

# Adulteration of Dietary Supplements by the Illegal Addition of Synthetic Drugs: A Review

Tiago Rocha, Joana S. Amaral, and Maria Beatriz P.P. Oliveira

**Abstract:** In the last few years, the consumption of dietary supplements, especially those having plants as ingredients, has been increasing due to the common idea that they are natural products posing no risks to human health. In the European Union and the United States, dietary supplements are legally considered as foods/special category of foods, thus are not being submitted to any safety assessment prior to their commercialization. Among the issues that can affect safety, adulteration by the illegal addition of pharmaceutical substances or their analogs is of major concern since unscrupulous producers can falsify these products to provide for quick effects and to increase sales. This review discusses the various classes of synthetic drugs most frequently described as being illegally added to dietary supplements marketed for weight loss, muscle building/sport performance and sexual performance enhancement. Information regarding regulation and consumption is also presented. Finally, several conventional and advanced analytical techniques used to detect and identify different adulterants in dietary supplements and therefore also in foods, with particular emphasis on plant food supplements, are critically described. This review demonstrates that dietary supplement adulteration is an emerging food safety problem and that an effective control by food regulatory authorities is needed to safeguard consumers.

Keywords: adulteration, analogs, dietary supplements, food safety, pharmaceutical drugs, plant food supplements

#### Introduction

In the last few years, the consumption of dietary supplements, in particular those labeled as being plant food supplements (PFS), has been increasing worldwide. Since ancient times, botanicals and botanical preparations have been used for health purposes, either for nutritional objectives to maintain well-being and prevent ailments or as medicines used to cure a disease or relieve its symptoms (Eussen and others 2011). The use of plants is mainly related to their composition in different compounds that are known to have physiological effects in humans, including major nutrients, vitamins, minerals, and other biologically active substances (Silano and others 2011). Besides being used as food and in traditional medicine, more recently, botanicals and preparations thereof have also found several other applications including cosmetics, homeopathic products, biocides, extraction of compounds for the pharmaceutical industry, and as ingredients in dietary supplements (Garcia-Alvarez and others 2014). In particular, during the last few years botanicals have become increasingly available on worldwide markets in the form of PFS, which are legally considered as foods, both in the European Union (EU) and the United States under Directive 2002/46/EC and the Dietary Supplement Health

and Education Act (DSHEA), respectively, thus not requiring any safety assessment prior to their commercialization. Because the formulation of PFS includes plants or plant extracts, they are often advertised as being "natural" with many consumers perceiving these products as being "healthier" and safer compared to conventional pharmaceutical preparations. However, besides the risks inherent to the consumption of botanicals, such as possible side effects and interaction of biologically active phytochemicals with prescription drugs, in the last few years different studies have been reporting cases of PFS adulteration with different synthetic drugs (pharmaceuticals or their analogs). This type of adulteration is a major food safety and public health concern considering both the massive growing consumption of PFS and the fact that consumers are not aware of the risks associated with the possibility of pharmaceutical drugs being illegally added. Therefore, several works have been performed in the last decade reporting the development and application of new and advanced techniques for the detection of adulterants in dietary supplements, with special focus on PFS. This review intends to provide an overview on recent information regarding this subject, comprised of data on the most frequently reported adulterants in PFS and the currently available analytical techniques used for the detection and identification of synthetic drugs illegally added to these products. General information about legislation and consumption of dietary supplements (including PFS) is also presented. Since PFS are frequently categorized according to their advertised purpose, this review will focus on the ones used for weight loss, muscle building/sport performance, and sexual performance enhancement purposes, as they

MS 20151104 Submitted 30/6/2015, Accepted 3/9/2015. Authors Rocha, Amaral, and Oliveira are with REQUIMTE, Dept. of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Univ. of Porto, Rua de Jorge Viterbo Ferreira 228, 4050–313, Porto, Portugal Author Amaral is with ESTiG, Polytechnic Inst. of Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5301–857, Bragança, Portugal. Direct inquiries to author Amaral (E-mail: jamaral@ipb.pt)

represent the majority of consumed PFS, thus the most prone to be adulterated.

# Regulation, Consumption, and Adulteration of Dietary Supplements

#### Regulation on dietary supplements (including PFS)

In the EU, food supplements (which includes PFS) are regulated by the Directive 2002/46/EC which defines these products as being "... foodstuffs the purpose of which is to supplement the normal diet and which are concentrated sources of nutrients or other substances with a nutritional or physiological effect, alone or in combination, marketed in dose form, namely forms such as capsules, pastilles, tablets, pills and other similar forms, sachets of powder, ampoules of liquids, drop dispensing bottles and other similar forms of liquids and powders designed to be taken in measured small quantities." This Directive also specifies "nutrients" as being vitamins and minerals and establishes the maximum and minimum levels for the allowed compounds, yet it does not mention what can, or cannot, be accepted as being "other substances with nutritional or physiological effect." Thus, several different substances are generally accepted as being included in this definition such as amino acids, enzymes, pre- and probiotics, essential fatty acids, and botanicals or botanical extracts, with their use frequently depending on national legislation (Silano and others 2011). The lack of specification and harmonization among the different EU countries regarding the usage of botanicals or botanical extracts in dietary supplements results in discrepancies among states with the inclusion of some botanicals in PFS being allowed in some countries and prohibited or nonregulated in others. Additionally, based on the current legislation in EU, botanicals and extracts thereof can be used either in PFS or as traditional herbal medicines (regulated by the Directive for traditional medicinal products (Directive 2004/24/EC)), with the distinction between both being somehow blurred, as the borderline between food and medicinal uses is often established based on national habits and interpretation of definitions in legal provisions (Silano and others 2011). Being considered as foods in the EU, PFS are subjected to the dispositions of the General Food Law (Regulation (EC) 178/2002), with the responsibilities for food safety issues mainly relying on food business operators. This also implies that PFS must comply with other food legislation such as hygiene regulations (Regulation (EC) 852/2004), maximum level of contaminants (Regulation (EC) 1881/2006), and novel foods regulation (Regulation (EC) 258/97) among others. Despite the wide range of regulations, food safety problems may arise in different member states, leading the EU to operate a rapid alert system for food and feed (RASFF). The RASFF provides the supervisory authorities with a quick and efficient mechanism for exchanging knowledge on the notifications issued by the different EU member states every time a food presents a serious risk to public health due to contamination, adulteration, or lack of framing in the abovementioned laws. With this information, the member states are able to take immediate action, warning consumers, and causing products withdrawal from the market (Petroczi and others 2011). The RASFF database can be searched by food type and product category in which "Dietetic foods, food supplements, fortified foods" is included.

In the United States, both finished dietary supplements (including PFS) and their ingredients are regulated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). However, they are covered by a regulatory framework signed into law in 1994, the "Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994" (DSHEA), which recognizes

dietary supplements as a separate category of foods and establishes its own requirements for safety and labeling, thus limiting FDA's ability to regulate supplements. The DSHEA states that a dietary supplement is a product (other than tobacco) intended to supplement a diet, as long as it bears or contains 1 or more of the following dietary ingredients: vitamins; minerals; herbs or other botanicals; amino acids; dietary substances used by man to supplement a diet by increasing the total dietary intake; concentrates, metabolites, constituents, extracts, or a combination of the ingredients referred to above, and is intended to be taken by mouth as a pill, capsule, tablet, or liquid (USA 1994). Furthermore, according to DSHEA, this type of product is not represented for use as a conventional food or as a sole item of a meal or the diet and should be labelled as a dietary supplement (USA 1994). Additionally, under this framework, a company is responsible for determining that the dietary supplements it manufactures or distributes are safe and that any claims made are substantiated by adequate evidence (Silano and others 2011). In the U.S., similar to what happens in the EU, dietary supplements do not require any approval from FDA before being introduced in the market. Manufacturers do not have to provide evidence for the safety and effectiveness of the products, but they are prohibited to market unsafe or ineffective products (Rapaka and Coates 2006). Nevertheless, if a dietary supplement includes in its formulation any ingredients marketed after 1994, which are considered as "new ingredients," the manufacturer must first notify FDA and provide information regarding reasonable evidence that it is safe for human use (Rapaka and Coates 2006). According to Wheatley and Spink (2013), DSHEA significantly weakened FDA's authority over dietary supplements and created opportunities for consumer deception, in particular in what concerns imported supplements. The recent review of Silano and others (2011) can be consulted for more detailed information regarding regulations applicable to PFS, including in other countries not mentioned in this paper, and the review by Wheatley and Spink (2013) for more information regarding dietary supplements in the United States.

## Consumption of dietary supplements (with particular emphasis on PFS)

Over the last decade, it is undeniable the existence of notorious growth in the consumption of dietary supplements. Among these, PFS consumption showed a strong increase, with its highest consumption records occurring in the U.S. and EU (Egan and others 2011). The increased acceptance of these types of product by different consumer groups has been associated with a variety of factors including (1) a rising mistrust in conventional medicine and pharmaceutical drugs together with a higher demand and interest for alternative therapies, (2) the perception that "natural" is "healthy" and that plant products are safe, (3) a rising tendency for self-medication aiming for increased control over one's own health and decisions that may affect it (Ritchie 2007; Egan and others 2011; Vargas-Murga and others 2011).

Dietary supplements are used by the population in general for a variety of purposes, including for balancing the diet, to compensate for the lack of nutrients or exercise or unhealthy lifestyle, health maintenance, to prevent chronic diseases, improve appearance, improve wellness including mental conditions, for sexual performance enhancement and sports performance enhancement, among others (Egan and others 2011; Petroczi and others 2011). Different studies regarding the consumption of dietary supplements have been carried out, most of them focusing on specific population groups such as children, pregnant women, the elderly, individuals with chronic diseases, cancer patients, and athletes (Petroczi and others 2011; Vargas-Murga and others 2011). However, most information on the prevalence of the use of dietary supplements in general, and the intake of PFS in particular, come from the U.S. with data being obtained as part of large surveys, such as the Natl. Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES), the Health and Diet Surveys, and the Natl. Health Interview Surveys (NHIS) (Egan and others 2011; Garcia-Alvarez and others 2014). Based on data from NHANES (2003 to 2004 and 2005 to 2006), it was estimated that approximately 49% of the U.S. population used dietary supplements, with 14% reporting the use of PFS, while in NHIS 2007, almost 18% of the surveyed adults reported the use of PFS. In 2002, a prevalence study regarding the usage of nonvitamin nonmineral supplements among 1000 students from a U.S. university with average age of 26-y-old, showed that more than 26% used that kind of supplements with ginseng, echinacea, protein/amino acids, and gingko biloba being the most frequently reported (Perkin and others 2002). In the EU, as part of the FP7 project PlantLIBRA, a retrospective survey concerning the type and frequency of PFS consumption among 6 EU countries (Finland, Germany, Italy, Romania, Spain, and the United Kingdom) was recently reported (Garcia-Alvarez and others 2014). The study, which included a total of 2359 participants, showed that almost 19% used at least 1 PFS, with higher values being observed in Italy (22.7%) and lower ones in Finland (9.6%), and that PFS including ginkgo biloba, evening primrose, and artichoke, in the form of capsules or tablets, were the most frequently used.

Although the factors leading to PFS consumption may vary according to demographic and health factors, among others, the information available in the literature regarding the characteristics of PFS consumers seem to show that, in general, higher consumption is found for women, older adults, individuals generally having a higher education and socioeconomic level, being more likely to self-report their health status as being "good," being physically active while being less likely to smoke (Schaffer and others 2003; Radimer and others 2004; Nielsen and others 2005; Egan and others 2011; Garcia-Alvarez and others 2014). A high intake of dietary supplements (including PFS) is also reported to take place among athletes in order to improve their training performance (Petroczi and others 2008, 2011; Kiertscher and DiMarco 2013).

#### Adulteration in dietary supplements

With an increasing consumption of dietary supplements, safety in production and marketing, namely in what concerns the quality and levels of physiologically active ingredients in these products as well as labeling compliance, is a general concern for the different stakeholders worldwide including consumers, health professionals, and regulators (Sullivan and Crowley 2006). In particular, different safety issues have emerged regarding PFS, which are considered derivatives from plants and therefore are frequently labelled as "natural" products, thereby transmitting a false sense of security to the consumer since much toxicity is embedded in nature (Liang and others 2006; Di Lorenzo and others 2014). Among such issues, adulteration of PFS, including either the addition of illegal substances or the intentional swap or misidentification of plant material, is a major concern. Considering the economic value associated with the global trade of dietary supplements (in the United States, it is estimated that consumers spend over \$20 billion each year on these products), they are very prone to be adulterated for economic reasons and profit increases (Wheatley and Spink 2013). One of such adulterations encompasses the ille-

gal addition of synthetic drugs since unscrupulous producers can augment dietary supplements to provide for quicker effects. In fact, for some products as, for example, weight-loss dietary supplements, consumers tend to quit using those products if they don't realize any initial effects. On the contrary, if the supplement quickly succeeds in providing the desired results, more units are likely to be sold, thus increasing the seller's profit.

Several studies performed over the last decade have been showing intentional adulteration of dietary supplements by the addition of pharmaceutical drugs, especially in the case of PFS as they have a more complex matrix, thus making adulterant detection more difficult to accomplish. Pharmaceutical adulterants include appetite suppressors, stimulants, antidepressants, anxiolytics, diuretics, and laxatives in weight-loss PFS, phosphodiesterase type-5 enzyme (PDE-5) inhibitors in sexual performance enhancement, and anabolic steroids and prohormones in supplements used for muscle building/sports performance enhancement. An additional problem concerns the use of analogs of those substances, for which no pharmacological studies are available, and also the use of counterfeit drugs of doubtful quality.

As referred to in the previous sections, being legally considered as foods in several countries, dietary supplements (including PFS) do not require any kind of permission to be placed on the market, but the legal responsibility for their safety lies with the business operators. Consequently, in the EU several phytoformulations are being sold under the guise of PFS allowing them to circumvent the requirements and official registration procedure needed if they were considered as being traditional medicinal products. Additionally, nowadays PFS are widespread in the global market, being easily accessible to consumers in supermarkets, drugstores, natural health/food stores, herbal shops, and gyms possible to be purchased through television sales and online by using the Internet. In the last decade, the spread of dietary supplements coming from the black market has also suffered a significant increase (Geyer and others 2008; Petroczi and others 2011; Gilard and others 2015; Odoardi and others 2015).

In the U.S., under the Dietary Supplement and Nonprescription Drug Consumer Protection Act, signed into law in December 2006, the manufacturer, packer, or distributor of a dietary supplement, whose name appears on the label of a dietary supplement marketed in the U.S., must report to FDA, within 15 d, any serious adverse events (events that result in death, a life-threatening experience, hospitalization, a persistent or significant disability or incapacity, a congenital anomaly or birth defect, or requires, based on a reasonable medical judgment, a medical or surgical intervention to prevent any of the referred outcomes) that are reported to them by consumers or health care professionals (FDA 2015c). If the adverse events are not considered serious in accordance with the 2006 act, the firm can still complete and submit a voluntary adverse events report (AER) form at its discretion. FDA reviews all AERs in a postmarket surveillance effort to track safety issues that require intervention, however an AER by itself does not demonstrate a causal relationship between the dietary supplement and the reported health problem (GAO 2013). Consumers and health professionals are strongly encouraged to voluntary report adverse effects to FDA since dietary supplements adulterated with active pharmaceutical drugs or their analogs can become apparent through AER surveillance, thus assisting FDA in identifying potential safety concerns.

Despite the potentially serious health risks, very little is known about the prevalence or common adverse effects of dietary supplements adulterated by the illegal addition of pharmaceuticals. A

2007 retrospective study concerning patients who were referred to the Hospital Authority Toxicology Reference Laboratory of Hong Kong from 2004 to 2006, described a positive result for the presence of pharmaceutical drugs or their analogs (either on urine samples, on the consumed dietary supplement, or in both) for 28 individuals from a total of 42 patients suspected to have clinical problems related to the use of weight-loss products (Yuen and others 2007). The authors also described the identification of sibutramine, fenfluramine and a fenfluramine analog in 3 slimming products, and the hospitalization of 4 patients after taking those products (Yuen and others 2007). More recently, Cohen and others (2012) assessed the prevalence of use and associated side effects of Pai You Guo, a weight-loss dietary supplement manufactured in China that was found to be adulterated, by performing a cross-sectional study using an anonymous questionnaire distributed among Brazilian women living in a U.S. community. From the 565 valid surveys, 130 respondents confirmed using this supplement, corresponding to an overall prevalence of Pai You Guo use of 23% (Cohen and others 2012). The vast majority of women using this supplement (85%) reported experiencing at least 1 side effect during use, the most frequent being dry mouth (59%), anxiety (29%) and insomnia (26%) (Cohen and others 2012). Even though the authors did not analyzed any sample of the PFS used by those women, the FDA has previously found sibutramine in Pai You Guo. As a consequence, in late 2009, the FDA take multiple steps including a safety alert to consumers and a recall of the product due to serious safety concerns. Despite this, in the study of Cohen and others (2012) 61% of users purchased the dietary supplement after the FDA recall and none of the respondents were aware of the FDA alert. Subsequently, to investigate if supplements still on sale after FDA recalls are free of adulterants, Cohen and others (2014) evaluated the presence of banned drugs in 27 dietary supplements purchased at least 6 mo after being recalled. The authors found that 18 of those recalled dietary supplements still available for purchase remained adulterated, with 12 products containing the same adulterant previously identified by the FDA and 6 products containing a different analog, or the same compound previously identified added with a new adulterant.

For the mentioned reasons, there has been a recent major interest in the development of analytical techniques aimed at an accurate, quick, and effective screening of illegal substances in dietary supplements, with special focus on PFS, in order to provide adequate tools for regulatory agencies to control the existence of fraud by detecting tainted PFS. In the following sections, information regarding the substances most frequently used to adulterate different PFS are presented from published studies focusing on the evaluation of PFS samples, as well as the techniques used to detect those substances.

## PFS Often Adulterated

### Weight-loss supplements

Overweight and obesity are major risk factors for several chronic diseases and have been recognized by the World Health Organization as an increasing public health issue affecting millions of individuals, especially in Western developed countries. Additionally, for health reasons today's society strongly promotes having a normal weight and a slim figure. The loss of weight or the maintenance of an ideal weight are both commonly associated with diet and exercise, often requiring significant changes in eating behavior and lifestyle (Wing and Phelan 2005). In the search for alternatives to a quicker weight loss and to simultaneously avoid lifestyle changes, people are increasingly resorting to the so-called "quick-

fix" slimming agents (Tang and others 2011). As a result, several PFS are currently being sold with alleged weight-loss promise. Since these products have plants or plant extracts in their composition, they are often advertised as containing "purely natural ingredients," which is generally perceived by many consumers as having no risks and being safer products than pharmaceutical drugs. However, they can cause adverse reactions or interfere with conventional pharmaceutical therapies, even though both cases are considered as uncommon by sellers (Di Lorenzo and others 2014). More importantly, recent studies have shown that weight-loss PFS are frequently found to be adulterated by the illicit addition of synthetic drugs (Table 1). Since the sale of PFS advertised for weight loss has become a very lucrative business, manufacturers can be tempted to increase profits by doping PFS with drugs in order to achieve quicker effects and to advertise the effectiveness of their products (Chen and others 2009; Tang and others 2011; Deconinck and others 2012a). The drugs most generally associated with weight-loss PFS adulteration include anorexics (such as sibutramin, orlistat, diethylpropion (amfepramone), rimonabant, fenproporex, phentermine, and mazindol, and so on) but also stimulants (ephedrine, norephedrine, and synephrine), anxiolytics (mainly benzodiazepines such as diazepam), antidepressants (fluoxetine, sertraline), diuretics (such as furosemide and hydrochlorothiazide), and laxatives (including phenolphthalein). Several of these drugs are considered by regulatory agencies as being controlled substances or prescription drugs and others were banned/removed because of their adverse effects in humans (De Carvalho and others 2011). Of these substances/adulterants, the most frequently used are anorexics derived from amphetamines (De Carvalho and others 2011), with sibutramine being the most commonly detected in PFS. Sibutramine is considered an anorexic structurally related to amphetamines; it acts as a neurotransmitter reuptake inhibitor, reducing the reuptake of serotonine, norepinephrine, and noradrenalin, resulting in higher concentrations of these compounds at the synaptic clefts, thus leading to a reduction in appetite (Deconinck and others 2014). This compound was approved by FDA in 1997 and was legally prescribed and sold for the treatment of obesity until 2010 when Abbott Laboratories (Ill., U.S.A.) voluntarily withdrew sibutramine from the market due to the high risk of heart attacks and strokes, especially in patients with a history of cardiovascular disease (Csupor and others 2013). In the same year, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) issued a statement for the removal of sibutramine from the European market considering that the drug's benefits did not justify the potential risk of heart attacks (EMA 2010). Even though sibutramine has been banished from the EU and U.S. markets, both scientific studies and regulatory agency controls show that this drug continues to be fraudulently added to PFS. According to the FDA list of tainted dietary supplements, from a total of 416 public alerts launched between 2010 and 2015, 37% corresponded to adulterated weight-loss products, from which most cases (87%) involved the illegal addition of sibutramine (FDA 2015a). In the same period, the EU rapid communication system on food and feed (RASFF) notified that 64 weight-loss dietary supplements imported from different countries (mainly China and the United States, but also from Thailand, the Philippines, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Kosovo, and Australia) were contaminated with sibutramine (RASFF 2015). This drug was also reported as the most frequently used anorexic in a 2009 study (before it was withdrawn) after the evaluation of 105 PFS, from which 35 samples were shown to contain adulterant slimming agents (Chen and others 2009). Since the illegal addition of sibutramine is known to

| Adulterants of interest   | Formulation type                 | Sample preparation  | Method                                    | Adulterated samples /<br>total samples | Reference                        |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Sibutramine   | Capsules                         | Sample suspended in 1 mL methanol<br>(for GC-MS) or 1 mL mobile phase<br>(for HPLC), centrifuged and<br>analyzed (after dilution 1:50 v/ v,<br>for GC analysis)   | HPLC-DAD; GC-MS                           | 17                                     | Jung and others (2006)           |
| Anorexics (sibutramine,<br>N-di-desmethylsibutramine,<br>N-mono-desmethylsibutramine,<br>fenfluramine), antiobesity drug<br>(orlistat), laxative<br>(orlistat), laxative  | Capsules, teabags                | Sample extracted with 20 mL<br>methanol for 10 min, centrifuged,<br>supernatant diluted with methanol<br>and solution filtered (0.45-mm<br>nylon membrane)  | LC-ESI-MS                                 | 11/22                                  | Wang and others (2008)           |
| Untargeted screening of adulterants   | Capsules, tablets,<br>powder     | Sample dissolved in 5 mL of<br>CD3CN:D20 (80:20 v/v), stirred<br>10 min, sonicated 10 min,<br>centrifuged and the supernatant<br>(550 mL) analyzed  | DOSY <sup>1</sup> H-NMR; MS∕MS            | 14/20ª                                 | Vaysse and others (2010)         |
| Anorexics (sibutramine,<br>fenfluramine), stimulants<br>(ephedrine, norpseudoephedrine),<br>diuretic (clopamide) and others<br>(natural laxatives rhein, emodin,<br>chrysophanol)   | Tea powder, capsules,<br>tablets | Sample (0.1 g) extracted with 8 mL 70% methanol aqueous solution ( $V/V$ ), sonicated for 30 min at room temperature and filled to volume ( $10 \text{ mL}$ ), 1 mL of extracted solution was centrifuged and $10 \mu L$ subernatant solution analyzed                      | HPLC-ESI-MS/MS                            | 12/12                                  | Shi and others (2011)            |
| 34 Compounds including anorexics<br>(amfepramone, phentermine,<br>rimonabant, 2,4-dinitrophenol,<br>fentluramine, sibutramine),<br>stimulants (amphetamine,<br>caffeine, synephrine, ephedrine,<br>pseudoephedrine), laxative<br>(phenolphthalein), diuretics<br>(althiazide, bumetanide,<br>riurosemide, spironolactone,<br>filuoxetine) | Capsules, tablets,<br>powders    | Sample was dispersed in mobile<br>phase (acetonitrile / phosphate<br>50 mM buffer solution, 5/95 v/v),<br>stirred, sonicated, centrifuged,<br>clear supernatant was filtered<br>through a 0.45-mm pore size GHP<br>membrane filter and suitably<br>diluted before analysis. | UHPLC-DAD                                 | 20/20                                  | Rebiere and others (2012)        |
| Anorexics (amfepramone,<br>sibutramine, fenproporex) and<br>antidepressants (fluoxetine,<br>paroxetine, sertraline, bupropion)  | Not referred                     | Sample dissolved in 25 mL of<br>methanol, filtered using 1st cotton<br>and then cellulose acetate<br>membranes (0.45 mm) and 1 mL<br>analyzed.  | Capillary Electrophoresis                 | 4/106                                  | De Carvalho and others<br>(2012) |
| N-desmethylsibutramine  | Not referred                     | Pulverized samples (200 mg)<br>dissolved in 2.0 mL of methanol,<br>sonicated 30 min, methanolic<br>extracts evaporated to dryness and<br>dissolved in methanol  | LC-PDA; LC/MS                             | 1/27                                   | Park and others (2012)           |
| Anorexics (sibutramine,<br>N-desmethylsibutramine,<br>N-didesmethylsibutramine),<br>laxative (phenolphthalein)  | Capsules, tablets                | Sample extracted with 20 mL acetonitrile, sonicated, centrifuged, supernatant diluted 2000-fold with acetonitrile and filtered (0.2 $\mu$ m PTFE filter)  | FI-MS/MS (confirmation<br>using LC-MS/MS) | 11/11                                  | Song and others (2014)           |

| Adultarante of interest  | Eormulation tuno                                   | Comula neorona di meco   | hottoM                                     | Adulterated samples / | Doforouro                         |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 29 Drugs including anorexics<br>(siburtamine,<br>desmethylsiburtamine,<br>didesmethylsiburtamine,<br>didethylpropion, fenfluramine,<br>mazindol, phentermine),<br>stimulants (caffeine, ephedrine,<br>phendimetrazine), antidepressants<br>(bupropion, fluoxetine, paroxetine,<br>settraline), laxatives (bisscodyl,   | Capsules, powder,<br>tablet, granule and<br>liquid | Sample (1 g) diluted with 50 mL methanol, sonicated 30 min and filtered (0.22 $\mu$ m Millipore membrane)  | LC-MS/MS                                   | 62/188                | Kim and others (2014)             |
| pnenoiprunalein, sennosides)<br>Anorectic drug (lorcaserin)  | Capsules   | Sample (100 mg) dissolved in 1 mL<br>CD <sub>3</sub> CN:D <sub>2</sub> O (80:20 v/v), vortexed<br>1 min, sonicated 5 min, centrifuged  | NMR; MS/MS                                 | ١/١                   | Hachem and others (2014)          |
| Anorexics (sibutramine,<br>desmethylsibutramine,<br>didesmethylsibutramine,<br>rimonabant) and laxative  | Capsules, tablets,<br>powder sachets               | Sample sonicated with methanol,<br>diluted 100 times using<br>methanol/0.1% formic acid<br>(buffered at pH 4) and filtered<br>0.45, v. m filter)   | HPLC-DAD-MS/MS                             | 24/50                 | Reeuwijk and others (2014)        |
| Sibutramine  | Powder and<br>encapsulated<br>liquids              | Sample (200 mg) suspended in 10 mL<br>methanol, vortexed 30 s, sonicated<br>10 min, centrifuged, supernatant<br>collected and directly deposited   | HPTLC-UV densitometry;<br>TLC-MS interface | 28/52                 | Mathon and others (2014)          |
| 96 compounds including anorexics<br>(fenfluramine, phentermine,<br>rimonabant, sibutramine,<br>topiramate), stimulants<br>(amphetamine,<br><i>β</i> -methylphenethylamine,<br><i>β</i> -methylphetamine,<br><i>β</i> -methylphetamine,<br><i>β</i> -methylphetamine,<br><i>β</i> -methylphetamine,<br><i>β</i> -methylamine,<br><i>β</i> -methylphine,<br><i>β</i> -methyl | Tablets, capsules,<br>softgels and liquids         | Samples (1.00 g added with 10 mL<br>deionized water containing 2%<br>formic acid for tablets, capsules<br>and previously defatted softgels<br>and 10 mL for liquids) were added,<br>with 10 mL acetonitrile (tablets,<br>capsules, and softgels) or<br>acetonitrile containing 2% formic<br>acid (liquids), shaken 30 min using<br>a Glas-Col digital pulse mixer, 4 g<br>anhyfrous MgSO4 and 1 g NaCl<br>were added, tubes were vigorously<br>shaken for 1 min, centrifuged, and<br>the acetonitrile extract was filtered | UHPLC-Q-orbitrap MS                        | 3/23                  | Vaclavik and others (2014a)       |
| Annexity and a phendimetrazine,<br>phenmetrazine, phendimetrazine,<br>fenfluramine, fencanfamine,<br>mephentermine, sibutramine),<br>stimulants (ephedrines, caffeine)   | Powder, oily capsules<br>and tablets               | Sample (100 mg) added with internal<br>standard, extracted with 5 mL<br>methanol, vortexed 20 s,<br>centrifuged, methanolic phase<br>evaporated to dryness and<br>reconstituted in 2 mL solution<br>extracted with 5 mL pentane/<br>ethyl ether (9:1) under agitation<br>for 1 h, centrifuged, organic phase<br>evaporated to dryness and<br>reconstituted with 100 µL<br>methanol/water/formic acid<br>(6:4:0.03)   | LC/HRMS                                    | 3/36                  | Strano-Rossi and others<br>(2015) |
| <sup>a</sup> Including food supplements and herbal medicines.  |  |  |  |                       |                                   |

be recurrent in dietary supplements, this drug is generally included in the set of substances screened as adulterants in weight-loss PFS (Table 1).

Other amphetamine derived anorexics known to induce appetite loss but also having several side effects, such as fenproporex and amfepramone, have also been described as adulterants in slimming phytopharmaceutical products (De Carvalho and others 2011). As of 2010, several RASFF notifications were also made for products containing stimulants such as the alkaloids ephedrine (3 notifications) and synephrine (26 notifications). Among other compounds used to adulterate is phenolphthalein, a drug used as laxative and banned due to carcinogenicity concerns: it is frequently listed in public notifications from the FDA and RASFE Since 2010 and considering a total of 155 weight-loss products listed by FDA as being adulterated, 9 products were shown to contain phenolphthalein and 48 products to contain this compound in combination with other drugs, such as sibutramine. In the same period, a total of 19 incidents were reported in RASFF for the detection of phenolphthalein in dietetic foods, food supplements, and fortified foods. Recently, the FDA also reported the presence of the antidepressant fluoxetine in dietary supplements. Anxiolytics, such as the 2 benzodiazepines diazepam and flurazepam, are also described as being associated with weight-loss PFS since they help to reduce anxiety, which is common in obese patients, while simultaneously covering the stimulating effects caused by added anorexics (De Carvalho and others 2011).

#### Body-building and athletic performance enhancement supplements

Dietary supplements are used by a large percentage of general consumers. Nevertheless, evidence suggests that an even larger usage rate occurs among athletes, although consumption prevalence varies with the type of sport, gender, and level of competition (Maughan and others 2011). Most athletes are very scrupulous with their body image and often choose to maintain certain restrictive diets, avoiding some foods in order to achieve a desired physical constitution, which is considered by some athletes as an important factor for their performance improvement. Such diets are often very strict and sometimes unbalanced, therefore leading to a nutritional failure of essential vitamins and minerals that can endanger both performance and health. To fulfill their nutritional needs, athletes may use a dietary supplement in order to diminish micronutrient deficits. However, regardless of their nutritional status, some athletes believe that taking supplements improves body appearance and physical performance, thus resorting to dietary supplements even if they are not needed (Kiertscher and DiMarco 2013).

Besides competitive sports, where the use of dietary supplements is considered to be widespread, these products are also commonly used by recreational gym users and amateur athletes (Goston and Correia 2010). Among dietary supplements, vitamin and mineral supplements are possibly the most consumed ones as they are generally perceived as being safe/harmless, though many PFS are also used. In particular, the so-called "fat-burning" and weightloss products are considered to be PFS that are extremely popular among athletes (Maughan and others 2011).

Recent studies have shown that a wide range of substances can be present in dietary supplements advertised for body building and athletic performance enhancement, such as anabolic agents, stimulants, and anorexics, these often found in PFS (Maughan and others 2011). The 1st cases concerning the presence of anabolic androgenic steroids (AAS, also known as prohormones)

in dietary supplements were reported in 1999 (Gever and others 2008). In subsequent years, different studies were performed, including the analysis of a large number of dietary supplements acquired in the U.S. and several European countries, clearly demonstrating that some products contained hormones or prohormones that were not declared on the label (Maughan 2005). However, most of these substances were found at very low levels and in varying concentrations for the same product, thus suggesting a cross-contamination instead of fraudulent admixtures. Nevertheless, it was demonstrated that the consumption of such supplements taken in the recommended doses could result in positive antidoping controls tests. This entails a major risk for high-level competition athletes since the strict liability principle applied by the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) does not distinguish between deliberate and inadvertent doping due to food supplements consumption, with all kinds of responsibility lying with the athlete taking the supplement (WADA 2015). In other cases, high amounts of WADA-prohibited substances, such as methandienone, have also been detected, sometimes in amounts considerably higher than the normal therapeutic doses, and these could jeopardize an athlete's health (Maughan and others 2011).

A wide range of stimulants, steroids, and other agents currently included on WADA's prohibited list have been identified as adulterants in dietary supplements. According to WADA, these substances are described as being performance enhancing drugs (PEDs), which are defined as being any pharmacological substance listed in the World Anti-Doping Code, or that has not been approved by a governmental regulatory health authority for human therapeutic use. Adulteration of dietary supplements with PEDs has been reported by several authors (Pipe and Ayotte 2002; Geyer and others 2004; Maughan 2005; van der Merwe and Grobbelaar 2005), and this can lead to a positive antidoping test. Besides substances with no current approval for human therapeutic use by any governmental regulatory health authority (generally considered as "designer" drugs), WADA's prohibited list includes an enormous diversity of chemicals, namely anabolic agents (AAS, clenbuterol, selective androgen receptor modulators, tibolone, zeranol, zilpaterol), peptide hormones, growth factors, and related substances (growth hormone, erythopoietin, chorionic gonadotropin),  $\beta$ -2 agonists, hormones, and metabolic modulators (aromatase inhibitors and selective estrogen receptor modulators), diuretics, and certain masking agents (such as acetazolamide, carmerone, indaparid, and plasma expanders). Moreover, many substances are included that are prohibited in-competition, namely stimulants (amfepramone, meferox, pseudoephedrine, sibutramine), narcotics (such as buprenorphine, dextromoramide, methadone, morphine, oxycodone), cannabinoids, and glucocorticosteroids (WADA 2014). Among the mentioned substances, the use of new/modified or "designer" steroids (such as prostanozol, methasterone, andostatrienedione, among others) is of higher concern because so little is known about their pharmacology and possible side effects (Gever and others 2008).

When weighting the risks and advantages of using dietary supplements, in particular those purchased from "shody" sources such as Internet Web sites selling all kinds of "natural" products, athletes should consider the possibility that some of the above-mentioned substances can be found in dietary supplements, and in PFS in particular, most often to potentiate the "performance enhancing" effect advertised in the product. Those substances can cause secondary effects or drug interactions with pharmaceutical medicines or with the botanicals' active substance and, in the case of competition athletes, usage may lead to their detection in antidoping tests.

| Adulterants of interest                          | Formulation type  | Sample preparation   | Method  | Adulterated<br>samples/total<br>samples            | Reference                       |
|--|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------|
| 25 Anabolic steroids                             | Solid nutritional<br>supplements:<br>protein concentrate<br>and creatine            | Sample extracted with ethyl acetate;<br>crude extract is purified using<br>dispersive solid-phase extraction<br>(SPE) with primary secondary   | GC × GC-TOF MS  | 1  | Stepan and others (2008)        |
| 49 Anabolic compounds                            | monohydrate<br>Capsules, tablets and<br>liquids (some<br>labelled as<br>contraining | amine (PSA) as sorbent<br>Sample extracted twice with<br>methanol, centrifuged, evaporated<br>to dryness, redissolved methanol<br>and 100-fold dilution in   | LC-MS/MS  | 91/11  | Van Poucke and others<br>(2007) |
| 11 Anabolic androgenic steroids                  | prohormones)<br>Capsules, tablets,<br>powders, fluids                               | methanol/water (65/35, v/v)<br>Samples extracted with methanol,<br>centrifuged, evaporated to dryness,<br>sodium hydroxide solution 0.1 M<br>and n-pentane added to the<br>residue, shake and centrifuge;<br>transfer n-pentane layer, add<br>methanol/water solution<br>(95.5, v/v); shake and centrifuge;<br>discard n-pentane layer, add<br>methanolic solution of 1.1 (N, N-<br>disporpylamine)-alkanes,<br>evaporate to dryness and residue | GC-MS   | 94/634   | Geyer and others (2008)         |
| Unknown androgens and androgen<br>derivatives    | Not referred (4 herbal<br>mixtures and 4<br>sport supplements)                      | its derivatizated<br>Sample sonicated with methanol and<br>sodium acetate buffer (0.25 M; pH<br>4.8), centrifuged and acetic acid<br>(4.0 M) added to supernatant<br>(reaching pH 4.8); SPE purification<br>(1 st on C 18 cartridge followed by<br>2nd SPE on Isolute NH <sub>2</sub> cartridge),  | bioassay-guided<br>fractionation<br>combined with<br>UHPLC/TOFMS                  | 5/8 (compounds were tentratively identified)       | Peters and others (2010)        |
| 88 Steroids                                      | Tablets, capsules or<br>powder  | eluate evaporated to dryness<br>Sample extracted with ethyl<br>acetate/acetic acid (99.9.0.1,<br>v/v), centrifuged, upper layer<br>eluate<br>evaporated to dryness, residue<br>dissolved in mobile phase and<br>centrifuged (Ultrafree MC  | UPLC-MS   | 6/8  | Becue and others (2011)         |
| Androgenic and estrogenic (designer)<br>steroids | Not referred  | centrifugal, 0.22 µm, Millipore)<br>Sample extracted (ultrasonic bath<br>and head-overhead mixing) with<br>methanol and sodium acetate<br>(12.5 mM, pH 4.8), centrifuged,<br>superatant diluted 5 times with   | bioaffinity LC-MS<br>screening coupled<br>to chip-<br>UPLC(NanoTile)-Q-<br>ToF-MS | 8/22   | Aqai and others (2013)          |
| 35 Anabolic steroids and clembuterol             | Powders, capsules,<br>energy bars and<br>tablets                                    | HBS-EF burder<br>Sample dissolved in methanol,<br>evaporated to dryness, diluted in<br>sodium hydroxide solution,<br>extracted with pentane/ethyl<br>ether 9:1   | LC-HRMS in APCI<br>mode   | 16/30 (some samples<br>with multiple<br>compounds) | Odoardi and others (2015)       |

Different studies recently available in the literature have shown the presence of anabolic steroids and designer drugs in dietary supplements, such as androstenedione, 5-androsten- $3\beta$ -ol-17-one (dehydroepiandrosterone; DHEA), methandienone, testosterone esters, and rost-4-ene- $3\beta$ -17 $\beta$ -diol, boldenone, among others (Geyer and others 2008; Becue and others 2011; Aqai and others 2013; Odoardi and others 2015). Table 2 shows a compilation of studies reporting the presence of illegal substances in dietary supplements. Additionally, regulatory agencies have also reported the presence of adulterants in such products advertised for body building/athletic performance enhancement. In the last 5 y, from a total of 416 public notifications issued by FDA, 18 concerned the presence of steroids or aromatase inhibitors in muscle building products (FDA 2015a). Although corresponding only to 4.3% of the total notifications, it should be noticed that there is no information regarding the total number of samples analyzed by type of supplement (weight loss, sexual enhancement, or muscle building).

#### Sexual performance enhancement supplements

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is a disease that affects 150 million men worldwide (Schramek and others 2014) being characterized by the inability to create or maintain penile erection during sexual activity. The treatments currently known for this problem encompasses the administration of PDE-5 inhibitors drugs. These compounds act by inhibiting the mentioned enzyme, which is responsible for the degradation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) to guanosine monophosphate (GMP), thus causing a rise of cGMP levels resulting in smooth muscle relaxation of helicine arteries followed by an increase of blood, thus enhancing normal erectile function (Codevilla and others 2013). Presently, the PDE-5 inhibitors legally commercialized worldwide are sildenafil citrate (Viagra<sup>®</sup>), tadalafil (Cialis<sup>®</sup>), vardenafil hydrochloride (Levitra®), udenafil (Zydena®), mirodenafil (Mvix®), lodenafil carbonate (Helleva<sup>®</sup>), and avanafil (Stendra<sup>®</sup> in the United States or Spedra<sup>®</sup> in EU) (Patel and others 2014). However, in the United States and EU only sildenafil, tadalafil, vardenafil, and avanafil are approved by the competent authorities (FDA and EMA, respectively) for the treatment of ED (EMA 2015; FDA 2015b). The side effects of prescription PDE-5 inhibitors and possible interactions with other drugs are well documented. These drugs can cause headaches, flushing, dyspepsia, nasal congestion, and visual disorders, and because they were shown to potentiate the hypotensive effects of nitrates and  $\alpha$ -blockers, the concomitant use of PDE-5 inhibitors with such drugs should be avoided (Gur and others 2013). Moreover, since sildenafil, tadalafil, and vardenafil are mainly metabolized by the cytochrome P450 3A4 pathway, drugs that inhibit this pathway may decrease metabolism of PDE-5 drugs and increase their plasma concentrations (Schwartz and others 2010).

During the last few years, the demand for PDE-5 inhibitors has been increasing worldwide, not only for treating patients with ED but also because they are sometimes being used by young men without ED to enhance sexual performance for recreational purposes, occasionally associated with the intake of alcohol or other drugs (Korkes and others 2008; Bechara and others 2010). Even though these substances are considered as controlled prescription drugs, for several reasons including the stigma associated with sexual dysfunction and/or personal lack of confidence to openly speak with the doctor, lack of information, drug costs, and availability from easily accessible, cheaper, and discrete sources such as Internet Web sites, the supply of these products without medical

prescription through unofficial methods/parallel markets has been increasing during the last decade (Campbell and others 2013; Fejos and others 2014; Patel and others 2014). It is estimated that in Europe alone more than 6 million illegal products containing PDE-5 inhibitors are being purchased outside the official health system (Schnetzler and others 2010; Venhuis and de Kaste 2012) and that in the United States more than half a million of such uncontrolled tablets are being sold every month (Dorsey and Hellstrom 2007). Since these counterfeit products are not under any quality control program, there is an inherent risk of buying a poor-quality product, for example, having impurities, lacking sample homogeneity, or incorrect dosage. Besides the problematic use of counterfeit pharmaceutical products, several recent studies have shown the illegal presence of PDE-5 inhibitors and/or its analogs in PFS (Table 3). These types of supplements are increasingly popular since they are advertised as "natural products" for sexual performance enhancement leading to a false sense of security in consumers (Liang and others 2006; Singh and others 2009; Campbell and others 2013; Fejos and others 2014). Since the existence of demand leads to a rise in supply, a growing number of products have recently been advertised on the Internet and on television, usually marketed as a "natural" resolution for sexual problems (Liang and others 2006; Singh and others 2009; Petroczi and others 2011; Strano-Rossi and others 2015). However, to boost the performance of such products, unscrupulous producers can dope PFS with PDE-5 inhibitors (Table 3). Since 2010, FDA issued 229 public notifications for sexual enhancement dietary supplements (corresponding to more than 55% of total public notifications regarding dietary supplements for that period) due to the positive detection of approved PDE-5 inhibitors or its analogs (mainly sildenafil, but also tadalafil and the analogs sulfoaildenafil, aminotadalafil, hydroxythiohomosildenafil, sulfosildenafil; dimethylsildenafil, sulfohydroxyhomosildenafil, dimethylacetildenafil, noracetildenafil, desmethyl carbodenafil, desmethylcarbondenafil, sulfosildenafil, and propoxyphenyl sildenafil). In the same period, 81 notifications were issued by RASFF regarding the presence of PDE-5 inhibitors or their analogs in dietetic foods, food supplements, and fortified foods.

Adulterated sexual enhancement PFS impose a very high risk to consumers' health as they are buying and consuming products that can cause adverse health effects, in particular in the case of individuals with cardiovascular diseases medicated with nitrates or  $\alpha$ -blockers (Champagne and Emmel 2011; Venhuis and de Kaste 2012). As those individuals are advised not to take PDE-5 inhibitors, they can be tempted to try other alternatives advertised as being natural products and inadvertently put their lives at risk due to drug interactions caused by the adulterated PFS. Moreover, according to Venhuis and de Kaste (2012) PFS are most frequently adulterated with analogs rather than with approved PDE-5 drugs. These analogs are generally synthesized based on the PDE-5 approved drugs, with minor changes on their structures, with some analogs also corresponding to substances described in patents from pharmaceutical companies (Venhuis and de Kaste 2012). These unapproved analogs raise additional safety concerns as their pharmacokinetics and safety profile are mostly unknown. On the other hand, from the point of view of manufacturers performing PFS adulteration, the use of new and exotic analogs reduces the chances of being caught as these substances are generally more difficult to be detected in routine inspections using standard protocols (Patel and others 2014). Therefore, to protect consumers from fraudulent products, adequate methods for dietary supplements monitoring, including screening methods, strategies for the identification of

| 3 PDE-5 inhibitors         Tablets, capsule,<br>individuanti<br>Sildenafi         Tablets, capsule,<br>but of result restration is to 10         LCESI.           Sildenafi         Capsules         Sample was attractificated (52,50)<br>ov) with sonicition file).         HPTLC           Sildenafi         Capsules         Sample was attractificated (22,4m)         HPTLC           Sildenafi         Capsules         Sample was attractificated (22,4m)         HPTLC           Sildenafi         Capsules         Sample was attractificated (22,4m)         HPTLC           Sildenafi         Capsules, tablets, pill,<br>solution         Sample was attracted with methanol<br>on or a 25-mil hask and dutted for<br>solution         OTRAH           3 PDE-5 inhibitors, 5 sildenafi         Not referred         Sample was ettracted with 5 mil,<br>centritioged and supernativities (50,50 v/s)         OTRAH           3 PDE-5 inhibitors and yohimbine         PLE         Sample was ettracted with 5 mil,<br>centritioged and supernativities (50,50 v/s)         DCMS           3 PDE-5 inhibitors and yohimbine         PLE         Sample was ettracted with 5 mil,<br>centritioged and supernative (60,00)         DCMS           3 PDE-5 inhibitors and yohimbine         PIL, contracted som the<br>contract was extracted with 60         DCMS           3 PDE-5 inhibitors and yohimbine         PIL, contracted som the<br>contract was extracted with 60         DCMS           3 PDE-5 inhibitors and yohimbine | Adu<br>Method                         | Adulterated samples⁄total<br>samples | Reference                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Capsules         Sample was ultrasonicated with<br>MeOH for 15 min and the clear<br>supernatant (1 mL) was transferred<br>up anules, oral           ne         Gapsule, tablets, pill,<br>ganules, oral         Sample was extracted with methanol<br>oution           ne         Gapsule, tablets, pill,<br>ganules, oral         Sample was extracted with methanol<br>was extracted with 4 mL           Not referred         Not referred         Not referred           not         Not         Not referred           not         Not         Not           not <td>LC-ESI-MS; LC-UV 19/40</td> <td>40</td> <td>Gratz and others (2004)</td>  | LC-ESI-MS; LC-UV 19/40                | 40                                   | Gratz and others (2004)         |
| <ul> <li>Capsule, tablets, pill, Sample was extracted with 4 mit solution</li> <li>G mg/mt; for oral solutions 1 mit solution</li> <li>Not referred</li> <li>Not referred</li> <li>Not referred</li> <li>Sample was extracted with mobile phase before analysis.</li> <li>Not referred</li> <li>Not not not not not not not not not not n</li></ul>  | HPTLC 3/3                             |                                      | Abourashed and others<br>(2007) |
| Not referred         Sample (0.1 g) was extracted with<br>10 mL of ammonium formate (10<br>mM): accetonitile (50:50 v/v);<br>vortexed, sonicated, further diluted<br>100 to 1000 times and the<br>resulting solution was filtered<br>(0.45 mm Teflon filter).           Capsule, tablets,<br>herbal oils         Sample's were extracted with 5 mL<br>methanol by sonication for 40 min;<br>extract was certracted with 80 mL<br>capsules, nard           Pill, soft capsules, hard         Sample's ware extracted with 80 mL<br>methanol sonicated 30 min;<br>extract was extracted with 20 mL<br>methanol, sonicated 30 min,<br>diluted to 100 mL; 1.0 mL of this<br>solution was diluted to 10 mL with<br>0.1 accelic acid solution was filtered<br>(0.45 mm membrane).           Capsules, tablets         Sample was extracted with 20 mL<br>methanol<br>(1:1, v/v) and solution was filtered<br>(0.45 mm membrane).           Capsules, tablets         Sample was extracted with 20 mL<br>acceloration flore).           Capsules, tablets         Sample was extracted with 20 mL<br>action was diluted to 10 mL with<br>0.1 accel acid 30 min, entracted with<br>5 mL 50:50 (v/v) CH3CN:DIH20<br>and shaking; extracts were filtered<br>0.2, $\mu$ m PTEF syringe filters) and<br>further diluted with extraction<br>solvent.           ne         Sample (0.2 g) was added with 5 mL<br>metheranol, vortexed 60 s, sonicated<br>15 min, cooled to room  | QTRAP LC-MS/MS                        | 29 <sup>a</sup>                      | Chen and others (2009)          |
| Capsule, tablets,<br>herbal oils       Samples were extracted with 5 mL<br>methanol by sonication for 40 min;<br>extract was centrifyed and<br>supernatant directly injected to<br>HPLC and LC–MS.         Pill, soft capsules, hard<br>capsules, oral drinks       Sample was extracted with 80 mL<br>methanol, sonicated 30 min,<br>diluted to 100 mL; 1.0 mL of this<br>solution was filtered 0.10 mL with<br>0.1 a cetic acid solution:methanol<br>(1.1, VV) and solution was filtered<br>0.45 mm membrane).         Capsules, tablets       Sample was extracted with 20 mL<br>methanols onicated 30 min,<br>diluted to 100 mL; 1.0 mL of this<br>solution was filtered<br>0.45 mm membrane).         Capsules, tablets       Sample was extracted with 20 mL<br>membrane).         Capsules, tablets       Sample was extracted with 20 mL<br>or 20 mL of this<br>solution was filtered<br>0.2 µm PTE syringe filters) and<br>filtered (0.2 µm membrane).         Capsules, tablets       Samples (0.1 g) were extracted with<br>50 min, centrifued and<br>filtered (0.2 µm and with extraction<br>solvent.         ne       Capsules, tablets       Samples (0.2 g) was added with 5 mL<br>methanol, vortexed 60 s, sonicated<br>15 min, cooled to room   | LC-MS/MS                              | some products/>100 <sup>b</sup>      | Ng and others (2010)            |
| Pill, soft capsules, hard<br>capsules, oral drinksSample was extracted with 80 mL<br>methanol, sonicated 30 min,<br>capsules, oral drinksSample was extracted with 80 mL<br>methanol, sonicated 30 min,<br>caliuted to 10 mL with<br>0.1 acetic acid solution:methanol<br>(1.1, v/v) and solution:methanol<br>(1.1, v/v), and solution:methanol<br>(0.4,5 mm membrane).CapsulesSample was extracted with 20 mL<br>acetonitrile/water (1.1, v/v),<br>sonicated with 20 mL<br>somples (0.1, g) were extracted with<br>5 mL 50:50 (v/v) CH3CNDIH20<br>and shaling extracts were filtered<br>(0.2, µm PTEF syringe filtered<br>(0.2, µm PTE syringe filtered<br>(0.2, µm PTE syringe filtered<br>and solvent.neCapsules, tabletsSamples (0.1, g) were extracted with 5 mL<br>methanol, vortexed 60 s, sonicated<br>15 min, cooled to room  | LC-MS/TOF; HPLC-DAD 1/85 <sup>c</sup> | ž                                    | Savaliya and others (2010)      |
| Capsules, tablets     Sample was extracted with 20 mL acctonitrile/water (1:1 v/v), soricated 30 min, centrilged and filtered (0.2 µm membranes).       s     Capsules     Samples (0.1 g) were extracted with 5 mL 50:50 (v/v) CH3CN:DIH20 and shaking; extracts were filtered (0.2 µm PTFE syringe filters) and further diluted with extraction solvent.       iluding PDE-5     Capsules, tablets     Sample (0.2 g) was added with 5 mL egis and yohimbine   | HPLC-MS/MS 21/26                      | 26                                   | Zhang and others (2010)         |
| Capsules     Samples (0.1 g) were extracted with<br>5 mL 50:50 (v/v) CH3CN:DIH20<br>and shaking; extracts were filtered<br>(0.2 $\mu$ m PTFE syringe filtered<br>nucling PDE-5       uding PDE-5     Capsules, tablets       sand yohimbine     Sample (0.2 g) was added with 5 mL<br>methanol, vortexed 60 s, sonicated<br>15 min, cooled to room   | FI-MS/MS                              | Ω                                    | Song and others (2012)          |
| Capsules, tablets Sample (0.2 g) was added with 5 mL<br>methanol, vortexed 60 s, sonicated<br>15 min, cooled to room<br>temperature and evaporated   | LC-ESI-MS <sup>n</sup> 2/2            |                                      | Toomey and others (2012)        |
| methanol added to initial total weight.  | UFLC-ESI-MS/MS 9/16                   | Q                                    | Ren and others (2012)           |

| Adulterants   | Formulation type  | Sample preparation  | Method                      | Adulterated samples/total samples | Reference   |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 2 Sildenafil analogs  | Capsules  | Sample (10 mg) was dissolved in<br>1.5 mL of CD <sub>3</sub> CN, CD <sub>3</sub> OD or<br>CD <sub>3</sub> CN:D <sub>2</sub> O (80:20 v/v), vortexed<br>10 min, sonicated 10 min,<br>centrifuged and 500 µL of<br>supernatant analyzed (NMR<br>analysis). Sample (58 mg) was<br>stirred 40 min in 10 mL methanol,<br>sonicated 5 min, centrifuged, 1 mL<br>of extrat was evaporated to<br>dryness and residue dissolved in<br>1 mL methanol-d4 (quantitative<br>and MS analyses). Computed | NMR; LC-MS; MS/MS; IR       | 1/1                               | Vaysse and others (2012)                                  |
| PDE-5 inhibitors and analogs<br>3 PDE-5 inhibitors and 10 analogs   | Capsules, tablets, pills<br>Bulk powder, capsules       | were also isolated and pummed.<br>Not referred<br>Sample was dissolved with<br>methanol—water-formic acid<br>(52-546:0.1 V/V/V), sonicated  | HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS<br>HPLC-CAD | 74/91<br>13/24                    | Campbell and others (2013)<br>Poplawska and others (2013) |
| 1 Vardenafil analog<br>(Hydroxythiovardenafil)  | Capsules  | Sample was added with 50 mL of<br>water/ ACN/formic acid<br>(50:50:0.1, v/v), shaken 30 min,<br>sonicated 5 min, centrifuged and<br>supernatant diluted 5-fold with<br>water/ ACN/formic acid   | LC-UV-MS/MS; NMR; IR        | 1/1                               | Jankovics and others (2013)                               |
| 3 PDE-5 inhibitors, 24 sildenafil<br>analogs, 5 tadalafil analogs and 5<br>vardenafil analogs                       | Pills, soft capsules,<br>hard capsules, bulk<br>powders | Sample (0.5 g) was extracted with<br>25 mL MeDH/water (70.30, $v/v$ ),<br>sonicated 30 min, centrifuged,<br>solution was filtered (0.2 $\mu$ m PVDF<br>filter) and diluted to appropriate   | LC-ESI-MS/MS                | 45/52                             | Lee and others (2013a)                                    |
| 6 PDE-5 inhibitors, 26 sildenafil<br>analogs, 7 tadalafil analogs, 5<br>vardenafil analogs; 4 other drugs<br>for ED | Capsules, tablets,<br>powder, film, liquid              | Sample (0.5 g) was dissolved in 25 mL<br>70% MeOH, sonicated 30 min,<br>filtered (0.20 $\mu$ m PVDF membrane<br>syringe filter) and appropriately   | LC-MS/MS                    | 77/164                            | Lee and others (2013b)                                    |
| Sildenafil and analogs  | Capsules, tablets,<br>liquids                           | Sample (half a dose unit) was<br>sonicated with methanol, diluted<br>100 times with MeOH/0.1%<br>formic acid (AU - A) and filtered  | LC-DAD-MS/MS                | 23/71                             | Reeuwijk and others (2013)                                |
| Diethylaminopretadalafil  | Capsules  | For LC-UV analysis, sample was<br>sonicated with 25 mL methanol<br>and filtered (0.45 $\mu$ m nylon<br>syringe filter) (the compound was  | LC-UV; NMR; HRMS            | 1/1                               | Zhang and others (2014)                                   |
| 4 Sildenafil analogs  | Capsules  | asso solute and pumeru).<br>Sample was mixed with 10 mL<br>methylenechloride and 2 mL 2 M<br>sodium hydroxide solution; organic<br>layer was collected and the<br>aqueous layer was reextracted<br>with 5 mL methylenechloride,<br>combined organic phase was dried<br>under a stream of nitrogen, residue<br>was reconstituted in 4 mL<br>tetrahdrofuran, solution was<br>diluted with acetonitrile and<br>filtered (0.45 µm Spartan filter).  | LC-DAD; LC-MS; NMR          | 1/1                               | Schramek and others (2014)                                |
|   |   |   |                             |                                   | (Continued)   |

Table 3-Continued.

| Adulterants  | Formulation type   | Sample preparation   | Method   | Adulterated samples/total samples                 | Reference                         |
|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 82 Compounds including 71 erectile<br>dysfunction active substances<br>(PDE-5 inhibitors and analogs)  | Tablets, liquid-gel<br>capsules, oral<br>liquids, herbal<br>samples  | Sample was added with 10 mL MeOH:H <sub>2</sub> O:ACN (70:20:10 v/v) containing 0.1% to 1% formic acid, vortexed 2 min, sonicated 10 min and vortexed 3 min. Samples were centrifuged (if needed)and supernatant was filtered (0.45 $\mu$ m PTFE syringe   | LC-MS/MS   | -/35 <sup>d</sup>                                 | Lebel and others (2014)           |
| 3 PDE-5 inhibitors and 11 analogs  | Tablets, capsules  | Sample (dosage unit) was agitated<br>with MeOHACN (50:50 v/v) 30<br>min, sonicated 5 min, centrifuged<br>and an aliquot of the clear<br>supernatant was diluted 25-fold  | HPLC-UV  | 5/-   | Fejos and others (2014)           |
| 3 PDE-5 inhibitors and 2 analogs   | Tablets, capsules,<br>powders, soft<br>capsules  | Samples (5 to 7 mg) well meDLA-XUN (30-30-90 V)<br>Samples (5 to 7 mg) were dissolved in<br>10 mL methanol, sonicated for<br>15 min, 20 $\mu$ L of this solution was<br>diluted up to 700 $\mu$ L with<br>methanol and filtered (0.2 $\mu$ m<br>PTEE files) into antereamolase   | UPLC-TOF-MS; GC-MS   | 3/11  | Damiano and others (2014)         |
| 3 PDE-5 inhibitors   | Powders, oily capsules,<br>tablets   | Sample (100 mg) added with internal<br>standard was extracted with 5 mL<br>methanol, vortexed 20 s,<br>centrifuged, methanolic phase<br>evaporated to dryness and<br>reconstituted in 2 mL sodium<br>hydroxide solution (1 M). Solution<br>extracted with 5 mL<br>pentane/ethyl ether (9:1), shaken<br>1, centrifuged, organic phase<br>evaporated to dryness and<br>reconstituted with 100 $\mu$ L<br>methanol/water/formic acid<br>(6.4.0.03)  | LC–HRMS  | 4/36  | Strano-Rossi and others<br>(2015) |
| 21 Compounds including 3 PDE-5<br>inhibitors and 5 analogs,<br>yohimbine, phentolamine and<br>mesylate   | Capsules, tablets,<br>powders, granules,<br>drinkable liquids,<br>chewing-gums,<br>strips, gel   | Samples (100 mg or 1 mL liquid<br>preparations lyophilisate) were<br>mixed with 1 mL CD3CN:D2O<br>(80:20, vv), stirred for 10 min,<br>sonicated for 10 min, suspension<br>was centrifuged and the<br>supernatant analyzed. TSP was<br>added before NMR analysis. For<br>quantitative analysis, sample (15<br>to 20 mg) was dissolved in 5 mL of<br>methanol, stirred, sonicated, 1 mL<br>was evaporated to dryness and<br>residue dissolved in 1 mL<br>MeOH-d4. And added with 0.05 mL<br>of TSP solution (10 mM).   | <sup>1</sup> H NHR   | 104/150 (some samples with<br>multiple compounds) | Gilard and others (2015)          |
| <sup>a</sup> Given values comprise only samples related with ED<br>b More than 100 samples of health supplements and C<br>Herbal formulations.<br><sup>4</sup> The number of positive samples was not reported; sa<br>CD3,NN-29, of euterated accontinitied euterated wate | adulterants; in this study 35 adulters<br>Chinese herbal drugs samples were a<br>mples included herbal medicines, die<br>sr; TSP, sodium 2,2,3,3,- tetradeutero. | <sup>a</sup> diven values comprise only samples related with ED adulterants; in this study 35 adulterated samples were detected among 105 PFS samples.<br><sup>b</sup> More than 100 samples of health supplements and Chinese herbal drugs samples were analyzed with analogs being detected in some products (number not reported).<br><sup>c</sup> Heabal formulations:<br><sup>d</sup> The number of positive samples was not reported; samples included herbal medicines, dietary supplements, and legitimate or counterfeited trademark products.<br>CD3.5CND2.0. Gue for fositive samples ware area; 7.5, 3 tetradeutero.3. (timethysliv) proparadate; MBOH, methanol, ACN, acetonitrile, PFTE, polytetrafluoroethylene; PVDF, polyvinylidene difluoride. | umber not reported).<br>nark products.<br>tonitrile, PFTE, polytetrafluoroethylene; PV | /DF, polyvinylidene difluoride.                   |                                   |

Table 3-Continued.

new and unapproved compounds, and quantification of adulterants, are considered to be essential tools (Singh and others 2009; Fejos and others 2014; Johansson and others 2014; Patel and others 2014; Strano-Rossi and others 2015).

#### **Determination of Adulterating Substances**

The selection of sample preparation methodologies, as well as the analytical technique chosen for detection, identification and, eventually, quantification of the substances of interest, depends on several factors including the number of targeted compounds and different chemical families they belong to, required sensitivity, formulation type (tablets, oily capsules, liquids, and so on) and the complexity of the matrix (for example, some samples include a large number of different botanicals in their composition). In the following sections, an overview of different methodologies used for detecting synthetic adulterants in dietary supplements, focusing on various PFS, namely weight loss, muscle building/sport performance, and sexual enhancement performance is presented.

#### Extraction methods/sample preparation

As can be observed in Table 1 to 3, which show several studies that include the analysis of PFS samples aiming for the detection of illegally added synthetic drugs, most sample preparation methods generally comprise the extraction of analytes using an organic solvent, such as methanol or acetonitrile or their aqueous mixtures, with the obtained solution/suspension being agitated (shaking, vortex-mixed) or sonicated, centrifuged, further diluted, and filtered. Liquid samples are often simply diluted with the solvent, filtered, and directly injected for analysis. Despite the simplicity and fastness of such sample preparation methodologies, considering the matrix complexity several different phytochemicals can be co-extracted, which can influence the determination of the analyte(s) of interest. Therefore, besides simple extraction methodologies, clean-up procedures, and/or preconcentration steps maybe required depending on the detection technique used for analyzing the extract. In particular, when mass spectrometry (MS) is used co-extracted matrix components can potentially interfere with the detection of target analytes and cause severe matrix effects during electrospray ionization (ESI) either inducing suppression or enhancement of the signal, thus impairing the accuracy of the analysis (Vaclavik and others 2014a, 2014b). Matrix effects are generally minimized by using hyphenated techniques, namely, through the previous separation of compounds by chromatography. Additionally, the use of optimized sample extraction and clean-up protocols has been suggested by different authors, including the use of liquid-liquid extraction (Geyer and others 2008; Schramek and others 2014; Strano-Rossi and others 2015), solid-phase extraction (SPE) (Stepan and others 2008; Peters and others 2010; Becue and others 2011), and QuEChERS (quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe) procedure (Vaclavik and others 2014a). When analyzing 88 steroid compounds in PFS using ultra-performance liquid chromatography-MS (UPLC-MS), Becue and others (2011) concluded that a clean-up procedure using a SPE NH2-column was necessary for improved sensitivity and selectivity, since it allowed for a substantial reduction of background noise by retention of polar matrix effects. Stepan and others (2008) employed dispersive SPE using primary secondary amine (PSA) as a sorbent to effectively remove polar components such as sugars, 4-hydroxy-2-methoxycinnamaldehyde and partially remove fatty acids and vanillin. More recently, Vaclavik and others (2014a) used a QuEChERS procedure to extract target analytes from PFS samples. The QuEChERS procedure is based

on sample extraction using a mixture of acetonitrile and water and subsequent separation of phases by the addition of salts, with the polar matrix components being retained in the aqueous phase, while the analytes of interest are transferred to the organic phase. The employed procedure allowed an effective extraction of most analytes from the evaluated matrices with recoveries in the range of 80% to 120%, with the exception of highly polar analytes as they have more affinity for the aqueous phase. For the clean-up and purification of oily matrices from softgels, defatting with hexane and the use of a dispersive SPE purification step with Bondesil  $C_{18}$  (octadecylsilane) sorbent showed to be effective, since it enabled the removal of some nonpolar co-extractants and slightly decreased the matrix effects while not decreasing recoveries of the analytes (Vaclavik and others 2014a).

Additionally to extraction, depending on the used technique, it can be necessary to include a derivatization reaction step during sample preparation. In the case of gas chromatography-MS (GC-MS) detection methods, still frequently used for the detection of steroids and prohormones, considering the poor volatility of most adulterants, derivatization is most often needed, which slightly increases total sample preparation time (Geyer and others 2008; Vaclavik and others 2014b).

## Techniques used for the detection and identification of adulterants

**Chromatographic methods.** Thin-layer chromatography (TLC). TLC has long been used in the characterization of plant drugs with pharmacologically active components in botanical formulations and standardized extracts. TLC can also be used for the preliminary identification of herbal products adulterated with pharmacological drugs (Cai and others 2010; Csupor and others 2013). TLC is considered to be a simple, easy, rapid, and inexpensive technique for the preliminary screening of compounds, however the availability of standards for those substances is mandatory and, above all, it has a very low sensitivity (Ariburnu and others 2012). Recently, Lv and others (2015) proposed a method which combined TLC and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) to directly identify stimulant alkaloids (ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, methylephedrine, and norephedrine) as trace adulterants in PFS. The method used SERS technology which relies on the specific vibrational spectroscopy with ultra-high sensitivity at molecular level based on 8 common Raman peaks for the compounds under evaluation. This allowed for a simple, rapid, and accurate methodology, with results from real samples analysis being confirmed by UPLC quadrupole time of flight MS (UPLC-QTOF/MS).

The use of high-performance TLC (HPTLC) also allows improving sensitivity compared to TLC, thus it has already been reported as a screening method for herbal products adulterated with pharmacological drugs (anorectic and PDE-5 inhibitor drugs) (Abourashed and others 2007; Sanzini and others 2011; Ariburnu and others 2012). Recently, Mathon and others (2014) proposed an HPTLC-ultraviolet (UV) densitometric method for the quantification of sibutramine in PFS, with its unequivocal identification being confirmed by MS using a TLC-MS interface. The method was applied to the analysis of 52 weight-loss supplements obtained via the Internet showing that half of those were adulterated with sibutramine, with some products containing amounts 3 times higher than the dosage prescribed as an appetite suppressant drug prior to its withdrawal (Mathon and others 2014). The authors reported the nonexistence of significant statistical differences among the quantitative results obtained using the validated HPTLC method with those obtained by HPLC-UV and HPLC-MS/MS.

Irrespectively of the PFS type (weight loss, muscle building, or sexual performance), since in both methods (TLC and HPTLC), reference standards are required for a positive identification, they are not considered appropriate for screening adulterations with new pharmacological drugs (designer drugs).

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). HPLC is a wellestablished and widespread technique for the routine analysis of different compounds all over the world (De Carvalho and others 2011). In the case of complex matrices, such as PFS, the chromatographic step prior to analyte detection/identification enables the separation of several phytochemicals from the bulk sample matrix that could interfere or suppress the signals of the compounds of interest (Vaclavik and others 2014a, 2014b). Liquid chromatography (LC), namely HPLC and more recently ultrahigh-performance LC (UHPLC), is the most commonly reported separation technique applied for the analysis of pharmaceutical adulterants in dietary supplements, in particular those used for weight loss and sexual performance enhancement (Table 1 and 3). The use of HPLC coupled to ultraviolet detection, especially HPLC with a diode array detector (DAD), has been recognized for the preliminary identification and screening of adulterants in weight-loss products (De Cock and others 2001; De Carvalho and others 2011; Deconinck and others 2012a; Rebiere and others 2012; Csupor and others 2013) and sexual PEDs (Singh and others 2009; Ortiz and others 2010; Savaliya and others 2010; Sacré and others 2011; Venhuis and others 2011a, 2011b; Wollein and others 2011; Jankovics and others 2013; Fejos and others 2014; Zhang and others 2014). HPLC-UV has also been used in semipreparative chromatography for unknown compounds isolation purposes (Lee and others 2011). When using HPLC-DAD, the screening and detection of compounds is based on information of UV spectra and retention time compared to those obtained for pure standards. Thus, although being simpler and cost-effective compared to other techniques, HPLC-DAD has limited applicability, especially in the case of new analogs/designer drugs, for which no standards are available. Nevertheless, in some cases, this technique can still assist researchers in the preliminary detection of such adulterants, namely those analogs structurally similar to the original compound, as they will present different retention times but identical UV spectra (Hou and others 2006).

In the last decade, LC coupled to MS detection has become a primary tool in the analysis of adulterants in PFS, since it combines the separation capacity of LC with the sensitivity and selectivity of MS detectors allowing for molecular identification (Patterson and others 2012; Vaclavik and others 2014a, 2014b). When standards are available, the use of LC preceding MS analysis also contributes to the identification of compounds based on its retention time. The use of LC-MS for the elucidation of adulterant structures in food supplements, detailing different MS detectors (enabling low or high resolution mass measurements), different data acquisition modes, and potential application in posttargeted and nontargeted screening approaches, has been recently reviewed by Vaclavik and others (2014a, 2014b). Currently, LC-MS is considered by most researchers as being the method of choice to detect pharmaceutical adulterants in PFS, with several works available in the literature concerning the analysis of dietary supplements for weight loss and sexual performance enhancement, as described in Table 1 and 3, respectively. Regarding muscle building/sport enhancement food supplements, GC-MS has been intensively used over the last decades for the analysis of nondeclared doping sub-

stances added to those products (Becue and others 2011). However, more recently, several laboratories are adopting LC-MS as a valuable tool for this type of analysis since it generally requires a reduced sample treatment compared to GC-MS and allows detecting thermolabile compounds (Becue and others 2011). Nevertheless, a major disadvantage for LC-MS is that a general searchable mass spectral library, such as the Natl. Inst. for Standards and Testing (NIST) for GC-MS, is not available for LC-MS (Becue and others 2011; Peters and others 2010). Still, since accurate high-resolution mass measurements are specific for every compound regardless of the instrumentation used, potentially these data could enable the use of accurate mass databases for compound identification (Peters and others 2010).

Gas chromatography (GC). Despite being a sensitive, reproducible, accurate, and quantitative technique, well suited for the analysis of mixtures, and of lower cost compared to LC-MS, GC methodologies are rarely used for the analysis of the most frequently found pharmaceutical adulterants in dietary supplements, with a general exception being the detection of anabolic steroids in muscle building supplements, which is frequently accomplished using GC-MS. Other examples of GC applications are the works of Marchei and others (2006) and Man and others (2009). The main criterion that should be considered when using GC methodologies is the volatility and thermal stability of the compounds. This can explain the low usage of GC methods in the analysis of PDE-5 inhibitors and their analogs, as they are considered to be heat-labile and difficult to derivatize using standard silvlation reagents (Patel and others 2014). Nevertheless, in certain cases where the LC-MS technique does not provide sufficient information for compound identification, the analysis of chemical reaction products (such as hydrolysis products) by GC-MS has been described as a useful complementary tool for structure elucidation and identification of designer drugs as, for example, new PDE-5 inhibitors analogs (Patel and others 2014; Vaclavik and others 2014a, 2014b)

Despite being a sensitive, reproducible, accurate, and quantitative technique, well suited for the analysis of mixtures, and of lower cost compared to LC-MS, GC methodologies are rarely used for the analysis of the most frequently found pharmaceutical adulterants in dietary supplements, with a general exception being the detection of anabolic steroids in muscle building supplements, which is frequently accomplished using GC-MS. Other examples of GC applications are the works of Marchei and others (2006) and Man and others (2009). The main criterion that should be considered when using GC methodologies is the volatility and thermal stability of the compounds. This can explain the low usage of GC methods in the analysis of PDE-5 inhibitors and their analogs, as they are considered to be heat-labile and difficult to derivatize using standard silvlation reagents (Patel and others 2014). Nevertheless, in certain cases where the LC-MS technique does not provide sufficient information for compound identification, the analysis of chemical reaction products (such as hydrolysis products) by GC-MS has been described as a useful complementary tool for structure elucidation and identification of designer drugs as, for example, new PDE-5 inhibitors analogs (Patel and others 2014; Vaclavik and others 2014a, 2014b).

In the case of muscle building/sport performance dietary supplements, the substances most probably used as adulterants are prohormones and steroids. GC-MS has long been routinely used in the analysis of such compounds as doping agents in sports, being also suited for PFS analysis. Since GC-MS uses standardized and universal electron impact ionization (EI) conditions, similar spectra are obtained for the same compound, even when using different equipment, thus allowing the use of standard reference databases such as NIST (Becue and others 2011). Nevertheless, this technique requires a derivatization step, generally trimethylsilylation, which makes sample preparation more cumbersome and time-consuming. Recently, a method based on comprehensive 2-dimensional (2D) gas chromatography (GC  $\times$  GC) with TOF-MS detection for the determination of 25 anabolic steroids in food supplements was proposed by Stepan and others (2008). Contrarily to most other GC-MS techniques, this technique does not require derivatization. Moreover, it allows obtaining lower limits of detection, achieving enhanced chromatographic resolution, and complete separation of target steroids as a result of separation being performed in 2 capillary columns with different polarities, thus reducing the risk of false positives (Stepan and others 2008).

**Mass spectrometry.** MS is the most applied detection method for the identification and structural elucidation of adulterants in dietary supplements as it fulfills the requirements of selectivity and sensitivity needed for such analyses. With technology evolution, there are currently several different types of MS detectors available, which are frequently used in hyphenated techniques, including single quadruple, triple quadrupole, linear trap, Orbitrap (Fourier transform MS), Fourier transform ion clyclotron resonance MS (FT-ICR-MS) and time of flight (TOF), among others. MS can be rearranged to a tandem (MS/MS) or a multistage (MS<sup>n</sup>) MS, improving its accuracy and resolution (Patel and others 2014).

Apart from its extensive use in hyphenated techniques, direct-infusion MS has also been reported in several works, mainly for structural identification purposes applied to isolated and purified compounds. Direct-infusion MSn and collisioninduced dissociation (CID) MS experiments have been used to provide useful information on the structural elucidation of new analogs of vardenafil and sildenafil, namely piperidenafil and nor-acetildenafil (Reepmeyer and Woodruff 2006, 2007). Ahn and others (2009) proposed the use of high-resolution MS and fast-atom bombardment coupled to high-energy CID tandem MS (FAB-CID-MS/MS) to elucidate the structures of sildenafil and its analogs isolated from food supplements. Direct infusion or flow injection MS (FI-MS) has also been proposed as an analytical tool to detect adulterants in different samples, including those added to PFS. FI-MS allows complex mixtures to be resolved into components differing in ion mass and has the advantage of being a fast and high-throughput approach since it does not involve any prior time-consuming LC separation (Koulman and others 2007). Nevertheless, a higher extent of matrix effects is generally observed in FI-MS compared to hyphenated MS techniques (Vaclavik and others 2014a, 2014b). Song and others (2012) reported the use of FI-MS with a triple quadrupole operating in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode to screen for PDE-5 inhibitors in food supplements. The authors reported some difficulties in identifying some similar analogs (homosildenafil, hydroxyhomosildenafil, and sulfoaildenafil) as they shared both precursor and products ions. Identical difficulties were reported for a FI tandem MS (FI-MS/MS) with MRM monitoring applied for the semiquantitative screening of weight-loss drugs, namely phenolphthalein, sibutramine, and its pharmacologically active metabolites N-desmethylsibutramin and N-didesmethylsibutramine, in 17 food supplements (Song and others 2014). The authors reported that FI-MS/MS offered an improved sensitivity, selectivity, and wider detection ranges, although Nformyldidesmethylsibutramine could be assigned mistakenly as sibutramine based on FI-MS/MS analysis alone, as they give similar product ion profiles and ion ratio for the 3 common MRM

transitions used. In this study, FI-MS/MS allowed the detection of 11 positive samples for sibutramine and 9 samples for phenolphthalein, which were further confirmed by LC-MS/MS. Other reported applications used a hierarchical approach in which ESI-MS fingerprinting is followed by the targeted analysis of specific m/z signals by high resolution methods (Draper and others 2013).

**Spectroscopic methods.** Even though hyphenated methodologies using MS detection are undoubtedly considered as the most used and adequate methodologies for the determination of pharmaceutical drugs and their analogs illegally added in food supplements, recently, there has been an increased interest on the use of spectroscopic methods as they are rapid, have high throughput, are simple to use, require little or no sample preparation, and can be possibly adapted for on-field screening. For those reasons, spectroscopic methods have been suggested as feasible and interesting screening tools to be used by inspectors and customs, which are frequently confronted with a large number of products suspected of being adulterated that must be confiscated and sent to a laboratory for analysis inspection (Deconinck and others 2014).

Among spectroscopic methods, vibrational spectroscopic methods, such as infrared (IR), near infrared (NIR) or Raman spectroscopy have already been used to detect counterfeit pharmaceuticals (Sacré and others 2010; Deconinck and others 2012b) and have shown usefulness for the authentication of different food matrices (Rodriguez-Saona and Allendorf 2011; Liu and others 2013; Rohman and others 2014). Fourier transform IR spectroscopy (FTIR) is frequently associated with attenuated total reflectance (ATR) sampling enabling samples to be analyzed directly with no need for sample preparation. Champagne and Emmel (2011) proposed the use of FTIR-ATR operating in the mid-infrared region (4000 to  $650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) to screen adulterations in raw materials used in the formulation and manufacture of food supplements. With this aim, the authors selected 84 raw ingredients (including different botanical materials, amino acids, proteins, polysaccharides, among others) used in food supplements formulations, which were analyzed before and after being spiked with appropriate adulterants (sildenafil, vardenafil, tadalafil) or adulterant surrogates (progesterone, a metabolite of DHEA, glutamine, niacinamide, tyrosine, and melamine). The Compare Function in AssureID software from PerkinElmer was used for spectral comparisons and further calculating correlation thresholds, disregarding band intensity or amplitude and considering shape only, thus allowing automation by providing a "pass" or "fail" report. The lowest level of adulteration needed to create a "fail" report was set by serially adulterating 4 selected raw materials with increasing amounts of adulterant. The proposed method proved to be fast, easy to do, and not requiring expertise technicians; it is thus suited for on-field screening. Still, criticism of this work concerns the extrapolation of results since, with the exception of PDE-5 inhibitors, surrogates with similar structure were used instead of the adulterant substances themselves. Additionally, the proposed method has a short applicability since it only allows testing raw materials, because, as mentioned by the authors, the obtained detection limits would be unacceptable for finished product screening. More recently, Deconinck and others (2014) suggested the use of ATR-IR spectra combined with k-nearest neighbors chemometrics for the detection of sibutramine in adulterated food supplements. The authors analyzed a set of 125 food supplements suspected of being adulterated (products were previously evaluated for the presence of sibutramine) and concluded that FTIR-ATR was able to detect all the adulterated products, with a minimum of false positives. The authors suggested its possible

use as a screening tool since no adulterated samples would pass the customs inspection and the possible cases of false positives, although resulting in products being retained, would be clarified after laboratory analysis.

Vibrational spectroscopy methodologies have also been used in conjunction with other techniques, such as accurate MS, X-ray crystallography, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, for the identification and structural elucidation of new adulterants in PFS. The use of FTIR has also been described as an additional tool in the identification of different analogs isolated from food supplements such as thiosildenafil (Vaysse and others 2012), nitroso-prodenafil (Venhuis and others 2011b), propoxyphenyl aidenafil, and methiososildenafil (Kee and others 2012), while NIR spectroscopy and Raman spectroscopy proved useful in detecting Rimonabant polymorphs (Venhuis and others 2011b).

Besides being considered a crucial tool for structural identification of new analogs (Zou and others 2008; Balayssac and others 2012) or other new compounds used as PDE-5 inhibitors (Ge and others 2008), the use of NMR spectroscopy has also been described for the detection and quantification of adulterants in PFS. NMR is considered a robust technique, highly reproducible, requiring minimum sample preparation, and with no need for reference standards (Martino and others 2010; Johansson and others 2014). Other referred advantages concern the improvement of sensitivity in recent NMR equipment and the possibility of using <sup>1</sup>HNMR for quantitative purposes (Balayssac and others 2009; Johansson and others 2014). Moreover, the use of advanced techniques that are able to provide global information, such as 2D diffusion ordered spectroscopy <sup>1</sup>H NMR (2D DOSY <sup>1</sup>H NMR), or 3-dimensional (3D) DOSY-COSY <sup>1</sup>H NMR, enables the analysis of various compounds in complex matrices in a single run, since those methods are nonselective and do not require prior knowledge of the components present in the mixture (Balayssac and others 2009; Vaysse and others 2012). Balayssac and others (2009) used conventional <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 2D DOSY <sup>1</sup>H NMR and 3D DOSY-COSY <sup>1</sup>H NMR to analyze 17 commercial herbal drugs or food supplements marketed for sexual dysfunction and found 8 of those samples to be illegally augmented with PDE-5 inhibitors or respective analogs, namely sildenafil, tadalafil, vardenafil, hydroxyhomosildenafil, thiosildenafil, and the newly identified adulterant thiomethisosildenafil. Most recently, Gilard and others (2015) analyzed a total of 150 food supplements advertised as being natural products for sexual performance enhancement and concluded that 92 of those samples (corresponding to 61%) were adulterated with PDE-5 inhibitors or its analogs. The authors also reported the presence of flibanserin, an experimental drug for the treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder in women, in 2 samples and steroidal hormones (testosterone and DHEA) in 5 samples. Besides PDE-5 inhibitor drugs and/or its analogs, detection of the anorexic drug sibutramine, the stimulant synephrine and the laxative phenolphthalein have also been detected in food supplements by using NMR (Vaysse and others 2010). Recently, the use of NMR spectroscopy in combination with LC-QTOF-MS also allowed detecting sibutramine, orlistat, sildenafil, fluoxetine and/or yohimbine in 21 weight-loss food supplements (Johansson and others 2014).

**Other methods.** The use of bioassays, such as the estrogen and androgen mammalian reporter gene assays (RGAs) and the yeast androgen bioassay, have been suggested as a general screening procedure to detect steroids in sports dietary supplements (Rijk and others 2009; Plotan and others 2012). These bioassays present

several advantages, such as high sensitivity and lower cost, compared to methods that require the use of advanced and expensive equipment, as well as high throughput as they screen samples for a large group of compounds presenting similar biological effects. However, they generally are comprised of lengthy analysis, they can give inconclusive results due to toxic effects generated by the extracts, and, above all, they are unable to identify steroid compound(s), thus requiring confirmation analyses by using techniques that allow for identification (Peters and others 2010; Becue and others 2011). In this regard, Peters and others (2010) proposed the use of a bioassay-guided fractionation based on a recombinant yeast androgen bioassay and further analysis by UHPLC/TOF-MS of the positive fractions to confirm and identify unknown androgens in food supplements. The authors also tested the possibility of directly analyzing the samples by UHPLC/TOF-MS without previous bioassay-guided fractionation, and they concluded that, although it allowed to tentatively identify androgens and their derivatives in samples of sport food supplements, the method was much more laborious since many compounds are identified and have to be screened as potential adulterants (Peters and others 2010). More recently, Agai and others (2013) proposed a novel bioaffinity liquid chromatography-MS (BioMS) method for screening and identification of designer anabolic steroids in dietary supplements. The bioaffinity assay was developed for molecules binding to the recombinant human sex hormonebinding globulin (rhSHBG), with the possibility of using the same biopurified extract for subsequent compound identification using chip-ultra-performance-LC(NanoTile)-quadrupole-timeof-flight MS (chip-UPLC-Q-ToF-MS) with full scan accurate mass measurement. The authors suggested that this would be a powerful tool for early detection of emerging unknown designer steroids in food supplements, thus contributing to fight doping in sports (Aqai and others 2013).

#### Conclusions

Following the current legislation in EU countries and the U.S., dietary supplements (including PFS) are not subjected to any specific regulatory preapproval requirements or safety assessments prior to commercialization. This allows for unscrupulous manufacturers and distributors to deliberately adulterate supplements through the addition of pharmaceutical drugs or analogue substances (designer drugs, often not characterized for their efficacy or toxicity) in order to increase product effectiveness. However, consumers are not aware of such possibilities and cases of drug interactions and deleterious health effects can occur. Thus, with the growing consumption of these products, with special emphasis on PFS, and market globalization, there is also an increased need for more effective control by competent authorities in order to detect possible adulterations and, in such cases, take enforcement measures to safeguard public health. Therefore, the development of new and improved analytical methodologies for the detection and structural identification of adulterants from different pharmacological classes (including new/unknown analogs) is critically important to protect public health and ensure the quality of dietary supplements.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by European Union (FEDER funds through COMPETE) and Natl. Funds (FCT, Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia) through projects EXPL/DTP-SAP/1438/2013 (Safety of plant food supplements: searching for adulterant pharmaceutical drugs and plants) and LAQV De Carvalho LM, Martini M, Moreira APL, Lima APS, Correia D, Falcão UID/QUI/50006/2013.

#### Author Contributions

Tiago Rocha and Joana Amaral compiled the sources and wrote the manuscript; Beatriz Oliveira supervised the work.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Abourashed EA, Maged S, Abdel-Kader, Abdel-Azim MH. 2007. HPTLC determination of sildenafil in pharmaceutical products and aphrodisiac herbal preparations. J Planar Chromat 18:372-6.
- Ahn S, Hong JY, Hong MK, Jang YP, Oh MS, Jung JH, Hong J. 2009. Structural determination of sildenafil and its analogues in dietary supplements by fast-atom bombardment collision-induced dissociation tandem mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 23:3158-66.
- Aqai P, Cevik E, Gerssen A, Haasnoot W, Nielen MWF. 2013. High-throughput bioaffinity mass spectrometry for screening and identification of designer anabolic steroids in dietary supplements. Anal Chem 85:3255-62.
- Ariburnu E, Mehmet FU, Huseyin Y, Erdem Y. 2012. Comparative determination of sibutramine as an adulterant in natural slimming products by HPLC and HPTLC densitometry. J Pharma Biomed Anal 64-65:77-81.

Balayssac S, Trefi S, Gilard V, Malet-Martino M, Martino R, Delsuc MA. 2009. 2D and 3D DOSY 1H NMR, a useful tool for analysis of complex mixtures: application to herbal drugs or dietary supplements for erectile dysfunction. J Pharm Biomed Anal 50:602-12.

- Balayssac S, Gilard V, Zedde C, Martino R, Malet-Martino M. 2012. Analysis of herbal dietary supplements for sexual performance enhancement: first characterization of propoxyphenyl-thiohydroxyhomosildenafil and identification of sildenafil, thiosildenafil, phentolamine and tetrahydropalmatine as adulterants. J Pharma Biomed Anal 63:135-50.
- Bechara A, Casabé A, De Bonis W, Helien A, Bertolino MV. 2010. Recreational use of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors by healthy young men. J Sex Med 7:3736-42.

Becue I, Van Poucke C, Van Peteghem C. 2011. An LC-MS screening method with library identification for the detection of steroids in dietary supplements. J Mass Spectrom 46:327-35.

Cai Y, Cai T, Shi Y, Cheng X, Ma L, Ma S, Lin R, Feng W. 2010. Simultaneous determination of eight PDE5-is potentially adulterated in herbal dietary supplements with TLC and HPLC-PDA-MS methods. J Liq Chromatogr R T 76:37-41.

Campbell N, Clark JP, Stecher VJ, Thomas JW, Callanan AC, Donnelly BF, Goldstein I, Kaminetsky JC. 2013. Adulteration of purported herbal and natural sexual performance enhancement dietary supplements with synthetic phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors. J Sex Med 10:1842-9.

Champagne AB, Emmel KV. 2011. Rapid screening test for adulteration in raw materials of dietary supplements. Vib Spectrosc 55:216-23.

Chen Y, Zhao L, Lu F, Yu Y, Chai Y, Wu Y. 2009. Determination of synthetic drugs used to adulterate botanical dietary supplements using QTRAP LC-MS/MS. Food Addit Contam 26:595-603.

Codevilla CF, Castilhos TS, Bergold AM. 2013. A review of analytical methods for the determination of four new phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors in biological samples and pharmaceutical preparations. Braz J Pharm Sci 49:1-12

Cohen PA, Benner C, McCormick D. 2012. Use of a pharmaceutically adulterated dietary supplement, Pai You Guo, among Brazilian-born women in the United States. J Gen Intern Med 27:51-6.

Cohen PA, Maller G, DeSouza R, Neal-Kababick J. 2014. Presence of banned drugs in dietary supplements following FĎA recalls. JAMA Intern Med 312:1691-3.

Csupor D, Boros K, Danko B, Veres K, Szendrei K, Hohmann J. 2013. Rapid identification of sibutramine in dietary supplements using a stepwise approach. Pharmazie 68:15-8.

Damiano F, Silva C, Gregori A, Vancondio F, Mor M, Menozzi M, Di Giorgio D. 2014. Analysis of illicit dietary supplements sold in the italianmarket: identification of a sildenafil thioderivative as adulterant using UPLC-TOF/MS and GC/MS. Sci Justice 54:228-37.

D, Garcia, Bairros AV. 2011. Presence of synthetic pharmaceuticals as adulterants in slimming phytotherapeutic formulations and their analytical determination. Forensic Sci Intl 204:6-12.

De Carvalho LM, Cohen PA, Silva CV, Moreira APL, Falcão TM, Dal Molin TR, Zemolin G, Martini M. 2012. A new approach to determining pharmacologic adulteration of herbal weight loss products. Food Addit Contam A 29:1661-7.

De Cock KJS, Delbeke FT, Eenoo PV, Desmet N, Roels K, De Backer P. 2001. Detection and determination of anabolic steroids in nutritional supplements. J Pharma Biomed Anal 25:843-52

Deconinck E, Verlinde K, Courselle P, De Beer JO. 2012a. A validated ultra high pressure liquid chromatographic method for the characterisation of confiscated illegal slimming products containing anorexics. J Pharma Biomed Anal 59:38-43.

Deconinck E, Sacré P-Y, Coomans D, De Beer J. 2012b. Classification trees based on infrared spectroscopic data to discriminate between genuine and counterfeit medicines. J Pharm Biomed Anal 57:68-75.

Deconinck E, Cauwenbergh T, Bothy JL, Custers D, Couselle P, De Beer JO. 2014. Detection of sibutramine in adulterated dietary supplements using attenuated total reflectance-infrared spectroscopy. J Pharma Biomed Anal 100:279-83.

Di Lorenzo C, Ceschi A, Kunferschmidt H, Lüde S, Nascimento ED, Dos Santos A, Colombo F, Frigerio G, Nørby K, Plumb J, Finglas P, Restani P. 2014. Adverse effects of plant food supplements and botanical preparations: a systematic review with critical evaluation of causality. Br J Clin Pharmacol 79:578-92

Directive 2002/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the council of 10June 2002 on the approximation of the laws of the member states relating to food supplements. Off J Eur Comm L 138:51-7.

Directive 2004/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 amending, as regards traditional herbal medicinal products, Directive 2001/83/EC on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use. Off J Eur Comm L 136:85-90.

Dorsey PJ, Hellstrom WJG. 2007. The illicit sale of medications for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. Medscape Urol. Available from: http:// www.medscape.com/viewarticle/566897. Accessed 2015 May 25.

Draper J, Lloyd A, Goodacre R, Beckmann M. 2013. Flow infusion electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry for high throughput, non-targeted metabolite fingerprinting: a review. Metabolomics 9:4-29.

Egan B, Hodgkins C, Shepherd R, Timotijevic L, Raats M. 2011. An overview of consumer attitudes and beliefs about plant food supplements. Food Funct 2:747-52.

European Medicines Agency (EMA). 2010. European Medicines Agency recommends suspension of marketing authorisations for sibutramine. EMA/39408/2010 Press Office 21 January 2010. Available from: http:// www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/Press\_release/2010/ 01/WC500069995.pdf. Accessed 2015 May 25.

European Medicines Agency (EMA). 2015. European public assessment reports. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl = pages%2Fmedicines%2Flanding%2Fepar\_search.jsp&mid = WC0b01ac058 001d124&searchTab = searchByKey&alreadyLoaded = true&isNewQuery = true&status = Authorised&keyword = Erectile+Dysfunction&keyword Search = Submit&searchType = ti&taxonomyPath = Body+processes.Cir culatory+and+Respiratory+Physiological+Phenomena.Blood+Physiologi cal+Phenomena&treeNumber =. Accessed 2015 February 2.

Eussen SRBM, Verhagen H, Klungel OH, Garssen J, Loveren HV, Kranen HJV, Rompelberg CJM. 2011. Functional foods and dietary supplements: products at the interface between pharma and nutrition. Eur J Pharmacol 662:2-9

Fejos I, Gábor N, Szabolcs B, Jankovics P. 2014. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of PDE-5 inhibitors in counterfeit medicines and dietary supplements by HPLC-UV using sildenafil as a sole reference. J Pharma Biomed Anal 98:327-33.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 2015a. Tainted Supplements CDER. Available from: (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/sda/sdNavigation. cfm?filter=&sortColumn=1d&sd=tainted\_supplements\_cder&page=1& displayAll=true. Accessed 2015 May 18.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 2105b. Drugs@FDA:FDA, Approved Drug Products. Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ drugsatfda/. Accessed 2015 February 2.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 2105c. Guidance for industry: questions and answers regarding adverse event reporting and recordkeeping for dietary supplements as required by the Dietary Supplement and Nonprescription Drug Consumer Protection Act. Available from:

http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/GuidanceDocuments RegulatoryInformation/DietarySupplements/ucm171383.htm. Accessed 2015 August 27.

Government Accountability Office (GAO). 2013. Report to Congressional Requesters (GAO-13-244). Dietary Supplements. FDA may have opportunities to expand its use of reported health problems to oversee products. Available from: <u>http://www.gao.gov/assets/660/653113.pdf</u>. Accessed 2015 August 27.

Garcia-Alvarez A, Egan B, de Klein S, Dima L, Maggi FM, Isoniemi M, Ribas-Barba L. 2014. Usage of plant food supplements across six European countries: findings from the PlantLIBRA consumer survey. PloS One 9(3):e92265.

Ge X, Low M, Zou P, Lin L, Yin SOS, Bloodworth BC, Koh H. 2008. Structural elucidation of a PDE-5 inhibitor detected as an adulterant in a health supplement. J Pharma Biomed Anal 48:1070–75.

Geyer H, Parr MK, Mareck U, Reinhart U, Schrader Y. 2004. Analysis of non-hormonal nutritional supplements for anabolic-androgenic steroids-results of an international study. Intl J Sports Med 49:6–11.

Geyer H, Parr MK, Koehler K, Mareck U, Schanzer W, Thevis M. 2008. Nutritional supplements cross-contaminated and faked with doping substances. J Mass Spectrom 43:892–902.

Gilard V, Blayssac S, Tinaugus A, Martins N, Martino R, Malet-Martino M. 2015. Detection, identification and quantification by 1H NMR of adulterants in 150 herbal dietary supplements marketed for improving sexual performance. J Pharm Biomed Anal 102:476–93.

Goston JL, Correia MI. 2010. Intake of nutritional supplements among people exercising in gyms and influencing factors. Nutrition 26:604–11.

Gratz SR, Flurer CL, Wolnik K. 2004. Analysis of undeclared synthetic phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors in dietary supplements and herbal matrices by LC-ESI-MS and LC-UV. J Pharm Biomed Anal 36:525–33.

Gratz SR, Zeller M, Mincey DW, Flurer CF. 2009. Structural characterization of sulfoaildenafil, an analog of sildenafil. J Pharm Biomed Anal 50:228–31.

Gur S, Kadowitz PJ, Gokce A, Sikka SC, Lokman U, Hellstrom JG. 2013. Update on drug interactions with phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors prescribed as first-line therapy for patients with erectile dysfunction or pulmonary hypertension. Curr Drug Metab 14:265–9.

Hachem R, Malet-Martino M, Gilard V. 2014. First identification and quantification of lorcaserin in an herbal slimming dietary supplement. J Pharm Biomed Anal 98:94–9.

Hou P, Zou P, Low MY, Chan E, Koh HL. 2006. Structural identification of a new acetildenafil analogue from pre-mixed bulk powder intended as a dietary supplement. Food Addit Contam 23:870–5.

Jankovics P, Lohner S, Darcsi A, Németh-Patlotás J, Béni S. 2013. Detection and structure elucidation of hydroxythiovardenafil as an adulterant in a herbal dietary supplement. J Pharm Biomed Anal 74:83–91.

Johansson M, Fransson D, Rundlof T, Huynh N, Arvidsson T. 2014. A general analytical platform and strategy in search for illegal drugs. J Pharm Biomed Anal 100:215–29.

Jung J, Hermanns-Clausen M, Weinmann W. 2006. Anorectic sibutramine detected in a chinese herbal drug for weight loss. Forensic Sci Intl 161:221–2.

Kee C, Ge X, Koh H, Low M. 2012. Isolation and characterization of propoxyphenyl linked sildenafil and thiosildenafil analogues in health supplements. J Pharm Biomed Anal 70:265–72.

Kiertscher E, DiMarco NM. 2013. Use and rationale for taking nutritional supplements among collegiate athletes at risk for nutrient deficiencies. Perform Enhancement Health 2:24–9.

Kim HJ, Lee JH, Park HJ, Cho S, Cho S, Kim WS. 2014. Monitoring of 29 weight loss compounds in foods and dietary supplements by LC-MS/MS. Food Addit Contam A 31:777–83.

Korkes F, Costa-Matos A, Gasperini R, Reginato PV, Perez MDC. 2008. Recreational use of PDE5 inhibitors by young healthy men: Recognizing this issue among medical students. J Sex Med 5:2414–8.

Koulman A, Tapper BA, Fraser K, Cao M, Lane GA, Rasmussen S. 2007. High-throughput direct-infusion ion trap mass spectrometry: a new method for metabolomics. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 21:421–8.

Lebel P, Gagnon J, Furtos A, Waldron KC. 2014. A rapid, quantitative liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry screening method for 71 active and 11 natural erectile dysfunction ingredients present in potentially adulterated or counterfeit products. J Chromatogr A 1343:143–51.

Lee HM, Kim CS, Jang YM, Kwon SW, Lee B. 2011. Separation and structural elucidation of a novel analogue of vardenafil included as an

adulterant in a dietary supplement by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, infrared spectroscopy and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. J Pharm Biomed Anal 54:491–6.

Lee ES, Lee JH, Han KM, Kim JW, Hwang IS, Cho S, Han SY, Kim J. 2013a. Simultaneous determination of 38 phosphodiestrase-5 inhibitors in illicit erectile dysfunction products by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry. J Pharm Biomed Anal 83:171–8.

Lee JH, Kim NS, Han KM, Kim SH, Cho S, Kim WS. 2013b. Monitoring by LC-MS/MS of 48 compounds of sildenafil, tadalafil, vardenafil and their analogues in illicit health food products in the korean market advertised as enhancing male sexual performance. Food Addit Contam A 30:1849–57.

Liang Q, Qu J, Luo G, Wang Y. 2006. Rapid and reliable determination of illegal adulterant in herbal medicines and dietary supplements by LC/MS/MS. J Pharm Biomed Anal 40:305–11.

Liu J, Wen Y, Dong N, Lai CL, Zhao GH. 2013. Authentication of lotus root powder adulterated with potato starch and/or sweet potato starch using Fourier transform mid-infrared spectroscopy. Food Chem 141:3103–9.

Lv D, Cao Y, Lou Z, Li S, Chen X, Chai Y, Lu F. 2015. Rapid on-site detection of ephedrine and its analogues used as adulterants in slimming dietary supplements by TLC-SERS. Anal Bioanal Chem 407:1313–25.

Man CN, Nor NM, Lajis R, Harn GL. 2009. Identification of sildenafil, tadalafil and vardenafil by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry on short capillary column. J Chromatogr A 1216:8426–30.

Marchei E, Pellgrini M, Pacifici R, Zuccaro P, Pichini S. 2006. A rapid and simple procedure for the determination of ephedrine alkaloids in dietary supplements by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Pharm Biomed Anal 41:1633–41.

Martino R, Malet-Martino M, Gilard V, Balayssac S. 2010. Counterfeit drugs: analytical techniques for their identification. Anal Bioanal Chem 398:77–92.

Mathon C, Ankli A, Reich E, Bieri S, Christen P. 2014. Screening and determination of sibutramine in adulterated herbal slimming supplements by HPTLC-UV densitometry. Food Addit Contam A 31:15–20.

Maughan RJ. 2005. Contamination of dietary supplements and positive drug tests in sport. J Sport Sci 23:883–9.

Maughan RJ, Greenhaff PL, Hespel P. 2011. Dietary supplements for athletes: emerging trends and recurring themes. J Sport Sci 29:57–66.

Ng CS, Law TY, Cheung YK, Ng PC, Choi KK. 2010. Development of a screening method for the detection of analogues of sildenafil and vardenafil by the use of liquid chromatograph coupled with triple quadrupole linear ion trap mass spectrometer. Anal Methods 2:890–6.

Nielsen MW, Hansen EH, Rasmussen NK. 2005. Use of natural medicines in the Danish population: a national cross-sectional survey. Ann Pharmacother 39:1534–8.

Odoardi S, Castrignano E, Martello S, Chiarotti M, Strano-Rossi S. 2015. Determination of anabolic agents in dietary supplements by liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry. Food Addit Contam A 32:635–47.

Ortiz RS, Antunes MV, Linden R. 2010. Determinação de citrato de sildenafila e de tadalafila por cromatografia líquida de ultraeficiência com detecção por arranjo de diodos (Clue-Dad). Quim Nova 33:389–93.

Park S, Lee JG, Roh SH, Kim G, Kwon CH, Park HR, Kwon KS, Kim D, Kwon SW. 2012. Determination of PDE-5 inhibitors and appetite suppressants in adulterated dietary supplements using LC/PDA and LC/MS. Food Addit Contam B 5:29–32.

Patel DN, Lin L, Kee C, Ge X, Low M, Koh H. 2014. Screening of synthetic PDE-5 inhibitors and their analogues as adulterants: analytical techniques and challenges.J Pharm Biomed Anal 87:176–90.

Patterson R, Mabe P, Mitchell EN, Cory W. 2012. Lifestyle illicit drug seizures: A routine ESI-LC-MS method for the identification of sildenafil and vardenafil. Forensic Sci Intl 222:83–8.

Perkin J, Wilson W, Schuster K, Rodriguez J, Allen-Chabot A. 2002. Prevalence of nonvitamin, nonmineral supplement usage among university students. J Am Diet Assoc 102:412–4.

Peters RJ, Rijk JC, Bovee TF, Nijrolder AW, Lommen A, Nielen MW. 2010. Identification of anabolic steroids and derivatives using bioassay-guided fractionation, UHPLC/TOFMS analysis and accurate mass database searching. Anal Chim Acta 664:77–88.

Petroczi A, Naughton DP, Pearce G, Bloodworth A, Bailey R, McNamee M. 2008. Supplement use among young elite UK athletes: fallacies of advice regarding efficacy. J Intl Soc Sports Nutr 5:22. <u>Available from: http://www.jissn.com/content/pdf/1550-2783-5-22.pdf</u>.

Petroczi A, Taylor G, Naughton DP. 2011. Mission impossible? Regulatory and enforcement issues to ensure safety of dietary supplements. Food Chem Toxicol 49:393–402.

- Pipe A, Ayotte C. 2002. Nutritional supplements and doping. Clin J Sport Med 12:245–9.
- Plotan M, Elliott CT, Oplatowska M, Connolly L. 2012. Validation and application of reporter gene assays for the determination of estrogenic and androgenic endocrine disruptor activity in sport supplements. Anal Bioanal Chem 403:3057–67.

Poplawska M, Blazewicz A, Bukowinska K, Fijalek Z. 2013. Application of high-performance liquid chromatography with charged aerosol detection for universal quantitation of undeclared phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors in herbal dietary supplements. J Pharm Biomed Anal 84:232–43.

Radimer K, Bindewald B, Hughes J, Ervin B, Swanson C, Picciano MF. 2004. Dietary supplement use by US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2000. Am J Epidemiol 160:339–49.

Rapaka RS, Coates PM. 2006. Dietary supplements and related products: a brief summary. Life Sci 78:2026–32.

Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF). 2015. RASFF Portal search page. Available from: https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/rasff-window/ portal/?event = SearchForm&cleanSearch = 1#. Accessed 2015 May 25.

Rebiere H, Guinot P, Civade C, Bonnet PA, Nicolas A. 2012. Detection of hazardous weight-loss substances in adulterated slimming formulations using ultra-high-pressure liquid chromatography with diode-array detection. Food Addit Contam A 29:161–71.

Reepmeyer JC, Woodruff JT. 2006. Use of liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and a hydrolytic technique for the detection and structure elucidation of a novel synthetic vardenafil designer drug added illegally to a 'natural' herbal dietary supplement. J Chromatogr A 1125:67–75.

Reepmeyer JC, Woodruff JT. 2007. Use of liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and a chemical cleavage reaction for the structure elucidation of a new sildenafil analogue detected as an adulterant in an herbal dietary supplement. J Pharm Biomed Anal 44:887–93.

Reeuwijk NM, Venhuis BJ, de Kaste D, Hoogenboom LP, Rietjens IMCM, Martena MJ. 2013. Sildenafil and analogous phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE-5) inhibitors in herbal food supplements sampled on the Dutch market. Food Addit Contam A 30:2027–34.

Reeuwijk NM, Venhuis BJ, de Kaste D, Hoogenboomc RLAP, Rietjens IMCM, Martena MJ. 2014. Active pharmaceutical ingredients detected in herbal food supplements for weight loss sampled on the Dutch market. Food Addit Contam A 31:1783–93.

Regulation (EC) No 258/97 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 January 1997 concerning novel foods and novel food ingredients. Off J Eur Comm L 43:1–6.

Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety. Off J Eur Comm L 31:1–24.

Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the hygiene of foodstuffs. Off J Eur Comm L 139:1-54.

Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Off J Eur Comm L 364:5–24.

Ren Y, Wu C, Zhang J. 2012. Simultaneous screening and determination of 18 illegal adulterants in herbal medicines and health foods for male sexual potency by ultra-fast liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. J Sep Sci 35:2847–57.

Rijk JCW, Bovee TFH, Wang S, Van Poucke C, Van Peteghem C, Nielen MWF. 2009. Detection of anabolic steroids in dietary supplements: The added value of an androgen yeast bioassay in parallel with a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry screening method. Anal Chim Acta 637:305–14.

Ritchie MR. 2007. Use of herbal supplements and nutritional supplements in the UK: what do we know about their pattern of usage? P Nutr Soc 66:479–82.

Rodriguez-Saona LE, Allendorf ME. 2011. Use of FTIR for rapid authentication and detection of adulteration of food. Annu Rev Food Sci T 2:467–83.

Rohman A, Man YBC, Yusof FM. 2014. The Use of FTIR spectroscopy and chemometrics for rapid authentication of extra virgin olive oil. J Am Oil Chem Soc 91:207–13.

Sacré P-Y, Deconinck E, De Beer T, Courselle P, Vancauwenberghe R, Chiap P, Crommen J, De Beer JO. 2010. Comparison and combination of spectroscopic techniques for the detection of counterfeit medicines. J Pharm Biomed Anal 53:445–53.

Sacré P, Deconinck E, Chiap P, Crommen J, Mansion F, Rozet E, Courselle P, De Beer JO. 2011. Development and validation of a ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-UV method for the detection and quantification of erectile dysfunction drugs and some of their analogues found in counterfeit medicines. J Chromatogr A 1218:6439–47.

Sanzini E, Badea M, Dos Santos A, Restani P, Sievers H. 2011. Quality control of plant food supplements. Food Funct 2:740–6.

Savaliya AA, Shan RP, Prasad B, Singh S. 2010. Screening of indian aphrodisiac ayurvedic/herbal healthcare products for adulteration with sildenafil, tadalafil and/or vardenafil using LC/PDA and extracted ion LC-MS/TOF. J Pharm Biomed Anal 52:406–9.

Schaffer DM, Gordon NP, Jensen CD, Avins AL. 2003. Nonvitamin, nonmineral supplement use over a 12-month period by adult members of a large health maintenance organization. J Am Diet Assoc 103:1500–5.

Schwartz BG, Kloner RA. 2010. Drug interaction with phosdiesterase-5 inhibitors used for the treatment of erectile dysfunction or pulmonary hypertension. Circulation 122:88–95.

Schnetzler G, Banks I, Kirby M, Zou KH, Symonds T. 2010. Characteristics, behaviors and attitudes of men bypassing the healthcare system when obtaining phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors. J Sex Med 7: 1237–46.

Schramek N, Wollein U, Eisenreich W. 2014. Identification of new synthetic PDE-5 inhibitors analogues found as minor components in a dietary supplement. J Pharm Biomed Anal 96:45–53.

Shi Y, Sun C, Gao B, Sun A. 2011. Development of a liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry method for simultaneous determination of eight adulterants in slimming functional foods. J Chromatogr A 1218:7655–62.

Silano V, Coppens P, Larrañaga-Guetaria A, Minghetti P, Roth-Ehrang R. 2011. regulations applicable to plant food supplements and related products in the European Union. Food Funct 2:710–9.

Singh S, Prasad B, Savaliya AA, Shah RP, Gohil VM, Kaur A. 2009. Strategies for characterizing sildenafil, vardenafil, tadalafil and their analogues in herbal dietary supplements, and detecting counterfeit products containing these drugs. Trend Anal Chem 28:13–28.

Song F, El-Demerdash A, Lee SSH. 2012. Screening for multiple phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor drugs in dietary supplement materials by flow injection mass spectrometry and their quantification by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J Pharm Biomed Anal 70:40–6.

Song F, Monroe D, El-Demerdash A, Palmer C. 2014. Screening for multiple weight loss and related drugs in dietary supplement materials by flow injection tandem mass spectrometry and their confirmation by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J Pharm Biomed Anal 88:136–43.

Stepan R, Cuhra P, Barsova S. 2008. Comprehensive two dimensional gas chromatography with time-of-flight mass spectrometric detection for the determination of anabolic steroids and related compounds in nutritional supplements. Food Addit Contam A 25:557–65.

Strano-Rossi S, Odoardi S, Castrignanò E, Serpelloni G, Chiarotti M. 2015. Liquid chromatography–high resolution mass spectrometry (LC–HRMS) determination of stimulants, anorectic drugs and phosphodiesterase 5

inhibitors (PDE5I) in food supplements. J Pharm Biomed Anal 106:144–52. Sullivan D, Crowley R. 2006. Development and validation of analytical

methods for dietary supplements. Toxicology 221:28–34.

Tang MHY, Chen SPL, Ng SW, Chan AYW, Mak TWL. 2011. Case series on a diversity of illicit weight-reducing agents: from the well known to the unexpected. Br J Clin Pharmacol 71:250–3.

Toomey VM, Litzau JJ, Flurer CL. 2012. Isolation and structural characterization of two tadalafil analogs found in dietary supplements. J Pharm Biomed Anal 59:50–7.

United States of America. 1994. Dietary Supplement Heath and Education Act of 1994. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Legislation/FederalFood DrugandCosmeticActFDCAct/SignificantAmendmentstotheFDCAct/ucm 148003.htm. Accessed 2015 April 30.

Vaclavik L, Krynitsky AJ, Rader JI. 2014a. Targeted analysis of multiple pharmaceuticals, plant toxins and other secondary metabolites in herbal dietary supplements by ultra-high-performance liquid

chromatography-quadrupole-orbital ion trap mass spectrometry. Anal Chim Acta $810.45{-}60.$ 

Vaclavik L, Krynitsky AJ, Rader JI. 2014b. Mass spectrometric analysis of pharmaceutical adulterants in products labeled as botanical dietary supplements or herbal remedies: a review. Anal Bioanal Chem 406: 6767–90.

- Van der Merwe PJ, Grobbelaar E. 2005. Unintentional doping through the use of contaminated nutritional supplements. Samj S Afr Med J 97:510–11.
- Van Poucke C, Detavernier C, Van Cauwenberghe R, Van Peteghem C. 2007. Determination of anabolic steroids in dietary supplements by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chim Acta 586: 35–42.
- Vargas-Murga L, Garcia-Alvarez A, Roman-Viñas B, Ngo J, RIbas-Barba L, Van den Berg SJPL, Williamson G, Serra-Majem L. 2011. Plant food supplement (PFS) market structure in EC member states, methods and techniques for the assessment of individual PFS intake. Food Funct 2: 731–9.
- Vaysse J, Balayssac S, Gilard V, Desoubdzanne D, Malet-Martino M, Martino R. 2010. Analysis of adulterated herbal medicines and dietary supplements marketed for weight loss by DOSY 1H-NMR. Food Addit Contam Part A 27:903–16.
- Vaysse J, Gilard V, Balayssac S, Zedde C, Martino R, Malet-Martino M. 2012. Identification of a novel sildenafil analogue in an adulterated herbal supplement. J Pharm Biomed Anal 59:58–66.
- Venhuis BJ, de Kaste D. 2012. Towards a decade of detecting new analogues of sildenafil, tadalafil and vardenafil in food supplements: A history, analytical aspects and health risks. J Pharm Biomed Anal 69:196–208.
- Venhuis BJ, Zomer G, Hamzink M, Mering HD, Aubin Y, de Kaste D. 2011a. The identification of a nitrosated prodrug of the PDE-5 inhibitor aildenafil in a dietary supplement: a viagra with a pop. J Pharm Biomed Anal 54:735–41.
- Venhuis BJ, Vredenbregt MV, Kaun N, Maurin JK, Fijalek Z, de Kaste D. 2011b. The identification of rimonabant polymorphs, sibutramine and analogues of both in counterfeit acomplia bought on the internet. J Pharm Biomed Anal 54:21–6.

- Wang J, Chen B, Yao S. 2008. Analysis of six synthetic adulterants in herbal weight-reducing dietary supplements by LC electrospray ionization-MS. Food Addit Contam A 25:822–30.
- Wheatley VM, Spink J. 2013. Defining the public health threat of dietary supplement fraud. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Safety 12:599–613.
- Wing RR, Phelan S. 2005. Long-term weight loss maintenance. Am J Clin Nutr 82:222–5.
- Wollein U, Eisenreich W, Schramek N. 2011. Identification of novel sildenafil-analogues in an adulterated herbal food supplement. J Pharm Biomed Anal 56:705–12.
- World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). 2014. The 2014 prohibited list international standard. Available from: <u>http://www.antidoping.cz/</u> documents/WADA-Prohibited-List-2014-EN.pdf. Accessed 2015 May 29.
- World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). 2015. World Anti-Doping Code. Available from: http://www.wada-ama.org/Documents/World\_Anti-Doping\_Program/WADP-The-Code/Code\_Review/Code Review 2015/Code Final Draft/WADA-2015-World-Anti-Doping-Code.pdf. Accessed 2015 May 29.
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2015. Obesity and overweight. *Fact Sheet N°311*. Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/</u>factsheets/fs311/en/. Accessed 2015 May 18.
- Yuen YP, Lai CK, Poon WT, Ng SW, Chan AY, Mak TW. 2007. Adulteration of over-the-counter slimming products with pharmaceutical analogue-an emerging threat. Hong Kong Med J 13:216–20.
- Zhang Y, Huang Z, Ding L, Yan H, Wang M, Zhu S. 2010. Simultaneous determination of yohimbine, sildenafil, vardenafil and tadalafil in dietary supplements using high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Sep Sci 33:2109–14.
- Zhang G, Yu Y, Wu X, Li J. 2014. Separation and structural elucidation of a new tadalafil analogue diethylaminopretadalafil included as an adulterant in a dietary supplement. J Pharm Biomed Anal 94:210–14.
- Zou P, Hou P, Oh SS, Chong YM, Bloodworth BC, Low M, Koh H. 2008. Isolation and identification of thiohomosildenafil and thiosildenafil in health supplements. J Pharm Biomed Anal 47:279–84.