

## ALMOST PERIODIC FUNCTIONS IN TERMS OF BOHR'S EQUIVALENCE RELATION\*

J.M. SEPULCRE AND T. VIDAL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce an equivalence relation on the classes of almost periodic functions of a real or complex variable which is used to refine Bochner's result that characterizes these spaces of functions. In fact, with respect to the topology of uniform convergence, we prove that the limit points of the family of translates of an almost periodic function are precisely the functions which are equivalent to it, which leads us to a characterization of almost periodicity. In particular we show that any exponential sum which is equivalent to the Riemann zeta function,  $\zeta(s)$ , can be uniformly approximated in  $\{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > 1\}$  by certain vertical translates of  $\zeta(s)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of almost periodic functions, which was created and developed in its main features by H. Bohr during the 1920's, opened a way to study a wide class of trigonometric series of the general type and even exponential series (see for example [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12]). This theory shortly acquired numerous applications to various areas of mathematics, from harmonic analysis to differential equations.

In the case of the functions that are defined on the real numbers, the notion of almost periodicity leads us to generalize purely periodic functions. Let  $f(t)$  be a real or complex function of an unrestricted real variable  $t$ . In this paper, in order to be almost periodic,  $f(t)$  must be continuous, and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there corresponds a number  $l = l(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that each interval of length  $l$  contains a number  $\tau$  satisfying  $|f(t + \tau) - f(t)| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $t$ . As in [8], we will denote as  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  the space of almost periodic functions in the sense of this definition (Bohr's condition), which coincides with the notion

---

\*This paper is a modified version of the article "Almost periodic functions in terms of Bohr's equivalence relation" (Ramanujan J., 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-017-9950-1>). As we pointed out in a corrigendum which was sent to the journal, we correct a gap found in the published version. This gap is due to a property that does not generally hold. However, under the additional assumption of existence of an integral basis, it does hold. Furthermore, the equivalence relation which is inspired by that of Bohr is revised to adapt correctly the situation in the general case. The structure of the paper (including numbering) is the same as that of the published version.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D20, 30B50, 11K60, 30Axx.

*Key words and phrases.* Almost periodic functions; Exponential sums; Riemann zeta function; Bochner's theorem.

of uniform almost periodicity used in [2]. As in classical Fourier analysis, every almost periodic function is bounded and is associated with a Fourier series with real frequencies.

A very important result of this theory is the approximation theorem according to which the class of almost periodic functions  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  is identical with the class of those functions which can be approximated uniformly by trigonometric polynomials of the type

$$a_1 e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$$

with arbitrary real exponents  $\lambda_j$  and arbitrary complex coefficients  $a_j$ . Moreover, S. Bochner observed that Bohr's notion of almost periodicity of a function  $f$  is equivalent to the relative compactness, in the sense of uniform convergence, of the family of its translates  $\{f(t+h)\}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . So, in later literature, some authors defined almost periodic functions in this way (e.g. see [3, 8, 10]).

Now we focus our attention on the theory of the almost periodic functions of a complex variable, which was theorized in [4] (see also [2, 5, 7, 9, 12]). Let  $f(s)$  be a function of a complex variable  $s = \sigma + it$  which is analytic in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  ( $-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ ). By analogy with the case of a real variable, it is called almost periodic in  $U$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every reduced strip  $U_1 = \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2\}$  of  $U$  there exists a number  $l = l(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that each interval of length  $l$  contains a number  $\tau$  satisfying the inequality  $|f(s + i\tau) - f(s)| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $s$  in  $U_1$ . This definition implies in particular that, for any fixed  $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , the function  $h_\sigma(t) := f(\sigma + it)$  is an almost periodic function of the real variable  $t$ . Moreover, the requirement above implies that the almost periodicity should take place *uniformly* on the various straight lines. In addition, the Fourier series of these functions are obtainable from a certain exponential series of the form  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  with complex coefficients  $a_n$  and real exponents  $\lambda_n$ . This associated series is called the Dirichlet series of the given almost periodic function (see [2, p.147], [7, p.77] or [12, p.312]).

The space of almost periodic functions in a vertical strip  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ , which will be denoted as  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ , coincides with the set of the functions which can be approximated uniformly in every reduced strip of  $U$  by exponential polynomials with complex coefficients and real exponents (see [7, Theorem 3.18]). These approximating exponential polynomials can be found by Bochner-Fejér's summation (see, in this regard, [2, Chapter 1, Section 9]). In the same manner, Bohr's notion of almost periodicity of a function  $f(s)$  in a vertical strip  $U$  is equivalent to the relative compactness of the set of its vertical translates,  $\{f(s + ih)\}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ , with the topology of the uniform convergence on reduced strips.

On the other hand, we also recall that the class of general Dirichlet series consists of the series that take the form  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$ ,  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity. In

particular, a classical ordinary Dirichlet series is the Riemann zeta function, given by  $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$ , that converges absolutely in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1\}$  and admits an analytic continuation over the whole complex plane with only a simple pole at  $s = 1$ . A remarkable property of  $\zeta(s)$ , which is satisfied in a certain location on its critical strip, is called universality, or Voronin's universality theorem, and it states, roughly speaking, that any non-vanishing analytic function can be uniformly approximated by certain shifts of the Riemann zeta-function. A similar universality property has been shown for other functions, such as the Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. For a complete study on this very interesting property, we suggest [13, 14, 15].

Regarding general Dirichlet series, H. Bohr introduced an equivalence relation among them that led to exceptional results (see for example Bohr's equivalence theorem in [1]). By analogy with Bohr's theory, in this paper we establish an equivalence relation on the classes of exponential sums of the form

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j \in \Lambda,$$

where  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  is an arbitrary countable set of distinct real numbers (not necessarily unbounded), and we extend it to the context of almost periodic functions. In this way, with respect to the topology of uniform convergence, the main result of our paper shows that, fixed an almost periodic function, the limit points of the set of its translates are precisely the functions which are equivalent to it (see theorems 2 and 4 in this paper for the real and complex case respectively). This means that Bochner's result is now refined in the sense that we show that the condition of almost periodicity is equivalent to that every sequence of translates has a subsequence that converges uniformly to an equivalent function (see theorems 3 and 5 in this paper).

In particular, in terms of Voronin's universality theorem, we show that any exponential sum which is equivalent to the Riemann zeta function can be uniformly approximated in  $\{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > 1\}$  by certain vertical translates of the Riemann zeta-function (see Theorem 6 in this paper). For example, we assure the existence of two increasing unbounded sequences  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{\varsigma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers such that the sequences of functions  $\{\zeta(s + i\tau_n)\}$  and  $\{\zeta(s + i\varsigma_n)\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , converge uniformly to  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta_\lambda(s)$  on every reduced strip of  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1\}$  respectively, where  $\zeta_\lambda(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s}$  is the ordinary Dirichlet series for the Liouville function  $\lambda(n)$ . To the best of our knowledge, these results have not been considered in the literature. Finally, as a consequence, we will obtain an alternative demonstration of a known result related to the infimum of  $|\zeta(s)|$  on certain regions in the half-plane  $\sigma \geq 1$  (see corollaries 8 and 9 in this paper).

2. THE EXPONENTIAL SUMS OF THE CLASSES  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  AND BOHR'S  
EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON THEM

Consider the following equivalence relation which constitutes our starting point.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an arbitrary countable subset of distinct real numbers,  $V$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space generated by  $\Lambda$  ( $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ ), and  $\mathcal{F}$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of arbitrary functions  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $a \sim b$  if there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$b(\lambda) = a(\lambda)e^{i\psi(\lambda)}, \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

It is immediate that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. The reader can observe that this equivalence relation is based on that of [1, p.173] which was defined for general Dirichlet series and was characterized in terms of a completely multiplicative function [1, Theorem 8.12]. Bohr used it in that case in order to get so-called Bohr's equivalence theorem.

**Remark 1.** *With respect to the relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ , we note the following easy facts to clarify and simplify later proofs:*

- i)  $a \sim b$  implies that  $ac \sim bc$ .
- ii) If  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  and functions  $a', b'$  on  $\Lambda'$  are extended to  $a, b$  on  $\Lambda$  by

$$a(\lambda) = a'(\lambda), \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda')$$

$$b(\lambda) = b'(\lambda), \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda')$$

$$a(\lambda) = b(\lambda) = 0, \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda'),$$

*then  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a' \sim b'$ .*

In this paper we will use Definition 1 to introduce an equivalence relation on certain subclasses of exponential sums, which will be referred from now on as expressions of the type

$$P_1(p)e^{\lambda_1 p} + \dots + P_j(p)e^{\lambda_j p} + \dots,$$

where the frequencies  $\lambda_j$  are complex numbers and the  $P_j(p)$  are polynomials in  $p$ . What is more, we will consider some functions which are associated with a concrete subclass of these exponential sums, where the parameter  $p$  will be changed by  $s = \sigma + it$  in the complex case, or by  $t$  in the real case.

**Definition 2.** *Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  be an arbitrary countable set of distinct real numbers, which we will call a set of exponents or frequencies. We will say that an exponential sum is in the class  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  if it is a formal series of type*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j \in \Lambda.$$

*Also, we will say that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, \dots$  are the coefficients of this exponential sum.*

It is clear that expression (1) is not necessarily associated with a convergent series that defines an holomorphic function. Precisely, we call it formal series to distinguish it from an ordinary series. In fact, in the theory of formal series, the parameter  $p$  of (1) is never assigned a numerical value and questions of convergence or divergence are irrelevant. In this respect, we operate on formal series algebraically as though they were convergent series and the expression  $e^{\lambda_j p}$  is simply a device for locating the position of the  $j$ th coefficient  $a_j$ . In this way, if  $A_1(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}$  and  $A_2(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j p}$  are two formal series in  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ , then  $A_1(p) = A_2(p)$  means that  $a_j = b_j$  for each  $j \geq 1$ . Moreover,  $A_1(p) + A_2(p) := \sum_{j \geq 1} (a_j + b_j) e^{\lambda_j p}$  and  $A_1(p+h) := \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j h} e^{\lambda_j p}$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Based on Definition 1, we next consider the following equivalence relation on the classes  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ . In fact, we will say that two exponential sums in  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  are equivalent when their coefficients adapt to Definition 1 in the following sense.

**Definition 3** (mod.). *Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  a set of exponents, consider  $A_1(p)$  and  $A_2(p)$  two exponential sums in the class  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ , say  $A_1(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}$  and  $A_2(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j p}$ . We will say that  $A_1$  is equivalent to  $A_2$  if for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , with  $n \leq \#\Lambda$ , it is satisfied  $a_n^* \sim b_n^*$ , where  $a_n^*, b_n^* : \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are the functions given by  $a_n^*(\lambda_j) := a_j$   $b_n^*(\lambda_j) := b_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\sim$  is in Definition 1.*

We will use  $\sim$  for the equivalence relation introduced in Definition 1 and  $\overset{*}{\sim}$  for that of Definition 3.

Let  $G_\Lambda = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots\}$  be a basis of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space generated by a set  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$  of exponents, which implies that  $G_\Lambda$  is linearly independent over the rational numbers and each  $\lambda_j$  is expressible as a finite linear combination of terms of  $G_\Lambda$ , say

$$(2) \quad \lambda_j = \sum_{k=1}^{i_j} r_{j,k} g_k, \text{ for some } r_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Q}, i_j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this paper, by abuse of language, we will also say that  $G_\Lambda$  is a basis for  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, we will say that  $G_\Lambda$  is an *integral basis* for  $\Lambda$  when  $r_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $j, k$ . Now, by taking this into account, we next show that the equivalence relation introduced in Definition 3 on the classes  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  can be characterized in terms of a basis for  $\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 1** (mod.). *Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  a set of exponents, consider  $A_1(p)$  and  $A_2(p)$  two exponential sums in the class  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ , say  $A_1(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}$  and  $A_2(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j p}$ . Fixed a basis  $G_\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda$ , for each  $j \geq 1$  let  $\mathbf{r}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{\#\Lambda}$  be the vector of rational components verifying (2). Then  $A_1 \overset{*}{\sim} A_2$  if and only if for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , with  $n \leq \#\Lambda$ , there exists  $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}, \dots, x_{n,k}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\#\Lambda}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

Furthermore, if  $G_\Lambda$  is an integral basis for  $\Lambda$  then  $A_1 \overset{*}{\sim} A_2$  if and only if there exists  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,k}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle^i}$  for every  $j \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* For each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , let  $V_n$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space generated by  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ ,  $V$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space generated by  $\Lambda$ , and  $G_\Lambda = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots\}$  a basis of  $V$ . If  $A_1 \overset{*}{\sim} A_2$ , by Definition 3 for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , with  $n \leq \sharp \Lambda$ , there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\psi_n : V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{i\psi_n(\lambda_j)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence  $b_j = a_j e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{i_j} r_{j,k} \psi_n(g_k)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  or, equivalently,  $b_j = a_j e^{i \langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , with  $\mathbf{x}_n := (\psi_n(g_1), \psi_n(g_2), \dots)$ . Conversely, suppose the existence, for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , of a vector  $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}, \dots, x_{n,k}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle^i}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\psi_n : V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be defined from  $\psi_n(g_k) := x_{n,k}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore  $\psi_n(\lambda_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{i_j} r_{j,k} \psi(g_k) = \langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and the result follows.

Now, suppose that  $G_\Lambda$  is an integral basis for  $\Lambda$  and  $A_1 \overset{*}{\sim} A_2$ . Thus, by above, for each fixed integer value  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  be a vector such that  $b_j = a_j e^{i \langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since each component of  $\mathbf{r}_j$  is an integer number, without loss of generality, we can take  $\mathbf{x}_n \in [0, 2\pi)^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  as the unique vector in  $[0, 2\pi)^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  satisfying the above equalities, where we assume  $x_{n,k} = 0$  for any  $k$  such that  $r_{j,k} = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore, under this assumption, if  $m > n$  then  $x_{m,k} = x_{n,k}$  for any  $k$  so that  $x_{n,k} \neq 0$ . In this way, we can construct a vector  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,k}, \dots) \in [0, 2\pi)^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle^i}$  for every  $j \geq 1$ . Indeed, if  $r_{1,k} \neq 0$  then the component  $x_{0,k}$  is chosen as  $x_{1,k}$ , and if  $r_{1,k} = 0$  then each component  $x_{0,k}$  is defined as  $x_{n+1,k}$  where  $r_{j,k} = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $r_{n+1,k} \neq 0$ . Conversely, if there exists  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,k}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle^i}$  for every  $j \geq 1$ , then it is clear that  $A_1 \overset{*}{\sim} A_2$  under Definition 3.  $\square$

If  $\Lambda$  admits an integral basis, note that the set of all exponential sums  $A(p)$  in an equivalence class  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda / \overset{*}{\sim}$  can be determined by a function  $E_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  of the form

$$E_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x} \rangle^i} e^{\lambda_j p}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda},$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, \dots$  are the coefficients of an exponential sum in  $\mathcal{G}$  and the  $\mathbf{r}_j$ 's are the vectors of integer components associated with a prefixed integral basis  $G_\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda$ .

We will use  $\overset{*}{\sim}$  by restriction to the case of the exponential sums in  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  of a real or complex variable, and analogously for trigonometric polynomials. It is obvious that  $\overset{*}{\sim}$  is independent of the basis  $G_\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda$ .

Finally, we next show that the translates  $A(p + i\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , of an exponential sum  $A(p)$  in  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  are invariant with respect to the equivalence class generated by the relation  $\overset{*}{\sim}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Given  $\Lambda$  a set of exponents, let  $A(p) \in \mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ . Then the exponential sums included in  $\mathcal{T}_A = \{A_\tau(p) := A(p + i\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  are in the same equivalence class of  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda/\sim^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda_j \in \Lambda$ . Then for all real number  $\tau$  the sum  $A_\tau(p) := A(p + i\tau)$ , defined formally as  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\tau \lambda_j} e^{\lambda_j p}$ , can be written as  $A_\tau(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j p}$ , with  $b_j := a_j e^{i\tau \lambda_j} = a_j e^{i\tau \langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{g} \rangle} = a_j e^{i \langle \mathbf{r}_j, \tau \mathbf{g} \rangle}$ , where the vectors  $\mathbf{r}_j$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  are defined above. Hence, taking  $\mathbf{x}_n = \tau \mathbf{g}$  for any integer value  $n \geq 1$ , Proposition 1 holds, i.e.  $A \sim^* A_\tau$  for all real  $\tau$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE FINITE EXPONENTIAL SUMS OF THE CLASSES $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda$

In this section we are going to consider the following classes of finite exponential sums, which can also be viewed as subclasses of those  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  of the previous section.

**Definition 4.** *Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  be a set of  $n \geq 1$  distinct real numbers, which we will call a set of exponents or frequencies. We will say that a function  $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  (resp.  $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ ) is in the class  $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda$  (resp.  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ ) if it is a finite exponential sum of the form*

$$(3) \quad f(s) = a_1 e^{\lambda_1 s} + \dots + a_n e^{\lambda_n s}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_j \in \Lambda, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$(4) \quad (\text{Resp. } f(t) = a_1 e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_j \in \Lambda, j = 1, \dots, n.)$$

The functions  $f(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , of type (3) are also called exponential polynomials or Dirichlet polynomials and they are entire functions (see also for example [17]). Besides, the functions  $f(t)$  of type (4) are also called trigonometric polynomials and they are also obtained from functions of type (3) by just restricting their domain to a vertical line  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ , with  $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The equivalence relation which was introduced in Definition 3 can naturally be applied to the exponential sums in  $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ . In the context of equivalent finite exponential sums, we next prove an important theorem that will later be used in order to prove one of our main results in this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  a finite set of exponents, let  $f_1(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  and  $f_2(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  be two equivalent functions in the class  $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda$ . Fixed  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\sigma_0 < \sigma_1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a relatively dense set of real numbers  $\tau$  such that*

$$|f_1(s + i\tau) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall s \in \{\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G_\Lambda = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ , for a certain  $m \geq 1$ , be linearly independent over the rationals so that each  $\lambda_j \in \Lambda$  can be expressible as a linear combination of its terms, say

$$(5) \quad \lambda_j = \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} g_k, \quad \text{for some } r_{j,k} = \frac{p_{j,k}}{q_{j,k}} \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Consider  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $q := \text{lcm}(q_{j,k} : j = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m)$ ,  $r := \max\{|r_{j,k}| : j = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m\} > 0$ ,  $a := \max\{|a_j| : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} > 0$  and  $E := \max\{e^{\lambda_j \sigma_0}, e^{\lambda_j \sigma_1} : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . Since  $f_1 \sim^* f_2$ , there exists a vector of real numbers  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,m})$  such that

$$(6) \quad b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle} = a_j e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Now, as the numbers  $c_k = \frac{g_k}{2\pi q}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are rationally independent, we next apply Kronecker's theorem [11, p.382] with the following choice:  $c_k$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi q} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon/2}{a \cdot m \cdot n \cdot r \cdot E} > 0$  and  $d_k = \frac{x_{0,k}}{2\pi q}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . In this manner we assure the existence of a real number  $\tau_1 > d > 0$  and integer numbers  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$  such that

$$|\tau_1 c_k - e_k - d_k| = \left| \frac{\tau_1 g_k}{2\pi q} - e_k - \frac{x_{0,k}}{2\pi q} \right| < \varepsilon_1,$$

that is

$$(7) \quad \tau_1 g_k = 2\pi q e_k + x_{0,k} + \eta_k, \text{ with } |\eta_k| < 2\pi q \varepsilon_1.$$

Therefore, from (5) and (6), with  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(\sigma + it + i\tau_1) - f_2(\sigma + it)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{\lambda_j(\sigma + it)} e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{\lambda_j(\sigma + it)} e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| \leq \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j| e^{\lambda_j \sigma} \left| e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| \leq a \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\lambda_j \sigma} \left| e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| = \\ &a \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda_j < 0}} e^{\lambda_j \sigma} \left| e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| + a \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda_j \geq 0}} e^{\lambda_j \sigma} \left| e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| \leq \\ &a \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda_j < 0}} e^{\lambda_j \sigma_0} \left| e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ \lambda_j \geq 0}} e^{\lambda_j \sigma_1} \left| e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| \right) \leq \\ &aE \sum_{j=1}^n \left| e^{i\tau_1 \lambda_j} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| = aE \sum_{j=1}^n \left| e^{i\tau_1 \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} g_k} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which, from (7), is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &aE \sum_{j=1}^n \left| e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m (r_{j,k} 2\pi q e_k + r_{j,k} x_{0,k} + r_{j,k} \eta_k)} - e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} x_{0,k}} \right| = \\ &aE \sum_{j=1}^n \left| e^{i \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} \eta_k} - 1 \right| \leq aE \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^m r_{j,k} \eta_k \right| \leq \\ &anrE \sum_{k=1}^m |\eta_k| < anrE \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon/2}{a \cdot m \cdot n \cdot r \cdot E} = \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$



That is

$$(8) \quad |f_1(\sigma + it + i\tau_1) - f_2(\sigma + it)| < \varepsilon/2, \text{ with } \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1 \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, since  $f_1(s)$  is an almost-periodic function, there exists a real number  $l = l(\varepsilon)$  such that every interval of length  $l$  on the imaginary axis contains at least one translation number  $i\tau$ , associated with  $\varepsilon$ , satisfying

$$(9) \quad |f_1(\sigma + it + i\tau) - f_1(\sigma + it)| \leq \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } \sigma + it \text{ on a given reduced strip.}$$

Consequently, from (8) and (9) we deduce the existence of a relatively dense set of real numbers  $\tau$  such that any  $s \in \{\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1\}$  satisfies

$$|f_1(s+i(\tau+\tau_1)) - f_2(s)| \leq |f_1(s+i(\tau+\tau_1)) - f_1(s+i\tau_1)| + |f_1(s+i\tau_1) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

This proves the result.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  a finite set of exponents, let  $f_1(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$  and  $f_2(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$  be two equivalent functions in the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}$ . Fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a relatively dense set of real numbers  $\tau$  such that*

$$|f_1(t + \tau) - f_2(t)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the same manner that Bohr's notion of almost periodicity is equivalent to that of Bochner, the fact that the set of real numbers  $\tau$  satisfying the results above is relatively dense seems to indicate that a similar property is satisfied in our context. In this sense, with respect to the topology of uniform convergence, we include the following important result on the equivalence classes in either  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}/\sim^*$  or  $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}/\sim^*$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite set of exponents and  $\mathcal{G}$  an equivalence class in either  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}/\sim^*$  or  $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}/\sim^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  be a set of  $n \geq 1$  distinct exponents and  $\{P_l(t)\}_{l \geq 1}$ , with  $P_l(t) = a_{l,1} e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + a_{l,n} e^{i\lambda_n t}$ , a sequence in an equivalence class  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda}/\sim^*$  (the case  $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}/\sim^*$  is analogous). Fixed a basis  $G_{\Lambda} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$  for  $\Lambda$ , let  $\mathbf{r}_j = (r_{j,1}, r_{j,2}, \dots, r_{j,m})$  be the vector verifying  $\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{g} \rangle = \lambda_j$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ . Since  $f_l \sim^* f_l$  for each  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ , we deduce from Proposition 1 that there exists  $\mathbf{x}_l = (x_{l,1}, x_{l,2}, \dots, x_{l,m}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$a_{l,j} = a_{1,j} e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_l \rangle} = a_{1,j} \prod_{k=1}^m e^{ir_{j,k} x_{l,k}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let  $r_{j,k} = \frac{p_{j,k}}{q_{j,k}}$  with  $p_{j,k}$  and  $q_{j,k}$  coprime integer numbers, and define  $q_k := \text{lcm}(q_{1,k}, q_{2,k}, \dots, q_{n,k})$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Then  $e^{ir_{j,k}(x_{l,k} + 2\pi q_k)} =$

$e^{ir_{j,k}x_{l,k}}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , which means that, without loss of generality, we can take  $\mathbf{x}_l = (x_{l,1}, x_{l,2}, \dots, x_{l,m}) \in [0, 2\pi q_1) \times [0, 2\pi q_2) \times \dots \times [0, 2\pi q_m)$  for any  $l \geq 1$ . Hence we can suppose that the sequence  $\{x_{l,1}\}_{l \geq 1}$  is bounded, which implies that there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{l_{m,1},1}\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \{x_{l,1}\}_{l \geq 1}$  convergent to a point  $x_{0,1}$ . From the sequence  $\{x_{l_{m,1},2}\}_{m \geq 1}$ , which is also bounded, we can draw a subsequence  $\{x_{l_{m,2},2}\}_{m \geq 1}$  convergent to a point  $x_{0,2}$ , and so for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we get a vector  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,m}) \in [0, 2\pi q_1) \times [0, 2\pi q_2) \times \dots \times [0, 2\pi q_m)$  such that the sequence of coefficients  $\{a_{l,j}\}_{l \geq 1}$  contains a subsequence converging to  $a_j := a_{1,j} \prod_{k=1}^m e^{ir_{j,k}x_{0,k}} = a_{1,j} e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle}$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Consequently,  $P(t) := a_1 e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$  is in  $\mathcal{G}$  and the result holds.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *With respect to the proposition above, it is worth noting that we can assure the existence of infinitely many vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,m}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that a convergent subsequence of  $\{P_l(t)\}_{l \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{G}$  can be extracted so that  $a_j = a_{1,j} e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle}$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where the  $a_j$ 's are the Fourier coefficients of the limit function and the  $a_{1,j}$ 's are the Fourier coefficients of  $P_1(t)$ . Indeed, the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0$  can be constructed by fixing  $\mathbf{x}_l$  in  $[2n_1\pi q_1, 2(n_1+1)\pi q_1) \times [2n_2\pi q_2, 2(n_2+1)\pi q_2) \times \dots \times [2n_m\pi q_m, 2(n_m+1)\pi q_m)$  for any  $l \geq 1$ , where  $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*In this same respect, suppose that  $P_1(t) = a_1 e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + a_k e^{i\lambda_k t}$  and  $Q_1(t) = b_1 e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + b_k e^{i\lambda_k t}$  are two equivalent trigonometric polynomials in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}, \Lambda_1}$ , and let  $m_1$  be the number of elements of any basis for  $\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ . Thus there exist infinitely many vectors of the form  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(1)} = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,m_1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0^{(1)} \rangle}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Now, if  $P_2(t) = a_1 e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + a_k e^{i\lambda_k t} + a_{k+1} e^{i\lambda_{k+1} t} + \dots + a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$  is equivalent to  $Q_2(t) = b_1 e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \dots + b_k e^{i\lambda_k t} + b_{k+1} e^{i\lambda_{k+1} t} + \dots + b_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$  and we denote as  $m_2$  the number of elements of any basis for  $\Lambda_2 = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ , it is clear that there exist infinitely many vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0^{(2)} \rangle}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and the first  $m_1$  components of  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(2)}$  are subsets of the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(1)}$ .*

#### 4. MAIN RESULTS

In general terms, the equivalence relation of Definition 3 can be immediately adapted to the case of the Besicovitch space  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  which is obtained by the completion of the trigonometric polynomials with respect to the seminorm given by  $\limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2l} \int_{-l}^l |f(t)| dt \right)$  (with respect to the properties of this space see for example [8, Section 3.4]). In particular, the space of functions  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  contains those of the space of the almost periodic functions  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  and every function in  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  is associated with a real exponential sum with real frequencies of the form  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$  (which will also be called its Fourier series). In general, when we write that a function  $f$  is in these spaces we do not have in mind the function  $f$  itself, it does represent a whole class of equivalent functions according to the relation  $f_1 \simeq f_2$

if and only if  $\limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2l} \int_{-l}^l |f(t) - g(t)| dt \right) = 0$ . This set of complex functions with real variable could be generalized to the case of complex functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , with  $U = \{\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  a vertical strip in  $\mathbb{C}$ , which lead us to the functional sets  $B(U, \mathbb{C}) \supset AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  whose functions  $f(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , are associated with a complex exponential sum with real frequencies of the form  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  (which will also be called its Dirichlet series) which represents the Fourier series of  $f(s)$  on any vertical line in  $U$ , with  $f(\sigma_0 + it) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  for every  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . As before, when we write that a function  $f$  is in the spaces  $B(U, \mathbb{C})$  we do not have in mind the function  $f$  itself, it does also represent a whole class of equivalent functions according to the relation above  $\simeq$  on every vertical line in  $U$  (by abuse of notation, it will also be denoted as  $\simeq$  in the following definition).

In this way, we establish the following definition.

**Definition 5** (mod.). *Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  a set of exponents, let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  denote two equivalence classes of  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) / \simeq$  (resp.  $B(U, \mathbb{C}) / \simeq$ ) whose associated Fourier series (resp. Dirichlet series) are given by*

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t} \text{ and } \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{i\lambda_j t}, \quad a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j \in \Lambda.)$$

$$(\text{resp. } \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s} \text{ and } \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j s}, \quad a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j \in \Lambda.)$$

We will say that  $f_1$  is equivalent to  $f_2$  if for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , with  $n \leq \#\Lambda$ , it is satisfied  $a_n^* \sim b_n^*$ , where  $a_n^*, b_n^* : \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are the functions given by  $a_n^*(\lambda_j) := a_j$  and  $b_n^*(\lambda_j) := b_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $\sim$  is in Definition 1.

Again, by abuse of notation, we will also use  $\sim^*$  for the equivalence relation above, which can also be characterized in terms of a basis for  $\Lambda$  (as in Proposition 1).

For our purposes, we next focus our attention on the following classes of functions included in the spaces of almost periodic functions  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ . We recall that the elements of these spaces also correspond to the so-called uniformly almost periodic functions which were used in [2].

**Definition 6.** *Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  be an arbitrary countable set of distinct real numbers. We will say that a function  $f : U \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (resp.  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) is in the class  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ ) if it is an almost periodic function in  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  (resp. in  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ ) whose associated Dirichlet series (resp. Fourier series) is of the form*

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j \in \Lambda,$$

$$(11) \quad (\text{resp. } \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j \in \Lambda.)$$

where  $U$  is a strip of the type  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \operatorname{Re} s < \beta\}$ , with  $-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ .

It is convenient to recall that any almost periodic function in  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  (resp. in  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ ) is uniquely determined by its Dirichlet series (resp. Fourier series), which is of type (10) (resp. of type (11)). In fact, even in the case that the sequence of the partial sums of its Dirichlet series (resp. Fourier series) does not converge uniformly, there exists a sequence of finite exponential sums, called Bochner-Fejér polynomials, of the type  $P_k(s) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,k} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  (resp.  $P_k(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,k} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$ ) where for each  $k$  only a finite number of the factors  $p_{j,k}$  differ from zero, which converges uniformly to  $f$  in every reduced strip in  $U$  (resp. in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and converges formally to the Dirichlet series on  $U$  (resp. to the Fourier series on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) [2, Polynomial approximation theorem, pgs. 50,148].

In this way, Definition 5 can be particularized to the classes  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  for which the equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \simeq$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda / \simeq$  are reduced to individual functions.

We next demonstrate the following important lemma which will let us to consider equivalence classes in the space of almost periodic functions.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f_1(t) \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  be an almost periodic function whose Fourier series is given by  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  is a set of distinct exponents. Consider  $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$  is equivalent to  $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$ . Then  $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$  is the Fourier series associated with an almost periodic function  $f_2(t) \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $f_1 \stackrel{*}{\sim} f_2$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$ . By the hypothesis,  $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda \subset AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  is determined by the series  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda_j \in \Lambda$ . Moreover, since it is accomplished  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t} \stackrel{*}{\sim} \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$ , for each integer value  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\#\Lambda}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{<\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_n> i}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\mathbf{r}_j$  is given by (2). On the other hand, let

$$P_k(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,k} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with  $p_{j,k} \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , be the sequence of Bochner-Fejér polynomials which converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $f_1(t)$  ([2, Polynomial approximation theorem, p. 50]). It is known that  $\{P_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$  is equicontinuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , equi-almost periodic on  $\mathbb{R}$  and it converges in mean (see [8, Section 4.4]). In particular, that implies that, fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$(12) \quad |P_k(t_1) - P_k(t_2)| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ if } |t_1 - t_2| < \delta.$$

Also, there exists  $l > 0$  such that any interval  $(a, a+l)$  of length  $l$  contains a number  $\tau$  satisfying

$$(13) \quad |P_k(t+\tau) - P_k(t)| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } k \geq 1.$$

Now, define the sequence of trigonometric polynomials

$$Q_k(t) := \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,k} b_j e^{i\lambda_j t}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where, fixed  $k$ , we can take  $b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_{n_k} \rangle i}$  with  $n_k$  the greatest integer value  $j$  such that  $p_{j,k} \neq 0$ . By Corollary 1 there exists  $\tau_1 > 0$  such that

$$(14) \quad |Q_k(t + \tau_1) - P_k(t)| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consequently, we deduce from (12) and (14) that, if  $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_k(t_1 + \tau_1) - Q_k(t_2 + \tau_1)| &\leq |Q_k(t_1 + \tau_1) - P_k(t_1)| + \\ &|P_k(t_1) - P_k(t_2)| + |P_k(t_2) - Q_k(t_2 + \tau_1)| < \varepsilon \text{ for each } k \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{Q_k(t)\}$  is equicontinuous. Also, by (13) and (14), for each  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_k(t + \tau) - Q_k(t)| &= |Q_k(t + \tau) - P_k(t + \tau - \tau_1)| + \\ &|P_k(t + \tau - \tau_1) - P_k(t - \tau_1)| + |P_k(t - \tau_1) - Q_k(t)| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{Q_k(t)\}$  is equi-almost periodic on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Finally, since  $\{P_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$  converges in mean, there exists  $k_0$  such that

$$M\{|P_{k_1}(t) - P_{k_2}(t)|^2\} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k_1, k_2 \geq k_0,$$

where  $M\{|P_{k_1}(t) - P_{k_2}(t)|^2\} = \sum_{j \geq 1} (p_{j,k_1} - p_{j,k_2})^2 |a_j|^2$ . However, note that

$$M\{|Q_{k_1}(t) - Q_{k_2}(t)|^2\} = \sum_{j \geq 1} (p_{j,k_1} - p_{j,k_2})^2 \left| e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_{n_{k_2}} \rangle i} \right|^2 |a_j|^2 =$$

$$M\{|P_{k_1}(t) - P_{k_2}(t)|^2\},$$

where we suppose that  $n_{k_2} \geq n_{k_1}$ , which implies that  $\{Q_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$  converges in mean. Consequently, in virtue of [2, p. 43] (or [8, Section 4.4]), we have that  $\{Q_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $f_2(t)$ , say. Now, since  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  is the closure of the trigonometric polynomials in the sense of uniform convergence, we have  $f_2(t) \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  and, by [2, p. 21],  $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$  represents its Fourier series. Finally, by taking into account Definition 5 (in terms of Proposition 1) we have  $f_1 \overset{*}{\sim} f_2$ .  $\square$

In the same manner, we have an analogous result for the complex case.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f_1(s) \in AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U$  whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  is a set of distinct exponents. Take  $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  is equivalent to  $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j s}$ . Then  $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  is the Dirichlet series associated with an almost periodic function  $f_2(s) \in AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $f_1 \overset{*}{\sim} f_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \operatorname{Re} s < \beta\}$ . Take  $\alpha < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \beta$  and  $U_1 := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \sigma_2\}$ . By Lemma 2, note that  $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j \sigma} e^{i\lambda_j t}$  represents for  $\sigma = \sigma_1$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_2$  the Fourier series of two almost periodic functions  $f_{2,\sigma_1}(t)$  and  $f_{2,\sigma_2}(t)$  which are equivalent to  $f_{1,\sigma_1}(t) := f_1(\sigma_1 + it)$  and  $f_{1,\sigma_2}(t) := f_1(\sigma_2 + it)$ , respectively. Now, by [2, p. 149, Theorem], there exists a function  $f_2(s) \in AP(U_1, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $f_2(\sigma_1 + it) = f_{2,\sigma_1}(t)$ ,  $f_2(\sigma_2 + it) = f_{2,\sigma_2}(t)$  and  $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  is the Dirichlet series associated with it. As  $U_1$  is an arbitrary reduced strip in  $U$ , the result holds. Finally, by taking into account Definition 5, we have  $f_1 \overset{*}{\sim} f_2$ .  $\square$

Since two almost periodic functions are equal if and only if they have the same Dirichlet or Fourier series ([2, p. 148] and [8, Section 4.2]), we deduce from the results above that if two functions in  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  or  $B(U, \mathbb{C})$  are equivalent and we know that one of them is in  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  or  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ , then both functions are in  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  or  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ , respectively.

#### 4.1. On the space $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ .

From this section, the set of functions of the Besicovitch space  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \supset AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  will be taken as the set of reference in the sense that each function in  $B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  is associated with a Fourier series [8, Section 4.2]. We will consider that this space is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Under this topology, we next show that the equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda / \overset{*}{\sim}$  are closed. In fact, more specifically, they are sequentially compact. In this respect, it is worth noting that, by Lemma 2, if  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ , then any function of its equivalence class is also included in  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of exponents and  $\mathcal{G}$  an equivalence class in  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda / \overset{*}{\sim}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_l\}_{l \geq 1}$  be a sequence in an equivalence class  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda / \overset{*}{\sim}$ . For each  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ , suppose that the Fourier series which is associated with the almost periodic function  $f_l(t)$  is given by

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} a_{l,j} e^{i\lambda_j t} \text{ with } a_{l,j} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda_j \in \Lambda.$$

Fixed a basis  $G_\Lambda = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots\}$  for  $\Lambda$ , let  $\mathbf{r}_j = (r_{j,1}, r_{j,2}, \dots)$  be the vector verifying  $\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{g} \rangle = \lambda_j$  for each  $j \geq 1$ , where  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots)$ . Since  $f_1 \overset{*}{\sim} f_l$  for each  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ , we deduce from Proposition 1 that for each integer value  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $\mathbf{x}_{l,n} = (x_{l,n,1}, x_{l,n,2}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  such that

$$(15) \quad a_{l,j} = a_{1,j} e^{i \langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_{l,n} \rangle}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ with } \lambda_j \in \Lambda.$$

Given  $l \geq 1$ , let  $P_{l,k}(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,k} a_{l,j} e^{i\lambda_j t}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , be the Bochner-Fejér polynomials which converge uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $f_l$  (and converge formally to its Fourier series on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). It is worth noting that for each  $k$  only a finite number of the factors  $p_{j,k}$  differ from zero, and these factors  $p_{j,k}$  do not depend on  $l$  [2, p. 48]. Thus, by taking into account (15), it is clear

that  $\{P_{l,1}(t)\}_{l \geq 1}$  is a sequence of equivalent trigonometric polynomials and, by Proposition 2, there exists a subsequence  $\{P_{l_m,1}(t)\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \{P_{l,1}(t)\}_{l \geq 1}$  convergent to a certain  $P_1(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t} \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  which is in the same equivalence class as  $P_{1,1}(t)$  (see also Remark 1). Furthermore, by Proposition 1 and Remark 2, this means that there exist infinitely many vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(1)} = (x_{0,1}^{(1)}, x_{0,2}^{(1)}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\#G_\Lambda}$  such that

$$p_{j,1} a_j = p_{j,1} a_{1,j} e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0^{(1)} \rangle}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ with } \lambda_j \in \Lambda.$$

Analogously, from the sequence  $\{P_{l_m,2}(t)\}_{m \geq 1}$ , we can draw a subsequence  $\{P_{l_m,2,2}(t)\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \{P_{l_m,1,2}(t)\}_{m \geq 1}$  convergent to a certain

$$P_2(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,2} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t} \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$$

which is in the same equivalence class as  $P_{1,2}(t)$ . This implies that there exist infinitely many vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(2)} = (x_{0,1}^{(2)}, x_{0,2}^{(2)}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\#G_\Lambda}$  such that

$$p_{j,2} a_j = p_{j,2} a_{1,j} e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0^{(2)} \rangle}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ with } \lambda_j \in \Lambda.$$

In general, for each  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , we can extract a subsequence  $\{P_{l_m,k}(t)\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \{P_{l_m,k-1,k}(t)\}_{m \geq 1}$  convergent to a certain

$$P_k(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,k} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t} \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda,$$

which is in the same equivalence class as  $P_{1,k}(t)$  and hence there exist infinitely many vectors  $\mathbf{x}_0^{(k)} = (x_{0,1}^{(k)}, x_{0,2}^{(k)}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\#G_\Lambda}$  such that

$$(16) \quad p_{j,k} a_j = p_{j,k} a_{1,j} e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0^{(k)} \rangle}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ with } \lambda_j \in \Lambda.$$

So we get by induction a sequence  $\{P_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$  of trigonometric polynomials which converges formally to the series

$$(17) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}, \text{ with } \lambda_j \in \Lambda,$$

and, since (16) is satisfied for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we can construct, for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , a vector  $\mathbf{x}_{0,n} \in \mathbb{R}^{\#G_\Lambda}$  such that, by taking into account remarks 1 and 2, verifies

$$a_j = a_{1,j} e^{i\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_{0,n} \rangle}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ with } \lambda_j \in \Lambda.$$

Hence the series (17) is equivalent to  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_{1,j} e^{i\lambda_j t}$  and, by Lemma 2, it is the Fourier series associated with an almost periodic function  $h(t) \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $h \overset{*}{\sim} f_1$ . Consequently,  $\{P_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $h(t) \in \mathcal{G}$  and we can extract a subsequence of  $\{f_l(t)\}_{l \geq 1}$  which also converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $h(t)$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, in the topology of uniform convergence on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we next prove that the family of translates of a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is closed on its equivalence class in  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda/\sim^*$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of exponents and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ . Then the limit points of the set of functions  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f_\tau(t) := f(t + \tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  are functions which are equivalent to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows easily from Lemma 1 and Proposition 3.  $\square$

Now Corollary 2 can be improved with the following result. Indeed, we next prove that, fixed a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ , the limit points of the set of the translates  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f(t + \tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of  $f$  are precisely the almost periodic functions which are equivalent to  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of exponents,  $\mathcal{G}$  an equivalence class in  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda/\sim^*$  and  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then the set of functions  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f_\tau(t) := f(t + \tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(t)$  be a function in the class  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ . We know by Corollary 2 that the limit points of the set of functions  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f_\tau(t) := f(t + \tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  are almost periodic functions which are equivalent to  $f$ . We next demonstrate that any function  $h(t)$  which is equivalent to  $f(t)$  is also a limit point of  $\mathcal{T}_f$ . If  $\#\Lambda < \infty$ , given  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Corollary 1 assures the existence of an increasing sequence  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive real numbers such that any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  verifies

$$|f(t + \tau_n) - h(t)| < \varepsilon_n \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence the result holds for the case  $\#\Lambda < \infty$ . Let  $\#\Lambda = \infty$  and  $\{P_n(t)\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{Q_n(t)\}_{n \geq 1}$  the sequences of Bochner-Fejér polynomials which converge uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $f(t)$  and  $h(t)$ , respectively. Take

$$\varepsilon_1 = \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t) - P_1(t)|, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(t) - Q_1(t)|\} > 0,$$

then Corollary 1 assures the existence of  $\tau_1 > 0$  such that  $|P_1(t + \tau_1) - Q_1(t)| < \varepsilon_1$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, if  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$|f(t + \tau_1) - h(t)| \leq |f(t + \tau_1) - P_1(t + \tau_1)| + |P_1(t + \tau_1) - Q_1(t)| + |Q_1(t) - h(t)| \leq 3\varepsilon_1.$$

Similarly, take  $\varepsilon_2 = \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t) - P_2(t)|, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(t) - Q_2(t)|\} > 0$ , then Corollary 1 assures the existence of  $\tau_2 > \tau_1$  such that  $|P_2(t + \tau_2) - Q_2(t)| < \varepsilon_2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, if  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$|f(t + \tau_2) - h(t)| \leq |f(t + \tau_2) - P_2(t + \tau_2)| + |P_2(t + \tau_2) - Q_2(t)| + |Q_2(t) - h(t)| \leq 3\varepsilon_2.$$

In general, by repeating this process, we can construct an increasing sequence  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that each  $\tau_n$  satisfies that

$$(18) \quad |P_n(t + \tau_n) - Q_n(t)| < \varepsilon_n,$$



with  $\varepsilon_n = \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t) - P_n(t)|, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(t) - Q_n(t)|\}$ . Thus, from (18), any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  verifies

$$|f(t + \tau_n) - h(t)| \leq |f(t + \tau_n) - P_n(t + \tau_n)| + |P_n(t + \tau_n) - Q_n(t)| + |Q_n(t) - h(t)| \leq 3\varepsilon_n.$$

Note that  $\varepsilon_n$  tends to 0 when  $n$  goes to  $\infty$ . Consequently, the sequence of functions  $\{f(t + \tau_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $h(t)$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  and the result holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $f_1 \overset{*}{\sim} f$ . There exists an increasing-unbounded sequence  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers such that the sequence of functions  $\{f(t + \tau_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $f_1(t)$ . In fact, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a relatively dense set of positive numbers  $\tau$  such that*

$$|f(t + \tau) - f_1(t)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an almost periodic in  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  for some set  $\Lambda$  of exponents. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the equivalence class in  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda / \overset{*}{\sim}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{G}$  and let  $f_1 \overset{*}{\sim} f$ . Thus, by Theorem 2 (see also its proof), there exists an increasing unbounded sequence  $\{\delta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers such that the sequence of functions  $\{f(t + \delta_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges uniformly to  $f_1(t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Equivalently, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f(t + \delta_n) - f_1(t)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \forall n \geq n_0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, since  $f(t)$  is almost periodic, there exists  $l = l(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that any interval  $(a, a + l)$  contains a number  $\tau$  satisfying  $|f(t + \tau) - f(t)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence any interval  $(a, a + l)$  contains a number  $\tau$  satisfying

$$|f(t + \delta_n + \tau) - f_1(t)| \leq |f(t + \delta_n + \tau) - f(t + \delta_n)| + |f(t + \delta_n) - f_1(t)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which proves the result.  $\square$

As it was said in the introduction, Bochner's property consisting of the relative compactness of the set  $\{f(t + \tau)\}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , associated with a function  $f$ , is a characteristic feature of almost periodicity in the sense of Bohr. In this respect, the following main theorem, formulated in terms of Bochner's result, characterizes the space of almost periodic functions  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f \in B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $f$  is in  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  if and only if the closure of its set of translates is compact and it coincides with its equivalence class.*

*Proof.* First of all, we recall that any function  $f \in B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  has an associated Fourier series. Let  $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  for some set  $\Lambda$  of exponents. Now, let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the equivalence class in  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda / \overset{*}{\sim}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ . By Theorem 2, all the limit points of the translates of  $f$  are functions which are included in  $\mathcal{G}$  and, in fact, the compact closure of the set of the translates of  $f$  coincides

with  $\mathcal{G}$ . Conversely, since the set of translates of a function  $f$  is relatively compact, we have that  $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  in virtue of Bochner's result.  $\square$

Equivalently, the theorem above shows that, for a  $f \in B(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , the condition of almost periodicity in the sense of Bohr is equivalent to that every sequence  $\{f(t + \tau_n)\}$ ,  $\tau_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , of translates of  $f$  has a subsequence that converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  to a function which is equivalent to  $f$ .

**Corollary 4.** *If  $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , then the compact closure of its set of translates coincides with its equivalence class.*

#### 4.2. On the spaces $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ , $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

We next deal with the case of the classes  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  (see Definition 6) which give rise to the space of the almost periodic functions  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  in some open vertical strips  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ . It is worth remarking that the Dirichlet series associated with a function  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  represents the Fourier series of  $f(s)$  on any line of the strip of almost periodicity. In fact, any function  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ , which is almost periodic in  $U = \{\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$ , satisfies that the Fourier series of  $f_{\sigma_0}(t) := f(\sigma_0 + it)$ , with  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , has the same expression  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j \sigma_0} e^{i \lambda_j t}$  independently of  $\sigma_0$ .

From now on, we will consider that the space of all analytic functions on open vertical strips  $U$  is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on every reduced strip of  $U$ , in particular in the space of functions which have an associated Dirichlet series. Note firstly that, by using Lemma 3, if  $f \in AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  then any function of its equivalence class is also included in  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ . As in Proposition 3, it is verified that the equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \sim^*$  are closed.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of exponents and  $\mathcal{G}$  an equivalence class in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \sim^*$ , whose functions are almost periodic in an open vertical strip  $U$ . In the space of analytic functions on  $U$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on reduced strips,  $\mathcal{G}$  is sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* The proof of this result is analogous to that of Proposition 3.  $\square$

In particular, we deduce from the result above that the limit points of the family of translates  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f_\tau(s) := f(s + i\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , of a function  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ , are included in its equivalence class in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \sim^*$ . More so, fixed a function  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ , the limit points of the set of the translates  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f(s + i\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of  $f$  are precisely the functions which are equivalent to  $f$ , which is technically proved *mutatis mutandis* as in Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of exponents,  $\mathcal{G}$  an equivalence class in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \sim^*$  and  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then the set of functions  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f_\tau(s) := f(s + i\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $f$  be an almost periodic function in an open vertical strip  $U$  and  $f_1 \sim^* f$ . There exists an increasing unbounded sequence  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers such that the sequence of functions  $\{f(s + i\tau_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges uniformly on every reduced strip of  $U$  to  $f_1(s)$ . In fact, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a reduced strip  $U_1 \subset U$  there exists a relatively dense set of positive numbers  $\tau$  such that*

$$|f(s + i\tau) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall s \in U_1.$$

Finally, the following main theorem, formulated in terms of Bochner's result, characterizes the space of functions  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ . Its demonstration is analogous to that of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f \in B(U, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $f$  is in  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  if and only if the closure of its set of vertical translates is compact and it coincides with a certain equivalence class of  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \sim^*$  for some set  $\Lambda$  of exponents.*

#### 5. SOME APPLICATIONS TO THE EXPONENTIAL SUMS WHICH CONVERGE ABSOLUTELY AND, IN PARTICULAR, TO THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

The results of the previous section can be particularized to the following classes of exponential sums.

**Definition 7.** *Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  be a set of exponents. We will say that a function  $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  is in the class  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  if it is an exponential sum of the form*

$$(19) \quad f(s) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_j \in \Lambda,$$

where the sum appearing in the right hand side of (19) converges absolutely on some non-empty set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

For a given set  $\Lambda$  of exponents, the classes  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  are clearly non-empty and, in fact, it is easy to demonstrate that any  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  converges absolutely on some vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma_l < \sigma < \sigma_r\}$ , where  $\sigma_l$  and  $\sigma_r$  could eventually be  $-\infty$  and  $\infty$  respectively.

**Remark 3.** *Let  $AP_1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  be the space of the almost periodic functions  $h : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  whose Fourier series are absolutely convergent, i.e. functions of the form  $h(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$  (see [8, Section 4.2]).*

*Fixed a set  $\Lambda$  of exponents, if  $f(s) \in \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  is of type (19), which converges absolutely on a region  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma_l < \sigma < \sigma_r\}$ , then the function  $h_{\sigma_0} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ , with  $\sigma_0 \in (\sigma_l, \sigma_r)$ , defined as  $h_{\sigma_0}(t) := f(\sigma_0 + it)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is in  $AP_1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  and it is also uniformly convergent. Moreover, the function  $f(s)$  is almost periodic in the strip  $U$  [2, p.144] and it coincides with its associated Dirichlet series [2, p.148, Theorem 1]. More so, the family  $\{\mathcal{A}_\Lambda : \Lambda \text{ is a set of exponents}\}$  gives us the space of the almost periodic functions whose Dirichlet series are absolutely convergent on some open vertical strip  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ .*

**Remark 4.** Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  a set of exponents, let  $f_1(s) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  and  $f_2(s) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  be two exponential sums in  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$  which converge absolutely on  $U_{f_1}$  and  $U_{f_2}$  respectively. If  $f_1 \sim f_2$ , it is clear that  $|a_j| = |b_j|$  for each  $j \geq 1$  and thus  $U_{f_1} = U_{f_2}$ . Hence, if  $f_1 \in AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ , its Dirichlet series converges absolutely on  $U$  and  $f_1 \sim f_2$ , then  $f_2 \in AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  and its Dirichlet series converges absolutely on  $U$ .

From the remark above, with the topology of the uniform convergence on every reduced strip in  $U$ , we have the following result as a corollary of Theorem 4.

**Corollary 6.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of exponents,  $\mathcal{G}$  an equivalence class in  $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda / \sim^*$ , whose functions converge absolutely on an open vertical strip  $U$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then the set of functions  $\mathcal{T}_f = \{f_\tau(s) := f(s + i\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Let  $\Lambda_P = \{-\log 2, -\log 3, -\log 4, \dots, -\log j, \dots\}$ , then the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-s \log n} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$ , which converges absolutely in  $\{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > 1\}$ , is in the class  $\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda_P}$ . In fact, a basis for  $\Lambda_P$  is given by  $G_{\Lambda_P} = \{-\log 2, -\log 3, -\log 5, \dots, -\log p_k, \dots\}$ , where  $p_k$  is the  $k$ -th prime number. Furthermore, from Corollary 5, we get the next consequence for the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f_1(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ ,  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , be an exponential sum which is equivalent to  $\zeta(s)$ . There exists an increasing unbounded sequence  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers such that the sequence of functions  $\{\zeta(s + i\tau_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges uniformly to  $f_1(s)$  on every reduced strip of  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1\}$ .

Let  $\zeta_\lambda(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s}$  be the Dirichlet series for the Liouville function  $\lambda(n)$  [16]. Since  $\zeta(s)$  is equivalent to itself and it is also equivalent to  $\zeta_\lambda(s)$ , we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.** There exist two increasing unbounded sequences  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{\varsigma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers such that the sequences of functions  $\{\zeta(s + i\tau_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{\zeta(s + i\varsigma_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converge uniformly to  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta_\lambda(s)$ , respectively, on every reduced strip of  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1\}$ .

As a consequence, we will obtain alternative demonstrations of some known results related to the infimum of  $|\zeta(s)|$  on certain regions in the half-plane  $\{s = \sigma + it : \sigma \geq 1\}$ . We first consider the following preliminary result (compare also with [18, Theorem 8.7] and [13, p. 288, Theorem 2]).

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\sigma_0$  be a real number greater than 1. Then

$$\inf\{|\zeta(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma_0\} = \frac{\zeta(2\sigma_0)}{\zeta(\sigma_0)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}.$$

Moreover,  $|\zeta(s)| > \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma_0.$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma_0 > 1$ , it was proved in [1, Section 7.6] that

$$\inf\{|\zeta(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma_0\} = \frac{\zeta(2\sigma_0)}{\zeta(\sigma_0)}.$$

On the other hand, by using the Euler product formula, we have

$$\frac{\zeta(2\sigma_0)}{\zeta(\sigma_0)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - p_k^{-2\sigma_0}}}{\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - p_k^{-\sigma_0}}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}},$$

which means that  $\inf\{|\zeta(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma_0\} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}$ . Now, if we take  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$  observe that

$$\frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}$$

for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma}} \geq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}$$

and consequently

$$\inf\{|\zeta(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma_0\} = \inf\{|\zeta(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s = \sigma_0\} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}.$$

Finally, if we suppose the existence of some  $s_1 = \sigma_0 + it_1$ ,  $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $|\zeta(s_1)| = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}$ , we deduce from the Euler product formula that

$$(20) \quad |\zeta(s_1)| = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 - p_k^{-s_1}} \right| = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}.$$

Note that, for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 - p_k^{-s_1}} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{1 - p_k^{-\sigma_0} e^{-it_1 \log p_k}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{1 + p_k^{-\sigma_0}}$$

and the equality is verified if and only if  $t_1 = \frac{(2m_k + 1)\pi}{\log p_k}$  for some  $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Therefore, from (20), this implies for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  that  $t_1 = \frac{(2m_k + 1)\pi}{\log p_k}$  for some  $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is clearly a contradiction because the numbers  $\{\log p_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  are linearly independent over the rationals.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** We recall that the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 1$ . Therefore, since  $\frac{\zeta(2\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)}$  goes to 0 as  $\sigma$  tends to  $1^+$ , we immediately obtain from Lemma 4 that

$$\inf\{|\zeta(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s > 1\} = \inf\{|\zeta(s)| : 1 < \operatorname{Re} s < \sigma_0\} = 0,$$

for any  $\sigma_0 > 1$ . That is, in spite of the absence of zeros, it is well known that  $|\zeta(s)|$  takes arbitrarily small values in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1\}$ .

As a consequence of Corollary 7, we next provide another proof of [18, Theorem 8.6] or [13, p. 288, Corollary 1]. In fact, for any positive number  $\tau > 0$ , we next construct a sequence of complex numbers  $\{w_n\} \subset \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1, \operatorname{Im} s > \tau\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(w_n) = 0$ .

**Corollary 8.** Fixed  $\tau > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 > 1$ ,

$$\inf\{|\zeta(s)| : \operatorname{Re} s > 1, \operatorname{Im} s > \tau\} = \inf\{|\zeta(s)| : 1 < \operatorname{Re} s < \sigma_0, \operatorname{Im} s > \tau\} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\tau > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 > 1$ . From Remark 5, it is immediate that there exists a sequence  $\{w_m\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < \operatorname{Re} s < \sigma_0\}$ , with  $w_m = \sigma_m + it_m$ , such that  $|\zeta(w_m)| < \frac{1}{m}$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $t_m \leq \tau$  for some integer number  $m \geq 1$ . Thus, by Corollary 7, there exists an increasing unbounded sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  of positive real numbers such that  $\{\zeta(s + i\tau_n)\}_n$  converges uniformly to  $\zeta(s)$  on every reduced strip  $U_1$  of  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1\}$ . Take a reduced strip  $U_1$  such that  $w_m \in U_1$ . Particularly, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(w_m + i\tau_n) = \zeta(w_m)$  and, consequently, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\zeta(w_m + i\tau_n)| < \frac{1}{m}$  for each  $n \geq n_0$ . Finally, since  $\{\tau_n\}$  is unbounded, there exists an integer number  $p_0 \geq n_0$  such that  $t_m + \tau_{p_0} > \tau$  and  $|\zeta(w_m + i\tau_{p_0})| < \frac{1}{m}$ . Thus we can construct a sequence  $\{w_m^*\} \subset \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < \operatorname{Re} s < \sigma_0, \operatorname{Im} s > \tau\}$  verifying  $|\zeta(w_m^*)| < \frac{1}{m}$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence the result holds.  $\square$

Finally, the following corollary is related to [18, Theorem 8.6 (A)].

**Corollary 9.** There exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(1 + it_n) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\frac{1}{\zeta(1 + it)}$  is bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Given  $\tau > 0$  and  $\sigma_1 > 1$ , let  $M_1 = \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(1 + it)} \right| : t > \tau \right\} < \infty$ ,  $M_2 = \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(\sigma_1 + it)} \right| : t > \tau \right\}$  and  $M_3 = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(\sigma + i\tau)} \right| : 0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1 \right\}$ . In this case, from Lemma 4 and Phragmén and Lindelöf's theorem [19, Chapter 5], we have that  $\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}$  is bounded throughout the region  $R = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \sigma_1, \operatorname{Im} s > \tau\}$ .

In fact, an admissible upper bound for the function  $\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}$  in  $R$  is  $M = \max\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ . Nevertheless, by Corollary 8, this is false. Consequently, we get that  $\frac{1}{\zeta(1+it)}$  is unbounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and thus there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(1+it_n) = 0$ .  $\square$

Finally, we would like to add the following remarks.

**Remark 6.** If  $\Lambda_A = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j, \dots\}$  is a countable set of distinct aligned frequencies or exponents (not necessarily real numbers), then the main results in this paper can be easily extended to the exponential sums of the form

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\gamma_j s}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \gamma_j \in \Lambda_A.$$

In this case, we must change the vertical lines, strips or translates by cross-wise ones which are perpendicular to the line given by the frequencies.

**Remark 7.** Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  a set of exponents, consider  $A_1(p)$  and  $A_2(p)$  two exponential sums in the class  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ , say  $A_1(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}$  and  $A_2(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j p}$ . We will say that  $A_1$  is  $B$ -equivalent to  $A_2$  if  $a \sim b$ , where  $a, b : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are the functions given by  $a(\lambda_j) := a_j$  y  $b(\lambda_j) := b_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\sim$  is in Definition 1. Now, fixed a basis  $G_\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda$ , for each  $j \geq 1$  let  $\mathbf{r}_j$  be the vector of rational components verifying (2). Thus it is easy to prove that  $A_1$  is  $B$ -equivalent to  $A_2$  if and only if there exists  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_{0,1}, x_{0,2}, \dots, x_{0,k}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  such that  $b_j = a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle}$  for every  $j \geq 1$ .

From this and Proposition 1, it is worth noting that Definition 3 and definition of  $B$ -equivalence are equivalent in the case that it is possible to obtain an integral basis for the set of exponents  $\Lambda$ . Consequently, all the results of this paper which can be formulated in terms of an integral basis are also valid under the  $B$ -equivalence (in particular, those related to the finite exponential sums in Section 3 and the Riemann zeta function in Section 5).

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the anonymous referee for its valuable comments on our manuscript in The Ramanujan Journal which led us to generalize our results. The first author's research was partially supported by Generalitat Valenciana under project GV/2015/035.

## REFERENCES

1. T.M. Apostol, Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
2. A.S. Besicovitch, Almost periodic functions, Dover, New York, 1954.
3. S. Bochner, A new approach to almost periodicity, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **48** (1962), 2039-2043.
4. H. Bohr, Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen. (German) III. Dirichletentwicklung analytischer Funktionen, Acta Math. **47** (3) (1926), 237-281.
5. H. Bohr, Almost periodic functions, Chelsea, New York, 1951.

6. H. Bohr, Contribution to the theory of almost periodic functions, Det Kgl. danske Videnskabernes Selskab. Matematisk-fysiske meddelelser. Bd. XX. Nr. 18, Copenhagen, 1943.
7. C. Corduneanu, Almost Periodic Functions, Interscience publishers, New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1968.
8. C. Corduneanu, Almost Periodic Oscillations and Waves, Springer, New York, 2009.
9. S. Yu. Favorov, Zeros of holomorphic almost periodic functions. J. Anal. Math. **84** (2001), 51-66.
10. A.M. Fink, Almost Periodic Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 377, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
11. G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1979.
12. B. Jessen, Some aspects of the theory of almost periodic functions, in Proc. Internat. Congress Mathematicians Amsterdam, 1954, Vol. 1, North-Holland, 1954, pp. 304–351.
13. A.A. Karatsuba, S.M. Voronin, The Riemann zeta function. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1992.
14. A. Laurinćikas, Universality of the Riemann zeta-function, J. Number Theory **130** (2010), 2323-2331.
15. A. Laurinćikas, W. Schwarz, J. Steuding, The universality of general Dirichlet series, Analysis (Munich) **23** (1) (2003), 13-26.
16. R.S. Lehman, On Liouville's Function, Math. Comput. **14** (1960), 311-320.
17. J.M. Sepulcre, T. Vidal, Equivalence classes of exponential polynomials with the same set of zeros, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., **61** (2) (2016), 225-238.
18. E.C. Titchmarsh, The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Oxford Science publication (second edition), London, 1986.
19. E.C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of Functions, Oxford University Press (second edition), London, 1976.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALICANTE, 03080-ALICANTE, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* JM.Sepulcre@ua.es

UNIVERSITY OF ALICANTE, 03080-ALICANTE, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* tmvg@alu.ua.es