∂ Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis in different molecular portraits of breast cancer treated with silver nanoparticles and its pathway-network analysis

Christian M Rodríguez-Razón¹ Irinea Yañez-Sánchez² Vicente O Ramos-Santillan¹ Celso Velásquez-Ordóñez²

Celso Velásquez-Ordóñez² Susan A Gutiérrez-Rubio¹ Maritza R García-García³ Roció I López-Roa¹ Pedro E Sánchez-Hernández¹ Adrian Daneri-Navarro¹ Trinidad García-Iglesias¹

¹Laboratory of Immunology and Institute of Experimental and Clinical Therapeutics, Department of Physiology, University Center of Health Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico; ²Center for Research in Nanosciences and Nanotechnology, Department of Natural and Exact Sciences, University Center of the Valleys, University of Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico; ³Department of Health Sciences, University Center of the High University of Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico

Correspondence: Trinidad García-Iglesias Immunology Laboratory, Physiology Department, University Center of Health Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Sierra Mojada 950, Col. Independencia, C.P. 44340, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico Tel +52 33 1058 5200 ext 34047 Email trini.iglesias@gmail.com



Background: Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have attracted considerable attention due to the variety of their applications in medicine and other sciences. AgNPs have been used in vitro for treatment of various diseases, such as hepatitis B and herpes simplex infections as well as colon, cervical, and lung cancers. In this study, we assessed the effect on proliferation, adhesion, and apoptosis in breast cancer cell lines of different molecular profiles (MCF7, HCC1954, and HCC70) exposed to AgNPs (2–9 nm).

Methods: Breast cancer cell lines were incubated in vitro; MTT assay was used to assess proliferation. Adhesion was determined by real-time analysis with the xCELLingence system. Propidium iodide and fluorescein isothiocyanate-Annexin V assay were used to measure apoptosis. The transcriptome was assessed by gene expression microarray and Probabilistic Graphical Model (PGM) analyses.

Results: The results showed a decreased adhesion in breast cancer cell lines and the control exposed to AgNPs was noted in 24 hours ($p \le 0.05$). We observed a significant reduction in the proliferation of MCF7 and HCC70, but not in HCC1954. Apoptotic activity was seen in all cell lines exposed to AgNPs, with an apoptosis percentage of more than 60% in cancer cell lines and less than 60% in the control. PGM analysis confirmed, to some extent, the effects of AgNPs primarily on adhesion by changes in the extracellular matrix.

Conclusion: Exposure to AgNPs causes an antiproliferative, apoptotic, and anti-adhesive effect in breast cancer cell lines cultured in vitro. More research is needed to evaluate the potential use of AgNPs to treat different molecular profiles of breast cancer in humans.

Keywords: silver nanoparticles, breast cancer, adhesion, apoptosis, transcriptome

Background

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the most common cancer among women is breast cancer, which globally represents 29% of all female cancers. It is estimated that, each year, 1.38 million new cases are detected and 450,000 die from this disease.¹

Breast cancer is treated with a multidisciplinary approach involving surgical oncology, radiation oncology, and medical oncology.^{1–5} Most women with early-stage breast cancer are treated with surgery combined with other treatments (radiation, chemotherapy, and hormonal therapy) to reduce the risk of recurrence.^{2–5}

Patients with metastatic disease are primarily treated with a systemic approach based on primary tumor characteristics, such as tumor size, grade, number of involved lymph nodes, the status of estrogen (ER) and progesterone (PR) receptors, and the expression of the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2).³⁻⁶ Molecular portraits

© 02108 Rodriguez-Nazion et al. This work is published and licensed by Dore Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Crasine Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported \.3.0) License (http://reativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

1081

International Journal of Nanomedicine 2018:13 1081-1095

of breast cancer have been used to determine treatment and prognosis after medical diagnosis.^{6,7}

Metal nanoparticles, including AgNPs, have attracted much attention due to their wide variety of applications in medicine and other sciences.8 AgNPs have been used in some biological processes (eg, antibacterial, remediation environmental, therapeutic devices). A number of organic materials are known to work as protective agents for preventing colloid sintering during the synthesis of AgNPs; polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) is one of the most widely used agents to this purpose, and acts by controlling the reduction rate of silver ions.9 In reactions where alcohol and ethylene glycol are used, PVP works as a stabilizing agent to ensure dispersion of very small metal particles.¹⁰ Recently, the use of AgNPs as anticancer agents has been promising.¹¹ Furthermore, AgNPs have been used in several cancer cells types (eg, colon and cervical cancers, human lung carcinoma).^{11–13} Although induction of oxidative stress by AgNPs and impairment of DNA repair mechanisms have been observed as a general mode of toxicity, their mechanisms of action are still unclear.^{11,14} The aim of this study was to evaluate adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis in different molecular portraits of breast cancer treated with AgNPs as well as its pathwaynetwork analysis.

Materials and methods

All experiments were conducted in triplicate as independent samples. Figure 1 summarizes the workflow and approach of this study – from sample characterization to the biological and pathway–network analyses.

Characterization of cell lines

The selection of breast cancer cell lines (MCF7, HCC1954, and HCC70) was done according to their molecular portrait.⁶ All cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA). MCF7 (ATCC[®] HTB-22) is an epithelial-adherent adenocarcinoma cell line obtained from a 69-year-old Caucasian female that expresses ERs and PRs and is HER2/neu-negative, classified as luminal A. HCC1974 (ATCC® CRL 2338) is an epithelial-adherent ductal carcinoma cell line obtained from a 61-year-old Indian female classified as HER2/neu, negative for estrogen and progesterone receptors. HCC70 (ATCC® CRL-2315TM) is an epithelial-adherent cell line derived from the primary ductal carcinoma of a 49-year-old Black female that is negative for estrogen and progesterone receptors, and is classified as a triple-negative HER2/neu. All cell lines were stored in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen.

Synthesis and characterization of colloidal AgNPs

AgNP solutions were prepared using 100 mg silver nitrate (AgNO₂) in 100 mL ethanol and 1 g PVP as the stabilizing agent; the weight ratio of PVP to AgNO, was kept at 10:1. The ethanolic solution containing the metallic salt and PVP was refluxed to 363 K and stirred for 12 hours. The formation of AgNPs can be observed at a glance by a change in color in the solution, because small AgNPs are amber and the addition of PVP prevents aggregation.¹⁰ We obtained the ratio 1:10 (AgNO₂/PVP) that was best for stabilization of AgNP size. When we increased the PVP concentration, the particle nucleation rate was higher and particle size decreased.15 The complete methodology for preparation of AgNPs can be obtained from a previous work.16 The characterization of AgNPs was undertaken by ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometry and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Figure 2A shows an absorption peak at 412 nm UV-Vis, which indicates the presence of Ag ions in the sample. On the other hand, Figure 2B and C indicate the presence and size of particles (2–9 nm) in the sample by TEM image and histogram.

Exposure to AgNPs

Breast cancer cell lines were adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^5 /mL in RPMI-1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), for HCC1954 and HCC70, and DMEM medium, (Thermo Fisher Scientific), for the MCF7 cell line. Plates were incubated at 37°C with 95% relative humidity and 5% CO₂ atmosphere for 24 hours. Then, the AgNPs groups were exposed to a concentration of 12.5 µg/mL of nanoparticles for 24 hours. In control groups, the same conditions were used but without AgNPs. All in vitro tests were conducted under these conditions, with the exception of the real-time adhesion analysis. To observe the adhesion, it was necessary to expose cells to the absence/presence of AgNPs during culture.

Adhesion analysis in real time

Cell adhesion was analyzed in triplicate in independent samples of each cell line in the absence/presence of AgNPs. In total, 1×10^5 cells were cultured in a 96-well plate containing microelectrodes for the measurement of cell number, which is translated into a value called the cellular index. This value determines how much a cell disrupts flow impedance in real time using the xCELLingence system (Roche Applied Sciences and ACEA Biosciences, Basel, Switzerland). The cellular index was analyzed every 3 hours for 24 hours posttreatment with AgNPs.



Figure I The flow chart of the whole analysis in this study. Abbreviation: PGM, probabilistic graphical model.

Proliferation and viability assay

Proliferation and viability percentages were obtained by a modification of the original Mossman technique.^{17,18} Cells were incubated for 24 hours in the presence/absence of AgNPs; then, MTT (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was added and allowed to incubate for 2 hours, and, finally,

extraction buffer (20% sodium dodecyl sulfate, Sigma-Aldrich) and 50% dimethylformamide (Sigma-Aldrich) were added. The plate was incubated for 24 hours and read at 570/630 nm in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay reader (Synergy HT Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, with the Gen5 v2.0; BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The result of this



Figure 2 Characterization of AgNPs. (A) Ultraviolet–visible spectra of AgNPs. (B) Transmission electron microscopy of AgNPs. (C) Histogram of the size of the particles. Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; AU, arbitrary unit.

assay was expressed as the proliferation index, calculated as the optical densities in stimulated cells divided by the optical densities of cells without stimulation, of each cell line.

Determination of apoptosis

After AgNPs stimulation, cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 5 μ L fluorescein isothiocyanate-Annexin V was added. Cells were incubated for 15 minutes; then, 5 μ L propidium iodide was added to evaluate apoptosis by a detection kit (BD Pharmigen, San Diego, CA, USA). Finally, apoptosis was measured on an EPICS XL-MCL Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany), and apoptosis results were expressed as the percentage of total apoptosis.

Nucleic acid isolation

Isolation of DNA and RNA was done with the AllPrep DNA/ RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) from almost a million of cells of each cell line in the absence/presence of AgNPs. After isolation, RNA quality was measured with an RNA 6000 Nano kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) in 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). The acceptable RNA Integrity Number (RIN) was higher than 6.0. We quantified RNA and DNA with a NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Acceptable quality DNA should have 260/280 nm ratios 1.8 and 2.0.

Labeling and hybridization

In total, 200 ng total RNA from cell lines was amplified and labeled using the Low Input Two-Color Quick Amp Labeling Kit (Agilent). As internal controls, a Two-Color RNA Spike-In Kit (Agilent) was used. Labeled samples were purified with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Cell line samples were labeled with Cy5 dye, and a control was labeled with Cy3 dye. Hybridization was done with a Hi-RPM Gene Expression Hybridization Kit (Agilent) in a Human GE 4×44k v2 microarray AMADID 026652 (Agilent).

Microarray data

The hybridized microarray was scanned with a DNA Microarray Scanner with SureScan High-Resolution Technology, C version (Agilent), and a Feature Extraction software v.11 (Agilent) was used to extract microarray data. Cy3/Cy5 log rates from a gene panel were analyzed in the R Package. Afterwards, we selected genes highly associated with adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis. We obtained 437 genes, which were processed as follows: data were filtered and nested in centroids using Gene Cluster 3.0 and then adjusted to logarithm base 2; thereafter, genes were centered on the basis of the mean. Heat maps of these genes were generated in the Java program Treeview 1.1.6r2.

Probabilistic Graphical Model impact analysis

The 437 selected genes were analyzed using the probabilistic graphical model (PGM) based on the Markov random field in Reactome FIViz, a Cytoscape plugin (http://www. cytoscape.org/download.php and this one of ReactomeFIViz [also called Reactome Cytoscape Plugin or ReactomeFIPIugIn] http://apps.cytoscape.org/apps/reactomefiplugin),^{14,19,20} where an interactome was generated with the top 10% differences.²¹ Then, we ran a network clustering algorithm on the interactome to identify working subnetworks.²⁰ The tool provides predicted functional impact scores by integrating all observed variations to assess whether the activities of each gene are increased, decreased, or unaffected.^{14,19,20}

Pathway enrichment analysis

The gene modules were subjected to pathway enrichment analyses (hypergeometric testing);²² then, pathway functional

annotations were conducted using the Reactome FIViz, a Cytoscape plugin.

PGM pathway analysis

Pathways related to adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis were analyzed with the PARADIGM; this approach was adapted for Reactome pathways by converting reactions drawn in pathway diagrams into factors; a PGM used in the Reactome FIViz, a Cytoscape plugin.^{19,23} This was done according to Reactome pathways database and by using their pathways component names in order to analyze the integrated pathway activity (IPA) in each related pathway.

Statistical analysis

Independent samples were analyzed with Student's *t*-test and the Mann–Whitney *U* test, according to the nature of the variable. Statistical significance was set at *p*-value ≤ 0.05 . Assessment of pathway associations was undertaken by the hypergeometric test using the Benjamini– Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction, with an FDR correction-modified *p*-value ≤ 0.05 . The analyses were conducted with SPSS version 20 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Adhesion in real time

To investigate whether AgNPs had any effect on the ability of cancer cells to adhere and grow, cells were seeded in plates under conditions as explained earlier. Significant decreases in adhesion were observed with AgNPs treatment; the lowest values recorded were for the MCF7 line followed by the HCC70 cell line, as shown in Figure 3A and C. The first 9 hours of the real-time assay show direct changes in cell adhesion of the treated lines against the controls. MCF7 and HCC70 lines show pronounced decrease compared to the control, whereas the decrease in HCC1954 line is less (Figure 3B). However, the cellular index from 12 hours onwards decreases steadily in all the lines and is maintained until 24 hours.

Proliferation and viability

After 24 hours of exposure to the AgNPs, proliferation (Figure 4) was measured in each of the cell lines with the



Figure 3 Adhesion analysis in real time: presented as mean and standard deviation; differences were calculated using Mann–Whitney U-test p < 0.05 (*). MCF7 (A), HCC1954 (B), and HCC70 (C) cell lines.

Abbreviation: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles.



Figure 4 Proliferation and viability: presented as mean and standard deviation; differences were calculated by the Student's t-test. p < 0.01 (**). **Abbreviation:** AgNPs, silver nanoparticles.

MTT assay. MCF7 and HCC70 showed a significant reduction between the AgNPs group and control group; both lines exhibited a reduction of more than half in the proliferation index. Whereas HCC1954 had a moderate reduction as in the adhesion assay; HCC1954 was least affected by AgNPs.

Determination of apoptosis

The total apoptosis percentage was determined in each of the cell lines exposed and not exposed to AgNPs (Figure 5A). Figure 5B–D shows a representative dot plot of each cell line exposed to AgNPs. All cell lines showed a significant increase in the percentage of apoptosis; HCC70 showed the highest percentage of apoptosis. The increase in percentage is at least five times greater in comparison to the respective controls of each cell line.



Figure 5 Determination of apoptosis: cells were stained with Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FLI) and propidium iodide (FL2), and cell apoptosis was analyzed by flow cytometry. (**A**) Total apoptosis percentage presented as mean and standard deviation; differences were calculated by the Student's *t*-test. p < 0.01 (**). (**B**–**D**) Dot plots representative of each cell line.

Abbreviation: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles.



Figure 6 Gene expression heat map of 437 selected genes in each cell line. Abbreviation: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles.

Gene expression

A gene expression microarray assay was conducted for HCC70, HCC1954, and MCF7 cell lines. Both control and AgNP-treated cells were tested. Figure 6 shows the heat map of the expression of 437 genes in each of the different lines of breast cancer. The expression of different genes change in response to the exposure to AgNPs; these changes differ according to the conditions of the original cell (the list of the 437 genes, as well as their expression data, are included in the <u>Supplementary materials</u>).

PGM impact analysis

We generated an interactome from the 437 genes along with their immediate inferred interaction partners; this provided a network of 12,177 genes. The top 10% of nodes, classified by differences in protein impact score (PIS) were selected to generate a minimal essential network (MEN; Figure 7).²¹

The size of the nodes in the interactome is proportional to their PIS; this value is obtained not only by the expression of the gene but also by their known interactions.^{14,19} It is important to mention that the app generates a comparison against random samples from its database in order to provide the results. The edges show the interactions between different nodes in the interactome. Table 1 shows the PIS of the top 10% of the genes and their p-value against random samples, according to the PGM impact analysis. Genes such as cyclin B2 (CCNB2), laminin subunit alpha 1 (LAMA1), matrix metallopeptidase 7 (MMP7), secreted protein acidic and cysteine-rich (SPARC), CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2 (CKS2), aurora kinase B (AURKB), interleukin 18 (IL18), versican (VCAN), and matrix metallopeptidase 3 (MMP3) were some of the genes that showed statistically significant gene expression from the random samples generated dynamically by the App in the control lines. Meanwhile, in the AgNPs groups,



Figure 7 MEN of protein impact score. (A) Control cell lines and (B) cell lines treated with AgNPs. Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; MEN, minimal essential network.

Name	Control	Random	p-value	AgNPs	Random	p-value
	sample	sample		sample	sample	
ADAM23	2.426	1.773	0.152	2.186	1.589	0.108
APC	0.85	1.794	0.014	1.514	1.609	0.724
APEXI	1.138	1.699	0.131	1.175	1.737	0.347
ATR	1.184	1.839	0.096	1.351	1.636	0.357
AIRX	0.959	1.598	0.074	0.995	1./3/	0.052
AURKB	1.179	1.808	0.048	1.64	1.728	0.969
BAGI	1.205	1.719	0.337	1.228	1.047	0.141
BCCIP	1.214	1.754	0.337	1.401	1.717	0.363
BCI 21 10	2 422	1.7	0.202	2 267	1.770	0.232
BCI 2L LI	1.142	1.651	0.147	1.456	1.658	0.543
BIRC6	1.12	1.795	0.034	1.387	1.682	0.468
BNIP2	0.751	1.761	0.013	1.759	1.837	0.86
CASP4	2.301	1.667	0.084	1.753	1.709	0.953
CASP5	2.248	1.648	0.057	1.737	1.677	0.875
CCNA2	1.068	1.679	0.217	1.332	1.679	0.695
CCNB2	0.237	1.775	3.70E-03	1.428	1.681	0.444
CCNC	1.144	1.67	0.121	0.86	1.688	0.068
CDC16	1.196	1.786	0.062	1.197	1.602	0.239
CDC25C	1.173	1.756	0.347	1.062	1.601	0.152
CDHI	2.537	1.693	0.117	2.977	1.773	7.65E-03
CDH13	2.585	1.722	0.052	2.611	1.749	0.024
CDH6	2.423	1.745	0.149	2.697	1.//	0.016
CHD4	1.257	1./59	0.141	1.556	1.618	0.922
CNTNU	0.527	1.771	0.012	1.20	1.602	0.377
	2.235	1.070	0.037	2 527	1.017	0.031
COLISAL	2.305	1.749	0.121	2.327	1.645	0.02
COLIAI	2.28	1.62	0.121	2.288	1.854	0.141
COL4A2	2.445	1.592	0.039	7.09E-05	4.30E-05	0.05
COL6A1	2.465	1.69	0.045	2.586	1.577	0.011
COL8A1	2.46	1.643	0.021	2.543	1.716	0.029
COX5A	0.982	1.609	0.081	1.32	1.693	0.158
CRYI	1.311	1.811	0.29	1.264	1.695	0.239
CSNK2A2	1.263	1.75	0.17	0.998	1.675	0.088
CTBPI	1.129	1.77	0.084	1.197	1.772	0.141
CIGF	2.2	1.623	0.065	2.6/3	1.593	0.013
CULI	0.904	1.732	0.045	1.2/1	1.648	0.421
CXCR4	2.50	1.752	0.023	2.040	1.005	0.377
CYCS	1 104	1.050	0.176	1 925	1.500	0.41
DKCI	0.808	1.658	0.039	0.801	1.071	0.036
EDNI	2.488	1.727	0.096	2.258	1.64	0.176
ERCCI	1.209	1.742	0.121	1.065	1.704	0.057
ESRI	1.12	1.63	0.176	1.781	1.79	0.596
F3	2.692	I.687	0.043	1.955	1.648	0.505
FANCA	1.074	1.58	0.377	1.485	1.695	0.468
FANCD2	0.96	1.747	0.033	1.246	1.739	0.141
FLT4	1.121	1.911	0.024	2.163	1.771	0.317
GADD45A	1.131	1.62	0.317	1.579	1.771	0.48
GSTPI	2.184	1.553	0.202	1.045	1.761	0.041
	1.103	1./37	0.136	1.051	۱./۱۵ ۱.7	0./99
	2.300	1.708	0.176	۲.۲ 4 ۵ ۱ ۵۵7	1.7	0.117
HRK	0.701	1.376	0.005	1.03/	1.002	0.754
	1 309	1.000	0.388	1 635	1.67	0.010
IGFBP7	2.865	1.811	0.014	2.359	1.733	0.202
IL18	2.618	1.764	0.048	1.717	1.564	0.922
ILIB	2.259	1.701	0.074	2.818	1.685	7.21E-03
ITGA4	2.643	1.64	0.027	2.229	1.715	0.317
ITGAM	1.055	1.704	0.071	1.617	1.657	0.906

Table I (Continued)

Name	Control	Random	p-value	AgNPs	Random	p-value
	sample	sample	•	sample	sample	
ITGB3	1.171	1.668	0.299	2.388	1.706	0.05
JAGI	2.177	1.631	0.108	2.385	1.761	0.099
KISSIR	2.497	1.811	0.17	1.897	1.715	0.739
KRT14	2.695	1.703	0.045	2.514	1.63	0.024
KRT5	2.723	1.737	0.034	2.276	1.62	0.121
LAMAI	2.584	1.631	6.04E-03	2.49	1.688	0.024
LAMA2	2.241	1.653	0.117	1.346	1.649	0.308
LAMA3	2.454	1.615	0.074	1.709	1.651	0.953
LAMB3	2.57	1.679	0.018	2.236	1.686	0.131
LEP	0.765	1.704	0.036	1.075	1.73	0.055
LPL	2.847	1.8	0.02	3.047	1.714	6.04E-03
MAP2K3	1.126	1.766	0.078	1.241	1.724	0.164
MCLI	1.115	1.651	0.152	1.357	1.669	0.347
MCM2	1.128	1.789	0.264	1.311	1.751	0.232
MLHI	0.968	1.783	0.065	0.593	1.759	8.59E-03
MMPI	2.303	1.72	0.141	2.022	1.743	0.377
MMP13	2.539	1.702	0.084	2.514	1.604	0.057
MMP15	0.885	1.661	0.152	0.966	1.633	0.124
MMP3	2.703	1.723	0.029	2.931	1.759	4.19E-03
MMP7	3.075	1.579	6.41E-03	2.354	1.641	0.141
MSH2	1.067	1.676	0.196	1.023	1.747	0.028
MTAI	0.937	1.761	0.022	0.909	1.719	0.028
MYC	0.912	1.652	0.045	1.043	1.905	0.041
NOICHI	1.254	1.751	0.29	1.069	1.826	0.05
OCLN	2.433	1.587	0.117	2.984	1.649	5.69E-03
PECAMI	2.311	1.68/	0.182	2.36	1.//2	0.126
PFKL	1.05	1./86	0.041	1.915	1.672	0.439
	1.182	1.68/	0.255	1.039	1.811	0.074
	0.904	1.774	0.029	1.077	1.736	0.01
	0.904	1.712	0.00	1.05	1./1	0.071
	0.045	1.001	0.022	1.625	1.007	0.706
PERPS	1.170	1.72	0.224	1.130	1.001	0.583
REVI	1.255	1.771	0.084	1.700	1.705	0.363
RIPK2	2 256	1.72	0.001	1.822	1.653	0.638
SIPRI	2.250	1.7	0.068	2 055	1.689	0.050
SELE	2.276	1.734	0.126	1.454	1.783	0.255
SERPINEI	2,298	1.712	0.131	2.613	1.685	7.21F-03
SGCE	2.191	1.599	0.088	2.213	1.584	0.232
SNAII	1.202	1.76	0.281	1.849	1.781	1
SODI	1.104	1.686	0.096	1.451	1.754	0.337
SPARC	3.026	1.711	7.21E-03	3.077	1.702	5.36E-03
SPHKI	1.148	1.681	0.247	1.536	1.771	0.41
SRC	1.258	1.798	0.399	1.607	1.75	0.739
SSTR2	2.149	1.633	0.119	2.104	1.67	0.57
SUMOI	1.225	1.782	0.152	1.804	1.626	0.638
TBX2	2.356	1.715	0.078	2.139	1.663	0.192
TFF3	2.369	1.738	0.114	2.737	1.847	0.033
TGFB2	2.593	1.722	0.062	2.084	1.717	0.299
THBS2	2.771	1.772	0.06	3.078	1.601	5.36E-03
TIMPI	2.199	1.521	0.272	1.805	1.671	0.754
TIMP3	2.491	1.542	0.022	1.96	1.747	0.596
TNFRSFIIB	2.362	1.786	0.182	2.062	1.61	0.224
TNFRSFIA	1.005	1.6	0.078	1.102	1.679	0.108
TP73	2.275	1.731	0.074	2.165	1.729	0.17
TRAF2	1.066	1.714	0.068	0.653	1.702	0.013
VCAMI	2.525	1.654	0.034	2.139	1.724	0.543
VCAN	2.496	1.663	0.048	2.29	1.624	0.041
VEGFC	2.325	1.568	0.055	2.197	1.759	0.299
XBPI	2.611	1.623	0.033	2.43	1.788	0.131

Note: *p*≤0.05, FDR≤0.05.

Abbreviations: PGM, probabilistic graphical models; AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; FDR, false discovery rate.

genes that were found to be differently expressed were the *MMP3*, *SPARC*, thrombospondin 2 (*THBS2*), occludin (*OCLN*), lipoprotein lipase (*LPL*), interleukin 1 beta (*IL1B*), serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade F member 1 (*SERPINF1*), cadherin 1 (*CDH1*), mutL homolog 1 (*MLH1*), collagen type VI alpha 1 chain (*COL6A1*), connective tissue growth factor (*CTGF*), tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 1A-associated via death domain (*TRAF2*), and cadherin 6 (*CDH6*), compared to a random background generated dynamically by the App.

The MEN includes 122 genes and 246 edges cluster by modularity;²⁰ eight modules were identified and mapped onto biological pathways using the Reactome FIViz, a Cytoscape plugin, to ascertain function as shown in Table 2 (the complete data of Table 2 is shown in the <u>Supplementary materials</u>). Table 2 shows that gene sets are significant for adhesion (extracellular matrix organization, focal adhesion, and integrin signaling pathway), proliferation (cell cycle, anaphase-promoting complex [APC/C] mediated degradation of cell-cycle proteins), and apoptosis (direct

p53 effectors, the intrinsic pathway for apoptosis, and p53 signaling pathway).

PGM pathway analysis

The results of the PGM pathway analysis are shown in Table 3 (the complete data of Table 3 is shown in the Supplementary materials), where functional components of related pathways are displayed by biological activities (adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis) and the IPA differences in values. There were several significant changes related to the functional components on adhesion, which included the secreted phosphoprotein 1-CD44 antigen (SPP1-CD44), platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1 (PECAM1), SPP1, SPP1-Integrin alpha5beta1-alpha9beta1, SPP1-Integrin alphaVBeta1,3,5, Integrin alphaVbeta3-PECAM1, Integrin alphaVbeta3-Tenascin, Tenascin-C hexamer, and Integrin alpha9beta1-Tenascin-C hexamer. There were no significant changes related to functional components of apoptosis and proliferation. Some components of the apoptosis pathways, such as caspase-8 (CASP8) and catenin beta-1 (CTNNB1), reached

Table	2	Pathways	identified	in	modules
abic	-	1 aurivays	nacintinea		modules

Module	Number of genes	Genes	Protein from module	Gene set-pathway	p-value	FDR
0	25	APC, AURKB, BAGI, BIRC6, BNIP2, CCNC,	6	Signaling by NOTCH1	5.99E-09	1.17E-06
		CDC16, CHD4, CNTN1, CRY1, CSNK2A2, CUL1, GSTP1, JAG1, LPL, MTA1, NOTCH1, OCLN,	4	APC/C-mediated degradation of cell-cycle proteins	4.59E-05	2.99E-03
		PFKL, PNKP, REVI, SNAII, SODI, SUMOI, UBC	3	Circadian clock	4.44E-04	0.017
1	20	COLI4AI, COLI5AI, COLIAI, COL4A2,	15	Extracellular matrix organization	1.11E-16	1.20E-14
		COL6A1, COL8A1, CTGF, FLT4, IGFBP7, ITGA4,	10	Focal adhesion	1.80E-12	9.69E-11
		ITGAM, ITGB3, LAMA1, LAMA2, SPARC, TGFB2, THBS2, VCAM1, VCAN, VEGFC	9	Integrin signaling pathway	7.31E-12	1.97E-10
2	15	ATR, ATRX, CTBPI, ERCCI, FANCA, FANCD2,	6	BARD1 signaling events	8.84E-13	5.92E-11
		MCM2, MLH1, MSH2, PMS1, RAD17, RAD50,	5	ATM pathway	5.15E-10	8.68E-09
		RAD51, RBBP8, SSTR2	5	ATR signaling pathway	7.84E-10	I.02E-08
3	13	APEX1, CCNB2, CDC25C, CKS2, COX5A,	4	p53 signaling pathway	9.32E-07	I.64E-04
		CYCS, EDNI, ESRI, GADD45A, GTSEI, ICAMI,	4	Cell cycle	9.95E-06	7.52E-04
		MYC, TBX2	3	FOXMI transcription factor network	1.39E-05	7.52E-04
4	П	CXCLI, CXCR4, HRAS, MAP2K3, PECAMI,	4	PDGFR-beta signaling pathway	5.89E-06	4.42E-04
		RIPK2, SIPRI, SPHKI, SRC, TNFRSFIA, TRAF2	3	CXCR3-mediated signaling events	6.51E-06	4.42E-04
			3	TNF receptor signaling pathway	I.47E-05	7.92E-04
5	11	CDHI, CDHI3, LAMA3, LAMB3, MMPI,	9	Extracellular matrix organization	1.54E-13	1.05E-11
		MMP13, MMP15, MMP3, MMP7, TIMP1, TIMP3	3	Plasminogen activating cascade	1.12E-07	2.47E-06
			4	Cell junction organization	7.01E-07	I.19E-05
6	5	BBC3, BCL2L11, CCNA2, MCL1, TP73	3	Direct p53 effectors	2.07E-05	2.90E-04
			2	Intrinsic pathway for apoptosis	1.23E-04	I.23E-03
			2	p53 signaling pathway	4.37E-04	3.50E-03
7	2	KRT14, KRT5	2	Validated transcriptional targets of deltaNp63 isoforms	2.03E-05	4.06E-05
			2	Glucocorticoid receptor regulatory network	5.83E-05	5.83E-05

Note: *p*≤0.05, FDR≤0.05.

Abbreviation: FDR, false discovery rate.

Table 3 PGM pathway analysis

Pathway	Name: Functional components [localization]_Reactome	IPA AgNPs	IPA control	p-value	FDR
	Identification number.				
Adherence	SPPI:CD44 [plasma membrane]_2752110	-2.713	-0.591	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	PECAMI [plasma membrane]_114686	-1.034	0.865	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	SPP1 [extracellular region]_215967	-2.227	-0.477	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	SPP1:Integrin alpha5beta1, alpha9beta1 [plasma membrane]_265417	-2.227	-0.641	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	SPP1:Integrin alphaVBeta1,3,5 [plasma membrane]_2752132	-2.227	-0.641	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	Integrin alphaVbeta3:PECAMI [plasma membrane]_210229	-1.793	-0.37	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	Integrin alphaVbeta3:Tenascin [plasma membrane]_265412	-1.723	-0.585	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	TNC [extracellular region]_215968	-0.964	-0.07	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	Tenascin-C hexamer [extracellular region]_216010	-0.964	-0.07	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	Integrin alpha9beta1:Tenascin-C hexamer [plasma membrane]_216014	-0.964	-0.315	3.95E-03	0.047
Adherence	Integrin alpha2bbeta3:FN1(32-2386) [plasma membrane]_349592	-1.951	-0.63	0.025	0.125
Adherence	FNI(32-2386) [extracellular region]_54851	-1.197	-0.117	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alpha2bbeta3 [plasma membrane]_114514	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alpha IIb beta 3:Fibrin complex [plasma membrane]_I 14559	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alphaVbeta3 [plasma membrane]_210216	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alphallbbeta3:VWF multimer [plasma membrane]_216031	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alphaVbeta3:IBSP [plasma membrane]_265408	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alphaVbeta3:VWF multimer [plasma membrane]_265414	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alphaVbeta3:Fibrillin-1 [plasma membrane]_265416	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alphallbbeta3:THBS1 [plasma membrane]_349605	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Tetrastatin:Integrin alphaVbeta3 [plasma membrane]_4088257	-1.064	-0.37	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrins alphaVbeta3, alphaVbeta5 [plasma membrane]_4085079	-0.282	0.178	0.025	0.125
Adherence	Integrin alphaMbeta2 [plasma membrane]_202755	0.357	-0.182	0.025	0.125
Apoptosis	CTNNBI-I(I-II5) [cytosol]_202930	-0.59	0.00E+00	3.95E-03	0.292
Apoptosis	CTNNBI-I(II6-376) [cytosol]_202950	-0.59	0.00E+00	3.95E-03	0.292
Apoptosis	CASP8(1–479) [cytosol]_57031	-0.29	0.386	0.025	0.337
Apoptosis	CASP8(1–479) [cytosol]_57031	-0.29	0.386	0.025	0.25
Apoptosis	CASP8(1–479) [cytosol]_57031	-0.29	0.386	0.025	0.337
Apoptosis	Active caspase-8 [cytosol]_2562550	-0.48	0.386	0.025	0.673
Apoptosis	Active caspase-8 [mitochondrial outer membrane]_2671818	-0.48	0.386	0.025	0.673
Apoptosis	OCLN [plasma membrane]_351846	1.783	1.13	0.025	0.673
Apoptosis	CASP8(1–479) [cytosol]_57031	-0.29	0.386	0.025	0.25

Note: *p*≤0.05, FDR≤0.05.

Abbreviations: PGM, probabilistic graphical models; IPA, integrated pathway activity; AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; FDR, false discovery rate.

significant *p*-values, but not FDR values, which indicate probable false positives.

Discussion

The use of nanomaterials in medicine has been an important contribution to science; however, the biological risk of using AgNPs has not been clearly established.^{24,25} As the effect of these AgNPs has been previously tested on murine lymphoma,¹⁶ one of the goals of this study was to assess whether the effect was similar in human cancer cell lines. To evaluate this effect on breast cancer cell lines, different molecular portraits were used. The behavior and prognosis of the disease depend, to a large extent, on the molecular presence of the estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, HER2/neu status, and the genetic profile of the cell lines.^{6,7} Different molecular samples were included, as specified in the material and methods section, in order to observe the effect of AgNPs on these different cell lines.

We used xCELLigence – an impedance-based live-cell monitoring platform – to determine how AgNPs affect adhesion on breast cancer cell lines.²⁶ Results are expressed in cell index, a complex measurement that can provide data with regard to cellular adhesion, viability, and proliferation;²⁶ however, data displayed during the initial hours of the real-time assay essentially showed cellular adhesion.^{27,28} The decrease of the cell index caused by AgNPs represents a decrease in cell migration and metastasis of cancerous cells.²⁹ The fact that the greatest decrease was observed in HCC70 shows the potential use of this nanomaterial as a treatment for triple-negative breast cancer, which is commonly associated

with a worse prognosis. Although the mechanism of action by which AgNPs produce this effect has not yet been described, it is hypothesized that they affect focal adhesion kinases.^{27,28} These are large complexes of dynamic macromolecular proteins commonly found on migratory cells that provide the mechanical link between the cell and its binding to the extracellular matrix.^{28,29} Reported data from 9 to 24 hours of exposure to AgNPs can be used as an indicator of proliferation and cytotoxicity.²⁸ Our data showed a clear decrease in the cell index in all lines, which proves their antiproliferative effect.

Previous studies within our study group showed that these AgNPs have antiproliferative and apoptotic effects and they, moreover, increase the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) at concentrations of 9.0 µg/mL or higher in the L5178Y lymphoma cell line.¹⁶ The proliferation/viability assay by reduction of MTT to formazan crystals showed that AgNPs decrease the proliferation of breast cancer cell lines when compared to the control group – except in the HCC1954 cell line, which in this test, did not show significant differences. The mechanism by which AgNPs generate this reduction in proliferation has not been well described. Asharani et al in 2009 proposed three possible mechanisms: 1) chromosomal aberrations, 2) DNA oxidation, and 3) cytoskeletal damage. Furthermore, the increase in ROS and DNA fracture in several studies has been confirmed so far.11,14,30-33 The MTT assay did not provide the cause by which AgNPs decrease proliferation, although it did demonstrate that they have an antiproliferative effect.

The apoptosis assay showed a clear proapoptotic effect on the AgNP-treated cell lines, regardless of breast cancer molecular portraits. However, this proapoptotic effect increased as the prognosis of the cell line worsened. HCC70, classified as triple negative and the cell line with the worst prognosis in this study, showed the greatest apoptotic effect.^{6,7} The effect of AgNPs on cells can be proven by antiproliferative and apoptotic effects. Studies conducted on MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 breast cancer lines have shown that exposure to AgNPs of different size and origin can cause caspase-3 activation, reduced expression of Bcl-2, and fragmentation of DNA that will eventually lead to apoptosis.^{30–32} There is a difference in the effect of AgNPs shown by the assays of apoptosis and proliferation of HCC1954; this can be mostly explained because apoptosis was reported with the set of cells in early and late apoptosis. Cells in early stages of death could be considered viable cells by the MTT assay because they still have some mitochondrial activity and the number of cells undergoing proliferation could be compared to the number of cells in early and late apoptosis, as determined by Annexin V assay.^{34,35}

In order to assess how AgNPs affect adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis, the genetic expression of cancer cell lines was analyzed. The expression analysis was only conducted in genes related to the biological tests undertaken in the study (Figure 6). There was a significant change in the expression of genes between the control and AgNP groups as some genes were overexpressed and others underexpressed in different patterns according to their molecular subtype.

Although knowing the expression values of different genes is useful, it does not provide enough information in its functional form. It has been postulated that a better way to systematically uncover gene function and the higher level organization of proteins into biological pathways is through the analysis of molecular interaction networks.³⁶ In order to carry out this analysis, three different approaches have been proposed: 1) fixed-gene set enrichment analysis, 2) new network construction and clustering, and 3) network-based modeling.³⁷ In this study, the third approach was mainly used in order to obtain a better understanding of the impact of genetic expression at the protein level, and it was undertaken with the PGM analysis and its different algorithms.^{14,19}

The 437 genes were processed using the PGM impact analysis, and an interactome was generated with the top 10% (122 nodes 248 edges).²¹ Then, they were grouped by modularity,²⁰ and the resulting modules are shown in Figure 7. The size of the nodes is proportional to the PIS (the complete data of Table 1 is provided in the Supplementary materials). The interactome is not only a graphic representation of the PIS but also shows functional interactions in the genes involved. Some genes with significantly different protein impact, which have been associated as cancer biomarkers are CCNB2, LAMA1, MMP3, SPARC, CKS2, BNIP2, IGFBP7, APC, LAMB3, LPL, COL8A1, and MTA1. CCNB2 has been primarily described as a marker in colorectal carcinoma; it upregulates and coordinates expression of other cellcycle-related genes by NF-Y might contribute to tumor cell proliferation.³⁸ LAMA1 promotes cell adhesion, invasion, and migration of tumor and endothelial cells, resulting in tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis.³⁹ MMP3 can cause epithelial-mesenchymal transition and malignant transformation in cultured cells.⁴⁰ SPARC is overexpressed in many cancers, including breast cancer, and the effects of SPARC seem to be cell-type specific,²⁶ as it can induce MMP-2 activation in two invasive breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and BT549), but not in a noninvasive counterpart (MCF-7) which lacks MT1-MMP.²⁹ BNIP3 and BNIP2 have been correlated with

cell death and necrosis; these genes are underexpressed in breast cancer lines; however, after exposure to AgNPs, the values of the exposed lines seem to approach the values found in random samples.⁴¹ The available information on IGFBP7 is controversial; it has been concluded that its alteration is related to cancer. However, depending on the cell line, this gene is either overexpressed or underexpressed.^{42,43} APC is an important tumor suppressor gene in breast cancer, and PIS values increase considerably after exposure to AgNPs.44 LAMB3 – a gene expressing the laminin protein known to influence cell differentiation, migration, adhesion, proliferation, and survival - demonstrated in silencing studies that it functioned as an oncogene.45,46 LPL is associated with tumor nutrition and proliferation; its expression and activity varies in different types of cancer. LPL has high PIS values in untreated and AgNPs cell lines, although this is not so in random samples of the in silico analysis. This indicates that treatment with AgNPs does not normalize PIS; instead, it causes PIS to increase.47 The expression of COL8A1 is closely related to tumor cell proliferation, invasion, and tumorigenicity in vivo. However, treatment with AgNPs does not affect PIS values.⁴⁸ MTA1 overexpression correlates significantly with tumor grade and angiogenesis in human breast cancers; nevertheless, PIS values of this gene are significantly lower than those in random samples generated by Reactome FIViz, and exposure to AgNPs does not seem to have any effect.49

Using the enrichment analysis of the set of genes, we can understand the main routes that would be affected by these genes as those related to the extracellular matrix, such as extracellular matrix organization, focal adhesion, and integrin signaling pathway, and with respect to cell death, the intrinsic pathway for apoptosis and direct p53 effectors (Table 2).

The PGM pathway analysis uses the PARADIGM algorithm to obtain an IPA score, where all significant data were related to components of the extracellular matrix, such as SPP1-CD44, PECAM1, SPP1, SPP1-Integrin alpha5beta1-alpha9beta1, SPP1-Integrin alphaVBeta1,3,5 and Integrin alphaVbeta3-PECAM1, that regulate cell communication, adhesion, and migration.⁵⁰ Interaction of β 1 integrins with hERG1 channels in cancer cells stimulated distinct signaling pathways that affect different aspects of tumor progression. However, the role of β 1 integrins in tumorigenesis has not been fully resolved.^{51,52} Our data suggest that a lower IPA value increases the cell rate in breast cancer cell lines.

Results obtained in the two different PGM analyses do not show the same scope as in the biological assays related

to adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis. This could be due to the small sample or the absence of other additional data, such as those on methylations, polymorphisms, and copy number variations, which reduce the representability of RNA expression data.

Conclusion

With these results, we can conclude that AgNPs possess a great therapeutic potential against cancer, by decreasing adhesion and proliferation and increasing the percentage of apoptosis. In addition, some genes by which AgNPs carry out their action were identified. However, more studies that focus on the mechanisms of action are needed before AgNPs can be safely used in the clinical setting.

Data sharing statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its <u>Supplementary materials</u>).

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the Sectorial Fund for Research for Education, CONACYT CB-2015-254174 and UDG-PRO-SNI-2015-2016. The authors would like to thank Lorena Sánchez-Parada, Pablo Ortiz-Lazareno, and Sofia Gomez-Bautista for their support in this research. Finally, the authors offer special thanks to Lorena M Brennan-Bourdon for her help with English editing.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(5):E359–E386.
- Kesson EM, Allardice GM, George WD, Burns HJ, Morrison DS. Effects of multidisciplinary team working on breast cancer survival: retrospective, comparative, interventional cohort study of 13 722 women. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e2718.
- Geels P, Eisenhauer E, Bezjak A, Zee B, Day A. Palliative effect of chemotherapy: objective tumor response is associated with symptom improvement in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18(12):2395–2405.
- Senkus E, Kyriakides S, Ohno S, et al; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Primary breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(Suppl 5):v8–v30.
- Stockler M, Wilcken NR, Ghersi D, Simes RJ. Systematic reviews of chemotherapy and endocrine therapy in metastatic breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2000;26(3):151–168.
- Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature*. 2000;406(6797):747–752.
- van 't Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, et al. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. *Nature*. 2002;415(6871): 530–536.

- 8. Marambio-Jones C, Hoek EMV. A review of the antibacterial effects of silver nanomaterials and potential implications for human health and the environment. *J Nanopart Res.* 2010;12(5):1531–1551.
- Carotenuto G, Pepe GP, Nicolais L. Preparation and characterization of nano-sized Ag/PVP composites for optical applications. *Eur Phys J B*. 2000;16(1):11–17.
- Kim JS. Reduction of silver nitrate in ethanol by poly (N-vinylpyrrolidone). J Ind Eng Chem. 2007;13(4):566–570.
- Asharani PV, Hande MP, Valiyaveettil S. Anti-proliferative activity of silver nanoparticles. *BMC Cell Biol.* 2009;10(1):65.
- Vasanth K, Ilango K, MohanKumar R, Agrawal A, Dubey GP. Anticancer activity of Moringa oleifera mediated silver nanoparticles on human cervical carcinoma cells by apoptosis induction. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2014;117:354–359.
- Durai P, Chinnasamy A, Gajendran B, et al. Synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles using crystal compound of sodium para-hydroxybenzoate tetrahydrate isolated from Vitex negundo. L leaves and its apoptotic effect on human colon cancer cell lines. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2014;84:90–99.
- AshaRani PV, Low Kah Mun G, Hande MP, Valiyaveettil S. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in human cells. *ACS Nano*. 2009;3(2):279–290.
- Dang TMD, Le TTT, Fribourg-Blanc E, Dang MC. Influence of surfactant on the preparation of silver nanoparticles by polyol method. *Adv Nat Sci: Nanosci Nanotechnol.* 2012;3(3):035004.
- Yañez-Sánchez I, Carreón-Álvarez CDLL, Velásquez-Ordóñez C, et al. Silver nanoparticles induce apoptosis in L5178Y lymphoma by lipoperoxide activity. *Dig J Nanomater Biostruct*. 2014;9(4):1681–1687.
- Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J Immunol Methods*. 1983;65(1–2):55–63.
- Hansen MB, Nielsen SE, Berg K. Re-examination and further development of a precise and rapid dye method for measuring cell growth/cell kill. *J Immunol Methods*. 1989;119(2):203–210.
- Wu G, Dawson E, Duong A, Haw R, Stein L. ReactomeFIViz: a Cytoscape app for pathway and network-based data analysis. Version 2. *F1000Res.* 2014;3:146.
- Newman T, Boehnlein A, Eckhoff P, Pease A, inventors. Remote inspection device. United States patent US20060281972 A1. 2006 Dec 14. Google Patents.
- Stevens A, Meyer S, Hanson D, Clayton P, Donn RP. Network analysis identifies protein clusters of functional importance in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2014;16(3):R109.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Statist Soc B*. 1995; 57(1):289–300.
- Vaske CJ, Benz SC, Sanborn JZ, et al. Inference of patient-specific pathway activities from multi-dimensional cancer genomics data using PARADIGM. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(12):i237–i245.
- Blanco E, Ferrari M. Emerging nanotherapeutic strategies in breast cancer. *Breast*. 2014;23(1):10–18.
- Yezhelyev MV, Gao X, Xing Y, Al-Hajj A, Nie S, O'Regan RM. Emerging use of nanoparticles in diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer. *Lancet Oncol.* 2006;7(8):657–667.
- Dhanesuan N, Sharp JA, Blick T, Price JT, Thompson EW. Doxycyclineinducible expression of SPARC/Osteonectin/BM40 in MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells results in growth inhibition. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2002;75(1):73–85.
- Kiely M, Hodgins SJ, Merrigan BA, Tormey S, Kiely PA, O'Connor EM. Real-time cell analysis of the inhibitory effect of vitamin K2 on adhesion and proliferation of breast cancer cells. *Nutr Res.* 2015;35(8): 736–743.
- Dowling CM, Herranz Ors C, Kiely PA. Using real-time impedancebased assays to monitor the effects of fibroblast-derived media on the adhesion, proliferation, migration and invasion of colon cancer cells. *Biosci Rep.* 2014;34(4). pii: e00126.

- Gilles C, Bassuk JA, Pulyaeva H, Sage EH, Foidart JM, Thompson EW. SPARC/osteonectin induces matrix metalloproteinase 2 activation in human breast cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res.* 1998;58(23):5529–5536.
- Lalitha P. Apoptotic efficacy of biogenic silver nanoparticles on human breast cancer MCF-7 cell lines. *Prog Biomater*. 2015;4(2–4): 113–121.
- Jeyaraj M, Sathishkumar G, Sivanandhan G, et al. Biogenic silver nanoparticles for cancer treatment: an experimental report. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2013;106:86–92.
- 32. Gajendran B, Chinnasamy A, Durai P, Raman J, Ramar M. Biosynthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles from Datura inoxia and its apoptotic effect on human breast cancer cell line MCF7. *Mater Lett.* 2014;122:98–102.
- Hsin YH, Chen CF, Huang S, Shih TS, Lai PS, Chueh PJ. The apoptotic effect of nanosilver is mediated by a ROS-and JNK-dependent mechanism involving the mitochondrial pathway in NIH3T3 cells. *Toxicol Lett.* 2008;179(3):130–139.
- Oropesa-Ávila M, Andrade-Talavera Y, Garrido-Maraver J, et al. Stabilization of apoptotic cells: generation of zombie cells. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014;5(8):e1369.
- Povea-Cabello S, Oropesa-Ávila M, de la Cruz-Ojeda P, et al. Dynamic reorganization of the cytoskeleton during apoptosis: the two coffins hypothesis. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2017;18(11). pii: E2393.
- Hu P, Bader G, Wigle DA, Emili A. Computational prediction of cancer-gene function. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2007;7(1):23–34.
- 37. Creixell P, Reimand J, Haider S, et al; Mutation Consequences and Pathway Analysis Working Group of the International Cancer Genome Consortium. Pathway and network analysis of cancer genomes. *Nat Methods*. 2015;12(7):615–621.
- Park SH, Yu GR, Kim WH, Moon WS, Kim JH, Kim DG. NF-Y– dependent cyclin B2 expression in colorectal adenocarcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13(3):858–867.
- Almiñana N, Grau-Oliete MR, Reig F, Rivera-Fillat MP. In vitro effects of SIKVAV retro and retro-enantio analogues on tumor metastatic events. *Peptides*. 2004;25(2):251–259.
- Radisky DC, Levy DD, Littlepage LE, et al. Rac1b and reactive oxygen species mediate MMP-3-induced EMT and genomic instability. *Nature*. 2005;436(7047):123–127.
- Sharma M. Apoptosis-antagonizing transcription factor (AATF) gene silencing: role in induction of apoptosis and down-regulation of estrogen receptor in breast cancer cells. *Biotechnol Lett.* 2013;35(10): 1561–1570.
- 42. Landberg G, Ostlund H, Nielsen NH, et al. Downregulation of the potential suppressor gene IGFBP-rP1 in human breast cancer is associated with inactivation of the retinoblastoma protein, cyclin E overexpression and increased proliferation in estrogen receptor negative tumors. *Oncogene*. 2001;20(27):3497–3505.
- Tan D, Zhang J, Nie X, et al. Histone 3 trimethylation of IGFBP-7 gene promoter by expression of D5 Stat5a in breast epithelial cells. *Chin J Physiol.* 2015;58(5):275–284.
- He K, Zhang L, Long X. Quantitative assessment of the association between APC promoter methylation and breast cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(25):37920–37930.
- Wang XM, Li J, Yan MX, et al. Integrative analyses identify osteopontin, LAMB3 and ITGB1 as critical pro-metastatic genes for lung cancer. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(2):e55714.
- 46. Yamamoto N, Kinoshita T, Nohata N, et al. Tumor suppressive microRNA-218 inhibits cancer cell migration and invasion by targeting focal adhesion pathways in cervical squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Oncol.* 2013;42(5):1523–1532.
- Kuemmerle NB, Rysman E, Lombardo PS, et al. Lipoprotein lipase links dietary fat to solid tumor cell proliferation. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2011; 10(3):427–436.
- Ma ZH, Ma JH, Jia L, Zhao YF. Effect of enhanced expression of COL8A1 on lymphatic metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma in mice. *Exp Ther Med.* 2012;4(4):621–626.

- Balasenthil S, Broaddus RR, Kumar R. Expression of metastasisassociated protein 1 (MTA1) in benign endometrium and endometrial adenocarcinomas. *Hum Pathol.* 2006;37(6):656–661.
- Suzuki K, Zhu B, Rittling SR, et al. Colocalization of intracellular osteopontin with CD44 is associated with migration, cell fusion, and resorption in osteoclasts. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2002;17(8):1486–1497.
- Moreno-Layseca P, Ucar A, Sun H, et al. The requirement of integrins for breast epithelial proliferation. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 2017;96(3):227–239.
- Becchetti A, Crescioli S, Zanieri F, et al. The conformational state of hERG1 channels determines integrin association, downstream signaling, and cancer progression. *Sci Signal*. 2017;10(473):pii: eaaf3236.

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch®, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal

Dovepress