**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Adaptation of pineal expressed teleost exo-rod opsin to non-image forming photoreception through enhanced Meta II decay

Emma E. Tarttelin · Maikel P. Fransen · Patricia C. Edwards · Mark W. Hankins · Gebhard F. X. Schertler · Reiner Vogel · Robert J. Lucas · James Bellingham

Received: 24 November 2010/Revised: 1 February 2011/Accepted: 1 March 2011/Published online: 17 March 2011 © The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** Photoreception by vertebrates enables both image-forming vision and non-image-forming responses such as circadian photoentrainment. Over the recent years, distinct non-rod non-cone photopigments have been found to support circadian photoreception in diverse species. By allowing specialization to this sensory task a selective advantage is implied, but the nature of that specialization remains elusive. We have used the presence of distinct rod opsin genes specialized to either image-forming (retinal rod opsin) or non-image-forming (pineal exo-rod opsin) photoreception in ray-finned fish (*Actinopterygii*) to gain a unique insight into this problem. A comparison of biochemical features for these paralogous opsins in two model

E. E. Tarttelin and M. P. Fransen contributed equally to this work and should be considered joint first authors.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00018-011-0665-y) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

E. E. Tarttelin · R. J. Lucas (⊠) Faculty of Life Sciences, The University of Manchester, AV Hill Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK e-mail: robert.lucas@manchester.ac.uk

M. P. Fransen · P. C. Edwards · G. F. X. Schertler Structural Studies, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QH, UK

#### M. W. Hankins

Nuffield Laboratory of Ophthalmology, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headley Way, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK

#### R. Vogel (🖂)

Biophysics Section, Institute of Molecular Medicine and Cell Research, University of Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 9, 79104 Freiburg, Germany e-mail: reiner.vogel@biophysik.uni-freiburg.de teleosts, Fugu pufferfish (Takifugu rubripes) and zebrafish (Danio rerio), reveals striking differences. While spectral sensitivity is largely unaltered by specialization to the pineal environment, in other aspects exo-rod opsins exhibit a behavior that is quite distinct from the cardinal features of the rod opsin family. While they display a similar thermal stability, they show a greater than tenfold reduction in the lifetime of the signaling active Meta II photoproduct. We show that these features reflect structural changes in retinal association domains of helices 3 and 5 but, interestingly, not at either of the two residues known to define these characteristics in cone opsins. Our findings suggest that the requirements of non-image-forming photoreception have lead exo-rod opsin to adopt a characteristic that seemingly favors efficient bleach recovery but not at the expense of absolute sensitivity.

**Keywords** Rod opsin · Exo-rod · Rhodopsin · GPCR · Teleost · Circadian

J. Bellingham (⊠) School of Biomedicine, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, The University of Manchester, AV Hill Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK e-mail: james.bellingham@manchester.ac.uk

Present Address: G. F. X. Schertler Laboratory of Biomolecular Research, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

#### Introduction

The first step in vertebrate vision is the absorption of light by rod and cone photoreceptors. This is achieved by photopigments comprising either a rod or cone opsin protein binding a vitamin A-derived retinaldehyde-based chromophore. Opsins are members of the G-protein coupled receptor superfamily of proteins. In the dark, they bind the A1 chromophore 11-cis retinaldehyde in their ligandbinding pocket covalently via a protonated Schiff base. This chromophore acts as an inverse agonist suppressing G-protein activation, but can be isomerized to the agonist (all-trans conformation) by light [1]. As a result, opsins show light-dependent interaction with their G-protein signaling cascade. The opsin expressed in rods (rod opsin) was the first G-protein coupled receptor to have its structure solved at high resolution and remains one the bestunderstood members of this family [2].

Uniquely among vertebrates, the Actinopterygii (rayfinned fish) have not one but two quite distinct rod opsin genes. In this class, the true orthologue of the rod opsin gene found in other vertebrates (including mammals), is not actually expressed in the retina, but rather in the photosensitive pineal gland. The rod opsin found in retinal photoreceptors of ray-finned fish is instead encoded by an intronless gene [3] thought to have arisen by retrotransposition [4]. Because the retinally expressed rod opsin was the first to be described in Actinopterygii, it was termed 'rod opsin' while the subsequently described pineal-specific version was called exo-rhodopsin (exo-rh) or extraretinal rod-like opsin (hereafter termed exo-rod opsin) [5, 6]. The two rod-like opsins share  $\sim 75\%$  amino acid identity.

Duplication of the Actinopterygian rod opsin gene appears to have been an evolutionarily ancient event. The intronless retinal rod opsin gene appears in basal representatives of this order including bowfin, gar, and sturgeon [7] as well as in the advanced Teleostei [3], but is absent in an extant sarcopterygian fish, the coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae* [8]. This places the first appearance of separate rod and exo-rod opsin genes somewhere between the separation of Sarcopterygii and Actinopterygii,  $\sim$ 416 million years ago (MYA) [9], and divergence of the neopterygian crown-group (bowfin, gar, and teleosts) at least 284 MYA [10].

Thus, the ray-finned fish have had two separate rod opsin genes for hundreds of millions of years. Assuming that through much of this time one was expressed in the retina and the other in the pineal, significant specialization to the differing demands of photoreception in these two organs might be expected. On this basis, we set out here to undertake the first comprehensive comparative biochemical analysis of rod and exo-rod photopigments. We find that, despite their evolutionary heritage, in at least one important respect (life-time of the principal signaling photoproduct Meta II), exo-rod opsin pigments from zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and *Fugu* pufferfish (*Takifugu rubripes*) have a functional characteristic more typical of cone opsins. As the amino acid residues responsible for this characteristic in cone opsins are 'rod-like' in exo-rod opsins, these data indicate that exo-rod opsins have attained a cone-like characteristic by a quite different structural mechanism in a novel example of convergent evolution.

# Materials and methods

## 1D4-tagging of opsins

PCR primers were designed to amplify the coding sequences of the Danio and Fugu rod opsin and exo-rod opsin such that the 5' end contained a standardized Kozak consensus-GCCACCATG [11] and the stop-codon was substituted with an in-frame NheI site (GCTAGC). The Fugu rod and exo-rod opsin coding sequences were amplified from clones 2716 (GenBank: AF201471) and 16h22 (GenBank: AF201472) previously described [5], using the following primer pairs: Fugu Rod 5' Xho I F1, 5'-CCGCTCGAGGCCACCATG AACGGCACGGAGGGACC-3' and Fugu Rod 3' Nhe I R1, 5'-CCGCTAGCCGCAGGAGACACAGAACTGGAGGA-GAC-3'; Fugu Exo-Rod 5' Xho I F1, 5'-CCGCTCGAGGC CACCATGAACGGCACGGAAGGACC-3' and Fugu Exo-Rod 3' Nhe I R1, 5'-CCGCTAGCGGCGGGGGGCCACCTG GCTGGAGGAGAC-3'. The Danio rod and exo-rod opsin coding sequences were amplified from the retinal and pineal cDNA using the following primer pairs: dRod BamHI Kozak F1, 5'-CGGATCCGCCACCATGAACGGTACAGAGGG ACCGGCATTC-3' and dRod NheI NoStop R1, 5'-CGCT AGCCGCCGGAGACACGGAGCTGGAAGAC-3'; dExo-Rod BamHI Kozak F1, 5'-CGGATCCGCCACCATGA ACGGGACGGAGGGACCCAACTTC-3' and dExo-Rod NheI NoStop R1, 5'-CGCTAGCGGCTGGAGACACCTG AGCGGAGGAC-3'. The amplified Danio exo-rod and rod opsin sequences are consistent with previous reports, respectively [6] (GenBank: AB025312) and [12] (GenBank: AB187811). A vector (pBluescript II) containing a bovine rod opsin 1D4-tag in the following context, NheI-TETSQVAPAstop (kindly provided by T. Warne, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge) allowed in-frame 1D4-tagging of sequence verified opsin coding sequences.

# Opsin protein expression

The Flp-In<sup>TM</sup> system (Invitrogen) has been used previously for inducible rod opsin expression [13]. The *Fugu* and *Danio* 1D4-tagged rod and exo-rod opsins were cloned into

pcDNA5/FRT/TO and then co-transfected with a pOG44 into Flp-In<sup>TM</sup>-293 cells. Isogenic stable cell lines were selected with hygromycin at 100 µg/ml. Flp-In<sup>TM</sup>-opsin-1D4 cell lines were maintained at 37°C in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, 4,500 mg/l D-Glucose, sodium pyruvate and L-glutamine (Sigma) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), 10 µg/ml blasticidin and 100 µg/ml hygromycin in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Cells were grown in HYPERflasks (Corning) prior to opsin protein expression induction by the presence of 1 µg/ml tetracycline and 5 mM sodium butyrate (B5887, Sigma). Cells were harvested between 20 and 25 h after induction of expression.

# Protein purification

Cell pellets were re-suspended in PBS, pH 7.0 in the presence of Complete Protease Inhibitors (Roche) and incubated with 50  $\mu$ M 11-*cis* retinal for 2 h at 4°C. The cells were subsequently solubilized with 1%  $\beta$ -D-dodecyl-maltoside (DDM) for 1 h at 4°C. Nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 21,500 × *g* and the supernatant was then incubated with 1D4 antibody coupled to CnBr-activated Sepharose (GE Healthcare). After 3 h rotating at 4°C, the 1D4 resin was washed with PBS (pH 6), 0.1% DDM followed by 2 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6), 0.02% DDM. The purified protein was eluted with the 1D4 peptide TETSQVAPA (160  $\mu$ M in 2 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6), 0.02% DDM). All procedures after reconstitution were performed under dim red light.

#### UV-visible spectrophotometry

Absorption spectra of the purified pigment samples were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2450 dual-beam spectrophotometer. All spectra were recorded in the 250–750 nm range. For photo-bleaching experiments, the samples were illuminated with +515 nm light for 5 min and spectra were then recorded. Difference spectra were subsequently calculated. The  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  of each pigment was determined by fitting a standard A<sub>1</sub> visual pigment template [14] to the difference spectra using the Solver add-in in Microsoft Excel to generate best-fit curves. This template was kindly provided by D.M. Hunt (Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London).

# Chromophore stability of opsins in the dark

The thermal bleaching of the Fugu rod and exo-rod pigments were measured at selected temperatures by the decrease in absorption at 500 nm [15]. Samples were incubated in the dark for 30 min at temperatures between 20 and 60°C on a gradient thermocycler. After 30 min, the spectra of the samples were re-measured. The amount of remaining pigment with bound chromophore was determined by measurement of the absorption at 500 nm, normalized to the protein absorption at 280 nm. Prism 4.0 ( http://www.graphpad.com) was used to fit sigmoidal curves to the data.

#### Fluorescent thermal stability assay

A fluorescent dye, the thiol-specific fluorochrome N-[4-(7diethylamino-4-methyl-3-coumarinyl)phenyl]maleimide (CPM) which fluoresces when bound to cysteine residues, was used to assay the thermal stability of the Fugu rod and exo-rod photopigments [16]. Pre-cooled cuvettes were prepared, containing 10 µg of opsin protein in a total volume of 110 µl sample buffer with detergent (10 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.03% DDM). Them, 10 µl of freshly prepared CPM buffer (sample buffer with 0.1 mg/ml CPM) was added and resuspended by pipetting. A Varian Eclipse spectrofluorometer was used for the assay, with excitation wavelength set at 387 nm ( $\pm$ 5 nm) and the emission wavelength at 463 nm ( $\pm 10$  nm). Dark state assays (in triplicate) were performed between 10 and 90°C and a simple mean calculated before normalization.

### G-protein purification from bovine retina

Wild-type G-protein was obtained from 50 bovine retinae. On ice, following exposure to light, the retinae were resuspended in 150 ml of 47% (w/w) sucrose in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM DTT and 100 mM PMSF (buffer A) and broken apart against the edge of a beaker until separation was complete. Following centrifugation at  $42,000 \times g$  for 15 min the rod outer-segment (ROS) membranes were aspirated from the supernatant fraction. The ROS membranes were diluted  $2 \times$  with approximately 200 ml of buffer A and centrifuged for 20 min at  $19,600 \times g$ . The pellets were resuspended in 60 ml of buffer A, placed on top of a discontinuous 25-30% sucrose gradient and centrifuged at  $131,000 \times g$  for 20 min. Piercing an injection needle at the orange ROS interface in the tube allowed collection of the membrane layer, which was then diluted in 80 ml buffer A and spun at  $42,000 \times g$  for 15 min. The resulting orange ROS pellet was resuspended and centrifuged once at 42,000  $\times$  g for 10 min in 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM DTT, and 1 mM PMSF. This was then washed and centrifuged twice at  $42,000 \times g$  for 15 and 20 min using a low-magnesium buffer 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT and 1 mM PMSF (buffer B). G-protein bound to the lightexposed rod opsin was eluted for 30 min from resuspended ROS membranes in 25 ml buffer B supplemented with 40 µM GTP. ROS membranes were separated from eluted

G-protein by centrifugation at 257,000  $\times$  g for 15 min. Supernatant was allowed to dialyze in 10 mM Tris (pH7.4), 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM DTT in 50% glycerol with three changes in 36 h. Extracted proteins were stored in aliquots at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

# G-protein fluorescence GTPyS binding assay

Opsin interaction with the G-protein transducin  $(G_t)$  was analyzed by fluorescence spectroscopy, based on an intrinsic increase in tryptophan fluorescence of  $G_t$  [17]. The assay makes use of the increase in tryptophan fluorescence after uptake of a non-hydrolysable GTP $\gamma$ S nucleotide by the  $G\alpha$  subunit upon activation. The increase in fluorescence quantum yield of the  $G\alpha(GTP\gamma S)$  complex is 2.3 times higher than the  $G\alpha(GDP)$  complex [18]. A total of 10 nM of each of the Fugu and Danio rod and exo-rod pigments were photobleached after continuous illumination at 515-nm wavelength of light for 20 s. This was then added to a cuvette containing 250 nM bovine rod transducin (G<sub>t</sub>) in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.008% DDM in a total volume of 1.2 ml, and allowed to stir slowly for 100 s. A stock solution of 10 mM GTP $\gamma$ S was added to a 5  $\mu$ M final concentration after 10 s. The increase in tryptophan fluorescence at an emission wavelength of 340 nm was then recorded for an additional 2,500 s in a Beckman LS55 spectrofluorometer. G-protein activation assays were carried out at 14°C. Bovine rod opsin was used as a comparable positive control in these experiments, and Fugu rod opsin in the absence of GTPyS provided a negative control.

## FTIR spectroscopy

FTIR spectroscopy was performed with Fugu rod and exorod pigments reconstituted into phosphatidyl choline lipids (from egg yolk). Lipid reconstitution was achieved at 4°C by combining the DDM-purified pigments with DDM-solubilized lipid at a molar ratio of 1:200. After incubation for 2 h, DDM was removed by three rounds of extraction using small washed polystyrene beads (with a detergent binding capacity  $5 \times$  higher than the initially present DDM; Bio-Beads SM-2, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) for 4 h. After careful removal of the Bio-Beads, proteoliposomes were collected by centrifugation for 4 h at 100,000  $\times$  g. Spectra were recorded at 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a scanning time of 30 s using a Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR spectrometer equipped with an MCT detector using 100 pmol reconstituted pigment in sandwich samples [19] and 200 mM BTP (at pH 6.0) or MES buffer (at pH 5.0). Meta II spectra of Fugu exo-rod opsin were recorded with a time resolution of 6 s at 10°C to compensate for its faster decay rate. Photoactivation was achieved by a 1 s photolysis using an array of 6 LEDs at 530 nm [20]. Meta II decay was monitored by following the decrease of intensity of bands in the range between 1,800 and 1,600 cm<sup>-1</sup> and at 1,570 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# Results

#### Spectral sensitivity of the Fugu and Danio opsins

Our first step in comparing the functional characteristics of rod and exo-rod opsin pigments was to determine their spectral absorbance properties in the UV-visible range. Microspectrophotometry of retinal and pineal photoreceptors in some deep-sea species suggest significant differences in spectral sensitivity [21], but no direct comparison of this parameter between rod and exo-rod opsin proteins has been reported. To address this deficit, rod and exo-rod opsin proteins from zebrafish (Danio rerio) and Fugu pufferfish (Takifugu rubripes), were expressed in HEK293 cells, reconstituted with the A1 chromophore 11-cis retinaldehyde, solubilized in dodecylmaltoside, and 1D4 immunoaffinity purified. This process provided good yields of all four proteins. UV-vis spectroscopy revealed significant absorbance in the visible range for each pigment (Fig. 1). This visible absorption was lost in favor of enhanced UV absorption following bright light exposure, suggesting that all four pigments could be photobleached. In order to define their spectral sensitivity, dark-bleach difference spectra were plotted (Fig. 1 insets) and fitted with the Govardovskii et al. [14] opsin absorbance template. These revealed very similar  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  across all four opsins at 501.8 nm (Danio rod;  $SS^2 = 0.0000, R^2 = 0.9950), 498.2 \text{ nm}$  (*Danio* exo-rod;  $SS^2 = 0.0000, R^2 = 0.9967), 501.4 \text{ nm}$  (Fugu rod;  $SS^2 =$ 0.0000,  $R^2 = 0.9994$ ), and 497.8 nm (Fugu exo-rod;  $SS^2 = 0.0000$ ,  $R^2 = 0.9957$ ). The Danio rod opsin  $\lambda_{max}$  is consistent with published in vitro [22] and in vivo [23, 24] reports. The rod opsin from both species possess a  $\lambda_{max}$  $\sim$  3.5 nm longer than the corresponding exo-rod opsin. When comparing the primary structure of the two types of opsin, in both species position 124 is Gly124 in the rod opsin, but Ala124 in the exo-rod opsin. Ala124Gly substitutions are associated with up to +3 nm shifts in the  $\lambda_{max}$  of the rod opsins of certain deep-sea fish [25], and it is quite possible that these substitutions account for much of the spectral shift seen between the rod and exo-rod. While the Ser299Ala substitution observed between the Fugu rod and exo-rod pigments could also account for  $\sim 2 \text{ nm}$  [26] of the  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ shift, as yet undetermined spectral tuning residues and their synergistic effects regarding  $\lambda_{max}$  exist for rod (RH1) opsins [25, 27].

Fig. 1 Absorption spectra of rod and exo-rod opsins. A<sub>1</sub> reconstituted and purified rod and exo-rod pigments from *Danio* and *Fugu* measured in the dark (*black line*) and after photobleaching (grey line). The  $\lambda_{max}$  calculated by fitting the Govardovskii et al. [14] template to the dark-light difference spectra (*insets*) are indicated



# Thermal bleach

Given the similarity of the spectral sensitivities of rod and exo-rod opsin pigments, we set out to explore other biochemical parameters in which the two opsins might differ. Opsin photopigments spontaneously bleach in the dark due to thermal isomerization of the chromophore and/or spontaneous hydrolysis of the Schiff base linkage [28]. This occurs at a much higher rate in cone than in rod opsins [29, 30]. As free retinaldehyde absorbs UV light, thermal bleach can be traced in the same way as light bleach, by the reduction in absorbance at visible wavelengths. We used this feature to compare the thermal release of chromophore by the Fugu rod and exo-rod photopigments. We observed a temperature-dependent reduction in visible absorbance in both pigments (Fig. 2a). Incubation for 30 min at <41°C had little effect on either Fugu rod or exo-rod photopigments, but incubation at higher temperatures caused progressive decreases in the amplitude of the visible absorption peak until, by 60°C, neither pigment showed any detectable absorption around 500 nm. To compare the temperature dependence of this dark bleach, we plotted the change in absorbance at 500 nm as a function of temperature for each pigment. This revealed that, while the Fugu exo-rod opsin was bleached significantly at temperatures between 44.5 and 48.7°C, equivalent reductions in Fugu rod absorption were not recorded until 48.7-53.8°C. The temperature at which 50% of the bound retinal is lost  $(T_{50})$ was calculated as 52.3°C for Fugu rod opsin and 47.1°C for Fugu exo-rod opsin.

We next turned to the thermal stability of the opsin protein itself using CPM, a thiol-specific fluorochrome [16]. This fluorochrome fluoresces when covalently bound to cysteines, but not when free in solution. As such an attachment requires (partial) unfolding of the protein, its fluorescence can be used to analyze the thermal integrity of detergent solubilized membrane proteins. Thermal denaturation scans generated curves whose shape mirrored those of chromophore release (Fig. 2b), enabling thermal 'half-melting' temperatures ( $Tm_{50}$ ) of 60.4°C for *Fugu* rod opsin and 56.3°C for *Fugu* exo-rod opsin to be calculated.

#### G-protein activation

The G-protein activation ability of purified *Fugu* and *Danio* photopigments was examined using bovine transducin (G<sub>t</sub>) in a standard intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence assay [17] employing 1D4 purified *Fugu* and *Danio* rod and exo-rod opsins in dodecylmaltoside (DDM) detergent solution. The rod opsins and exo-rod opsins from both teleost species were able to activate heterotrimeric G-protein (Fig. 3). The teleost rod photopigments performed nearly as effectively as bovine rod rhodopsin in this assay, with final totals of G-protein turnover 81.0% (*Fugu*) and 85.1% (*Danio*) those of bovine rod rhodopsin. By contrast, both exo-rod opsins showed much lower total relative G-protein activation at 28.1 and 26.0% that of the bovine rod rhodopsin for *Fugu* and *Danio* respectively.



**Fig. 2** Thermal stability of the *Fugu* pigments in the dark state. **a** Chromophore loss as a function of temperature. The percentage change in absorbance at 500 nm was plotted against temperature as a measure of the thermal release of chromophore. Sigmoidal curves fitted to the data points using the program Prism 4.0 allowed  $T_{50}$  values to be determined—*Fugu* rod  $T_{50} = 52.3^{\circ}$ C and *Fugu* exo-rod  $T_{50} = 47.1^{\circ}$ C. (*Filled circles, Fugu* rod; *filled triangles, Fugu* exo-rod). **b** Thermal denaturation curves for *Fugu* rod and exo-rod pigments determined by CPM dye assay. Curves are the average of three experiments subjected to least-square smoothing and normalization in Plot 0.997, and the interpolated 50% 'melt' values are  $Tm_{50} = 60.4^{\circ}$ C for *Fugu* rod opsin, and  $Tm_{50} = 56.3^{\circ}$ C for *Fugu* exo-rod

# FTIR difference spectroscopy of *Fugu* pigment photoproducts

We then used light-induced FTIR difference spectroscopy to obtain more insight into structural and activation differences between the two *Fugu* pigments. The photopigments were reconstituted into egg PC lipid membranes and were compared to similarly lipid-reconstituted bovine rod rhodopsin under otherwise identical conditions. Difference spectra Meta II minus dark state (Fig. 4a) revealed band patterns containing, among others, difference bands of the C=O stretch of protonated carboxylic acids (above 1,700 cm<sup>-1</sup>), participating both in interhelical hydrogen bonded networks and in proton transfer reactions, or of the protein backbone in the amide I range (around 1,650 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

The difference spectra of both Fugu pigments revealed a positive band at 1,712 cm<sup>-1</sup> similar to that of bovine rod opsin, reflecting protonation of Glu113 by proton transfer



Fig. 3 Transducin activation by photoactivated opsins. *Fugu* and *Danio* photoproduct interactions with transducin ( $G_t$ ) were compared to those of bovine rod opsin using a fluorescent GTP $\gamma$ S binding assay. Total G-protein activation relative to that by bovine rod photoproduct was calculated by integration of each curve. A control reaction in the absence of GTP $\gamma$ S was also undertaken for *Fugu* rod photoproduct (*Fugu* rod—GTP $\gamma$ S)

from the PSB [31] and of Glu134 by proton uptake from the solvent in Meta II [32]. This indicates a similar triggering of these two protonation switches of the activation process as in rod opsin [20]. In *Fugu* rod Meta II, this band was superimposed upon an additional band at 1,702 cm<sup>-1</sup> that is not assigned.

The difference band at  $1,728 \text{ cm}^{-1} (-)/1,747 \text{ cm}^{-1} (+)$ in rod opsin is attributed to changes of hydrogen bonding of Glu122 close to the ring of retinal, which participates in an interhelical network between H3 and H5 involving His211 and Trp126 and which is a key residue in the decay of the signaling state of rod and cone pigments [33]. Similar to bovine rod opsin, both *Fugu* pigments reveal a downshift of this band, corresponding to a decrease of the hydrogen bonding of this residue. In addition, the intensity of its positive lobe at  $1,747 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is reduced in *Fugu* exorod opsin Meta II, indicating an altered environment of Glu122 as compared with bovine rod opsin Meta II or *Fugu* rod Meta II.

Finally, the environment of Asp83 of a conserved watermediated network between H1, H2, and H7 can be monitored using its difference band at 1,768 cm<sup>-1</sup> (-)/1,747 cm<sup>-1</sup> (+) (its positive lobe overlapping with that of Glu122). Both in *Fugu* rod and (to a slightly lesser extent) in *Fugu* exo-rod opsin the dark absorption of Asp83 is downshifted from its position at 1,768 cm<sup>-1</sup> in bovine rod opsin, leading to an overall reduction of the difference band. This alteration of the interhelical network in the dark state is possibly induced by substitutions of neighboring Ser298Ala299 to Ser298Ser299 in *Fugu* rod and Ala298Ala299 in *Fugu* exo-rod opsin, of which residue 299 is a known  $\pm 2$  nm spectral tuning site [26].



Fig. 4 FTIR spectroscopy on formation and decay of Meta II in Fugu pigments. a Light-induced FTIR difference spectra Meta II minus dark state were obtained from Fugu rod (red spectra) and exo-rod (blue spectra) pigments reconstituted into lipid membranes at 20°C, pH 6.0, and 10°C, pH 5.0, respectively, and are compared to bovine rod rhodopsin (black spectra) under otherwise identical conditions. The spectra reveal the general conformational changes observed for activation of bovine rod rhodopsin with smaller pigment-specific alterations discussed in the text. b The decay of Meta II to opsin and free all-trans retinal was followed at 20°C, pH 6.0, in successive spectra of 0.5 min sampling time starting at the indicated time after photoactivation. These spectra reveal a similar time constant for the decay of Fugu rod Meta II as for bovine rod opsin Meta II of roughly 8 min, while the decay of Fugu exo-rod Meta II is more than one order of magnitude faster. As there was already substantial decay of Meta II in the first spectrum after photoactivation of Fugu exo-rod, the full exo-rod Meta II spectrum obtained at 10°C is shown with a dotted line

The thermal decay of the Meta II state to opsin and free all-*trans* retinal was followed by time-resolved spectroscopy at 20°C, pH 6.0, using the conformationally sensitive bands in the range between 1,600 and 1,800 cm<sup>-1</sup> and bands of retinal at around 1,570 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4b) [19]. Under these conditions, no significant decay to Meta III [34] nor structural instability of the pigment [35] were observed. While *Fugu* rod and bovine rod Meta II decayed with a similar time constant ( $\tau = \sim 8 \text{ min}$ ), *Fugu* exo-rod opsin Meta II decay was accelerated by more than an order of magnitude ( $\tau = 0.6 \text{ min}$ ). The identity of the decay product with opsin was confirmed by comparison with opsin generated by illumination in the presence of hydroxylamine (Supplementary Material Fig. 1). The Meta II decay of *Danio* exo-rod opsin exhibits a similar time constant ( $\tau = \sim 0.5 \text{ min}$ ) to that of *Fugu* exo-rod opsin.

#### Discussion

To our knowledge, these data represent the first biochemical characterization of exo-rod opsin pigments. We show that while *Danio* and *Fugu* exo-rod opsins have spectral sensitivities within the range expected for rod opsins ( $\lambda_{max}$ around 500 nm), in other respects their behavior is quite atypical for the rod opsin family.

Of all the differences that we have observed in this study between the rod and exo-rod pigments, the least distinct is that in spectral sensitivity. The native habitat for Danio is freshwater pools and streams [36], while that of *Fugu* is of coastal marine waters to a maximal depth of 150 m [37]. Vertebrates residing in such environments typically possess a rod with  $\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 500$  nm [38], and our description of the A<sub>1</sub> spectral sensitivities of rod opsins from these two species matches this prediction. In both of these species, we find that the exo-rod pigment is blue shifted by  $\sim 3.5$  nm, with the ~498 nm  $\lambda_{max}$  that we have determined for A<sub>1</sub> Danio exo-rod being consistent with a prediction based on its primary structure [6], and with the spectral sensitivity of the major peak for light induced pineal melatonin suppression in this species at  $\sim 500$  nm [39]. Recently, one of the likely signaling consequences of exo-rod opsin in the zebrafish pineal has been shown to be the regulation of expression of *aanat2* [40], which encodes the pineal specific version of arylalkylamine *N*-acetyltransferase [41], the penultimate enzyme in the synthetic pathway for melatonin.

Even though the  $\lambda_{max}$  of the A<sub>1</sub> rod and exo-rod pigments in this study are very similar, this observation appears not to hold for all teleost species since microspectrophotometric evidence from both marine and freshwater teleosts indicates that opsin moiety spectral differences exist between the  $\lambda_{max}$  of retinal rod and presumed exo-rod pineal photoreceptors [21, 42]. In addition freshwater fish can exhibit substitution or co-utilization of the A<sub>1</sub> and porphyropsin-forming A<sub>2</sub> chromophore, 11-*cis*  3-dehydroretinal [43], which will red-shift the  $\lambda_{max}$  of both the rod and exo-rod pigment [42]. Thus, both opsin tuning and chromophore usage could provide scope for significant differences in the in vivo spectral sensitivity of the rod and pineal photoreceptors.

Among the cardinal biochemical features of retinal rod photopigments is their low rate of spontaneous (thermal) activation in the dark [44], e.g., salamander rods have an estimated spontaneous activation of  $\sim 0.03 \text{ s}^{-1}$  [45] whereas L cones experience a rate of  $\sim 600 \text{ s}^{-1}$  [29], which when corrected for pigment density suggests that the cone pigment is  $6 \times 10^5$  times less stable than the rod pigment [29]. Correspondingly, expression of cone opsins in rod photoreceptors results in low-level activation of phototransduction in the dark, precluding full dark adaptation and reducing sensitivity [30, 46]. This low level of spontaneous rod opsin activation is thought to be critical for the extremely high sensitivity of rod photoreceptors [47], and can be attributed to the ability of the opsin moiety to retain the 11-cis-retinal chromophore that acts as an inverse agonist suppressing activation of the receptor [48]. We show here that at physiological temperatures both Fugu rod and exo-rod pigments show roughly similar levels of thermal stability and it is only at higher temperatures do differences in stability manifest themselves. This physiological stability is consistent with that previously observed for rod opsin [49], and contrasts markedly with the stability of cone opsins [50]. The relative stability of the pineal photopigment is consistent with the observation that the estimated absolute sensitivity of pineal photoreceptors is comparable to those of retinal rods [51]. This is perhaps not unexpected given the anatomical position of the pineal and the associated filtering effects of the skull and associated tissues, light intensities experienced by the pineal in situ are typically between 1/10th and 1/100th that of ambient and enriched for longer wavelengths [52-55]. Note, however, the rate at which photoreceptors experience spontaneous activation of the phototransduction cascade in the dark would depend not only on the rate of thermal bleach but also on the total quantity of pigment. Although rod and pineal photopigment density does seem to be comparable with similar optical densities reported by microspectrophotometry on rod and pineal photoreceptors in trout [56], the pineal photoreceptors lack the extensive pigment-dense outer segment discs found in retinal rods (typically in excess of 1,000) and have 20-70 lamellae [57], with correspondingly low levels of chromophore concentrations being 1/300-1/1,000 that of retinal photoreceptors [58].

Another important difference between rod and cone pigments is the lifetime of their light-activated state. Following light absorption both opsin classes progress through a series of meta-stable photoproduct states, including the Meta II signaling state capable of activating the G protein [1]. However, rod signal transduction is characterized by a much longer lifetime of the Meta II product than in cone pigments [59], with Meta II time constants of  $\sim 480$  s  $(\sim 8 \text{ min})$  for rods and  $\sim 5 \text{ s} (\sim 0.12 \text{ min})$  for cones [60]. In vitro assays indicate that this difference between the Meta II lifetime of rod and cone pigments results in significantly reduced G-protein activation by cone opsins compared to rod opsin [61]. Here, we found an equivalent discrepancy in G-protein activation between rod and exorod opsin pigments. In theory, the reduced activity of exorod opsin could reflect lower affinity for the G-protein provided (bovine transducin). However, this seems unlikely given the high sequence similarity between exo-rod opsin and bovine rod opsin in G-protein interaction domains (predicted intracellular loops 2 and 3 show 91 and 67% identity; for reference these figures are 82 and 62% for Fugu rod opsin), and the fact that the Fugu genome has only a single rod transducin alpha-subunit (GNAT1) [62], which is presumably used by both rod opsin and exo-rod opsin pigments. However, given that both rod and cone transducins are expressed in the teleost pineal [63], we cannot exclude the possibility that exo-rod opsin interacts with the cone transducin alpha-subunit (GNAT2). Recent evidence from transgenic mice indicates that Gnat2 will interact with rod opsin leading to rods that exhibit responses with a decreased sensitivity and rate of activation half that of Gnat1 [64]. The equivalent sensitivity of the pineal and rod photoreceptors would suggest that exo-rod opsin is interacting with a GNAT1 rather than a GNAT2 subunit. The alternative explanation, that it reflects lower lifetime of signaling photoproducts, is supported by our FTIR analysis. Thus, time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy reveals that the Meta II lifetime of Fugu exo-rod opsin is reduced by about one order of magnitude compared with Fugu or bovine rod opsins. In vivo, the reduction in Meta II lifetime for cone opsins may facilitate bleach recovery, allowing cones to function under continuous bright illumination. The reduction in Meta II lifetime of exo-rod opsin could perform a similar function for teleost pineal photoreceptors, with a fast bleach recovery allowing them to maintain a high absolute sensitivity while having a low level of photopigment. Thus, the reduced Meta II lifetime of exo-rod opsin could contribute to the observation that pineal photoreceptors are active over a greater range of light intensities than retinal photoreceptors [57], e.g., from physiological recordings it has been estimated that the dynamic range of pineal photoreceptors can be 2-3 times larger than their retinal counterparts [65].

Interestingly, the decreased Meta II lifetime of exo-rod opsin pigments are not reflected in a 'cone-like' primary structure. Site-directed mutagenesis has revealed the residues at positions 122 and 189, which interact with the

<b>Table 1</b> Relative Meta II decayrates of photopigments inrelation to the amino acidcontent of positions 122 and 189	Photopigment	Relative Meta II decay rate	Residue 122	Residue 189
	Bovine rod	1 <sup>a</sup>	Glu	Ile
	Chicken rod (wild-type)	1 <sup>b</sup>	Glu	Ile
	Chicken rod (double mutant)	22 <sup>b</sup>	Gln	Pro
Note that the rod photopigment Meta II decay rates from both studies are deemed to be equivalent and normalized to 1 <sup>a</sup> This study <sup>b</sup> [66]	Fugu exo-rod	13 <sup>a</sup>	Glu	Ile
	Danio exo-rod	16 <sup>a</sup>	Glu	Ile
	Fugu rod	$1^{a}$	Glu	Val
	Chicken green cone (wild-type)	70 <sup>b</sup>	Gln	Pro
	Chicken green cone (double mutant)	0.63 <sup>b</sup>	Glu	Ile

retinal ring and line the binding pocket of the retinal polyene, respectively, to be key determinants of the difference in both opsin thermal stability [66], and Meta II longevity between cone and rod pigments [33, 66]. At both these sites, Fugu exo-rod opsin has residues commonly found in other members of the rod opsin family. Thus, both Fugu rod opsin and exo-rod opsin have a Glu122, while the Ile189 of exo-rod opsin is also found in bovine rod opsin. The Val189 in Fugu rod opsin does not appear to have an effect upon its Meta II stability, and evidence from deepsea fish where Glu122Gln and Ile189Val substitutions are often observed [25] suggests that rod opsins are tolerant of certain substitutions at these two sites. Our findings of an enhanced Meta II decay exhibited by the exo-rod pigment  $(\sim 13-16$  times that of the rod) when there are no significant substitutions at positions 122 and 189, coupled with the observation that a cone-like Glu122Gln and Ile189Pro double mutant of chicken rod pigment has a Meta II decay rate 22 times that of wild-type chicken rod pigment suggest that factors affecting the Meta II decay rate of rod photopigments are likely more complex than substitutions at two positions might suggest (see Table 1).

What then is the structural basis for this cone-like characteristic of exo-rod opsin? Ala132 and Tyr223 are conserved residues and so can be excluded [67]. The FTIR results show a considerably changed environment of Glu122 in exo-rod opsin Meta II when compared to Meta II of the bovine and *Fugu* rod opsins. The cone-like rapid decay of exo-rod opsin Meta II may therefore not reflect a 'cone-type' residue at position 122, but rather other alterations in the H3/ H5 interhelical network around Glu122 and His211. Possible origins for such an effect could be residue 166 (on H4 facing His211), which is Ala166 in the bovine and *Fugu* rod opsins, but Thr166 in the exo-rod opsin pigment. This exchange might alter the helix packing in Meta II and be responsible for faster retinal release and the observed spectral alteration of Glu122 in exo-rod opsin Meta II.

The finding that the pineal exo-rod opsins have attained an enhanced Meta II decay rate seemingly without the decreased thermal stability exhibited by cone opsins [66], and have done so without replicating cone-like residues at positions 122 and 189 has implications for the evolution of these photopigments. Current evidence suggests that rod opsin evolved from a cone opsin [68, 69]. Thus, one potential explanation for the cone-like characteristic of the exo-rod opsin pigments is that they simply reflect those of the ancestral pigment. In this view, it would be the retinal rod opsin whose characteristics had evolved to match its sensory function. In fact, the structural basis for accelerated Meta II decay appears quite different between the cone and exo-rod opsin pigments (see above). Thus it seems that the ancestor of both Actinopterygian rod proteins had classical rod-like functional characteristics, and it has been the exorod opsin that has diverged to attain a cone-like characteristic by convergent evolution.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by funding from The Wellcome Trust to RJL, JB, GFXS, and MWH (grant numbers 078808/A/05/Z, 078808/B/05/Z); Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to RV (grant number VO 811/4-1); and additional support from the National Institute for Health Research Manchester Biomedical Research Centre and the Manchester Academic Health Science Centre was provided to JB.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

#### References

- Menon ST, Han M, Sakmar TP (2001) Rhodopsin: structural basis of molecular physiology. Physiol Rev 81:1659–1688
- Schertler GFX (2005) Structure of rhodopsin and the metarhodopsin I photointermediate. Curr Opin Struct Biol 15:408–415
- Fitzgibbon J, Hope A, Slobodyanyuk SJ, Bellingham J, Bowmaker JK, Hunt DM (1995) The rhodopsin-encoding gene of bony fish lacks introns. Gene 164:273–277
- Bellingham J, Tarttelin EE, Foster RG, Wells DJ (2003) Structure and evolution of the teleost extraretinal rod-like opsin (*errlo*) and ocular rod opsin (*rho*) genes: is teleost *rho* a retrogene? J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol 297:1–10
- Philp AR, Bellingham J, Garcia-Fernandez J-M, Foster RG (2000) A novel rod-like opsin isolated from the extra-retinal photoreceptors of teleost fish. FEBS Lett 468:181–188

- Mano H, Kojima D, Fukada Y (1999) Exo-rhodopsin: a novel rhodopsin expressed in the zebrafish pineal gland. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 73:110–118
- Venkatesh B, Ning Y, Brenner S (1999) Late changes in spliceosomal introns define clades in vertebrate evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:10267–10271
- Yokoyama S, Zhang H, Radlwimmer FB, Blow NS (1999) Adaptive evolution of color vision of the Comoran coelacanth (*Latimeria chalumnae*). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:6279–6284
- Benton MJ, Donoghue PC (2007) Paleontological evidence to date the tree of life. Mol Biol Evol 24:26–53
- Hurley IA, Mueller RL, Dunn KA, Schmidt EJ, Friedman M, Ho RK, Prince VE, Yang Z, Thomas MG, Coates MI (2007) A new time-scale for ray-finned fish evolution. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 274:489–498
- 11. Xia X (2007) The +4G site in Kozak consensus is not related to the efficiency of translation initiation. PLoS One 2:e188
- Hamaoka T, Takechi M, Chinen A, Nishiwaki Y, Kawamura S (2002) Visualization of rod photoreceptor development using GFP-transgenic zebrafish. Genesis 34:215–220
- Noorwez SM, Kuksa V, Imanishi Y, Zhu L, Filipek S, Palczewski K, Kaushal S (2003) Pharmacological chaperone-mediated in vivo folding and stabilization of the P23H-opsin mutant associated with autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa. J Biol Chem 278:14442–14450
- Govardovskii VI, Fyhrquist N, Reuter T, Kuzmin DG, Donner K (2000) In search of the visual pigment template. Vis Neurosci 17:509–528
- Standfuss J, Xie G, Edwards PC, Burghammer M, Oprian DD, Schertler GFX (2007) Crystal structure of a thermally stable rhodopsin mutant. J Mol Biol 372:1179–1188
- Alexandrov AI, Mileni M, Chien EY, Hanson MA, Stevens RC (2008) Microscale fluorescent thermal stability assay for membrane proteins. Structure 16:351–359
- Ramon E, Marron J, del Valle L, Bosch L, Andrés A, Manyosa J, Garriga P (2003) Effect of dodecyl maltoside detergent on rhodopsin stability and function. Vis Res 43:3055–3061
- Phillips WJ, Cerione RA (1988) The intrinsic fluorescence of the alpha subunit of transducin. Measurement of receptor-dependent guanine nucleotide exchange. J Biol Chem 263:15498–15505
- Vogel R, Siebert F (2001) Conformations of the active and inactive states of opsin. J Biol Chem 276:38487–38493
- Mahalingam M, Martinez-Mayorga K, Brown MF, Vogel R (2008) Two protonation switches control rhodopsin activation in membranes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:17795–17800
- Bowmaker JK, Wagner HJ (2004) Pineal organs of deep-sea fish: photopigments and structure. J Exp Biol 207:2379–2387
- Chinen A, Hamaoka T, Yamada Y, Kawamura S (2003) Gene duplication and spectral diversification of cone visual pigments of zebrafish. Genetics 163:663–675
- Nawrocki L, BreMiller R, Streisinger G, Kaplan M (1985) Larval and adult visual pigments of the zebrafish, *Brachydanio rerio*. Vis Res 25:1569–1576
- Allison WT, Haimberger TJ, Hawryshyn CW, Temple SE (2004) Visual pigment composition in zebrafish: Evidence for a rhodopsin-porphyropsin interchange system. Vis Neurosci 21:945– 952
- 25. Hunt DM, Dulai KS, Partridge JC, Cottrill P, Bowmaker JK (2001) The molecular basis for spectral tuning of rod visual pigments in deep-sea fish. J Exp Biol 204:3333–3344
- Fasick JI, Robsinson PR (1998) Mechanism of spectral tuning in the dolphin visual pigments. Biochemistry 37:433–438
- Yokoyama S, Tada T, Zhang H, Britt L (2008) Elucidation of phenotypic adaptations: molecular analyses of dim-light vision proteins in vertebrates. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:13480–13485

- Ebrey T, Koutalos Y (2001) Vertebrate photoreceptors. Prog Retin Eye Res 20:49–94
- Rieke F, Baylor DA (2000) Origin and functional impact of dark noise in retinal cones. Neuron 26:181–186
- Kefalov V, Fu Y, Marsh-Armstrong N, Yau KW (2003) Role of visual pigment properties in rod and cone phototransduction. Nature 425:526–531
- Jäger F, Fahmy K, Sakmar TP, Siebert F (1994) Identification of glutamic acid 113 as the Schiff base proton acceptor in the metarhodopsin II photointermediate of rhodopsin. Biochemistry 33:10878–10882
- 32. Vogel R, Mahalingam M, Lüdeke S, Huber T, Siebert F, Sakmar TP (2008) Functional role of the "ionic lock"–an interhelical hydrogen-bond network in family A heptahelical receptors. J Mol Biol 380:648–655
- 33. Imai H, Kojima D, Oura T, Tachibanaki S, Terakita A, Shichida Y (1997) Single amino acid residue as a functional determinant of rod and cone visual pigments. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:2322–2326
- Bartl FJ, Vogel R (2007) Structural and functional properties of metarhodopsin III: recent spectroscopic studies on deactivation pathways of rhodopsin. Phys Chem Chem Phys 9:1648–1658
- Vogel R, Siebert F (2002) Conformation and stability of alphahelical membrane proteins. 2. Influence of pH and salts on stability and unfolding of rhodopsin. Biochemistry 41:3536–3545
- 36. Engeszer RE, Patterson LB, Rao AA, Parichy DM (2007) Zebrafish in the wild: a review of natural history and new notes from the field. Zebrafish 4:21–40
- 37. Achiha A (2006) Catch depth of ocellate puffer *Takifugu rubripes* and water temperature in the western Enshu Nada. Aquac Sci 54:25–29 (in Japanese)
- Lythgoe JN (1972) List of vertebrate visual pigments. In: Dartnall HJA (ed) Photochemistry of vision, handbook of sensory physiology, vol VII/I. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 604–624
- Ziv L, Tovin A, Strasser D, Gothilf Y (2007) Spectral sensitivity of melatonin suppression in the zebrafish pineal gland. Exp Eye Res 84:92–99
- 40. Pierce LX, Noche RR, Ponomareva O, Chang C, Liang JO (2008) Novel functions for Period 3 and Exo-rhodopsin in rhythmic transcription and melatonin biosynthesis within the zebrafish pineal organ. Brain Res 1223:11–24
- Isorna E, El M'Rabet A, Confente F, Falcón J, Muñoz-Cueto JA (2009) Cloning and expression of arylalkylamine N-acetyltranferase-2 during early development and metamorphosis in the sole Solea senegalensis. Gen Comp Endocrinol 161:97–102
- Parry JW, Peirson SN, Wilkens H, Bowmaker JK (2003) Multiple photopigments from the Mexican blind cavefish, *Astyanax fasciatus*: a microspectrophotometric study. Vision Res 43:31–41
- 43. Toyama M, Hironaka M, Yamahama Y, Horiguchi H, Tsukada O, Uto N, Ueno Y, Tokunaga F, Seno K, Hariyama T (2008) Presence of rhodopsin and porphyropsin in the eyes of 164 fishes, representing marine, diadromous, coastal and freshwater species—a qualitative and comparative study. Photochem Photobiol 84:996–1002
- 44. Burns ME, Baylor DA (2001) Activation, deactivation, and adaptation in vertebrate photoreceptor cells. Annu Rev Neurosci 24:779–805
- Vu TQ, McCarthy ST, Owen WG (1997) Linear transduction of natural stimuli by dark-adapted and light-adapted rods of the salamander, *Ambystoma tigrinum*. J Physiol 505(Pt 1):193–204
- 46. Sakurai K, Onishi A, Imai H, Chisaka O, Ueda Y, Usukura J, Nakatani K, Shichida Y (2007) Physiological properties of rod photoreceptor cells in green-sensitive cone pigment knock-in mice. J Gen Physiol 130:21–40

- Baylor DA, Nunn BJ, Schnapf JL (1984) The photocurrent, noise and spectral sensitivity of rods of the monkey *Macaca fascicularis*. J Physiol (Lond) 357:575–607
- Robinson PR, Cohen GB, Zhukovsky EA, Oprian DD (1992) Constitutively active mutants of rhodopsin. Neuron 9:719–725
- 49. Ramon E, del Valle LJ, Garriga P (2003) Unusual thermal and conformational properties of the rhodopsin congenital night blindness mutant Thr-94–> Ile. J Biol Chem 278:6427–6432
- Ramon E, Mao X, Ridge KD (2009) Studies on the stability of the human cone visual pigments. Photochem Photobiol 85:509–516
- Meissl H, Ekström P (1988) Dark and light adaptation of pineal photoreceptors. Vis Res 28:49–56
- 52. Hartwig H-G, van Veen T (1979) Spectral characteristics of visible radiation penetrating into the brain and stimulating extraretinal photoreceptors. J Comp Physiol A: Neuroethol, Sens, Neural, Behav Physiol 130:277–282
- Nordtug T, Berg O (1990) Optical properties of the pineal window of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Fish Physiol Biochem 8:541–546
- Thorarensen H, Clarke WC, Farrell AP (1989) Effect of photoperiod and various intensities of night illumination on growth and seawater adaptability of juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Aquaculture 82:39–49
- 55. Migaud H, Taylor JF, Taranger GL, Davie A, Cerdá-Reverter JM, Carrillo M, Hansen T, Bromage NR (2006) A comparative ex vivo and in vivo study of day and night perception in teleosts species using the melatonin rhythm. J Pineal Res 41:42–52
- 56. Kusmic C, Barsanti L, Passarelli V, Gualtieri P (1993) Photoreceptor morphology and visual pigment content in the pineal organ and in the retina of juvenile and adult trout, *Salmo irideus*. Micron 24:279–286
- 57. Ekström P, Meissl H (1997) The pineal organ of teleost fishes. Rev Fish Biol Fish 7:199–284
- Tabata M, Suzuki T, Niwa H (1985) Chromophores in the extraretinal photoreceptor (pineal organ) of teleosts. Brain Res 338:173–176

- Shichida Y, Imai H (1998) Visual pigment: G-protein-coupled receptor for light signals. Cell Mol Life Sci 54:1299–1315
- Golobokova EY, Govardovskii VI (2006) Late stages of visual pigment photolysis in situ: cones vs. rods. Vis Res 46:2287–2297
- Imai H, Terakita A, Tachibanaki S, Imamoto Y, Yoshizawa T, Shichida Y (1997) Photochemical and biochemical properties of chicken blue-sensitive cone visual pigment. Biochemistry 36:12773–12779
- Nordström K, Larsson TA, Larhammar D (2004) Extensive duplications of phototransduction genes in early vertebrate evolution correlate with block (chromosome) duplications. Genomics 83:852–872
- 63. Shen YC, Raymond PA (2004) Zebrafish cone-rod (*crx*) homeobox gene promotes retinogenesis. Dev Biol 269:237–251
- 64. Chen C-K, Woodruff ML, Chen FS, Shim H, Cilluffo MC, Fain GL (2010) Replacing the rod with the cone transducin subunit decreases sensitivity and accelerates response decay. J Physiol 588:3231–3241
- Kusmic C, Marchiafava PL, Strettoi E (1992) Photoresponses and light adaptation of pineal photoreceptors in the trout. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 248:149–157
- 66. Kuwayama S, Imai H, Hirano T, Terakita A, Shichida Y (2002) Conserved proline residue at position 189 in cone visual pigments as a determinant of molecular properties different from rhodopsins. Biochemistry 41:15245–15252
- 67. Goncalves JA, South K, Ahuja S, Zaitseva E, Opefi CA, Eilers M, Vogel R, Reeves PJ, Smith SO (2010) Highly conserved tyrosine stabilizes the active state of rhodopsin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:19861–19866
- Lamb TD, Collin SP, Pugh EN (2007) Evolution of the vertebrate eye: opsins, photoreceptors, retina and eye cup. Nat Rev Neurosci 8:960–976
- Davies WL, Collin SP, Hunt DM (2009) Adaptive gene loss reflects differences in the visual ecology of basal vertebrates. Mol Biol Evol 26:1803–1809