# University of Wollongong

# **Research Online**

Faculty of Informatics - Papers (Archive)

Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences

1977

## An algorithm for the permanent of circulant matrices

Larry J. Cummings

Jennifer Seberry University of Wollongong, jennie@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers

## **Recommended Citation**

Cummings, Larry J. and Seberry, Jennifer: An algorithm for the permanent of circulant matrices 1977. https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers/981

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

## An algorithm for the permanent of circulant matrices

## Abstract

The permanent of an n x n matrix A = (a;j) is the matrix function (1) per A =  $\sum a |1r(1)\cdots a \dots ", (\dots) ".C~"$ where the summation is over all permutations in the symmetric group, S ... An n x n matrix A is a circulant if there are scalars ab ... ,a,. such that (2) A=  $\sum a$ ;pi-l where P is the n x n permutation matrix corresponding to the cycle (12•...n) in s". In general the computation of the permanent function is quite difficult chiefly because it is not invariant under addition of a multiple of one row to another. Using the principle of "inclusion and exclusion", Ryser [6, p. 27J gave an expansion for the permanent. Also the Laplace expansion is available for the permanent [2, p. 20]. Neither of these methods are particularly efficient. In [4J Mine considered the permanents of matrices with entires either 0 or 1. Mine also studied tridiagonal circulants in [5J]. Metropolis, Stein, and Stein [3] have given recurrence relations for evaluating the permanents of circulant matrices (2) where the first k scalars are 1 and the remaining ones are 0. Permanents of circulant matrices were also studied by Tinsley [7].

## Disciplines

**Physical Sciences and Mathematics** 

## **Publication Details**

Cummings, LJ and Seberry, J, An algorithm for the permanent of circulant matrices, Bull. Canada. Math. Soc, 20, 1977, 67-70.

Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 20 (1), 1977

### AN ALGORITHM FOR THE PERMANENT OF CIRCULANT MATRICES

#### BY

LARRY J. CUMMINGS

#### AND

### JENNIFER SEBERRY WALLIS

**1. Introduction.** The *permanent* of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is the matrix function

(1) 
$$\operatorname{per} \mathbf{A} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} a_{1\pi(1)} \cdots a_{n\pi(n)}$$

where the summation is over all permutations in the symmetric group,  $S_n$ . An  $n \times n$  matrix A is a *circulant* if there are scalars  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  such that

(2) 
$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i P^{i-1}$$

where P is the  $n \times n$  permutation matrix corresponding to the cycle  $(12 \cdots n)$ in  $S_n$ . In general the computation of the permanent function is quite difficult chiefly because it is not invariant under addition of a multiple of one row to another. Using the principle of "inclusion and exclusion", Ryser [6, p. 27] gave an expansion for the permanent. Also the Laplace expansion is available for the permanent [2, p. 20]. Neither of these methods are particularly efficient. In [4] Minc considered the permanents of matrices with entires either 0 or 1. Minc also studied tridiagonal circulants in [5]. Metropolis, Stein, and Stein [3] have given recurrence relations for evaluating the permanents of circulant matrices (2) where the first k scalars are 1 and the remaining ones are 0. Permanents of circulant matrices were also studied by Tinsley [7].

2. The algorithm. If we consider the scalars as indeterminates over an underlying field every term of the permanent (1) of a circulant matrix (2) is a monomial in the scalars  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ . Our algorithm deletes appropriate monomials from the set of all  $n^n$  such monomials until only those appearing in the permanent remain. This is easily programmed because the monomials need only be considered one at a time and may be indexed by the  $n^n$  *n*-tuples chosen from  $1, \ldots, n$  and ordered lexicographically. It is convenient to state the algorithm in terms of these indices.

#### 67

Received by the editors Jan. 14, 1976 and, in revised form, Oct. 20, 1976.

**Algorithm.** If  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$  is an *n*-tuple with entries chosen from  $1, \ldots, n$  then discard I if

(i) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} i_j \neq 0 \pmod{n}$$
,

or if

(ii) 
$$i_{j+k} \equiv i_j - k \pmod{n}$$
 for any k and  $j = 1, ..., n-1$ .

Condition (ii) excludes the occurrence of terms in the permanent of (2) with the following pattern

(3) 
$$\cdots a_i \underbrace{* \cdots *}_{k-2 \text{ entries}} a_{i+k+1} \cdots$$

where  $a_{i+n}$  is considered to be  $a_i$  if necessary. For example, if n = 4 condition (ii) of the algorithm discards a monomial whenever one of the following patterns occurs:

$$\cdots 14 \dots 21 \dots 32 \dots 43 \cdots$$
  

$$\cdot 1^*3 \cdot \cdot 2^*4 \cdot \cdot 3^*1 \cdot \cdot 4^*2 \cdot$$
  

$$1^{**2}, 2^{**3}, 3^{**4}, 4^{**1}.$$

Condition (i) leaves the following 4-tuples:

1111	1214	1313	<u>1412</u>	<u>2114</u>	<u>2213</u>	2312	2411
1124	1223	<u>1322</u>	<u>1421</u>	<u>2123</u>	2222	<u>2321</u>	2424
<u>1133</u>	1232	<u>1331</u>	<u>1434</u>	2132	2231	2334	<u>2433</u>
<u>1142</u>	1241	1344	<u>1443</u>	<u>2141</u>	<u>2244</u>	<u>2343</u>	<u>2442</u>
<u>3113</u>	<u>3212</u>	<u>3311</u>	<u>3414</u>	4112	<u>4211</u>	<u>4314</u>	4413
				4112 <u>4121</u>			
3122	3221	3324	3423		4224	4323	<u>4422</u>

Condition (ii) eliminates all of the above 4-tuples which are underlined. Hence, if n = 4 the permanent of (2) will be

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i^4 + 2a_1^2a_3^2 + 2a_2^2a_4^2 + 4\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i^2a_{i+1}a_{i+3}.$$

Let  $R_n$  denote the set of *n*-tuples left by the algorithm. We remark that the *n*-tuples in  $R_n$  need not be formally distinct; e.g., 1313 and 3131 are both in  $R_4$ . The number of formally distinct diagonal products in the permanent of an arbitrary circulant has been determined by Brualdi and Newman [1].

#### 3. Proofs

THEOREM. Let A be a circulant matrix (2) with scalars  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ . Then

per 
$$A = \sum a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n}$$

where the summation is over all  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbf{R}_n$ .

**Proof.** We are concerned with determining conditions for which  $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n}$  is a term of the permanent of the  $n \times n$  matrix (2). Thus,  $a_{i_k}$  always denotes an element of the kth row of (2). The *i*th column of (2) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ a_{i-1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{i-n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where subscripts are taken modulo *n*. If the Laplace expansion along the first row is used to find per A the entry  $a_{i-k+1}$  cannot be chosen from row k to appear in any monomial beginning with  $a_i$ . In any monomial of the permanent the pattern (3) cannot appear since we may expand along any row.

Therefore any  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$  in  $R_n$  satisfies

$$i_{i+k} \neq i_j - k$$
 for  $k = 1, ..., n-1$ .

Again, subscripts are taken modulo n when necessary.

Write  $i_{j+k} = i_j - k + x_{jk} \pmod{n}$  where  $x_{jk} \neq 0$ ,  $1 \le x_{jk} \le n-1$ , and  $k \neq 0$ . We would like to show that  $s \neq t$  implies  $x_{js} \neq x_{jt}$ .

Suppose  $x_{js} = x_{jt}$ . Then

$$x_{is} = i_{i+s} - i_i + s = i_{i+t} - i_i + t = x_{it}.$$

Hence

$$i_{j+s} = i_{j+t} - (s-t),$$
  
 $i_{j+s} = i_{j+t+(s-t)} \neq i_{j+t} - (s-t).$ 

but unless s = t

So assuming 
$$x_{is} = x_{it}$$
 leads to a contradiction. Hence the contrapositive is true  
and  $s \neq t$  implies  $x_{it} \neq x_{it}$ .

Step (i) is included in the algorithm because it is easy to implement. In fact, (ii) implies (i) as we now show:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} i_{j+k} = i_j + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_{j+k} = \left(i_j + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (i_j - k + x_{jk})\right) \pmod{n}$$
$$= \left(ni_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_{jk}\right) \pmod{n}$$
$$= (ni_j - \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)) \pmod{n}$$
$$\equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

We have shown why the *n*-tuples mentioned in (i) and (ii) must be discarded. It remains to show that no more should be excluded. Condition (ii) says there are *n* choices for  $a_{i_1}$ , n-1 choices for  $a_{i_2}$  and in general n-k+1 choices for  $a_{i_4}$ . That is, condition (ii) does not eliminate exactly n! terms. But there are n! terms in the permanent so precisely the right number of monomials has been excluded.

4. Numerical results. Dr. Joan Cooper wrote a Fortran programme for our algorithm which was implemented on an ICL 1904A at the University of Newcastle, N.S.W., Australia. The following various  $7 \times 7$  circulants were computed using 2.54 seconds of core time.

First row of circulant matrix A							row sum of per $A = r$ per $(A/r)$			
3	1	1	0	1	0	0	4416	6	0.0157750	
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	31	3	0.0141747	
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0.0156250	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5040	7	0.0061199	
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	24	3	0.0109739	
1	1	1	0	1	0	0	144	4	0.0087891	
1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1.0	

We believe the algorithm is not shown to best advantage as most of the elapsed time is due to reading the 7-tuples of the example from disc.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Brualdi and M. Newman, An Enumeration problem for a congruence equation, Journal of Research, (U.S.) National Bureau of Standards **74B** (1970), 37–40.

2. M. Marucs and H. Minc, A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1964.

3. N. Metropolis, M. L. Stein, and P. R. Stein, Permanents of cyclic (0,1) matrices, J. Combinatorial Theory 7 (1969), 291-321.

4. H. Minc, Permanents of (0, 1) circulants, Canad. Math. Bull. 7 (1964), 253-263.

5. H. Minc, On permanents of circulants, Pacific J. Math. 42 (1972), 477-484.

6. H. J. Ryser, Combinatorial Mathematics, MAA Carus Monograph 14, 1963.

7. M. F. Tinsley, Permanents of cyclic matrices, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 1067-1082.

Faculty of Mathematics University of Waterloo Waterloo Ontario N2L 3G1

AND

Institute of Advanced Studies Australian National University

70