

## [Letter] Adaptive NN impedance control for an SEA-driven robot

Article (Accepted Version)

Yu, Xinbo, He, Wei, Li, Yanan, Xue, Chengqian, Sun, Yongkun and Wang, Yu (2020) [Letter] Adaptive NN impedance control for an SEA-driven robot. SCIENCE CHINA Information Sciences, 63. a159207. ISSN 1674-733X

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/79949/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

### **Copyright and reuse:**

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

## Adaptive NN impedance control for an SEA-driven robot

Xinbo YU<sup>1, 2</sup>, Wei HE<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, Yanan LI<sup>3</sup>, Chengqian XUE<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yongkun SUN<sup>1, 2</sup> & Yu WANG<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Automation and Electrical Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China;*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Artificial Intelligence, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China;*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Engineering and Design, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9RH, UK;*

<sup>4</sup>*Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

---

**Citation** Yu X, He W, Li Y, Xue C, Sun Y, Wang Y. Adaptive NN impedance control for an SEA-driven robot. Sci China Inf Sci, for review

---

Dear editor,

Series elastic actuators (SEAs) have been deliberately selected in collaborative robots intended for safe physical interaction with humans or unstructured environments [1]. This passive mechanical compliance guarantees an inertial decoupling between the link and actuator, thus decreasing kinetic energy involved in unexpected collisions with environments [2]. SEAs are widely used in cooperative industrial robots (Baxter robot), humanoid robots (Valkyrie) and rehabilitation robots. Although SEA can avoid damages of collisions with humans or environments, only passive impedance cannot achieve desired impedance due to the fixed mechanical stiffness of SEA [3]. Therefore, it is necessary to combine active impedance control algorithm with passive mechanical compliance. For achieving the accuracy of tracking the desired model, SEA-driven robot's dynamics can be described using a flexible joint model. Considering there exist uncertainties of compliant robot's dynamics, various methods, i.e., iterative learning control [4], adaptive control [5,6], uncertainty and disturbance estimator (UDE) [7], are used to address this issue and improve the tracking accuracy. In this letter, we propose an adaptive active impedance control combined with passive mechan-

ical impedance, and use neural networks (NNs) to compensate for uncertainties of compliant robot's dynamics. Taking these into account, we propose an online adaptive law to update NN weights and a complete framework about adaptive impedance control design. Simulations show the proposed method can ensure that both the accuracy and safety can be achieved. The contributions of this letter are summarized as follows: auxiliary variables are designed for Lyapunov stability analysis and control design; and radial basis function neural networks (RBFNNs) are utilized to compensate for uncertainties in dynamics to improve the accuracy when tracking a desired impedance model.

***N-link SEA-driven robot's dynamic model.*** In dynamics described in (1),  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the joint and motor angle, respectively.  $M_c \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $C_c \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $G_c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the inertia, Coriolis and centrifugal and gravity matrices of robots.  $M_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $K_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote motor inertia and mechanical stiffness of SEA.  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the input torque and  $\tau_f \in \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the external torque generated by humans or environments.

$$\begin{aligned} M_c \ddot{q} + C_c \dot{q} + G_c &= K_p(\vartheta - q) - \tau_f, \\ M_p \ddot{\vartheta} + K_p(\vartheta - q) &= \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

---

\*Corresponding author (email: weihe@ieee.org)

The corresponding author is Wei He. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Control design.

In this letter, the control design is to achieve the following impedance relationship for SEA-driven robot:

$$M_d(\ddot{q} - \ddot{q}_d) + D_d(\dot{q} - \dot{q}_d) + K_d(q - q_d) = \tau_f, \quad (2)$$

where  $M_d$ ,  $D_d$  and  $K_d$  denote the desired inertia, damping and stiffness matrices and  $q_d$  denotes the desired angle.

#### Step 1. Define auxiliary variables $z$ and $\eta$ :

We define variable  $\varpi$  as  $M_d\ddot{e} + D_d\dot{e} + K_d e - \tau_f$  where  $e = q - q_d$ . We can see that if  $\varpi$  is converging to zero, the target impedance model will be achieved. To facilitate analysis, we define another impedance error  $\omega$  as  $\omega = L_f \varpi = \ddot{e} + L_d \dot{e} + L_k e - L_f \tau_f$ , where  $L_f = M_d^{-1}$ ,  $L_d = M_d^{-1} D_d$ ,  $L_k = M_d^{-1} K_d$ . We choose two positive matrices  $T$  and  $M$  as  $T + M = L_d$ ,  $\dot{T} + TM = L_k$  and  $\dot{\tau}_{rl} + M\tau_{rl} = L_f \tau_f$ . According to these, we rewrite  $\omega$  as

$$\omega = \ddot{e} + (T + M)\dot{e} + (\dot{T} + TM)e - \dot{\tau}_{rl} - M\tau_{rl}. \quad (3)$$

And we define an auxiliary variable  $z$  and rewrite (3) as

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \dot{e} + Te - \tau_{rl}, \\ \omega &= \dot{z} + Mz. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

When  $z$  converges to zero, we can conclude that  $\dot{z} \rightarrow 0$  if its limit exists. We define an virtual state variable matrix  $q_r$  as

$$\dot{q}_r = \dot{q}_d - Te + \tau_{rl}, \quad (5)$$

so  $z$  can be rewritten as

$$z = \dot{q} - \dot{q}_r. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, if  $z \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\varpi \rightarrow 0$ . According to above analysis, we also define an auxiliary variable  $\eta$  about motor angle  $\vartheta$  as

$$\eta = \dot{\vartheta} - \dot{\vartheta}_r = \dot{\vartheta} - \dot{\vartheta}_d + \beta_\vartheta \tilde{\vartheta}. \quad (7)$$

where  $\tilde{\vartheta} = \vartheta - \vartheta_d$ ,  $\beta_\vartheta$  is the positive definite matrix,  $\vartheta_d$  denotes the desired motor angle, and  $\dot{\vartheta}_r = \dot{\vartheta}_d - \beta_\vartheta \tilde{\vartheta}$ .

**Step 2. Construct Lyapunov function candidates by using auxiliary variables  $z$  and  $\eta$ :** According to (6) and (7), we construct Lyapunov function candidates as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \frac{1}{2} z^T M_c z + \frac{1}{2} \eta^T M_p \eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (z - \eta)^T dt K_p \int_0^t (z - \eta) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

**Step 3. Controller design by using backstepping method:** Differentiating (8) with respect to time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &= z^T M_c \dot{z} + \frac{1}{2} z^T \dot{M}_c z + \eta^T M_p \dot{\eta} \\ &+ (z - \eta)^T K_p \int_0^t (z - \eta) dt \\ &= z^T (M_c \dot{z} + C_c z + K_p \int_0^t (z - \eta) dt) \\ &+ \eta^T (M_p \dot{\eta} - K_p \int_0^t (z - \eta) dt) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We divide (9) to two parts, and the first part can be calculated as

$$M_c \dot{z} + C_c z + K_p \int_0^t (z - \eta) dt = \zeta_1 + K_p \vartheta_d. \quad (10)$$

Then we define  $K_p \vartheta_d$  as

$$K_p \vartheta_d = -K_1 z - \zeta_1. \quad (11)$$

where  $K_1$  is the gain matrix, then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &= -z^T K_1 z + \eta^T (M_p \dot{\eta} - K_p \int_0^t (z - \eta) dt) \\ &= -z^T K_1 z + \eta^T \zeta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we define

$$\zeta_2 = \tau + \zeta_3. \quad (13)$$

$\zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta_2$  and  $\zeta_3$  are defined as auxiliary variables. We design the following controller

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= -K_2 \eta + M_p (\ddot{\vartheta}_d - \beta_\vartheta \dot{\tilde{\vartheta}}) - z + \tau_f + G_c \\ &+ M_c (\ddot{q}_d - T\dot{e} + \dot{\tau}_{rl}) + C_c (\dot{q}_d - Te + \tau_{rl}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$


where  $K_2$  denotes the gain matrix, and  $\vartheta_d$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_d &= K_p^{-1} (-K_1 z + \tau_f + G_c - M_c (-\dot{q}_d + T\dot{e} - \dot{\tau}_{rl}) \\ &- K_p (-q_d - e(0) + \tilde{\vartheta}(0) + \beta_\vartheta \int_0^t (e - \tilde{\vartheta}) dt) \\ &- C_c (-\dot{q}_d + Te - \tau_{rl})). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We can see that under the controller (14) and define  $\vartheta_d$  as (15), all error signals are bounded,  $z$  and  $\eta$  converge to zero and  $\ddot{\vartheta}_d$  can be computed from (15). The stability analysis is shown in **Appendix A**.

**Step 4. Adaptive neural networks (NNs) to approximate uncertainties:** Seen from (14) and (15), there exist uncertainties about robot's dynamics, i.e.,  $M_c$ ,  $C_c$  and  $G_c$  are unknown. To solve this problem, adaptive NN is employed to approximate uncertainties in dynamics as follows

$$\chi_M^{*T} \phi_M(Z) = M_c + \epsilon_M,$$



**Figure 1** The simulation results.

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_C^{*T} \phi_C(Z) &= C_c + \epsilon_C, \\ \chi_G^{*T} \phi_G(Z) &= G_c + \epsilon_G.\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

where  $\chi_M^*$ ,  $\chi_C^*$  and  $\chi_G^*$  are actual weights,  $\epsilon_M$ ,  $\epsilon_C$  and  $\epsilon_G$  are approximation errors,  $\phi_M(Z)$ ,  $\phi_C(Z)$  and  $\phi_G(Z)$  are basis functions,  $Z$  denotes the input of NN.  $\hat{\chi}_M$ ,  $\hat{\chi}_C$  and  $\hat{\chi}_G$  are estimates of NN weights.  $\tilde{\chi}_M$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_C$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_G$  are estimation errors which have the relationship  $()^* = \hat{()} - \tilde{()}$ . The adaptation laws are designed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{\chi}}_M &= -\Gamma_M(\phi_M(Z)\dot{A}\eta + \sigma_M\hat{\chi}_M), \\ \dot{\hat{\chi}}_C &= -\Gamma_C(\phi_C(Z)A\eta + \sigma_C\hat{\chi}_C), \\ \dot{\hat{\chi}}_G &= -\Gamma_G(\phi_G(Z) + \sigma_G\hat{\chi}_G).\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

where  $\Gamma_M$ ,  $\Gamma_C$  and  $\Gamma_G$  denote the positive definite constant gain matrices,  $\sigma_M$ ,  $\sigma_C$  and  $\sigma_G$  denote small positive constants.  $A = \dot{q}_d - Te + \tau_{rl}$ , so we can rewrite (14) and (15) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= -K_2\eta + M_p(\ddot{\vartheta}_d - \beta_\vartheta\dot{\vartheta}) - z + \tau_f + \hat{\chi}_G^T\phi_G(Z) \\ &+ \hat{\chi}_M^T\phi_M(Z)\dot{A} + \hat{\chi}_C^T\phi_C(Z)A - K_q\text{sgn}(\eta).\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_d &= K_p^{-1}(-K_1z + \tau_f + G_c \\ &+ \hat{\chi}_M^T\phi_M(Z)\dot{A} + \hat{\chi}_C^T\phi_C(Z)A \\ &- K_p(-q_d - e(0) + \tilde{\vartheta}(0) + \beta_\vartheta \int_0^t (e - \tilde{\vartheta})dt)).\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

where positive gain matrix  $K_q \geq \|\epsilon_M\dot{A} + \epsilon_C A + \epsilon_G\|$ ,  $\text{sgn}(\cdot)$  returns a vector with the signs of the corresponding elements of the vector  $(\cdot)$ . Under the RBFNN controller (18) and by defining (19), we can achieve the control objective (2). And we choose a Lyapunov candidates  $V_2$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}V_2 &= \frac{1}{2}z^T M_c z + \frac{1}{2}\eta^T M_p \eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (z - \eta)^T dt K_p \int_0^t (z - \eta) dt\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}_M^T \Gamma_M^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_M + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}_C^T \Gamma_C^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_C + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}_G^T \Gamma_G^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_G.\quad (20)$$

The stability analysis is shown in **Appendix B**.

**Step 5. Simulation results:** The simulation results are shown in Figure 1, and we can see that the external force with SEA is smaller than the external force without SEA when physical collisions occur. The simulation settings and processes are described in **Appendix C**.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61522302, 61873298 and U1713209) and Beijing Science and Technology Project (Grant Z181100003118006).

**Supporting information** Appendices A, B, C. The supporting information is available online at [info.scichina.com](http://info.scichina.com) and [link.springer.com](http://link.springer.com). The supporting materials are published as submitted, without typesetting or editing. The responsibility for scientific accuracy and content remains entirely with the authors.

## References

- 1 He W, Li Z, Chen CL P. A survey of human-centered intelligent robots: issues and challenges. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 2017, 4(4): 602-609
- 2 Li Z, Huang Z, He W, et al. Adaptive impedance control for an upper limb robotic exoskeleton using biological signals. *IEEE T Ind Electron*, 2017, 64(2): 1664-1674
- 3 Vallery H, Veneman J, Van Asseldonk E, et al. Compliant actuation of rehabilitation robots. *IEEE Robot Autom Mag*, 2008, 15(3): 60-69
- 4 Li Y, Ge S S. Impedance learning for robots interacting with unknown environments. *IEEE T Contr Syst T*, 2014, 22(4): 1422-1432
- 5 Chen M. Disturbance attenuation tracking control for wheeled mobile robots with skidding and slipping. *IEEE T Ind Electron*, 2017, 64(4): 3359-3368
- 6 Yang C, Wang X, Cheng L, et al. Neural-learning-based telerobot control with guaranteed performance. *IEEE T Cybernetics*, 2017, 47(10): 3148-3159
- 7 Dong Y, Ren B. UDE-based variable impedance control of uncertain robot systems. *IEEE T Syst Man Cy A*, 2017