Adapting an Evidence-Supported Optimization Program for Mental Health and Sport Performance in Collegiate Athletes to Fit Youth from Ethnic/Racial Minority and Low-Income Neighborhoods: A National Institutes of Health Stage Model Feasibility Study

Brad Donohue¹, Davy Phrathep¹, Karolyne B. Stucki¹, Igor Kowal¹, Gavin Breslin², Maya Cohen¹, Semaj White³, Lavelle Jefferson³, Troy White³, Jonathan Irvin³, Gerald Reese³, Felix Henrique Paim Kessler⁴, Alexandre Kieslich da Silva⁵, Fernanda Gabriel Santos da Silva⁶, Melissa Fothergill⁷, Gary Robinson⁷, Hiroshi Allen⁸, Al Light⁹, & Daniel N. Allen¹

١

1

In press: The International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine

1 University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA
 2 Ulster University, UK
 3 HEROS Advocacy Group, USA
 4 Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
 5 University of Vale do Taquari, Brazil
 6 Bairro Universitário, Brazil
 7Newcastle University, UK
 8 Hiro Karate, USA
 9 Cirque du Soleil, USA

Abstract

The current study addresses the need to empirically develop effective mental health interventions for youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods. Using Stage Model evaluation methods supported by the National Institutes of Health in the US to address underutilization of mental healthcare among racial/ethnic minority youth, this feasibility study demonstrates empirical adaptation of an innovative sport-specific psychological intervention for use in youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods. An international group of professionals familiar with sport performance and mental health intervention serving the target population experientially examined the adapted intervention protocols in workshops and provided feedback. Survey results indicated the professionals found the intervention components were easy to administer and likely to be safe, enjoyable, engaging, efficacious for youth mental health and sport performance. The protocols were revised based on feedback from these professionals and the intervention was examined in a case trial involving an Asian American youth who evidenced Social Anxiety Disorder. Case study results indicated the intervention could be implemented with integrity, and severity of psychiatric symptoms and factors interfering with sport performance decreased after intervention implementation.

Keywords: mental health, ethnic/race, sport, treatment, healthcare disparity

Adapting an Evidence-Supported Optimization Program for Mental Health and Sport Performance in Collegiate Athletes to Fit Youth from Ethnic/Racial Minority and Low-Income Neighborhoods: A National Institutes of Health Stage Model Feasibility Study

There is a lack of quality mental health intervention available for youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods despite these youth having significantly greater need for such services than youth from ethnic/racial majority and higher-income neighborhoods (Kataoka et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2016). Addressing this healthcare disparity through intervention development is a is a global priority (Garland et al., 2005; Lyon et al., 2011; USDHHS, 2001). Since the mid-1800s organizations such as the Young Men's Christian Association (YMCA) and Boys & Girls Clubs of America have used sport participation to engage youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods into programming that is designed to assist physical and mental wellness (Keller et al., 2005). Using sport to engage young people in health promotion programs has gained traction in recent years as 53% of high school students in the United States are estimated to be involved in organized sports with sport participation in ethnic/racial minority groups disproportionately increasing (National Federation of State High School Association, 2016). When mental health disorders are recognized, cognitive behavior therapies have been indicated to be relatively successful in mainstream society (Butler et al., 2006). However, their adaptation to youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods has been relatively poor (Huey and Polo, 2008). Therefore, adapting evidence-based cognitive behavior therapies to incorporate sport participation may be an effective strategy to reduce healthcare disparities in youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods (Donohue et al., 2020; Vella, 2019).

Stage Development of an Optimization Program for use in Collegiate Athletes

The Optimum Performance Program in Sports (TOPPS) was formally adapted from Family Behavior Therapy (FBT), an evidence supported treatment for substance abuse (Azrin et al., 1994), to concurrently address sport performance and mental health in diverse collegiate athletes (Donohue et al., 2013). The adaptation followed the Stage Model of intervention development (Rounsaville, Carroll, & Onken, 2001), which is widely accepted among reviewers of research within the National Institutes of

Health in the United States (Onken et al., 2013). The model includes 6 stages of development: Stage 0 (basic research), Stage 1 (intervention generation/refinement/feasibility and pilot testing), Stage II (efficacy in research clinics), Stage III (efficacy in community clinics), Stage IV (effectiveness research in community settings), and Stage V (implementation and dissemination outcome research).

Thus far, the development of TOPPS in collegiate athletes has included stages 0, I and II. Stage 0 and IA included manual development; adapting Family Behavior Therapy intervention protocols to fit collegiate athletes based on the results of focus groups and iterative role-playing with experts to establish its reliability. Stage 1B was supported in an uncontrolled pre/post group evaluation of seven athletes who were diagnosed with substance use disorder (Donohue et al., 2015), with 29% of these athletes evidencing comorbid mental health disorders (i.e. usually mood or anxiety disorders). This stage of development also included several case trial examinations involving collegiate athletes with (Chow et al., 2015; Galante, Donohue & Gavrilova, 2019; Pitts et al., 2105) and without (Gavrilova, Donohue & Galante, 2017) at least one diagnosed mental health condition (most often substance use, mood or anxiety disorders). In these trials no adverse events were found (suggesting TOPPS content and delivery was safe), intervention integrity of providers and intervention satisfaction of participants was rated very high, and mental health, substance use, relationship quality, and factors that directly interfere with sport performance outcomes were improved from baseline up to five-months post-baseline. Standardized intervention prompting checklists, and training workshop protocols were developed and assessed to be implemented with greater than 80% protocol adherence. Methods of recruitment and engagement were also standardized and determined to be preliminarily efficacious (Donohue et al., 2016).

Stage II was supported in a randomized clinical trial comparing TOPPS and campus counseling services as usual (SAU; Donohue et al., 2018) in 74 athletes; 59 (80%) evidenced a current or past mental health disorder (most often substance use, mood, and anxiety disorder). Providers were clinical psychology doctoral candidates. The trial incorporated (a) psychometrically validated interviews to assist diagnostic assessment, (b) urinalysis and hair follicle testing to assess illicit drug use, (c) structured interviews to assess therapeutic expectations, (d) intervention integrity assessment, (e) assessors blind to

experimental assignment of participants, (f) intent to treat management of missing data, (g) assessment of postintervention consumer satisfaction/social validity, (h) and follow-up assessment up to 8-months post-randomization. The results of this trial demonstrated that participants in TOPPS significantly decreased psychiatric symptoms, factors interfering with performance in sport competition, training, and life outside of sport, and improved mood, overall happiness with significant others and contributions of significant others to sport performance more than participants in traditional campus counseling up to 8-months post-randomization (TOPPS was also more efficacious in decreasing substance use while in the program and consumer satisfaction and attendance). There were no between intervention group differences in the extent to which safe sexual behavior improved.

Promise for TOPPS in Youth from Ethnic/Racial Minority and Low-income Neighborhoods

As indicated above, the intervention components of TOPPS are based in an evidenced-supported intervention (FBT) and this intervention has demonstrated greater effectiveness in youth than adults in reducing substance use and behavioral misconduct and improving school/work attendance and family relationships. The protocol of TOPPS includes strategies that have explicitly been indicated to increase access to behavioral healthcare in ethnic/racial minority youth and youth from low-income neighborhoods (Alvarez et al., 2016). Family-based treatments have also been shown to do particularly well in ethnic/racial minority populations (Hogue and Liddle, 2009; Liddle et al., 2018), and scientists have stressed the importance of family involvement in athletes' mental health (Biggin, Burns, & Uphill, 2017; Moreland, Coxe, & Yang, 2018; Shanmugam, Jowett, & Meyer, 2013; Turrisi et al., 2007). Therefore, TOPPS may be a viable intervention for youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income backgrounds.

Stage 1A TOPPS Manual Development for Youth Athletes

The first step in developing TOPPS for youth athletes involved refinement of its intervention protocols. Consistent with Goldstein et al. (2012), Stage 1A research methods involved two of the developers of TOPPS (1st and 19th authors) revising the TOPPS intervention facilitator manual that was effectively used in collegiate athletes to be conceptually relevant and developmentally sensitive for youth athletes. The manual includes 13 intervention protocols. Each protocol checklist is used by providers to

guide intervention implementation and includes a rationale for intervention, implementation instructions, and worksheets required to be completed during session. The protocols are used to instruct facilitator initiatives during intervention sessions and to assess the adherence of providers to protocol (i.e., percentage of intervention steps performed as per provider and independent rater). Research assistants with competitive sport backgrounds (2nd, 3rd, 4th, 6th authors) assisted the developers in this first phase of intervention adaptation (see Table 1 for intervention protocol content and prescribed content changes). **Stage 1A Adaptation of TOPPS Manual for Youth based on Implementation Experience of Professionals**

The adapted protocols were formally examined in two demonstrations, lasting three and four days, respectively. The first demonstration included a Sport and Exercise Psychologists from Northern Ireland (5^h author) and England (16th author), and a professional coach from Cirque du Soleil in the United States (18th author). The second demonstration included 2 psychiatrists (12th, 13th) and a neurologist (15th author) from Brazil, a Sport and Exercise Psychologist from England (15th author), and an amateur and professional coach with experience as a former amateur world champion in karate from the United States (17th author). Consistent with Basch (1987), diverse backgrounds of professionals from different countries were sought to provide important practical, cultural, and developmental insights for recommended adaptations of TOPPS protocols for youth athletes, inherently offering perspectives that can identify problems, explanations and solutions that might not have been considered otherwise.

Each demonstration involved the TOPPS developer providing an overview of the adapted intervention for youth using PowerPoint presentation and theoretical discussion and sequentially modeling each intervention protocol in the role of provider while professionals, along with research assistants, enacted youth athletes and their significant others. Professionals subsequently attempted each intervention component in groups consisting of the other professionals and research assistants enacting the role of youth athletes and their significant others. Step by step protocol checklists were used to maintain integrity and improve feedback about the intervention process (Sterling-Turner, Watson, Wildmon, Watkins, & Little, 2001). Professionals were encouraged to suggest modifications at any time

and noted by a scribe. The protocol checklists were modified based on the notes after initial discussion, culminating in group consensus. The developer initiated a brainstorming exercise after all intervention protocols were formally reviewed to generate any additional modification suggestions suitable for youth athletes.

In addition to qualitative review as described above, and consistent with Bowen et al. (2009), questionnaires were completed by the professionals to formally assess the extent to which they agreed or disagreed the refined youth athlete version of TOPPS would be engaging, effective for enhancing sport performance and mental health, enjoyable to implement, safe to implement, and easy to administer. Ratings were completed using a Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). As indicated in Table 2, these results were positive, with mean scores ranging from 6.11 to 6.97 agreement (between very much and extremely agree). Professionals extremely agreed their feedback was considered during the demonstrations (M = 6.73, SD = 0.56). Scores were noticeably lower in regards to their confidence to use (M = 5.4, SD = 0.55) and implement (M = 5.4, SD = 0.55) the protocols, and financial protocols (Dream Job, Job Getting Skills Training, Financial Management) were relatively low as compared with other intervention protocols in regards to expectations for sport performance efficacy (M =5.6 to 5.8). Collectively, these results suggest the interventions were appropriate for youth athletes, and that more intensive training may be necessary to assure protocol use in real-world settings. Although financial interventions were rated as being relatively low in expected sport performance efficacy, it is important to consider youth from low-income neighborhoods are likely to benefit from financial skill development, and these interventions may have indirect benefits on sport performance if financial concerns are successfully managed (O'Neill, Calder, & Allen, 2015; Pavlidis & Gargalianos, 2015). It is also important to indicate the standard deviations were relatively low across ratings, suggesting professionals reliably agreed with one another.

Stage 1A Adaptation of TOPPS Manual for Youth based on Implementation Experience of Professionals with Youth from Ethnic/Racial Minority and Low-income Neighborhoods

To facilitate determination of community acceptability and demand (Bowen et al., 2009), 12 African American community providers in the United States employed in a mental health agency (H.E.R.O.S Advocacy Group, Las Vegas) were provided an overview of the TOPPS protocols for youth by one of the developers (1st author) using Microsoft PowerPoint presentation and theoretical discussion. After the presentation all providers reported that TOPPS appeared to be appropriate for youth in ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods, and the attendees agreed to sample the protocols with African American youth who participated in sports from low-income neighborhoods. Two research assistants then trained four of these professionals in performance orientation, dynamic goals and rewards, performance planning, appreciation exchange, goal inspiration, financial management and performance timeline interventions using the youth adapted protocol checklists, modeling and behavioral rehearsal. The professionals subsequently implemented these intervention protocols with four African American youth in their facility (located in a low-income neighborhood). A research assistant assessed the professionals' intervention integrity by dividing the number of intervention steps performed during implementation by the total number of intervention steps possible and multiplying this dividend by 100. The percentage of items performed for each intervention ranged from 83 to 100%, demonstrating providers could implement TOPPS with integrity. No adverse events were reported, indicating the intervention could be implemented safely.

Feedback was obtained from three of the four professionals after implementation. The research team adapted the protocols until consensus with providers was obtained. At the conclusion of intervention implementation, providers completed the same evaluation that was administered after the previous demonstration with the other professionals. As can be seen in Table 3, the intervention protocols were rated positively and consistently with the previous group of professionals; with mean scores for all intervention protocols between 5.52 and 6.94 in agreement (agree to extremely agree). Revisions to protocol were performed consistent with both verbally expressed and qualitative statements.

Stage 1B, Case Trial with an Asian American Youth Evidencing a Mental Health Condition

To assess safety, implementation adherence and initial efficacy of the youth protocol a single case trial was performed (Bowen et al., 2009). This trial involved 4-months of TOPPS with an Asian American 16-year-old athlete and her parents (pre-, post-, 1-month follow-up case trial design; 12 intervention sessions each scheduled to last 60 minutes).

Baseline Assessment

The participant's primary concern was "anxiety in social situations and extreme shyness," as reported by the participant's parent. Baseline consisted of a semi-structured clinical interview (Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia; Orvaschel et al., 1982) that was adapted for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Health Disorders 5th edition (Kaufman et al., 2016), and a battery of validated measures of psychiatric symptomology, factors interfering with sport performance, youth internalizing and externalizing behavior disorders, mood, and suicidal ideation (see left column of Table 4 for outcome measures).

Throughout the baseline interview the participant was extremely polite, reserved, and evidenced diminished eye contact with the assessor. The participant reported that she had very few friends, and her mother reported that this was due to their family "moving a lot" to accommodate her father's participation in the military. Indeed, her mother reported that she had restricted opportunities to establish intimate friendships with other children and was often bullied by classmates. The participant reported feelings of isolation, and she frequently ruminated about her faults in relating with other teenagers. Her father was frequently absent from the home, and within a month before presenting to the program her older sister (with whom she was close) left for college, and she was experiencing difficulties adjusting to new classmates in her transition to high school.

Informal role-plays and observations suggested the participant evidenced non-optimal social skills, particularly regarding intimacy in relationships. She felt incompetent in her social skills, which was particularly anxiety-provoking because she wanted to be accepted and do well for herself and others. She reported feelings associated with insecurity and anxiety due to not meeting the high demands of her very successful family. Her anxiety was negatively reinforced in several ways, including trips to her bedroom

and bathroom when anxious to cope with distressful thoughts and hyperventilation, and sometimes being comforted by her mother after leaving school prematurely due to difficult social situations (Along these lines, attempting to understand and prevent errors during social interactions inherently desensitized her from feeling anxious. Her family also had a tendency of increasing their support when she cried.

Her responses to the K-SADS were consistent with a diagnosis of Social Anxiety Disorder. As seen in Table 4, several SCL90-R scores (a measure of psychiatric symptomology) were in the clinically significant range, including Psychoticism, Obsessive-Compulsive, Paranoid Ideation, Interpersonal Sensitivity, and Anxiety. Difficulties with flexible thinking and thought management were substantiated in her responses to the Youth Self Report, including elevations in Anxious/Depressed, Thought Problems, and Anxiety Problems, and Obsessive-Compulsive Problems. Her mood (as per BDI-II) was assessed to be in the Mild range of depressive symptomology, and she demonstrated subclinical suicidality (SPS). She reported worrisome thoughts, feeling she needed to be perfect, guilt, fear, nervousness, and worthlessness. She often spent time feeling the expectations of her parents were not being met. Her responses to the remaining subscales were assessed to be in the non-clinical range. Some of her assessed positive character traits included standing up for the rights of others, fairness, and good sense of humor. She was significantly engaged in Taekwondo as a black belt and club sport soccer.

Case Conceptualization & Meeting Approach

Performance meetings were based on a plan that was focused on teaching her both positive assertion (effectively requesting things that are desired) and negative assertion (responding competently in difficult or upsetting situations) through modeling and behavioral rehearsal, and assignments to gradually expose herself to increasingly anxiety-provoking social situations, within and outside of sports. Intervention protocols were essentially the same across scenarios in sport (e.g., coach on playing field) and general life (e.g., mother at home, classmate at school), both focusing on performance optimization within cultural context. Prior to treatment her family and coach were encouraged to provide her tangible rewards and descriptive praise when she exposed herself to novel social situations and practiced objective thinking. The plan involved teaching her to recognize early triggers to ruminating thoughts about negative

experiences and manage dysfunctional thoughts with problem solving and cognitive restructuring exercises (i.e., Self-Control). Thus, it was planned to refocus her contingencies of reinforcement on skill development and rumination about positive thoughts and behaviors that were performed competently.

Meetings primarily focused on performance optimization in sports, mental health, relationships, doing well for others, and healthy intake (see table 5 for intervention overview). Across interventions, the participant engaged in social skills training to assist behavioral and cognitive preparation in upcoming social situations. Initial assignments were focused in doing pleasant social activities. During these situations the participant practiced positive and objective thinking patterns and reinforcing her efforts with self-praise. Her family (mother, father, sister, brother) provided encouragement and reinforcement for any exposure-based efforts. As she developed confidence during social activities her exposure-based goals shifted to more difficult social activities (e.g., relevant to her goal to optimize sport performance she practiced giving directives while yelling during games).

Post- and Follow-up Assessments

Intervention integrity checks completed by the provider indicated that she provided the interventions with a high degree of fidelity (> 90% protocol adherence for all interventions). The participant's post-intervention assessments (immediately post-intervention, 1-month follow-up) indicated that she no longer evidenced DSM-V diagnostic criteria for Social Anxiety Disorder, as per the K-SADS, outcome measures were all in the non-clinical range (see Table 4), and her mean score for post-intervention helpfulness was 6.7 (1 = extremely not helpful, 7 = extremely helpful). Therefore, the results of this case trial preliminarily support the safety and efficacy of TOPPS in an Asian American youth who presented with Social Anxiety Disorder.

Future Directions

The aforementioned Stage 0 and I studies suggest TOPPS may be a promising intervention for optimizing mental health and sport performance for youth from ethnic/racial minority and low-income neighborhoods. Stage II research will involve assessment of stakeholders' (i.e., government officials, school administrators, parents, teachers) interest in supporting a controlled clinical evaluation of TOPPS

in youth from predominately ethnic racial/minority and low-income neighborhoods (Beidas, Koerner, Weingardt, & Kendall, 2011). Preliminary informal discussions with school administrators and granting institutions suggest a controlled clinical trial comparing this experimental condition to counseling services as usual is particularly warranted given the importance of recently publicized civic inequalities in the United States and other nations.

References

- Alvarez, M. A. K., Ishikawa, R. Z., DiMarzio, K. & McPeck, S. (2016). Removing obstacles to eliminating racial and ethnic disparities. *Behavioral Health Care Health Affairs*, 35 (6), 991-999. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2016.0029
- Azrin, N. H., McMahon, P. T., Donohue, B., Besalel, V. A., Lapinski, K. J., Kogan, E. S., Acierno, R. E.
 & Galloway, E. (1994). Behaviour therapy for drug abuse: A controlled treatment outcome study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *32*(8), 857-866.
- Basch, C. E. (1987). Focus group interviews: An underutilized research technique for improving theory and practice in health education. *Health Education Quarterly*, 154, 411–448.
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. (1996). Beck Depression Inventory II manual. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Beidas, R. S., Koerner, K., Weingardt, K. R., & Kendall, P. C. (2011). Training research: Practical recommendations for maximum impact. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 38(4), 223–237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-011-0338-z
- Biggin, I. J. R., Burns, J. H., & Uphill, M. (2017). An investigation of athletes' and coaches' perceptions of mental ill-health in elite athletes. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 11(2), 126– 147. https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.2016-0017
- Bowen, D. J., Kreuter, M., Spring, B., Cofta-Woerpel, L., Linnan, L., Weiner, D., ... Fernandez, M. (2009). How we design feasibility studies. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 36(5), 452– 457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.02.002
- Butler, A., Chapman, J., Forman, E., & Beck, A. (2006). The empirical status of cognitive-behavioral therapy: A review of meta-analyses. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26(1), 17-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2005.07.003
- Chow, G., Donohue, B., Pitts, M., Schubert, K., Loughran, T., Gavrilova, Y. & Diaz, E. (2015). Utilising the Optimum Performance Program in Sports (TOPPS) to enhance relationships, mental strength

- and stability, and avoidance of unsafe sexual activity and substance misuse: Results of single case-controlled trial. *Clinical Case Studies, 14*, 191- 209.
- Cull, J. G., & Gill, W. S. (1982). Suicide Probability Scale. *PsycTESTS Dataset*. https://doi.org/10.1037/t01198-000
- Derogatis, L. R., Rickels, K., & Rock, A. (1976). The SCL–90 and the MMPI: A step in the validation of a new self–report scale. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *128*, 280–289.
- Donohue, B. Chow, G., Pitts, M., Loughran, T., Schubert, K., Gavrilova, Y. & Allen, D. N. (2015). Piloting a family-supported approach to concurrently optimise mental health and sport performance in athletes. *Clinical Case Studies*, 14, 299-323.
- Donohue, B., Gavrilova, Y., Galante, M., Gavrilova, E., Loughrana, T., Scott, J., Chow, G., Plant, C. & Allen, D. A. (2018). Controlled evaluation of an optimisation approach to mental health and sport performance. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 12, 234-267.
- Donohue, B., Gavrilova, E., Strong, M., & Allen, D. (2020). A sport-specific optimization approach to mental wellness for youth in low-income neighborhoods. *European Physical Education Review*, 26, 695-712. https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X20905324
- Donohue, B., O'Dowd, A., Plant, C. P., Phillips, C., Loughran, T. A. & Gavrilova, Y. (2016).
 Controlled evaluation of a method to assist recruitment of participants into treatment outcome research and engage student athletes into substance abuse intervention. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, *10*, 272-288.
- Donohue, B., Pitts, M., Gavrilova, Y., Ayarza, A., & Cintron, K. I. (2013). A culturally sensitive approach to treating substance abuse in athletes using evidence-supported methods. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 7(2), 98–119.
- Donohue, B., Strada, M.J., Rosales, R., Taylor-Caldwell, A., Hise, D., Ahman, S., & Laino, R. (2006).
 The semistructured interview for consideration of ethnic culture in therapy scale: Initial psychometric and outcome support. *Behavior Modification*, *30*(6), 867–891.
 https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445505276096

- Donohue, B., Silver, N. C., Dickens, Y., Covassin, T. & Lancer, K. (2007). Development and psychometric evaluation of the Sport Interference Checklist. *Behaviour Modification*, 31, 937-957.
- Galante, M., Donohue, B., & Gavrilova, Y. (2019). The optimum performance programme in sports: A case of bulimia nervosa in a lean sport athlete. In G. Breslin & G. Leavy (Eds.), *Mental Health and Well-being Interventions in Sport: Research, Theory, and Practice* (pp. 9-30). London, UK. Routledge.
- Garland, A. F., Lau, A. S., Yeh, M., Mccabe, K. M., Hough, R. L., & Landsverk, J. A. (2005). Racial and Ethnic Differences in Utilization of Mental Health Services Among High-Risk Youths. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 162(7), 1336–1343. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.162.7.1336
- Gavrilova, Y., Donohue, B. & Galante, M. (2017). Mental health and sport performance programming in athletes who present without pathology: A case examination supporting optimisation. *Clinical Case Studies*, 16(3), 234-253.
- Goldstein, N. E. S., Kemp, K. A., Leff, S. S., & Lochman, J. E. (2012). Guidelines for adapting manualized interventions for new target populations: A step-wise approach using anger management as a model. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 19(4), 385–401. https://doi.org/10.1111/cpsp.12011
- Hogue, A., & Liddle, H. A. (2009). Family-based treatment for adolescent substance abuse: controlled trials and new horizons in services research. *Journal of Family Therapy*, 31(2), 126–154. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6427.2009.00459.x
- Huey, S. J., & Polo, A. J. (2008). Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for ethnic minority youth. Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology, 37(1), 262-301. https://doi.org/10.1080/15374410701820174
- Kataoka, S. H., Zhang, L., & Wells, K. B. (2002). Unmet need for mental health care among U.S. children: Variation by ethnicity and insurance status. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 159(9), 1548–1555. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.159.9.1548.

- Kaufman, J., Birmaher, B., Axelson, D., Perepletchikova, F., Brent, D., & Ryan, N. (2016). The Kiddie
 Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children (K-SADS-PL
 DSM-5). New Haven, CT: Advanced care for intervention and services research (ACISR) for
 early onset mood and anxiety disorders, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, Child and
 Adolescent Research and Education (CARE) Program, Yale University.
- Keller, T. E., Bost, N. S., Lock, E. D., & Marcenko, M. O. (2005). Factors associated with participation of children with mental health problems in structured youth development programs. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 13(3), 141-151. https://doi.org/10.1177/10634266050130030201
- Liang, J., Matheson, B. E., & Douglas, J. M. (2015). Mental health diagnostic Considerations in racial/ethnic minority youth. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 25(6), 1926–1940. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-015-0351-z
- Liddle, H. A., Dakof, G. A., Rowe, C. L., Henderson, C., Greenbaum, P., Wang, W., & Alberga, L. (2018). Multidimensional Family Therapy as a community-based alternative to residential treatment for adolescents with substance use and co-occurring mental health disorders. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 90, 47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2018.04.011
- Lyon, A. R., Frazier, S. L., Mehta, T., Atkins, M. S., & Weisbach, J. (2011). Easier Said than Done: Intervention Sustainability in an Urban After-School Program. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 38(6), 504–517. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-011-0339-y
- Moreland, J. J., Coxe, K. A., & Yang, J. (2018). Collegiate athletes mental health services utilization: A systematic review of conceptualizations, operationalizations, facilitators, and barriers. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 7(1), 58–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2017.04.009
- National Federation of State High School Associations (2016) High School Sports Participation Increases for 27th Consecutive Year. Available at: https://www.nfhs.org/articles/high-school-sportsparticipation-increases-for-27th-consecutive-year

- O'Neill, M., Calder, A., & Allen, B. (2015). Australian parents perceptions of the issues faced by their adolescent high performance sports children in balancing school and sport. *Journal of Sports Pedagogy and Physical Education*, 6(3), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.18848/2381-7100/cgp/v06i03/59425
- Onken, L. S., Carroll, K. M., Shoham, V., Cuthbert, B. N., & Riddle, M. (2013). Reenvisioning clinical science. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 2(1), 22–34. https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702613497932
- Orvaschel, H., Puig-Antich, J., Chambers, W., Tabrizi, M. A., & Johnson, R. (1982). Retrospective assessment of prepubertal Major Depression with the Kiddie-SADS-E. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 21(4), 392–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-7138(09)60944-4
- Pavlidis, G., & Gargalianos, D. (2014). High performance athletes' education: value, challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 14(2), 293 - 300. https://doi.org/10.7752/jpes.2014.02044
- Pitts, M., Donohue, B., Schubert, Chow, G., Lougrhan, T. & Gavrilova, Y. (2015). A systematic case examination of The Optimum Performance Programme in Sports (TOPPS) in a combative sport. *Clinical Case Studies*, 14, 178-190.
- Rounsaville, B. J., Carroll, K. M., & Onken, L. S. (2001). A stage model of behavioral therapies research: Getting started and moving on from stage I. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8(2), 133–142. https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy/8.2.133
- Shanmugam, V., Jowett, S., & Meyer, C. (2013). Interpersonal difficulties as a risk factor for athletes eating psychopathology. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 24(2), 469–476. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12109
- Sterling-Turner, H. E., Watson, T. S., Wildmon, M., Watkins, C., & Little, E. (2001). Investigating the relationship between training type and treatment integrity. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 16(1), 56–67. https://doi.org/10.1521/scpq.16.1.56.19157
- Turrisi, R., Mastroleo, N. R., Mallett, K. A., Larimer, M. E., & Kilmer, J. R. (2007). Examination of the mediational influences of peer norms, environmental influences, and parent communications on

heavy drinking in athletes and nonathletes. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 21(4), 453–461. https://doi.org/10.1037/0893-164X.21.4.453

U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service. (2001). Mental health: culture, race, and ethnicity: a supplement to mental health: a report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, MD.
 Vella, S. A. (2019). Mental Health and Organized Youth Sport. Kinesiology Review, 8(3), 229–236.

https://doi.org/10.1123/kr.2019-0025

Table 1

Intervention Component Changes by Developer Prior to Experiential Demonstrations with Professionals

Prescribed Content Changes
None.
Significant others (particularly adults) have greater say in intervention selection and decision making and more modeling of desired behavior.
Communication guidelines adapted to facilitate greater deference to adults, explanation of optimization model simplified, less emphasis on specific expectations and more emphasis on general ambitions.
Greater encouragement from adult significant others to provide cultural input about earlier generations, and greater self-disclosure from provider.
Appendix added, including generic examples of goals likely to be acceptable to parents and youth (e.g., goal to "avoid drug use" changed to "maintaining optimum intake")
None
Only positive consequences reviewed
Forms adjusted to include > significant other input

Dream Job: Athletes assisted in originating "dream Focus more on developing aspects of dream job and job," potential goals & resources generated to assist prompting significant others to generate resources ambitions, positive aspects of dream job reviewed. to assist youth in career development. Job-getting skills training: Athletes taught to solicit More rationale specific to importance of soliciting job interviews utilizing effective strategies and to job interviews, greater modeling and behavioral enhance job interviewing and application skills. rehearsal of potential conversations regarding job solicitation calls and interviews. Financial management: Athletes taught to Adult significant others relied upon more heavily to determine their income and expenses using a clarify financial facts and provide meaningful financial worksheet, & taught to increase income realistic solutions. and decrease expenses immediately and in future. Environmental control: Athletes brainstorm and No changes in content although some prompts are record people, places, activities and emotions developmentally different in worksheets used to compatible and incompatible with goal attainment. generate scenarios (e.g., bars not applicable). Future meetings review optimum actions and

> There is greater emphasis on reviewing positive consequences for goal accomplishment and eliminating negative consequences associated with undesired actions.

> > **Commented** [01]: Eliminate spacking

Meeting conclusion: At the end of each meeting athletes review beneficial aspects of skills practiced in meeting, methods of assuring completion of practice assignments, and how and who should be involved in next meeting.

thoughts that occurred or could have occurred. Self-control: Athletes taught to identify initial

thoughts that eventually lead to undesired actions

facilitate goal accomplishment, including reviewing

negative consequences associated with performance of undesired actions, cue-controlled relaxation and diaphragmatic breathing, generation of goal-

and engage in a series of alternative actions that

oriented actions.

None.

Table 2

Professional Evaluations of TOPPS Protocol Post-Demonstrations (N = 5)

Intervention Component	interv compo likely enjoya imple with	nis ention onent is v to be able to ement youth letes	interv compo like engage	nis ention onent is ly to e youth etes	interv compo likely effecti youth	his ention onent is v to be ve with athlete l health	interv compo likely effectiv youth sp	nis ention onent is to be ve with athlete ort mance	interv compo likely safe	his ention onent is v to be with athletes	interv compo likely eas admin with	nis ention onent is to be sily istered youth etes	feedba taker consid in impro of interv	el my ck was n into eration the vement this ention ponent	for Interv	ge Total each vention ponent
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	M	SD	М	SD
Appreciation Exchange	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.55	6.40	0.45	6.80	0.45	6.60	0.55	6.60	0.55	6.71	0.20
Dream Job Development	6.20	0.84	6.60	1.22	6.00	1.30	5.80	0.45	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.45	6.40	0.89	6.37	0.39
Dynamic Goals and Rewards	6.80	0.45	6.40	0.89	6.40	0.89	6.60	0.89	6.60	0.89	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.45	6.66	0.22
Environmental Control	6.60	0.55	6.80	0.55	6.60	0.45	6.80	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.16
Financial Management	5.80	0.84	5.80	0.84	6.20	1.14	5.60	0.89	6.40	0.89	6.80	0.45	6.20	0.84	6.11	0.41
Goal Inspiration	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.55	6.40	0.55	6.60	0.89	6.60	0.89	6.80	0.45	6.40	0.89	6.63	0.18
Job Getting Skills	6.00	1.00	6.00	0.45	6.80	1.95	5.60	0.89	6.60	0.89	6.80	0.45	6.60	0.89	6.34	0.47
Performance Planning	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.45	6.80	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.97	0.08
Performance Timeline	6.80	0.45	7.00	0.55	6.60	0.45	6.80	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.45	6.86	0.15
Performance Orientation	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.89	0.11
Positive Request	6.40	0.89	6.40	0.55	6.40	0.55	6.40	0.89	6.40	0.89	6.40	0.89	6.80	0.45	6.46	0.15
Self-Control	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.45	7.00	0.00	6.86	0.10
Last Meeting Generalization	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.45	6.91	0.11
Performance Progress Review	6.60	0.55	6.80	0.45	6.80	0.55	6.60	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.45	7.00	0.00	6.80	0.16

Table 3 Results of Professionals' Evaluations of TOPPS Protocol Post-Implementation with Youth in

 Community Setting (N = 3)

This	This	This	This	This	This	I feel my	Average	
intervention	intervention	intervention	intervention	intervention	intervention	feedback was	Total for	
component	component	component	component	component	component	taken into	each	Qualitative Responses
is likely to	consideration	intervention						
be enjoyable	engage	be effective	be effective	be safe with	be easily	in the	component	

youth with youth with youth youth administered improvement to implement athletes athlete athletes athlete sport with youth of this with youth mental performance athletes intervention athletes health component SD SD М SDМ SDМ SDМ SDМ М SDМ SDМ -Protocol was efficient, very clear cut, and precise -Performance professionals did a good job at teaching. --They elaborated, which made it easier to understand -I enjoy learning the new 6.33 1.15 6.33 1.15 7.00 0.00 6.67 0.58 7.00 0.00 5.67 2.31 7.00 0.00 6.57 0.50 ways for our youth and the performance professionals to push themselves -Protocol was perfect. The kids were heavily involved." -The training protocol flows just as smooth. The detail plus the simplicity is what I like most about it. -The style of the teachings works best for me because I don't feel like I'm just being talked to. I get to role play it and interact to get further understanding of what is 6.00 5.67 2.31 6.33 1.15 6.00 1.00 6.67 0.58 5.67 2.31 6.33 0.58 6.11 0.40 1.00 needed from me as a performance professional. -The list was very easy to go over and implement with the kids. -The kids responded well to the protocol. The kids were well engaged and active. -The protocol was selfexplanatory. 0.00 0.50 -Everything was great, the 6.00 1.00 5.67 2.31 7.00 0.00 6.67 0.58 6.67 0.58 6.33 0.58 7.00 6.48 performance professional explained it thoroughly. 5.67 1.15 5.67 1.52 6.67 0.58 7.00 0.00 7.00 0.00 6.67 0.58 7.00 0.00 6.53 0.60 N/A -The packet was very simple to understand. The 0.00 7.00 0.007.00 0.007.00 0.007.00 0.007.00 7.00 0.00 7.00 0.00 7.00 0.00instructor did well to explain. -Everything was great.

	MENTAL HEALTH IN YOUTH ATHLETES														23	
																 -Very easy and simple. -Possibly need more boxes for more names. -The kids responded very well, just needed a little extra explaining for the younger kids.
6.33	0.58	6.67	0.58	6.33	0.58	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.76	0.32	N/A
4.33	1.15	6.00	1.00	5.33	0.58	5.00	1.73	6.33	1.15	4.67	1.53	7.00	0.00	5.52	0.96	-The protocol flowed great. -It is very simple and understandable. -May be difficult for younger youth to comprehend.
6.67	0.58	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.95	0.12	N/A
6.67	0.58	6.67	0.58	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.67	0.58	7.00	0.00	6.86	0.18	N/A
6.67	0.58	7.00	0.00	6.67	0.58	6.67	0.58	7.00	0.00	6.67	0.58	7.00	0.00	6.81	0.18	N/A
6.67	0.58	6.67	0.58	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	6.91	0.16	N/A

Note: M = Mean. SD = Standard Deviation.

Table 4

Pre, Post, and 1-Month Follow-up Results for Outcome Measures in Case Trial

Variable	Pre-Intervention	Post- Intervention	1 month follow-up
Symptoms Check-List-90-Rev. (Derogatis, Rickels, & Rock, 1976).			
Psychoticism	74	44	44
Obsessive-Compulsive	72 (Clinical range)	52	40
Paranoid Ideation	72	49	49
Interpersonal Sensitivity	72 (Clinical range)	47	53
Anxiety	72 (Clinical range)	37	44
Phobic Anxiety	68	44	54
Depression	64	34	42
Hostility	63	40	40
Somatization	45	45	35
Global Severity Index	69	40	43
Beck Depress. Inv. II (Beck et al. 1996)			
Total	19 (mild)	1 (minimal)	1 (minimal)
Suicide Probability Scale (SPS; Cull & Gill, 1982)			
Probability Score	11 (Subclinical range)	10 (Subclinical range)	11 (Subclinical range)
Youth Self Report (Achenbach, 1991)			
Activities	34 (Borderline clinical range)	49	65
Social	48	50	54

Total Competence38 (Borderline clinical range)5263Anxious/Depressed84 (Clinical range)5051Withdrawn/Depressed66 (Borderline clinical range)5555Somatic Complaints515050Social Problems585050Thought Problems69 (Borderline clinical range)5152Attention Problems605453Rule-Breaking Behavior505050Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems544240Total Problems544242Affective Problems66 (Borderline range)5050Anxiety Problems515050Anxiety Problems515050Anxiety Problems515050Oppositional Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Definat Problems605251Opsessive-Compulsive Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems68 (Borderline range)5050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems68 (Borderline range)5050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems68 (Borderline range)5050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems68 (Borderline range)5050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems68 (Borderline range)50 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>					
range)Withdrawn/Depressed66 (Borderline clinical range)5555Somatic Complaints515050Social Problems585050Thought Problems69 (Borderline clinical range)5152Attention Problems605453Rule-Breaking Behavior505050Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems51505050Anxiety Problems51505050Oppositional Defiant Problems60525151Conduct Problems50505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline range)505050	Te	otal Competence		52	63
Somatic Complaints515050Social Problems585050Thought Problems69 (Borderline clinical range)5152Attention Problems605453Rule-Breaking Behavior505050Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems515050Anxiety Problems515050Oppositional Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline range)5050Sot-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline range)5050	А	nxious/Depressed		50	51
Social Problems585050Thought Problems69 (Borderline clinical range)5152Attention Problems605453Rule-Breaking Behavior505050Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Oppositional Defiant Problems605250Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline range)5050	W	/ithdrawn/Depressed		55	55
Thought Problems69 (Borderline clinical range)5152Attention Problems605453Rule-Breaking Behavior505050Aggressive Behavior605050Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Oppositional Defiant Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Prost-traumatic Stress Problems88 (Borderline range)5050	So	omatic Complaints	51	50	50
Attention Problems605453Rule-Breaking Behavior505050Aggressive Behavior605050Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Oppositional Defiant Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050Sot-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline range)5050	So	ocial Problems	58	50	50
Rule-Breaking Behavior505050Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Problems605251Oppositional Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems505050Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	T	hought Problems		51	52
Aggressive Behavior605050Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Oppositional Defiant Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	А	ttention Problems	60	54	53
Internalizing Problems606161Internalizing Problems69 (Clinical range)4547Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5052Somatic Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	R	ule-Breaking Behavior	50	50	50
Externalizing Problems544240Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	А	ggressive Behavior	60	50	50
Total Problems65 (Clinical range)4242Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	In	ternalizing Problems		45	47
range)Affective Problems66 (Borderline clinical range)5050Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	E	xternalizing Problems	54	42	40
Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical range)Anxiety Problems73 (Clinical)5052Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050		Total Problems		42	42
Somatic Problems515050Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	А	ffective Problems		50	50
Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems545251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	А	nxiety Problems	73 (Clinical)	50	52
Problems605251Oppositional Defiant Problems605251Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	Se	omatic Problems	51	50	50
Conduct Problems505050Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050			54	52	51
Obsessive-Compulsive Problems80 (Clinical range)5258Post-traumatic Stress Problems68 (Borderline clinical range)5050	0	ppositional Defiant Problems	60	52	51
range) Post-traumatic Stress Problems 68 (Borderline 50 50 clinical range)	С	onduct Problems	50	50	50
clinical range)	0	bsessive-Compulsive Problems		52	58
Positive Qualities536351	Р	ost-traumatic Stress Problems		50	50
	Р	ositive Qualities	53	63	51

Table 5

Intervention Structure and Participant/Family Reactions During Case Trial.

Intervention structure (Meeting number; persons involved)	Participant/family reaction to intervention components
Performance Orientation (meeting 1, participant, mother)	Participant reserved but excited, enjoyed cultural review (many things liked about her ethnic culture, disagreed her ethnic culture was a big part of her life, she had experienced offensive remarks relative to her ethnic culture but did not want to address her culture in programming). Participant & parent reported appreciation w/ review.
Dynamic Goals and Rewards (meetings 1-12. participant, mother, father, brother)	Interested in learning assessment results. Participant enjoyed reviewing her goal accomplishments and receiving rewards from parents (e.g., money, pins, time together w/ mother, visits from friends, trips hiking, praise).
Performance Planning (meeting 2, participant, mother)	Enthusiastically ranked each intervention for helpfulness to prioritize interventions. Interventions ranked in following order, beginning with most desired: Self- Control, Reciprocity Awareness, Environmental Control, Positive Request, Goal Inspiration, Performance Timeline, Job-Getting Skills Training, Financial Management, Dream Job Development, Cultural Enlightenment. Components subsequently implemented successively and cumulatively based on this order.
Reciprocity Awareness (meetings 2-3, 10, participant, parents)	Participant and mother teary eyed during exchange of things loved about one another, father told participant he loved her humor and wit and appreciated mother's dedication to family.
Self-Control (meetings 3-4, participant, mother)	Participant enjoyed learning to identify early triggers to anxiety and ruminating thoughts, diaphragmatic breathing to achieve calmness, solution generation, and imagery associated with doing selected solutions. She reported solution generation was most important skill. Mother assisted sophisticated solutions.
Environmental Control (meetings 4-5, participant, mother, brother	Participant adept in reviewing stimuli that increased anxiety and its management. Goal consistent activities stressed participation with her mother and friends and martial arts, whereas goal inconsistent activities included shopping, eating unhealthy, and negative thinking.
Positive Request (meetings 5- 6; participant & mother)	Requests focused on her relationships (e.g. mother to listen more often, father to increase allowance), and she often smiled and reported the role-plays were fun.
Goal Inspiration (meetings 6- 7, participant, mother, brother)	Participant interested in being praiseworthy of self in training and life outside of sport and she and her mother enjoyed brainstorming possible positive consequences of goal accomplishment (e.g. feeling better about self, decreased stress, better sleep, assertiveness).

Performance Timeline (meetings 7-8, participant, mother)	Participant chose to optimize her thoughts/perceptions and training strategies immediately before her 2 nd degree black-belt training. She used Self-Control component to establish neutral and positive thoughts to accomplish this skill during trials in office and at home.
Job-Getting Skills Training (meeting 8-9, participant, mother)	Participant enjoyed role-playing calls to potential employers and soliciting job interviews. Mother helped brainstorm potential employers and create resume.
Dream Job Development (meetings 9-10, participant, parents)	Desirable aspects of participant's dream job included love for art, theatre, humor, need to be fun, higher pay than teacher, travel, vacation days, 40 hours a week, personal office, higher education requirement, retirement at age 60, being her own boss. Mother provided supportive comments. Ultimately decided on art therapy, which was inspired by her participation in TOPPS.
Financial Management (meeting 11, participant, parents)	Family liked standardized prompts to assist decreasing expenses and increasing income (e.g. extra chores, strategic purchases, improvements in time management). Participant appreciated budgeting for allowance and reviewing long-term financial goals. Family created financial bonuses for increased work around house.
Last Meeting: Intervention Generalization (meeting 12, participant, parents)	Progress in relationships, sport performance, mental health, and avoidance of substances reviewed, including openness to new social experiences, communication skills, self-confidence/compassion.