

Adaptive Hypertext and Hypermedia Clients of the User Modeling System BGP-MS¹

Alfred Kobsa, Andreas Nill, Josef Fink
GMD – German National Research Center for Information Technology
Institute for Applied Information Technology (FIT)
Schloss Birlinghoven
D-53754 St. Augustin, Germany
+49 2241 14-2315 -2859 -2729
{Alfred.Kobsa,Andreas.Nill,Josef.Fink}@gmd.de

Abstract

This paper first describes the hypertext system KN-AHS which adapts to users' presumed domain knowledge, and discusses the support that the user modeling shell system BGP-MS can provide for this adaptation. The aim of constructing KN-AHS was to demonstrate the feasibility of user modeling with BGP-MS in a "normal" hardware and software environment that is frequently found in the workplace. Basic hypertext concepts will be introduced and reasons given for why hypertext should adapt to the current user (especially to his/her state of knowledge). A brief overview of those representation and inference components of BGP-MS that are used by KN-AHS will be provided, followed by a description of its adaptive user interface. The interaction between the adaptive hypertext system and the user modeling system will be investigated in detail based on a possible dialog with a user. The inter-process communication between KN-AHS and BGP-MS will then be described and related work discussed.

A final section discusses recent research that extends the approach of KN-AHS to a hypermedia information system in a the World Wide Web which additionally adapts its presentation to users' interests, preferences and abilities (including possible handicaps).

¹ This is a revised and considerably updated version of [Kobsa et al. 1994].

1. Hypertext and adaptive hypertext

1.1 Hypertext

Hypertext consists of any number of objects² that can be linked with one another in a network structure. Therefore, hypertext is not necessarily read in linear (i.e., sequential) order like conventional text, but can be read in a non-linear order by navigating within the hypertext node network. This non-linear linkage of objects represents the basic characteristic of hypertext [cf. Seyer 1991, Kuhlen 1991]. Hypertext obviously follows the browsing paradigm of information access (like in [Pu & Lalanne, Chapter 18; Vassileva, Chapter 19]) rather than the information retrieval paradigm [Petkovic et al., Chapter 2; Stock, Chapter 16].

Gaining information in a non-linear form is not new (see e.g. information search in encyclopedias). Unlike print media, however, the representation of information in electronic form allows the user to directly and comfortably traverse contextual connections. Hypertext has therefore been able to enjoy an increased importance in the last few years, especially as a basis for on-line help systems and electronic encyclopedias.

The user-friendliness of many hypertext systems is rooted in their usage of intuitively understandable direct-manipulative interfaces [cf. Kuhlen 1991, Shneiderman & Kearsly 1989]. The user has the possibility of directly manipulating graphical objects with a pointer (e.g. a mouse) without having to use complicated commands. The effect of these actions can be seen immediately on the screen. Direct manipulation can be used, for example, to reach a different node from the node currently shown on the screen. The usual graphical objects used in such navigating operations include mouse-sensitive text passages (hotwords) or buttons (more on this in Section 3). Other important hypertext components are glossaries, indices, and graphical representations of the hypertext structure, which all offer important meta-information about the basic text objects. Recent research supplements associative navigation by controlled navigation and

² The objects in a hypertext base are not only text documents but can also include non-textual data (tables, graphs, animation, etc.). The term 'hypermedia' is commonly used if an audiovisual component is involved.

by search techniques from the field of Information Retrieval, in order to increase the search efficiency [cf. Kuhlen 1991].

1.2 Adaptive Hypertext

Two major problems arise when working with hypertext systems (see e.g. [Brusilovsky 1996]):

- *Orientation and navigation problems:* When navigating in hypertext, users are frequently uncertain as to how to reach their goals. Since users can choose any course within hypertext, they run the risk of losing their orientation. Navigation aids that take users' goals into account may be helpful. [Kaplan et al. 1993] showed empirically that navigation suggestions based on knowledge about the objectives and the navigation behavior of previous users as well as the goals of the current user can significantly accelerate the current user's search for information.
- *Comprehension problems:* Since hypertext is frequently read by users with differing knowledge and experience levels, it may at the same time be too difficult and too detailed for laypersons, and too redundant for experts. [Boyle & Encarnacion 1993] showed empirically that an automatic adaptation of hypertext to the user's state of knowledge significantly improves text understanding as well as partially improving search speed.

The system KN-AHS³ deals with the second problem and adapts hypertext objects to the current user's state of knowledge. In contrast to other adaptive hypertext systems, the realization of KN-AHS took advantage of existing software products. TOOLBOOK [Asymetrix 1989], a widely available hypertext shell system, offers a powerful tool for the implementation of the hypertext and its user interface, and the user modeling shell system BGP-MS provides a wide variety of representation and inference possibilities that ensure flexible

³ KN-AHS stands for KoNstanz Adaptive Hypertext System.

adaptation. Both tools run as independent software systems on a PC platform and interact via inter-process communication.

2. User modeling using BGP-MS

Over the last few years, researchers have tried to develop so-called ‘user modeling shell systems’, since programming user modeling components in application systems is very time-consuming. These shell systems make integrated mechanisms and methods available which are often needed in user modeling systems.

One of these shells is BGP-MS⁴, which is currently under development. From the perspective of the application system, BGP-MS can be regarded as a “black box” that receives information about the user and answers questions posed by the application system concerning current assumptions about the user. In order to realize its adaptive dialog behavior that is oriented on the user’s state of knowledge, KN-AHS utilizes certain services of BGP-MS. The following sections will gradually present the BGP-MS components that are used, and explain their functionality with regard to the adaptation of hypertext by means of examples⁵.

2.1 Communication between the application and BGP-MS

The user modeling component of KN-AHS is not integrated into the application (as is the case for user modeling components in virtually all other user-adaptive systems), but is rather an independent process that communicates with the application. Observations based on user actions will be reported to BGP-MS by the hypertext system (cf. Fig. 1, part a). The application can ask BGP-MS questions about the user (b) and BGP-MS can in return report its current assumptions concerning the user (c).

⁴ BGP-MS stands for Belief, Goal and Plan Maintenance System [Kobsa 1990].

⁵ A more comprehensive description of BGP-MS can be found in [Kobsa & Pohl, 1995] and [BGP-MS].




Fig. 1: Communication between the application and BGP-MS

2.2 Partitions for collecting different types of assumptions

BGP-MS utilizes the partition mechanism SB-PART [Scherer 1990], which allows different types of assumptions about the user to be represented simultaneously, but still separately. These assumptions include, for example, assumptions concerning the user’s knowledge or goals, assumptions concerning application-relevant characteristics of user subgroups (so-called ‘stereotypes’), or the domain knowledge of the user modeling component.

Partitions can be ordered in an inheritance hierarchy, where subordinate partitions inherit the contents of superordinate ones. Fig. 2 shows a simple partition hierarchy, as is currently used in our adaptive hypertext. The depicted partitions can be divided into three groups:




Fig. 2: Partition Hierarchy in SB-PART

- The *individual user model* consists of the partitions SBUB (System Believes User Believes), which contains BGP-MS's assumptions about the user's knowledge, and SB-UB, which contains BGP-MS's assumptions about what the user does not know.
- The *stereotypes* for user subgroups are ordered hierarchically. We assume for our application that the stereotype "any person" is available, which includes only general information, i.e. knowledge available to any user. All other stereotypes include typical characteristics of users with various fields of specialization, namely hypertext users, PC users, and computer science students⁶. They inherit the contents from the general stereotype.
- The *domain knowledge* in BGP-MS, which is included in the partition SB (= System Believes).

2.3 Stereotype mechanisms

BGP-MS allows the user model developer to define so-called 'stereotypes' that contain application-relevant characteristics of user subgroups. The programmer can also define the conditions under which a user will be assigned to these subgroups, and those under which an existing assignment should be withdrawn. BGP-MS contains a stereotype managing mechanism that analyzes observations received from the application and checks the activation and retraction conditions of all stereotypes. It will then enter inheritance links between the individual user model and those stereotypes that become active, and delete links to stereotypes that become deactivated. More than one stereotype can be active at the same time, if allowed by the user model programmer. He/she can also define the frequency of stereotype revision.

The broken lines in Fig. 2 represent the possible inheritance relationships with the stereotypes hypertext user, PC user and CS student. Since only "positive

⁶ This type of stereotype hierarchy - a kernel with several specializations - has been investigated several times in connection with the use of UNIX commands [Hanson et al. 1984, Sutcliffe & Old 1987]. The model is also referred to as 'lettuce model' because of its graphical form in Venn diagrams [Kobsa 1990].

knowledge” is contained in the stereotypes of KN-AHS at the time being, a connection to SB-UB is not possible. Several stereotypes can be active simultaneously, since the readers (such as all authors of this paper) can be both hypertext and PC users. On the other hand, an existing connection can be withdrawn if observations are made that meet the retraction conditions of the stereotype.

2.4 Representing domain knowledge in BGP-MS

So far we have described the organization of system knowledge, user assumptions and pre-defined stereotypes using separate partitions and inheritance links. Now we take a closer look at the contents of individual partitions and their representation. One of the knowledge representation languages used within partitions is SB-ONE [Profitlich 1989, Kobsa 1991], which belongs to the family of KL-ONE type languages. The following simplified description of the language elements is sufficient for this paper.




Fig. 3: Detail of the concept hierarchy in the partition ‘System Believes’ (SB)

The two most important representational elements in KL-ONE type languages are concepts (depicted as ovals in Fig. 3) and role relationships between concepts (depicted as small circles). For the purposes of KN-AHS, two types of concepts are distinguished: field concepts, which represent small fields of knowledge, and terminological concepts, which represent technical terms. In Fig. 3, ‘UM-Shell’, ‘GUMS’, ‘BGP-MS’, ‘KL-ONE’, ‘SB-ONE’, ‘CLASSIC’ and ‘BACK’ are field concepts. ‘ROLE’ and ‘CONCEPT’ are terminological concepts. The role ‘associated-concept’ (the only role used in KN-AHS) defines the relationship between fields and their associated terminology.

Super- and subordinations are also found for concepts, where the subordinated concept inherits all role relationships of the superordinated concept. The field concepts 'SB-ONE' and 'BGP-MS' in Fig. 3 inherit all roles of the field concept 'KL-ONE', and thereby all associated terminological concepts.

2.5 User model acquisition and inferences

Messages that an application communicates to BGP-MS may express various types of information about the user's knowledge. KN-AHS only takes assumptions about the user's conceptual knowledge into account when adapting hypertext documents. Its messages to BGP-MS are therefore restricted to information on whether the user is familiar or unfamiliar with certain concepts. These "primary assumptions" about the user become entered into the partitions SBUB and SB-UB, respectively. Primary assumptions will be compared with all stereotype activation and deactivation conditions in regular pre-set intervals. As an effect, inheritance links between stereotype partitions and the partition SBUB may be entered or erased.

The user model developer may also define inference rules that become executed after each new entry into the individual user model. The inferences used in KN-AHS are based on domain knowledge that is represented in SB. They take sub- and superfield relationships and the "associated-concept" relationship between fields and their respective terminology into account, and comprise the following rules:

- a) Sub- and superfield relationships
 - a1) If a minimum percentage P1 of direct subfields of a field were reported to be known/unknown, then all its subfields are known/unknown.
 - a2) If a minimum percentage P2 of direct subfields of a field were reported to be known/unknown (where P1 can be different from P2), then the superfield is also known/unknown.
- b) Relationships between fields and their respective terminology
 - b1) If a minimum percentage P3 of the terminological concepts of a field were reported to be known/unknown, then all terminological concepts of the field are known/unknown.

- b2) If a minimum percentage P4 of the terminological concepts of a field were reported to be known/unknown (where P3 can be different from P4), then the field is also known/unknown.

Conflicts can arise between the observations made by the application and assumptions inferred in BGP-MS. If this is the case, then the inferred assumptions will have a lower priority and will be discarded from the partition. Dependency management between premises and consequences will not be able to be considered until sometime in the future.

3. The adaptive hypertext system KN-AHS

In this section, we will first describe the functionality of the user interface of KN-AHS. We will focus especially on reviewing the direct-manipulative actions that are available to the user. Then the assumptions will be described that KN-AHS draws about the user and reports to BGP-MS, as well as the kind of hypertext adaptation that it performs after consulting BGP-MS on the presumable conceptual knowledge of the user. Finally, the adaptive behavior of KN-AHS as well as its interaction with BGP-MS will be illustrated by an example of a possible user dialog.

3.1 The user interface

Fig. 4 shows an example of the user interface of KN-AHS. Special attention was paid to awakening interest and curiosity, and to stimulate users to navigate through the hypertext. It was also important that the interface should be largely self-explanatory. Users should be able to correctly predict the outcome of each possible action. This is especially important if assumptions about their knowledge are formed on the basis of their actions.

In a simplified form, the hyperdocument can be divided into four areas:

Headings: This box informs the users of their whereabouts (i.e., the current chapter).

Text object: This area is the core of the hypertext page. The reader can receive information in the form of text and graphics (a vertical scroll bar will be automatically inserted if the text object is longer than a screen page). So-

called ‘hotwords’ can be found within this area, i.e. mouse-sensitive text passages such as the boxed text elements ‘Wissensrepräsentation’ (knowledge representation), ‘KL-ONE’, ‘Konzepten’ (concepts) etc. The actions that the user can perform on these hotwords will be described further below.




Fig. 4: Selecting additional information on a hotword

Status line: The status line is located on the lower screen edge below the text object. It offers the user additional information about possible actions for each hotword.

Action buttons: These are found on the right side of the screen. Starting here, the user can “jump” to other areas within the hypertext, for example (from top to bottom):

- to a context-sensitive help text,
- to a graphically represented table of contents,
- to the dialog history, which includes a list of the already-viewed text objects to which the user can return on demand,
- to the glossary, which is context-independent.

Other buttons are also available that enable the reader to jump to a previous or following page, as well as to the front-runner page of the one depicted on the screen.

When the user moves the mouse cursor across a hotword, the form of the mouse cursor indicates the available actions for the hotword. The user may (a) jump to another text object that provides detailed information on the hotword; or (b) request additional information with a pop-up menu, namely an explanation, a graphic, an example, a glossary definition, or additional details. Table 1 lists in greater detail the additional information that is available in KN-AHS via this pop-up menu. An example can be found in Fig. 4, where the user clicked on the hotword 'Konzepten'. Some kinds of additional information may not be available for a hotword; the respective menu entries then turn to grey in the pop-up menu (like 'Erklärung' in Fig. 4).

Menu entry	Effect
<i>Erklärung</i> (Explanation)	Additional information which explains the hotword <i>in context</i> will be inserted near the hotword to ensure a terminologically supportive effect. In some cases the hotword may also become replaced by a simpler term or expression.
<i>Grafik</i> (Graphic)	A graphic appears which should illustrate the hotword.
<i>Beispiel</i> (Example)	An example will be shown that should clarify the hotword.
<i>Glossar</i> (Glossary)	The page of the glossary that contains the hotword will be displayed. A context-independent definition or description of the hotword can be found there.

<i>Detail-Info</i> (Detailed information)	Additional details related to the hotword will be inserted near the hotword.
--	--

Table 1: Effects of the options in the hotword pop-up menu

3.2 Drawing assumptions about the user

KN-AHS draws assumptions about the user's knowledge based on two information sources: namely an initial interview, and some of the hypertext actions which the user may perform.

In the initial interview, questions are posed to the user that refer to his membership in clearly separable user subgroups (like 'computer science student'), and his exposure to PCs, hypertexts, etc. The user's replies become communicated to BGP-MS, which can activate initial stereotypes for the user (see Section 2.3). If the user decides to skip this interview, BGP-MS will only activate the 'any person' stereotype.

Certain actions that the user may perform afterwards in the hypertext give rise to assumptions about his familiarity with individual concepts:

- If the user requests an explanation, a graphic, an example or a glossary definition for a hotword, then he is assumed to be unfamiliar with this hotword.
- If the user unselects an explanation, a graphic, an example or a glossary definition for a hotword, then he is assumed to be familiar with this hotword.
- If the user requests additional details for a hotword, then he is assumed to be familiar with this hotword.

With each hotword for which more information can be requested, the corresponding SB-ONE concept that represents this technical term in BGP-MS is associated. When KN-AHS draws an assumption about the user's familiarity

with a hotword, KN-AHS notifies BGP-MS that the corresponding concept is known or unknown to the user. An example can be found in Fig. 1, in which KN-AHS informs BGP-MS that the user is familiar with the concept 'CONCEPT' after it made the assumption that the user is familiar with the hotword 'Konzepten' since he requested additional details for it.

BGP-MS is also equipped with a component that draws assumptions about the user based on the user's actions [Pohl et al. 1994]. Instead of drawing assumptions itself and communicating them to BGP-MS, KN-AHS could therefore also inform BGP-MS about the actions that the user performed, and let BGP-MS draw the assumptions. However, since the concept names in BGP-MS must be anyway known to KN-AHS in order that it can ask BGP-MS about the user's familiarity with them (see Section 3.3), this option was not chosen in order to avoid redundancy.

3.3 Adapting the document to the user's conceptual knowledge

When the user switches to a new text object, KN-AHS aims at adapting it to the user's presumed conceptual knowledge. For each hotword in the new text object, it asks BGP-MS about the user's familiarity with the corresponding SB-ONE concept. The hotword is then treated in the following way:

- If the user is unfamiliar with the associated concept, an explanation gets automatically added to the hotword. (The very same adaptation would take place if the user had selected the 'explanation' entry in the pop-up menu for this hotword). Also, an icon that symbolizes an available graphic for the hotword is placed near the hotword.
- If the user is familiar with the hotword, more details are automatically added after the hotword.
- If no information is available from BGP-MS concerning the user's familiarity with the hotword, then the hotword is not changed.

Possibly icons that signal the availability of examples and glossary information may be added in the future for hotwords that are unknown to the user. However,

this may raise the danger of the hypertext becoming visually overloaded on the terminal screen.

3.4 An example of an adaptation step

In this section we present an example that shows how adaptation in hypertext can be performed based on reader actions. We will specifically concentrate on the interplay between hypertext components and the user modeling system.

The user in Fig. 4 would like to learn more about the hotword ‘Konzept’ and asks for ‘Detail-Info’ (detailed information). The displayed screen page will be expanded and the desired information will be shown. Because of this user action, the hypertext application reports to BGP-MS that the user is familiar with the associated concept ‘CONCEPT’ (see Section 2.4). BGP-MS enters the term in the partition SBUB. Assume now that BGP-MS contains the following inference rule (which was defined by the user model developer at design time):

‘If 50% of the terminological concepts of a field were reported to be known/unknown, then all terminological concepts of the field are known/unknown’ (see b1 in Section 2.5).

This rule will fire for the field ‘KL-ONE’, and the terminological concept ‘ROLE’ will also be entered into SBUB (and marked as having been inferred).

Fig. 5 shows another text object that the reader could possibly encounter later in the hypertext session. It is interesting to note that detailed information for the hotword ‘Rollen’ is already provided, even though the user did not explicitly request more information. How did that happen? Before switching pages the hypertext system asked BGP-MS whether or not the user was familiar with the expandable hotwords shown on the newly requested page. BGP-MS checked the individual user model and informed the hypertext application⁷. The concept ‘ROLE’ was reported as known (i.e., included in SBUB), and the hotword ‘Rollen’ was therefore automatically supplemented by detailed information.

⁷ Possible answers are ‘known’ or ‘unknown’ (if the corresponding concept is included in SBUB or SB-UB) as well as ‘no statement possible’ (if neither is the case).




Fig. 5: Automatic addition of detailed information for the hotword 'Rolle'

4. Discussion and related work

The aim of the work on KN-AHS was to demonstrate the feasibility of user modeling with BGP-MS in a "normal" hardware and software environment that is frequently used in the workplace. The basic architecture that we described – application and user modeling system are independent processes that communicate via inter-process communication – is quite unique. Only [Orwant 1995] proposes a related framework (which is however located on the level of a computer network).

Some work already exists in the area of adaptive hypertext documents. The system that seems most closely related to KN-AHS is MetaDoc [Boyle & Encarnacion 1994]. It is also PC based and uses adaptation techniques that are similar to those in KN-AHS. Both its hypertext and its user-modeling component

are “self-made”. In comparison to MetaDoc, KN-AHS clearly profits from the greater expressiveness of the BGP-MS user modeling shell, which allows for the representation of hierarchically structured knowledge domains (instead of MetaDoc’s flat “concept islands”), for inferences based on this hierarchy of knowledge domains, for more complex stereotype hierarchies than those of MetaDoc, and for more flexible stereotype activation rules. The adaptive range of KN-AHS goes somewhat beyond MetaDoc in that also graphics (and in the future possibly examples) become automatically included in the hypertext. A minor but noteworthy difference is that KN-AHS will only adapt the hypertext when the user switches to a *new* text object; it will never change a hotword in the current text as a result of an action that the user performed on a different hotword in the current text object since this seems to violate the constancy principle of software ergonomics.

Other related systems are ANATOM-TUTOR [Beaumont 1994] and HYP-ADAPTER [Böcker et al. 1990, Hohl et. al. 1996], which present hypertext-based tutorials on anatomy and Common Lisp, respectively. Both systems use self-made hypertext components. ANATOM-TUTOR contains a self-made user modeling component, while HYPADAPTER employs the MODUS user modeling shell [Schwab 1989], which is functionally included. Both systems are active tutorial systems and not only hypertext browsers like KN-AHS. They therefore have additional adaptive characteristics (e.g., HYPADAPTER exploits the user model for topic selection and presentation) and additional sources of information about the user (e.g., ANATOM-TUTOR receives information about the user through a quiz). The adaptation of hypertext contents consists in adding or omitting information based on the assumptions about the user’s knowledge (and also the user’s preferences and learner type in the case of HYPADAPTER).

5. Hardware and Software Environment

KN-AHS has been implemented under MS-DOS 6.2 and MS WINDOWS 3.1 on a PC platform. TOOLBOOK 1.5, a popular hypertext shell system from Asymetrix Corporation has been used to construct the hypertext. The BGP-MS user modeling shell was developed (and will be further enhanced) in Common Lisp on SUN workstations. The relevant parts were ported to Golden Common Lisp 4.3, which also runs under MS WINDOWS.

The communication between the hypertext system and BGP-MS was realized using the inter-process communication system KN-IPCMS⁸. The current PC version exploits the DDE functionality⁹ that is also supported by TOOLBOOK and Golden Common Lisp. KN-IPCMS is a platform-independent message-oriented communication protocol that allows both for synchronous and for asynchronous communication. In the interaction between KN-AHS and BGP-MS, observations made by KN-AHS will be transferred asynchronously. This means that KN-AHS and BGP-MS run concurrently, i.e. the user model management will largely be performed while the user is reading the current text object. Questions posed to BGP-MS will however be handled synchronously and will have priority over incoming observations.

6. From KN-AHS to AVANTI

The experiences gained from KN-AHS is currently leading to the development of a new system, AVANTI, which differs from KN-AHS in several important ways:

- While KN-AHS was a local application (with the hypertext and the user modeling system being communicating processes on the same computer), AVANTI should primarily run in a networked environment.
- While KN-AHS was a single-user application, AVANTI will service many users at the same time in the World Wide Web.
- While KN-AHS was a hypertext system enhanced by graphics, AVANTI will support multimedia.
- While KN-AHS stored all information in the hypertext pages, AVANTI will access external information from distributed multimedia databases and integrate it into the hypermedia pages.

⁸ KN-IPCMS stands for KoNstanz InterProcess Communication Management System.

⁹ DDE (Dynamic Data Exchange) is a communication protocol under MS WINDOWS that defines how WINDOWS applications can exchange messages and data, and helps application programs communicate with one another, as long as they can support DDE as well.

- While KN-AHS only took the users' knowledge into account when adapting its presentation, AVANTI will additionally respect users' interests, preferences (e.g. about media - cf. [Horacek, Section 22]), and abilities (including possible handicaps, e.g. sensory disabilities which hinder or prevent the perception of certain modalities).
- While KN-AHS adapted the presented information through local replacements and addition only, AVANTI will additionally re-position information units in WWW pages, highlight them, and also provide navigation support.
- While KN-AHS adhered exclusively to the browsing paradigm, AVANTI will also provide limited facilities for querying databases directly.

This wider perspective of adaptation has a number of fundamental consequences for BGP-MS, in particular the following:

- While BGP-MS so far has communicated with applications through inter-process communication only, it will become a network-wide service in the future that is addressable via KQML [Finin et al. 1993, Kobsa et al. 1996], a high-level communication language and protocol which is independent of the hardware and software platform, the network, and the programming language.
- While an instance of BGP-MS so far could only model a single user at a time, it will be able to communicate concurrently with multiple applications in the future and model several users in parallel.
- While the current version of BGP-MS can only represent one modal operator at a time, (i.e. either "user believes", or "user wants", or "user can", or "user prefers"), the new version will support the simultaneous usage of all these operators.
- A new architecture based on interoperable objects like the Object Management Architecture [OMG 1991, 1994] will be investigated which would allow BGP-MS to be flexibly distributed over the traditional boundaries of computers and platforms, more easily accessed and

functionally enhanced by application programmers, and configured on the level of user modeling services [Fink 1996].




Fig. 6: Architecture of AVANTI

Fig. 6 shows the architecture of the AVANTI system [AVANTI]. The Information Resource Control Structure (IRCS) contains HTML pages that include numerous references to distributed multimedia databases, and executable parts

that adapt each page to the user's needs as modeled in a network-wide BGP-MS-based user modeling server. The adaptations can be performed on the side of the server for adapted information, but also by the user interface if it is capable of executing program code. As was the case for KN-AHS, a content model of the multimedia data (comparable to the one in [Stock, Chapter 16]) and the user modeling server are being consulted in the adaptation process. The technical abilities of the user interface and the user terminal as well as the available network bandwidth will also be taken into account.

References

- Asymetrix Corporation 1989. Using TOOLBOOK[®]: A Guide to Building and Working with Books (Version 1.5). Washington.
- AVANTI. AVANTI Home Page. <http://zeus.gmd.de/i3/avanti>
- Beaumont, I. 1994. User Modelling in the Interactive Anatomy Tutoring System ANATOM-TUTOR. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 4(1), 21-45.
- BGP-MS. BGP-MS Home Page. <http://zeus.gmd.de/i3/mmk/bgp-ms/> (includes the software).
- Boyle, C., and Encarnacion, A. O. 1994. An Adaptive Hypertext Reading System. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*. 4(1), 1-19.
- Böcker, H.-D.; Hohl, H.; Schwab, Th. 1990. ΥπΑΔΑΡΤΕρ: Individualizing Hypertext. In: D. Diaper et al., eds.: *Human-Computer Interaction - INTERACT '90*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Brusilovsky, P. 1996: Methods and Techniques of Adaptive Hypermedia. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 6(2-3).
- Finin, T. W; Weber, J.; Widerhold, G.; Genesereth, M.; Fritzson, R.; McKay, D.; McGuire, J.; Pelavin, R.; Shapiro, S.; Beck, C. 1993: Specification of the

KQML Agent-Communication Language. <http://www.cs.umbc.edu/kqml/papers/kqmlspec.ps>

Fink, J. 1996: A Flexible and Open Architecture for the User Modeling Shell System BGP-MS. Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on User Modeling, Kailua-Kona, HI, 237-239.

Hanson, S. J.; Kraut, R.E.; Farber, J.M. 1984. Interface Design and Multivariate Analysis of UNIX Command Use. *ACM Transactions on Office Information Systems* 2(1):42-57.

Hohl, H.; Böcker, H.-D.; Gunzenhäuser, D., 1996: Hypadapter: An Adaptive Hypertext System for Exploratory Learning and Programming. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 6(2-3).

Horacek, H.: Empirical Evidence for the Need of Intelligent Methods in Multi-Media Information Retrieval. In this volume, Chapter 22.

Kaplan, C.; Fenwick, J.; Chen, J. 1993: Adaptive Hypertext Navigation Based on User Goals and Context. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 3(3):193-220.

Kobsa, A. 1990: Modeling the User's Conceptual Knowledge in BGP-MS, a User Modeling Shell System. *Computational Intelligence* 6, 193-208.

Kobsa, A. 1991: Utilizing Knowledge: The Components of the SB-ONE Knowledge Representation Workbench. In: J. Sowa, ed.: *Principles of Semantic Networks: Exploration in the Representation of Knowledge*. San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.

Kobsa, A.; Müller, D.; Nill, A. 1994: KN-AHS: An Adaptive Hypertext Client of the User Modeling System BGP-MS. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on User Modeling, Hyannis, MA, 99-105.

Kobsa, A.; Pohl, W. 1995: The User Modeling Shell BGP-MS. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 4(2), 59-106.

- Kobsa, A.; Fink, J.; Pohl, W. 1996: A Standard for the Performatives in the Communication between Applications and User Modeling Systems (draft). <ftp://ftp.informatik.uni-essen.de/pub/UMUAI/others/rfc.ps>
- Kuhlen, R. 1991: Hypertext. Ein nicht-lineares Medium zwischen Buch und Wissensbank. Berlin: Springer.
- OMG 1991. The Common Object Request Broker: Architecture and Specification. Object Management Group.
- OMG 1994. Object Models. Object Management Group.
- Orwant, J. 1995.: Heterogenous Learning in the Doppelgänger User Modeling System. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 4(2), 107-130.
- Pohl, W.; Kobsa, A.; Kutter, O. 1994: User Model Acquisition Heuristics Based on Dialog Acts. WIS Report 6, Dept. of Information Science, University of Konstanz, Germany.
- Petkovic, D.; Flickner, M.; Sawhney, H.; Niblack, W.; Ashley, J.; Huang, Q; Dom, B.; Gorkani, M.; Hafner, J.; Lee, D.; Steele, D.; Yanker, P.: Query by Image and Video Content: The QBIC System. In this volume, Chapter 2.
- Profitlich, H. J. 1989: SB-ONE: Ein Wissensrepräsentationssystem basierend auf KL-ONE. Master Thesis, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Saarbrücken, Germany.
- Pu, P.; Lalanne; D.: Organization, Retrieval and Interaction of Multimedia Documents for Design. In this volume, Chapter 18.
- Scherer, J. 1990: SB-PART: Ein Partitionsmechanismus für die Wissensrepräsentationssprache SB-ONE. Master Thesis, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Saarbrücken, Germany.
- Schwab, T. (1989): Methoden zur Dialog- und Benutzermodellierung in Adaptiven Computersystemen. Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Stuttgart, Germany.

Seyer, P.C. 1991: *Understanding Hypertext: Concepts and Applications*. Blue Ridge Summit, PA: Windcrest Books.

Shneiderman, B.; Kearsley, G. 1989: *Hypertext hands-on! An Introduction to a New Way of Organizing and Accessing Information*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Stock, O.; Strapparava, C.; Zancanaro, M.: *Explorations in an Environment for Natural Language MultiModal Information Access*. In this volume, Ch. 16.

Sutcliffe, A. G.; Old, A. C. 1987: *Do Users Know They Have User Models? Some Experiences in the Practise of User Modeling*. In: H.-J. Bullinger and B. Shackel, ed.: *Human-Computer Interaction: INTERACT'87*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Vassileva, J.: *Ensuring a Task-based Individualized Interface for Hypermedia Information Retrieval by User Modeling*. In this volume, Chapter 19.

Acknowledgments

The work described here has been supported by the German Science Foundation (Grant Ko-1044), the University of Konstanz (Grant AFF 17/92), and the Commission of the European Union (Project ACTS AVANTI).