

AFFINE ALMOST CONTACT MANIFOLDS AND f -MANIFOLDS WITH AFFINE KILLING STRUCTURE TENSORS

BY DAVID E. BLAIR AND KENTARO YANO

1. Introduction.

In a recent paper [2], one of the present authors has proved

THEOREM A. *Suppose M^{2n+1} has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) such that ϕ and η are Killing. Then if this structure is normal, it is cosymplectic.*

THEOREM B. *Let M^{2n+2} be a nearly Kaehler manifold and M^{2n+1} a C^∞ orientable hypersurface. Let (ϕ, ξ, η, g) denote the induced almost contact metric structure on M^{2n+1} . Then ϕ is Killing if and only if the second fundamental form h is proportional to $\eta \otimes \eta$.*

THEOREM C. *Let M^{2n+2} be a nearly Kaehler manifold and M^{2n+1} a C^∞ orientable hypersurface. Let η denote the induced almost contact form and suppose the second fundamental form h is proportional to $\eta \otimes \eta$. Then η is Killing.*

The purpose of the present paper is to generalize these theorems to the case of affine almost contact manifold, and to prove a theorem similar to Theorem A for an affine f -manifold with complemented frames with affine Killing structure tensor.

2. Affine Killing tensors.

Let M be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection ∇ without torsion. A curve $x(t)$ of M is called a *path* if its tangent vector $X = dx/dt$ satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_X X = 0$$

and t an *affine parameter*.

Let ϕ be a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ in M and $x(t)$ a path in M , t being an affine parameter. Then we have a vector field ϕX along the path. If this vector field ϕX is parallel along the path $x(t)$, then we have $\nabla_X(\phi X) = 0$, or

$$(2.2) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)X = 0.$$

If this is the case for any path, we have

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X = 0$$

Received November 16, 1970.

for any vector fields X and Y . We call a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying (2.3) an *affine Killing tensor field*. [9].

Let η be a 1-form in M and $x(t)$ a path in M , t being an affine parameter. Then we have a function $\eta(X)$ along the path. If this function is constant along the path, then we have $\nabla_X(\eta(X))=0$, or

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X \eta)(X) = 0.$$

If this is the case for any path, we have

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) + (\nabla_Y \eta)(X) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y . We call a 1-form η satisfying (2.5) an *affine Killing 1-form*.

3. Affine f -manifolds with complemented frames with f and η^u affine Killing.

A $(2n+s)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold M^{2n+s} is said to have an *affine f -structure with complemented frames* if there exist a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ and of constant rank $2n$, s vector fields ξ_v and s 1-forms η^u satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f^2 X &= -X + \eta^u(X)\xi_u, \quad f\xi_v = 0, \\ \eta^u(fX) &= 0, \quad \eta^u(\xi_v) = \delta_v^u \end{aligned}$$

for any vector field X , where the indices u, v, w, x run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, [4]. In the special case $s=1$, this structure is said to be an *affine almost contact structure* [5] and we use the customary notation $\phi=f, \xi=\xi_1, \eta=\eta^1$.

If

$$(3.2) \quad [f, f] + d\eta^u \otimes \xi_u = 0,$$

$[f, f]$ being the Nijenhuis tensor of f , or

$$(3.3) \quad (\nabla_{fX} f)Y - (\nabla_{fY} f)X - f\{(\nabla_X f)Y - (\nabla_Y f)X\} + \{\nabla_X \eta^u\}(Y) - \{\nabla_Y \eta^u\}(X)\xi_u = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y , ∇ being an affine connection without torsion, the affine f -structure with complemented frames is said to be *normal*.

When the f -structure with complemented frames is normal, putting $X=\xi_v, Y=\xi_w$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -f\{(\nabla_{\xi_v} f)\xi_w - (\nabla_{\xi_w} f)\xi_v\} + \{(\nabla_{\xi_v} \eta^u)(\xi_w) - (\nabla_{\xi_w} \eta^u)(\xi_v)\}\xi_u &= 0, \\ f^2\{\nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_w - \nabla_{\xi_w} \xi_v\} - \eta^u(\nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_w - \nabla_{\xi_w} \xi_v)\xi_u &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$(3.4) \quad [\xi_v, \xi_w] = 0.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose M^{2n+s} has an affine f -structure with complemented frames (f, ξ_u, η^u) such that it is normal and f and the η^u 's are affine Killing. Then*

the structure is cosymplectic, that is,

$$\nabla f=0, \quad \nabla \xi_u=0, \quad \nabla \eta^u=0.$$

Proof. The assumptions are

$$(3.5) \quad (\nabla_X f) Y + (\nabla_Y f)(X) = 0$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad (\nabla_X \eta^u)(Y) + (\nabla_Y \eta^u)(X) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y .

Thus, equation (3.3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\nabla_Y f)fX + (\nabla_X f)fY - 2f(\nabla_X f)Y + 2(\nabla_X \eta^u)(Y)\xi_u = 0, \\ & -(\nabla_Y f^2)X + f(\nabla_Y f)X + (\nabla_X f^2)Y - f(\nabla_X f)Y - 2f(\nabla_X f)Y + 2(\nabla_X \eta^u)(Y)\xi_u = 0, \\ & -(\nabla_Y \eta^u)(X)\xi_u - \eta^u(X)\nabla_Y \xi_u + (\nabla_X \eta^u)(Y)\xi_u + \eta^u(Y)\nabla_X \xi_u \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad - 4f(\nabla_X f)Y + 2(\nabla_X \eta^u)(Y)\xi_u = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(3.7) \quad -\eta^u(X)\nabla_Y \xi_u + \eta^u(Y)\nabla_X \xi_u - 4f(\nabla_X f)Y + 4(\nabla_X \eta^u)(Y)\xi_u = 0.$$

Consequently

$$\eta^u\{-\eta^u(X)\nabla_Y \xi_u + \eta^u(Y)\nabla_X \xi_u - 4f(\nabla_X f)Y + 4(\nabla_X \eta^u)(Y)\xi_u\} = 0,$$

from which

$$-\eta^u(X)\eta^v(\nabla_Y \xi_u) + \eta^u(Y)\eta^v(\nabla_X \xi_u) + 4(\nabla_X \eta^v)(Y) = 0,$$

or

$$(3.8) \quad \eta^u(X)(\nabla_Y \eta^v)(\xi_u) - \eta^u(Y)(\nabla_X \eta^v)(\xi_u) + 4(\nabla_X \eta^v)(Y) = 0.$$

Putting $Y = \xi_w$ in this equation, we find

$$(3.9) \quad \eta^u(X)(\nabla_{\xi_w} \eta^v)(\xi_u) - (\nabla_X \eta^v)(\xi_w) + 4(\nabla_X \eta^v)(\xi_w) = 0,$$

from which, putting $X = \xi_x$,

$$(\nabla_{\xi_w} \eta^v)(\xi_x) - (\nabla_{\xi_x} \eta^v)(\xi_w) + 4(\nabla_{\xi_x} \eta^v)(\xi_w) = 0,$$

that is,

$$(\nabla_{\xi_u} \eta^v)(\xi_w) = 0$$

by virtue of (3.6), and consequently, (3.9) gives

$$(\nabla_X \eta^v)(\xi_w) = 0.$$

Thus, from (3.8), we have

$$(3.10) \quad (\nabla_X \eta^v)(Y) = 0.$$

From (3.7) and (3.10), we find

$$(3.11) \quad -\eta^u(X) \nabla_Y \xi_u + \eta^u(Y) \nabla_X \xi_u - 4f(\nabla_X f)Y = 0,$$

from which, putting $Y = \xi_v$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\eta^u(X) \nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_u + \nabla_X \xi_v - 4f(\nabla_X f)\xi_v &= 0, \\ -\eta^u(X) \nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_u + \nabla_X \xi_v + 4f^2 \nabla_X \xi_v &= 0, \\ -\eta^u(X) \nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_u + \nabla_X \xi_v - 4(\nabla_X \xi_v) + 4\eta^u(\nabla_X \xi_v)\xi_u &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(3.12) \quad \eta^u(X) \nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_u - 3(\nabla_X \xi_v) = 0,$$

by virtue of $\eta^u(\nabla_X \xi_v) = -(\nabla_X \eta^u)(\xi_v) = 0$. Putting $X = \xi_w$ in (3.12), we find

$$\nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_w - 3\nabla_{\xi_w} \xi_v = 0,$$

from which

$$\nabla_{\xi_v} \xi_w = 0$$

by virtue of (3.4), and consequently (3.12) gives

$$(3.13) \quad \nabla_X \xi_v = 0.$$

Thus, from (3.7), we find

$$f(\nabla_X f)Y = 0,$$

from which, applying f ,

$$\begin{aligned} -(\nabla_X f)Y + \eta^u\{(\nabla_X f)Y\}\xi_u &= 0, \\ (\nabla_X f)Y &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Suppose M^{2n+1} has an affine almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) such that it is normal and ϕ and η are affine Killing. Then the structure is an affine cosymplectic structure, that is,*

$$\nabla \phi = 0, \quad \nabla \xi = 0, \quad \nabla \eta = 0.$$

4. Hypersurfaces of almost complex manifolds.

Let M^{2n+2} be an almost complex manifold with structure tensor J . If there exists an affine connection ∇ without torsion such that

$$(4.1) \quad (\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_Y J)X = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y , we call M^{2n+2} an *affine almost Tachibana mani-*

fold [7].

Let M^{2n+2} be an almost complex manifold with structure tensor J and M^{2n+1} an orientable differentiable hypersurface of M^{2n+2} . We denote by B the differential of the imbedding. We can choose a vector field C along M^{2n+1} such that the transform JC of C by J is tangent to the hypersurface M^{2n+1} . Indeed, suppose first of all that JBX is tangent to M^{2n+1} for every vector field X on M^{2n+1} , then $JBX = BfX$ for some tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ on M^{2n+1} . Applying J to this equation we find $f^2 = -1$, that is, f defines an almost complex structure on M^{2n+1} , which is impossible. Thus, there exists a vector field ξ on M^{2n+1} such that $JB\xi$ is not tangent to M^{2n+1} . Now setting $C = JB\xi$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad JC = -B\xi,$$

and hence C is as desired.

We now define a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$ and a 1-form η on M^{2n+1} by

$$(4.3) \quad JBY = B\phi Y + \eta(Y)C.$$

It is easily checked that ϕ, ξ, η satisfy equations (3.1), that is the hypersurface M^{2n+1} admits an affine almost contact structure (cf. [6]).

The equations of Gauss and Weingarten are

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{BX}BY &= B\bar{\nabla}_X Y + h(X, Y)C, \\ \nabla_{BX}C &= -BH X + l(X)C, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the affine connection without torsion on M^{2n+1} induced from the affine connection ∇ on M^{2n+2} with respect to the affine normal C . h is the second fundamental tensor which is symmetric, H is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ representing the Weingarten map with respect to the affine normal C , and l is the third fundamental tensor [8].

THEOREM 4.1. *Let M^{2n+2} be an affine almost Tachibana manifold and M^{2n+1} an orientable differentiable hypersurface. Let (ϕ, ξ, η) denote an induced affine almost contact structure on M^{2n+1} . Then ϕ is affine Killing if and only if the second fundamental form h has the form*

$$h(X, Y) = \eta(X)h(Y, \xi) - \eta(Y)h(X, \xi) - h(\xi, \xi)\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

and the Weingarten map H has the form

$$HX = (2h(X, \xi) - h(\xi, \xi)\eta(X))\xi.$$

Proof. Applying the operator ∇_{BX} to equation (4.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{BX})BY + Jh(X, Y)C \\ = B(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + h(X, \phi Y)C + (\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y)C + \eta(Y)(-BH X + l(X)C), \end{aligned}$$

from which, M^{2n+2} being an affine almost Tachibana manifold,

$$(4.5) \quad -2h(X, Y)\xi = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X - \eta(Y)HX - \eta(X)HY$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad h(X, \phi Y) + h(\phi X, Y) + (\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y) + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X) \\ + \eta(Y)l(X) + \eta(X)l(Y) = 0.$$

Thus in order for ϕ to be affine Killing, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$(4.7) \quad 2h(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)HY + \eta(Y)HX$$

for any vector fields X and Y .

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (4.7), we find

$$HX = 2h(X, \xi)\xi - \eta(X)H\xi,$$

from which, putting $X = \xi$, $H\xi = h(\xi, \xi)\xi$. Thus we have

$$(4.8) \quad HX = (2h(X, \xi) - h(\xi, \xi)\eta(X))\xi.$$

On the other hand, we have from (4.7)

$$2h(X, Y) = \eta(X)\eta(HY) + \eta(Y)\eta(HX)$$

or using (4.8)

$$(4.9) \quad h(X, Y) = \eta(X)h(Y, \xi) + \eta(Y)h(X, \xi) - h(\xi, \xi)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Conversely if (4.8) and (4.9) are satisfied, then equation (4.7) is satisfied completing the proof.

Finally we note that if $h(X, Y)$ has the form (4.9), equation (4.6) becomes

$$\eta(X)h(\phi Y, \xi) + \eta(Y)h(\phi X, \xi) + (\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y) + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X) \\ + \eta(X)l(Y) + \eta(Y)l(X) = 0$$

which shows after a short computation that then η is affine Killing if and only if

$$h(\phi X, \xi) + l(X) = 0.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BLAIR, D. E., The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures. *J. of Diff. Geom.* **1** (1967), 331-345.
- [2] ———, Almost contact manifolds with Killing structure tensors. To appear.
- [3] GRAY, A., Nearly Kaehler manifolds. To appear in *J. of Diff. Geom.*
- [4] NAKAGAWA, H., f -structures induced on submanifolds in spaces, almost Hermitian or Kählerian. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **18** (1966), 161-183.
- [5] SASAKI, S., On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure I; II (with Y. Hatakeyama). *Tōhoku Math. J.* **12** (1960), 459-476; **13** (1961), 281-294.

- [6] TASHIRO, Y., On contact structures of hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, I. Tôhoku Math. J. **15** (1963), 62-78.
- [7] YANO, K., Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces. Pergamon Press, New York, 1965.
- [8] ———, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1970.
- [9] ———, AND S. BOCHNER, Curvature and Betti numbers. Annals of Mathematics Study, No. 32, Princeton University Press, 1953.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY AND
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.