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## An algorithm for the permanent of circulant matrices


#### Abstract

The permanent of an $n \times n$ matrix $A=(a ; j)$ is the matrix function (1) per $A=\sum$ al1r(1) $\cdots a .$. ", .. )".C~" where the summation is over all permutations in the symmetric group, $S \ldots$ An $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is a circulant if there are scalars $a b \ldots, a$, such that (2) $A=\sum a ; p i-I$ where $P$ is the $n \times n$ permutation matrix corresponding to the cycle ( $12 \cdot . . n$ ) in s ". In general the computation of the permanent function is quite difficult chiefly because it is not invariant under addition of a multiple of one row to another. Using the principle of "inclusion and exclusion", Ryser [6, p. 27J gave an expansion for the permanent. Also the Laplace expansion is available for the permanent [2, p. 20]. Neither of these methods are particularly efficient. In [4J Mine considered the permanents of matrices with entires either 0 or 1 . Mine also studied tridiagonal circulants in [5J]. Metropolis, Stein, and Stein [3] have given recurrence relations for evaluating the permanents of circulant matrices (2) where the first $k$ scalars are 1 and the remaining ones are 0 . Permanents of circulant matrices were also studied by Tinsley [7].
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# AN ALGORITHM FOR THE PERMANENT OF CIRCULANT MATRICES 

BY<br>LARRY J. CUMMINGS<br>AND<br>JENNIFER SEBERRY WALLIS

1. Introduction. The permanent of an $n \times n$ matrix $\boldsymbol{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is the matrix function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{per} A=\sum_{\pi \in S_{n}} a_{1 \pi(1)} \cdots a_{n \pi(n)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over all permutations in the symmetric group, $S_{n}$. An $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is a circulant if there are scalars $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} P^{i-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the $n \times n$ permutation matrix corresponding to the cycle ( $12 \cdots n$ ) in $S_{n}$. In general the computation of the permanent function is quite difficult chiefly because it is not invariant under addition of a multiple of one row to another. Using the principle of "inclusion and exclusion", Ryser [6, p. 27] gave an expansion for the permanent. Also the Laplace expansion is available for the permanent [2, p. 20]. Neither of these methods are particularly efficient. In [4] Minc considered the permanents of matrices with entires either 0 or 1 . Minc also studied tridiagonal circulants in [5]. Metropolis, Stein, and Stein [3] have given recurrence relations for evaluating the permanents of circulant matrices (2) where the first $k$ scalars are 1 and the remaining ones are 0 . Permanents of circulant matrices were also studied by Tinsley [7].
2. The algorithm. If we consider the scalars as indeterminates over an underlying field every term of the permanent (1) of a circulant matrix (2) is a monomial in the scalars $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Our algorithm deletes appropriate monomials from the set of all $n^{n}$ such monomials until only those appearing in the permanent remain. This is easily programmed because the monomials need only be considered one at a time and may be indexed by the $n^{n} n$-tuples chosen from $1, \ldots, n$ and ordered lexicographically. It is convenient to state the algorithm in terms of these indices.

Algorithm. If $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ is an $n$-tuple with entries chosen from $1, \ldots, n$ then discard $I$ if
(i) $\sum_{j=1}^{n} i_{i} \neq 0 \quad(\bmod n)$,
or if
(ii) $i_{j+k} \equiv i_{j}-k(\bmod n)$ for any $k$ and $j=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Condition (ii) excludes the occurrence of terms in the permanent of (2) with the following pattern

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots a_{i} \underbrace{* \ldots *}_{k-2 \text { entries }} a_{i+k+1} \cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i+n}$ is considered to be $a_{i}$ if necessary. For example, if $n=4$ condition (ii) of the algorithm discards a monomial whenever one of the following patterns occurs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots 14 \ldots, \ldots 21 \ldots, \ldots 32 \ldots, \ldots 43 \cdots \\
& \cdot 1^{*} 3 \cdot, \cdot 2^{*} 4 \cdot, \cdot 3^{*} 1 \cdot, \cdot 4^{*} 2 \\
& 1^{* *} 2,2^{* *} 3,3^{* *} 4,4^{* *} 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition (i) leaves the following 4-tuples:

| 1111 | 1214 | 1313 | $\underline{1412}$ | $\underline{2114}$ | $\underline{2213}$ | 2312 | 2411 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1124 | 1223 | $\underline{1322}$ | $\underline{1421}$ | $\underline{2123}$ | 2222 | $\underline{2321}$ | 2424 |
| $\underline{1133}$ | $\underline{1232}$ | $\underline{1331}$ | $\underline{1434}$ | $\underline{2132}$ | 2231 | 2334 | $\underline{2433}$ |
| $\underline{1142}$ | 1241 | 1344 | $\underline{1443}$ | $\underline{2141}$ | $\underline{2244}$ | $\underline{2343}$ | $\underline{2442}$ |
| $\underline{3113}$ | $\underline{3212}$ | $\underline{3311}$ | $\underline{3414}$ | $\underline{4112}$ | $\underline{4211}$ | $\underline{4314}$ | 4413 |
| 3122 | $\underline{3221}$ | $\underline{3324}$ | $\frac{3423}{431}$ | $\underline{4121}$ | $\underline{4224}$ | $\underline{4323}$ | $\underline{4422}$ |
| 3131 | $\underline{3234}$ | 3333 | $\underline{3432}$ | 4134 | 4233 | $\underline{4332}$ | $\underline{4431}$ |
| $\underline{3144}$ | $\underline{3243}$ | 3342 | 3441 | $\underline{4143}$ | 4242 | $\underline{4341}$ | 4444 |

Condition (ii) eliminates all of the above 4-tuples which are underlined.
Hence, if $n=4$ the permanent of (2) will be

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i}^{4}+2 a_{1}^{2} a_{3}^{2}+2 a_{2}^{2} a_{4}^{2}+4 \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i}^{2} a_{i+1} a_{i+3}
$$

Let $R_{n}$ denote the set of $n$-tuples left by the algorithm. We remark that the $n$-tuples in $R_{n}$ need not be formally distinct; e.g., 1313 and 3131 are both in $\boldsymbol{R}_{4}$. The number of formally distinct diagonal products in the permanent of an arbitrary circulant has been determined by Brualdi and Newman [1].

## 3. Proofs

Theorem. Let A be a circulant matrix (2) with scalars $a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{per} \mathrm{A}=\sum a_{i_{1}} \cdots a_{i_{n}}
$$

where the summation is over all $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{n}$.
Proof. We are concerned with determining condjitions for which $a_{t_{1}} \cdots a_{k n}$ is a term of the permanent of the $n \times n$ matrix (2). Thus, $a_{i_{k}}$ always denotes an element of the $k$ th row of (2). The $i$ th column of (2) is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{i} \\
a_{i-1} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
a_{i-n+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where subscripts are taken modulo $n$. If the Laplace expansion along the first row is used to find per $A$ the entry $a_{i-k+1}$ cannot be chosen from row $k$ to appear in any monomial beginning with $a_{i}$. In any monomial of the permanent the pattern (3) cannot appear since we may expand along any row.

Therefore any ( $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}$ ) in $R_{n}$ satisfies

$$
i_{i+k} \neq i_{j}-k \quad \text { for } \quad k=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Again, subscripts are taken modulo $n$ when necessary.
Write $i_{i+k}=i_{j}-k+x_{j k}(\bmod n)$ where $x_{j k} \neq 0,1 \leq x_{j k} \leq n-1$, and $k \neq 0$. We would like to show that $s \neq t$ implies $x_{i s} \neq x_{i j}$.

Suppose $x_{i s}=x_{j t}$. Then

Hence

$$
x_{i s}=i_{j+g}-i_{i}+s=i_{j+t}-i_{j}+t=x_{j t}
$$

but unless $s=t$

$$
i_{j+s}=i_{j+t}-(s-t)
$$

$$
i_{j+s}=i_{j+t+(s-t)} \neq i_{j+t}-(s-t)
$$

So assuming $x_{j s}=x_{i t}$ leads to a contradiction. Hence the contrapositive is true and $s \neq t$ implies $x_{j s} \neq x_{j r}$.
Step (i) is included in the algorithm because it is easy to implement. In fact, (ii) implies (i) as we now show:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} i_{j+k}=i_{i}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_{j+k} & =\left(i_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(i_{j}-k+x_{j k}\right)\right)(\bmod n) \\
& =\left(n i_{j}-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_{j k}\right)(\bmod n) \\
& =\left(n i_{i}-\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)\right)(\bmod n) \\
& \equiv 0(\bmod n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have shown why the $n$-tuples mentioned in (i) and (ii) must be discarded. It remains to show that no more should be excluded. Condition (ii) says there are $n$ choices for $a_{i 1}, n-1$ choices for $a_{i 2}$ and in general $n-k+1$ choices for $a_{i k}$. That is, condition (ii) does not eliminate exactly $n$ ! terms. But there are $n$ ! terms in the permanent so precisely the right number of monomials has been excluded.
4. Numerical results. Dr. Joan Cooper wrote a Fortran programme for our algorithm which was implemented on an ICL 1904A at the University of Newcastle, N.S.W., Australia. The following various $7 \times 7$ circulants were computed using 2.54 seconds of core time.

| First row of         <br>          <br> circulant matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$         |  |  |  |  |  | per $\boldsymbol{A}$ | row sum of <br> $\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{r}$ | per (A/r) |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4416 | 6 | 0.0157750 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 0.0141747 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0156250 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5040 | 7 | 0.0061199 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0.0109739 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 4 | 0.0087891 |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 |

We believe the algorithm is not shown to best advantage as most of the elapsed time is due to reading the 7 -tuples of the example from disc.
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