Advances in Improving Ukiriguru Composite B Maize (Zea mays L.) Variety through S_1 Recurrent Selection

Leta Tulu* and Habte Jifar

Jimma Agricultural Research Center, P O Box 192, Jimma, Ethiopia.

Abstract: S₁ recurrent selection was carried out to improve grain yield, plant height, ear placement, resistance to lodging and other desirable agronomic traits in Ukiriguru composite B (UCB) maize variety. This paper presents the genetic gain and progress made in improving these traits through two cycles of selection. Three hundred and sixty, and 254 S₁ families were evaluated in three environments and 36 and 25 families were selected following 10% selection intensity during the first (C1) and the second (C2) cycles, respectively. The selected families were recombined in isolated half-sib recombination blocks using remnant seeds. The progress made through selection was determined by evaluating the parent population (UCB C₀), the first (UCB S₁ C₁) and the second (UCB S₁ C₂) selection cycles in six environments in a randomized complete block design in four replications. Commercial open-pollinated and hybrid varieties were included as checks. UCB $S_1 C_2$ produced mean grain yield of 8.7 t ha⁻¹ and had a significant (P < 0.01) genetic gain of 30% (2.0 t ha⁻¹) with mean gain of 15.0% (1.0 t ha⁻¹) cycle⁻¹. The selection also resulted in short plant height and low ear placement with significant (P ≤ 0.01) genetic gain of 9.6% (30.8 cm) and 19.6% (39.6 cm), respectively, and superiority in tolerance to diseases and resistance to lodging. Still selection had significant (P < 0.01) grain yield benefit of 35.0% (3.1 t ha⁻¹) and 29.3% (2.6 t ha⁻¹) relative to Gibe Composite 1 and Kuleni, respectively, and showed comparable yield potential with commercial hybrids, BH660 and BH670. It was concluded that two cycles of S1 recurrent selection have brought significant genetic improvement in grain yield and major agronomic traits in UCB. Hence UCB S₁ C₂ was fully released and recommended for commercial production in the mid-altitude (1600-1800 masl) agro-ecologies of Jimma and Illu Ababora Zones, and similar areas in the south-western areas of Ethiopia. After release, it was named as 'Morka' meaning 'competent', to express its yield potential which is comparable to the yield potential of popular hybrid varieties in the zones.

Keywords: Genetic Gain; Morka; Recurrent Selection; Response to Selection; Ukiriguru Composite B

1. Introduction

The late maturing maize composites of east African origin are well adapted to the potential maize environment in Ethiopia. Originally introduced from Tanzania, Ukiriguru composite B (UCB) used to be the most adapted and well preferred variety in Jimma and Illu Ababra zones in the southwestern part of the country since its release in 1975. The variety was reported to possess adequate level of resistance to the major leaf diseases, such as turcicum leaf blight (TLB) (*Helminthosporium turcicum*), common rust (CR) (*Puccinia sorghi*) (Assefa, 1995) and also to gray leaf spot (GLS) (*Cercospora zeae-maydis*) reportedly introduced to Ethiopia in 1998/99 (Dagne *et al.*, 2001) and storage pests (Demissew *et al.*, 2004).

It, however, grows tall and reaches a height of 350 cm with heavy cobs placed at 250 cm almost three quarters up the plant. As a result it is susceptible to lodging and gives high yield only when there is no heavy wind accompanying rain storm. Therefore a considerable amount of grain yield is lost, especially in a hot and humid climate where germination and rotting are easily initiated. Other than this, leafiness and inefficient transfer of assimilates to ear sink are also considered to be important limitations to grain yield in most of locally adapted east African composites and in UCB in particular (Benti, 1986 and Benti et al., 1988). Accumulation of undesirable traits through cross pollination with pollen from nearby maize fields has worsen the situation by accelerating genetic deterioration of the variety leading to low yield potential. Despite its resistance to biotic constraints, it was, therefore, no more attractive to grow the variety with all the limitations. Farmers have, therefore, withdrawn from growing the variety and seed production was stopped in 1995. To solve this problem,

the maize breeding team based at Jimma Agricultural Research Center (JARC) has been working on improving the variety through S₁ recurrent selection since 1998.

In maize several studies indicated that the inheritance of ear height is controlled by additive genes (Robinson *et al.*, 1949, Giesbrecht, 1961 and Harville *et al.*, 1978). Similar studies carried out in Ethiopia in locally adapted maize composites of east African origin have also confirmed that the inheritance of grain yield and, ear and plant height is mainly controlled by additive gene effects (Leta and Ramachandrappa, 1998; Jemal, 1999) implying that selection programs that utilize additive gene effects can be useful in improving these quantitative characters.

Initially tested by East and Jones (1918) and Hayes and Garber (1919) to improve quantitative traits in allogamous crops, recurrent selection is extensively used in maize breeding (Hallauer, 1985). Recurrent selection methods for intra population improvement of quantitative characters in maize have been based on individual or family performance for improvement of the population per se or hybrid-testcross family or for improvement of combining ability of the population (Hallauer and Miranda, 1981). Among those selection methods, S1 recurrent selection has been effective in improving grain yield and other traits in cross pollinated crops. In maize it is considered to be more efficient than other selection schemes in improving a broad based population. Burton et al. (1971) realized gains of 4.2% cycleover four cycles of selection for grain yield in Krug. Genter (1973) found that S1 recurrent selection was more effective than top-cross selection for improving population per se performance and was equal to test cross selection for improving combining ability. Besides its effectiveness in improving performance in terms of productivity, it has been

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: letatb@yahoo.com

useful in improving resistance to biotic stresses such as European corn borer (Penny et al., 1967), stalk rote (Jinahyon and Russell, 1969) and downy mildew (De Leon et al., 1993). In Ethiopia, various forms of intra and inter population recurrent selection schemes have been practiced to improve different populations. Full sib family and mass selection have resulted in improving varieties for higher grain yield, and lodging and disease resistance (Benti et al., 1993). The improved versions were either used as sources of inbred lines in hybrid development program or released as improved varieties for commercial production. In this improvement program, S1 recurrent selection has been carried out in UCB to improve grain yield, plant height and ear placement, resistance to lodging and other desirable agronomic traits. This paper presents the genetic gain and progress made in improving these traits through two cycles of selection.

2. Materials and Methods

Two cycles of S_1 recurrent selection have been carried out in UCB. Each cycle involved family generation, evaluation and recombination. After two cycles of selection, the selection cycles were evaluated in multilocation field experiments to find out genetic gains and progress achieved through the improvement program. The details of the protocols are elaborated below.

2.1. Family Generation

To generate S1 families in both the first and the second cycles, the parent population, UCB Co , and the half sib recombined generation of the first cycle, UCB S₁ C₁ F₁, were planted in a uniform and large plot at JARC. In both cases, recommended management practices and fertilizer levels were applied except row and plant spacing which were set at 80 and 50 cm, respectively, to enable plants sufficiently express their genetic potential for better success in selecting ideal types. The first cycle was initiated by selfing 500 plants selected based on plant and ear height and resistance to diseases. Ears of the selected plants were covered by polythene plastics before silk emergence and kept under close supervision until pollination. All the candidate plants were regularly visited for silk emergence and pollen shading. Depending on the synchrony of silk emergence and pollen shading, the tassels of the selected plants were covered by pollen bag a day before pollination. Then pollen was collected on subsequent days and all the covered silks were self pollinated. Ears suspected to have been open-pollinated were rejected. The pollen bags were kept on the pollinated ears until harvest. Selfed plants observed to be severely attacked by leaf diseases and/or root or stalk lodged at advanced development stages were eliminated. Selfed ears were harvested individually and further selection was finally exercised based on ear characters and rotting. The second cycle was initiated by selfing 350 selected plants in the first half sib recombined generation, UCB S1 C1 F1, of the first cycle of selection. Plants were selected and self pollinated and then best ears selected following the same procedures mentioned above. Finally 360 and 254 selfed ears were shelled individually as S1 families and put in family evaluation in the first and second cycles, respectively.

2.2. Family Evaluation

In order to select families which have desirable traits, the 360 and 254 families generated in the first and second cycle were evaluated in a 19 x 19 and 16 x 16 (0, 1) alpha lattice design with two replications in the main season of the year 2000 and 2002, respectively, at JARC, Hurumu Testing Site (HTS) and Bako Agricultural Research Center (BARC) in the western and southwestern part of Ethiopia. Two rows each of 5.1 meter length were grown per plot with spacing of 75 and 30 cm between the rows and plants, respectively. Two seeds were planted per hill and then thinned to one plant per hill to adjust the plant density to 44, 444 plants hectare⁻¹. UCB C₀, and UCB C₀ and UCB S₁ C₁ F₁ were included in the first and second experiments, respectively, as reference entries in selecting the best families. The number of families evaluated in the first cycle was reasonably high to increase the chances of capturing families with low ear placement and short plant height. In both cycles, selection intensity of 10% was followed to select the best families based on the mean data combined across the three locations and visual selection in the field. Accordingly, 36 and 25 best families were selected for recombination to compose the first and the second selection cycles, respectively.

2.3. Family Recombination

The selected families were recombined in isolated half-sib recombination block using remnant seeds. The families were planted in separate rows and served as female rows by detasseling before pollen shading. A balanced composite of seed was mixed from all the selected families and planted as male rows in between the female rows. The seed harvested from the female rows were mixed and planted in isolation for further recombination. The first and the second cycles were further recombined in isolation up to the third and the second generation, respectively, before promoting to multilocation field experiments.

2.4. Evaluation for Progress through Selection

To determine the progress made through selection, the parent population (UCB C₀), and the first (UCB S₁ C₁) and the second (UCB S1 C2) selection cycles were evaluated in field experiments at JARC, BARC and HTS in 2005, at BARC and HTS in 2006 and at BARC in 2007, totally in a six year-location environments. Entries were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications at each environment. Four rows each of 5.1 meter length were grown in a plot with spacing of 75 and 30 cm between the rows and plants, respectively. Two seeds were planted hill-1 and then thinned to one plant hill-1 to adjust the plant density to 44,444 plants per hectare (ha). All management practices and fertilizer levels were applied following specific research recommendations for each location. Commercial open-pollinated (OPVs) and hybrid varieties were included as checks. Data on grain yield and all agronomic characters were recorded on the two middle rows. Plant and ear height were measured on ten randomly selected plants and the mean was recorded for the plot. Ear position was calculated as the ratio of ear height to plant height. All plots were hand harvested and field weight of the harvested cobs was measured. Grain yield was then computed considering 80% shelling percent and adjusted at 12.5% moisture. Data on disease severity were recorded in a 1-5 scale, where 1

indicates clean or no infection and 5 severely diseased, and then log transformed before analysis. Analysis of variance was done separately for each environment and then combined across environments using MSTAT-C software. The data from the experiment conducted at Bako in 2005 was excluded from the combined analysis because of high error variance for all variables. Genetic gains cycle-1 were calculated as $[(C_n - C_{n-1})/C_{n-1}]$ 100, where n is the number of cycle (Falconer, 1989). The overall response to selection (R) as a change in population mean was calculated by subtracting the average of the second cycle from the average of the whole population before selection. As a measure of the selection applied, selection differential (S), was estimated as a deviation of the mean phenotypic value of the individual families selected as parents for recombination from the mean phenotypic value of the parental population before selection (UCB Co in the first cycle and UCB C₂ F₂ in the second cycle). In order to show how the response is related to the selection differential, realized heritability (h²r) was estimated as $h^2r = R/S$, as indicated by Falconer (1989).

3. Results and Discussions

Combined analysis of variance for grain yield, days to 50% silking, ear and plant height, ear position, and severity of GLS, TLB and CR indicated highly significant differences among the varieties (Table 1). Mean squares due to genotype x environment (G x E) interaction was also significant for all characters except plant height and ear position. No significant differences were observed among varieties for lodging percent. Therefore response to selection was not measured for lodging percent. Mean grain vield combined across five environments varied from 5.7 to 8.7 tons (t) ha-1 (Table 2). The second selection cycle (UCB S1 C2) produced the highest mean grain yield and had a significant (P < 0.01) genetic gain of 30% (2.0 t ha⁻¹) (Table 3). Cycle wise, the gains were 0.8 t ha-1 (11.0%) and 1.2 t ha-¹ (16.4%) in the first and second cycles, respectively, indicating progressive increases in productivity with cycles of selection. More recently, Ruiz de Galarreta and Alvarez (2007) also reported linear increases in grain yield with cycles of selection in six cycles of S1 recurrent selection in two Spanish maize synthetics. The mean genetic gain for grain yield cycle-1 was 15.0% (1.0 t ha-1). This is immense compared with 44% yield increase reported by Janet and West (1993) after four cycles of S1 selection exercised in a population that has undergone 10 cycles of mass selection for low ear height.

UCB S₁ C₂ was also improved for short plant height, low ear placement and ear position. Plant height was reduced significantly (P < 0.01) with genetic gain of 9.6% (30.8 cm). The regresses with cycles were 4.1% (13.3 cm) in the first and 5.6% (17.5 cm) in the second cycle with mean reduction of 4.92% (15.4 cm) cycle⁻¹. Ear height was also reduced significantly and followed similar trend with plant height but with more magnitude. It decreased by 20% (39.7 cm) from 201.7 cm in the parent population to 162 cm in the second cycle. The mean reduction cycle⁻¹ was 10.4% (19.8 cm) with statistically significant (P < 0.01) drops of 9.5% (19.2 cm) and 11.2% (20.4 cm) in the first and second cycle, respectively. Both traits diminished progressively with cycles of selection.

To more clearly demonstrate changes of those traits with cycles of selection, linear regression lines were fitted to the mean values of grain yield and other agronomic traits (Figures 1a and b), in the parent population and the two selection cycles plotted against cycles of selection. It is very clear to see the slopes of the regression equations indicating the average realized gains in selection for those traits cycle⁻¹ of selection. If we take the slopes of these equations and express them as percent of the mean values recorded in the parent population for a particular trait they give the average gains cycle⁻¹ in percent which is the same as the gains cycle⁻¹ computed using the previous formulae. For instance, expressing the slope of the regression line fitted to grain yield which is unity as a percent of the mean grain yield of the parent population gives us 14.6% as mean gain in grain yield cycle-1. Contrary to grain yield, the slopes of the regression lines fitted to ear and plant height are negative substantiating reduction of these traits with cycles of selection. Expressing these values as percent of the mean ear and plant height of the parent population, yields mean responses of -10.3 and -5.0 percent cycle⁻¹.

Considering positive genetic correlation of grain yield with ear and plant height in maize (Hallauer and Miranda, 1981), the negative response observed in ear and plant height in association with positive response in grain yield was quite interesting. This might have happened since low ear placement and short plant height, and better grain yield were set as selection criteria in this improvement program. Other selection experiments in which grain yield was the only selection criterion have produced variable effects on ear height as a correlated response. Harris *et al.* (1972) and Moll *et al.* (1975) reported increases in ear height when grain yield was the only selection criterion. On the other hand, Walejko and Russell (1977) and Crosbie and Mock (1979) reported no significant changes in ear height following selection for grain yield.

In addition to responses to selection, it was also important to measure selection differential since it is the relationship between the two, and not the responses alone, that is of interest from the genetic point of view (Falconer, 1989). Selection differential was computed as the deviation of the mean of the families selected for recombination in each cycle from the mean of the respective parent populations with the assumption that all the selected families were equally fertile in setting seeds and shading fertile pollen and have equally contributed to the subsequent selection cycles. As a quotient of the two parameters, realized heritability is an index used to quantify the degree to which a trait in the population can be changed through selection. Higher values indicate better position of the selection cycle than the original population, hence indicating the rate at which the population is changing in a particular trait.



Figure 1. Trends of important agronomic traits (a) and grain yield (b) with cycles of selection

Table 1. Combined analysis of variance for grain yield and other traits of maize evaluated across five environments.

			Mean square									
Source												
of variation	DF	Grain yield	Days to 50% silking	Plant height	Ear height	Ear position	Lodging (%)	GLS	TLB	CR		
Environments (E)	4	10674.1**	4348.5**	15761.1**	12113.7**	0.034**	847.8*	0.015	0.104**	0.125**		
Error	15	92.84	6.47	407.6	354.6	0.003	217.4	0.021	0.005	0.008		
Replication	12	9043.6*	43.84	143.84	576.11**	0.004	144.0	0.124	0.171	0.096**		
Genotypes (G)	7	1956.4**	94.4**	7574.8**	9767.7**	0.03**	102.2	0.124**	0.063**	0.061**		
GXE	28	218.3**	31.0**	560.6	565.8**	0.005	155.8*	0.016**	0.010**	0.013**		
Error	105	98.3	2.9	456.4	289.8	0.003	97.9	0.007	0.005	0.005		
CV (%)		13.8	1.81	7.34	10.2	10.10	38.7	6.41	5.11	6.26		

* and ** = Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and 0.01 levels, respectively; CR = Common rust; CV = Coefficient of variation; DF = Degrees of freedom; GLS = Gray leaf spot; TLB = Turcicum leaf blight

Table 2. Mean grain yield and major agronomic traits of different selection cycles and the standard checks of maize combined across five environments.

	Grain yield	Plant	Ear	Ear	Days to 50%	Diseases (1-5 sca	Diseases (1-5 scale)		
Entry	(t ha-1)	height (cm)	height (cm)	position	silking	GLS	TLB	CR	Lodging (%)
UCB Co	6.7bcd	320.6a	201.7a	0.63a	97a	2.15(1.33)b	2.38(1.37)bc	1.23(1.07)bc	17.36(22.8)
UCB $S_1 C_1 F_2$	7.2abc	304.1ab	179.0b	0.55b	94a	2.07 (1.30)bc	2.23(1.32)d	1.15(1.05)c	15.0(21.2)
UCB $S_1 C_1 F_2$	7.5abc	307.3ab	182.5b	0.57b	97a	2.08(1.30)bc	2.25(1.35)d	1.20(1.07)c	20.61(25.5)
UCB S1 C2	8.7a	289.8bc	162.1c	0.55bc	94bc	1.83(1.25)c	2.00(1.30)d	1.15(1.05)c	14.99(21.4)
BH660	7.9ab	302.2bc	179.7b	0.60ab	95b	2.08(1.31)bc	2.10(1.32)d	1.25(1.09)bc	22.47(27.4)
BH670	7.7ab	292.4bc	168.4bc	0.53cd	96ab	2.08(1.31)b	2.15(1.33)b	1.10(1.04)b	12.65(27.0)
Kuleni	6.2cd	263.3d	138.4d	0.58b	91d	2.25(1.34)bc	2.40(1.38)bcd	1.33(1.11)c	23.04(27.4)
Gibe composite-1	5.7d	266.7d	135.9d	0.52d	93c	2.33(1.51)a	3.05(1.47)a	1.68(1.21)a	20.27(27.2)
Mean	7.2	291.0	166.8	0.57	95b	(1.30)	(1.35)	(1.09)	(25.5)
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	ns
LSD (0.01)	1.40	17.70	14.10	0.045	1.43	0.069	0.010	0.058	-
CV (%)	13.91	7.34	10.21	10.10	1.80	6.81	5.11	6.26	38.69

Means within a column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at $P \le 0.01$. ** Significant at $P \le 0.01$; ns = Not significant at P > 0.01; LSD = Least significant difference; CV = Coefficient of variation; GLS = Gray leaf spot; TLB = Turcicum leaf blight; CR = Common rust; Values in parenthesis indicate transformed data

Leta and Habte

In this study realized heritability was found to increase progressively with the selection cycles for the major agronomic traits indicating better response of the population to selection (Table 4). For grain yield realized heritability was 0.44 and 0.84 in the first and second cycles, respectively, indicating possibility in improving grain yield with further cycle of selection. Besides grain yield, plant and ear heights were the major traits for which improvement was sought in this population improvement program. Plant height has, however, showed less realized heritability than ear height indicating no sign of progress even with further cycles of selection. This was expected because in UCB there is very high correlation between grain yield and plant height. Hence, tall plants with reasonably lower ear placement and better cob size were selected for, not to sacrifice grain yield while selecting for short plant height.

Table 3. Genetic gains (%) achieved in grain yield and other agronomic traits with two cycles of S_1 recurrent selection in *Ukiriguru* composite B maize.

Trait	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Overall gain	Average
Grain yield	11.1	16.4	29.3**	14.0
Ear height	-9.5**	-11.2**	-19.6**	-10.3**
Plant height	-4.14	-5.7	-9.6**	-5.0
Ear position	-9.5**	-3.5	-12.6**	-6.3
Days to 50 % silking	0.0	-3.1**	-3.1**	-1.55
Disease severity				
Gray leaf spot	-3.3**	-12.0	-14.9**	-7.5
Turcicum leaf blight	-5.5**	-11.1**	-16.8**	-8.4
Common rust	-2.4	-4.2	-6.5	-3.3

** Gains are statistically significant at $P \leq 0.01$

Table 4. Selection differential (S), responses to selection (R) and realized heritability (h^2r) achieved in different traits in two cycles of S₁ recurrent selection in *Ukiriguru* composite B maize variety.

	Days to 50%	Ear height	Plant height	Disease	es (1-5 scale)	*	Grain yield				
Cycle	silking	(cm)	(cm)	GLS	TLB	CR	(t ha-1)				
Cycle 1											
C_0 (\overline{X}_0)	97	201	320	2.15	2.38	1.23	6.7				
\overline{X}_{se}	90	151	256	1.8	1.6	1.4	5.0				
\overline{X}_{1}	97	182.5	307.3	2.08	2.25	1.2	7.5				
$S = \overline{X}_{se} - \overline{X}_{0}$	7	50	64	0.35	0.78	0.17	1.72				
$\mathbf{R} = \overline{X}_{1-} \overline{X}_{0}$	0	18.5	12.7	0.07	0.13	0.03	0.75				
$h^2 r = R/S$	0	0.37	0.19	0.2	0.17	0.17	0.44				
		Cy	ycle 2								
$C_1(\overline{X} 1)$	97	182.5	307.3	2.08	2.25	1.2	7.5				
\overline{X}_{se}	91	134	237	1.5	1.5	1.0	6.0				
\overline{X}_{2}	94	162.1	289.8	1.83	2.0	1.15	8.7				
S= \overline{X}_{se} - \overline{X}_{1}	6	48.5	70.3	0.58	0.75	0.20	1.47				
R= \overline{X}_{2} - \overline{X}_{1}	3	38.9	17.5	0.25	0.25	0.05	1.23				
$h^2 r = R/S$	0.5	0.42	0.24	0.43	0.33	0.25	0.84				

*GLS = Gray leaf spot; TLB = Turcicum leaf blight; CR = Common rust; *1 indicates clean or no infection and 5 severely diseased; $\overline{X}_0 =$ Mean of the parent population; $\overline{X}_1 =$ Mean of cycle one; $\overline{X}_2 =$ Mean of cycle two; $\overline{X}_{se} =$ Mean of families selected as parents of the respective selection cycles

The remarkable reduction in ear height has contributed positively to improve resistance to lodging as a correlated response to selection. It was observed that high ear placement was the main character causing lodging in UCB more than tall plant height since with no much reduction in plant height resistance to lodging, though not statistically significant, improved together with 20% reduction in ear height. Similar to resistance to lodging disease resistance also improved as a positive and correlated response to selection. Severity of GLS reduced significantly from 2.2 in UCB C_0 to 1.8 in UCB S_1 C_2 . In maize inheritance of resistance to GLS is governed by recessive genes of quantitative and additive nature (Ulrich *et al.*, 1990). Hence selfing followed by selection might have increased the frequency of homozygous recessive genotypes.

In addition to improvement over the parent population, UCB S₁ C₂ had significant (P < 0.01) grain yield benefits of 35.0% (3.0 t ha-1) and 29.3% (2.6 t ha-1) relative to Gibe composite 1 and Kuleni, respectively. This has clearly indicated that UCB S1 C2 can be used in place of these commercial open-pollinated varieties at least in the midaltitude (1600-1800 masl) agro ecologies in the southwestern part of Ethiopia. The improvement made has also put UCB S_1 C_2 in a position to compete with the commercial hybrids, BH660 and BH670. The parent population was yielding lower than both hybrids with no statistically significant yield difference. After two cycles of selection, however, gain of 2.0 t ha-1 has put UCB S1 C2 in a position to yield higher than the two hybrids even though the yield difference was still not statistically significant. In the Ethiopian national maize breeding program it has not been a common experience to see open-pollinated varieties yielding higher than hybrid varieties. Higher yield of UCB S1 C2 observed in this study can be ascribed to certain phenotypic characters that have been improved to the extent that the resemblance between UCB $S_1 C_2$ and the two hybrids has been improved. The improvement program has removed extremely tall phenotypes with high ear placement and this has brought down the ear placement and plant height which have in turn improved resistance to lodging. In addition, being late maturing types both were competent enough in equally exploiting the longer growing period. Above all it is the efficient and comparable sink-source relationship that has empowered the improved version to be competent with the hybrids in productivity.

Considering the improved yield potential and other desirable traits UCB $S_1 C_2$ was proposed for release. Hence, UCB $S_1 C_2$ was promoted to verification trials and presented to the National Variety Releasing Committee (NVRC) evaluation for release as an open-pollinated variety. Mean data on major agronomic characters and grain yield measured in two on station and four on farm verification sites is indicated in Table 5. These data have clearly

substantiated the improvement that has been observed in on station research plots. UCB S1 C2 has maintained its superior performance relative to the parent population and the two commercial open-pollinated varieties, Gibe Composite 1 and Kuleni. Earliness, moderate ear placement and plant height, and resistance to lodging and diseases, and attractive ear characters were found to be the desirable traits that confirmed its superior performance. Contrary to on station results mean plant and ear heights measured in verification trials showed much more improvement. Both traits showed corresponding reduction of 24.8% (87 cm) and 14.2% (32 cm) from 350 and 226 in UCB C_0 to 263 and 194 cm in UCB S₁ C₂ indicating the suitability of UCB S₁ C₂ to the real farmers' field condition. In line with this, significant improvement in resistance to lodging has been noticed. It was more interesting to see the genetic gain of 2.0 t ha-1 in research plot to be repeatedly measured in larger plots. This clearly indicated that genetic improvement has played significant role in improving productivity by 2.0 tons as both the parent population and UCB S1 C2 were evaluated under similar management and environment both in research center and farmers' field. This yield benefit is, however, limited to the mid-altitude (1600-1800 masl) agro ecologies of Jimma and Illu Ababora zones and similar areas in the southwestern part of Ethiopia. Moving this variety out of this altitudinal range in either direction may cause yield reduction. In higher altitude TLB was observed to be the limiting factor. In lower altitude the variety may grow tall and lodge because of heavy winds combined with rainfall. This recommendation has been approved by the NVRC during its meeting when the variety was officially approved for full release in February 2008. The variety was named as "Morka" meaning competent, to express its yield potential which is comparable with the yield potential of popular hybrid varieties in the regions.

Table 5. Mean data combined across two on station and four on farm sites in Morka maize variety verification trial.

		Days to	Plant	Ear	Diseases (1-5)*		Lodging	Plant	Ear	Diseased	Bare	Grain	
		50%	height	height	GIS	TLB	CR	(%)	aspect	aspect	ears (N0)	tips	yield
No	Entry	ssilking	(cm)	(cm)	010	TLD	OR		(1-5)**	(1-5)**		(No.)	(t ha-1)
1	Kuleni	74	270	149	2.2	2.2	1.0	9.4	3.0	2.5	15	8	5.1
2	Gibe Composite 1	76	266	123	3.2	2.6	1.5	7.5	3.0	2.6	31	10	3.8
3	UCB Co	91	350	226	2.0	2.0	1.0	34.3	3.0	2.2	6	0	4.2
4	Morka	79	263	194	1.5	1.5	1.0	2.6	1.4	1.4	3	0	6.2

GLS = Gray leaf spot; TLB = Turcicum leaf blight; CR = Common rust; *1 indicates clean or no infection and 5 severely diseased; ** 1 is good and 5 is poor

4. Conclusions

It can be concluded that two cycles of S_1 recurrent selection have brought significant genetic improvement in the major agronomic characters and grain yield in UCB. The improved version was released and recommended for production with two cycles of improvement before reaching the yield plateau. This was mainly due to two reasons. First there is urgent need to have open-pollinated variety in place as an option to BH660 which is the popular hybrid in Jimma and Illu Ababora zones and the southwestern part of the country as a whole. Second it was felt important to reserve some level of variability in the population to overcome some production challenges that may come up in the future. The selection scheme used is known for improving resistance to inbreeding depression and inbred lines developed from the improved population may have better seed production potential. Hence UCB $S_1 C_2$ can be used as source of inbred lines in hybrid development program. However, the genetic purity of the variety has to be maintained following standard method of maintaining openpollinated variety. The seed can be formally or informally

Leta and Habte

produced on farmers' field with sufficient isolation from other maize fields. A link has already been established with the formal seed system to multiply and avail seed to users. The Ethiopian Seed Enterprise (ESE) multiplied seed on 60 ha in the main season of 2008 in Jimma and Illu Ababora zones in collaboration with the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development offices of the two zones. *Morka* is, therefore, distributed to farmers as of the main season of 2009 as an option to hybrid varieties.

5. References

- Assefa, T. 1995. Recent outbreaks of Turcicum leaf blight on maize in Ethiopia. *In:* Proceedings of the third annual conference of the Crop Protection Society of Ethiopia, 18-19 May 1995. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 153-156.
- Benti, T. 1986. Better and stable performance of maize through genetic improvement at Bako. Institute of Agricultural Research. Newsletter of Agricultural Research. Addis Ababa. 1: 1-3.
- Benti, T., Abubeker, M., Beyene, S. and Gebregzabher, A. 1988. Recommendation for increasing crop production and experiences in Technology transfer in the western region. Proceedings of the 20th National Crop Improvement Conference. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Benti, T., Tassew, G., Mosisa, W., Yigzaw, D., Kebede, M. and Gezahgne, B. 1993. Genetic improvement of maize in Ethiopia: A review. *In:* Benti, T. and Joel, K.R. (eds.). Proceedings of the First National Maize Workshop of Ethiopia. 5-7 May 1992, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. IAR/CIMMYT. Addis Ababa. pp. 13-22.
- Burton, J.W., Penny, L.H., Hallauer, A.R. and Eberhart, S.A. 1971. Evaluation of synthetic populations developed from a maize variety (BSK) by two methods of recurrent selection. *Crop Science* 11: 361-365.
- Crosbie, T.M., and Mock, J.J. 1980. Effects of recurrent selection for grain yield on plant and ear traits of five maize populations. *Euphytica* 29: 57-64.
- Dagne, W., Fekede, A., Legesse, W. and Gemechu, K. 2001. Gray leaf spot disease: A potential threat to maize in Ethiopia. *In:* Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Crop Science Conference of the Crop Science Society of Ethiopia (CSSE), 22-23 June 1999. *Sebil* 9: 147-157.
- De Leon, C., Granados, G., Wdderburn, R.N. and Pandey, S. 1993. Simultaneous Improvement of Downy Mildew resistance and Agronomic traits in Tropical Maize. *Crop Science* 33(1): 100-102.
- Demissew, K., Firdissa, E. and Abreham, T. 2004. Response of commercial and other genotypes of maize for resistance to the maize weevil (*Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *In:* Friesen, D.K. and Palmer, A.F.E. (eds.). Integrated Approaches to Higher Maize Productivity in The New Millenium. Proceedings of the Seventh Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference, 5-11 February 2002, Nairobi, Kenya. CIMMYT (International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center) and KARI (Kenya Agricultural Research Institute). pp. 92-101.
- East, E.M. and Jones, D.F. 1918. *Inbreeding and out Breeding*. 1st edition. J.B. Lippincott, Philadelphia, PA.

- Falconer, D.S. 1989. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. 3rd edition. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
- Genter, C.F. 1973. Comparison of S₁ and testcross evaluation after cycles of recurrent selection in maize. *Crop Science* 13: 524-527.
- Giesbrecht, J. 1961. Inheritance of ear height in Zea mays L. Canadian Journal of Genetic Cytology 3: 26-33.
- Hallauer, A.R. 1985. Componedium of recurrent selection methods and their applications. CRC. *Critical Review in Plant Science* 3: 1-34.
- Hallauer, A.R. and Miranda, J.B. 1981. *Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding*. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames.
- Harris, R.R., Gardner, C.O. and Compton, W.A. 1972. Effects of mass selection and irradiation in corn measured by random S1 lines and their testcrosses. *Crop Science* 12: 594-598.
- Harville, B.G., Josephsen, L.M. and Kincer, H.C. 1978. Diallel analysis of ear height and associated characters in corn. *Crop Science* 18(2): 273-275.
- Hayes, H.K. and Garber, R.J. 1919. Synthetic production of high protein corn in relation to breeding. *Journal of American Society of Agronomy* 11: 309-318.
- Jemal, A. 1999. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and related traits in maize. MSc Thesis. Alemaya University. Alemaya, Ethiopia.
- Janet, C.B. and West, D.R. 1993. Selection for grain yield following selection for ear height in maize. *Crop Science* 33(4): 679-682.
- Josephson, L.M. and Kincer, H.C. 1977. Selection for lower ear placement in two synthetic populations of maize. *Crop Science* 17: 499-502.
- Jinahyon, S. and Russell, W.A. 1969. Evaluation of recurrent selection for stalk rot resistance in an open-pollinated variety of maize. *Iowa State Journal of Science* 43: 229-237.
- Leta, T. and Ramachandrappa, B.K. 1998. Combining ability of some traits in a seven parent diallel cross of selected maize (*Zeal mays L.*) Populations. *Crop Research* 15(2 and 3): 232-237.
- Moll, R.H., Stuber, C.W. and Hanson, W.D. 1975. Correlated responses and responses to index selection involving yield and ear height in maize. *Crop Science* 15: 243-248.
- Penny, L.H., Scott, G.E. and Guthrie, W.D. 1967. Recurrent selection for European corn borer resistance in Maize. *Crop Science* 7: 407-409.
- Ruiz de Galarreta, J.I. and Álvarez, A. 2007. Six cycles of S₁ recurrent selection in two Spanish maize synthetics. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 5(2): 193-198.
- Robinsen, H.F., Comstock, R.E. and Harvey, P.H. 1949. Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. *Agronomy Journal* 41: 353-359.
- Ulrich, J.F., Hawk, J.K. and Carroll, R.B. 1990. Diallel analysis of maize inbreds for resistance to gray leaf spot. *Crop Science* 30(6): 1198-1200.
- Waljeko, R.N. and Russell, W.A. 1977. Changes in ear traits caused by recurrent selection for yield in two openpollinated maize varieties. *Maydica* 22: 133-139.