Almost Derivations on C*-Ternary Rings

Mohammad Sal Moslehian^{*}

Abstract

We establish the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of derivations in C^* -ternary rings associated to the Cauchy functional equation. We also show that any so-called almost derivation on a C^* -ternary ring is a true derivation.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

A C^* -ternary ring is a Banach space \mathcal{A} equipped with a ternary product $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x \ y \ z]$ of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{A} which is linear in the outer variables, conjugate linear in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that $[x \ y \ [z \ t \ s]] = [x \ [t \ z \ y] \ s] = [[x \ y \ z] \ t \ s]$, and satisfies $||[x \ y \ z]|| \leq ||x|| \ ||y|| \ ||z||$ and $||[x \ x \ x]|| = ||x||^3$; cf. [24]. For instance, any ternary ring of operators, namely any closed subspace of the space $B(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{K})$ of bounded linear operators between Hilbert spaces \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{K} which is closed under the ternary product $[x \ y \ z] := xy^*z$ is a C*-ternary ring.

If a C^* -ternary ring $(\mathcal{A}, [])$ has an identity, i.e. an element e such that $x = [x \ e \ e] = [e \ e \ x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then it is routine to verify that \mathcal{A} endowed with $x \odot y := [x \ e \ y]$ and $x^* := [e \ x \ e]$ is a unital C^* -algebra. The most important thing is the C^* -condition. To see this, note that

$$\begin{split} \|x \odot x^* \odot x\| &= \|[x \ e \ x^*] \odot x\| = \|[x \ e \ x^*] \ e \ x]\| = \|[[x \ e \ e \ e \ e]] \ e \ x]\| \\ &= \|[[[x \ e \ e] \ x \ e] \ e \ x]\| = \|[[x \ x \ e] \ e \ x]\| \\ &= \|[x \ x \ x]\| \\ &= \|[x \ x \ x]\| \\ &= \|[x \|^3, \end{split}$$

*This research was supported by Iran National Science Foundation (ISFN) (No. 84053) Received by the editors January 2006 - In revised form in April 2006. Communicated by F. Bastin.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 39B52; Secondary 39B82, 46L05.

Key words and phrases : Generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability, C^* -ternary ring, derivation, Cauchy functional equation.

Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 14 (2007), 135-142

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x \odot x^*\|^3 &= \|(x \odot x^*) \odot (x \odot x^*)^* \odot (x \odot x^*)\| = \|(x \odot x^* \odot x) \odot (x \odot x^* \odot x)^*\| \\ &\leq \|(x \odot x^* \odot x)\| \|(x \odot x^* \odot x)^*\| \le \|x\|^3 \|x^*\|^3 = \|x\|^6, \end{aligned}$$

by applying $||x|| = ||x^*||$ which is followed from $||x||^3 = ||[x \ x^* \ x]|| \le ||x|| \ ||x^*|| \ ||x||$.

Conversely, if (A, \odot) is a (unital) C^* -algebra, then $[x \ y \ z] := x \odot y^* \odot z$ makes \mathcal{A} into a C^* -ternary ring (with the unit e such that $x \odot y = [x \ e \ y]$).

A linear mapping $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a *derivation* if $\delta([x \ y \ z]) = [\delta(x) \ y \ z] + [x \ \delta(y) \ z] + [x \ y \ \delta(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$. This notion is a generalization of derivation on a Hilbert C^* -module; cf. [11].

We say a functional equation (\mathcal{E}) is *stable* if any function g satisfying the equation (\mathcal{E}) "approximately" is near to a true solution of (\mathcal{E}) . The equation (\mathcal{E}) is called *superstable* if every approximate solution of (\mathcal{E}) is an exact solution (see [3] for another notion of superstability namely *superstability modulo the bounded functions*)

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [23], posed in 1940, concerning the stability of group homomorphisms:

Let $(\mathcal{G}_1, *)$ be a group and let $(\mathcal{G}_2, \diamond, d)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : \mathcal{G}_1 \to \mathcal{G}_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : \mathcal{G}_1 \to \mathcal{G}_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_1$?

In the next year, Hyers [6] gave a partial affirmative answer to the question of Ulam in the context of Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [21] extended the theorem of Hyers by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference $||f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)|| \le \varepsilon(||x||^p + ||y||^p)$, ($\varepsilon > 0, p \in [0, 1)$). The result of Th. M. Rassias has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we now call *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability* of functional equations. In 1994, a generalization of Rassias' theorem, the so-called generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability, was obtained by Găvruta [5]. During the last decades several stability problems of functional equations have been investigated in the spirit of Hyers–Ulam–Rassias. See [4, 7, 8, 22] for more detailed information on stability of functional equations. Some results on stability of mappings on other ternary structures may be found in [1, 16].

Recently, the stability of various types of derivations has been extensively investigated by some mathematicians; see [10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19]. In this paper, using some strategies from [2, 17], we establish the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of derivations associated to the Cauchy equations. Because of the interrelation between unital C^* -algebras and unital C^* -ternary rings our approach may be applied to study of stability of derivations in unital C^* -algebras; see [17]. Introducing the notion of almost derivation on a C^* -ternary ring and using some ideas from [12] we prove that every almost derivation is a true derivation.

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{A} denotes a C^* -ternary ring.

2 Generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability

In this section, we are going to establish the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of derivations in C^* -ternary rings associated with the Cauchy functional equation. See [1] for a fixed point approach in the framework of Hilbert C^* -modules.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exists a function $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^5 \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(x,y,u,v,w):=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}2^{-n}\varphi(2^nx,2^ny,2^nu,2^nv,2^nw)<\infty,$$

and

$$\|f(\mu x + \mu y + [u \ v \ w]) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) - [f(u) \ v \ w] - [u \ f(v) \ w] - [u \ v \ f(w)]\| \\ \leq \varphi(x, y, u, v, w),$$
(2.1)

for all $\mu \in T^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and all $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique derivation $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$||f(x) - \delta(x)|| \le \widetilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Set $u = v = w = 0, \mu = 1, y = x$ in (2.1) to get

$$||f(2x) - 2f(x)|| \le \varphi(x, x, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Using the induction, one can show that

$$\|2^{-n}f(2^nx) - f(x)\| \le \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{-k}\varphi(2^kx, 2^kx, 0, 0, 0),$$
(2.2)

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and for all positive integers n, and

$$\|2^{-n}f(2^nx) - 2^{-m}f(2^mx)\| \le \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^{-k}\varphi(2^kx, 2^kx, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and for all non-negative integers m, n with m < n. Hence $\{2^{-n}f(2^nx)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{A} . Due to the completeness of \mathcal{A} we conclude that this sequence is convergent. Set

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x), \qquad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If $n \to \infty$ in inequality (2.2), we obtain

$$||f(x) - \delta(x)|| \le \widetilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Putting $u = v = w = 0, y = 2^{n-1}x$ and replacing x by $2^{n-1}x$ in (2.1) we obtain

$$||f(2^{n}\mu x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)|| \le \varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}, \mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu f(2^{n}x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)\| &\leq \|\mu| \cdot \|f(2^{n}x) - 2f(2^{n-1}x)\| \\ &\leq \varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x, 0, 0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^{-n}f(2^{n}\mu x) - 2^{-n}\mu f(2^{n}x)\| &\leq 2^{-n} \|f(2^{n}\mu x) - 2\mu f(2^{n-1}x)\| \\ &+ 2^{-n} \|2\mu f(2^{n-1}x) - \mu f(2^{n}x)\| \\ &\leq 2^{-n+1}\varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x, 0, 0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Since the right hand side tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\delta(\mu x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n \mu x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \mu \delta(x),$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Obviously, $\delta(0x) = 0 = 0\delta(x)$.

Next, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ $(\lambda \neq 0)$ and let M be a natural number greater than $4|\lambda|$. Then $|\frac{\lambda}{M}| < \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{2}{3} = 1/3$. By Theorem 1 of [9], there exist three numbers $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbb{T}^1$ such that $3\frac{\lambda}{M} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$. By the additivity of δ we get $\delta(\frac{1}{3}x) = \frac{1}{3}\delta(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \delta(\lambda x) &= \delta(\frac{M}{3} \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{M} x) = M\delta(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{M} x) = \frac{M}{3}\delta(3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{M} x) \\ &= \frac{M}{3}\delta(\mu_1 x + \mu_2 x + \mu_3 x) = \frac{M}{3}(\delta(\mu_1 x) + \delta(\mu_2 x) + \delta(\mu_3 x)) \\ &= \frac{M}{3}(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3)\delta(x) = \frac{M}{3} \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{M} \\ &= \lambda\delta(x), \end{split}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So that δ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Set x = y = 0 and replace u, v, w by $2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w$, respectively, in (2.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{3n}} \|f(2^{3n}[u\ v\ w]) - [f(2^n u)\ 2^n v\ 2^n w] - [2^n u\ f(2^n v)\ 2^n w] - [2^n u\ 2^n v\ f(2^n w)] \| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{3n}} \varphi(0, 0, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w), \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from the continuity of the mapping $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ given by $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x \ y \ z]$ that

$$\begin{split} \delta([u \ v \ w]) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^{3n}[u \ v \ w])}{2^{3n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{f(2^n u)}{2^n} \ v \ w] + \left[u \ \frac{f(2^n v)}{2^n} \ w\right] + \left[u \ v \ \frac{f(2^n w)}{2^n} \right] \\ &= \left[\delta(u) \ v \ w \right] + \left[u \ \delta(v) \ w \right] + \left[u \ v \ \delta(w) \right], \end{split}$$

for all $u, v, w \in A$. Thus δ is a derivation satisfying the required inequality.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with f(0) = 0 and there exists a function $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^5 \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\varphi}(x, y, u, v, w) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w) < \infty, \\ \|f(\mu x + \mu y + [u \ v \ w]) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) - [f(u) \ v \ w] - [u \ f(v) \ w] - [u \ v \ f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varphi(x, y, u, v, w), \end{split}$$
(2.3)

for $\mu = 1$, i and all $x, y, u, v, w \in A$. If for each fixed $x \in A$ the function $t \mapsto f(tx)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} , then there exists a unique derivation $\delta : A \to A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\| \le \widetilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. By the same arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can appropriately approximate f by a unique additive mapping $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ given by $\delta(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$, $(x \in \mathcal{A})$.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of the main theorem of [21], the mapping δ is \mathbb{R} -linear.

Assuming y = u = v = w = 0 and $\mu = \mathbf{i}$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$||f(\mathbf{i}x) - \mathbf{i}f(x)|| \le \varphi(x, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence

$$2^{-n} \|f(2^{n} \mathbf{i} x) - \mathbf{i} f(2^{n} x)\| \le 2^{-n} \varphi(2^{n} x, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The right hand side tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, hence

$$\delta(\mathbf{i}x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n \mathbf{i}x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{i}f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \mathbf{i}\delta(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda = s + \mathbf{i}t$ in which $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\delta(\lambda x) = \delta(sx + \mathbf{i}tx) = s\delta(x) + t\delta(\mathbf{i}x) = s\delta(X) + \mathbf{i}t\delta(x) = (s + \mathbf{i}t)\delta(x) = \lambda\delta(x) + \mathbf{i}t\delta(x) = \delta(x) + \mathbf{i}t\delta(x) = \delta($$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus δ is \mathbb{C} -linear. The rest of the proof is similar to the last part of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Superstability

In this section, we aim to prove the superstability of derivations on C^* -ternary rings. We start our work with following result in which we give some sufficient conditions in order an approximate derivation to be an exact one.

Proposition 3.1. Let r > 1, and let $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a mapping satisfying $\delta(rx) = r\delta(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and let there exist a function $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^5 \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} r^{-n} \varphi(r^n x, r^n y, r^n u, r^n v, r^n w) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta(\lambda x + \lambda y + [u \ v \ w]) - \lambda\delta(x) - \lambda\delta(y) - [\delta(u) \ v \ w] - [u \ \delta(v) \ w] - [u \ v \ \delta(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varphi(x, y, u, v, w), \end{aligned}$$
(3.1)

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Then δ is a derivation.

Proof. $\delta(0) = 0$, since $\delta(0) = r\delta(0)$. Set x = y = 0 in (3.1). Then

$$\begin{split} \|\delta([u \ v \ w]) - [\delta(u) \ v \ w] - [u \ \delta(v) \ w] - [u \ v \ \delta(w)]\| \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{3n}} \|\delta([r^n u \ r^n v \ r^n w]) - [\delta(r^n u) \ r^n v \ r^n w] \\ &- [r^n u \ \delta(r^n v) \ r^n w] - [r^n u \ r^n v \ \delta(r^n w)]\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^{3n}} \varphi(r^n u, r^n v, r^n w) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^n} \varphi(r^n u, r^n v, r^n w), \end{split}$$

for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. The right hand side tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. So that $\delta([u \ v \ w]) = [\delta(u) \ v \ w] + [u \ \delta(v) \ w] + [u \ v \ \delta(w)]$ for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$.

Similarly, one can shows that $\delta(\lambda x + y) = \lambda \delta(x) + \delta(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now we introduce an appropriate definition of almost derivation regarding to Rassias's inequality (see the introduction).

Definition 3.2. Given numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 \le p < 1$, a mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called an (ε, p) -almost derivation if f(0) = 0 and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\mu x + \mu y + [u \ v \ w]) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) - [f(u) \ v \ w] - [u \ f(v) \ w] - [u \ v \ f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|u\|^p + \|v\|^p + \|w\|^p), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}.$

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an (ε, p) -almost derivation. Then f is a derivation. Proof. Put $\varphi(x, y, u, v, w) = \varepsilon(||x||^p + ||y||^p + ||u||^p + ||v||^p + ||w||^p)$ in Theorem 2.1. Then we get a derivation δ defined by $\delta(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$ such that

$$\|\delta(x) - f(x)\| \le \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p}{1 - 2^{p-1}},$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. We have

$$\begin{split} &\|2^{n}([u\ v\ f(2^{m}w)] - [u\ v\ 2^{m}f(w)])\| \\ &\leq \|f([2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]) - [f(2^{n}u)\ v\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ f(v)\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ v\ f(2^{m}w)]\| \\ &+ \|f([2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]) - [f(2^{n}u)\ v\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ f(v)\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|2^{n}u\|^{p} + \|v\|^{p} + \|2^{m}w\|^{p}) \\ &+ \|f([2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]) - [f(2^{n}u)\ v\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ f(v)\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|2^{n}u\|^{p} + \|v\|^{p} + \|2^{m}w\|^{p}) + \|f([2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]) - \delta([2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w])\| \\ &+ \|\delta([2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]) - [f(2^{n}u)\ v\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ f(v)\ 2^{m}w] - [2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|2^{n}u\|^{p} + \|v\|^{p} + \|2^{m}w\|^{p}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &+ 2^{m}\|\delta([2^{n}u\ v\ w]) - [f(2^{n}u)\ v\ w] - [2^{n}u\ f(v)\ w] - [2^{n}u\ v\ f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|2^{n}u\|^{p} + \|v\|^{p} + \|2^{m}w\|^{p}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &+ 2^{m}\|f([2^{n}u\ v\ w]) - [f(2^{n}u\ v\ w]) - [2^{n}u\ f(v)\ w] - [2^{n}u\ v\ f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|2^{n}u\|^{p} + \|v\|^{p} + \|2^{m}w\|^{p}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &+ 2^{m}\|f([2^{n}u\ v\ w]) - [f(2^{n}u\ v\ w]) - [2^{n}u\ f(v)\ w] - [2^{n}u\ v\ f(w)]\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|2^{n}u\|^{p} + \|v\|^{p} + \|2^{m}w\|^{p}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &+ \frac{2^{m}\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &+ \frac{2^{m}\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|2^{n}u\|^{p} + \|v\|^{p} + \|2^{m}w\|^{p}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &+ \frac{2^{m}\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-1}}\|[2^{n}u\ v\ 2^{m}w]\|^{p} \\ &+ \frac{2^{m}\varepsilon}{$$

for all nonnegative integers m, n and all $u, v, w \in A$. Fix m, divide the both sides of the last inequality by 2^n and let n tend to ∞ to obtain

$$||[u \ v \ f(2^m w)] - [u \ v \ 2^m f(w)]|| \le 0,$$

for all m and all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore $\|[u \ v \ (\frac{f(2^m w)}{2^m} - f(w))]\| = 0$ for all m and all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Letting m to ∞ we get $\|[u \ v \ (\delta(w) - f(w))]\| = 0$ for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Putting $u = v = \delta(w) - f(w)$ we obtain

$$\|\delta(w) - f(w)\|^3 = \|[(\delta(w) - f(w)) \ (\delta(w) - f(w)) \ (\delta(w) - f(w))]\| = 0,$$

and so $\delta(w) = f(w)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{A}$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to sincerely thank the referee for his/her useful comments.

References

- M. Amyari and M. S. Moslehian, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of derivations on Hilbert C*-modules, Contemporary Math. (to appear).
- [2] C. Baak and M. S. Moslehian, Stability of J*-homomorphisms, Nonlinear Analysis– TMA 63 (2005), 42–48.
- [3] J. Baker, The stability of the cosine equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1979), 242–246.
- [4] S. Czerwik, Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [5] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), 431–436.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27 (1941), 222–224.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [8] S.-M. Jung, Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis, Hadronic Press Inc. Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
- [9] R. V. Kadison and G. K. Pedersen, Means and convex combinations of unitary operators, Math. Scan. 57 (1985), 249–266.
- [10] Y. W. Lee, The stability of derivations on Banach algebras, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 28 (2000), 113–116.
- [11] X. Liu and T. Z. Xu, Automatic continuity of derivations of Hilbert C^{*}-modules, J. Baoji College Arts Sci. Nat. Sci. no.2 (1995), 14-17.
- [12] T. Miura, G. Hirasawa and S.-E. Takahasi, A perturbation of ring derivations on Banach algebras, J. Math Anal. Appl. (to appear).
- [13] M. S. Moslehian, Approximate $(\sigma \tau)$ -contractibility, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
- [14] M. S. Moslehian, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations, Internat J. Math. Math. Sci. 2006 (2006), Art. ID 93942, 1–8.
- [15] M. S. Moslehian, Approximately vanishing of topological cohomology groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006), 758–771.
- [16] M. S. Moslehian and L. Székelyhidi, Stability of ternary homomorphisms via generalized Jensen equation, Results in Math., 49 (2006), 289–300.
- [17] C.-G. Park, Linear derivations on C*-algebras, Rev. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 11 (2003), 83–88.
- [18] C.-G. Park Linear *-derivations on JB*-algebras, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 25 (2005), 449–454.

- [19] C.-G. Park and J. Hou Homomorphisms between C*-algebras associated with the Trif functional equation and linear derivations on C*-algebras, J. Korean Math. Soc. 41 (2004), 461–477.
- [20] T. Popoviciu, Sur certaines inégalités qui caractérisent les fonctions convexes, An. Științ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași Secț. I a Mat. 11 (1965) 155-164.
- [21] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297–300.
- [22] Th. M. Rassias (ed.), Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003., 2003.
- [23] S. M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [24] H. Zettl, A characterization of ternary rings of operators, Adv. Math. 48 (1983), 117–143.

Department of Mathematics Ferdowsi University P. O. Box 1159 Mashhad 91775 Iran email:moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir