

 Open access • Proceedings Article • DOI:10.1109/CDC.1991.261491

Adaptive output-feedback control of a class of nonlinear systems — [Source link](#)

Ioannis Kanellakopoulos, Petar V. Kokotovic, A.S. Morse

Institutions: University of California, Santa Barbara

Published on: 11 Dec 1991 - Conference on Decision and Control

Topics: Adaptive control, Nonlinear system, Adaptive system, Control system and Canonical form

Related papers:

- [Systematic design of adaptive controllers for feedback linearizable systems](#)
- [Global adaptive output-feedback control of nonlinear systems. II. Nonlinear parameterization](#)
- [Nonlinear and adaptive control design](#)
- [Adaptive nonlinear control without overparametrization](#)
- [Adaptive control of linearizable systems](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/adaptive-output-feedback-control-of-a-class-of-nonlinear-4chh0s43cy>

Decision and Control Laboratory

**ADAPTIVE
OUTPUT-FEEDBACK
CONTROL OF A CLASS
OF NONLINEAR SYSTEMS**

**I. Kanellakopoulos
P. V. Kokotovic
A. S. Morse**

*Coordinated Science Laboratory
College of Engineering*
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS None	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) UILLU-ENG-91- 2224 DC-131		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Coordinated Science Lab University of Illinois	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) N/A	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION National Science Foundation	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 1101 W. Springfield Ave. Urbana, IL 61801		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20050	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION National Science Foundation	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER NSF ECS-87-15811	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20050		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.
		TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) ADAPTIVE OUTPUT-FEEDBACK CONTROL OF A CLASS OF NONLINEAR SYSTEMS			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) I. KANELLAKOPOULOS, P. V. KOKOTOVIC AND A. S. MORSE			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical	13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1991 April	15. PAGE COUNT 12
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For a class of single-input single-output nonlinear systems with unknown constant parameters, we present a new adaptive design procedure which requires only output, rather than full-state, measurement. Even though the nonlinearities are not required to satisfy any growth conditions, the stability and tracking (or regulation) properties of the resulting closed-loop adaptive system are global.			
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

Adaptive Output-Feedback Control of a Class of Nonlinear Systems*

I. Kanellakopoulos

Coordinated Science Laboratory
University of Illinois
Urbana, IL 61801

P. V. Kokotovic

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

A. S. Morse

Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Yale University
New Haven, CT 06520-1968

Technical Report DC-131
Coordinated Science Laboratory
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
April 1991

Abstract

For a class of single-input single-output nonlinear systems with unknown constant parameters, we present a new adaptive design procedure which requires only output, rather than full-state, measurement. Even though the nonlinearities are not required to satisfy any growth conditions, the stability and tracking (or regulation) properties of the resulting closed-loop adaptive system are global.

*The work of the first two authors was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant ECS-87-15811 and in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR 90-0011. The work of the third author was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants ECS-88-05611 and ECS-90-12551.

1 Introduction

The problem of designing adaptive output-feedback controllers for nonlinear systems with unknown constant parameters was recently addressed in [1,2]. These papers considered the class of n -dimensional nonlinear systems which have an input-output description expressed globally by the n -th order scalar differential equation

$$D^n y = B(D)\sigma(y)u + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} D^i \left[\varphi_{0i}(y) + \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j \varphi_{ji}(y) \right], \quad (1.1)$$

where D denotes the differentiation operator, and

- the coefficients b_0, \dots, b_m ($m \leq n-1$) of the polynomial $B(D) = b_m D^m + \dots + b_1 D + b_0$ are unknown, but $B(D)$ is known to be Hurwitz and the sign of b_m is known,
- $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$ are unknown constant parameters, and
- $\sigma(y), \varphi_{ji}(y), 0 \leq j \leq n-1, 0 \leq i \leq p$, are smooth nonlinearities with $\sigma(y) \neq 0 \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_{ji}(0) = 0, 0 \leq j \leq n-1, 0 \leq i \leq p$.

In the case of known parameters, systems in this class are linearizable by output (and input) injection, and input-output linearizable (but not necessarily full-state linearizable) by full-state feedback.

In [1], Kanellakopoulos, Kokotovic and Morse extended the direct model-reference adaptive design developed for linear systems by Feuer and Morse [3] to nonlinear systems of the form (1.1), under the additional restriction that for $i = m+1, \dots, n-1$, the functions $\varphi_{ji}(y), 0 \leq j \leq p$, are linear, i.e., that the nonlinearities do not enter the system before the control does.

This restriction was not present in the design developed by Marino and Tomei in [2], which combined their "filtered transformations", introduced in [4], with the adaptive design procedure introduced by Kanellakopoulos, Kokotovic and Morse [5] in the full-state-feedback case.

In this paper we consider the same class of nonlinear systems (1.1), and develop a direct extension of the adaptive design procedure of [5] to the output-feedback case. This new

procedure allows us to remove the additional restriction of [1] without using the filtered transformations of [2]. As in [1] and [2], the obtained stability and tracking results are global, despite the fact that the nonlinearities are not restricted by any growth constraints.

2 The Systematic Design Procedure

Consider the class of n -dimensional nonlinear systems with an input-output description given by the differential equation (1.1). An equivalent minimal state representation for such systems is

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\zeta} &= A\zeta + b\sigma(y)u + \varphi_0(y) + \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \varphi_i(y) \\ y &= c^T \zeta = \zeta_1,\end{aligned}\quad (2.1)$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ \vdots & I & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ b_m \\ \vdots \\ b_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi_i(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{i1}(y) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{in}(y) \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq p. \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose now that the control objective is to track a given reference signal $y_r(t)$ with the output y of the system (2.2), and assume that the first ρ derivatives of y_r are also given, where $\rho = n - m$. Then, our step-by-step design procedure is as follows:

Step 0: Choose K_0 such that $A_0 = A - K_0 c^T$ is a Hurwitz matrix, and define the filters

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\xi}_0 &= A_0 \xi_0 + K_0 y + \varphi_0(y) \\ \dot{\xi}_i &= A_0 \xi_i + \varphi_i(y), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p \\ \dot{v}_j &= A_0 v_j + e_{n-j} \sigma(y) u, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m,\end{aligned}\quad (2.3)$$

where e_i is the i -th coordinate vector in \mathbb{R}^n . It is now easy to see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\zeta - \left(\xi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \xi_i + \sum_{j=0}^m b_j v_j \right) \right] = A_0 \left[\zeta - \left(\xi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \xi_i + \sum_{j=0}^m b_j v_j \right) \right], \quad (2.4)$$

which implies, in particular, that the derivative of the output y is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{y} &= \zeta_2 + \varphi_{01}(y) + \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \varphi_{i1}(y) \\ &= \xi_{02} + \varphi_{01}(y) + \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i (\xi_{i2} + \varphi_{i1}(y)) + \sum_{j=0}^m b_j v_{j2} + \epsilon,\end{aligned}\quad (2.5)$$

where ϵ is an exponentially decaying term. Next, define

$$x_1 = \zeta_1 - y_r = y - y_r \triangleq \alpha_1(y, y_r), \quad (2.6)$$

and denote by c_1, c_2, \dots, c_ρ positive coefficients and by $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_\rho$ positive definite symmetric matrices to be chosen later.

For convenience of notation, we also define for $i = 0, \dots, \rho$

$$C_i \xi = [\xi_{0,1}, \dots, \xi_{0,i+1}, \xi_{1,1}, \dots, \xi_{1,i+1}, \dots, \xi_{\rho,1}, \dots, \xi_{\rho,i+1}] \quad (2.7)$$

$$C_i v = [v_{0,1}, \dots, v_{0,i+1}, \dots, v_{m-1,1}, \dots, v_{m-1,i+1}, v_{m,1}, \dots, v_{m,i}], \quad (2.8)$$

with the understanding that where $C_i \xi$ or $C_i v$ appear as arguments of a function, that function may depend on any of their elements.

Step 1: Using (2.5), write \dot{x}_1 as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= \xi_{02} + \varphi_{01}(y) - \dot{y}_r + \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i (\xi_{i2} + \varphi_{i1}(y)) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_j v_{j2} + b_m v_{m2} + \epsilon \\ &= b_m \left\{ \frac{1}{b_m} [\xi_{02} + \varphi_{01}(y) - \dot{y}_r] + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\theta_i}{b_m} [\xi_{i2} + \varphi_{i1}(y)] + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{b_j}{b_m} v_{j2} + v_{m2} \right\} + \epsilon \\ &= - \left(c_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) x_1 + b_m \left\{ v_{m2} + \kappa_1^T w_1(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, C_1 \xi, C_1 v) \right\} + \epsilon,\end{aligned}\quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\kappa_1^T = \left[\frac{1}{b_m}, \frac{\theta_1}{b_m}, \dots, \frac{\theta_p}{b_m}, \frac{b_0}{b_m}, \dots, \frac{b_{m-1}}{b_m} \right] \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}w_1^T &= \left[\left(c_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) x_1 + \xi_{02} + \varphi_{01}(y) - \dot{y}_r, \xi_{12} + \varphi_{11}(y), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, \xi_{p2} + \varphi_{p1}(y), v_{02}, \dots, v_{m-1,2} \right].\end{aligned}\quad (2.11)$$

Let $\hat{\kappa}_1$ be an estimate of κ_1 and define the new state x_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= v_{m2} + \hat{\kappa}_1^T w_1(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, C_1 \xi, C_1 v) \\ &\triangleq v_{m2} + \alpha_2(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, C_1 \xi, C_1 v, \hat{\kappa}_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Substitute (2.12) into (2.9) to obtain

$$\dot{x}_1 = -\left(c_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) x_1 + b_m x_2 + b_m \tilde{\kappa}_1^T w_1 + \epsilon, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}_1 = \kappa_1 - \hat{\kappa}_1$. Then, let the update law for $\hat{\kappa}_1$ be

$$\dot{\hat{\kappa}}_1 = \text{sgn}(b_m) \Gamma_1 w_1 x_1 \triangleq \omega_1(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, C_1 \xi, C_1 v). \quad (2.14)$$

The time derivative of the nonnegative function

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1^2 + \int_t^\infty \epsilon^2(\tau) d\tau \right) + |b_m| \tilde{\kappa}_1^T \Gamma_1^{-1} \tilde{\kappa}_1 \quad (2.15)$$

along the solutions of (2.13)–(2.14) is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &= -c_1 x_1^2 + b_m x_1 x_2 - \frac{1}{2} x_1^2 + x_1 \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \\ &= -c_1 x_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} (x_1 - \epsilon)^2 + b_m x_1 x_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Step 2: Using (2.3), (2.5) and the definitions of x_1 , x_2 , $\hat{\kappa}_1$, write \dot{x}_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_2 &= v_{m3} - K_{02} v_{m1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \left[\xi_{02} + \varphi_{01}(y) + \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \varphi_{i1}(y) + \sum_{j=0}^m b_j v_{j2} + \epsilon \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y_r} \dot{y}_r + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \dot{y}_r} \ddot{y}_r + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial (C_1 \xi)} C_1 \dot{\xi} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial (C_1 v)} C_1 \dot{v} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \hat{\kappa}_1} w_1(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, C_1 \xi, C_1 v) \\ &\triangleq v_{m3} + \beta_2(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, \ddot{y}_r, C_2 \xi, C_2 v, \hat{\kappa}_1) + \kappa^T \bar{w}_2(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, C_1 \xi, C_1 v, v_{m2}, \hat{\kappa}_1) + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\kappa^T = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p, b_0, \dots, b_m] \quad (2.18)$$

and β_2 , \bar{w}_2 are defined appropriately, using the fact that the partial derivatives of α_2 with respect to its arguments are known smooth functions of measured variables.

Let $\hat{\kappa}_2$ be an estimate of κ and define the new state x_3 as

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= v_{m3} + \left[c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] x_2 + \beta_2 + \hat{\kappa}_2^T \bar{w}_2 + \hat{b}_{m2} x_1 \\ &\triangleq v_{m3} + \alpha_3(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, \ddot{y}_r, C_2 \xi, C_2 v, \hat{\kappa}_1, \hat{\kappa}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Substitute (2.19) into (2.17) to obtain

$$\dot{x}_2 = - \left[c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] x_2 + x_3 + \tilde{\kappa}_2^T w_2 - b_m x_1 + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \epsilon, \quad (2.20)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}_2 = \kappa - \hat{\kappa}_2$, and

$$w_2^T = \bar{w}_2^T + [0, \dots, 0, x_1]. \quad (2.21)$$

Let the update law for $\hat{\kappa}_2$ be

$$\dot{\hat{\kappa}}_2 = \Gamma_2 w_2 x_2 \triangleq \omega_2(y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, C_1 \xi, C_1 v, v_{m2}, \hat{\kappa}_1). \quad (2.22)$$

The time derivative of the nonnegative function

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(x_2^2 + \int_t^\infty \epsilon^2(\tau) d\tau \right) + \tilde{\kappa}_2^T \Gamma_2^{-1} \tilde{\kappa}_2 \quad (2.23)$$

is then given by

$$\dot{V}_2 = -c_1 x_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} (x_1 - \epsilon)^2 - c_2 x_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} x_2 - \epsilon \right)^2 + x_2 x_3. \quad (2.24)$$

Step i ($2 < i < \rho$): Using (2.3), (2.5) and the definitions of $x_1, \dots, x_i, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{i-1}$, write \dot{x}_i as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i &= v_{m,i+1} + \beta_i(y, y_r, \dots, y_r^{(i)}, C_i \xi, C_i v, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{i-1}) \\ &\quad + \kappa^T w_i(y, y_r, \dots, y_r^{(i-1)}, C_{i-1} \xi, C_{i-1} v, v_{m,i}, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{i-1}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial y} \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Let $\hat{\kappa}_i$ be a *new* estimate of κ and define the new state x_{i+1} as

$$x_{i+1} = v_{m,i+1} + \left[c_i + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] x_i + \beta_i + \hat{\kappa}_i^T w_i + x_{i-1}. \quad (2.26)$$

Substitute (2.25) into (2.25) to obtain

$$\dot{x}_i = - \left[c_i + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] x_i + x_{i+1} + \tilde{\kappa}_i^T w_i - x_{i-1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial y} \epsilon, \quad (2.27)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}_i = \kappa - \hat{\kappa}_i$. Let the update law for $\hat{\kappa}_i$ be

$$\dot{\hat{\kappa}}_i = \Gamma_i w_i x_i \triangleq \omega_i(y, y_r, \dots, y_r^{(i-1)}, C_{i-1} \xi, C_{i-1} v, v_{m,i}, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{i-1}). \quad (2.28)$$

The time derivative of the nonnegative function

$$V_i = V_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(x_i^2 + \int_t^\infty \epsilon^2(\tau) d\tau \right) + \tilde{\kappa}_i^T \Gamma_i^{-1} \tilde{\kappa}_i \quad (2.29)$$

is then given by

$$\dot{V}_i = - \sum_{j=1}^i \left[x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial y} x_j - \epsilon \right)^2 \right] + x_i x_{i+1}. \quad (2.30)$$

Step ρ : Using (2.3), (2.5) and the definitions of $x_1, \dots, x_\rho, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{\rho-1}$, write \dot{x}_ρ as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_\rho = & \sigma(y)u + v_{m,\rho+1} + \beta_\rho(y, y_r, \dots, y_r^{(\rho)}, C_\rho \xi, C_\rho v, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{\rho-1}) \\ & + \kappa^T w_\rho(y, y_r, \dots, y_r^{(\rho-1)}, C_{\rho-1} \xi, C_{\rho-1} v, v_{m,\rho}, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{\rho-1}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_\rho}{\partial y} \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Let $\hat{\kappa}_\rho$ be a *new* estimate of κ and define the control u as

$$u = - \frac{1}{\sigma(y)} \left\{ v_{m,\rho+1} + \left[c_\rho + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_\rho}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] x_\rho + \beta_\rho + \hat{\kappa}_\rho^T w_\rho + x_{\rho-1} \right\}. \quad (2.32)$$

Substitute (2.32) into (2.31) to obtain

$$\dot{x}_\rho = - \left[c_\rho + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_\rho}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] x_\rho + \tilde{\kappa}_\rho^T w_\rho - x_{\rho-1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_\rho}{\partial y} \epsilon, \quad (2.33)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}_\rho = \kappa - \hat{\kappa}_\rho$. Let the update law for $\hat{\kappa}_\rho$ be

$$\dot{\hat{\kappa}}_\rho = \Gamma_\rho w_\rho x_\rho \triangleq \omega_\rho(y, y_r, \dots, y_r^{(\rho-1)}, C_{\rho-1} \xi, C_{\rho-1} v, v_{m,\rho}, \hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_{\rho-1}). \quad (2.34)$$

Then, the time derivative of the nonnegative function

$$\begin{aligned} V_\rho &= V_{\rho-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(x_\rho^2 + \int_t^\infty \epsilon^2(\tau) d\tau \right) + \tilde{\kappa}_\rho^T \Gamma_\rho^{-1} \tilde{\kappa}_\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^\rho x_j^2 + |b_m| \tilde{\kappa}_1^T \Gamma_1^{-1} \tilde{\kappa}_1 + \sum_{j=2}^\rho \tilde{\kappa}_j^T \Gamma_j^{-1} \tilde{\kappa}_j + \frac{\rho}{2} \int_t^\infty \epsilon^2(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

is rendered nonpositive (since $c_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, \rho$):

$$\dot{V}_\rho = - \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} \left[c_j x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial y} x_j - \epsilon \right)^2 \right] \leq 0. \quad (2.36)$$

3 Stability and Tracking

We are now ready to state and prove our main result:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $y_r, \dot{y}_r, \dots, y_r^{(\rho)}$ are uniformly bounded, and that $y_r^{(\rho)}$ is piecewise continuous. Then, if the design procedure of Section 2 is applied to the nonlinear system (1.1), all the signals in the resulting closed-loop adaptive system are well-defined and uniformly bounded on $[0, \infty)$, and, in addition,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [y(t) - y_r(t)] = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Due to the piecewise continuity of $y_r^{(\rho)}$ and the smoothness of the nonlinearities, the solution of the closed-loop system has a maximum interval of definition $[0, t_f)$. On this interval, the time derivative of the nonnegative function V_ρ defined in (2.35) is nonpositive, as shown in (2.36). We conclude that x_1, \dots, x_ρ and $\hat{\kappa}_1, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_\rho$ are bounded on $[0, t_f)$ by constants depending only on initial conditions. In particular, since x_1 and y_r are bounded, we have that y is bounded, which, by (2.3), implies that $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\rho$ are bounded and $\sigma(y)$ is bounded away from zero. Furthermore, from the differential equation (1.1), the boundedness of y , together with the fact that $B(D)$ is Hurwitz, imply that $H_\rho(D)\sigma(y)u$ is bounded, where $H_i(s)$ denotes any asymptotically stable transfer function of relative degree greater than or equal to i . This in turn implies that $F_j v_{m-j}$, $0 \leq j \leq m$, are bounded, where $F_i v_k = [v_{k,1}, \dots, v_{k,i+1}]$. In particular, it implies that $C_1 v$ is bounded. By (2.12), the boundedness of $y, y_r, \dot{y}_r, \hat{\kappa}_1, C_1 \xi, C_1 v$ and x_2 implies that v_{m2} is bounded. Hence, $H_{\rho-1}(D)\sigma(y)u$ is bounded, which means that $F_{j+1} v_{m-j}$, $0 \leq j \leq m$, are bounded. This again implies that $C_2 v$ is bounded, which, together with the boundedness of x_3 , implies by (2.19) that v_{m3} is bounded. Continuing in the same fashion, we can prove that $H_1(D)\sigma(y)u$ is bounded, which implies that v is bounded. Since $\sigma(y)$ is bounded away from zero, we

conclude now from (2.32) that u is bounded. From (1.1), this implies that $y, \dot{y}_1, \dots, y^{(n-m)}$ are bounded. Since the m -dimensional zero dynamics of (1.1) are linear and exponentially stable, a standard argument proves that the state of any minimal realization of (1.1) is bounded, and, hence, ζ is bounded.

We have thus shown that the state of the closed-loop adaptive system is bounded on $[0, t_f)$. Hence, $t_f = \infty$. To prove the convergence of the tracking error to zero, we first note that (2.35) and (2.36) imply that \dot{V}_ρ is bounded and integrable on $[0, \infty)$. Furthermore, the boundedness of all the closed-loop signals implies that \ddot{V}_ρ is bounded. Hence, $\dot{V}_\rho \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which proves that $x_1, \dots, x_\rho \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This, in particular, implies that $y - y_r \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4 The Class of Nonlinear Systems

Most models of nonlinear systems are expressed in specific state coordinates. From that state-space form it may not always be obvious whether or not the nonlinear system at hand has an input-output description of the form (1.1). Therefore, we now give coordinate-free geometric conditions which are necessary and sufficient for a single-input single-output nonlinear system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z} &= f(z; \vartheta) + g(z; \vartheta)u \\ y &= h(z; \vartheta) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

to have an input-output description of the form (1.1), which is repeated here for convenience:

$$D^n y = B(D)\sigma(y)u + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} D^i \left[\varphi_{0i}(y) + \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j \varphi_{ji}(y) \right]. \quad (4.2)$$

In (4.1), $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is the input, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is the output, ϑ is a vector of unknown constant parameters, and f, g, h are smooth vector fields with $f(0; \vartheta) = 0$ and $g(z; \vartheta) \neq 0 \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Accordingly, in (4.2), $\sigma(y)$ and $\varphi_{ji}(y)$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $0 \leq j \leq p$ are smooth nonlinearities with $\varphi_{ji}(0) = 0$, $\sigma(y) \neq 0 \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. The coefficients b_0, \dots, b_m ($m \leq n-1$) of the polynomial $B(D)$, as well as $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$, are unknown parameters resulting from a possible reparametrization in which functions of the original unknown parameters ϑ are treated as new parameters.

The following proposition is a corollary of [1, Proposition 5.2].

Proposition 4.1. *The system (4.1) has an input-output description of the form (4.2) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for the true value of the parameter vector ϑ :*

(i) *the one-forms $dh, dL_f h, \dots, dL_f^{n-1} h$ are linearly independent,*

(ii) *$[\text{ad}_f^i \bar{g}, \text{ad}_f^j \bar{g}] = 0, i, j = 0, \dots, n-1$, where \bar{g} is uniquely defined by*

$$L_{\bar{g}} L_f^i h = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, \dots, n-2 \\ 1, & i = n-1, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

(iii) $\text{ad}_f^n \bar{g} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\varphi'_{0i}(y) + \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j \varphi'_{ji}(y) \right] (-1)^{n-i} \text{ad}_f^i \bar{g}$,

with $\varphi_{ji}(y) = \int_0^y \varphi'_{ji}(s) ds, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq j \leq p$,

(iv) $g = \sigma(y) \sum_{i=0}^m b_i (-1)^i \text{ad}_f^i \bar{g}$, and

(v) *the vector fields f and \bar{g} are complete.*

□

Example 4.2 (Single-link flexible-joint manipulator). In order to demonstrate why a reparametrization may be required to write a system of the form (4.1) into the input-output form (4.2), we consider a single-link robotic manipulator whose rotary motion is controlled by means of an elastically coupled actuator. If the effect of elastic coupling is modeled as a linear torsional spring, then the dynamic equations of the system are (cf. [6, p. 231]):

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 \ddot{q}_1 + F_1 \dot{q}_1 + K \left(q_1 - \frac{q_2}{N} \right) mgd \cos q_1 &= 0 \\ J_2 \ddot{q}_2 + F_2 \dot{q}_2 - \frac{K}{N} \left(q_1 - \frac{q_2}{N} \right) &= u, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the angular positions of the link and the actuator, and u is the torque produced at the actuator axis. The inertias J_1, J_2 , the viscous friction constants F_1, F_2 , the

elasticity constant K , the link mass M , the position of the link's center of gravity d , the transmission gear ratio N and the acceleration of gravity g can all be unknown.

In order to find the input-output description of the system (4.4), where u is the input and $y = q_1$ is the measured output, we use the following minimal state representation of (4.4), where $x_1 = q_1$, $x_2 = \dot{q}_1$, $x_3 = q_2$, $x_4 = \dot{q}_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -\frac{mgd}{J_1} \cos x_1 - \frac{F_1}{J_1} x_2 - \frac{K}{J_1} \left(x_1 - \frac{x_3}{N} \right) \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_4 \\ \dot{x}_4 &= \frac{K}{J_2 N} \left(x_1 - \frac{x_3}{N} \right) - \frac{F_2}{J_2} x_4 + \frac{1}{J_2} u \\ y &= x_1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Differentiating y twice, we obtain $x_2 = Dy$ and

$$D^2 y = -\frac{mgd}{J_1} \cos y - \frac{F_1}{J_1} Dy - \frac{K}{J_1} \left(y - \frac{x_3}{N} \right),\tag{4.6}$$

which implies that

$$x_3 = \frac{J_1 N}{K} \left(D^2 y + \frac{mgd}{J_1} \cos y + \frac{F_1}{J_1} Dy + \frac{K}{J_1} y \right)\tag{4.7}$$

$$x_4 = Dx_3 = \frac{J_1 N}{K} \left(D^3 y + \frac{mgd}{J_1} D \cos y + \frac{F_1}{J_1} D^2 y + \frac{K}{J_1} Dy \right).\tag{4.8}$$

Differentiating (4.8) and substituting x_3 and x_4 from (4.7) and (4.8), we arrive at the input-output description of (4.4):

$$\begin{aligned}D^4 y &= \frac{K}{J_1 J_2 N} u + D^3 \left(\frac{F_2}{J_2} - \frac{F_1}{J_1} \right) y - D^2 \left[\left(\frac{K}{J_1} + \frac{K}{J_2 N^2} + \frac{F_1 F_2 N}{J_2 K} \right) y + \frac{mgd}{J_1} \cos y \right] \\ &\quad - D \left[\left(\frac{F_1 K}{J_1 J_2 N^2} + \frac{F_2 N}{J_2} \right) y + \frac{mgd F_2 N}{J_2 K} \cos y \right] - \frac{mgd K}{J_1 J_2 N^2} \cos y,\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

which is in the form (4.2), if we define

$$\begin{aligned}b_0 &= \frac{K}{J_1 J_2 N} > 0, \theta_1 = \frac{F_2}{J_2} - \frac{F_1}{J_1}, \theta_2 = \frac{K}{J_1} + \frac{K}{J_2 N^2} + \frac{F_1 F_2 N}{J_2 K}, \theta_3 = \frac{mgd}{J_1}, \\ \theta_4 &= \frac{F_1 K}{J_1 J_2 N^2} + \frac{F_2 N}{J_2}, \theta_5 = \frac{mgd F_2 N}{J_2 K}, \theta_6 = \frac{mgd K}{J_1 J_2 N^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

□

5 Concluding Remarks

For the class of nonlinear systems considered in [2], we have developed a new systematic design procedure for adaptive output-feedback control. The adaptive controller resulting from this new procedure has dimension $n(m+p+2) + \rho(m+p+1)$. Comparing this to the controller of [2], which has dimension $(n-1) \left[\frac{1}{2}n + p + \rho \right] + p\rho + 2\rho + n + 1$, we see that, depending on the values of n, m, p (recall that $\rho = n - m$), either our new procedure or the procedure of [2] may yield the controller of lower dimension. Finally, we should note that in both cases the controller dimensions can be reduced if, instead of using the design procedure of [5], one employs the improved version of that procedure developed by Jiang and Praly [7].

References

- [1] I. Kanellakopoulos, P. V. Kokotovic, and A. S. Morse, "Adaptive output-feedback control of systems with output nonlinearities," to appear in *Foundations of Adaptive Control*, P. V. Kokotovic, ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [2] R. Marino and P. Tomei, "Global adaptive observers and output-feedback stabilization for a class of nonlinear systems," to appear in *Foundations of Adaptive Control*, P. V. Kokotovic, ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [3] A. Feuer and A. S. Morse, "Adaptive control of single-input single-output linear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. AC-23, pp. 557-569, Aug. 1978.
- [4] R. Marino and P. Tomei, "Global adaptive observers for nonlinear systems via filtered transformations," Technical Report R-90.06, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Sept. 1990.
- [5] I. Kanellakopoulos, P. V. Kokotovic, and A. S. Morse, "Systematic design of adaptive controllers for feedback linearizable systems," to appear in *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1991.
- [6] A. Isidori, *Nonlinear Control Systems*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] Z. P. Jiang and L. Praly, "Iterative designs of adaptive controllers for systems with nonlinear integrators," submitted to *30th IEEE Conf. Dec. Control*, March 1991.