

## ALGEBRAS OF DERIVED DIMENSION ZERO

Xiao-Wu Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yu Ye<sup>1</sup>, and Pu Zhang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

*We prove that a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field is of derived dimension 0 if and only if it is an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type.*

**Key Words:** Derived dimension; Iterated tilted algebra; Krull–Schmidt category; Trivial extension algebra.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16G10; 18E30.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1.

A dimension for a triangulated category has been introduced in Rouquier (to appear), which gives a new invariant for algebras and algebraic varieties under derived equivalences. For related topics see also Bondal and Van den Bergh (2003) and Happel (1988, p. 70).

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a triangulated category with shift functor  $[1]$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  full subcategories of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Denote by  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$  the smallest full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  containing  $\mathcal{F}$  and closed under isomorphisms, finite direct sums, direct summands, and shifts. Any object of  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of a finite direct sum  $\bigoplus_i I_i[n_i]$  with each  $I_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Define  $\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{J}$  to be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of the objects  $M$ , for which there is a distinguished triangle  $I \rightarrow M \rightarrow J \rightarrow I[1]$  with  $I \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ . Now define  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_0 := \{0\}$ , and  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_n := \langle \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_{n-1} \star \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \rangle$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_1 = \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ , and  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_n = \langle \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \star \cdots \star \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \rangle$ , by the associativity of  $\star$  (see Bondal and Van den Bergh, 2003). Note that  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_\infty := \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_n$  is the smallest thick triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  containing  $\mathcal{F}$ .

By definition, the *dimension* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $\dim(\mathcal{C})$ , is the minimal integer  $d \geq 0$  such that there exists an object  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\mathcal{C} = \langle M \rangle_{d+1}$ , or  $\infty$  when there is no such an object  $M$ . See Rouquier (to appear).

Received July 31, 2006. Communicated by D. Happel.

Address correspondence to Pu Zhang, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Ding-Chuan Road 800, Shanghai 200240, China; Fax: +86-21-54743152; E-mail: pzhang@sjtu.edu.cn

Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field  $k$ . Denote by  $A\text{-mod}$  the category of finite-dimensional left  $A$ -modules, and by  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$  the bounded derived category. Define the *derived dimension* of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{der.dim}(A)$ , to be the dimension of the triangulated category  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ . By Rouquier (to appear) and Krause and Kussin (2006), one has

$$\text{der.dim}(A) \leq \min\{l(A), \text{gl.dim}(A), \text{rep.dim}(A)\},$$

where  $l(A)$  is the smallest integer  $l \geq 0$  such that  $\text{rad}^{l+1}(A) = 0$ ,  $\text{gl.dim}(A)$  and  $\text{rep.dim}(A)$  are the global dimension and the representation dimension of  $A$  (for the definition of  $\text{rep.dim}(A)$  see Auslander, 1971), respectively. In particular, we have  $\text{der.dim}(A) < \infty$ .

Our main result is

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Then  $\text{der.dim}(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type.*

## 1.2.

Let us fix some notation. For an additive category  $\mathcal{A}$ , denote by  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$  the category of complexes of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $*$   $\in \{-, +, b\}$  means bounded-above, bounded-below, and bounded, respectively; and by  $C(\mathcal{A})$  the category of unbounded complexes. Denote by  $K^*(\mathcal{A})$  the corresponding homotopy category. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian, we have derived category  $D^*(\mathcal{A})$ .

For a finite-dimensional algebra  $A$ , denote by  $A\text{-mod}$ ,  $A\text{-proj}$ , and  $A\text{-inj}$  the category of finite-dimensional left  $A$ -modules, projective  $A$ -modules and injective  $A$ -modules, respectively.

For triangulated categories and derived categories we refer to Verdier (1977), Hartshorne (1966), and Happel (1988); for representation theory of algebras we refer to Auslander et al. (1995) and Ringel (1984); and for tilting theory we refer to Ringel (1984) and Happel (1988), in particular, for iterated tilted algebras we refer to Happel (1988, p. 171).

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM

Before giving the proof of theorem, we make some preparations.

### 2.1.

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} A_{(j)}$  be a finite-dimensional positively-graded algebra over  $k$ , and  $A\text{-gr}$  the category of finite-dimensional left  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $A$ -modules with morphisms of degree zero. An object in  $A\text{-gr}$  is written as  $M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{(j)}$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the degree-shift functor  $(i): A\text{-gr} \rightarrow A\text{-gr}$ , defined by  $M(i)_{(j)} = M_{(i+j)}$ ,  $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $U: A\text{-gr} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$  be the degree-forgetful functor. Then  $U(M(i)) = U(M)$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly,  $A\text{-gr}$  is a Hom-finite abelian category, and hence by Remark A.2 in Appendix, it is Krull–Schmidt. An indecomposable in  $A\text{-gr}$  is called a *gr-indecomposable module*. The category  $A\text{-gr}$  has projective covers and injective hulls. Assume that  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  is a set of orthogonal primitive

idempotents of  $A_{(0)}$ , such that  $\{P_i := Ae_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} A_{(j)}e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a complete set of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable projective  $A$ -modules. Then  $P_i$  (resp.,  $I_i := D(e_iA) = \bigoplus_{j \leq 0} D(e_iA_{(-j)})$ ) is a projective (resp., injective) object in  $A$ -gr. One deduces that  $\{P_i(j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a complete set of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable projective objects in  $A$ -gr, and  $\{I_i(j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a complete set of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable injective objects in  $A$ -gr.

Let  $0 \neq M \in A$ -gr. Define  $t(M) := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid M_{(i)} \neq 0\}$  and  $b(M) := \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid M_{(i)} \neq 0\}$ . For a graded  $A$ -module  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{(i)} \neq 0$ , set  $\text{top}(M) := M_{(t(M))}$  and  $\text{bot}(M) := M_{(b(M))}$ , both of which are viewed as  $A_{(0)}$ -modules. Denote by  $\Omega^n$  (resp.,  $\Omega_{A_{(0)}}^n$ ) the  $n$ th syzygy functor on  $A$ -gr (resp.,  $A_{(0)}$ -mod),  $n \geq 1$ . Similarly, we have  $\Omega^{-n}$  and  $\Omega_{A_{(0)}}^{-n}$ .

We need the following observation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a nonzero, nonprojective, and noninjective graded  $A$ -module. With notation above, we have:*

- (i) *Either  $b(\Omega(M)) = b(M)$  and  $\text{bot}(\Omega(M)) = \Omega_{A_{(0)}}(\text{bot}(M))$ , or  $b(\Omega(M)) > b(M)$ ;*
- (i') *Either  $t(\Omega^{-1}(M)) = t(M)$  and  $\text{top}(\Omega^{-1}(M)) = \Omega_{A_{(0)}}^{-1}(\text{top}(M))$ , or  $t(\Omega^{-1}(M)) < t(M)$ .*

*Proof.* We only justify (i). Note that  $\text{rad}(A) = \text{rad}(A_{(0)}) \oplus A_{(1)} \oplus \dots$ , and that for a graded  $A$ -module  $M$ , the projective cover  $P$  of  $M/\text{rad}(A)M$  in  $A$ -mod is graded. It follows that it gives the projective cover of  $M$  in  $A$ -gr. Since  $A$  is positively-graded, it follows that  $b(P) = b(M)$ , and that  $\text{bot}(P)$  is the projective cover of  $\text{bot}(M)$  as  $A_{(0)}$ -modules. If  $\text{bot}(P) = \text{bot}(M)$ , then  $b(\Omega(M)) > b(M)$ . Otherwise,  $b(\Omega(M)) = b(M)$  and  $\text{bot}(\Omega(M)) = \Omega_{A_{(0)}}(\text{bot}(M))$ .  $\square$

## 2.2.

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} A_{(j)}$  be a finite-dimensional positively-graded algebra over  $k$ . The category  $A$ -gr is said to be *locally representation-finite*, provided that for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the set

$$\{[M] \mid M \text{ is gr-indecomposable such that } M_{(i)} \neq 0\}$$

is finite, where  $[M]$  denotes the isoclass in  $A$ -gr of the graded module  $M$ . By degree-shifts, one sees that  $A$ -gr is locally representation-finite if and only if the set

$$\{[M] \mid M \text{ is gr-indecomposable such that } M_{(0)} \neq 0\}$$

is finite, if and only if  $A$ -gr has only finitely many indecomposable objects up to degree-shifts.

If  $A$  is in addition self-injective, then  $A$ -gr is a Frobenius category. In fact, we already know that  $A$ -gr has enough projective objects and injective objects, and each indecomposable projective object is of the form  $P_i(j)$ ; since  $A$  is self-injective, it follows that  $P_i$  is injective in  $A$ -mod, so is  $P_i(j)$  in  $A$ -gr; similarly, each  $I_i(j)$  is a projective object in  $A$ -gr.

Note that the stable category  $A\text{-gr}$  is triangulated (see Happel, 1988, Chap. 1, Sec. 2), with shift functor induced by  $\Omega^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{(i)}$  be a finite-dimensional positively-graded algebra which is self-injective. Assume that  $\dim(A\text{-gr}) = 0$  and  $\text{gl.dim}(A_{(0)}) < \infty$ . Then  $A\text{-gr}$  is locally representation-finite.*

*Proof.* Since  $\dim(A\text{-gr}) = 0$ , it follows that  $A\text{-gr} = \langle X \rangle$  for some graded module  $X$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X = \bigoplus_{l=1}^r M^l$ , where  $M^l$ 's are pairwise nonisomorphic nonprojective gr-indecomposable modules. It follows that every gr-indecomposable  $A$ -module is in the set  $\{\Omega^j(M^l), P_j(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq l \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove that for each  $1 \leq l \leq r$ , the set

$$\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \Omega^j(M^l)_{(0)} \neq 0\}$$

is finite.

For this, assume that  $\text{gl.dim}(A_{(0)}) = N$ ,  $b(M^l) = j_0$ , and  $t(M^l) = i_0$ . Since  $\text{gl.dim}(A_{(0)}) < \infty$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1(i) that if  $b(\Omega(M)) = b(M)$ , then  $\text{p.d}(\text{bot}(\Omega(M))) = \text{p.d}(\text{bot}(M)) - 1$  as  $A_{(0)}$ -modules, and otherwise  $b(\Omega(M)) > b(M)$ . By using Lemma 2.1(i) repeatedly we have

$$\text{if } j \geq \max\{1, -j_0N\}, \quad \text{then } b(\Omega^j(M^l)) > 0.$$

Dually, if  $j \geq \max\{1, i_0N\}$ , then  $t(\Omega^{-j}(M^l)) < 0$ . Note that  $b(\Omega^j(M^l)) > 0$  (resp.,  $t(\Omega^{-j}(M^l)) < 0$ ) implies that  $\Omega^j(M^l)_{(0)} = 0$  (resp.,  $\Omega^{-j}(M^l)_{(0)} = 0$ ). It follows that the set considered above is finite.  $\square$

### 2.3.

Let us recall some related notion in Bongartz and Gabriel (1982) and Gabriel (1981). Let  $A$  and  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the same as in 2.1, and  $\mathbf{M}$  the full subcategory of  $A\text{-gr}$  consisting of objects  $\{P_j(i) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{M}$  is locally finite-dimensional in the sense of Bongartz and Gabriel (1982). One may identify  $A\text{-gr}$  with  $\text{mod}(\mathbf{M})$  such that a graded  $A$ -module  $M$  is identified with a contravariant functor sending  $P_j(i)$  to  $e_j M_{(-i)}$ . Now it is direct to see that  $A\text{-gr}$  is locally representation-finite if and only if the category  $\mathbf{M}$  is locally representation-finite in the sense of Bongartz and Gabriel (1982, p. 337).

Let us follow Gabriel (1981, pp. 85–93). Let  $G$  be the group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $G$  acts freely on  $\mathbf{M}$  by degree-shifts. Moreover, the orbit category  $\mathbf{M}/G$  can be identified with the full subcategory of  $A\text{-mod}$  consisting of  $\{P_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . Hence we may identify  $\text{mod}(\mathbf{M}/G)$  with  $A\text{-mod}$ . With these two identifications, the push-down functor  $F_\lambda : \text{mod}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\mathbf{M}/G)$  is nothing but the degree-forgetful functor  $U : A\text{-gr} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$ . The following is just a restatement of Theorem d) in 3.6 of Gabriel (1981).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $k$  be algebraically closed, and  $A$  be a finite-dimensional positively-graded  $k$ -algebra. Assume that  $A\text{-gr}$  is locally representation-finite. Then the degree-forgetful functor  $U$  is dense, and hence  $A$  is of finite representation type.*

## 2.4. Proof of Theorem

If  $A$  is an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type, then by Theorem 2.10 in Happel (1988, p. 109), we have a triangle-equivalence  $D^b(A\text{-mod}) \simeq D^b(kQ\text{-mod})$  for some Dynkin quiver  $Q$ . Note that  $kQ$  is of finite representation type, and that  $D^b(kQ\text{-mod}) = \langle M[0] \rangle$ , where  $M$  is the direct sum of all the (finitely many) indecomposable  $kQ$ -modules. It follows that  $\text{der.dim}(A) = \text{der.dim}(kQ) = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $\text{dim } D^b(A\text{-mod}) = 0$ , it follows from the fact that  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$  is Krull–Schmidt (see, e.g., Theorem B.2 in Appendix) that  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$  has only finitely many indecomposable objects up to shifts. Since  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  is a thick subcategory of  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ , it follows that  $K^b(A\text{-proj})$  has finitely many indecomposable objects up to shifts. Consequently,  $\text{s.gl.dim}(A) < \infty$  (for the definition of  $\text{s.gl.dim}(A)$  see B.3 in Appendix).

By Theorem 4.9 in Happel (1988, p. 88), and Lemma 2.4 in Happel (1988, p. 64), we have an exact embedding

$$F : D^b(A\text{-mod}) \longrightarrow T(A)\text{-gr},$$

where  $T(A) = A \oplus DA$  is the trivial extension algebra of  $A$ , which is graded with  $\text{deg } A = 0$  and  $\text{deg } DA = 1$ . Since  $\text{gl.dim } A \leq \text{s.gl.dim}(A) - 1 < \infty$  (see Corollary B.3 in Appendix), it follows from Theorem 4.9 in Happel (1988) that the embedding  $F$  is an equivalence. Now by applying Proposition 2.2 to the graded algebra  $T(A)$  we know that  $T(A)\text{-gr}$  is locally representation-finite. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $T(A)$  is of finite representation type, and then the assertion follows from a theorem of Assem et al. (1984), which says the trivial extension algebra  $T(A)$  is of finite representation type if and only if  $A$  is an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type (see also Theorem 2.1 in Happel, 1988, p. 199, and Hughes and Waschbüsch, 1983).  $\square$

## APPENDIX

This appendix includes an exposition on some material we used. They are well-known, however their proofs seem to be scattered in various literature.

### A. Krull–Schmidt Categories

This part includes a review of Krull–Schmidt categories.

#### A.1.

An additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *Krull–Schmidt* if any object  $X$  has a decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ , such that each  $X_i$  is indecomposable with local endomorphism ring (see Ringel, 1984, p. 52).

Directly by definition, a factor category (see Auslander et al., 1995, p. 101) of a Krull–Schmidt category is Krull–Schmidt.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category. An idempotent  $e = e^2 \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  *splits*, if there are morphisms  $u : X \longrightarrow Y$  and  $v : Y \longrightarrow X$  such that  $e = vu$  and  $\text{Id}_Y = uv$ . In this case,  $u$  (resp.,  $v$ ) is the cokernel (resp., kernel) of  $\text{Id}_X - e$ ; and  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y) \simeq e\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)e$

by sending  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)$  to  $vf u$ . If in addition  $\text{Id}_X - e$  splits via  $X \xrightarrow{u'} Y' \xrightarrow{v'} X$ , then  $\binom{u}{u'} : X \simeq Y \oplus Y'$ . One can prove directly that an idempotent  $e$  splits if and only if the cokernel of  $\text{Id}_X - e$  exists, if and only if the kernel of  $\text{Id}_X - e$  exists. It follows that if  $\mathcal{C}$  has cokernels (or kernels) then each idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$  splits; and that if each idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$  splits, then each idempotent in a full subcategory  $\mathcal{D}$  splits if and only if  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under direct summands.

A ring  $R$  is *semiperfect* if  $R/\text{rad}(R)$  is semisimple and any idempotent in  $R/\text{rad}(R)$  can be lifted to  $R$ , where  $\text{rad}(R)$  is the Jacobson radical.

**Theorem A.1.** *An additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  is Krull–Schmidt if and only if any idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$  splits, and  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  is semiperfect for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*In this case, any object has a unique (up to order) direct decomposition into indecomposables.*

*Proof.* For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , denote by  $\text{add } X$  the full subcategory of the direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of  $X$ , and set  $R := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^{op}$ . Let  $R\text{-proj}$  denote the category of finitely-generated projective left  $R$ -modules. Consider the fully-faithful functor

$$\Phi_X := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -) : \text{add } X \longrightarrow R\text{-proj}.$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is Krull–Schmidt. Then  $X = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$  with each  $X_i$  indecomposable and  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i)$  local. Set  $P_i := \Phi_X(X_i)$ . Then  ${}_R R = P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_n$  with  $\text{End}_R(P_i) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i)$  local. Thus  $R$  is semiperfect by Theorem 27.6(b) in Anderson and Fuller (1974), and so is  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = R^{op}$ . Note that every object  $P \in R\text{-proj}$  is a direct sum of finitely many  $P_i$ 's: in fact, note that  $\{S_i := P_i/\text{rad}(P_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is the set of pairwise nonisomorphic simple  $R$ -modules and that the projection  $P \longrightarrow P/\text{rad}(P) = \bigoplus_i S_i^{m_i}$  is a projective cover, thus  $P \simeq \bigoplus_i P_i^{m_i}$ . It follows that  $P$  is essentially contained in the image of  $\Phi_X$ , and hence  $\Phi_X$  is an equivalence. Consider  $R\text{-Mod}$ , the category of left  $R$ -modules. Since  $R\text{-Mod}$  is abelian, it follows that any idempotent in  $R\text{-Mod}$  splits. Since  $R\text{-proj}$  is a full subcategory of  $R\text{-Mod}$  closed under direct summands, it follows that any idempotent in  $R\text{-proj}$  splits. So each idempotent in  $\text{add}(X)$  splits. This proves that any idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$  splits.

Conversely, assume that each idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$  splits and  $R^{op} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  is semiperfect for each  $X$ . Then again by Theorem 27.6(b) in Anderson and Fuller (1974), we have  $R = Re_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Re_n$  where each  $e_i$  is idempotent such that  $e_i Re_i$  is local. Since  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$  and  $e_i$  splits in  $\mathcal{C}$  via, say  $X \xrightarrow{u_i} Y_i \xrightarrow{v_i} X$ , it follows that  $X \simeq Y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_n$  via the morphism  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)^t$  with inverse  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Note that  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y_i) \simeq e_i \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) e_i = (e_i Re_i)^{op}$  is local. This proves that  $\mathcal{C}$  is Krull–Schmidt.

For the last statement, it suffices to show the uniqueness of decomposition in  $\text{add } X$  for each  $X$ . This follows from the fact that  $\Phi_X$  is an equivalence, since the uniqueness of decomposition in  $R\text{-proj}$  is well known by Azumaya's theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 12.6(2) in Anderson and Fuller, 1974). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**A.2.**

Let  $k$  be a field. An additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Hom-finite  $k$ -category if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  is finite-dimensional  $k$ -space for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ , or equivalently,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra for any object  $X$ .

**Corollary A.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Hom-finite  $k$ -category. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  is Krull–Schmidt;
- (ii) Each idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$  splits;
- (iii) For any indecomposable  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  has no non-trivial idempotents.

**Remark A.2.** By Corollary A.2(ii), a Hom-finite abelian  $k$ -category is Krull–Schmidt.

In particular, the category of coherent sheaves on a complete variety is Krull–Schmidt (see Atiyah, 1956, Theorem 2(i)).

**B. Homotopically-Minimal Complexes**

In this part,  $A$  is a finite-dimensional algebra over a field  $k$ .

**B.1.**

A complex  $P^\bullet = (P^n, d^n) \in C(A\text{-proj})$  is called *homotopically-minimal* provided that a chain map  $\phi^\bullet : P^\bullet \rightarrow P^\bullet$  is an isomorphism if and only if it is an isomorphism in  $K(A\text{-proj})$  (see Krause, 2005).

Applying Lemma B.1 and Proposition B.2 in Krause (2005), and duality, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition B.1** (Krause, 2005). *Let  $P^\bullet = (P^n, d^n) \in C(A\text{-proj})$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) The complex  $P^\bullet$  is homotopically-minimal;
- (ii) Each differential  $d^n$  factors through  $\text{rad}(P^{n+1})$ ;
- (iii) The complex  $P^\bullet$  has no nonzero direct summands in  $C(A\text{-proj})$  which are null-homotopic.

Moreover, in  $C(A\text{-proj})$  every complex  $P^\bullet$  has a decomposition  $P^\bullet = P'^\bullet \oplus P''^\bullet$  such that  $P'^\bullet$  is homotopically-minimal and  $P''^\bullet$  is null-homotopic.

**B.2.**

For  $P^\bullet \in C(A\text{-proj})$ , consider the ideal of  $\text{End}_{C(A\text{-proj})}(P^\bullet)$ :

$$\text{Htp}(P^\bullet) = \{\phi^\bullet : P^\bullet \rightarrow P^\bullet \mid \phi^\bullet \text{ is homotopic to zero}\}.$$

**Lemma B.2.** *Assume  $\text{rad}^l(A) = 0$ . Let  $P^\bullet$  be homotopically-minimal. Then  $(\text{Htp}(P^\bullet))^l = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi^\bullet \in \text{Htp}(P^\bullet)$  with homotopy  $\{h^n\}$ . Then  $\phi^n = d^{n-1}h^n + h^{n+1}d^n$ . Since by assumption both  $d^{n-1}$  and  $d^n$  factor through radicals, it follows that  $\phi^n$  factors through  $\text{rad } P^n$ . Therefore, for  $k \geq 1$  morphisms in  $(\text{Htp}(P^\bullet))^k$  factor through the  $k$ th radicals. So the assertion follows from  $\text{rad}^l(A) = 0$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $C^{-\cdot b}(A\text{-proj})$  the category of bounded above complexes of projective modules with finitely many nonzero cohomologies, and by  $K^{-\cdot b}(A\text{-proj})$  its homotopy category. It is well known that there is a triangle-equivalence  $\mathbf{p} : D^b(A\text{-mod}) \simeq K^{-\cdot b}(A\text{-proj})$ .

The following result can be deduced from Corollary 2.10 in Balmer and Schlichting (2001). See also Burban and Drozd (2004).

**Theorem B.2.** *The bounded derived category  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$  is Krull–Schmidt.*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $D^b(A\text{-mod})$  is Hom-finite. By Corollary A.2 it suffices to show that  $\text{End}_{D^b(A\text{-mod})}(X^\bullet)$  has no nontrivial idempotents, for any indecomposable  $X^\bullet$ .

By Proposition B.1 we may assume that  $P^\bullet := \mathbf{p}X^\bullet$  is homotopically-minimal. Since  $P^\bullet$  is indecomposable in  $K^{-\cdot b}(A\text{-proj})$ , it follows from Proposition B.1(iii) that  $P^\bullet$  is indecomposable in  $C(A\text{-proj})$ . Since idempotents in  $C(A\text{-proj})$  split, it follows that  $\text{End}_{C(A\text{-proj})}(P^\bullet)$  has no nontrivial idempotents. Note that

$$\text{End}_{D^b(A\text{-mod})}(X^\bullet) = \text{End}_{K^{-\cdot b}(A\text{-proj})}(P^\bullet) = \text{End}_{C(A\text{-proj})}(P^\bullet)/\text{Htp}(P^\bullet).$$

Since by Lemma B.2  $\text{Htp}(P^\bullet)$  is a nilpotent ideal, it follows that any idempotent in the quotient algebra  $\text{End}_{C(A\text{-proj})}(P^\bullet)/\text{Htp}(P^\bullet)$  lifts to  $\text{End}_{C(A\text{-proj})}(P^\bullet)$ . Therefore,  $\text{End}_{C(A\text{-proj})}(P^\bullet)/\text{Htp}(P^\bullet)$  has no nontrivial idempotents.  $\square$

### B.3.

For  $X^\bullet = (X^n, d^n)$  in  $C^b(A\text{-mod})$ , define the *width*  $w(X^\bullet)$  of  $X^\bullet$  to be the cardinality of  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid X^n \neq 0\}$ . The strong global dimension  $\text{s.gl.dim}(A)$  of  $A$  is defined by (see Skowronski, 1987)

$$\text{s.gl.dim}(A) := \sup\{w(X^\bullet) \mid X^\bullet \text{ is indecomposable in } C^b(A\text{-proj})\}.$$

By Proposition B.1 an indecomposable  $X^\bullet$  in  $C^b(A\text{-proj})$  is either homotopically-minimal, or null-homotopic (thus it is of the form  $\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} P \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$ , for some indecomposable projective  $A$ -module  $P$ ). So we have

$$\text{s.gl.dim}(A) = \sup\{2, w(P^\bullet) \mid P^\bullet \text{ is homotopically-minimal and indecomposable in } C^b(A\text{-proj})\}.$$

Let  $M$  be an indecomposable  $A$ -module with minimal projective resolution  $P^\bullet \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M$ . Denote by  $\tau^{\geq -m}P^\bullet$  the brutal truncation of  $P^\bullet$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . By Proposition B.1(ii)  $\tau^{\geq -m}P^\bullet$  is homotopically-minimal.



If  $\tau^{\geq -m}P^\bullet = P^\bullet \oplus Q^\bullet$  in  $C^b(A\text{-proj})$  with  $P^\bullet = (P^n, d^n)$ ,  $P'^\bullet = (P'^n, \delta^n)$ , and  $Q^\bullet = (Q^n, \partial^n)$ , then both  $P'^\bullet$  and  $Q^\bullet$  are homotopically-minimal. Assume that  $P'^0 \neq 0$ , and set  $t_0 := \max\{t \in \mathbb{Z} \mid Q^t \neq 0\}$ . Then  $-m \leq t_0 \leq 0$ . Since  $M$  is indecomposable and both  $P'^\bullet$  and  $Q^\bullet$  are homotopically-minimal, it follows that  $t_0 \neq 0$ , and hence  $Q'^0 \subseteq \text{Ker } d'^0 \subseteq \text{rad}(P'^0) = \text{rad}(P'^0 \oplus Q'^0)$ , a contradiction. This proves the following lemma.

**Lemma B.3.** *The complex  $\tau^{\geq -m}P^\bullet$  is homotopically-minimal and indecomposable in  $C^b(A\text{-proj})$ .*

As a consequence we have the following corollary.

**Corollary B.3** (Skowronski, 1987, p. 541). *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional algebra. Then*

$$\text{s.gl.dim}(A) \geq \max(2, 1 + \text{gl.dim}(A)).$$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This article is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10725104 and 10501041), and of Shanghai City (Grant No. ZR0614049).

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, F. W., Fuller, K. R. (1974). *Rings and Categories of Modules*. Grad. Texts in Math. 13. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Assem, I., Happel, D., Roldàn, O. (1984). Representation-finite trivial extension algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 33(3):235–242.
- Auslander, M., Reiten, I., Smalø, S. O. (1995). *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*. Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Atiyah, M. F. (1956). On the Krull–Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves. *Bulletin la S.M.F. tome* 84:307–317.
- Auslander, M. (1971). *Representation Dimension of Artin Algebras*. Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, London.
- Balmer, P., Schlichting, M. (2001). Idempotent completion of triangulated categories. *J. Algebra* 236(2):819–834.
- Bondal, A., Van den Bergh, M. (2003). Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. *Moscow Math. J.* 3:1–36.
- Bongartz, K., Gabriel, P. (1982). Covering spaces in representation theory. *Invent. Math.* 65:331–378.
- Burban, I., Drozd, Yu. A. (2004). Derived categories of nodal algebras. *J. Algebra* 272(1):46–94.
- Gabriel, P. (1981). The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra. In: *Representations of Algebras*. Lecture Notes in Math. 903. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 68–105.
- Happel, D. (1988). *Triangulated Categories in Representation Theory of Finite Dimensional Algebras*. London Math. Soc., Lecture Notes Ser 119. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Hartshorne, R. (1966). *Residue and Duality*. Lecture Notes in Math. 20. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag.

- Hughes, D., Waschbüsch, J. (1983). Trivial extensions of tilted algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 46:347–364.
- Krause, H. (2005). The stable derived category of a noetherian scheme. *Compos. Math.* 141:1128–1162.
- Krause, H., Kussin, D. (2006). Rouquier’s theorem on representation dimension. In: de la Pena, J. A., Bautista, R., eds. *Trends in Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics*. Proceedings of the Workshop on Representations of Algebras and Related Topics, Queretaro 2004, *Contemp. Math.* 406:95–103.
- Ringel, C. M. (1984). *Tame Algebras and Integral Quadratic Forms*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1099. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo: Springer-Verlag.
- Rouquier, R. Dimensions of triangulated categories. math.CT/0310134. To appear in *J. K-Theory*.
- Skowronski, A. (1987). On algebras with finite strong global dimension. *Bulletin Polish Academy Sci. Math.* 35(9–10):539–547.
- Verdier, J. L. (1977). *Catégories Dérivées, etat 0*. Lecture Notes in Math. 569. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 262–311.