



## Aerosol optical properties and their radiative effects in northern China

Zhanqing Li,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Xiangao Xia,<sup>2</sup> Maureen Cribb,<sup>1</sup> Wen Mi,<sup>1</sup> Brent Holben,<sup>4</sup> Pucui Wang,<sup>2</sup> Hongbin Chen,<sup>2</sup> Si-Chee Tsay,<sup>4</sup> T. F. Eck,<sup>4</sup> Fengsheng Zhao,<sup>1,5</sup> E. G. Dutton,<sup>6</sup> and R. E. Dickerson<sup>1</sup>

Received 6 April 2006; revised 8 September 2006; accepted 2 October 2006; published 29 August 2007.

[1] As a fast developing country covering a large territory, China is experiencing rapid environmental changes. High concentrations of aerosols with diverse properties are emitted in the region, providing a unique opportunity for understanding the impact of environmental changes on climate. Until very recently, few observational studies were conducted in the source regions. The East Asian Study of Tropospheric Aerosols: An International Regional Experiment (EAST-AIRE) attempts to characterize the physical, optical and chemical properties of the aerosols and their effects on climate over China. This study presents some preliminary results using continuous high-quality measurements of aerosol, cloud and radiative quantities made at the first EAST-AIRE baseline station at Xianghe, about 70 km east of Beijing over a period of one year (September 2004 to September 2005). It was found that the region is often covered by a thick layer of haze (with a yearly mean aerosol optical depth equal to 0.82 at 500 nm and maximum greater than 4) due primarily to anthropogenic emissions. An abrupt “cleanup” of the haze often took place in a matter of one day or less because of the passage of cold fronts. The mean single scattering albedo is approximately 0.9 but has strong day-to-day variations with maximum monthly averages occurring during the summer. Large aerosol loading and strong absorption lead to a very large aerosol radiative effect at the surface (the annual 24-hour mean values equals  $24 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ), but a much smaller aerosol radiative effect at the top of the atmosphere (one tenth of the surface value). The boundary atmosphere is thus heated dramatically during the daytime, which may affect atmospheric stability and cloud formation. In comparison, the cloud radiative effect at the surface is only moderately higher ( $-41 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) than the aerosol radiative effect at the surface.

**Citation:** Li, Z., et al. (2007), Aerosol optical properties and their radiative effects in northern China, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D22S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007382.

### 1. Introduction

[2] Aerosols are a major factor influencing the Earth’s radiation budget and may also affect the hydrologic cycle. By scattering and absorbing solar radiative energy, aerosols alter the radiation budgets at the top, bottom and interior of the atmospheric column [Charlson *et al.*, 1992], which in turn affects the atmospheric heating rate and stability,

further influencing cloud development and microphysics, as well as precipitation [Hansen *et al.*, 1997; Rosenfeld and Lensky, 1998; Kaufman *et al.*, 2001; Ramanathan *et al.*, 2001a]. Such impacts of aerosols on the radiation budget are usually referred to as the direct aerosol radiative effect (ARE) and semidirect effect, although aerosol forcing is a term often used particularly for anthropogenic aerosols. Despite a substantial increase in our efforts to further understand aerosols and their climatic effects, aerosol radiative effects remain one of the largest uncertainties in climate studies [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2001].

[3] To increase understanding of these issues, many recent investigations were committed to improving the global estimation of ARE. At the top of the atmosphere (TOA), estimates of global mean ARE obtained following different methods appear to converge to a value in the neighborhood of  $-5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  [Bellouin *et al.*, 2005; Loeb and Manalo-Smith, 2005; Remer and Kaufman, 2005; Chou *et al.*, 2002]. It is worth noting, however, that these estimates were based on satellite retrievals of aerosol optical

<sup>1</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science and Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

<sup>3</sup>Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China.

<sup>4</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Now at National Satellite Meteorological Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, China.

<sup>6</sup>Global Monitoring Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, NOAA, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

depth (AOD) from various sensors such as MODIS, TOMS, POLDER, and CERES. It is widely known that satellite AOD retrievals are mainly valid over dark targets such as oceans and vegetated land surfaces, but a few satellite sensors (e.g., MISR, POLDER) are valid over bright land and snow/ice surfaces. In light of the common shortcomings suffered by various satellite retrievals, the narrow range of ARE estimates does not necessarily lend enough confidence on the ARE. Also, satellite retrievals of ARE are limited to clear-sky conditions, while the all-sky ARE is also important but more difficult to derive [Li and Trishchenko, 2001].

[4] Estimation of the ARE at the surface is as important as that at the TOA. Because of variable aerosol absorption, the surface ARE is larger and more variable than the ARE at the TOA [Li, 1998; Li and Kou, 1998; Satheesh and Ramanathan, 2000]. It is much more challenging to estimate the ARE at the surface because it requires good knowledge of three aerosol variables, namely, the spectral AOD, the aerosol single scattering albedo (SSA) and the asymmetry factor. The latter two are extremely difficult to derive from conventional satellite observations [King et al., 1999; Kaufman et al., 2001, 2002a, 2002b]. To date, most global estimates of ARE at the surface have been made by model simulations of different aerosol species [Takemura et al., 2005; Liao et al., 2004]. Because of inherent model assumptions and error propagation, estimates of surface AREs are prone to considerable uncertainties.

[5] Both satellite-based and model-based estimates of ARE require validation using in situ and ground-based observations. As a result of high spatial and temporal variations in aerosol loading and optical properties, extensive observations need to be carried out in all major aerosol source regions. Ground observation is the most reliable means of estimating the ARE at the surface. Unfortunately, the existing ground-based observation networks such as the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) [Holben et al., 1998] and the Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) [Ohmura et al., 1998] reside chiefly in developed regions where aerosol loading is generally lower than in developing regions. Fewer high-quality and long-term ground observation stations are located in major aerosol source regions such as the Africa, Asia, and South America. At present, the total number of AERONET sites are 36 in North America, 24 in Europe, but only 18 in Asia, 10 in Africa, 11 in South America, and 16 over all oceanic sites. By contrast, the average AOD observed in the latter two regions are more than twice the value in the former two regions.

[6] As a fast developing and densely populated region in the world, Asia is a major source of aerosols whose loadings are high and chemical/physical properties are complex [Li, 2004]. To date, a handful of field experiments have been conducted in Asia such as the Indian Ocean Experiment (INDOEX) [Ramanathan et al., 2001b], the Asian-Pacific Regional Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE-Asia) [Huebert et al., 2003], and the Asian Atmospheric Particle Environment (APEX) [Nakajima et al., 2003]. Many important findings were made from these experiments such as the generally strong absorption of Asian aerosols [Satheesh and Ramanathan, 2000; Kim et al., 2004], the modification of dust aerosols by air pollutants [Kim et al., 2005], etc. Despite the significant accomplishments achieved by these experiments, a fundamental limitation still exists, namely,

that few in situ observations were made in the continental source regions on a continual basis. Except for the short-term operation of some basic measurements made at a few sites in China, substantially more observations must be made in order to portray the spatial and temporal variations of the complex aerosols in the vast territory of China.

[7] To fulfill this goal, the East Asian Study of Tropospheric Aerosols: an International Regional Experiment (EAST-AIRE) has been conducted by American and Chinese scientists since 2004 (Z. Li et al., Preface to special section: Overview of the East Asian Study of Tropospheric Aerosols: An International Regional Experiment (EAST-AIRE), submitted to *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 2006). A primary goal of the study is to acquire aerosol optical, physical and chemical properties and understand their climatic effect through means of ground-based, airborne and space-based observations. The observational component of the study consists of extensive measurements of aerosol, cloud and radiation quantities at 5–6 baseline stations, spectral aerosol loading measurements made at 25 stations as part of China's Ecological Research Network [Xin et al., 2007], and short-term intensive observation campaigns measuring aerosol chemical and physical properties as well as precursor gases on the ground and in the air [Li et al., 2006; Dickerson et al., 2007].

[8] This study makes use of measurements made since September 2004 at the first EAST-AIRE baseline station in Xianghe, China. The observation quantities used in the study are described in section 2. The data are classified as clear-clean, clear-hazy, and cloudy for determining aerosol and cloud radiative effects. The methods of scene identification are introduced in section 3. The aerosol optical properties are discussed in section 4. Section 5 presents the results of aerosol and cloud radiative effects. Conclusions from the study are given in section 6.

## 2. Radiation, Aerosol, and Cloud Data Sets

[9] This study employs data collected at Xianghe (39.753°N, 116.961°E), the first EAST-AIRE observatory. The area is mostly plain-like with little variation in elevation and is surrounded by agricultural land, densely populated residences and light industries. Sitting between two megacities (with Beijing 70 km to the northwest and Tianjin 70 km to the southeast) and less than 5 km west of the local town center (with a population of ~50,000), the site experiences both natural aerosols and anthropogenic pollutants of urban, rural, or mixed origins pending on the wind direction. It began operation on 21 September 2004 and since then, has been taking continuous measurements of various radiative and aerosol quantities, as well as collecting animations of the sky conditions. The data are displayed on a near real-time basis at <http://www.atmos.umd.edu/~zli/EAST-AIRE/station.htm>. This allows us to perform data quality checks and promptly fix any problem that may arise.

[10] For radiation observations, the site is equipped with both independent and redundant instruments for quality control purposes. Total downwelling shortwave (SW; 0.3–3  $\mu\text{m}$ ) radiation is measured with Kipp and Zonnen's CM21 and CM11 radiometers. Diffuse SW radiation is measured with a black and white pyranometer (8–48) with a shadowing disc and direct SW radiation is measured with a normal

incidence pyrheliumeter (NIP), both manufactured by Eppley. All radiation measurements are taken at a 1-min temporal resolution. The NIP was mounted on an EKO STR-22 solar tracker pointing toward the Sun, while the 8–48 was placed on the base of the tracker so that the direct solar beam is constantly blocked by a solar disk. In addition to program-controlled movement, the tracker has a photosensitive detector to adjust the position for precise pointing. Since its installation, the solar tracker has worked very stably and precisely. No routine manual adjustment has been necessary so far. Total downwelling SW radiation was thus measured with four instruments which provide independent measurements for intercomparison and validation purposes. It turns out to be a very effective means of quality control. During the period of operation, we identified a few problems that were promptly fixed. Overall, observations from the different instruments agree with each other within  $10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ , often less than  $5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . Larger disagreements occurred occasionally because of differences in the response time for fast moving clouds, or the misalignment of the solar tracker. The problems were identified and fixed and the data were corrected using good-quality data thanks to the redundant measurements. In addition to the continual cross comparison of data from different instruments, instrument degradation was also monitored via annual comparisons against new or newly calibrated radiometers that were brought to China for establishing new observation sites. So far, the instruments have performed very well with no noticeable degradation after 1.5 years of operation. The discrepancies are well within the specified instrument uncertainties.

[11] In addition, several other radiation instruments are in operation including Eppley's Precision Infrared Radiometer for measuring infrared radiation, Kipp and Zonnen's PAR-LITE quantum sensor for measuring photosynthetically active radiation (PAR;  $0.4\text{--}0.7 \mu\text{m}$ ), Eppley's total ultraviolet radiometer for measuring ultraviolet radiation, and a multifilter rotating shadowband radiometer manufactured by Yankee Environmental Systems Inc. for measuring spectral shadowed and unshadowed irradiances. The data quality and continuity have complied with the high standards of the BSRN [Ohmura *et al.*, 1998]. As a result, the station has been admitted to the BSRN network, the first such site in China. The data are available from the BSRN central archive, while quick data browsing can be seen from the EAST-AIRE website: [http://www.atmos.umd.edu/~yuan/web\\_proj/station.htm](http://www.atmos.umd.edu/~yuan/web_proj/station.htm).

[12] Also deployed at the site is a Cimel-318 Sun photometer, as a part of the AERONET [Holben *et al.*, 1998]. The Cimel Sun photometer is a multichannel, automatic Sun-and-sky scanning radiometer that measures the direct solar irradiance and sky radiance at the Earth's surface. Measurements are taken at discrete wavelengths (340, 378, 440, 499, 613, 870, 940 and 1020 nm) to determine atmospheric transmissions of direct solar radiation and sky radiances. The direct and diffuse radiances are used to derive aerosol optical depth, size distribution and single scattering albedo [Dubovik *et al.*, 2002]. Primarily uncertainties in calibration result in a total uncertainty of  $\sim 0.010\text{--}0.021$  in computed aerosol optical depth for AERONET field instruments (which is spectrally dependent

with the higher errors in the UV [Eck *et al.*, 1999]) Retrieval of the aerosol single scattering albedo can be achieved for high aerosol optical depths ( $>0.4$  at  $0.440 \mu\text{m}$ ) and for large solar zenith angles ( $>50^\circ$ ) with an accuracy of  $\sim 0.03$ . The instrument is weather-proof and requires little maintenance even during periods of adverse weather conditions. Two levels of AERONET products are used in this study: level 2.0 data (cloud-screened and quality-assured) and level 1.5 data (cloud-screened) when level 2.0 data were unavailable. As of this writing, level 2.0 data are available from September 2004 to June 2005; level 1.5 data are used for dates after June 2005.

[13] A primary issue regarding the uncertainty of the AERONET AOD is cloud screening. A strict cloud-screening algorithm was applied by examining the temporal variability of measured AOD [Smirnov *et al.*, 2000] for a set of three measurements (a triplet) made 30 s apart. In order to discriminate clouds, the variability of the triplet AOD is examined first and when the variability is either smaller than  $0.02$  or  $0.03 \times \text{AOD}$  (whichever is higher), the data are accepted for the next test. All data that fail this triplet stability test are classified as cloudy. If the test is passed, a diurnal stability test is applied and if the standard deviation of the AOD at 500 nm is less than  $0.015$ , the measurement is classified as cloud-free. If the data fails this test, smoothness of the data temporal variability is checked by limiting the second derivative of the AOD with respect to time within certain values. This test helps remove thin cirrus clouds. AOD at 500 nm and the Angstrom exponent are required to fall within three standard deviations for each day. While the method effectively eliminates most cloud-contaminated data, it may discard data associated with variable aerosols such as smoke plumes. Also, it may fail to detect very thin stable cirrus clouds [Kaufman *et al.*, 2006]. This may not pose a serious problem for this study because the aerosol loading at Xianghe is generally heavy.

[14] To help separate cloudy and hazy conditions, a total sky imager (TSI-440) was set up at the site, which takes snapshots of the sky on a continuous 1-min basis during each day from sunrise to sunset. The TSI-440 model is a full color sky camera with a software package that operates the instrument and performs some data processing functions. It was designed for long-term field operation. It captures images in JPEG format data files, which can be analyzed for fractional cloud cover. From the high-frequency snapshot images, a movie of the sky conditions is generated for each day. It has proven to be a very useful tool to assist in the separation of cloudy scenes from hazy clear-sky scenes, as clouds are generally more variable than the atmospheric aerosols, including thin cirrus clouds. Like the other instruments, very little maintenance is required other than daily cleaning of the mirror.

[15] All ground-based instruments have been operating without any interruption since the commencement of operation in September 2004. From February to June 2005, more instruments were brought to China for field campaign studies. A micropulse lidar (MPL) was deployed at the Xianghe site as a part of NASA's MPL network (<http://mplnet.gsfc.nasa.gov>) and provided information regarding the vertical profiles of aerosol and clouds. These data were useful for separating clouds from aerosols, because of



certain unique features in the vertical layering. Clouds usually exhibit distinct boundaries with sharp attenuation above the ground, whereas aerosols show a gradual variation and continue to the ground.

[16] In addition to ground-based measurements, this study also employs satellite measurements of SW fluxes reflected at the TOA obtained from the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES). The CERES instrument, deployed on the Earth Observing System's Terra and Aqua satellite platforms, consists of a scanning radiometer collecting radiometric measurements in the shortwave (0.3–5  $\mu\text{m}$ ), longwave (8–12  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and broadband (0.3–100  $\mu\text{m}$ ) channels [Wielicki *et al.*, 1996]. Onboard calibration is performed, leading to an accuracy of 1% in the radiance measurements. A spectral correction algorithm is applied to the filtered measurements, producing unfiltered radiances corrected for the spectral response. Once the scene type is identified, the appropriate angular distribution model is applied to the unfiltered radiances and instantaneous TOA flux estimates result. One set of products from the CERES instruments is the Single Satellite Footprint (SSF) collection of hourly products. The SSF data are an integration of the coarser resolution CERES measurements (about 20 km at nadir) and data from higher-resolution imagers such as MODIS on the Terra and Aqua platforms. In general, two measurements were available for each day, one in the morning from the Terra platform and another in the early afternoon from the Aqua platform. As of this study, the data from the two platforms were available from October 2004 to June 2005 for Terra and October 2004 to March 2005 for Aqua. From July to October 2005, no SSF data were available and so the CERES-ES8 product on the Aqua and Terra platforms were used. The SSF data are more accurate than the ES-8 product because of the use of a more advanced angular dependence model [Loeb *et al.*, 2005].

[17] TOA reflected shortwave fluxes, solar zenith angles, and precipitable water amounts from the two CERES products for pixels located within a  $50 \times 50 \text{ km}^2$  area centered on Xianghe were averaged and used as input into the models of Li *et al.* [1993] and Li and Garand [1994] in order to retrieve the surface net radiation and the surface albedo, respectively. Only those measurements made under clean background conditions ( $\text{AOD} < 0.2$ ) were used for retrieving surface albedo and for checking the compatibility between point-based ground observations and spatial observations from satellite. Satellite-retrieved downwelling total surface shortwave fluxes using the algorithm of Li *et al.* [1993] were averaged over an area of  $50 \times 50 \text{ km}^2$  and compared to the single-point ground measurements made at Xianghe. The agreement is generally good with a mean difference of  $0.91 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  and a standard deviation of about  $14 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . This suggests that the ground-based measurements represent well the spatial scale of the satellite data so that the aerosol effect determined from the two data sources can be compared and combined to derive the aerosol effect in the atmosphere.

### 3. Scene Identification


[18] For studying the ARE, a major challenge is the separation of cloudy and clear scenes. This may not be difficult in a relatively clean atmosphere, but it poses a

serious challenge over our study area. Aerosol loading is often very high, making it problematic to readily distinguish between hazy and cloudy conditions. Various methods of discriminating clear-hazy and cloudy scenes were attempted but none seem to work under all circumstances. So to achieve the best discrimination between clear-hazy and cloudy scenes a combination of the application of automatic algorithms and visual inspection of various data sets was done.

[19] Two objective methods of determining clear-sky periods were explored. One technique developed by Long and Ackerman [2000] consists of a series of tests based on the magnitude and temporal variability of the diffuse and total surface SW radiation measurements. The method involves four tests of increasing complexity. If all four tests are passed, the observations are classified as being made under clear-sky conditions. Only observations made when the solar zenith angle is less than  $80^\circ$  are considered when applying these tests. The first test determines whether the downwelling total surface SW fluxes, normalized by a power law function of the cosine of the solar zenith angle ( $\mu$ ), falls within a range of values typical for clear skies. The coefficients used for normalization are first derived from the best fits of a power law function applied to data measured on specific days that are manually identified as containing substantial periods of clear-sky intervals. These coefficients are interpolated for testing data on intervening days. The coefficients determined by the best fitting algorithm are used to calculate the normalizing factor and upper and lower limits to the clear-sky acceptable values. In general, this test using minimum and maximum limits specific to data from Xianghe, works well in determining if clear skies are present.

[20] The second test determines whether the downwelling diffuse radiation reaching the surface falls below a predetermined maximum value of  $120 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . This value is based on visual inspection of years of data obtained over the Southern Great Plains in the United States. For clear days with low aerosol loading ( $\text{AOD} < 0.2$ ) as often seen in that region, this limit is an acceptable value. A problem arises when there is significantly more aerosol loading under a cloudless sky. In these instances, the diffuse SW radiation reaching the surface contains a contribution from the aerosol layer which increases as the aerosol load increases. This results in a maximum value for diffuse SW radiation greater than the suggested  $120 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . From visual inspection of the diffuse SW radiation reaching the surface on cloudless days under a wide range of aerosol loading at Xianghe, we set the upper limit at  $200 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ .

[21] The third test involves comparing the change in downwelling surface SW fluxes over a small time interval with the change in downwelling TOA radiation during the same time interval. If there are no obstructions in the way (i.e., cloud or significant aerosol layer), the absolute change in magnitude of the fluxes at the surface over a small time interval should be less than the absolute change at the TOA. Given the absolute changes in the fluxes at the TOA over short time periods (10 min was chosen), an envelop of minimum and maximum limits was calculated and the changes in downwelling surface shortwave fluxes over the time period were tested to see if the values fall within this envelop. In general, periods of clear sky were identified



**Figure 1.** Daily mean aerosol optical depths measured by a Cimel Sun photometer from October 2004 to September 2005 at the Xianghe site. The dashed curve shows the 10-day running averages of the AOD.

correctly with this test, using the measurements taken at Xianghe.

[22] The final test examines the variability of the normalized diffuse ratio, which is the diffuse downwelling short-wave flux divided by the total downwelling shortwave flux, normalized by a power law function of  $\mu$ . The diffuse ratio can pick up subtle changes in the variability of radiation reaching the surface over time and this variability is tested by calculating the running mean and standard deviation of data from the mean during 11-min periods. The clear-sky detection limit was chosen to range between 0.0010 and 0.0015, a subjective value based on the analysis of all data in the study of *Long and Ackerman* [2000]. Application of this test to data from Xianghe had mixed results. Even on clear days with low aerosol loading, some of the groups of data failed the test, i.e., the standard deviations were greater than 0.0015 for some 11-min periods.

[23] Although most of the tests used to eliminate cloudy periods performed well with data from Xianghe, not all worked ideally. Days of high aerosol loading, a frequent occurrence at the observation site, poses a challenge. A technique for distinguishing between clear and cloudy scenes, proposed by *Conant et al.* [1997], was attempted. They applied a peak frequency distribution method to high-resolution total downwelling surface SW fluxes taken over the central equatorial Pacific. This method is based on the premise that for downwelling surface SW radiation measurements taken at a fixed solar zenith angle ( $\pm 0.5^\circ$ ), atmospheric transmittances under clear-sky conditions do not change very much. If the sky is cloudy, atmospheric transmittances are more variable. The technique involves plotting histograms of the transmittances measured during incremental solar zenith angle ranges and examining the presence of one sharp peak (clear sky) or multiple peaks spread over a range of transmittances (cloudy sky). This technique was applied to the downwelling surface SW radiation measurements made at Xianghe. Although the technique was developed using flux measurements made


over the central equatorial Pacific where there is little aerosol in the atmosphere, it works reasonably well when applied to data at Xianghe taken under clear skies in the presence of a moderate aerosol load ( $\text{AOD} \sim 1$ ). In general, this method is fairly simple to implement and serves as an objective complementary tool to identify clear skies.

[24] To take advantage of all data available and to increase the confidence of clear-hazy and cloudy sky discrimination, the detection results were subject to visual inspection using data from the radiometers, TSI, and MPL. Under many circumstances, the sky condition can be visually grasped by the overall shape, magnitude and smoothness in the times series of the total, direct and diffuse fluxes. If the sky is clear, the curve is generally smooth, although a smooth curve may not always correspond to a clear sky. The curve can be smooth at times under uniform overcast skies. In this case, the magnitude of the fluxes aid in assessing the sky condition. If there is the passage of cloud, the curve tends to be jagged. Inspection of hundreds of TSI movies helped gain a good sense of whether clouds were present. Given the general uniformity of the haziness over time during a given day, the presence and passage of clouds is visually evident through noticeable changes in the density of the radiant energy captured by the TSI imagery. However, it is inevitable to occasionally misidentify cloudy and hazy conditions. When the MPL images were available (from March to June 2005), they further helped confirm the sky condition. AERONET AOD retrievals at 500 nm also aided in further reclassifying the clear-sky periods as either clear-clean ( $\text{AOD} < 0.2$ ) or clear-hazy ( $\text{AOD} > 0.2$ ).

#### 4. Aerosol Optical Properties

[25] Figure 1 shows the daily mean AOD measured by the Cimel Sun photometer at the Xianghe site from September 2004 to September 2005. The most striking feature is that the AOD is very high on many days, while the lowest “background” value is not much different from other typical continental sites (ranging from 0.1 to 0.2). To place these numbers in context, the global mean 500 nm AOD derived from all AERONET sites weighted by areas over which the data may represent is 0.13. The seasonal variation seems a lot weaker than the day to day variation, but are still significant, with the largest value occurring in August 2005 (monthly mean 500 nm AOD = 1.7) and the lowest in January 2005 (monthly mean AOD = 0.39).


[26] In addition to a potential seasonal change in emissions, hygroscopic growth due to the swelling effect is likely a major factor [*Eck et al.*, 2005; *Jeong et al.*, 2007] because the summer is much more humid. This is partially supported by the plot of the Angstrom exponent (AE; derived from AOD measurements made at 440 nm and 870 nm) as a function of AOD (Figure 2). There appears to be a general negative relation, implying that high AODs tend to be associated with larger aerosol particles. Clear positive relations are also seen between AOD and the relative humidity (Figure 3). This suggests that the swelling effect played a certain role in the aerosol episodes; however, stronger air mass stagnation episodes in summer (when RH is high) may also contribute to this apparent correlation. The large range of the AE, from less than 0.2 to close to 2.0, suggests that there were different types of aerosols present




**Figure 2.** Relationship between the Angstrom exponent and the aerosol optical depth for Cimel data from September 2004 to September 2005.

(from very fine mode pollution to large coarse mode dust). The change in aerosol particle size in the fine mode is also induced by coagulation which increases with increasing aerosol loading. *Eck et al.* [2005] discussed the mechanism of coagulation in detail with data collected from another Asian site in Korea.

[27] Strong aerosol episodes are the most striking feature of AOD changes in the region. This is more visible in Figure 4 (top) which is the same as Figure 1 but for October 2004. Figure 4 (bottom) shows measurements of the direct solar radiation reaching the ground. A gradual increase in 500 nm AOD over a period of 10 days is seen, starting from



**Figure 3.** Relationship between the aerosol optical depth and relative humidity for data from 21 December 2004 to 11 July 2005.




**Figure 4.** (top) Day-to-day variation of daily mean aerosol optical depths at 500 nm in October 2004 and (bottom) corresponding surface direct solar irradiances.

a very clean environment with  $AOD \sim 0.1$  to a polluted environment with AOD values up to 3.5. Aerosol samples and precursor gases ( $SO_2$ ,  $NO_y$ ,  $CO$ ,  $O_3$ , etc.) were collected and analyzed during an Intensive Observation Period (IOP) conducted at the Xianghe site. It was found that changes in the concentrations of  $SO_2$ ,  $CO$ ,  $OC$  were at par with the total aerosol loading, suggesting that the episodes are mostly anthropogenic [*Li et al.*, 2006]. While the buildup is slow, the cleanup seems much faster. For the two episodes shown here, the AOD plunged from a peak value to a “background” value over the course of one day. Analysis of weather data indicates that the episodes were driven by the frontal activities that were associated with changes in air mass [*Li et al.*, 2006]. The cold fronts were often accompanied by abrupt changes in wind direction, temperature, and humidity. Wet scavenging does not seem to be a dominant mechanism.

[28] It is worth noting that the surface solar radiation budget is considerably affected by changes in the AOD. Not only do the periods of the oscillation in the two quantities coincide well with each other, the magnitudes of the changes are also proportional. Partially because of the heavy aerosol loading, the total amount of diffuse radiation reaching the ground is more than the amount of direct radiation, as there was little cloud cover in the month.


[29] Figure 5 shows the SSA retrieved from the Cimel Sun photometer at four wavelengths from September 2004 to September 2005. Given possible biases due to calibration and/or the assumed surface albedo, only those SSA corresponding to AOD at 440 nm greater than 0.4 are shown in Figure 5. The mean values are 0.921, 0.922, 0.913 and 0.908 at 441, 673, 873, and 1022 nm, respectively. These values are low compared to those measured at the majority of AERONET sites. They are slightly larger than those reported for Mexico City and the Maldives [*Dubovik et al.*, 2002]. There is a weak seasonal trend in the SSA, with large values occurring during the summer and smaller values during late fall to early winter. Again, the day-to-day variation is much more dominant throughout the year.






**Figure 5.** Daily mean single scattering albedos (corresponding to AOD at 440 nm > 0.4) retrieved from the Cimel sky radiances at 441, 673, 873 and 1022 nm. Data are from September 2004 to September 2005. Overall average at each wavelength is in parentheses.

[30] To gain further insight into the changes, the SSA is plotted as a function of the 500 nm AOD and AE (Figure 6). The SSA tends to increase with the AOD, but decrease with the AE. This may result from the mixed influence of the hygroscopic growth, coagulation growth and variable species of aerosol emissions from various sources, such as coarse mode airborne soil desert dust versus fine mode smoke and pollution. For a fixed aerosol composition, the fine mode growth effect enhances scattering (thus increasing the AOD), weakens the aerosol absorption efficiency (thus increasing the SSA), and enlarges the particle size (thus decreasing the AE). Therefore this effect can explain the general trends shown in both plots. The good correlation between the AOD and SO<sub>2</sub> episodes [Li *et al.*, 2006] also



**Figure 6.** Variations of single scattering albedo with (top) the aerosol optical depth and (bottom) the Angstrom exponent.




**Figure 7.** Variation of surface downwelling irradiances with the cosine of the solar zenith angle for (top) cloud-free, (middle) cloudy and (bottom) all data observed in March 2005.

indicate that the highly scattering and hygroscopic sulfate aerosol is a major component of the aerosol species. This is confirmed by the chemical analyses of aerosol particles collected at the site during the IOP which showed a much higher concentration of sulfate than calcium for each aerosol episode observed in March 2005.

## 5. Radiative Effects of Aerosols and Clouds

[31] The heavy loading and strong absorption of aerosols found in Xianghe can exert a substantial influence on the direct aerosol radiative effect on the ground. When heavy and strong absorbing aerosols are present, caution must be exercised not to mix the aerosol radiative effect with the cloud radiative effect [Li and Trishchenko, 2001]. We attempted to first separate the two effects by first classifying scene types into clear-clean, clear-hazy and cloudy conditions.

[32] Following the aforementioned scene identification methodology, we obtained classification results for every day and analyzed them on a monthly basis in order to determine the monthly mean effect. Figure 7 shows the classification results for March 2005 which was when the IOP was conducted and when daily manual reports of the sky condition were made. Figure 7 (top) shows clear-sky measurements of downwelling SW flux, Figure 7 (middle) shows cloudy-sky (both partly cloudy and overcast) measurements of downwelling SW flux, and Figure 7 (bottom) combines the downwelling SW flux for both clear and cloudy scenes. The clear data points form rather smooth and straight lines, whereas the cloudy data are much more variable. Another important feature seen in Figure 7 (top) is that the magnitude of the downwelling flux varies considerably. For a fixed solar zenith angle, the difference between the highest (cleanest) and lowest (haziest) fluxes can reach 200 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Such large instantaneous aerosol radiative effects have rarely been observed before, except in the biomass burning season in southern Amazonia [Schafer *et al.*, 2002].



**Figure 8.** Monthly means of the aerosol radiative effect, cloud radiative effect and total effects at the surface.

[33] The large variability in the atmospheric aerosol loading creates difficulty in determining the cloud radiative effect (CRF). In some previous studies, the CRF was determined by the deviation of all data points from the highest values (except for a few scattered data points caused by cloud side scattering) to serve as clear-sky background value. If this method was adopted here, the CRF would be substantially overestimated because a considerable portion of the apparent CRF is actually caused by aerosols [Li and Trishchenko, 2001]. To account for the influence of aerosols, all cloud-free data were employed to determine the mean clear-sky values as a reference for determining the CRF. In determining ARE, one may either use the highest curve as a clear-sky background, or use model-computed values. When the AOD is less than 0.2, the observed values agree very well with values computed by a radiative transfer model [Nakajima and Tanaka, 1988]; the mean difference between the two is  $7.6 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ .

[34] Following this procedure, monthly mean cloud and aerosol surface radiative effects were estimated using the 1-min measurements of total downwelling fluxes. The first step is to generate scatterplots like Figure 7 for each month. The cosines of the solar zenith angle, ranging from 0.1 to nearly 1 (depending on the month), were separated into eighteen bins. The averages of all clear and cloudy fluxes as well as the maximum values within all the bins were determined. Three differences were computed: (1) differences between the mean clear values and the maximum values for determining the ARE ( $\Delta F_{bin-aer}$ ), (2) differences between the mean cloudy and clear data for estimating CRF ( $\Delta F_{bin-cta}$ ), and (3) differences between the mean of all data and the maximum values for determining the total effect due to both clouds and aerosols  $\Delta F_{bin-all}$ .

[35] The monthly mean values were determined by integrating the differences over local time from sunrise to sunset averaged over a month. The integration variable was then

converted from local time to  $\mu$  using the following trigonometric relation:

$$\mu = \sin \phi \sin \delta + \cos \phi \cos \delta \cos(ct), \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi$  is the latitude,  $\delta$  is the declination,  $c = \pi/2$  hr, and  $t$  is the local time in decimal hours. Differentiating with respect to  $t$ , the conversion function is given by


$$f(\mu) = \frac{dt}{d\mu} = -\frac{1}{c\sqrt{(\cos \phi \cos \delta)^2 - (\mu - \sin \phi \cos \delta)^2}}. \quad (2)$$

The monthly daily mean cloud or aerosol effect,  $F_a$ , then becomes

$$F_a = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Delta F_{bin}(t) \cdot dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mu_{0-\min}}^{\mu_{0-\max}} f(\mu) \cdot \Delta F_{bin}(\mu) \cdot d\mu, \quad (3)$$


where  $T = 12$  hours.  $\Delta F_{bin}(\mu)$  represents either  $\Delta F_{bin-cta}$ , or  $\Delta F_{bin-aer}$ , or  $\Delta F_{bin-all}$ . The limits of the integration are the minimum and maximum values of  $\mu$  for a particular month of data.

[36] The monthly means of the radiative effect due to aerosol, cloud and their combination are shown by the bar graphs in Figure 8. The magnitudes of the effects are large in general, especially for the ARE. The annual mean values of the aerosol, cloud and total radiative effects are  $-24.1$ ,  $-41.0$  and  $-53.9 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ , respectively. Note that the total effect is not equal to the sum of the two components. As a reference, recent estimates of the global mean surface ARE range from  $-7 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  to  $-10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ , derived from a combination of MODIS and GOCART [Yu *et al.*, 2004] and POLDER and AERONET [Bellouin *et al.*, 2003] data. Chung *et al.* [2005] used MODIS, AERONET and GOCART products to derive a global annual mean surface ARE equal to  $-3.4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ .



**Figure 9.** Solar irradiance (top) reflected at the top of the atmosphere (from the CERES SSF product) and (bottom) incoming on the ground (measured) for clear-clean (AOD < 0.2) and clear-hazy conditions (AOD > 0.2).






**Figure 10.** Comparison between satellite-retrieved and surface-observed solar irradiance without and with aerosol corrections using the algorithms of *Li et al.* [1993] and *Masuda et al.* [1995].

[37] Monthly means of the ARE at the TOA were also calculated using the TOA SW fluxes obtained from the CERES SSF products. The satellite data were matched with surface measurements that were classified as associated with clear-clean, clear-hazy, or cloudy sky conditions. Separate scatterplots were generated for TOA reflected fluxes and surface downwelling SW fluxes as functions of  $\mu$  (Figure 9). In contrast to the clear separation between clear and hazy data on the surface plot, there is a no clear distinction for the TOA data points. This implies that the addition of heavy aerosols in the region does not increase reflection in the atmosphere-surface system. Changes in TOA reflection are dictated by a combination of aerosol parameters (SSA, AOD, backscattering fraction) and surface albedo. It is a combination of these variables results in a near neutral aerosol effect. During the INDOEX, TOA ARE was significantly smaller than at the surface but the TOA ARE in that region (the Indian Ocean) is not as small as that found at the Xianghe site, even though the SSA values are less over the Indian Ocean than at the Xianghe site. This is because the surface albedo of the Indian Ocean is much darker than the land area surrounding Xianghe. We estimated the surface broadband albedo from CERES TOA reflectances using the algorithm of *Li and Garand* [1994]

for all clear-clean days during the year. The surface albedo varied from 13% during the summer when the area is dominated by crops to 20% during the winter when the land is bare soil. The higher surface albedo (relative to the ocean surface albedo) increases the chances of absorption of photons traveling upward, and also decreases the contrast between surface brightness and the scattering effects of the aerosol layer.

[38] The retrieval of the surface solar radiation budget from satellite data is difficult because the retrieval algorithms [e.g., *Li et al.*, 1993; *Zhang et al.*, 2004] essentially rely on coupled changes between reflected flux at the TOA and at the surface. For clouds, this is not a problem because of the very high single scattering albedo. For absorbing aerosols, it poses a serious problem, as demonstrated in Figure 10 which shows the comparisons between satellite-retrieved and surface-observed downwelling irradiances using the algorithm of *Li et al.* [1993] with and without aerosol corrections [*Masuda et al.*, 1995] under clear-sky conditions. The retrievals without the correction for the aerosol effect are larger than observed values by  $46 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . After the correction, the bias is reduced to  $8 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . To implement the correction, one requires aerosol optical properties, especially the AOD and SSA. A lack of SSA on a global scale may induce considerable errors in the retrievals of the surface solar radiation budget over heavy aerosol-loading regions, as is shown here and also by *Hayasaka et al.* [2006], thus undermining the estimation of the global radiation budget.

[39] Figure 11 shows a comparison of ARE at the TOA and at the surface. Note that the surface ARE values are not identical to those shown in Figure 8, which were derived from measurements taken throughout a day, while those in Figure 10 are instantaneous values corresponding to satellite overpass times. Given the small number of data points, linear regressions were performed for the clear-clean and



**Figure 11.** Comparison between the aerosol radiative effects at the top of the atmosphere and at the surface for all matched ground and satellite (CERES) observations.

clear-hazy data at the TOA and at the surface. These functions were used to represent the actual data to overcome the small data sampling issue. Four days falling on the equinoxes/solstices were chosen to represent the four seasons: 22 March, 21 June, 23 September and 22 December. It follows from Figure 11 that the surface ARE is more than 10 times that at the TOA. Their differences, often referred to as the atmospheric aerosol effect, represent solar energy absorbed by aerosols in the boundary layer. Such strong heating is bound to have a significant influence on atmospheric stability and thus on atmospheric circulation and even cloud development. These aerosol-induced changes will be studied and presented at a later date.

## 6. Summary

[40] As the most populated and fastest developing country in the world, China is a region of heavy aerosol loading with diverse physical, chemical, optical aerosol properties whose climatic influence could be substantial, but remains largely uncertain. Improving the estimate of the global aerosol effect on climate requires a good knowledge and understanding of aerosols in this and many other major source regions. Unfortunately, many of the major aerosol emission regions are severely undersampled. To tackle the problem, an extensive observational program was established in China as a joint scientific endeavor by American and Chinese scientists. One major observation component is the establishment and operation of baseline stations that are fully equipped in conformity with the international BSRN and AERONET networks. The first of a series of planned baseline stations was set up in Xianghe, 70 km southeast of Beijing, in September 2004. The site has provided quality-controlled continual observations of aerosol, cloud and radiation quantities since its establishment. This paper presents some preliminary results based on data collected for an entire year.

[41] The most prominent finding is the high aerosol loading and dramatic changes in AOD from day to day. The annual average AOD is 0.82 with maximum values exceeding 4. The AOD generally increases steadily from a very low background value (less than 0.2) to very large values in a few days (5–10 days) then drops sharply to a very low value in less than one day. The sudden drops were usually caused by changes in air mass due to the passage of cold fronts. The episodic increase of AOD was usually caused by the buildup of anthropogenic pollutants, as indicated by the consistent episodes seen in simultaneous measurements of precursor gases such as SO<sub>2</sub> and CO and NO<sub>y</sub> available during the IOP in March 2005. The retrieval of the single scattering albedo from a Cimel Sun photometer showed a similar day-to-day fluctuation with a mean value around 0.9. There is a weak seasonal trend with large and small values occurring during the summer and late fall, respectively. The single scattering albedo increases with the AOD, presumably because of an increasing proportion of sulfate aerosols.

[42] The heavy aerosol loading poses a major challenge in identifying clear, hazy scenes from cloudy scenes. Resorting to both automatic methods and manual inspection of the time series of various radiative quantities and total sky image animations, we successfully classified all the meas-

urements into clear-clean (AOD < 0.2), clear-hazy (AOD > 0.2) and cloudy conditions. From the classified data, aerosol and radiative effects were computed at the surface and at the TOA using both ground-based and spaceborne measurements. The aerosol radiative effect at the surface is very large, with an annual mean of 25 W m<sup>-2</sup>, accounting for about half of the total radiative effects by both clouds and aerosols (54 W m<sup>-2</sup>). However, the aerosol radiative effect at the TOA is extremely small, about one tenth of the aerosol effect at the surface. This implies that the majority of solar energy traveling toward the surface remained in the atmosphere in the form of radiative heating. Such a strong adiabatic heating could have substantial influences on atmospheric dynamics and cloud development and will be studied separately.

[43] **Acknowledgments.** The EAST-AIRE project was supported by the NASA Radiation Science Program (NNG04GE79G), the National Science Foundation of China (40250120071, 40637035, 40675073), and the 973 National Basic Research Program of China (2006CB403706).

## References

- Bellouin, N., O. Boucher, D. Tanré, and O. Dubovik (2003), Aerosol absorption over the clear-sky oceans deduced from POLDER-1 and AERONET observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30*(14), 1748, doi:10.1029/2003GL017121.
- Bellouin, B., O. Boucher, J. Haywood, and M. S. Reddy (2005), Global estimates of aerosol direct radiative forcing from satellite measurements, *Nature*, *438*, 1138–1140, doi:10.1038/nature04348.
- Charlson, R. J., S. E. Schwartz, J. H. Hales, R. D. Cess, J. A. Coakley Jr., J. E. Hansen, and D. J. Hofmann (1992), Climate forcing by anthropogenic aerosols, *Science*, *255*, 423–430.
- Chou, M.-D., P.-K. Chan, and M. Wang (2002), Aerosol radiative forcing derived from SeaWiFS-retrieved aerosol optical properties, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *59*, 748–757.
- Chung, C. E., V. Ramanathan, D. Kim, and I. A. Podgorny (2005), Global anthropogenic aerosol direct forcing derived from satellite and ground-based observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, D24207, doi:10.1029/2005JD006356.
- Conant, W. C., V. Ramanathan, and F. P. J. Valero (1997), An examination of the clear-sky solar absorption over the central equatorial Pacific: Observations versus models, *J. Clim.*, *10*, 1874–1884.
- Dickerson, R. R., et al. (2007), Aircraft observations of dust and pollutants over NE China: Insight into the meteorological mechanisms of long-range transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2007JD008999, in press.
- Dubovik, O., B. N. Holben, T. F. Eck, A. Smirnov, Y. J. Kaufman, M. D. King, D. Tanre, and I. Slutsker (2002), Variability of absorption and optical properties of key aerosol types observed in worldwide locations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *59*, 590–608.
- Eck, T. F., B. N. Holben, J. S. Reid, O. Dubovik, A. Smirnov, N. T. O'Neill, I. Slutsker, and S. Kinne (1999), Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass burning, urban, and desert dust aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*, 31,333–31,349.
- Eck, T., et al. (2005), Column aerosol optical properties at AERONET sites in central eastern Asia and aerosol transport to the tropical mid-Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, D06202, doi:10.1029/2004JD005274.
- Hansen, J., M. Sato, and R. Ruedy (1997), Radiative forcing and climate response, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *102*, 6831–6864.
- Hayasaka, T., K. Kawamoto, G. Shi, and A. Ohmura (2006), Importance of aerosols in satellite-derived estimates of surface shortwave irradiance over China, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, L06802, doi:10.1029/2005GL025093.
- Holben, B. N., et al. (1998), AERONET—A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, *66*, 1–16.
- Huebert, B. N., T. Bates, P. B. Russell, G. Shi, Y. J. Kim, K. Kawamura, G. Carmichael, and T. Nakajima (2003), An overview of ACE-Asia: Strategies for quantifying the relationships between Asian aerosols and their climatic impacts, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D23), 8633, doi:10.1029/2003JD003550.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2001), *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*, edited by J. T. Houghton et al., 881 pp., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.

- Jeong, M.-J., Z. Li, E. Andrews, and S.-C. Tsay (2007), Effect of aerosol humidification on the column aerosol optical thickness over the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Southern Great Plains site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, D10202, doi:10.1029/2006JD007176.
- Kaufman, Y. J., D. Tanré, O. Dubovik, A. Karnieli, and L. A. Remer (2001), Absorption of sunlight by dust as inferred from satellite and ground-based measurements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *28*, 1479–1482.
- Kaufman, Y. J., D. Tanré, and O. Boucher (2002a), A satellite view of aerosols in the climate system, *Nature*, *419*, 215–223, doi:10.1038/nature01091.
- Kaufman, Y. J., J. V. Martins, L. A. Remer, M. R. Schoeberl, and M. A. Yamasoe (2002b), Satellite retrieval of aerosol absorption over the oceans using sunglint, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *29*(19), 1928, doi:10.1029/2002GL015403.
- Kaufman, Y. J., G. P. Gobbi, and I. Koren (2006), Aerosol climatology using a tunable spectral variability cloud screening of AERONET data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, L07817, doi:10.1029/2005GL025478.
- Kim, D., B. Sohn, T. Nakajima, T. Takamura, T. Takemura, B. Choi, and S. Yoon (2004), Aerosol optical properties over east Asia determined from ground-based sky radiation measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D02209, doi:10.1029/2003JD003387.
- Kim, D.-H., B. J. Sohn, T. Nakajima, and T. Takamura (2005), Aerosol radiative forcing over east Asia determined from ground-based solar radiation measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, D10S22, doi:10.1029/2004JD004678.
- King, M. D., Y. J. Kaufman, D. Tanré, and T. Nakajima (1999), Remote sensing of tropospheric aerosols: Past, present, and future, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, *80*, 2229–2259.
- Li, C., L. T. Marufu, R. R. Dickerson, Z. Li, T. Wen, Y. Wang, P. Wang, H. Chen, and J. W. Stehr (2006), In situ measurements of trace gases and aerosol optical properties at a rural site in Northern China during EAST-AIRE IOP 2005, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2006JD007592, in press.
- Li, Z. (1998), Influence of absorbing aerosols on the inference of solar surface radiation budget and cloud absorption, *J. Clim.*, *11*, 5–17.
- Li, Z. (2004), Aerosol and climate: A perspective from East Asia, in *Observation, Theory, and Modeling of the Atmospheric Variability*, pp. 501–525, World Sci., Hackensack, N. J.
- Li, Z., and L. Garand (1994), Estimation of surface albedo from space: A parameterization for global application, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *99*, 8335–8350.
- Li, Z., and L. Kou (1998), Atmospheric direct radiative forcing by smoke aerosols determined from satellite and surface measurements, *Tellus, Ser. B*, *50*, 543–554.
- Li, Z., and A. Trishchenko (2001), Quantifying the uncertainties in determining SW cloud radiative forcing and cloud absorption due to variability in atmospheric condition, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *58*, 376–389.
- Li, Z., H. G. Leighton, K. Masuda, and T. Takashima (1993), Estimation of SW flux absorbed at the surface from TOA reflected flux, *J. Clim.*, *6*, 317–330.
- Liao, H., J. H. Seinfeld, P. J. Adams, and L. J. Mickley (2004), Global radiative forcing of coupled tropospheric ozone and aerosols in a unified general circulation model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D16207, doi:10.1029/2003JD004456.
- Loeb, N. G., and N. Manalo-Smith (2005), Top-of-atmosphere direct radiative effect of aerosols over global oceans from merged CERES and MODIS observations, *J. Clim.*, *18*, 3506–3526.
- Loeb, N. G., S. Kato, K. Loukachine, and N. Manalo-Smith (2005), Angular distribution models for top-of-atmosphere radiative flux estimation from the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System instrument on the Terra satellite. Part I: Methodology, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, *22*, 338–351.
- Long, C. N., and T. P. Ackerman (2000), Identification of clear skies from broadband pyranometers measurements and calculation of downwelling shortwave cloud effects, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *105*, 15,609–15,626.
- Masuda, K., H. G. Leighton, and Z. Li (1995), A new parameterization for the determination of solar flux absorbed at the surface from satellite measurements, *J. Clim.*, *8*, 1615–1629.
- Nakajima, T., and M. Tanaka (1988), Algorithms for radiative intensity calculations in moderately thick atmospheres using a truncation approximation, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, *40*, 51–69.
- Nakajima, T., et al. (2003), Significance of direct and indirect radiative forcings of aerosols in the East China Sea region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D23), 8658, doi:10.1029/2002JD003261.
- Ohmura, A., et al. (1998), Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN)/WCRP, a new precision radiometry for climate research, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, *79*, 2115–2136.
- Ramanathan, V., P. J. Crutzen, J. T. Kiehl, and D. Rosenfeld (2001a), Aerosol, climate, and hydrological cycle, *Science*, *294*, 2119–2124.
- Ramanathan, V., et al. (2001b), Indian Ocean Experiment: An integrated analysis of the climate forcing and effects of the great Indo-Asian haze, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*, 28,371–28,398.
- Remer, L. A., and Y. J. Kaufman (2005), Aerosol effect on the distribution of solar radiation over the global oceans derived from five years of MODIS retrievals, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Disc.*, *5*, 5007–5038, SRef-ID:1680-7375/acpd/2005-5-5007.
- Rosenfeld, D., and I. M. Lensky (1998), Satellite-based insights into precipitation formation processes in continental and maritime convective clouds, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, *79*, 2457–2476.
- Satheesh, S. K., and V. Ramanathan (2000), Large differences in the tropical aerosol forcing at the top of the atmosphere and Earth's surface, *Nature*, *405*, 60–63.
- Schafer, J. S., T. F. Eck, B. N. Holben, P. Artaxo, M. A. Yamasoe, and A. S. Procopio (2002), Observed reductions of total solar irradiance by biomass-burning aerosols in the Brazilian Amazon and Zambian Savanna, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *29*(17), 1823, doi:10.1029/2001GL014309.
- Smirnov, A., B. N. Holben, T. F. Eck, O. Dubovik, and I. Slutsker (2000), Cloud screening and quality control algorithms for the AERONET database, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, *73*, 337–349.
- Takemura, T., T. Nozawa, S. Emori, T. Y. Nakajima, and T. Nakajima (2005), Simulation of climate response to aerosol direct and indirect effects with aerosol transport-radiation model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, D02202, doi:10.1029/2004JD005029.
- Wielicki, B. A., et al. (1996), Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES): An Earth Observation System experiment, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, *77*, 853–868.
- Xin, J., et al. (2007), Aerosol optical depth (AOD) and Ångström exponent of aerosols observed by the Chinese Sun Hazemeter Network from August 2004 to September 2005, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, D05203, doi:10.1029/2006JD007075.
- Yu, H., R. E. Dickinson, M. Chin, Y. J. Kaufman, M. Zhou, L. Zhou, Y. Tian, O. Dubovik, and B. N. Holben (2004), Direct radiative effect of aerosols as determined from a combination of MODIS retrievals and GOCART simulations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D03206, doi:10.1029/2003JD003914.
- Zhang, Y., W. B. Rossow, A. A. Lacis, V. Oinas, and M. I. Mishchenko (2004), Calculation of radiative fluxes from the surface to top of atmosphere based on ISCCP and other global data sets: Refinements of the radiative transfer model and the input data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D19105, doi:10.1029/2003JD004457.

H. Chen, P. Wang, and X. Xia, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100021, China.

M. Cribb, R. E. Dickerson, Z. Li, and W. Mi, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science and ESSIC, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. (zli@atmos.umd.edu)

E. G. Dutton, Global Monitoring Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, NOAA, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA.

T. F. Eck, B. Holben, and S.-C. Tsay, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA.

F. Zhao, National Satellite Meteorological Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, China.