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#### Abstract

We consider locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifolds showing that such a manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold if and only if the Lie derivative of the structure, with respect to the Reeb vector field $\xi$, vanishes. Furthermore, assuming that for a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold such Lie derivative does not vanish and the curvature satisfies $R_{X Y} \xi=0$ for any $X, Y$ orthogonal to $\xi$, we prove that the manifold is locally isometric to the Riemannian product of an $(n+1)$-dimensional manifold of constant curvature -4 and a flat $n$-dimensional manifold. We give an example of such a manifold.


## Introduction

An almost contact structure on a differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ is given by a tensor field $\varphi$ of type ( 1,1 ), a vector field $\xi$ and a 1-form $\eta$ satisfying $\varphi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi$ and $\eta(\xi)=1$, which imply that $\varphi(\xi)=0$ and $\eta \circ \varphi=0$.

Furthermore, on the product manifold $M^{2 n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ one can define an almost complex structure $J$ by $J\left(X, f \frac{d}{d t}\right)=\left(\varphi X-f \xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{d t}\right)$, where $X$ is a vector field tangent to $M^{2 n+1}, t$ is the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$ and $f$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ function on $M^{2 n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$. If $J$ is integrable, the almost contact structure is said to be normal and it is known that this is equivalent to the vanishing of the tensor field $N=[\varphi, \varphi]+2 d \eta \otimes \xi$, where $[\varphi, \varphi]$ is the Nijenhuis torsion of $\varphi([3])$.

An almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is given by an almost contact structure and a Riemannian metric $g$ satisfying $g(\varphi X, \varphi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y)$ for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Then, the fundamental 2 -form $\Phi$ is defined by

[^0]$\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y)$ for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. For more details, we refer to Blair's books [3], [5].

A contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost contact metric structure such that $\Phi=d \eta$ and if the structure is normal, then it is a Sasakian structure. In [14], Z. Olszak proved that in dimension $2 n+1 \geq 5$ any contact metric manifold of constant sectional curvature has sectional curvature equal to 1 and is a Sasakian manifold. In [4], D.E. Blair proved that if the Riemannian curvature of a contact metric manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ satisfies $R_{X Y} \xi=0$ for all vector fields $X$ and $Y$, then $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally the product of a flat $(n+1)$-dimensional manifold and an $n$-dimensional manifold of constant curvature 4. In particular, the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits such a structure. More recently, in [6] E. Boeckx and J.T. Cho proved that a locally symmetric contact metric space is either Sasakian of constant curvature 1 or locally isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times S^{n}(4)$.

In this paper, we consider the class of almost contact metric manifolds called almost Kenmotsu manifolds. In [15], Olszak proved that if such a manifold has constant sectional curvature $K$ and dimension $2 n+1 \geq 5$, then it is a Kenmotsu manifold and $K=-1$. We give another proof of the same result without restrictions on the dimension. We also study locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifolds $M^{2 n+1}$ showing that such a manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold if and only if the operator $h=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \varphi$ vanishes, where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie differentiation. Furthermore, assuming $h \neq 0$ and $R_{X Y} \xi=0$ for all vector fields $X$ and $Y$ orthogonal to $\xi$, we prove that the spectrum of $h$ is $\{0,1,-1\}$, with 0 as simple eigenvalue, and $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally the product of an $(n+1)$-dimensional manifold of constant curvature -4 and an $n$-dimensional flat manifold. We provide an example of such a manifold. Comparing with the contact case, one can state the following question: is a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold either Kenmotsu of constant curvature -1 or locally isometric to the product $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ?

As usual, the manifolds involved are assumed to be connected. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$ the space of the $C^{\infty}$-sections of $T M^{2 n+1}$.

As regards Kenmotsu manifolds, we recall here the basic data related to them. An almost contact metric manifold $M^{2 n+1}$, with structure ( $\varphi, \xi, \eta, g$ ), is said to be a Kenmotsu manifold if it is normal, the 1-form $\eta$ is closed and $d \Phi=2 \eta \wedge \Phi$. It is well known that Kenmotsu manifolds can be characterized by

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right)(Y)=g(\varphi X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) \varphi(X),
$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$, which implies that $\nabla_{\xi} \varphi=0$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the distribution orthogonal to $\xi$, that is $\mathcal{D}=\operatorname{Im}(\varphi)=\operatorname{Ker}(\eta)$. It can be seen that $\nabla_{\xi} X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\nabla_{X} \xi \in \mathcal{D}$ for any vector field $X \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, one has $\nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2}$ and $\nabla \eta=g-\eta \otimes \eta$. Since $\eta$ is closed, $\mathcal{D}$ is an integrable distribution. It is known that its leaves are $2 n$-dimensional totally umbilical Kähler manifolds with mean curvature vector field $H=-\xi$. Kenmotsu manifolds appear for the first time in [9], where they have been locally classified.

Theorem 1. ([9]) Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a Kenmotsu manifold. Then, $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally a warped product $M^{\prime} \times_{f^{2}} N^{2 n}$ where $N^{2 n}$ is a Kähler manifold, $M^{\prime}$ is an open interval with coordinate $t$, and $f^{2}=c e^{2 t}$ for some positive constant $c$.

As proved in [9], a Kenmotsu manifold is locally symmetric if and only if it is a space of constant sectional curvature $K=-1$.

## 1 Almost Kenmotsu manifolds

An almost contact metric manifold $M^{2 n+1}$, with structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, is said to be an almost Kenmotsu manifold if the 1-form $\eta$ is closed and $d \Phi=2 \eta \wedge \Phi$. Obviously, a normal almost Kenmotsu manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold.

Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold with structure ( $\varphi, \xi, \eta, g$ ). Since the 1-form $\eta$ is closed, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \eta=0$ and $[X, \xi] \in \mathcal{D}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$. The Levi-Civita connection satisfies $\nabla_{\xi} \xi=0$ and $\nabla_{\xi} \varphi=0([10])$, which implies that and $\nabla_{\xi} X \in \mathcal{D}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$.

Now, we set $A=-\nabla \xi$ and $h=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \varphi$. Obviously, $A(\xi)=0$ and $h(\xi)=0$. Moreover, the tensor fields $A$ and $h$ are symmetric operators and satisfy the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \circ \varphi+\varphi \circ A=-2 \varphi, \quad h \circ \varphi+\varphi \circ h=0 \\
& \nabla x \xi=-\varphi^{2} X-\varphi h X, \quad X \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \nabla \eta=g-\eta \otimes \eta+g \circ(\varphi \times h), \quad \delta \eta=-2 n .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $M^{2 n+1}$ cannot be compact. We also remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.2 in [10] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y+\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} \varphi\right)(\varphi Y)=-\eta(Y) \varphi X-2 g(X, \varphi Y) \xi-\eta(Y) h(X) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$. The following result is also proved in [10].
Proposition 1. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold. The integral manifolds of $\mathcal{D}$ are almost Kähler manifolds with mean curvature vector field $H=-\xi$. They are totally umbilical submanifolds of $M^{2 n+1}$ if and only if $h$ vanishes.

Example 1. Let $\left(N^{2 n}, J, \tilde{g}\right), n \geq 2$, be a strictly almost Kähler manifold and consider $\mathbb{R} \times N^{2 n}$, with coordinate $t$ on $\mathbb{R}$. We put $\xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \eta=d t$ and define the tensor field $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{R} \times N^{2 n}$ such that $\varphi X=J X$, if $X$ is a vector field on $N^{2 n}$, and $\varphi X=0$ if $X$ is tangent to $\mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, we consider the metric $g=g_{0}+c e^{2 t} \tilde{g}$, where $g_{0}$ denotes the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$. Then, the warped product $\mathbb{R} \times f_{f^{2}} N^{2 n}, f^{2}=c e^{2 t}$, with the structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, is a strictly almost Kenmotsu manifold. Namely, it is easy to verify that the 1 -form $\eta$ is closed and dual of $\xi$ with respect to $g, \varphi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi$ and $g$ is a compatible metric. Computing $\Phi$ and $d \Phi$, we get $\Phi=c e^{2 t} p_{2}^{*}(\tilde{\Omega})$, where $p_{2}$ is the projection on $N^{2 n}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the fundamental form of $N^{2 n}$. Then, since $d \tilde{\Omega}=0, d \Phi=2 d t \wedge \Phi=2 \eta \wedge \Phi$. Finally, since the torsion $N_{J}$ does not vanish, $N^{2 n}$ being strictly almost Kähler, we obtain that the structure is not normal.

Remark 1. In [13], Oguro and Sekigawa describe a strictly almost Kähler structure on the Riemannian product $\mathbb{H}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we obtain a 5 -dimensional strictly almost Kenmotsu manifold on the warped product $\mathbb{R} \times_{f^{2}}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}\right), f^{2}=c e^{2 t}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold and assume that $h=0$. Then, $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally a warped product $M^{\prime} \times_{f^{2}} N^{2 n}$, where $N^{2 n}$ is an almost Kähler manifold, $M^{\prime}$ is an open interval with coordinate $t$, and $f^{2}=c e^{2 t}$ for some positive constant $c$.

Proof. The vector field $\xi$ is geodesic and the orthogonal distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable with totally umbilical almost Kähler leaves. Thus, as a manifold, $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally a product $M^{\prime} \times N^{2 n}$ with $T M^{\prime}=[\xi]$ and $T N^{2 n}=\mathcal{D}$. We can choose a neighborhood with coordinates $\left(t, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{2 n}\right)$ such that $\pi_{*}(\xi)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \pi$ denoting the projection onto $M^{\prime}$. Then $\pi: M^{\prime} \times N^{2 n} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-submersion with vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}=T M^{\prime}$ and horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}=T N^{2 n}$. The splitting $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is orthogonal with respect to $g$ and for any $p \in M^{2 n+1}$ we have $g_{p}(\xi, \xi)=1=g_{\pi(p)}\left(\pi_{*} \xi, \pi_{*} \xi\right)$; hence, $\pi$ is a Riemannian submersion. Since the horizontal distribution is integrable, the O'Neill tensor $A$ vanishes. Moreover, the vector field $N=2 n H=-2 n \xi$ is basic. Now, computing the free-trace part $T^{0}$ of the O'Neill tensor $T$, for any $U, V$ vertical vector fields, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{U}^{0} V=T_{U} V-\frac{1}{2 n} g(U, V) N=\alpha(U, V)+g(U, V) \xi=0, \\
& T_{U}^{0} \xi=T_{U} \xi+\frac{1}{2 n} g(N, \xi) U=\nabla_{U} \xi-U=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $T^{0}=0$ and $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally a warped product of $\left(M^{\prime}, g_{0}\right)$ and $\left(N^{2 n}, \tilde{g}\right)$ by a positive function $f^{2}$ on $M^{\prime}$, where $g_{0}$ is the flat metric and $\tilde{g}$ is an almost Kähler metric. The vector field $N=-2 n \xi$ is $\pi$-related to $-\frac{2 n}{f} \operatorname{grad}_{g_{0}} f$ ([1], 9.104). It follows that $\operatorname{grad}_{g_{0}} f=f \frac{d}{d t}$, which implies that $f=k e^{t}$ and $f^{2}=c e^{2 t}$, with $c$ a positive constant. Hence, the warped metric is given by $d t \otimes d t+c e^{2 t} \tilde{g}$.

Proposition 2. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold such that the integral manifolds of $\mathcal{D}$ are Kähler. Then, $M^{2 n+1}$ is a Kenmotsu manifold if and only if $\nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2}$.

Proof. An easy computation shows that $N(X, \xi)=-2 h(\varphi X)$ for any vector field $X$. Hence, assuming that the structure is normal, then $h(Y)=0$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Being $h(\xi)=0$, we get $h=0$ and (2) implies that $\nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2}$. Vice versa, if $\nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2}$ then $h=0$ by (2), and thus $N(X, \xi)=0$ for any vector field $X$. Moreover, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $N(X, Y)=N_{J}(X, Y)=0$, the leaves of $\mathcal{D}$ being Kähler manifolds.

Proposition 3. An almost Kenmotsu manifold $M^{3}$ such that $\nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2}$ is a Kenmotsu manifold.

Proof. In this case the integral manifolds of the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ are almost Kähler of dimension 2 and thus they are Kähler. The result follows from the previous proposition.

## 2 Curvature properties and local symmetry

A simple computation gives:
Proposition 4. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold. Then, for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{X Y} \xi=\eta(X)(Y-\varphi h Y)-\eta(Y)(X-\varphi h X)+\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi h\right) X-\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi h\right) Y \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5. Let ( $M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g$ ) be an almost Kenmotsu manifold. For any $X \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$ we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\xi X} \xi=-\varphi^{2} X-2 \varphi h X+h^{2} X-\varphi\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right)(X),  \tag{5}\\
\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right) X=-\varphi X-2 h X-\varphi h^{2} X-\varphi\left(R_{X \xi} \xi\right),  \tag{6}\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{\xi X} \xi-\varphi R_{\xi \varphi X} \xi\right)=-\varphi^{2} X+h^{2} X . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. (5) follows by direct computation, using $\nabla_{\xi} \varphi=0$ and (1). Applying $\varphi$ to (5) and remarking that $g\left(\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right) X, \xi\right)=0$, we get (6). Finally, we write (5) for $\varphi X$ obtaining

$$
R_{\xi \varphi X} \xi=\varphi X+2 \varphi^{2} h X+\varphi h^{2} X-\varphi\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right)(\varphi X) .
$$

Then, we get

$$
R_{\xi X} \xi-\varphi R_{\xi \varphi X} \xi=-2 \varphi^{2} X+2 h^{2} X-\varphi\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right)(X)+\varphi^{2}\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right)(\varphi X)
$$

which reduces to (7), since $\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right) \circ \varphi=-\varphi \circ\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right)$.
Proposition 6. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold. Then, $\nabla_{\xi} h=0$.

Proof. We notice that (7) can be written as

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{\xi \bullet \bullet} \xi-\varphi R_{\xi \varphi \bullet} \xi\right)=-\varphi^{2}+h^{2}
$$

and since the operator $R_{\xi \bullet} \xi$ is parallel with respect to $\xi, \xi$ being a geodesic vector field, we get $\nabla_{\xi} h^{2}=0$. Now, writing (6) as $\nabla_{\xi} h=-\varphi-2 h-\varphi h^{2}-\varphi\left(R_{\bullet} \xi\right)$ and applying $\nabla_{\xi}$, we obtain $\nabla_{\xi}\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right)=-2 \nabla_{\xi} h$. Moreover, $\nabla_{\xi} h^{2}=0$ implies $\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right) \circ h+h \circ \nabla_{\xi} h=0$, and applying $\nabla_{\xi}$ to this equality, we get $\left(\nabla_{\xi} h\right)^{2}=0$. Hence, $\nabla_{\xi} h=0$, since one easily verifies that $\nabla_{\xi} h$ is a symmetric operator.

Theorem 3. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
a) $M^{2 n+1}$ is a Kenmotsu manifold;
b) $h=0$.

Moreover, if any of the above conditions holds, $M^{2 n+1}$ has constant sectional curvature $K=-1$.

Proof. Assuming that $M^{2 n+1}$ is a Kenmotsu manifold, we have $\nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2}$ and, by (2), $h=0$. Now, supposing $h=0$, it follows that $\nabla \xi=-\varphi^{2}, \nabla \eta=g-\eta \otimes \eta$ and, by (4), $R_{X Y} \xi=-\eta(Y) X+\eta(X) Y$. Then, we get

$$
\left(\nabla_{Z} R\right)(X, Y, \xi)=g(Z, X) Y-g(Z, Y) X-R_{X Y} Z
$$

Since $\nabla R=0, M^{2 n+1}$ has constant sectional curvature $K=-1$. Now, each integral manifold $M^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is an almost Kähler, totally umbilical submanifold and then it has constant sectional curvature ([7]). Computing its sectional curvature for orthonormal vectors $X, Y$ we get:

$$
k^{\prime}(X, Y)=k(X, Y)+\|\xi\|^{2}=k(X, Y)+1=0
$$

and thus $M^{\prime}$ is Kähler and flat. By Proposition $2, M^{2 n+1}$ is a Kenmotsu manifold. Hence, $a$ ) and $b$ ) are equivalent and each of them implies the value $K=-1$ for the curvature.

Theorem 4. An almost Kenmotsu manifold of constant curvature $K$ is a Kenmotsu manifold and $K=-1$.

Proof. Clearly, $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally symmetric, so $\nabla_{\xi} h=0$. Comparing (4) and $R_{X Y} \xi=K(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y)$, we obtain
$(K+1)(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y)-\eta(Y) \varphi h X+\eta(X) \varphi h Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi h\right) X+\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi h\right) Y=0$.
Choosing $X=\xi$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$, we get $-(K+1) Y+2 \varphi h Y-h^{2} Y=0$. Now, if $Y$ is an eigenvector of $h$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$, then $-(K+1) Y+2 \lambda \varphi Y-\lambda^{2} Y=0$, which implies $\lambda=0$ and $K=-1$, since $Y$ and $\varphi Y$ are linearly independent. Hence $h=0$, $K=-1$ and we apply the previous theorem.

Now, we consider the rank of the locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold $M^{2 n+1}$. If the rank is equal to one, then $M^{2 n+1}$ has constant curvature $K$, being of odd dimension, it is Kenmotsu, $K=-1$ and $h=0$. If $M^{2 n+1}$ does not have constant curvature then, its rank must be greater than one and $h \neq 0$.

Proposition 7. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold. If $M^{2 n+1}$ has rank greater than one, then $\pm 1$ are eigenvalues of $h$.

Proof. The hypothesis on the rank implies that there exists a vector $X$ orthogonal to $\xi$ such that $R_{X \xi} \xi=0$ and by (6) we get $\varphi X+2 h X+\varphi h^{2} X=0$. Let ( $\xi, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \varphi e_{1}, \ldots, \varphi e_{n}$ ) be a local frame of eigenvectors of $h$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\left(0, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n},-\lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}\right)$. Writing $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X^{i} e_{i}+\bar{X}^{i} \varphi e_{i}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(X^{i}-2 \bar{X}^{i} \lambda_{i}+X^{i} \lambda_{i}^{2}\right) \varphi e_{i}+\left(-\bar{X}^{i}+2 X^{i} \lambda_{i}-\bar{X}^{i} \lambda_{i}^{2}\right) e_{i}\right)=0
$$

which implies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(1+\lambda_{i}^{2}\right) X^{i}-2 \lambda_{i} \bar{X}^{i}=0 \\
2 \lambda_{i} X^{i}-\left(1+\lambda_{i}^{2}\right) \bar{X}^{i}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Since $X \neq 0$, there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the corresponding system admits a non trivial solution and this implies $-\left(1+\lambda_{j}^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda_{j}^{2}=0$ and then $\lambda_{j}= \pm 1$.

Let us consider the operator $h^{\prime}=h \circ \varphi$. This operator is symmetric and, if $Y$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\mu$, then $\varphi Y$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $-\mu$. Moreover, if $X$ is an eigenvector of $h$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$, then $X+\varphi X$ is an eigenvector of $h^{\prime}$ with eigenvalue $-\lambda$, while $X-\varphi X$ is an eigenvector of $h^{\prime}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$. It follows that $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ admit the same eigenvalues. Denoting by $[\lambda]$ and $[\lambda]^{\prime}$ respectively the eigenspaces of $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$, we have $[\lambda] \oplus[-\lambda]=[\lambda]^{\prime} \oplus[-\lambda]^{\prime}$. Furthermore, $\nabla_{\xi} \varphi=0$ implies that $\nabla_{\xi} h^{\prime}=0$ if and only if $\nabla_{\xi} h=0$.

The operators $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ are related to the curvature by the following proposition.
Proposition 8. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold. Then,

1) $k(X, \xi)=-\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)$ for any unit $h$-eigenvector $X$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$,
2) $k(X, \xi)=-(1+\mu)^{2}$ for any unit $h^{\prime}$-eigenvector $X$ with eigenvalue $\mu$.

Furthermore, $\operatorname{Ric}(\xi, \xi)<0$.
Proof. Since $\nabla_{\xi} h=0$, from (5), we have $R_{X \xi} \xi=-X+2 \lambda \varphi X-\lambda^{2} X$, and $k(X, \xi)=g\left(R_{X \xi} \xi, X\right)=-1-\lambda^{2}$ which proves 1$)$.
Analogously, since $\nabla_{\xi} h^{\prime}=0$, applying (5), we have $R_{X \xi} \xi=-X-2 h^{\prime}(X)-h^{\prime 2}(X)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$, and $k(X, \xi)=-(1+\mu)^{2}$, for any unit eigenvector $X$ of $h^{\prime}$ with eigenvalue $\mu$.

Proposition 9. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold. Then, for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$, the curvature tensor satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{Y X} \xi+R_{h^{\prime} Y X} \xi+R_{\xi X} Y+R_{\xi X} h^{\prime} Y= & -g\left(X, Y+h^{\prime} Y\right) \xi-\eta(X)\left(Y+h^{\prime} Y\right) \\
& +2 \eta(Y)\left(X+2 h^{\prime} X+h^{\prime 2} X\right)  \tag{8}\\
& +2\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{\prime}\right) X+\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{\prime 2}\right) X .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally symmetric, then $\nabla_{\xi} h^{\prime}=0$. Being $h^{2}=h^{\prime 2}$, from (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\xi X} \xi=X-\eta(X) \xi+2 h^{\prime} X+h^{\prime 2} X \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$. Derivating with respect to $Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$, since $\nabla R=0$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\nabla_{Y} \xi X} \xi+R_{\xi \nabla_{Y} X} \xi+R_{\xi X} \nabla_{Y} \xi= & \nabla_{Y} X-Y(\eta(X)) \xi-\eta(X) \nabla_{Y} \xi  \tag{10}\\
& +2 \nabla_{Y}\left(h^{\prime} X\right)+\nabla_{Y}\left(h^{\prime 2} X\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, applying (9), $R_{\xi \nabla_{Y} X} \xi=\nabla_{Y} X-\eta\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right) \xi+2 h^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)+h^{\prime 2}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)$. Moreover, from (1), $\nabla_{Y} \xi=Y-\eta(Y) \xi+h^{\prime} Y$ and thus, $Y(\eta(X))=Y(g(X, \xi))=$ $g\left(\nabla_{Y} X, \xi\right)+g\left(X, Y-\eta(Y) \xi+h^{\prime} Y\right)$. Substituting in (10), and using again (9), by a simple computation we obtain (8).

In the following, we denote by $[\mu]$ the distribution of the eigenvectors of $h^{\prime}$ with eigenvalue $\mu$. We remark that the condition $R_{X Y} \xi=0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{2 n+1}\right)$, which gives the local decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times S^{n}(4)$ in the context of locally symmetric contact metric manifolds, in our case has to be relaxed to $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$, otherwise we get a contradiction with Proposition 8.

Proposition 10. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold and suppose $h^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then,

1) $\nabla_{Y} \xi=0$ and $[\xi, Y] \in[-1]$ for any $Y \in[-1]$, while $\nabla_{Y} \xi=2 Y$ and $[\xi, Y] \in[+1]$ for any $Y \in[+1]$,
2) the distribution $[-1]$ is integrable with totally geodesic leaves or, equivalently, for any $X, Y \in[-1], R_{X Y} \xi=0$.

Proof. If $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ then we have $\nabla_{Y} \xi=Y+h^{\prime} Y$ and this implies that $\nabla_{Y} \xi=0$ for any eigenvector $Y$ of $h^{\prime}$ with eigenvalue $-1, \nabla_{Y} \xi=2 Y$ for any eigenvector $Y$ with eigenvalue +1 . Furthermore, $\nabla_{\xi} h^{\prime}=0$ implies $\nabla_{\xi}[-1] \subset[-1], \nabla_{\xi}[+1] \subset[+1]$ and 1) holds. From (8) and (4), if $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal to $\xi$, we have, respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\left(Y+h^{\prime} Y\right) X} \xi+R_{\xi X}\left(Y+h^{\prime} Y\right)=-g\left(X, Y+h^{\prime} Y\right) \xi+2\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{\prime}\right) X+\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{\prime 2}\right) X  \tag{11}\\
R_{X Y} \xi=\left(\nabla_{X} h^{\prime}\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{\prime}\right) X \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Supposing $X, Y \in[-1]$, (11) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{Y} X+2 h^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)+h^{\prime 2}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{0,+1,-1, \lambda_{i},-\lambda_{i}\right\}$ be the spectrum of $h^{\prime}$, where $\lambda_{i}>0, \lambda_{i} \neq+1$. Now, $\nabla_{Y} X$ decomposes as $\nabla_{Y} X=A_{0}+A_{1}+A_{-1}+\sum_{i} A_{\lambda_{i}}+\sum_{i} A_{-\lambda_{i}}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)=A_{1}-A_{-1}+\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} A_{\lambda_{i}}-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} A_{-\lambda_{i}} \\
& h^{\prime 2}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)=A_{1}+A_{-1}+\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{2} A_{\lambda_{i}}+\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{2} A_{-\lambda_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (13), we get $A_{0}=A_{1}=0$ and, for any $i,\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)^{2} A_{\lambda_{i}}=0,\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2} A_{-\lambda_{i}}=0$ which imply $A_{\lambda_{i}}=A_{-\lambda_{i}}=0$. Thus $\nabla_{Y} X \in[-1]$. Being also $\nabla_{X} Y \in[-1]$, we deduce that $[X, Y] \in[-1]$ and the distribution $[-1]$ is integrable with totally geodesic leaves. From (12), it follows that the integrability of the distribution [ -1 ] is equivalent to $R_{X Y} \xi=0$ for any $X, Y \in[-1]$.

Theorem 5. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold such that $h^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $R_{X Y} \xi=0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, the spectrum of $h^{\prime}$ is $\{0,+1,-1\}$, with 0 as simple eigenvalue. Moreover, choosing $Y \in[-1]$ and $X \in[+1]$ one has $\nabla_{Y} X \in[+1], \nabla_{X} Y \in[-1]$ and the distribution $[+1] \oplus[\xi]$ is totally geodesic.

Proof. We know that $0,+1,-1$ are eigenvalues of $h^{\prime}$. First we prove that for any unit eigenvector $X \in[\lambda]$, with $\lambda \neq-1$, and for any unit $Y \in \mathcal{D}$, orthogonal to $X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(X, Y)=k(\xi, Y) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, since $R_{X Y} \xi=0$, covariantly derivating with respect to $X$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =R_{\nabla_{X} X Y} \xi+R_{X \nabla_{X} Y} \xi+R_{X Y} \nabla_{X} \xi \\
& =g\left(\nabla_{X} X, \xi\right) R_{\xi Y} \xi+g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \xi\right) R_{X} \xi+(1+\lambda) R_{X Y} X \\
& =-(1+\lambda) R_{\xi Y} \xi+(1+\lambda) R_{X Y} X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $R_{\xi Y} \xi=R_{X Y} X$ and, taking the scalar product with $Y$, we get (14). Now, we suppose that there exists a unit eigenvector $X \in[\lambda]$ with $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ and applying (14) to $X$ and $\varphi X$ we get $k(X, \varphi X)=k(\xi, \varphi X)=-(1-\lambda)^{2}$. Again, applying (14) to $\varphi X \in[-\lambda]$ and choosing $Y=X$, we have $k(\varphi X, X)=k(\xi, X)=-(1+\lambda)^{2}$. It follows that $(1-\lambda)^{2}=(1+\lambda)^{2}$ so that $\lambda=0$ and $S p\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\{0,+1,-1\}$. Finally, let us suppose that $\operatorname{dim}[0]>1$ and let $X$ be a unit eigenvector orthogonal to $\xi$ such that $h^{\prime}(X)=0$. Applying (14) to $X$ and to a unit $Y \in[+1]$, we get $k(X, Y)=k(\xi, Y)=-4$ and $k(Y, X)=k(\xi, X)=-1$, which is a contradiction.
Now, let be $Y \in[-1]$ and $X \in[+1]$. Since [ -1$]$ is totally geodesic, then $\nabla_{Y} X \in[+1]$.
Applying (12) it follows that $0=R_{X Y} \xi=-\nabla_{X} Y-h^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)$ so that $\nabla_{X} Y \in[-1]$ and $[+1] \oplus[\xi]$ is totally geodesic.

Theorem 6. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ be a locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifold such that $h^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $R_{X Y} \xi=0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally isometric to the Riemannian product of an ( $n+1$ )-dimensional manifold of constant curvature -4 and a flat $n$-dimensional manifold.

Proof. As proved in Proposition 10 and Theorem 5, the distributions $[\xi] \oplus[+1]$, $[-1]$ are integrable and totally geodesic. It follows that $M^{2 n+1}$ is locally isometric to the Riemannian product of an integral manifold $M^{n+1}$ of $[\xi] \oplus[+1]$ and an integral manifold $M^{n}$ of $[-1]$. Therefore, we can choose coordinates $\left(u^{0}, \ldots, u^{2 n}\right)$ such that $\partial / \partial u^{0} \in[\xi], \partial / \partial u^{1}, \ldots, \partial / \partial u^{n} \in[+1]$ and $\partial / \partial u^{n+1}, \ldots, \partial / \partial u^{2 n} \in[-1]$. Now, we set $X_{i}=\partial / \partial u^{i}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, so that the distribution $[-1]$ is spanned by the vector fields $\varphi X_{1}, \ldots, \varphi X_{n}$. We notice that $\left[X_{i}, \varphi X_{j}\right] \in[-1]$ for any $i, j$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Taking the scalar product with any $Z \in[+1]$, since $\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi X_{j} \in[-1]$, we get $g\left(\nabla_{\varphi X_{j}} X_{i}, Z\right)=0$ and then $\nabla_{\varphi X_{j}} X_{i}=0$. Applying (3), we have $\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi\right) X_{j}-$ $\varphi\left(\nabla_{\varphi X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}\right)=0$, which implies

$$
\nabla_{\varphi X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}=0, \quad\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi\right) X_{j}=0
$$

since the two addenda belong to $[-1]$ and $[+1]$, respectively. The first condition implies that $M^{n}$ is flat. We compute the curvature of $M^{n+1}$. Applying $\varphi$ to $\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi\right) X_{j}=0$, we have

$$
\nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}+\varphi\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}\right)=-2 g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \xi .
$$

Derivating with respect to $X_{k}$, we obtain:
$\nabla_{X_{k}} \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}+\left(\nabla_{X_{k}} \varphi\right)\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}\right)+\varphi\left(\nabla_{X_{k}} \nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}\right)=-2 X_{k}\left(g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)\right) \xi-4 g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) X_{k}$
and, by scalar product with $X_{l}$,

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X_{k}} \nabla_{X_{i}} X_{j}, X_{l}\right)-g\left(\nabla_{X_{k}} \nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}, \varphi X_{l}\right)=-4 g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) g\left(X_{k}, X_{l}\right),
$$

since $g\left(\left(\nabla_{X_{k}} \varphi\right)\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}\right), X_{l}\right)=-g\left(\nabla_{X_{i}} \varphi X_{j},\left(\nabla_{X_{k}} \varphi\right) X_{l}\right)=0$.
Now, we interchange $i$ and $k$, subtract and, being $\left[X_{i}, X_{k}\right]=0$, obtain
$g\left(R_{X_{k} X_{i}} X_{j}, X_{l}\right)-g\left(R_{X_{k} X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}, \varphi X_{l}\right)=-4 g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) g\left(X_{k}, X_{l}\right)+4 g\left(X_{k}, X_{j}\right) g\left(X_{i}, X_{l}\right)$.
Since $\nabla_{\varphi X_{i}} X_{j}=0=\left[\varphi X_{i}, \varphi X_{j}\right]$, then $g\left(R_{X_{k} X_{i}} \varphi X_{j}, \varphi X_{l}\right)=g\left(R_{\varphi X_{j} \varphi X_{l}} X_{k}, X_{i}\right)=0$, and thus

$$
g\left(R_{X_{k} X_{i}} X_{j}, X_{l}\right)=-4\left(g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) g\left(X_{k}, X_{l}\right)-g\left(X_{k}, X_{j}\right) g\left(X_{i}, X_{l}\right)\right)
$$

Moreover, we recall that $g\left(R_{X_{i} X_{j}} \xi, X_{k}\right)=0$ and, by (5), $g\left(R_{X_{i} \xi} \xi, X_{j}\right)=-4 g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$. We conclude that $M^{n+1}$ is a space of constant curvature -4 .

Now, we provide an example of an almost Kenmotsu manifold which is locally isometric to the Riemannian product $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Let $\left\{\xi, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let us denote by $\mathfrak{h}$ the Lie algebra obtained by defining:

$$
\left[\xi, X_{i}\right]=-2 X_{i}, \quad\left[X_{i}, \xi\right]=2 X_{i}, \quad\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]=0
$$

for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\left\{Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; we consider on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the structure of abelian Lie algebra, denoted by $\mathfrak{k}$. On the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ define the endomorphism $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\varphi(\xi)=0, \quad \varphi\left(X_{i}\right)=Y_{i}, \quad \varphi\left(Y_{i}\right)=-X_{i}
$$

for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\eta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the 1 -form defined by

$$
\eta(\xi)=1, \quad \eta\left(X_{i}\right)=\eta\left(Y_{i}\right)=0
$$

for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We denote by $g$ the inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the basis $\left\{\xi, X_{i}, Y_{i}\right\}$ is orthonormal.

Let $G, H$ and $K$ be connected Lie groups with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ respectively. Being $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$, we have $G=H \times K$. The vectors $\xi, X_{i}, Y_{i}$ determine left-invariant vector fields on $G$, which we denote in the same manner. Analogously, we denote by $\varphi, \eta$ and $g$ the left-invariant tensor fields determined by the corresponding tensors. It can be easily seen that $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost contact metric structure on $G$. We prove that it is an almost Kenmotsu structure.

Indeed, for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \eta(X)$ and $\eta(Y)$ are constant, $[X, Y]$ is orthogonal to $\xi$ and then $d \eta(X, Y)=0$ follows. It remains to prove that $d \Phi=2 \eta \wedge \Phi$. Since $\Phi(X, Y)$ is constant for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, it follows that for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Phi(X, Y, Z)=-\frac{1}{3}\{\Phi([X, Y], Z)+\Phi([Y, Z], X)+\Phi([Z, X], Y)\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\eta \wedge \Phi)(X, Y, Z)=\frac{2}{3}\{\eta(X) \Phi(Y, Z)+\eta(Y) \Phi(Z, X)+\eta(Z) \Phi(X, Y)\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $X, Y$ and $Z$ are orthogonal to $\xi$, then $\eta(X)=\eta(Y)=\eta(Z)=0$ and $[X, Y]=[Z, X]=[X, Y]=0$. Hence, $d \Phi(X, Y, Z)=2(\eta \wedge \Phi)(X, Y, Z)=0$. Let
us suppose that $X=\xi$ and $Y, Z$ orthogonal to $\xi$. Using (15) and (16), we have to verify that

$$
-\Phi([\xi, Y], Z)-\Phi([Z, \xi], Y)=2 \Phi(Y, Z)
$$

If $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{k}$, then $[\xi, Y]=[Z, \xi]=0$; moreover, $\varphi Z \in \mathfrak{h}$ and thus $\Phi(Y, Z)=$ $g(Y, \varphi Z)=0$. Let us suppose that $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then, $[\xi, Y]=-2 Y$ and $[Z, \xi]=2 Z$ imply $-\Phi([\xi, Y], Z)-\Phi([Z, \xi], Y)=4 \Phi(Y, Z)$ and, since $\varphi Z \in \mathfrak{k}$, we have $\Phi(Y, Z)=$ $g(Y, \varphi Z)=0$. Finally, we suppose $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. Since $[\xi, Y]=-2 Y$ and $[Z, \xi]=0$, we have $-\Phi([\xi, Y], Z)-\Phi([Z, \xi], Y)=2 \Phi(Y, Z)$.

Furthermore, it can be easily verified that, for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have $[X, Y]=$ $l(X) Y-l(Y) X$, where $l: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the linear mapping such that $l(\xi)=-2$ and $l\left(X_{i}\right)=0$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. It follows that $H$ is a space of constant sectional curvature $k=-\|l\|^{2}=-4$ (see Example 1.7 in [12]). Hence, $H$ is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space of dimension $n+1$ and curvature -4 , which implies that $G$ is locally isometric to the Riemannian product $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
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