But from the usual partial fraction expansion into distinct linear factors,

$$
C_{\alpha}^{-1}=W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right), \quad 1 \leqq \alpha \leqq 2 N .
$$

Thus (9) assumes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha}=\frac{W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)}{W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)} \\
& B_{\alpha}=-\frac{x_{\alpha} W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+x_{\alpha+N} W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)}{W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and our problem is reduced to the evaluation of the numerators and denominator of $A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha}$.
Recalling the definition of $P_{\alpha}(x)$ (see (4)) we see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right) P_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \\
W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)=-\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right) P_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right) \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)=\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{2 N-2} a_{j \alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}^{j}-x_{\alpha+N}^{j}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\alpha} W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+x_{\alpha+N} W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)=\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{2 N-2} a_{j \alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}^{j+1}-x_{\alpha+N}^{j+1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simple factorization

$$
x_{\alpha}^{k+1}-x_{\alpha+N}^{k+1}=\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right) R_{k, \alpha}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k, \alpha}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{\alpha}^{k-i} x_{\alpha+N}^{i} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows us to write (12) and (13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)=\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2 N-2} a_{j \alpha} R_{j-1, \alpha} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\alpha} W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+x_{\alpha+N} W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)=\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2 N-2} a_{j \alpha} R_{j, \alpha} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
Now let us look at the denominator of $A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha}(10)$. From (11) and (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right) & =-\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right)^{2} P_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) P_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right) \\
& =-\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right)^{2} \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\
\beta \neq \alpha}}^{N} Q_{\beta}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) Q_{\beta}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The identity

$$
Q_{\beta}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) Q_{\beta}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)=\pi_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{\beta}\right)+\pi_{\beta} Q_{\beta}\left(\sigma_{\alpha}\right)-2 \pi_{\alpha} \pi_{\beta}
$$

then immediately yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \cdot W^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha+N}\right)=-\left(x_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha+N}\right)^{2} \Psi_{\alpha} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{\alpha}$ is given by (7).
Equations (15)-(17) then reduce to (6) (see (10)). It remains but to evaluate $R_{k, \alpha}$ in terms of $\sigma_{i}$ and $\pi_{j}$. But this formula is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

## Lemma

If $\sigma=\alpha+\beta$ and $\pi=\alpha \beta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha^{k-i} \beta^{i}=2^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{[1 / 2 k]}\binom{k+1}{2 i+1} \sigma^{k-2 i}\left(\sigma^{2}-4 \pi\right)^{i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $r=\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-4 \pi}$. Then $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-r)$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+r)$. Substituting these values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on the right-hand side of the identity

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha^{k-i} \beta^{j}=\frac{\alpha^{k+1}-\beta^{k+1}}{\alpha-\beta}
$$

and using the binomial theorem yields (18).

## An Algorithm for the Numerical Evaluation of the Hankel Transform

## ALAN V. OPPENHEIM, GEORGE V. FRISK, and DAVID R. MARTINEZ

Abstract-A procedure is proposed for the numerical evaluation of
the Hankel (Fourier-Bessel) transform of any integer order using the
FFT algorithm. The basis for the procedure is the "projection-slice" theorem associated with the two-dimensional Fourier transform.

In a variety of applications, the need arises for the numerical evaluation of the Hankel transform (alternatively referred to as the FourierBessel transform). For example, in ocean acoustics, the reflected pressure field from a horizontally stratified bottom and the plane wave reflection coefficient are related through the Hankel transform [1]. Other common areas in which similar relationships arise are in optics [2] and in molecular biology [3].
The Hankel transform can be (and often is) interpreted in terms of the two-dimensional Fourier transform. Specifically, let $f(x, y)$ and $F(\mu, \nu)$ denote a two-dimensional function and its Fourier transform so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mu, \nu)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y) e^{j \mu x} e^{j \nu y} d x d y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, with $f(x, y)$ and $F(\mu, \nu)$ expressed in polar coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(\rho, \phi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}(r, \theta) \exp \{j[\cos (\theta-\phi)] r \rho\} r d r d \theta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is measured relative to the $x$-axis and $\phi$ is measured relative to the $\mu$-axis. If $\mathcal{F}(r, \theta)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(r, \theta)=g(r) e^{j m \theta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is an integer, then (2) reduces to [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(\rho, \phi)=(j)^{m} G(\rho) e^{j m \phi} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\rho)=\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{m}(r \rho) g(r) r d r \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral relationship of (5) corresponds to the Hankel transform of order $m$ [4]. From (4), we see that it is equal to ( $j)^{-m^{-}} e^{-m \phi_{0}}$ times a
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slice at angle $\phi_{0}$ through the two-dimensional transform $\mathcal{F}(\rho, \phi)$. Our proposed method of numerically evaluating (5) is based on the "pro-jection-slice" theorem for the two-dimensional Fourier transform. This theorem states that the one-dimensional transform of a projection of $f(x, y)$ at any angle is a slice at the same angle of $F(\mu, \nu)$ [5]. For example, referring to (1), let us consider the slice in $F(\mu, \nu)$ corresponding to $\nu=0$, or equivalently, $\mathscr{F}(\rho, \phi)$ for $\phi=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mu, 0)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{j \mu x} p(x) d x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y) d y \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the projection of $f(x, y)$ onto the $x$-axis. Thus from (6) and (4), we can write that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\rho)=\frac{j^{-m}}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{j \rho x} p(x) d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus comparing (5) and (8), it follows that the $m$ th-order Hankel transform can be equivalently expressed (and calculated) as $j^{-m}$ times the one-dimensional Fourier transform of the projection $p(x)$.
The two basic computational steps in evaluating (5) using this approach are the evaluation of the projection $p(x)(7)$ and the evaluation of the one-dimensional Fourier transform (8). Let us assume that $G(\rho)=0,|\rho| \geqslant R_{0}$. Then, from (7), $p(x)$ is bandlimited, and consequently, by virtue of the sampling theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{m} G(\rho)=\frac{\Delta x}{2 \pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} p(k \Delta x) e^{j \rho k \Delta x} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\Delta x<\pi / R_{0}$. If we consider calculating $G(\rho)$ at $N$ equally spaced values $\Delta \rho=(1 / N)(2 \pi / \Delta x)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{m} G(k \Delta \rho)=\frac{\Delta x}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left[\sum_{r=-\infty}^{+\infty} p[(n+r N) \Delta x]\right] \exp \left(i \frac{2 \pi}{N} n k\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $G(k \Delta \rho), k=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, is proportional to the discrete Fourier transform of the samples of $p(x)$, aliased in $x$. If the samples of $p(x)$ represent a finite-length sequence of length $\leqslant(N \Delta x)$, then (10) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{m} G(k \Delta \rho)=\frac{\Delta x}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} p(n \Delta x) \exp \left(j \frac{2 \pi}{N} n k\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both (10) and (11) correspond to the discrete Fourier transform, and consequently they can be evaluated directly using the one-dimensional FFT.
The calculation of samples of $p(x)$ is somewhat less direct. Equation (7) can equivalently be written as
$p(x)=2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} g\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right) V_{m}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right) d y$
$p(x)=2 \int_{x}^{+\infty} g(r) \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^{2}-x^{2}}} V_{m}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) d r$
$p(x)=2 x \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} g\left(\frac{x}{\cos \theta}\right) \frac{\cos m \theta}{\cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta$.
where $V_{m}(\cdot)$ is the $m$ th-order Chebyshev polynomial. Equations (12) incorporate the fact that since $f(r, \theta)$ is conjugate antisymmetric in $\theta$, only its real part contributes to $p(x)$. We have found it most convenient to calculate $p(x)$ through the use of (12a). Specifically, we note that since $f(x, y)$ is bandlimited,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y) d y=\Delta y \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, k \Delta y) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided only that $\Delta y<2 \pi / R_{0}$. Equation (13) is basically a consequence of the fact that for a bandlimited function sampled at one-half the Nyquist rate or higher, its integral is directly proportional to the sum of its samples. Thus, $p(n \Delta x)$ as required in (10) or (11) is
$p(n \Delta x)=\Delta y \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} g\left(\sqrt{(n \Delta x)^{2}+(k \Delta y)^{2}}\right) V_{m}\left(\frac{n \Delta x}{\sqrt{n^{2} \Delta x^{2}+k^{2} \Delta y^{2}}}\right)$

Equations (10) and (14) together provide an exact expression for the numerical calculation of $G(k \Delta \rho)$ provided only that $G(\rho)=0,|\rho|>$ $R_{0}$. If this is not the case, then (10) will compute samples of $G(\rho)$ aliased in $\rho$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q=-\infty}^{+\infty} G[\Delta \rho(k+q N)] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an integration rule more complex than (13) must be used to calculate $p(x)$.
To evaluate (14), we assume that $g\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)$ is known on a rectangular grid in the $x-y$ plane. In many practical cases of interest including the one that motivated our consideration of this method, $g(r)$ is generally available as samples in $r$. In this case, evaluation of (14) requires an interpolation of samples of $g(r)$ to the sample points on the rectangular grid. Under the assumption that $G(\rho)=0,|\rho|>R_{0}$, this is the only step in the procedure in which an approximation is required.
The above procedure has been successfully applied to a number of trial examples. Because of its apparent accuracy and efficiency, it is presently being utilized and explored further in the context of seabed acoustics.
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