, are only now starting to be explored. In this  
regard, a series of recent theoretical studies by Bozorgi and  
Underhill [119, 120, 121] reveal how features unique to non-  
Newtonian fluids, i. e. fluid relaxation times, may result in  
coordinated collective motion of active colloids. In this se-  
quence of papers, the authors analyze the evolution of an ini-  
tially uniform distribution of interacting axisymmetric colloids  
moving in three canonical viscoelastic fluids, namely Oldroyd-  
B, Maxwell, and generalized viscoelastic fluid models. Lin-  
ear stability analysis reveals that the emergence of instabilities  
and collective motion is controlled by a number of parameters:  
colloidal dynamics (such as translational and rotational diffu-  
sivities), fluid material properties (such as relaxation times and  
viscosity) and interaction-specific features (such as the time for  
hydrodynamic interactions to reorient colloids). The authors  
find that increasing particle translational diffusivity always hin-  
ders the onset of collective motion; whereas, rotational diffu-  
sivity always hinders instabilities when the suspending fluid is  
Newtonian. Surprisingly, when the suspending fluid is non-  
Newtonian, rotational diffusivity can promote the emergence of  
these collectively moving states [120]. Recent experiments [61]  
have shown that fluid viscosity and elasticity impacts the trans-  
lational and rotational diffusivity of individual, non-interacting  
*E. coli*. This suggests that the presence or absence of collective  
motion in active fluids can be controlled by simply tuning the  
mechanical properties of the suspending medium.

The viscoelasticity of the suspending fluid not only affects  
the emergence of collective motion but also influences the  
pseudo-steady flows that are eventually established after the on-  
set. Fluid elasticity can force strongly collective states to tran-  
sition to weakly collective (“suppressed”) states with less long-  
range structure. Indeed, a recent theoretical study [121] predicts  
that the self-driven structures that emerge when active colloids  
move in complex fluids have considerably different length and  
time scales from the Newtonian analogue.

## 4. Future and Perspectives

True appreciation of a complex mosaic is gleaned only by  
examining each individual component and appreciating its role  
in the way it fits into, integrally contributes to, and thus *forms*  
the whole. Similarly, a theoretical and practical knowledge of  
the dynamics of active colloids in complex fluids necessitates  
understanding at all scales. It is clear from a review of the lit-  
erature that significant progress has been made in this regard.  
Still, many aspects remain to be uncovered and understood.

1 Crucial to this process is the connection between theory, simu-  
2 lations, and experiments in a meaningful way. We conclude by  
3 focusing on three over-arching questions and aspects that are  
4 yet to be resolved satisfactorily: (i) passive versus active re-  
5 sponse of individual microorganisms, (ii) complex interactions  
6 among particles, and (iii) constitutive equations for suspensions  
7 of active colloids.

#### 9 4.1. Passive and active response

10 The first step in understanding active fluids is to understand  
11 swimmer-fluid interactions at the level of a single swimmer.  
12 As is evident from a review of the literature of single swim-  
13 mers in complex fluids (Section 2), the fluid microstructure and  
14 swimming kinematics together impact the organism’s motility  
15 in a non-linear manner. Changes in the swimming behavior, i.e.  
16 the swimming gait, of a living organism may however not be a  
17 purely passive response. Microorganisms can use mechanosen-  
18 sation to actively control their swimming modes and attempt  
19 to adapt to a (complex) fluidic environment. For instance, it  
20 is known that sperm can exhibit different beating shapes in re-  
21 sponse to the properties of the fluid through which they swim  
22 [122]. In reality, the interplay among the fluid stresses, the pas-  
23 sive material properties of the swimmer’s body, and the active  
24 forces produced by the internal motors produces the configura-  
25 tional changes that make up the swimming gait [77, 74]. Sep-  
26 arating the active swimmer response aspects, which may have  
27 behavioral and adaptive evolutionary, to the ambient fluid from  
28 its passive response is very challenging and yet has promis-  
29 ing implications for the design of synthetic swimmers, micro-  
30 robots, and overall understanding of swimming.

31 A natural step is to develop models that allow for indepen-  
32 dent tuning of these three complementary responses that to-  
33 gether affect the swimmer’s response. Experiments that will  
34 provide insight in this regard must simultaneously visualize the  
35 single swimmers and the time-dependent surrounding flow as  
36 well as obtain information on the organism’s “activity.” For the  
37 case of the nematode *C. elegans*, this would mean visualizing  
38 calcium ions in the muscle cells during swimming, for example.  
39 Calcium imaging has potential for investigating the molecular  
40 mechanisms involved in muscular contraction and can even be  
41 used to infer neural activity. Due to the wealth of genetic infor-  
42 mation as well as well-developed electrophysiology and optical  
43 techniques (e.g. opto-genetics), the nematode *C. elegans* is a  
44 promising organism for such investigations.

#### 49 4.2. Particle interactions

50 A second challenge is in understanding and manipulating lo-  
51 cal particle interactions between active colloids in order to con-  
52 trol and direct bulk collective behavior. Although theories and  
53 simulations have had some success in linking simple particle in-  
54 teractions (steric, aligning, and hydrodynamic) with the emer-  
55 gence and dynamics of collective motion [26, 123], in general,  
56 active colloidal particles interact in a plethora of ways. Ex-  
57 amples include electro-static repulsions, short-range attractions  
58 [97] and adhesion [59]. In experimental systems, these inter-  
59 actions are difficult to distinguish, separate, and even quantify.

For instance, quorum sensing is used by bacteria to actively  
change their behavior at high bacterial densities [124]. How-  
ever, separating this chemically based signaling response from  
hydrodynamical based interactions is difficult. These chal-  
lenges highlight the need for simple (nonliving) experimental  
systems, such as self-propelled phoretic particles [23, 24] and  
externally actuated particles [28, 125], for independently vary-  
ing particle interactions and testing theories on active complex  
fluids.

Furthermore, most simulations and theories focus on  
monodisperse active particles that behave identically. However,  
diversity is a fundamental aspect of life and is reflected in varia-  
tions in the kinematics between individual microorganisms of a  
species. Polydispersivity due to extraneous suspended material  
such as particles, polymers or other motile or non-motile cells  
is inherently seen in many natural environments. Simulations  
[126] have shown that bidisperse (motile and non-motile) parti-  
cles phase-separate under appropriate conditions. Phase separa-  
tion has also been observed in experiments on bacteria moving  
in polymeric solutions ( Fig. 5d) [97]. Recent investigations  
have also shown that hydrodynamic interactions between bac-  
teria and passive spheres can enable larger particles to diffuse  
more rapidly than smaller ones [127, 101], as shown in Fig.  
6d. These studies highlight fascinating phenomena that occurs  
when passive and active particles interact; topics that are fertile  
ground for vigorous exploration.

The motion of passive particles or tracers can additionally  
be used to probe the characteristics of the environment such  
as the local viscosity, permeability and temperature. This, in  
fact, forms the basis of microrheology in passive systems -  
both in and out of equilibrium [56, 128]. These powerful and  
established techniques have recently been extended to active  
materials and have shed light on spatial and temporal inhom-  
ogeneities in these systems, an example being bacterial suspen-  
sions [129]. Complementarily, the dynamics of soft and fila-  
mentous particles can yield additional information not obtain-  
able using rigid tracers: fluorescently stained DNA molecules  
can be used to estimate local, history-dependent stresses in fluid  
flow [130, 131, 132]. Simulations suggest that the collective dy-  
namics of active particles leads to unusual swelling of polymer  
molecules [133], whereas we have shown experimentally that  
the flow generated by individual motile *E. coli* are sufficiently  
strong to stretch DNA polymer molecules [61]. These studies  
suggest how particles, hard and soft, can be used to gauge and  
understand activity.

#### 4.3. Constitutive Equations

As reviewed above, the combination of active and passive  
ingredients within an fluid can lead to fascinating and some-  
times non-intuitive features. Accurate and predictive models  
for these features will need to connect microscale physics to  
macroscale structures. Classically, as in the case of passive al-  
beit complex fluids, the bulk response at large hydrodynamic  
scales is described through the use of constitutive equations de-  
scribing the features of the fluid, such as the stress and pressure,  
in terms of variables such as the deformation and deformation

rate. These constitutive equations are related to the microstructure of the complex fluid using concepts from statistical physics and kinetic theory. For example, the bulk polymeric stress in a flowing polymer suspension can be related to the ensemble averaged mean stretch of the polymers provided polymer-polymer and polymer-solvent interactions are known. There have been many focused efforts to extend these techniques to active complex fluids. We highlight two aspects of this broadly-defined problem related to thermodynamic and mechanical properties of active fluids.

First, can thermodynamic variables, such as temperature and chemical potential, be used in a meaningful way to characterize active suspensions [97, 134, 135, 136]? Although the notion of temperature proves useful in some contexts [134, 136], in general, studies [97] suggest that the temperature cannot be defined unambiguously for active systems. The second aspect relates to whether mechanical properties such as the stress tensor and pressure can be defined for active fluids and whether these properties are consistent when determined in independent ways. Closely related to this issue is if and when equations of states for active colloidal fluids can be written down. As an example, for passive fluids in thermal equilibrium, pressure may be defined as (i) either the force per unit area exerted by the fluid on its containing vessel, (ii) through an equation of state that relates pressure to bulk thermodynamic properties such as density and temperature and (iii) via hydrodynamic principles, as the trace of a macroscopic stress tensor. In thermal equilibrium, all these definitions of pressure coincide but far from equilibrium, these definitions may not. Theories and simulations are beginning to explore when these definitions may converge for active fluids.

Recent models for the macroscopic dynamics of active colloids in Newtonian fluids have introduced the expressions for the bulk stress tensor (thereby incorporating activity) and defined pressure as its trace [10, 137]. How this pressure relates to the mechanical definition of pressure – as the force per unit area acting on a bounding surface – is an open question. Solon *et al.* [138] have shown that, when momentum coupling to the ambient fluid is negligible, the pressure exerted on a wall by an assembly of self-propelled colloids depends on the microscopic details of colloid-wall interactions. This remarkable result strongly suggests that generic equations of state for active colloidal systems cannot be written down. However even when an equation of state cannot be written down, the notion of pressure remains useful [137, 138].

We emphasize that it is unclear how these results would change for a real active colloidal complex fluid. In physical systems, there is full momentum conservation (for both particles and suspending fluid) in the bulk as well as inter-colloidal interactions mediated by the fluid. The general case that involves full hydrodynamics – be they Newtonian or non-Newtonian – is still unexplored and offers exciting challenges to both theorists as well as experimentalists. We anticipate that these studies will provide a foundation for bridging the gap between inorganic complex fluids and organic active matter. After all, as Rinne wrote even as early as 1930, “this gap does not exist, since the sperms, which are undoubtedly living, are at the same

time liquid crystals [139].”

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge funding from NSF-CBET-1437482 and NSF DMR-1104705.

## References\*<sup>1</sup>

- [1] Vicsek T, Zafeiris A. Collective Motion. *Phys Rep* 2012;517:71-140.
- [2] Gavish N, Gold G, Zangwill A, *et al.* Glass-like dynamics in confined and congested ant traffic. *Soft Matter* 2015;11:6552.
- [3] Berg HC. *E. coli* in motion. Springer Science & Business Media; 2008.
- [4] \* Lauga E, Powers TR. The hydrodynamics of swimming microorganisms. *Rep Prog Phys* 2009;72:096601.
- [5] Goldstein RE. Green Algae as Model Organisms for Biological Fluid Dynamics. *Ann Rev Fluid Mech* 2015;47:343-375.
- [6] Son K, Brumley DR, Stocker R. Live from under the lens: exploring microbial motility with dynamic imaging and microfluidics. *Nat Rev Micro* 2015;13:761-775.
- [7] Howard J. *Mechanics of motor proteins and the cytoskeleton*. Sinauer Associates; 2001.
- [8] Fakhri N, Wessel AD, Willms C, *et al.* High-resolution mapping of intracellular fluctuations using carbon nanotubes. *Science* 2014;344:1031-1035.
- [9] \* Ramaswamy S. The Mechanics and Statistics of Active Matter. *Ann Rev Cond Matt Phys* 2010;1:323-345.
- [10] \* Marchetti MC, Joanny JF, Ramaswamy S, *et al.* Hydrodynamics of soft active matter. *Rev Mod Phys* 2013;85:1143.
- [11] \* Dombrowski C, Cisneros L, Chatkaew S, *et al.* Self-Concentration and Large-Scale Coherence in Bacterial Dynamics. *Phys Rev Lett* 2004;93:098103.
- [12] Ben-Jacob E. From snowflake formation to growth of bacterial colonies II: Cooperative formation of complex colonial patterns. *Cont Phys* 1997;38:205-241.
- [13] Surrey T, Nedelec F, Leibler S, Karsenti E. Physical properties determining self-organization of motors and microtubules. *Science* 2001;292:1167.
- [14] Dobell C. *Antony van Leeuwenhoek and His “Little Animals”*. London: John Bale, Sons & Danielsson; 1932.
- [15] Zhang HP, Be’er A, Florin E-L, Swinney HL. Collective motion and density fluctuations in bacterial colonies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2010;107:31.
- [16] Czirók A, Ben-Jacob E, Cohen I, Vicsek T. Formation of complex bacterial colonies via self-generated vortices. *Phys Rev E* 1996;54:2.
- [17] \* Toner J, Tu Y. Flocks, herds, and schools: A quantitative theory of flocking. *Phys Rev E* 1998;58:4828.
- [18] Kessler JO. Hydrodynamic focusing of motile algal cells. *Nature* 1985;313:218-220.
- [19] Hillesdon AJ, Pedley TJ, Kessler JO. The development of concentration gradients in a suspension of chemotactic bacteria. *Bull Math Biol* 1995;57:299-344.
- [20] Tuval I, Cisneros L, Dombrowski C, *et al.* Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2004;102:2277-2282.
- [21] Deseigne J, Dauchot O, Chaté H. Collective Motion of Vibrated Polar Disks. *Phys Rev Lett* 2010;105:098001.
- [22] \* Narayan V, Ramaswamy S, Menon N. Long-Lived Giant Number Fluctuations in a Swarming Granular Nematic. *Science* 2007;317:105-108.
- [23] \* Bricard A, Caussin JB, Desreumaux N, *et al.* Emergence of macroscopic directed motion in populations of motile colloids. *Nature* 2013;503:95-98.
- [24] \* Palacci J, Sacanna S, Steinberg AP, *et al.* Living Crystals of Light-Activated Colloidal Surfers. *Science* 2013;339:936-940.
- [25] \* Masoud H, Bingham BI, Alexeev A. Designing maneuverable microswimmers actuated by responsive gel. *Soft Matter* 2012;8:8944-8951.

<sup>1</sup>\* - of special interest



- [26] Dreyfus R, Baudry J, Roper ML, *et al.* Microscopic artificial swimmers. *Nature* 2005;437:862-865.
- [27] Nelson BJ, Kaliakatsos IK, Abbott JJ. Microrobots for minimally invasive medicine. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 2013;12:55-85.
- [28] Wang W, Li S, Ahmed S, *et al.* Acoustic propulsion of nanorod motors inside living cells. *Ang Chem Int Edit* 2014;53:3201-3204.
- [29] \* Qiu T, Lee T, Mark AG, *et al.* Swimming by reciprocal motion at low Reynolds number. *Nature Comm* 2014;5:35119.
- [30] \* Aranson I. Active Colloids. *Physics-Uspekhi* 2013;56:79-92.
- [31] Wu XL, Libchaber A. Particle Diffusion in a Quasi-Two-Dimensional Bacterial Bath. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2000;84:3017.
- [32] Wong D, Beattie EE, Steager EB, Kumar V. Effect of surface interactions and geometry on the motion of micro bio robots. *Appl Phys Letts* 2013;103:153707.
- [33] \* Sokolov A, Apodaca MM, Grzybowski BA, Aranson IS. Swimming bacteria power microscopic gears. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2009;107:969-974.
- [34] \* Di Leonardo R, Angelani L, Dell'Acriprete D, *et al.* Bacterial ratchet motors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2009;107:9541-9545.
- [35] Josenhans C, Suerbaum S. The role of motility as a virulence factor in bacteria. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2002;291:605-614.
- [36] Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: A common cause of persistent infections. *Science* 1999;284:1318-1322.
- [37] Gao W, Wang J. Synthetic micro/nanomotors in drug delivery. *Nanoscale* 2014;6:10486.
- [38] Bixler GD, Bhushan B. Biofouling: lessons from nature. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 2012;370:2381-2417.
- [39] Kessler JD, Valentine DL, Redmond MC, *et al.* A Persistent Oxygen Anomaly Reveals the Fate of Spilled Methane in the Deep Gulf of Mexico. *Science* 2011;331:312.
- [40] Cisneros LH, Cortez R, Dombrowski C, *et al.* Fluid dynamics of self-propelled microorganisms, from individuals to concentrated populations. *Exp Fluids* 2007;43:737-753.
- [41] \* Wensink HH, Dunkel J, Heidenreich S, *et al.* Meso-scale turbulence in living fluids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2012;109:14308-14313.
- [42] \* Sokolov A, Aranson IS. Reduction of Viscosity in Suspension of Swimming Bacteria. *Phys Rev Lett* 2009;103:148101.
- [43] Rafai S, Peyla P, Levan J. Effective Viscosity of Microswimmer Suspensions. *Phys Rev Lett* 2010;104:098102.
- [44] \* López HML, Gachelin J, Douarache C, *et al.* Turning Bacteria Suspensions into Superfluids. *Phys Rev Lett* 2015;115:028301.
- [45] \* Darnton N, Turner L, Breuer K, Berg HC. Moving fluid with bacterial carpets. *Biophys J* 2004;86:1863-1870.
- [46] \* Kurtuldu H, Guasto JS, Johnson KA, Gollub JP. Enhancement of biomixing by swimming algal cells in two-dimensional films. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2010;108:10391.
- [47] Baskaran A, Marchetti MC. Self-regulation in Self-Propelled Nematic Fluids. *Euro Phys J E* 2012;35:95.
- [48] Turner L, Ryu WS, Berg HC. Real-time imaging of fluorescent flagellar filaments. *J Bacteriol* 2000;182:2793-2801.
- [49] Sznitman J, Shen X, Sznitman R, Arratia PE. Propulsive force measurements and flow behavior of undulatory swimmers at low Reynolds number. *Phys Fluids* 2010;22:121901.
- [50] Ben-Jacob E, Levine H. The artistry of nature. *Nature* 2001;409:985-986.
- [51] Fisher HS, Giomi L, Hoekstra HC, Mahadevan L. The dynamics of sperm cooperation in a competitive environment. *Proc Roy Soc London* 2014;281:20140296.
- [52] Tottori S, Zhang L, Peyer KE, Nelson BJ. Assembly, Disassembly, and Anomalous Propulsion of Microscopic Helices. *Nano Lett* 2013;13:4263-4268.
- [53] \* Sanchez T, Welch D, Nicastro D, Dogic Z. Cilia-Like Beating of Active Microtubule Bundles. *Science* 2011;333:456-459.
- [54] Snezhko A, Belkin M, Aranson IS, Kwok W-K. Self-Assembled Magnetic Surface Swimmers. *Phys Rev Lett* 2009;102:118103.
- [55] Brady JF, Bossis G. Stokesian Dynamics. *Ann Rev Fluid Mech* 1988;20:111-57.
- [56] Squires TM, Mason TG. Fluid mechanics of microrheology. *Ann Rev Fluid Mech* 2009;42:413.
- [57] Keim NC, Arratia PE. Yielding and microstructure in a 2D jammed material under shear deformation. *Soft Matter* 2013;9:6222-6225.
- [58] Keim NC, Arratia PE. Mechanical and Microscopic Properties of the Reversible Plastic Regime in a 2D Jammed Material. *Phy Rev Lett* 2014;112:028302.
- [59] Park J-A, Kim JH, Bi D, *et al.* Unjamming and cell shape in the asthmatic airway epithelium. *Nat Mat* 2015;14:1040-1048.
- [60] Martinez VA, Schwarz-Linek J, Reufer M, *et al.* Flagellated bacterial motility in polymer solutions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2014;111:17771-17776.
- [61] Pateson AE, Gopinath A, Goulian M, Arratia PE. Running and tumbling with *E. coli* in polymeric solutions. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:15761.
- [62] Shen XN, Arratia PE. Undulatory Swimming in Viscoelastic Fluids. *Phys Rev Lett* 2011;106:208101.
- [63] \* Qin B, Gopinath A, Yang J, *et al.* Flagellar Kinematics and Swimming of Algal Cells in Viscoelastic Fluids. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:19190.
- [64] \* Purcell EM. Life at low Reynolds number. *Am J Phys* 1977;45:3.
- [65] Guasto JS, Johnson KA, Gollub JP. Oscillatory Flows Induced by Microorganisms Swimming in Two Dimensions. *Phys Rev Lett* 2010;105:168102.
- [66] Watari N, Larson RG. The Hydrodynamics of a Run-and-Tumble Bacterium Propelled by Polymorphic Helical Flagella. *Biophys J* 2010;98:12-17.
- [67] \* Drescher K, Dunkel J, Cisneros LH, *et al.* Fluid dynamics and noise in bacterial cell-cell and cell-surface scattering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2011;108:10940-10945.
- [68] \* Taylor G. Analysis of the Swimming of Microscopic Organisms. *Roy Soc* 1951;209:447-461.
- [69] \* Was L, Lauga E. Optimal propulsive flapping in Stokes flows. *Bioinspir. Biomim.* 2014;9:016001.
- [70] \* Tam D, Hosoi AE. Optimal feeding and swimming gaits of biflagellated organisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2011;108:1001-1006.
- [71] \* Zhou S, Sokolov A, Lavrentovich OD, Aranson IS. Living liquid crystals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2013;111:1265-1270.
- [72] \* Drescher K, Goldstein RE, Michel M, *et al.* Direct Measurement of the Flow Field around Swimming Microorganisms. *Phys Rev Lett* 2010;105:168101.
- [73] Gagnon DA, Keim NC, Arratia PE. Undulatory swimming in shear-thinning fluids: experiments with *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *J Fluid Mech* 2014;758:R3.
- [74] Fauci LJ, Dillon R. Biofluidmechanics of reproduction. *Ann Rev Fluid Mech* 2006;38:371-394.
- [75] \* N. C. Keim, M. Garcia and P. E. Arratia, Fluid elasticity can enable propulsion at low Reynolds number. *Phys Fluids* 2012;24:95-98.
- [76] Gagnon DA, Keim NC, Shen X, Arratia PE. Fluid-induced propulsion of rigid particles in wormlike micellar solutions. *Phys Fluids* 2014;26:103101.
- [77] \* Thomases B, Guy RD. Mechanisms of elastic enhancement and hindrance for finite length undulatory swimmers in viscoelastic fluids. *Phys Rev Lett* 2014;113:098102.
- [78] \* Lauga E. Propulsion in a viscoelastic fluid. *Phys Fluids* 2007;19:083104.
- [79] \* Fu HC, Powers TR, Wolgemuth CW. Theory of swimming filaments in viscoelastic media. *Phys Rev Lett* 2007;99:258101.
- [80] Teran J, Fauci L, Shelley M. Fluid elasticity can enable propulsion at low Reynolds number. *Phys Rev Lett* 2010;104:038101.
- [81] Liu B, Powers TR, Breuer KS. Force-free swimming of a model helical flagellum in viscoelastic fluids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2011;108:19516-19520.
- [82] \* Tung C-k, Hu L, Fiore AG, *et al.* Microgrooves and fluid flows provide preferential passageways for sperm over pathogen *Tritrichomonas foetus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2015;112:5431-5436.
- [83] \* Persat A, Nadell CD, Kim MK, *et al.* The Mechanical World of Bacteria. *Cell* 2015;161:988-997.
- [84] \* Lauga E, DiLuzio WR, Whitesides GM, Stone HA. Swimming in circles: Motion of bacteria near solid boundaries. *Biophys J* 2006;90:400-412.
- [85] Bretherton RP, Rothschild. Rheotaxis of spermatozoa. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 1961;153:490-502.
- [86] Marcos, Fu HC, Powers TR, Stocker R. Bacterial rheotaxis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2012;109:4780-4785.
- [87] \* Molaei M, Barry M, Stocker R, Sheng J. Failed Escape: Solid Surfaces Prevent Tumbling of *Escherichia coli*. *Phys Rev Lett* 2014;113:068103.

- [88] \* Li G-J, Karimi A, Ardekani AM. Effect of solid boundaries on swimming dynamics of microorganisms in a viscoelastic fluid. *Rheologica Acta* 2014;53:911-926.
- [89] \* Morse M, Huang A, Li G, *et al.* Molecular Adsorption Steers Bacterial Swimming at the Air/Water Interface. *Biophys J* 2013;105:21-28.
- [90] \* Lopez D, Lauga E. Dynamics of swimming bacteria at complex interfaces. *Phys Fluids* 2014;26:071902.
- [91] \* Trouilloud R, Yu TS, Hosoi AE, Lauga E. Soft Swimming: Exploiting Deformable Interfaces for Low Reynolds Number Locomotion. *Phys Rev Lett* 2008;101:048102.
- [92] Park J-S, Kim D, Shin JH, Weitz DA. Efficient nematode swimming in a shear thinning colloidal suspension. *Soft Matter* 2016; DOI: 10.1039/C5SM01824B.
- [93] Spagnolie SE, Liu B, Powers TR. Locomotion of Helical Bodies in Viscoelastic Fluids: Enhanced Swimming at Large Helical Amplitudes. *Phys. Rev Lett.* 2013;111:068101.
- [94] \* Saintillan D, Shelley MJ. Emergence of coherent structures and large-scale flows in motile suspensions. *J Roy Soc Interf* 2011;rsif20110355.
- [95] Ardekani AM, Gore E. Emergence of a limit cycle for swimming microorganisms in a vortical flow of a viscoelastic fluid. *Phys Rev E* 2012;85:056309.
- [96] \* Drescher K, Shen Y, Bassler BL, Stone HA. Biofilm streamers cause catastrophic disruption of flow with consequences for environmental and medical systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2013;110:4345-4350.
- [97] Schwarz-Linek J, Valeriani C, Cacciuto A, *et al.* Phase separation and rotor self-assembly in active particle suspensions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2012;109:4052-4057.
- [98] \* DeCamp SJ, Redner GS, Baskaran A, *et al.* Orientational order of motile defects in active nematics. *Nat Mat* 2015.
- [99] \* Takagi D, Palacci J, Braunschweig A, *et al.* Hydrodynamic capture of microswimmers into sphere-bound orbits. *Soft Matter*, 2014;10:1784-1789.
- [100] \* Koumakis N, Lepore A, Maggi C, R. Di Leonardo R. Targeted delivery of colloids by swimming bacteria. *Nat Comm* 2013;4:2588.
- [101] Patteson AE, Gopinath A, Purohit PK, Arratia PE. Non-classical size-dependent particle diffusion in active fluids. (*Under Review*) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.05803>.
- [102] Normand T, Lauga E. Flapping motion and force generation in a viscoelastic fluid. *Phys Rev E* 2008;78:061907.
- [103] Pak OS, Normand T, Lauga E. Pumping by flapping in a viscoelastic fluid. *Phys Rev E* 2010;81:036312.
- [104] Fu HC, Wolgemuth CW, Powers TR. Swimming speeds of filaments in nonlinearly viscoelastic fluids. *Phys Fluids* 2009;21:033102.
- [105] \* Lauga E. Life at high Deborah number. *Europhys Lett* 2009;86:64001.
- [106] \* Mallouk TE, Sen A. Powering nanobots. *Sci Am* 2009;300:72-77.
- [107] \* Roche J, Carrara S, Sanchez J, Lannelongue J, Loget, G, Bouffier L, Fischer P, Kuhn A. Wireless powering of e-swimmers. *Sci Rep* 2014;4:6705.
- [108] \* Ebbens SJ, Howse, JR. In pursuit of propulsion at the nanoscale. *Soft Matter* 2010;6:726-738.
- [109] \* Peyer KE, Zhang L, Nelson BJ. Bio-inspired magnetic swimming microrobots for biomedical applications. *Nanoscale* 2013;5:1259.
- [110] \* Chattopadhyay S, Moldovan R, Yeung C, Wu XL. Swimming efficiency of bacterium *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2006;103:13712-13717.
- [111] Einstein A. A new determination of molecular dimensions. *Ann Phys* 1906;19:289-306.
- [112] \* Saintillan D. The Dilute Rheology of Swimming Suspensions: A Simple Kinetic Model. *Exp Mech* 2010;50:1275-1281.
- [113] McDonnell AG, Gopesh TC, Lo J, *et al.* Motility induced changes in viscosity of suspensions of swimming microbes in extensional flows. *Soft Matter* 2015;11:4658-4668.
- [114] \* Saintillan D. Extensional rheology of active suspensions. *Phys Rev E* 2010;81:056307.
- [115] Mushenheim PC, Trivedi RR, Roy SS, *et al.* Effects of confinement, surface-induced orientations and strain on dynamical behaviors of bacteria in thin liquid crystalline films. *Soft Matter* 2015;11:6821-6831.
- [116] Trivedi RR, Maeda R, Abbott NL, *et al.* Bacterial transport of colloids in liquid crystalline environments. *Soft Matter* 2015; 11:8404-8408.
- [117] \* Koch DL, Subramanian G. Collective Hydrodynamics of Swimming Microorganisms: Living Fluids. *Annu Rev Fluid Mech* 2011;43:637-659.
- [118] \* Hatwalne Y, Ramaswamy S, Rao M, Aditi Simha R. Rheology of Active-Particle Suspensions. *Phys Rev Lett* 2004;92:118101.
- [119] Bozorgi Y, Underhill PT. Effect of viscoelasticity on the collective behavior of swimming microorganisms. *Phys Rev E* 2011;84:061901.
- [120] \* Bozorgi Y, Underhill PT. Role of linear viscoelasticity and rotational diffusivity on the collective behavior of active particles. *J Rheol* 2013;57:511.
- [121] Bozorgi Y, Underhill PT. Effects of elasticity on the nonlinear collective dynamics of self-propelled particles. *J Non-Newt Fluid Mech* 2014;214:69-77.
- [122] Woolley DM, Vernon GG. A study of helical and planar waves on sea urchin sperm flagella, with a theory of how they are generated. *J Exp Bio* 2001;204:1333-45.
- [123] \* Chelakkot R, Gopinath A, Mahadevan L, Hagan MF. Flagellar dynamics of a connected chain of active, polar, Brownian particles. *J Roy Soc Interface* 2014;20130884.
- [124] Rusconi R, Garren M, Stocker R. Microfluidics Expanding the Frontiers of Microbial Ecology. *Ann Rev Biophys* 2014;43:2.1-2.27.
- [125] Koser AE, Keim NC, Arratia PE. Structure and dynamics of self-assembling colloidal monolayers in oscillating magnetic fields. *Phys Rev E* 2013;88:062304.
- [126] \* Stenhammar J, Wittkowski R, Marenduzzo D, Cates ME. Activity-Induced Phase Separation and Self-Assembly in Mixtures of Active and Passive Particles. *Phys Rev Lett* 2015;114:018301.
- [127] \* Kasyap TV, Koch DL, Wu M. Hydrodynamic tracer diffusion in suspensions of swimming bacteria. *Phys Fluids* 2014;26:081901.
- [128] \* Crocker JC, Valentine MT, Weeks ER, *et al.* Two-Point Microrheology of Inhomogeneous Soft Materials. *Phys Rev Lett* 2000;85:888.
- [129] \* Chen DTN, Lau AWC, Hough LA, *et al.* Fluctuations and Rheology in Active Bacterial Suspensions. *Phys Rev Lett* 2007;99:148302.
- [130] Liu Y, Steinberg V. Molecular sensor of elastic stress in a random flow. *Euro Phys Lett* 2010;90:44002.
- [131] Smith DE, Babcock HP, Chu S. Single-polymer dynamics in steady shear flow. *Science* 1999;283:1724-1727.
- [132] Doyle PS, Ladoux B, Viovy J-L. Dynamics of a Tethered Polymer in Shear Flow. *Phys Rev Lett* 2000;84:20.
- [133] \* Kaiser A, Löwen H. Unusual swelling of a polymer in a bacterial bath. *J Chem Phys* 2015;141:044903.
- [134] Palacci J, Cottin-Bizonne C, Ybert C, Bocquet L. Sedimentation and Effective Temperature of Active Colloidal Suspensions. *Phys Rev Lett* 2010;105:088304.
- [135] Gopinath A, Hagan MF, Marchetti MC, Baskaran A. Dynamical Self-regulation in Self-propelled Particle Flows. *Phys Rev E* 2011;85:061903.
- [136] \* Takatori SC, Brady JF. A theory for the phase behavior of mixtures of active particles. *Soft Matter* 2015;11:7920-7931.
- [137] \* Yan W, Brady JF. The swim force as a body force. *Soft Matter* 2015;11:6235-6244.
- [138] \* Solon AP, Fily Y, Baskaran A, *et al.* Pressure is not a state function for generic active fluids. *Nat Phys* 2015;105:088304.
- [139] \* Rinne, F. Sperms as Living Liquid Crystals. *Nature* 1930;126:279.

\*Graphical Abstract (for review)

