## Adipose-Derived Stem Cells in Functional Bone Tissue Engineering: Lessons from Bone Mechanobiology

Josephine C. Bodle, M.Eng.,<sup>1</sup> Ariel D. Hanson, M.S.,<sup>1</sup> and Elizabeth G. Loboa, Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup>

This review aims to highlight the current and significant work in the use of adipose-derived stem cells (ASC) in functional bone tissue engineering framed through the bone mechanobiology perspective. Over a century of work on the principles of bone mechanosensitivity is now being applied to our understanding of bone development. We are just beginning to harness that potential using stem cells in bone tissue engineering. ASC are the primary focus of this review due to their abundance and relative ease of accessibility for autologous procedures. This article outlines the current knowledge base in bone mechanobiology to investigate how the knowledge from this area has been applied to the various stem cell-based approaches to engineering bone tissue constructs. Specific emphasis is placed on the use of human ASC for this application.

## Introduction

A DIPOSE-DERIVED STEM CELLS (ASC) have become an attractive multipotent cell population for use in tissue replacement therapies. They are a rapidly emerging alternative to the traditional bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), though the two cell types have many phenotypic similarities. As an abundant and autologous cell source, use of ASC in tissue-engineered constructs minimizes immunogenicity concerns associated with allograft-based methods. ASC are relatively easy to maintain in culture as they readily selfrenew and have the ability to commit to a range of lineages including adipogenic (Fig. 1a), osteogenic (Fig. 1b), chondrogenic, myogenic, neuronal,<sup>1,2</sup> cardiomyogenic,<sup>3</sup> and endothelial.<sup>4</sup> Due to their vast clinical potential in treating critical defect injuries, ASC have gained popularity in cartilage and bone tissue engineering constructs.<sup>5</sup>

It has long been established that bone responds to changes in its mechanical environment. Documented observations date back to the development of Wolff's Law, in the late 19th century, which described loading induced architectural adaptations in bone, remodeling its structure through a feedback system.<sup>6</sup> In later years, these ideas were expanded further by Harold M. Frost, who proposed that a minimum effective strain, or "set point," determined the remodeling process; when strains in the bone exceed the set point, mechanically controlled remodeling acts to increase bone mass and the reverse occurs with strains below the set point.<sup>7</sup>

Much of the contemporary evidence of bone mechanosensitivity has derived from a multitude of disuse osteoporosis studies<sup>8</sup> and microgravity experiments,<sup>9</sup> as well as exercise and loading studies.<sup>10,11</sup> This body of work provided substantial evidence that increased loading conditions induced bone formation, and reduced loading conditions induced osteoporotic phenotypes, leading to exploration of these patterns in *in vitro* experimental models. Corresponding work demonstrated that bone cells in culture exhibit mechanosensitivity, and upregulate genes associated with bone formation, in response to mechanical strain and fluid shear, as previously reviewed by Ehrlich and Lanyon.<sup>12</sup> Given the wealth of *in vivo* and *in vitro* evidence, mechanical forces are considered increasingly crucial for success of current bone tissue engineering methods,<sup>13</sup> and are of particular interest in the context of directing ASC osteogenic differentiation.

In 2001 Zuk *et al.* were the first to establish ASC as a multipotent stem cell population, with the ability to assume osteogenic as well as chondrogenic, adipogenic, and neurogenic phenotypes, through chemically induced differentiation.<sup>1,14</sup> Zuk *et al.* found that when ASC were cultured in osteogenic differentiation media for 2–6 weeks, osteogenic specification was detected by increases in alkaline phosphatase activity, calcium accretion, and upregulation of bone specific gene markers.<sup>1</sup> In general, chemical induction of lineage specification has been the most prevalent method used to direct stem cells for tissue engineering applications. However, it is now understood that functional tissue engineering of load bearing tissues likely requires additional physical stimuli (mechanical or electrical) concurrently with chemical stimuli.<sup>15–23</sup>

A quickly emerging scheme in stem cell differentiation for tissue engineering applications involves simulating a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Joint Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.



**FIG. 1.** Adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation of ASC. (a) Oil Red O staining of ASC cultured in adipogenic media for 14 days; presence of cherry red oil droplets indicates adipogenic differentiation. (b) Alizarin Red staining of ASC cultured in osteogenic media for 14 days; presence of dark red calcium deposits indicates osteogenic differentiation. ASC, adipose-derived stem cell. Color images available online at www.liebertonline.com/teb

physiologically relevant growth environment for the generated tissue construct. A large part of this effort includes emulating the *in vivo* mechanical environment experienced by the cells in an *in vitro* culture. Two major approaches have been used to modulate the mechanical environment of cells and/or tissue-engineered constructs in culture: (1) bioreactors applying "active" mechanical signals such as fluid shear, electrical stimulation, tensile, or compressive strain<sup>13,15,24-26</sup> and (2) somewhat "passive" signaling applied through modulation of substrate biochemical composition and stiffness.<sup>27-30</sup> A number of custom-designed<sup>31–34</sup> and commercially available bioreactor systems<sup>15,21,22</sup> are used to apply the signaling modalities discussed in this article, though the versatility of each system is often constrained by design criteria. Bioreactor development remains an active area of research, as bioreactors have become increasingly relevant to overcoming common challenges in tissue engineering. They are also emerging as tools to seed cells throughout three-dimensional (3D) scaffold materials,<sup>31,35</sup> as well as devices to validate mechanical and electrochemical properties of a construct.<sup>36</sup> As bone functions largely as structural support for the body, construct mechanical integrity is tantamount to applying physiological loading regimes in an in vitro culture environment to generate the construct. Both substrate properties and bioreactors have proven to be an integral part of mechanical approaches to directing ASC lineage specification toward an osteogenic phenotype.21,30,34,37

To create an ASC-derived functional tissue-engineered bone construct for regenerative medicine applications, the construct must carry out the necessary biochemical processes characteristic of healthy bone. To that end, it must emulate the morphology and mechanical behavior of native bone tissue. We refer to this tissue-level construct design approach as a "Top-Down" approach to creating ASC-derived tissueengineered bone constructs. In contrast, we refer to cell-level approaches as "Bottom-Up." On a cellular level, the construct should contain cells of an osteogenic phenotype, which respond appropriately to physiologically relevant biochemical and mechanical stimuli. The successful generation of these functional constructs relies on the intersection of Top-Down and Bottom-Up approaches, thoroughly elucidating how mechanical signals affect ASC fate and behavior, in the context of synthesized tissue-level replacements.

This review aims to summarize the current knowledge of mechanotransduction in ASC lineage specification and how this information has been used in bone tissue engineering with ASC. We will begin by briefly reviewing relevant current knowledge of the mechanical environment and mechanotransduction processes in bone. The knowledge in this area has built the foundation for understanding appropriate physical stimuli and growth environments for the creation of stem cell-derived bone tissue engineering constructs. Framed through the context of bone mechanobiology, we will discuss current mechanobiological approaches applied in ASC osteogenic differentiation and methods in bone tissue engineering. Additionally, we will discuss some of the major studies involving bone marrow-derived MSC as a basis for comparison with ASC, though we will not cover MSC in depth. For a comprehensive review of mechanical control of MSC differentiation to osteochondral tissues, we refer the reader to a recent review by Knothe Tate et al.<sup>29</sup> We will conclude with a general summary of the field and comments on its future directions. A schematic illustrating the progression of the field and the topics covered in the article is provided in Figure 2.

#### Mechanosensitivity of Bone

To determine appropriate mechanical loads for functional bone tissue engineering using ASC, we must first understand



**FIG. 2.** General schematic illustrating the flow of information provided in this review describing the development and progression of the field. The field of stem-cell-derived bone tissue engineering emerged as a marriage of approaches from bone mechanobiology and stem cell biology. The combination of these two fields has now developed into two different approaches to creating a bone tissue construct: "Top-Down" approaches utilizing the more traditional cell and scaffold tissue level approach meant for immediate translation from bench-to-bedside, and "Bottom-Up" cell-level approaches to characterize the cell population behavior for construct component-level optimization.

the typical mechanical environment experienced by bone cells *in vivo*. From the cellular perspective *in vivo*, active mechanical loading of bone translates into either strain through small deformation of the calcified matrix, or fluid shear stress produced by interstitial fluid movement in the osteocyte canaliculi.<sup>33</sup> When bone is loaded, both bending and compressive forces create degrees of strain on the bone surface<sup>38</sup> and concurrently, on the osteocytes and bone-lining cells.<sup>5</sup> The tensile strain imposed on the osteocytes and bone lining cells leads to a change in cytoskeletal conformation. This change is associated with induced activation of stretchactivated ion channels,<sup>38,39</sup> voltage sensitive channels via an influx of calcium and shift in membrane potential,<sup>38,40</sup> and stretch-activated cation channels.<sup>38</sup>

Additionally, the resulting compressive strain from external loading causes an increase in interstitial fluid pressure, forcing the fluid to flow from regions of high pressure to regions of lower pressure<sup>33,41</sup> within the bone matrix. However, because the matrix is so stiff, the deformation as a result of physiological loads is very small (on the order of 0.2%).<sup>42,43</sup> This leads to the canalicular fluid flow hypothesis, which proposes that these small strains impose a local force that initiates fluid flow between thin layers of non-mineralized matrix surrounding the osteocytes' bodies and processes, thus creating a shear stress (8–30 dyn/cm<sup>2</sup>) at the osteocyte cell membrane.<sup>44,45</sup>

This section provides a brief overview citing some of the major studies in bone mechanotransduction. All current work in ASC osteogenesis builds off of the basis of bone's chemical, electrical, and mechanical environments, and we will limit the discussion to the relevant mechanisms currently applied in directing ASC differentiation. For thorough reviews on the current state of bone mechanobiology and its implications in tissue engineering applications, we refer the reader to reviews by Riddle and Donahue<sup>46</sup> and Allori *et al.*<sup>47</sup>

#### Cellular mechanotransduction in bone

Osteocytes and bone-lining cells are presently thought to be the primary mechanosensory cells responsible for interpreting mechanical forces in bone tissue and translating them to osteoblasts and osteoclasts for bone remodeling.<sup>33,45,48,49</sup> Multiple investigators report evidence supporting the key mechanosensory role of osteocytes in bone formation as detected by changes in matrix protein expression, and production of nitric oxide (NO) and prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>), a potent stimulator for bone formation.<sup>50,51</sup> Osteocytes have been found to be more mechanically sensitive to pulsatile fluid flow (PFF) than osteoblasts and periosteal fibroblasts, as only osteocytes increase production of PGE<sub>2</sub> in response to such mechanical stimulation.<sup>51</sup> These findings have been further validated by increased NO production in osteocytes in response to PFF, with increases not exhibited by periosteal fibroblasts.45,51 More recent studies using microarray analysis have also identified the mechanosensitivity of osteoblasts to PFF. Thi et al. identified the upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor and other associated genes in MC3T3E1 cells, an osteoblastic cell line, in response to PFF.52 That work suggested that PFF stimulates signaling pathways crucial to the bone healing and remodeling processes, and identifying these markers of osteogenic healing is of particular relevance in ASC osteogenic lineage transition.

Osteoblasts, perhaps the most relevant cell type to the ASC-derived osteogenic phenotype, have also demonstrated sensitivity to oscillatory fluid flow (OFF), believed by some to be a more physiologically relevant mode of mechanical stimulation in bone.<sup>52,53</sup> OFF has been shown to affect osteoblasts: calcium mobilization, mitogen-activated protein kinase activity, and expression of osteopontin (OPN), a bone-specific matrix protein.<sup>53</sup> Increases in these metabolic bone markers have been reported to occur in minutes to hours after continuous exposure to OFF.<sup>53</sup> Subsequent studies have reported differences in osteoblast behavior in response to continuous OFF and rest-inserted OFF, manifested in changes in intracellular calcium and OPN expression.<sup>54</sup> Qin et al. illustrated an analogous mechanosensitivity in vivo through oscillating the intramedullary pressure in the marrow cavity of functionally isolated ulnae in adult turkeys. The adaptive response of the bone was observed after 4 weeks of disuse. The disuse ulna exposed to 10 minutes of OFF per day exhibited increased bone formation on both the endosteal and periosteal surfaces as compared to the control, an ulna not exposed to fluid shear.<sup>55</sup> Additional work has suggested osteoblast and osteocyte behavioral response to fluid flow is further modulated by the surface micro-architecture of the cell substrate.<sup>56</sup> Generally, in both in vitro and in vivo studies, bone cells have shown sensitivity to dynamic fluid shear, which has led directly to its exploration as a mechanical stimulation modality in ASC, as discussed in section Fluid flow and shear stress.<sup>34</sup>

Uniaxial tensile strain has been used as another mode of mechanical stimulation to successfully induce bone regeneration in vivo via distraction osteogenesis.57-62 Buchman et al. developed a rat model establishing specific parameters, including critical bone defect size as greater than 3 mm to sufficiently study the mechanisms of distraction osteogenesis and provide a quantitative distinction from conventional bone fracture healing.<sup>59</sup> Following this work, in an *in vivo* study utilizing rat models, Loboa et al.63 reported that gradual distraction of the hemi-mandible (0.25 mm every 12 h) over 8 days, followed by 28 days of rest resulted in periosteal bone formation by postoperative day 7 and a full bridge of new bone spanning the width of the distraction gap by postoperative day 41.<sup>57</sup> Our empirical and computational investigations of the regions with the highest rate of new bone formation indicated that tensile strains in the range of 10%-12.5% appeared to optimally induce the highest rate of bone regeneration in the distraction callus.<sup>57,63</sup> A similar study by Meyer et al. reported that distraction osteogenesis of the mandible under physiological magnitudes (2000 microstrain) resulted in woven bone formation and some lamellar ossification after 14 days. Over the same time period, a magnitude of 20,000 microstrains resulted in thin trabecular bone formation over the entire gap, and active osteoblasts could be seen on a layer of primary bone.<sup>62</sup> These in vivo strain-stimulated bone formation studies have provided the parameter basis adapted to in vitro cyclic strain systems to stimulate osteogenesis in ASC.<sup>21</sup> Taken together, these studies provide convincing evidence that osteoblasts and osteocytes are highly mechanosensitive cells capable of differentially sensing mechanical deformation. Harnessing these sensing mechanisms is likely significant for ASC osteogenic lineage specification.

# Cytoskeletal mechanisms of mechanotransduction in bone

There are many possible mechanisms by which bone cells interpret external mechanical loads and transmit them via biochemical signals. One such method involves the extracellular matrix-integrin-cytoskeleton network.<sup>38,50,64,65</sup> Transmission of mechanical stimulation across the cell surface is modulated by transmembrane receptors (i.e., integrins, cell adhesion molecules, and cadherins) that connect the cytoskeleton to an external substrate.<sup>66</sup> This connection provides a molecular pathway for mechanical signals to be passed across the cell surface, allowing focal adhesion molecules to act as mechanoreceptors.

The transmission of mechanical signaling via the connection between integrins and the cytoskeleton has been linked to intracellular pathways that dictate cell viability,<sup>67</sup> proliferation,<sup>68–70</sup> morphology,<sup>67,70</sup> and differentiation.<sup>65,68,69,71,72</sup> Tong et al. reported evidence of mechanically transduced signaling as mediated by integrins and focal adhesion kinase in critical defect healing during distraction osteogenesis. Further, they suggested that the mechanical signals were specifically inducing bone formation as detected by bone sialoprotein mRNA expression patterns.<sup>65</sup> Mechanistic studies report that PFF results in fluid shear stress-induced reorganization of actin, concurrent with actin-dependent increases in cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), c-Fos expression, and PGE2 release, important markers of mechanically induced bone formation.<sup>50,73</sup> Together, these results demonstrate the critical role that actin stress fibers and their anchorage to the substrate via focal adhesions have on the mechanotransduction of external mechanical loads and subsequent bone formation.

Similarly, cell-cell interactions and connections have been implicated as a mechanism in transmitting intercellular mechanical signals in bone cells. This cell-cell signaling is associated with the initiation of bone formation and has been suggested to occur via a network of gap junctions and cadherins connecting osteocytes to osteoblasts and osteoclasts.<sup>49,74-76</sup> Osteocytes, exposed to a fluid shear stress of 4.4 dyn/cm<sup>2</sup> in an osteocyte–osteoblast co-culture system, mediate the upregulated alkaline phosphatase activity response in osteoblasts, as evidenced by Taylor et al. in 2007.<sup>76</sup> Although not immediately relevant to the current state of ASC osteogenic work, this study brings up an important point relating the dynamic process of mechanical signaling in bone. The intercellular transmission of mechanical signals among different osteogenic cell types will be directly relevant to the functionality of an ASC-derived bone construct in the future.

More recently, primary cilia have been implicated in the mechanosensitivity and transduction of mechanical signals in bone cells.<sup>32,77</sup> The primary cilium, present on most mammalian cell types, was previously believed to be a vestigial organelle. It was later characterized as a mechanosensing organelle in kidney epithelial cells with its dysfunctionality linked to development of polycystic kidney diseases.<sup>78</sup> Similarly, its role as a mechanosensor on osteoblasts has been characterized through physical abrogation of the primary cilia as well as siRNA knockdown of ciliary proteins. Malone et al. illustrated significant reduction in gene expression of PGE<sub>2</sub> and OPN in primary cilia-free MC3T3E1 cells, as compared to MC3T3E1 cells with intact primary cilia. The reduction in expression was consistent for both siRNA protein knockdown and physical removal of the cilia.<sup>32</sup> The role of primary cilia in bone mechanotransduction is under active investigation, as more knowledge is needed to truly understand its role in bone mechanotransduction and its mechanism of action. However, this emerging evidence along with preliminary work in our group hints that it may be a potential mechanistic mediator of osteogenic differentiation in adult stem cells.<sup>79</sup>

#### Mechanisms of mechanically activated ion channels

Although they are not extensively studied in mechanically mediated ASC osteogenesis, mechanically sensitive channels such as stretch-activated ion channels,  $^{80-83}$  L-type voltagesensitive calcium channels,<sup>80,84</sup> and potassium-selective channels also play a role in mechanotransduction signaling. Work by Rawlinson et al. suggests the importance of stretchsensitive channels in mechanically transduced signals. They demonstrated that tensile strain in a rat ulna resulted in activation of stretch/shear-sensitive nonselective cation channels and L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels, involved in osteogenic potential and metabolic activity.<sup>80</sup> Li et al. further demonstrated that blocking L-type voltagesensitive calcium channels in vivo significantly reduced the mechanical loading-induced increase in mineralizing surface, mineral apposition rate, and bone formation rate.<sup>84</sup> It is apparent that these calcium channels are significantly involved in bone adaptation and mechanical response in vivo, and elucidating their role in osteogenesis will play a future role in validating the functional ASC osteogenic phenotype.

For a comprehensive review of mechanotransduction and the effects of biomechanical stimulation in bone, please refer to reviews by Riddle and Donahue<sup>46</sup> and Allori *et al.*<sup>47</sup> For the remainder of the discussion, we will primarily focus on the current understanding of ASC and how they have been incorporated into the field of bone tissue engineering.

#### ASC in Bone Tissue Engineering

Adult stem cells such as ASC and MSC demonstrate vast potential in regenerative medicine applications. Traditional methods of treating degenerative skeletal diseases and wounds include use of allografts, autografts, or artificial implants; however, for some types of injuries these treatments are not an option.<sup>85</sup> These techniques often present complications such as donor site morbidity, low tissue availability, immunogenicity, or loosening of the implant.<sup>30,86-89</sup> The use of autologous ASC for tissue replacement treatments minimizes immunogenic response, and yields a more abundant cell source than bone marrowderived MSC.

As previously mentioned, the general top-down approach to creating a generic tissue-engineered construct has two primary components: (1) tissue-specific cells and (2) a biocompatible, mechanically appropriate scaffold on which cells can adhere to produce extracellular matrix and encourage regeneration at the defect site.<sup>21,87,90,91</sup> A tissue-engineered construct using the general cell-seeded scaffold of the topdown tissue-level approach has been utilized by researchers and physicians for tissue replacement therapies in: (1) ten $don^{92-94}$ ; (2) cartilage<sup>95-99</sup>; and (3) bone<sup>100-105</sup> repairs. With increasing use of ASC and other types of stem cells as the primary cell source in an implanted tissue-engineered construct, a second cell-based bottom-up approach has emerged. The bottom-up approach has begun to elucidate the cellular behavior and function within the construct, providing knowledge on how to optimize the scaffold environment. To discuss the ASC approaches currently used in the creation of living bone tissue equivalents, it is important to briefly delineate the current knowledge on the differences between MSC and ASC.

#### Adipose-derived versus bone marrow-derived MSC

As much of the ASC tissue engineering work to date has arisen from foundational MSC studies, we would be remiss to exclude MSC from the discussion. The majority of stem cell-based tissue replacement efforts to date have typically used bone marrow-derived MSC.<sup>18,92,95,100-103,106,107</sup> However, the limited supply of these cells constrains the feasibility of using them in large commercial applications. This constraint has led to the study of stem cells derived from adipose tissue. In contrast to bone marrow, adipose tissue is an abundant and more readily available source of cells.<sup>108</sup> In a study performed by De Ugarte *et al.*, ASC showed a similar capacity for adherent cell yield per gram tissue, cell expansion, growth kinetics, and differentiation as that of MSC.<sup>109</sup> It should be noted that investigators have reported scalability issues with large volume bone marrow aspirates as peripheral blood contamination reduces MSC cell yields.110 Scalability is not an issue with large ASC isolates and comparisons of cell yield per gram of tissue correspond to optimized volumes for MSC isolation.<sup>109</sup> Studies involving ASC, including investigations from our group, have demonstrated their MSC-like multipotency by inducing these cells down osteogenic, myogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic lineages.<sup>1,109,111-115</sup> Moreover, with few exceptions the surface marker expression profile of ASC seems to generally align with MSC.<sup>14,116</sup> However, the use of ASC as a substitute for MSC in certain applications has stimulated controversy due to inconsistent reports of ASC differentiation potential.

While some investigators have reported that there are no differences between the potential for MSC and ASC to differentiate into multiple lineages,<sup>109,114,117</sup> others report that ASC are inferior to MSC with respect to their ability to differentiate down particular pathways.<sup>1,118–122</sup> De Ugarte *et al.* examined the multilineage potential of bone marrow-derived MSC to ASC and found that under chemically induced differentiation, there was no difference between the two cell types in their ability to undergo osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation, express neuron-like morphology, or express discrepancies in growth kinetics.<sup>109</sup> Likewise, Hattori *et al.* reported that both MSC and ASC cultured in osteogenic medium expressed similar quantities of calcium phosphate deposition and osteocalcin secretion.

However, studies by Im *et al.* and Mehlhorn *et al.* argue that these two cell types do not have the same potential to differentiate down osteogenic or chondrogenic lineages. Im *et al.* found that the level of mineralization and alkaline phosphatase activity in MSC was significantly greater than that in ASC after 2 and 3 weeks of differentiation, and likewise reported consistent data with specific markers for chondrogenesis.<sup>120</sup> The results of a study by Mehlhorn *et al.* focusing on chondrogenesis agreed with the Im *et al.* study. MSC cultured in TGF- $\beta$ 1-supplemented medium showed an increase expression of chondrogenic gene markers collagen type II, type X, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein, and aggrecan at least three times higher than expression levels in ASC.<sup>118</sup>

While some comparisons among different studies have led to a suspicion that ASC may exhibit reduced stem cell potency as compared to MSC, it is important to note that the consensus data are generally inconclusive. Other distinctions between ASC and MSC such as their expression of different surface markers,<sup>1,109</sup> requirements of additional medium supplements to differentiate down specific lineages,<sup>123–125</sup> and upregulation in different genes during differentiation<sup>122</sup> all suggest that ASC are, in fact, not less potent but simply behave differently than MSC. Recently, an in-depth comparison between the gene expression profiles of ASC and MSC demonstrated distinct and unique differences inherent to the specific cell populations.<sup>126</sup> Despite emerging evidence supporting inherent differences between ASC and MSC, further characterization of the cell populations remains a critical step in their effective use in tissue engineering applications.

#### ASC isolation

ASC can be derived from fat pads removed from almost any site of the body, though studies suggest variation in ASC potency as dependent on their derived body location and among donors.<sup>127</sup> Generally, ASC are isolated from adipose tissue using a collagenase (most commonly Type I) tissue digest and a series of centrifugation steps to separate the pelleted stromal cell fraction from the red blood cells, adipocyte and adipogenic progenitor fraction.<sup>14,128</sup> Final culture selection procedures range from culturing cells that adhere to the culture surface to rigorous cell sorting techniques and clonal culture.<sup>1,128-130</sup> General ASC cell surface marker profiles have been characterized, though specific marker discrepancies regarding the surface expression of Stro-1, CD34, and VCAM (CD106) have been reported among ASC population studies from different groups.<sup>14,116,129</sup> In spite of these discrepancies, for the most part adherent cells isolated from adipose tissue generally have a defined surface marker expression profile, and Katz et al. suggest that differences are likely related to variations in isolation procedure, propagation time in culture, and exposure to tissue culture plastic. The most widely utilized method to isolate ASC is simply to propagate the adherent cell fraction from adipose tissue without surface marker selection. Consistent reports of the surface expression profiles emerging from different research groups have validated this method.<sup>14,116,129</sup> Taken together, this evidence suggests that exposure and adherence to tissue culture plastic may play an important role in defining the ASC immunophenotype. Nonetheless, the surface profile is not necessarily an indicator of ASC potency. Population heterogeneity and donor-to-donor variation still remain challenges that need to be further investigated when manipulating ASC.

#### **Top-Down ASC Living Tissue Equivalent Approaches**

We refer to the top-down approach as a primarily tissue level approach, which has rapidly advanced the development of bone tissue constructs, generating potentially implantable living tissue equivalents. The top-down approach has provided the quickest path to usable implants, translating bench-top work by researchers to bedside application by clinicians. However, their success has largely been validated through characterizing tissue-level morphology with some limited evaluation of *in vivo* functionality. To date, the validation has focused primarily on the performance of the entire construct emulating basic tissue level organization, exhibiting a simplified version of native tissue morphology, with limited understanding of cellular activity and phenotype. *In vitro* and *in vivo* top-down approaches to creating living bone tissue equivalents have been largely similar for ASC and MSC and below is a brief summary highlighting some key ASC studies.

#### In vitro studies

Clinically, autologous bone grafts can provide a treatment method for critical defect repair, but the quantity of donor tissue is limited and there is potential for donor-site morbidity. Tissue engineering using ASC combined with a biocompatible scaffold is emerging as a novel approach for bone tissue replacements in repair of critical defect injuries.<sup>22,31,131–</sup> <sup>134</sup> Work by Hattori et al. demonstrated the osteogenic potential of ASC cultured on  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate (TCP) scaffolds with osteogenic media in vitro. Osteocalcin secretion and histology demonstrated an acquired osteogenic phenotype within these constructs.<sup>135</sup> Similarly, our group has recently shown that ASC-seeded composite TCP/ poly (L-lactic acid) (PLA) scaffolds enhance cell-mediated mineralization and alkaline phosphatase activity in osteogenic media, as compared to the same growth conditions on a purely PLA scaffold.<sup>131</sup> This suggests that biochemical composition of the scaffold can play a significant role in directing ASC differentiation and enhancing functionality of the tissue engineered construct.

More recently, decellularized bone scaffolds derived from native bone have shown significant promise as a viable and instructive scaffold material for ASC due to their biochemical and mechanical properties. Fröhlich *et al.* have presented an approach using ASC seeded on a decellularized bone matrix and reported cell survival, mineral deposition, and expression of bone-specific markers (collagen, bone sialoprotein, and OPN) in histological sections, up to 5 weeks in culture.<sup>31</sup> That comparative study showed ASC-seeded bone matrices supported osteogenic differentiation of ASC under static and perfusion culture. Perfusion culture improved cellular distribution throughout the scaffold, thus enhancing the potential three-dimensionality of the construct, a consistent challenge in creating tissue constructs.<sup>31</sup>

A wide variety of scaffold materials, derived from both natural and synthetic sources, have been used as a platform for ASC growth and induction toward an osteogenic phenotype in two-dimensional and 3D culture. We and others have shown that ASC acquire an osteogenic phenotype *in vitro* when grown on collagen scaffolds in 3D,<sup>37,136</sup> decellularized bone scaffolds,<sup>31</sup> PLA scaffolds, <sup>132</sup>  $\beta$ -TCP,<sup>131,137</sup> bioceramics,<sup>138</sup> and composite scaffolds containing the aforementioned materials,<sup>131,134,135,139,140</sup> among others. In general, most top-down *in vitro* approaches apply similar methodologies with ASC and simply vary the scaffold type, but all focus on tissue level resolution and function. The cell-level effects of scaffold variation will be further discussed in the section exploring bottom-up approaches.

#### In vivo studies

In 2005, a study by Cowan *et al.* reported successful calvarial critical defect healing by 12 weeks in mice with implantation of ASC-seeded, apatite-coated poly(lactic-coglycolic acid) (PLGA) scaffolds.<sup>141</sup> The cell-seeded scaffolds were biochemically stimulated with bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) and retinoic acid for 4 weeks *ex vivo* before implantation.<sup>141</sup> Although the mechanism was not understood and the differentiation process not optimized, this was one of the initial studies reporting the potential use of ASC in healing a critical bone defect. In 2006, Conejero *et al.* reported successful repair of surgically created palatal bone defects in rats using osteogenically differentiated ASC on a PLA scaffold. After 12 weeks, the osteogenically differentiated ASC produced osseous regeneration of bone, calcium accretion, and positive staining for osteocalcin, a bone matrix protein, at the defect site. Defect sites implanted with PLA alone, PLA seeded with undifferentiated ASC, or left implant free exhibited only fibrous tissue production with little evidence of bone formation.<sup>142</sup> Similarly, Yoon *et al.* implanted osteogenically differentiated ASC-seeded scaffolds into critical-sized rat calvarial defects and observed robust bone regeneration after 12 weeks.<sup>143</sup>

These studies suggest that the osteogenic phenotype of pre-differentiated ASC is functionally maintained *in vivo* and that they can operate in a regenerative capacity at a bone defect site. Work by Jeon *et al.* further evaluated the ability of ASC to differentiate *in vivo* through BMP-2 stimulation, without the need for an *in vitro* pre-differentiation step.<sup>144</sup> It is important to highlight that this study directly evaluated *in vivo* differentiation capacity only in the sub-cutaneous space, and did not evaluate its performance within a critical bone defect. ASC seeded on PLGA/hydroxyapatite scaffolds loaded with BMP-2 and implanted subcutaneously into athymic mice generated bone formation and calcification after 8 weeks. The phenotype of the explanted cell population was confirmed through upregulation in bone genetic markers after 8 weeks.<sup>144</sup>

Further, a comparative study explored the osteogenic potential of BMP-4 retrovirally transduced ASC and MSC and their capacity to ossify a calvarial defect. The transduced ASC and MSC embedded in fibrin gel both formed bone when implanted in the calvarial defect with no significant differences between the groups, though the ASC deposited a higher amount of calcified matrix.<sup>145</sup> Similarly, gene therapy approaches using ASC transduced to express BMP-7 derived from rats<sup>146</sup> and humans<sup>147</sup> have shown evidence for enhanced bone formation in vitro and in vivo. The BMP-7 was encased in a collagen I gel and implanted subcutaneously into rats<sup>146</sup> or SCID mice,<sup>147</sup> respectively, and caused an increase in mineralization, alkaline phosphatase activity, and osteocalcin expression.146,147 Taken together, these transduction results are consistent with an in vitro study by Dragoo et al. showing increased frequency of a BMP-2-transduced ASC-derived osteoblastic phenotype comparable to exogenous BMP-2 stimulation.<sup>148</sup> Another transduction study inducing overexpression of osterix, an important transcription factor in bone development, also produced similar results and differentiation of ASC into an osteoblastic phenotype.<sup>149</sup> Gene therapy techniques show promise as an in vivo single-step alternative to chemically induced differentiation before and/or during implantation, minimizing the complexity of the construct components. This body of work suggests feasible techniques for in vivo differentiation of ASC, potentially simplifying therapeutic procedures.

## ASC Differentiation: Bottom-Up Cell-Based Approaches

With the emergence of ASC and other stem cell types as a cell source in tissue constructs, a more cell-based, mechanistic, bottom-up approach has led to extensive study on the mechanisms of differentiation. This more basic science approach focuses largely on characterizing cell behavior, phenotype, and the cell-level activity as it contributes to the potential function of a tissue-engineered construct. Such celllevel understanding is becoming particularly important following the increasing popularity of stem cells as cell sources in tissue engineering (Fig. 2). With the growing evidence supporting that chemical, mechanical, and electrical environments all significantly affect ASC differentiation and behavior, the bottom-up approach has generated more rigorous validation methods to understand cell activity. This allows researchers to best optimize tissue-construct design, leading to in-depth study of the differentiation process. Table 1 highlights the major studies applying bottom-up approaches modifying the physical environment, for using ASC in bone tissue engineering.

## Differentiation via chemical stimulation

As stem cell-based tissue engineering technology continues to progress, it is imperative to establish techniques vielding a well characterized and consistent cell population following the differentiation process. The most prevalent bottom-up approach to creating tissue engineering therapeutics operates in the realm of in vitro expansion of the stem cells and subsequent induction of differentiation before implantation into the defect or disease site. ASC can be differentiated by chemical stimulation using media supplements and growth factors to induce lineage specification. Typically, osteogenesis can be obtained by treating ASC with dexamethasone or 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D3, ascorbic acid, and  $\beta$ -glycerolphosphate in the standard cell growth/expansion media.1,14,115,150 Additional components and growth factors such as BMP-2 and retinoic acid,<sup>141</sup> tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ ,<sup>151</sup> growth and differentiation factor-5,<sup>152</sup> and histone deacetylase inhibitor valproic acid<sup>153</sup> among others have also been studied as osteogenic enhancers. Much of the differentiation media formulation has been based on previous work with pre-osteoblasts and MSC osteogenic differentiation media.<sup>154–156</sup>

To evaluate the level of osteogenic differentiation response, differentiated cells are characterized using histological stains, protein and gene markers, and morphological properties specific to the osteogenic lineage. Typically, the most straightforward test for differentiation is the Alizarin Red stain for calcium deposits (Fig. 1b), indicating the presence of an osteogenic phenotype.<sup>1,115,122,157</sup> Osteogenesis can also be quantified nonspecifically by measuring calcium content using a colorimetric assay or specifically by the upregulation of osteogenic gene and protein markers such as BMP-2, collagen I, alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin, OPN (SPP1), bone sialoprotein (IBSP), and runt-related transcription factor-2 (Runx2 also known as  $CBF\alpha1$ ).<sup>1,28,31,113,115,156,157</sup> Chemically induced differentiation still remains the gold standard to produce an osteogenic phenotype from ASC, though it has become quite clear that chemical signals are certainly not the only mediators in that process.

## Differentiation via mechanical stimulation

While chemical differentiation methods are generally effective at inducing osteogenesis and production of bone ECM products, studies including mechanical stimulation<sup>15,21,158–161</sup> are proving to be more appropriate

Author	External mechanical load	Medium	Substrate	Evidence of differentiation	Conclusions
Wall <i>et al.</i> <sup>113</sup>	Cyclic tensile strain	ODM	3D culture in Collagen I in Tissue Train strain culture system. (Hexcell Int.)	Alizarin Red Staining Opn protein expression	Palladin expression is upregulated with chemically induced osteogenesis and tensile strain.
Hanson et al <sup>21</sup>	Cyclic tensile strain	ODM	2D culture on Col I-coated Bioflex membrane <sup>TM</sup> (Flexcell Int )	Quantified calcium accretion	Continuous and rest-inserted cyclic tensile strain enhances esteocenic differentiation
Huang et al. <sup>167</sup>	Cyclic tensile strain	ODM	2D culture on Col I-coated Bioflex membrane	Quantified calcium deposition Alizarin Red Staining	Proliferative capacity of ASC is reduced with age, but restored with tensile strain stimulation.
Knippenberg	PFF	ODM	(riexcen пп.) Polylysine-coated glass	NO production	Osteogenic potential is unchanged with age. PFF upregulates NO production and <i>cox-2</i> gene expression in ostensentically differentiated ASC
Tjabringa et al. <sup>171</sup>	PFF	ODM	Polylysine-coated glass	NO production, cox-2, runx2 and poly-amine associated SSAT gene expression	PFF upregulates SSAT gene expression. Polyamines mediate mechanically induced upregulation of NO activity and <i>cox-2</i> gene
Fröhlich et al. <sup>31</sup>	Continuous flow	MOO	3D culture in decellularized bone matrix scaffold	Histological and Immunostaining detection of Col I, BSP, and Opn SEM detection of mineralization	Perfusion culture of ASC on 3D deceullarized bone scaffolds improves cellular distribution and enhances mass transport through the scaffold. ODM in perfusion culture system enhances expression of bone specific markers throughout the construct
Hammerick et al. <sup>25</sup>	Electrical stimulation (DC field)	MOO	Tissue culture plastic	Gene expression of <i>ALP</i> , <i>Opn</i> , <i>Col 1, Runx2 and Osc</i> Atomic force microscopy ALP activity Cytosolic calcium	<i>ALP, Opn, Col I,</i> and <i>Runx2</i> gene expression is upregulated with DC field exposure. DC field exposure increases cytoskeletal tension Increase in cytoskeletal tension is not necessary for DC field induced differentiation.
McCullen et al. <sup>26</sup>	Electrical stimulation (AC field)	ODM	Glass slides	Intracellular calcium Mineralized calcium accretion	AC field exposure induces intracellular calcium signaling and increases mineralized calcium accretion.
ODM, osteoge oxygenase-2; SS <sup>4</sup> osteocalcin; DC,	nic differentiation m VT, spermidine/sperr direct current; AC, a	edium; 3D, nine N (1)-ac lternating cu	three-dimensional; 2D, two-dimensional; A cetyltransferase; BSP, bone sialoprotein; Rur urrent.	SC, adipose-derived stem cell; OPN, osteo x2, runt-related transcription factor-2; SEM	pontin; PFF, pulsatile fluid flow; NO, nitric oxide; cox-2, cyclo- , scanning electron microscope; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; OSC,

TABLE 1. MAJOR STUDIES UTILIZING BOTTOM-UP PHYSICAL APPROACHES IN THE GENERATION OF ADIPOSE STEM CELL-DERIVED OSTEOGENIC TISSUE CONSTRUCTS Physical Stimulation Cell Response Fluid Shear Tensile Strain Compressive Strain

FIG. 3. Schematic of ASC responses to physical stimulation in 2D culture. Each type of stimulation results in physical changes in cell morphology, alignment, conformation of actin cytoskeleton, and ion channel activity. (a) Fluid shear deforms the apical surface of the cell when sensed by cytoskeletal proteins and activation of stretch-activated ion channels. (b) Tensile strain elongates the basal surface of the cell through stretching of the substrate. Cytoskeletal proteins sense the cellular deformation via connections to integrin-substrate adhesions. Strain applied to the basal surface also induces activation of stretch-activated ion channels. (c) Compressive strain applies pressure to the apical surface of the cell leading to compaction of the cytoplasm and cytoskeleton. (d) Electrical stimulation results in cellular and cytoskeletal alignment perpendicular to the direction of the electric field. Ion channel activity changes, though the mechanism is not clear. (e) Substrate compliance alters the cell's ability to form focal adhesions, limiting cell spreading and causing integrin-cytoskeleton mediated changes in cell behavior. 2D, twodimensional. Color images available online at www.liebertonline.com/teb

for creation of functional tissue-engineered constructs. Further knowledge on the native mechanical environments cells experience *in vivo* has suggested an entirely different mode of differentiation signaling from the physical environment (Fig. 3).

The role of mechanical stimulation in ASC differentiation is following in the stride of MSC, though the mechanotransduction mechanisms in both cell types remain an active area of investigation. However, the basis for mechanically directed differentiation is increasingly supported through the evidence of behavioral changes in bone tissue and cells (osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and osteocytes) in response to mechanical signals such as fluid flow and tensile strain in committed cell types, as discussed previously.

Tensile strain. Early work by Thomas and El Haj in 1996 and Yoshikawa et al. in 1997 demonstrated some of the first evidence of in vitro mechanosensitivity in MSC, implicating the role of tensile strain for MSC osteogenic specification.162,163 Further, stemming from in vivo work with distraction osteogenesis,<sup>57,59</sup> cyclic tensile strain has been shown to establish successfully enhanced osteogenic induction of bone marrow-derived MSC in vitro.<sup>15,39,162–166</sup> Extending the optimal in vivo distraction osteogenesis parameters to an in vitro model, Sumanasinghe et al. found that even in the absence of osteogenic differentiation medium (i.e., cells maintained in complete growth medium) 10% cyclic tensile strain, applied at a frequency of 1 Hz, for 4 h/day, resulted in an upregulation of BMP-2 in MSC seeded in a 3D collagen I matrix after 1 week, a significant fourfold increase over unstrained samples.<sup>15</sup> Likewise, Ignatius et al. reported that

cyclic tensile strain (1% at 1 Hz for 1800 cycles/day) applied to osteoblastic precursor cells for 3 weeks resulted in slight increases of histone H4, alkaline phosphatase, CBFa1 (runx2), and OPN compared to unstrained controls.<sup>19</sup> Lower frequencies of strain (2.5% at 0.17 Hz) have also been shown to enhance osteogenesis in MSC and reduce their proliferation rate, hinting at the relationship between mechanically signaled proliferation and differentiation.<sup>20</sup> Additionally, that mechanistic study demonstrated the critical role of stretch activated cation channels and kinases such as ERK, p38, and PI3K in mediating the mechanically transduced differentiation signals.<sup>20</sup>

Subsequent work with ASC has similarly demonstrated mechanosensitivity during osteogenic differentiation, though the specific mechanisms of the process are less clear. We have shown that ASC exhibit enhanced osteogenic differentiation when exposed to both continuous (10% strain, 1 Hz) and rest inserted strain (10% strain, 1Hz, 10 rest between each cycle).<sup>21</sup> That particular study specifically compared ASC from two different donors: one line with high mineralization potential in response to chemical stimulation with osteogenic supplements and the other with low mineralization potential. Both modalities of tensile strain enhanced cellmediated calcium accretion in both ASC lines; however, the ASC with a predisposition to greater calcium accretion expressed a relatively higher osteogenic response to tensile strain, suggesting increased mechanical sensitivity in this line.<sup>21</sup> Differences in the observed osteogenic response support the idea that all ASC do not always behave the same way. Just as human ASC from different donors vary in their chemical differentiation potential, so do they in their mechanosensitivity and differentiation potential. Much investigation is still needed to understand the underlying mechanisms of these predispositions and how they are related.

Our group has published a mechanistic study with the goal of elucidating proteins and mechanisms associated with mechanically induced osteogenic differentiation of ASC. We have described the upregulation of palladin expression, an actin-associated cytoskeletal protein, during chemically induced osteogenesis and under cyclic tensile strain.<sup>37</sup> That study identified a mechanosensitive protein associated with both osteogenesis and signaling transduction of tensile strain, though it is unclear whether this protein is crucial to mechanically enhanced osteogenesis.

Very recent work with mouse-derived ASC has shown that tensile strain can mechanically mediate age-related variations in ASC proliferation and differentiation potential, altering their cell fate in a magnitude and frequency-dependent fashion.<sup>167</sup> However, that study reported significant age and strain-related differentiation effects only in ASC adipogenesis and no significant age and strain related differences in osteogenesis.<sup>167</sup> Characteristics such as magnitude,<sup>15</sup> number of cycles,<sup>168</sup> and frequency<sup>168</sup> of strain have been shown to be important variables for optimal tissue regeneration. It is apparent that mechanical signals differentially affect cell behavior more widely than initially hypothesized. Elucidating this process will allow researchers to better harness these characteristics for optimal ASC differentiation.

Fluid flow and shear stress. As stated previously, interstitial fluid flow is believed to impose a physical signal on osteocytes altering their proliferation and metabolic activity.<sup>33,50,55,72,73</sup> Empirical and computational studies on the effects of fluid flow signaling osteogenic proliferation and differentiation in MSC<sup>16,17,169,170,172</sup> have opened the door for similar studies in ASC.<sup>31,34,35,171</sup> Fluid perfusion has also been used as a culture tool to increase dimensionality and cellular distribution throughout a scaffold material, enhancing nutrient transport, to create a more functional construct.<sup>35,172</sup> Direct osteogenic signaling via fluid shear in ASC has been primarily PFF, though constant flow perfusion culture regimes have been specifically used to promote 3D cell seeding.<sup>31,173</sup>

Knippenberg et al. harnessed this principle in an attempt to enhance ASC osteogenesis. ASC differentiation was chemically initialized with 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 and subsequently the cells were cultured under PFF. They reported significant differences in phenotypic behavior between ASC-derived osteogenic cells cultured under PFF and those in static culture. Osteogenic ASC cultured under PFF showed increases in production of NO and upregulation of cox-2 gene expression. This suggests functional validation and enhancement of the osteogenic phenotype through application of physiologically relevant mechanical stimulation, and further demonstrates the innate mechanosensitivity of ASC.<sup>34</sup> A subsequent study from the same group identified the role of polyamines in the process of fluid shear-enhanced differentiation in ASC.<sup>171</sup> They reported that PFF also led to increased gene expression of spermidine/spermine N (1)acetyltransferase (SSAT), an enzyme associated with polyamine activity, suggesting that PFF affected polyamine levels. Furthermore, the authors showed that the addition of polyamine spermine inhibited mechanically induced NO- production and *cox-2* gene expression.<sup>171</sup> These data imply that polyamines play a role in modulating ASC response to mechanical stimulation, which may have a profound effect on ASC approaches to bone tissue engineering and potential therapeutic uses for polyamines in skeletal disorders.

Additionally, studies by Grayson *et al.* and Li *et al.* have utilized a perfusion culture system with steady fluid flow to distribute MSC throughout 3D scaffold materials.<sup>35,172</sup> Grayson *et al.* reported substantial cellular penetration and distribution throughout a decellularized bone matrix scaffold and enhanced expression of specific bone matrix markers, concluding flow rate alone can directly control the quality of an MSC-derived bone construct.<sup>172</sup> Likewise Li *et al.* achieved cell survival and shear stress-level dependent osteogenic differentiation and matrix mineralization throughout a TCP scaffold in perfusion culture.<sup>35</sup>

Following the Grayson *et al.* article, the same group applied their perfusion culture system to ASC seeded on decellularized bone scaffolds for a comparative investigation, using the same parameters as their MSC study and other static culture methods (study also discussed in *"In vitro* studies").<sup>31</sup> Similar to the MSC study, ASC also achieved improved 3D cellular distribution and expression of bone specific markers, validating the perfusion culture method for creating a 3D ASC-derived bone construct.<sup>31</sup> In general, a variety of fluid flow modalities are proving to provide directive mechanical cues for ASC differentiation as well as cell-seeding methods and improved nutrient delivery within a 3D construct.

Unconfined and confined compression. Cyclic compression has been shown to stimulate osteoblast differentiation and bone formation *in vitro* and *in vivo*.<sup>36,174,175</sup> Although there has been little work to date on the effect of compression on ASC osteogeneic differentiation, it has been implicated in MSC osteogenesis and thus likely is another important mediator of ASC lineage specification. A majority of the work in MSC suggests that cyclic compressive loading leads to a chondrogenic phenotype,<sup>176,177</sup> though there is some evidence it may also enhance osteogenic differentiation.<sup>174,175</sup> However, it is unclear whether it is the compressive force initiating the mechanically transduced signal, or rather the tensile force acting along the unconfined axis, orthogonally to the direction of compression.

#### Other environmental mediators of differentiation

Electrical stimulation. Electrical stimulation has been used clinically as a therapeutic procedure to stimulate bone growth and enhance healing of nonunion bone fractures, though some argue the evidenced benefits of the procedure.<sup>178</sup> Regardless, the effect of various modalities of electrical stimulation on osteoblast,<sup>179</sup> MSC,<sup>180–182</sup> and ASC<sup>25,26</sup> osteogenic differentiation remains an active area of research. Tsai *et al.* demonstrated enhanced early osteogenic induction in MSC via application of low-frequency (7.5Hz) pulsed electromagnetic fields as determined by an increase in alkaline phosphatase activity and upregulation of *Runx2* and *ALP* gene expression.<sup>180</sup> Hammerick *et al.* used pulsed direct current (DC) at a higher frequency (50 Hz) applied to ASC and likewise observed enhanced osteogenic differentiation via upregulation of *Opn, Col I,* and *Runx2* gene expression as

well as an increase in cytoskeletal tension, as measured by atomic force microscopy.<sup>25</sup> Interestingly, this study reported an important mechanistic finding: the addition of an inhibitor disrupting the tensional action of the cytoskeleton did not yield an apparent decrease in osteogenesis, suggesting that electric field effects are not mediated by mechanical changes in cytoskeletal tension, but rather through another pathway.<sup>25</sup> Similarly, active research in our group has observed enhanced osteogenesis and intracellular calcium activity in ASC when exposed to low frequency alternating current (AC) fields via ASC growth and stimulation on interdigitated electrodes.<sup>26</sup> Further understanding of this process is needed to fully harness the potential of using electrical stimulation as a tool in creating tissue-engineered bone constructs.

Substrate-mediated differentiation. Another development in directing stem cell differentiation has come out of investigating cell-substrate interactions. Substrate biochemical composition, stiffness, and surface morphology can greatly affect ASC and MSC adhesion, proliferation, migra-tion, and differentiation.<sup>28,131–134,183–189</sup> Engler *et al.* demonstrated distinct morphological, gene, and protein differences among MSC cultured on collagen-coated polyacrylamide gels with elastic moduli of 0.1-1 kPa, 8-17 kPa, and 25-40 kPa. These values were predicted to emulate the tissue compliance of brain, muscle, and collagenous bone, respectively.28 They described synergistic lineage specification effects when combining chemical differentiation media with the appropriate substrate stiffness for the target phenotype. Following that study, work by Rowlands *et al.* described the interplay between substrate stiffness and surface ligand properties, through testing various ECM coatings. This group reported greatest osteogenic differentiation of MSC on the stiffest (80 kPa) collagen I-coated substrate through expression of Runx2 as compared to other ECM coatings. Interestingly, MSC showed peak expression of myogenic marker MyoD1 at varying moduli depending on type of ECM coating.188

In addition to these mechanistic studies, others have explored the use of bioinstructive materials to direct functional differentiation through inducing characteristic metabolic activities. A study by Au et al. used a well-defined protein peptide for cellular adhesion (GRGDSPY) and a peptide derived from bone sialoprotein (FHRRIKA) to observe integrinmediated processes in MSC osteogenic differentiation and alkaline phosphatase activity.<sup>183</sup> Although much of the work on substrate-mediated differentiation has characterized MSC behavior, our group has shown similar findings of substratemediated differentiation with ASC. Studies in our lab have shown that incorporating TCP into electrospun PLA scaffolds enhances ASC endogenous alkaline phosphatase activity and cell-mediated calcium accretion.131 These results were consistent with a similar study conducted by Haimi et al. confirming that PLA/TCP composite scaffolds significantly enhance osteogenic differentiation of ASC.<sup>140</sup> These studies suggest that the biochemical composition of the substrate can provide important environmental cues to direct lineage specification of ASC and MSC, particularly for osteogenesis. Although there are fewer studies on substratecontrolled differentiation of ASC, there is substantial evidence that ASC may have similar mechanosensing properties to MSC.

#### Conclusions

From the development of Wolff's law in the late 19th century to the principles of mechanobiology applied to stem cell osteogenic differentiation, the field has made huge strides toward engineering bone tissue replacements. Mechanical stimulation is now a well-established inducer of osteogenesis in native bone and its role in ASC and MSC osteogenic differentiation is relatively undeniable. Creating a viable tissueengineered bone construct derived from ASC is truly a multifaceted process necessitating a multidisciplinary approach to optimize the culture environment, as discussed in various sections of this review. Although ASC are a relatively abundant cell source, they are not as well characterized as their MSC counterparts. Further understanding of both of these cell populations will improve our understanding of their multipotency and their limitations for use in bone tissue engineering. Additionally, development of consensus in ASC isolation procedures, though not emphasized in this article, is a necessary step for an accurate characterization definition of the ASC population, as some studies have a more stringent and specific selection process for their ASC population than others.

Most importantly, the crux of this review aimed to explore the current knowledge base in ASC mechanobiology as framed through established principles in bone, though a thorough mechanistic understanding of mechanotransduction processes in these cells remains elusive. It is quite clear that mechanical forces, particularly tensile strain and fluid shear among others, are crucial signals in ASC osteogenic differentiation. Emerging evidence of hypothesized mediators such as the cytoskeletal proteins, primary cilia, and ion channels shows great promise in elucidating the mechanisms behind mechanotransduction in these cells. As we expand our understanding of the ASC mechanosensing process, we can better optimize the chemical, mechanical, and electrical culture environment, as well as the properties of the culture substrate, to direct ASC toward the specified osteogenic phenotype. ASC continue to show great promise as a cell source for autologous bone replacement and regeneration procedures, and as each facet of bone tissue engineering advances in conjunction with further understanding of the ASC populations, they will very likely be cell source candidates in these future therapeutic constructs.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH/NCRR 10KR51023(EGL), NIH/NIBIB R03Eb008790(EGL) and the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program (JCB). We thank B. Hartsfield for his artwork design guidance & E.D. Jerkins for her critical comments on this review.

#### **Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

#### References

- Zuk, P., Zhu, M., Ashjian, P., De Ugarte, D., Huang, J., Mizuno, H., *et al.* Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. Mol Biol Cell **13**, 4279, 2002.
- 2. Gimble, J., and Guilak, F. Adipose-derived adult stem cells: isolation, characterization, and differentiation potential. Cytotherapy **5**, 362, 2003.

- Strem, B., Zhu, M., Alfonso, Z., Daniels, E., Schreiber, R., Begyui, R., *et al.* Expression of cardiomyocytic markers on adipose tissue-derived cells in a murine model of acute myocardial injury. Cytotherapy 7, 282, 2005.
- Dimuzio, P., and Tulenko, T. Tissue engineering applications to vascular bypass graft development: The use of adipose-derived stem cells. J Vasc Surg 45, A99, 2007.
- Rada, T., Reis, R., and Gomes, M. Adipose tissue-derived stem cells and their application in bone and cartilage tissue engineering. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 15, 113, 2009.
- Frost, H.M. Skeletal structural adaptations to mechanical usage (SATMU): 1. Redefining Wolff's law: the bone modeling problem. Anat Rec 226, 403, 1990.
- Frost, H.M. Bone "mass" and the "mechanostat": a proposal. Anat Rec 219, 1, 1987.
- Schneider, V., and McDonald, J. Skeletal calcium homeostasis and countermeasures to prevent disuse osteoporosis. Calcif Tissue Int 36, 151, 1984.
- 9. Morey, E., and Baylink, D. Inhibition of bone formation during space flight. Science **201**, 1138, 1978.
- Robling, A., Hinant, F., Burr, D., and Turner, C. Improved bone structure and strength after long-term mechanical loading is greatest if loading is separated into short bouts. J Bone Miner Res 17, 1545, 2002.
- Greene, D.A., and Naughton, G.A. Adaptive skeletal responses to mechanical loading during adolescence. Sports Med 36, 723, 2006.
- 12. Ehrlich, P., and Lanyon, L. Mechanical strain and bone cell function: a review. Osteoporos Int **13**, 688, 2002.
- van Griensven, M., Diederichs, S., Roeker, S., and Boehm, S. Mechanical strain using 2D and 3D bioreactors induces osteogenesis: implications for bone tissue engineering. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol 112, 95, 2008.
- Zuk, P., Zhu, M., Mizuno, H., Huang, J., Futrell, J., Katz, A., *et al.* Multilineage cells from human adipose tissue: implications for cell-based therapies. Tissue Eng 7, 211, 2001.
- Sumanasinghe, R.D., Bernacki, S.H., and Loboa, E.G. Osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells in collagen matrices: effect of uniaxial cyclic tensile strain on bone morphogenetic protein (BMP-2) mRNA expression. Tissue Eng 12, 3459, 2006.
- Arnsdorf, E.J., Tummala, P., Kwon, R.Y., and Jacobs, C.R. Mechanically induced osteogenic differentiation - the role of RhoA, ROCKII and cytoskeletal dynamics. J Cell Sci 122, 546, 2009.
- 17. Arnsdorf, E.J., Tummala, P., and Jacobs, C.R. Non-canonical Wnt signaling and N-cadherin related beta-catenin signaling play a role in mechanically induced osteogenic cell fate. PLoS ONE **4**, e5388, 200.
- Lee, W., Maul, T., Vorp, D., Rubin, J., and Marra, K. Effects of uniaxial cyclic strain on adipose-derived stem cell morphology, proliferation, and differentiation. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 6, 265, 2007.
- Ignatius, A., Blessing, H., Liedert, A., Schmidt, C., Neidlinger-Wilke, C., Kaspar, D., *et al.* Tissue engineering of bone: effects of mechanical strain on osteoblastic cells in type I collagen matrices. Biomaterials **26**, 311, 2005.
- Kearney, E.M., Farrell, E., Prendergast, P.J., and Campbell, V.A. Tensile strain as a regulator of mesenchymal stem cell osteogenesis. Ann Biomed Eng 38, 1767, 2010.
- Hanson, A., Marvel, S., Bernacki, S.H., Banes, A., Aalst, J., and Loboa, E.G. Osteogenic effects of rest inserted and continuous cyclic tensile strain on hASC lines with dispa-

rate osteodifferentiation capabilities. Ann Biomed Eng 37, 955, 2009.

- 22. Sumanasinghe, R., Osborne, J., and Loboa, E. Mesenchymal stem cell-seeded collagen matrices for bone repair: effects of cyclic tensile strain, cell density, and media conditions on matrix contraction *in vitro*. J Biomed Mater Res **88**, 778, 2009.
- Ozcivici, E., Luu, Y.K., Adler, B., Qin Y-X, Rubin, J., Judex, S., *et al.* Mechanical signals as anabolic agents in bone. Nat Rev Rheumatol 6, 50, 2010.
- Altman, G., Lu, H., Horan, R., Calabro, T., Ryder, D., Kaplan, D., *et al.* Advanced bioreactor with controlled application of multi-dimensional strain for tissue engineering. J Biomech Eng **124**, 742, 2002.
- Hammerick, K.E., James, A.W., Huang, Z., Prinz, F.B., and Longaker, M.T. Pulsed direct current electric fields enhance osteogenesis in adipose-derived stromal cells. Tissue Eng Part A 16, 917, 2010.
- 26. McCullen, S., McQuilling, J., Grossfeld, R., Lubischer, J., Clarke, L., and Loboa, E. Application of low frequency AC electric fields via interdigitated electrodes: effects on cellular viability, cytoplasmic calcium, and osteogenic differentiation of human adipose-derived stem cells. Tissue Eng Part C Methods 16, 1377, 2010.
- Discher, D.E., Janmey, P., and Wang, Y.L. Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate. Science **310**, 1139, 2005.
- Engler, A.J., Sen, S., Sweeney, H.L., and Discher, D.E. Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. Cell 126, 677, 2006.
- Knothe Tate, M., Falls, T., McBride, S., Atit, R., and Knothe, U. Mechanical modulation of osteochondroprogenitor cell fate. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 40, 2720, 2008.
- McCullen, S.D., Haslauer, C.M., and Loboa, E.G. Musculoskeletal mechanobiology: Interpretation by external force and engineered substratum. J Biomech 43, 119, 2010.
- Fröhlich, M., Grayson, W.L., Marolt, D., Gimble, J.M., Kregar-Velikonja, N., and Vunjak-Novakovic, G. Bone grafts engineered from human adipose-derived stem cells in perfusion bioreactor culture. Tissue Eng Part A 16, 179, 2010.
- 32. Malone, A.M., Anderson, C.T., Tummala, P., Kwon, R.Y., Johnston, T.R., Stearns, T., *et al.* Primary cilia mediate mechanosensing in bone cells by a calcium-independent mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **104**, 13325, 2007.
- 33. Klein-Nulend, J., Semeins, C., Burger, E., Plas, A.V.d., Ajubi, N., and Nijweide, P. Response of isolated osteocytes to mechanical loading *in vitro*. In: Odgaard, A., and Weinans, H., eds. Bone Structure and Remodeling. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., 1994, pp. 37–49.
- 34. Knippenberg, M., Helder, M., Zandieh Doulabi, B., Semeins, C., Wuisman, P., and Klein-Nulend, J. Adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells acquire bone cell-like responsiveness to fluid shear stress on osteogenic stimulation. Tissue Eng 11, 1780, 2005.
- 35. Li, D., Tang, T., Lu, J., and Dai, K. Effects of flow shear stress and mass transport on the construction of a largescale tissue-engineered bone in a perfusion bioreactor. Tissue Eng Part A **15**, 2773, 2009.
- 36. David, V., Guignandon, A., Martin, A., Malaval, L., Lafage-Proust, M.-H., Rattner, A., *et al.* Ex vivo bone formation in bovine trabecular bone cultured in a dynamic 3D bioreactor is enhanced by compressive mechanical strain. Tissue Eng Part A 14, 117, 2008.

- Wall, M., Rachlin, A., Otey, C., and Loboa, E. Human adipose-derived adult stem cells upregulate palladin during osteogenesis and in response to cyclic tensile strain. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 293, C1532, 2007.
- Duncan, R.L., and Turner, C.H. Mechanotransduction and the functional response of bone to mechanical strain. Calcif Tissue Int 57, 344, 1995.
- Carter, D.R., Beaupre, G.S., Giori, N.J., and Helms, J.A. Mechanobiology of skeletal regeneration. Clin Orthop Relat Res 355 Suppl, S41, 1998.
- 40. Rubin, J., Rubin, C., and Jacobs, C.R. Molecular pathways mediating mechanical signaling in bone. Gene **367**, 1, 2006.
- Knothe Tate, M.L. "Whither flows the fluid in bone?" An osteocyte's perspective. J Biomech 36, 1409, 2003.
- Rubin, C.T., and Lanyon, L.E. Regulation of bone formation by applied dynamic loads. J Bone Joint Surg Am 66, 397, 1984.
- Cowin, S.C., Moss-Salentijn, L., and Moss, M.L. Candidates for the mechanosensory system in bone. J Biomech Eng 113, 191, 1991.
- 44. Weinbaum, S., Cowin, S.C., and Zeng, Y. A model for the excitation of osteocytes by mechanical loading-induced bone fluid shear stresses. J Biomech **27**, 339, 1994.
- Burger, E.H., and Klein-Nulend, J. Mechanotransduction in bone—role of the lacuno-canalicular network. Faseb J 13 Suppl, S101, 1999.
- Riddle, R., and Donahue, H. From streaming-potentials to shear stress: 25 years of bone cell mechanotransduction. J Orthop Res 27, 143, 2009.
- Allori, A., Sailon, A., Pan, J., and Warren, S. Biological basis of bone formation, remodeling, and repair—part III: biomechanical forces. Tissue Eng Part B: Rev 14, 285, 2008.
- Burger, E.H., and Klein-Nulend, J. Responses of bone cells to biomechanical forces *in vitro*. Adv Dental Res 13, 93, 1999.
- Sikavitsas, V.I., Temenoff, J.S., and Mikos, A.G. Biomaterials and bone mechanotransduction. Biomaterials 22, 2581, 2001.
- Ajubi, N.E., Klein-Nulend, J., Nijweide, P.J., Vrijheid-Lammers, T., Alblas, M.J., and Burger, E.H. Pulsating fluid flow increases prostaglandin production by cultured chicken osteocytes—a cytoskeleton-dependent process. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 225, 62, 1996.
- Klein-Nulend, J., Semeins, C.M., Ajubi, N.E., Nijweide, P.J., and Burger, E.H. Pulsating fluid flow increases nitric oxide (NO) synthesis by osteocytes but not periosteal fibroblasts—correlation with prostaglandin upregulation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 217, 640, 1995.
- 52. Thi, M., Iacobas, D., Iacobas, S., and Spray, D. Fluid shear stress upregulates vascular endothelial growth factor gene expression in osteoblasts. Ann N Y Acad Sci **1117**, 73, 2007.
- 53. You, J., Reilly, G.C., Zhen, X., Yellowley, C.E., Chen, Q., Donahue, H.J., *et al.* Osteopontin gene regulation by oscillatory fluid flow via intracellular calcium mobilization and activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase in MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts. J Biol Chem **276**, 13365, 2001.
- Batra, N., Li, Y., Yellowley, C., You, L., Malone, A., Kim, C., et al. Effects of short-term recovery periods on fluid-induced signaling in osteoblastic cells. J Biomech 38, 1909, 2005.
- 55. Qin, Y., Kaplan, T., Saldanha, A., and Rubin, C. Fluid pressure gradients, arising from oscillations in intramedullary pressure, is correlated with the formation of bone and inhibition of intracortical porosity. J Biomech 36, 1427, 2003.

- 56. Schwartz, Z., Denison, T., Bannister, S., Cochran, D., Liu, Y., Lohmann, C., *et al.* Osteoblast response to fluid induced shear depends on substrate microarchitecture and varies with time. J Biomed Mater Res 83A, 20, 2007.
- Loboa, E.G., Fang, T.D., Warren, S.M., Lindsey, D.P., Fong, K.D., Longaker, M.T., *et al*. Mechanobiology of mandibular distraction osteogenesis: experimental analyses with a rat model. Bone **34**, 336, 2004.
- Richards, M., Goulet, J.A., Weiss, J.A., Waanders, N.A., Schaffler, M.B., and Goldstein, S.A. Bone regeneration and fracture healing. Experience with distraction osteogenesis model. Clin Orthop Relat Res 355 Suppl, S191, 1998.
- Buchman, S.R., Ignelzi, M.A., Radu, C., Wilensky, J., Rosenthal, A.H., Tong, L., *et al.* Unique rodent model of distraction osteogenesis of the mandible. Ann Plast Surg 49, 511, 2002.
- Waanders, N.A., Richards, M., Steen, H., Kuhn, J.L., Goldstein, S.A., and Goulet, J.A. Evaluation of the mechanical environment during distraction osteogenesis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 349, 225, 1998.
- Smith-Adaline, E.A., Volkman, S.K., Ignelzi, M.A., Jr., Slade, J., Platte, S., and Goldstein, S.A. Mechanical environment alters tissue formation patterns during fracture repair. J Orthop Res 22, 1079, 2004.
- Meyer, U., Wiesmann, H.P., Kruse-Losler, B., Handschel, J., Stratmann, U., and Joos, U. Strain-related bone remodeling in distraction osteogenesis of the mandible. Plast Reconstr Surg 103, 800, 1999.
- 63. Loboa, E., Fang, T., Parker, D., Warren, S., Fong, K., Longaker, M., *et al.* Mechanobiology of mandibular distraction osteogenesis: finite element analyses with a rat model. J Orthop Res **23**, 663, 2005.
- Plotkin, L.I., Mathov, I., Aguirre, J.I., Parfitt, A.M., Manolagas, S.C., and Bellido, T. Mechanical stimulation prevents osteocyte apoptosis: requirement of integrins, Src kinases, and ERKs. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 289, C633, 2005.
- 65. Tong, L., Buchman, S.R., Ignelzi, M.A., Rhee, S., and Goldstein, S.A. Focal adhesion kinase expression during mandibular distraction osteogenesis: evidence for mechanotransduction. Plast Reconstr Surg **111**, 211, 2003.
- 66. Ingber, D.E. Tensegrity: the architectural basis of cellular mechanotransduction. Annu Rev Physiol **59**, 575, 1997.
- 67. Ingber, D.E., and Folkman, J. Mechanochemical switching between growth and differentiation during fibroblast growth factor-stimulated angiogenesis *in vitro*: role of extracellular matrix. J Cell Biol **109**, 317, 1989.
- 68. Rana, B., Mischoulon, D., Xie, Y., Bucher, N.L., and Farmer, S.R. Cell-extracellular matrix interactions can regulate the switch between growth and differentiation in rat hepatocytes: reciprocal expression of C/EBP alpha and immediate-early growth response transcription factors. Mol Cell Biol 14, 5858, 1994.
- 69. Mooney, D., Hansen, L., Vacanti, J., Langer, R., Farmer, S., and Ingber, D. Switching from differentiation to growth in hepatocytes: control by extracellular matrix. J Cell Physiol **151**, 497, 1992.
- Hansen, L.K., Mooney, D.J., Vacanti, J.P., and Ingber, D.E. Integrin binding and cell spreading on extracellular matrix act at different points in the cell cycle to promote hepatocyte growth. Mol Biol Cell 5, 967, 1994.
- McGarry, J.G., Klein-Nulend, J., and Prendergast, P.J. The effect of cytoskeletal disruption on pulsatile fluid flowinduced nitric oxide and prostaglandin E2 release in

osteocytes and osteoblasts. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **330**, 341, 2005.

- Mullender, M., El Haj, A.J., Yang, Y., van Duin, M.A., Burger, E.H., and Klein-Nulend, J. Mechanotransduction of bone cells *in vitro*: mechanobiology of bone tissue. Med Biol Eng Comput **42**, 14, 2004.
- Pavalko, F., Chen, N., Turner, C., Burr, D., Atkinson, S., Hsieh, Y., *et al.* Fluid shear-induced mechanical signaling in MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts requires cytoskeleton-integrin interactions. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol **275**, 1591, 1998.
- Liedert, A., Kaspar, D., Blakytny, R., Claes, L., and Ignatius, A. Signal transduction pathways involved in mechanotransduction in bone cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 349, 1, 2006.
- Jiang, J.X., Siller-Jackson, A.J., and Burra, S. Roles of gap junctions and hemichannels in bone cell functions and in signal transmission of mechanical stress. Front Biosci 12, 1450, 2007.
- Taylor, A.F., Saunders, M.M., Shingle, D.L., Cimbala, J.M., Zhou, Z., and Donahue, H.J. Mechanically stimulated osteocytes regulate osteoblastic activity via gap junctions. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol **292**, C545, 2007.
- 77. Whitfield, J. The solitary (primary) cilium–A mechanosensory toggle switch in bone and cartilage cells. Cell Signal **20**, 1019, 2008.
- 78. Zhou, J. Polycystins and primary cilia: primers for cell cycle progression. Ann Rev Physiol **71**, 83, 2009.
- 79. Tummala, P., Arnsdorf, E.J., and Jacobs, C.R. The role of primary cilia in mesenchymal stem cell differentiation: a pivotal switch in guiding lineage commitment. Cell Mol Bioeng **3**, 207, 2010.
- Rawlinson, S.C., Pitsillides, A.A., and Lanyon, L.E. Involvement of different ion channels in osteoblasts' and osteocytes' early responses to mechanical strain. Bone 19, 609, 1996.
- Charras, G.T., Williams, B.A., Sims, S.M., and Horton, M.A. Estimating the sensitivity of mechanosensitive ion channels to membrane strain and tension. Biophys J 87, 2870, 2004.
- Davidson, R.M. Membrane stretch activates a highconductance K+ channel in G292 osteoblastic-like cells. J Membr Biol 131, 81, 1993.
- Davidson, R.M., Tatakis, D.W., and Auerbach, A.L. Multiple forms of mechanosensitive ion channels in osteoblastlike cells. Pflugers Arch 416, 646, 1990.
- Li, J., Duncan, R.L., Burr, D.B., and Turner, C.H. L-type calcium channels mediate mechanically induced bone formation *in vivo*. J Bone Miner Res 17, 1795, 2002.
- Khan, Y., Yaszemski, M.J., Mikos, A.G., and Laurencin, C.T. Tissue engineering of bone: material and matrix considerations. J Bone Joint Surg **90 Suppl 1**, 36, 2008.
- 86. Younger, E.M., and Chapman, M.W. Morbidity at bone graft donor sites. J Orthop Trauma **3**, 192, 1989.
- Ringe, J., Kaps, C., Burmester, G.R., and Sittinger, M. Stem cells for regenerative medicine: advances in the engineering of tissues and organs. Naturwissenschaften 89, 338, 2002.
- Moore, W.R., Graves, S.E., and Bain, G.I. Synthetic bone graft substitutes. ANZ J Surg 71, 354, 2001.
- Hui, J.H., Ouyang, H.W., Hutmacher, D.W., Goh, J.C., and Lee, E.H. Mesenchymal stem cells in musculoskeletal tissue engineering: a review of recent advances in National University of Singapore. Ann Acad Med Singapore 34, 206, 2005.
- Bonassar, L.J., and Vacanti, C.A. Tissue engineering: the first decade and beyond. J Cell Biochem Suppl **30–31**, 297, 1998.

- 91. Vacanti, C.A., and Vacanti, J.P. The science of tissue engineering. Orthop Clin North Am **31**, 351, 2000.
- Young, R.G., Butler, D.L., Weber, W., Caplan, A.I., Gordon, S.L., and Fink, D.J. Use of mesenchymal stem cells in a collagen matrix for Achilles tendon repair. J Orthop Res 16, 406, 1998.
- 93. Juncosa-Melvin, N., Shearn, J.T., Boivin, G.P., Gooch, C., Galloway, M.T., West, J.R., *et al.* Effects of mechanical stimulation on the biomechanics and histology of stem cellcollagen sponge constructs for rabbit patellar tendon repair. Tissue Eng 12, 2291, 2006.
- Awad, H.A., Boivin, G.P., Dressler, M.R., Smith, F.N., Young, R.G., and Butler, D.L. Repair of patellar tendon injuries using a cell-collagen composite. J Orthop Res 21, 420, 2003.
- Wakitani, S., Goto, T., Pineda, S.J., Young, R.G., Mansour, J.M., Caplan, A.I., *et al.* Mesenchymal cell-based repair of large, full-thickness defects of articular cartilage. J Bone Joint Surg Am **76**, 579, 1994.
- Liu, Y., Shu, X.Z., and Prestwich, G.D. Osteochondral defect repair with autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in an injectable, *in situ*, crosslinked synthetic extracellular matrix. Tissue Eng 12, 3405, 2006.
- 97. Yan, H., and Yu, C. Repair of full-thickness cartilage defects with cells of different origin in a rabbit model. Arthroscopy **23**, 178, 2007.
- Kuroda, R., Ishida, K., Matsumoto, T., Akisue, T., Fujioka, H., Mizuno, K., *et al.* Treatment of a full-thickness articular cartilage defect in the femoral condyle of an athlete with autologous bone-marrow stromal cells. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 15, 226, 2007.
- 99. Wakitani, S., Imoto, K., Yamamoto, T., Saito, M., Murata, N., and Yoneda, M. Human autologous culture expanded bone marrow mesenchymal cell transplantation for repair of cartilage defects in osteoarthritic knees. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 10, 199, 2002.
- Endres, M., Hutmacher, D.W., Salgado, A.J., Kaps, C., Ringe, J., Reis, R.L., *et al.* Osteogenic induction of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal progenitor cells in novel synthetic polymer-hydrogel matrices. Tissue Eng 9, 689, 2003.
- 101. Bruder, S.P., Kraus, K.H., Goldberg, V.M., and Kadiyala, S. The effect of implants loaded with autologous mesenchymal stem cells on the healing of canine segmental bone defects. J Bone Joint Surg Am 80, 985. 1998.
- 102. Arinzeh, T.L., Peter, S.J., Archambault, M.P., van den Bos, C., Gordon, S., Kraus, K., et al. Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells regenerate bone in a critical-sized canine segmental defect. J Bone Joint Surg Am 85A,1927, 2003.
- 103. Schantz, J.T., Hutmacher, D.W., Lam, C.X., Brinkmann, M., Wong, K.M., Lim, T.C., *et al.* Repair of calvarial defects with customised tissue-engineered bone grafts II. Evaluation of cellular efficiency and efficacy *in vivo*. Tissue Eng **9 Suppl 1**, S127, 2003.
- 104. Quarto, R., Mastrogiacomo, M., Cancedda, R., Kutepov, S.M., Mukhachev, V., Lavroukov, A., *et al.* Repair of large bone defects with the use of autologous bone marrow stromal cells. N Engl J Med **344**, 385, 2001.
- 105. Kon, E., Muraglia, A., Corsi, A., Bianco, P., Marcacci, M., Martin, I., *et al.* Autologous bone marrow stromal cells loaded onto porous hydroxyapatite ceramic accelerate bone repair in critical-size defects of sheep long bones. J Biomed Mater Res **49**, 328, 2000.

- 106. Park, J.S., Chu, J.S., Cheng, C., Chen, F., Chen, D., and Li, S. Differential effects of equiaxial and uniaxial strain on mesenchymal stem cells. Biotechnol Bioeng 88, 359, 2004.
- 107. Endres, M., Hutmacher, D.W., Salgado, A.J., Kaps, C., Ringe, J., Reis, R.L., Sittinger, M., Brandwood, A., and Schantz, J.T. Osteogenic induction of human bone marrowderived mesenchymal progenitor cells in novel synthetic polymer-hydrogel matrices. Tissue Eng 9, 689, 2003.
- Aust, L., Devlin, B., Foster, S.J., Halvorsen, Y.D., Hicok, K., du Laney, T., *et al.* Yield of human adipose-derived adult stem cells from liposuction aspirates. Cytotherapy 6, 7, 2004.
- 109. De Ugarte, D.A., Morizono, K., Elbarbary, A., Alfonso, Z., Zuk, P.A., Zhu, M., *et al.* Comparison of multi-lineage cells from human adipose tissue and bone marrow. Cells Tissues Organs **174**, 101, 2003.
- 110. Batinić, D., Marusić, M., Pavletić, Z., Bogdanić, V., Uzarević, B., Nemet, D., *et al.* Relationship between differing volumes of bone marrow aspirates and their cellular composition. Bone Marrow Transplant **6**, 103, 1990.
- 111. Guilak, F., Lott, K.E., Awad, H.A., Cao, Q., Hicok, K.C., Fermor, B., *et al.* Clonal analysis of the differentiation potential of human adipose-derived adult stem cells. J Cell Physiol **206**, 229, 2006.
- Weinzierl, K., Hemprich, A., and Frerich, B. Bone engineering with adipose tissue derived stromal cells. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 34, 466, 2006.
- 113. Halvorsen, Y.D., Franklin, D., Bond, A.L., Hitt, D.C., Auchter, C., Boskey, A.L., *et al.* Extracellular matrix mineralization and osteoblast gene expression by human adipose tissue-derived stromal cells. Tissue Eng 7, 729, 2001.
- 114. Hattori, H., Sato, M., Masuoka, K., Ishihara, M., Kikuchi, T., Matsui, T., *et al.* Osteogenic potential of human adipose tissue-derived stromal cells as an alternative stem cell source. Cells Tissues Organs **178**, 2, 2004.
- 115. Wall, M., Bernacki, S.H., and Loboa, E.G. Effects of serial passaging on the adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation potential of adipose-derived human mesenchymal stem cells. Tissue Eng **13**, 1291, 2007.
- Gronthos, S., Franklin, D.M., Leddy, H.A., Robey, P.G., Storms, R.W., and Gimble, J.M. Surface protein characterization of human adipose tissue-derived stromal cells. J Cell Physiol 189, 54, 2001.
- 117. Mauney, J.R., Nguyen, T., Gillen, K., Kirker-Head, C., Gimble, J.M., and Kaplan, D.L. Engineering adipose-like tissue *in vitro* and *in vivo* utilizing human bone marrow and adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells with silk fibroin 3D scaffolds. Biomaterials **28**, 5280, 2007.
- 118. Mehlhorn, A.T., Niemeyer, P., Kaiser, S., Finkenzeller, G., Stark, G.B., Sudkamp, N.P., *et al.* Differential expression pattern of extracellular matrix molecules during chondrogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow and adipose tissue. Tissue Eng **12**, 2853, 2006.
- 119. Vidal, M.A., Kilroy, G.E., Lopez, M.J., Johnson, J.R., Moore, R.M., and Gimble, J.M. Characterization of equine adipose tissue-derived stromal cells: adipogenic and osteogenic capacity and comparison with bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells. Vet Surg 36, 613, 2007.
- 120. Im, G.I., Shin, Y.W., and Lee, K.B. Do adipose tissuederived mesenchymal stem cells have the same osteogenic and chondrogenic potential as bone marrow-derived cells? Osteoarthritis Cartilage 13, 845, 2005.
- 121. Afizah, H., Yang, Z., Hui, J.H., Ouyang, H.W., and Lee, E.H. A comparison between the chondrogenic potential of

human bone marrow stem cells (BMSC) and adiposederived stem cells (ADSCs) taken from the same donors. Tissue Eng **13**, 659, 2007.

- 122. Liu, T.M., Martina, M., Hutmacher, D.W., Hui, J.H., Lee, E.H., and Lim, B. Identification of common pathways mediating differentiation of bone marrow- and adipose tissue-derived human mesenchymal stem cells into three mesenchymal lineages. Stem Cells 25, 750, 2007.
- 123. Estes, B.T., Wu, A.W., and Guilak, F. Potent induction of chondrocytic differentiation of human adipose-derived adult stem cells by bone morphogenetic protein 6. Arthritis Rheum 54, 1222, 2006.
- 124. Estes, B.T., Wu, A.W., Storms, R.W., and Guilak, F. Extended passaging, but not aldehyde dehydrogenase activity, increases the chondrogenic potential of human adipose-derived adult stem cells. J Cell Physiol 209, 987, 2006.
- 125. Puetzer, J.L., Petitte, J.N., and Loboa, E.G. Comparative review of growth factors for induction of three-dimensional in vitro chondrogenesis in human mesenchymal stem cells isolated from bone marrow and adipose tissue. Tissue Eng Part B Rev **16**, 435, 2010.
- 126. Jansen, B.J., Gilissen, C., Roelofs, H., Schaap-Oziemlak, A., Veltman, J., Raymakers, R.A., *et al.* Functional differences between mesenchymal stem cell populations are reflected by their transcriptome. Stem Cells Dev **19**, 481, 2010.
- 127. Prunet-Marcassus, B., Cousin, B., Caton, D., André, M., Pénicaud, L., and Casteilla, L. From heterogeneity to plasticity in adipose tissues: site-specific differences. Exp Cell Res 312, 727, 2006.
- 128. Bernacki, S., Wall, M., and Loboa, E. Isolation of human mesenchymal stem cells from bone and adipose tissue. Methods Cell Biol **86**, 257, 2008.
- 129. Katz, A.J., Tholpady, A., Tholpady, S.S., Shang, H., and Ogle, R.C. Cell surface and transcriptional characterization of human adipose-derived adherent stromal (hADAS) cells. Stem Cells **23**, 412, 2005.
- 130. Rada, T., Reis, R.L., and Gomes, M.E. Distinct stem cells subpopulations isolated from human adipose tissue exhibit different chondrogenic and osteogenic differentiation potential. Stem Cell Rev 7, 64, 2011.
- 131. McCullen, S., Zhu, Y., Bernacki, S., Narayan, R., Pourdeyhimi, B., Gorga, R., *et al.* Electrospun composite poly (L-lactic acid)/tricalcium phosphate scaffolds induce proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of human adiposederived stem cells. Biomed Mater 4, 035002, 2009.
- 132. Hanson, A., Wall, M., Pourdeyhimi, B., and Loboa, E. Effects of oxygen plasma treatment on adipose-derived human mesenchymal stem cell adherence to poly (L-lactic acid) scaffolds. J Biomater Sci Poly Ed **18**, 1387, 2007.
- 133. Hyde, G., McCullen, S., Jeon, S., Stewart, S., Jeon, H., Loboa, E., *et al.* Atomic layer deposition and biocompatibility of titanium nitride nano-coatings on cellulose fiber substrates. Biomed Mater **4**, 025001, 2009.
- 134. McCullen, S., Stevens, D., Roberts, W., Clarke, L., Bernacki, S., Gorga, R., *et al.* Characterization of electrospun nanocomposite scaffolds and biocompatibility with adiposederived human mesenchymal stem cells. Int J Nanomed 2, 253, 2007.
- 135. Hattori, H., Masuoka, K., Sato, M., Ishihara, M., Asazuma, T., Takase, B., *et al.* Bone formation using human adipose tissue-derived stromal cells and a biodegradable scaffold. J Biomed Mater Res Part B Appl Biomater **76**, 230, 2006.
- 136. Kakudo, N., Shimotsuma, A., Miyake, S., Kushida, S., and Kusumoto, K. Bone tissue engineering using human

adipose-derived stem cells and honeycomb collagen scaffold. J Biomed Mater Res Part A 84, 191, 2008.

- 137. Marino, G., Rosso, F., Cafiero, G., Tortora, C., Moraci, M., Barbarisi, M., *et al.* Beta-tricalcium phosphate 3D scaffold promote alone osteogenic differentiation of human adipose stem cells: *in vitro* study. J Mater Sci Mater Med **21**, 353, 2010.
- 138. Liu, Q., Cen, L., Yin, S., Chen, L., Liu, G., Chang, J., *et al.* A comparative study of proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of adipose-derived stem cells on akermanite and beta-TCP ceramics. Biomaterials **29**, 4792, 2008.
- 139. Hao, W., Pang, L., Jiang, M., Lv, R., Xiong, Z., and Hu, Y.-Y. Skeletal repair in rabbits using a novel biomimetic composite based on adipose-derived stem cells encapsulated in collagen I gel with PLGA-β-TCP scaffold. J Orthop Res 28, 252, 2010.
- 140. Haimi, S., Suuriniemi, N., Haaparanta, A.M., Ellä, V., Lindroos, B., Huhtala, H., *et al.* Growth and osteogenic differentiation of adipose stem cells on PLA/bioactive glass and PLA/beta-TCP scaffolds. Tissue Eng Part A **15**, 1473, 2009.
- 141. Cowan, C.M., Aalami, O.O., Shi, Y.-Y., Chou, Y.-F., Mari, C., Thomas, R., *et al.* Bone morphogenetic protein 2 and retinoic acid accelerate *in vivo* bone formation, osteoclast recruitment, and bone turnover. Tissue Eng **11**, 645, 2005.
- 142. Conejero, J., Lee, J., Parrett, B., Terry, M., Wear-Maggitti, K., Grant, R., *et al.* Repair of palatal bone defects using osteogenically differentiated fat-derived stem cells. Plast Reconstr Surg **117**, 857, 2006.
- 143. Yoon, E., Dhar, S., Chun, D., Gharibjanian, N., and Evans, G. In vivo osteogenic potential of human adipose-derived stem cells/poly lactide-co-glycolic acid constructs for bone regeneration in a rat critical-sized calvarial defect model. Tissue Eng 13, 619, 2007.
- 144. Jeon, O., Rhie, J., Kwon, I., Kim, J., Kim, B., and Lee, S. In vivo bone formation following transplantation of human adipose–derived stromal cells that are not differentiated osteogenically. Tissue Eng Part A 14, 1285, 2008.
- 145. Lin, L., Shen, Q., Wei, X., Hou, Y., Xue, T., Fu, X., *et al.* Comparison of osteogenic potentials of BMP4 transduced stem cells from autologous bone marrow and fat tissue in a rabbit model of calvarial defects. Calcif Tissue Int **85**, 55, 2009.
- 146. Yang, M., Ma, Q.J., Dang, G.T., Ma, K.t., Chen, P., and Zhou, C.Y. *In vitro* and *in vivo* induction of bone formation based on *ex vivo* gene therapy using rat adipose-derived adult stem cells expressing BMP-7. Cytotherapy 7, 273, 2005.
- 147. Kang, Y., Liao, W.-M., Yuan, Z.-H., Sheng, P.-Y., Zhang, L.-J., Yuan, X.-W., et al. In vitro and in vivo induction of bone formation based on adeno-associated virus-mediated BMP-7 gene therapy using human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Acta Pharmacol Sin 28, 839, 2007.
- 148. Dragoo, J.L., Choi, J.Y., Lieberman, J.R., Huang, J., Zuk, P.A., Zhang, J., *et al*. Bone induction by BMP-2 transduced stem cells derived from human fat. J Orthop Res **21**, 622, 2003.
- 149. Wu, L., Wu, Y., Lin, Y., Jing, W., Nie, X., Qiao, J., et al. Osteogenic differentiation of adipose derived stem cells promoted by overexpression of osterix. Mol Cell Biochem 301, 83, 2007.
- 150. Gupta, A., Leong, D.T., Bai, H.F., Singh, S.B., Lim, T.-C., and Hutmacher, D.W. Osteo-maturation of adiposederived stem cells required the combined action of vitamin D3, beta-glycerophosphate, and ascorbic acid. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **362**, 17, 2007.
- 151. Cho, H.H., Shin, K.K., Kim, Y.J., Song, J.S., Kim, J.M., Bae, Y.C., et al. NF-kappaB activation stimulates osteogenic

differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells derived from human adipose tissue by increasing TAZ expression. J Cell Physiol **223**, 168, 2010.

- 152. Zeng, Q., Li, X., Beck, G., Balian, G., and Shen, F.H. Growth and differentiation factor-5 (GDF-5) stimulates osteogenic differentiation and increases vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels in fat-derived stromal cells *in vitro*. Bone **40**, 374, 2007.
- 153. Cho, H.H., Park, H.T., Kim, Y.J., Bae, Y.C., Suh, K.T., and Jung, J.S. Induction of osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells by histone deacetylase inhibitors. J Cell Biochem **96**, 533, 2005.
- 154. Jørgensen, N.R., Henriksen, Z., Sørensen, O.H., and Civitelli, R. Dexamethasone, BMP-2, and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D enhance a more differentiated osteoblast phenotype: validation of an *in vitro* model for human bone marrowderived primary osteoblasts. Steroids **69**, 219, 2004.
- 155. Karageorgiou, V., Meinel, L., Hofmann, S., Malhotra, A., Volloch, V., and Kaplan, D. Bone morphogenetic protein-2 decorated silk fibroin films induce osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow stromal cells. J Biomed Mater Res Part A 71, 528, 2004.
- 156. Jaiswal, N., Haynesworth, S.E., Caplan, A.I., and Bruder, S.P. Osteogenic differentiation of purified, cultureexpanded human mesenchymal stem cells *in vitro*. J Cell Biochem **64**, 295, 1997.
- 157. Pittenger, M.F., Mackay, A.M., Beck, S.C., Jaiswal, R.K., Douglas, R., Mosca, J.D., *et al.* Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. Science **284**, 143, 1999.
- 158. Raab-Cullen, D.M., Akhter, M.P., Kimmel, D.B., and Recker, R.R. Bone response to alternate-day mechanical loading of the rat tibia. J Bone Miner Res 9, 203, 1994.
- 159. Zhao, F., Chella, R., and Ma, T. Effects of shear stress on 3-D human mesenchymal stem cell construct development in a perfusion bioreactor system: Experiments and hydrodynamic modeling. Biotechnol Bioeng **96**, 584, 2007.
- Cartmell, S.H., Porter, B.D., Garcia, A.J., and Guldberg, R.E. Effects of medium perfusion rate on cell-seeded threedimensional bone constructs *in vitro*. Tissue Eng 9, 1197, 2003.
- Goldstein, A.S., Juarez, T.M., Helmke, C.D., Gustin, M.C., and Mikos, A.G. Effect of convection on osteoblastic cell growth and function in biodegradable polymer foam scaffolds. Biomaterials 22, 1279, 2001.
- 162. Thomas, G.P., and el Haj, A.J. Bone marrow stromal cells are load responsive *in vitro*. Calcif Tissue Int **58**, 101, 1996.
- 163. Yoshikawa, T., Peel, S.A., Gladstone, J.R., and Davies, J.E. Biochemical analysis of the response in rat bone marrow cell cultures to mechanical stimulation. Biomed Mater Eng 7, 369, 1997.
- 164. Mauney, J., Sjostorm, S., Blumberg, J., Horan, R., O'leary, J., Vunjak-Novakovic, G., *et al.* Mechanical stimulation promotes osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow stromal cells on 3-D partially demineralized bone scaffolds in vitro. Calcif Tissue Int 74, 458, 2004.
- 165. Ward, D.F., Jr., Salasznyk, R.M., Klees, R.F., Backiel, J., Agius, P., Bennett, K., *et al.* Mechanical strain enhances extracellular matrix-induced gene focusing and promotes osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells through an extracellular-related kinase-dependent pathway. Stem Cells Dev 16, 467, 2007.
- Simmons, C., Matlis, S., Thornton, A., Chen, S., Wang, C., and Mooney, D. Cyclic strain enhances matrix mineraliza-

tion by adult human mesenchymal stem cells via the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK1/2) signaling pathway. J Biomech **36**, 1087, 2003.

- 167. Huang, S.-C., Wu, T.-C., Yu, H.-C., Chen, M.-R., Liu, C.-M., Chiang, W.-S., *et al.* Mechanical strain modulates agerelated changes in the proliferation and differentiation of mouse adipose-derived stromal cells. BMC Cell Biol **11**, 18, 2010.
- 168. Kaspar, D., Seidl, W., Neidlinger-Wilke, C., Beck, A., Claes, L., and Ignatius, A. Proliferation of human-derived osteoblast-like cells depends on the cycle number and frequency of uniaxial strain. J Biomech 35, 873, 2002.
- Gurkan, U.A., and Akkus, O. The mechanical environment of bone marrow: a review. Ann Biomed Eng 36, 1978, 2008.
- 170. Loboa, E.G., Wren, T.A.L., Beaupré, G.S., and Carter, D.R. Mechanobiology of soft skeletal tissue differentiation—a computational approach of a fiber-reinforced poroelastic model based on homogeneous and isotropic simplifications. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 2, 83, 2003.
- 171. Tjabringa, G., Vezeridis, P., Zandieh-Doulabi, B., Helder, M., Wuisman, P., and Klein-Nulend, J. Polyamines modulate nitric oxide production and Cox-2 gene expression in response to mechanical loading in human adipose tissuederived mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells 24, 2262, 2006.
- 172. Grayson, W.L., Bhumiratana, S., Cannizzaro, C., Chao, P.-H.G., Lennon, D.P., Caplan, A.I., *et al.* Effects of initial seeding density and fluid perfusion rate on formation of tissue-engineered bone. Tissue Eng Part A **14**, 1809, 2008.
- 173. Grayson, W.L., Martens, T.P., Eng, G.M., Radisic, M., and Vunjak-Novakovic, G. Biomimetic approach to tissue engineering. Semin Cell Dev Biol 20, 665, 2009.
- 174. Sim, W.Y., Park, S.W., Park, S.H., Min, B.H., Park, S.R., and Yang, S.S. A pneumatic micro cell chip for the differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells under mechanical stimulation. Lab Chip 7, 1775, 2007.
- 175. Duty, A.O., Oest, M.E., and Guldberg, R.E. Cyclic mechanical compression increases mineralization of cell-seeded polymer scaffolds *in vivo*. J Biomech Eng **129**, 531, 2007.
- 176. Pelaez, D., Charles Huang, C.-Y., Cheung, H.S. Cyclic compression maintains viability and induces chondrogenesis of human mesenchymal stem cells in fibrin gel scaffolds. Stem Cells Dev 18, 93, 2009.
- 177. Kisiday, J.D., Frisbie, D.D., McIlwraith, C.W., and Grodzinsky, A.J. Dynamic compression stimulates proteoglycan synthesis by mesenchymal stem cells in the absence of chondrogenic cytokines. Tissue Eng Part A 15, 2817, 2009.
- 178. Goldstein, C., Sprague, S., and Petrisor, B.A. Electrical stimulation for fracture healing: current evidence. J Orthop Trauma **24 Suppl 1**, S62, 2010.
- 179. Tsai, M.-T., Chang, W.H.-S., Chang, K., Hou, R.-J., and Wu, T.-W. Pulsed electromagnetic fields affect osteoblast proliferation and differentiation in bone tissue engineering. Bioelectromagnetics 28, 519, 2007.

- 180. Tsai, M.-T., Li, W.-J., Tuan, R.S., and Chang, W.H. Modulation of osteogenesis in human mesenchymal stem cells by specific pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation. J Orthop Res 27, 1169, 2009.
- 181. Kim, I.S., Song, J.K., Song, Y.M., Cho, T.H., Lee, T.H., Lim, S.S., et al. Novel effect of biphasic electric current on *in vitro* osteogenesis and cytokine production in human mesenchymal stromal cells. Tissue Eng Part A 15, 2411, 2009.
- 182. Aaron, R.K., and Ciombor, D.M. Acceleration of experimental endochondral ossification by biophysical stimulation of the progenitor cell pool. J Orthop Res 14, 582, 1996.
- 183. Au, A., Boehm, C., Mayes, A., Muschler, G., and Griffith, L. Formation of osteogenic colonies on well-defined adhesion peptides by freshly isolated human marrow cells. Biomaterials 28, 1847, 2007.
- 184. Chaubey, A., Ross, K., Leadbetter, R., and Burg, K. Surface patterning: tool to modulate stem cell differentiation in an adipose system. J Biomed Mater Res 84B, 70, 2007.
- 185. Kim, T., Seong, J., Ouyang, M., Sun, J., Lu, S., Hong, J., *et al.* Substrate rigidity regulates Ca 2+oscillation via RhoA pathway in stem cells. J Cell Physiol **218**, 285, 2009.
- 186. Martino, M.M., Mochizuki, M., Rothenfluh, D.A., Rempel, S.A., Hubbell, J.A., and Barker, T.H. Controlling integrin specificity and stem cell differentiation in 2D and 3D environments through regulation of fibronectin domain stability. Biomaterials **30**, 1089, 2008.
- 187. Neal, R., McClugage, S., III., Link, M., Sefcik, L., Ogle, R., and Botchwey, E. Laminin nanofiber meshes that mimic morphological properties and bioactivity of basement membranes. Tissue Eng Part C: Methods 15, 11, 2008.
- 188. Rowlands, A., George, P., and Cooper-White, J. Directing osteogenic and myogenic differentiation of MSC: interplay of stiffness and adhesive ligand presentation. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 295, C1037, 2008.
- 189. Reed, C., Han, L., Andrady, A., Caballero, M., Jack, M., Collins, J., et al. Composite tissue engineering on polycaprolactone nanofiber scaffolds. Ann Plast Surg 62, 505, 2009.

Address correspondence to: Elizabeth G. Loboa, Ph.D. Joint Department of Biomedical Engineering UNC-Chapel Hill and NC State University 4208B EB3, Campus Box 7115 Raleigh, NC 27695-7115

### E-mail: egloboa@ncsu.edu

Received: December 21, 2010 Accepted: February 18, 2011 Online Publication Date: April 1, 2011