

An alternative Dunford–Pettis Property

by

WALDEN FREEDMAN (Santa Barbara, Cal., and Gazimağusa)

Abstract. An alternative to the Dunford–Pettis Property, called the DP1-property, is introduced. Its relationship to the Dunford–Pettis Property and other related properties is examined. It is shown that ℓ_p -direct sums of spaces with DP1 have DP1 if $1 \leq p < \infty$. It is also shown that for preduals of von Neumann algebras, DP1 is strictly weaker than the Dunford–Pettis Property, while for von Neumann algebras, the two properties are equivalent.

Introduction. A Banach space X is said to have the *Dunford–Pettis Property* (DP) if for any Banach space Y , every weakly compact operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is completely continuous, i.e., T maps weakly compact subsets of X onto norm compact subsets of Y . Equivalently, X has DP if and only if for any weakly null sequences $(f_n) \subseteq X$ and $(a_n) \subseteq X^*$, one has $f_n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$. For this and other basic results, the reader is directed to [8]. It is well-known that for any Radon measure μ , and for any locally compact Hausdorff space Ω , both $L^1(\mu)$ and $C_0(\Omega)$ have DP. Thus commutative von Neumann algebras and their preduals have DP, but DP fails to hold generally in the noncommutative case. For example, as shown in [2], for any von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{M}_* has DP if and only if \mathcal{M} is type I and finite.

This paper introduces a closely related property, called the DP1-property, which can be thought of as a compromise between DP and the Kadec–Klee Property. This is shown to hold for a larger class of Banach spaces, in particular for a larger class of preduals of von Neumann algebras.

Notation. If X is any Banach space, the closed unit ball of X will be denoted by X_1 and the unit sphere will be denoted by ∂X_1 . Throughout the paper, X and Y will denote Banach spaces over the field of complex

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46B04, 46B20, 46L05, 46L10.

Key words and phrases: Dunford–Pettis Property, Kadec–Klee Property.

This paper is a part of the author's doctoral dissertation at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

numbers. The Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. By the word "operator", we will always mean a bounded linear operator. Given $f \in X$ and $a \in X^*$ we often write $f(a)$ or $\langle f, a \rangle$ for $a(f)$.

Recall by [4, p. 80] that if $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a family of Banach spaces, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, one defines the Banach spaces

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha\right)_p = \left\{x = (x_\alpha) \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha : \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \|x_\alpha\|^p < \infty\right\},$$

with norm $\|x\| = (\sum_{\alpha} \|x_\alpha\|^p)^{1/p}$, and

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha\right)_\infty = \left\{x = (x_\alpha) \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha : \sup_{\alpha} \|x_\alpha\| < \infty\right\},$$

with norm $\|x\| = \sup_{\alpha} \|x_\alpha\|$.

For a sequence (X_i) of Banach spaces, one defines the Banach space

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i\right)_0 = \left\{x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i : \|x_n\| \rightarrow 0\right\},$$

with norm $\|x\| = \sup_n \|x_n\|$.

Note that if $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, then

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha\right)_p^* = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha^*\right)_q,$$

and for a sequence (X_i) of Banach spaces,

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i\right)_0^* = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^*\right)_1.$$

Throughout the paper, H will denote an arbitrary infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. The space of trace-class operators on H will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$; the compact operators by $K(H)$; and the von Neumann algebra of all bounded operators by $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For any von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{M}_* denotes the predual of \mathcal{M} , the unique (up to isometric isomorphism) Banach space such that $(\mathcal{M}_*)^* = \mathcal{M}$. The predual \mathcal{M}_* can be identified with the space of all normal (bounded) linear functionals on \mathcal{M} . In particular, $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ is identified with $\mathcal{B}(H)_*$.

By the weak topology on a C^* -algebra A we will always mean the weak Banach space topology, i.e., the topology induced by A^* . Given a C^* -algebra A with $f \in A^*$, and $a \in A$, one defines fa and $af \in A^*$ by $fa(x) = f(ax)$ and $af(x) = f(xa)$, respectively, for all $x \in A$.

1. The DP1-property

1.1. DEFINITION. A Banach space X has the DP1-property if for any weakly convergent sequences $f_n \rightarrow f$ in X , and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in X^* , such that $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$, we have $f_n(a_n) \rightarrow f(a)$.

Note that the condition $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$ can be replaced by the equivalent condition that $\|f_n\| \rightarrow \|f\|$, and we may also assume without loss of generality that $a = 0$.

Recall that a Banach space X has the Kadec-Klee Property (KKP) if whenever $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly, with $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$, then $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$.

1.2. Remarks. 1. Obviously, if X has either DP or KKP, then it has DP1.

2. It is easy to see that if X^* has DP, then X has DP. This is in contrast to DP1, for as will be seen (2.3 and Example 3.3(i)), $K(H)^* = \mathcal{L}^1(H)$ has DP1, while $K(H)$ does not.

3. It is easy to check that as in the case of DP, if E is a complemented closed subspace of X , and X has DP1, then E has DP1, while for any closed subspace $E \subseteq X$, if X has KKP, then E has KKP.

4. It is important to note that DP1 and KKP, in contrast to DP, are not preserved by isomorphisms in general (see Example 1.6), though it is easy to check that they are preserved by isometric isomorphisms.

Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is called weakly compact if $T(X_1)$ is relatively weakly compact in Y . Equivalently, by the Eberlein-Shmul'yan theorem [7, p. 18], for any bounded sequence (x_n) in X , the sequence (Tx_n) has a weakly convergent subsequence.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is called completely continuous if it maps weakly compact subsets of X onto norm compact subsets of Y . An easy application of the Eberlein-Shmul'yan theorem shows that T is completely continuous if and only if T maps weakly convergent sequences onto norm convergent sequences.

1.3. DEFINITION. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is said to be a DP1-operator if whenever $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in X , with $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$, we have $Tf_n \rightarrow Tf$ in norm.

Note that again an easy application of the Eberlein-Shmul'yan theorem shows that an operator is a DP1-operator if and only if it maps weakly compact subsets of ∂X_1 onto norm compact subsets of Y .

As is the case with DP (cf. [8, p. 17]), there are several useful conditions which are equivalent to DP1, as shown next.

1.4. THEOREM. Let X be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:

- (a) X has DP1.
- (b) For every Banach space Y , every weakly compact operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a DP1-operator.
- (c) For every reflexive space Y , every operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a DP1-operator.
- (d) Every weakly compact operator $T : X \rightarrow c_0$ is a DP1-operator.
- (e) Assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in X with $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$ for all n . If $(a_n) \subseteq X^*$ is a weakly Cauchy sequence, then $(f_n - f)(a_n) \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose X has DP1 and there exists a Banach space Y , a weakly compact operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$, and a weakly convergent sequence $f_n \rightarrow f$ with $\|f_n\| = 1 = \|f\|$ such that (Tf_n) is not norm convergent in Y . By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|Tf_{2k} - Tf_{2k+1}\| > \delta$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and choose $b_k \in Y_1^*$ such that for all k ,

$$\delta < |b_k(Tf_{2k} - Tf_{2k+1})|.$$

Let $a_k = T^*b_k \in X^*$. Since T^* is weakly compact [14, II.C.6], the sequence (a_k) has a weakly convergent subsequence, so we may assume without loss of generality that there exists $a \in X^*$ such that $a_k \rightarrow a$ weakly. Now, $f_{2k} \rightarrow f$ weakly, and $f_{2k+1} \rightarrow f$ weakly, so by (a) we have

$$\delta < |b_k(Tf_{2k}) - b_k(Tf_{2k+1})| = |f_{2k}(a_k) - f_{2k+1}(a_k)| \rightarrow 0,$$

a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Suppose Y is reflexive, and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in X . Since Y is reflexive, the bounded sequence (Tx_n) has a weakly convergent subsequence. Hence T is weakly compact, so by (b), T is a DP1-operator.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). If $T : X \rightarrow c_0$ is weakly compact, then by the Davis-Figiel-Johnson-Pelczyński factorization theorem [5], T factors through a reflexive space. Hence by (c), T is a DP1-operator.

(d) \Rightarrow (a). As in the proof of (b) \Rightarrow (a), let $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in X with $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$, and $a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X^* . The map $T : X \rightarrow c_0$ defined by $Tg = (g(a_n))$ is then weakly compact, as shown in (b) \Rightarrow (a), so that $\|Tf_n - Tf\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\sup_i |(f_n - f)(a_i)| \rightarrow 0$, and so $|(f_n - f)(a_n)| \rightarrow 0$. Thus as $a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly, we have $f_n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(a) \Rightarrow (e). Suppose X has DP1 and $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in X with $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$ for all n . Let (a_n) be a weakly Cauchy sequence in X^* , and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $f_n - f \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X , for each n there exists $k_n > n$

such that $|(f_{k_n} - f)(a_n)| < \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(f_{k_n} - f)(a_{k_n})| &\leq |(f_{k_n} - f)(a_{k_n} - a_n)| + |(f_{k_n} - f)(a_n)| \\ &< |(f_{k_n} - f)(a_{k_n} - a_n)| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since (a_n) is weakly Cauchy, $(a_{k_n} - a_n)$ is weakly null, so that $f(a_{k_n} - a_n) \rightarrow 0$, but since X has DP1, $f_{k_n}(a_{k_n} - a_n) \rightarrow 0$ as well. It follows that $(f_{k_n} - f)(a_{k_n}) \rightarrow 0$, whence $(f_n - f)(a_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(e) \Rightarrow (a). Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly and $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$. If $a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X^* , then certainly (a_n) is weakly Cauchy, so by (e), we have $(f_n - f)(a_n) \rightarrow 0$. But since $f(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, we then have $f_n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$. ■

1.5. COROLLARY. If X is reflexive, then X has DP1 if and only if X has KKP. In particular, every Hilbert space has KKP, and hence has DP1 as well.

PROOF. One direction is obvious, so assume X has DP1 and let $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in X with $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$. Since X is reflexive, the identity operator on X is a DP1-operator by Theorem 1.4. Hence $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$, so X has KKP.

If X is a Hilbert space, with x_n and x as above, then

$$\|x_n - x\|^2 = \langle x_n - x, x_n - x \rangle = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re}(\langle x, x_n \rangle)) \rightarrow 0,$$

so X has KKP. ■

1.6. EXAMPLE: A reflexive space which is isomorphic to a Hilbert space but which fails to have DP1. Let $X = \ell_2 \oplus_\infty \ell_2$. Clearly, X is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\ell_2 \oplus_2 \ell_2$. Let $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly in ℓ_2 , with $\|y_n\| = \|y\| = 1$ (so in fact $\|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$). Let (e_n) be the standard basis in ℓ_2 , so $e_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Let $x_n = (y_n, e_n) \in X$, and let $x = (y, 0) \in X$. Clearly, $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly, and $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$ for all n . Yet we have $\|x_n - x\| = \|(y_n - y, e_n)\| = \max\{\|y_n - y\|, \|e_n\|\} \geq 1$ for all n , so X fails to have KKP, and hence by Corollary 1.5, X fails to have DP1. In particular, let $g_n = (0, e_n) \in X^*$. Then $g_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly, but $g_n(x_n) = 1$ for all n .

In [9], the following characterization of DP is given: A Banach space X has DP if and only if for every Banach space Y , whenever $K \subseteq X$ and $J \subseteq Y$ are weakly compact sets in X and Y , then the set $K \otimes J = \{x \otimes y \in X \hat{\otimes} Y : x \in K, y \in J\}$ is weakly compact in $X \hat{\otimes} Y$, the projective tensor product of X and Y . Using the same notation, similar characterizations of the DP1, KKP and Schur properties are given in the following theorem.

1.7. THEOREM. Let X be a Banach space.

(a) X has DP1 if and only if for every Banach space Y , whenever $K \subseteq \delta X_1$ and $J \subseteq Y$ are weakly compact sets in X and Y , then the set $K \otimes J$ is weakly compact in $X \otimes Y$.

(b) For any Banach space Y , the spaces X and Y have KKP if and only if for any weakly compact sets $K \subseteq \partial X_1$, $J \subseteq \partial Y_1$, the set $K \otimes J$ is norm compact in $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$.

(c) For any Banach space Y , the spaces X and Y have the Schur property if and only if for any weakly compact sets $K \subseteq X$, $J \subseteq Y$, the set $K \otimes J$ is norm compact in $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, full use is made of the Eberlein-Smul'yan theorem [7, Ch. III]: A subset $S \subseteq X$ is weakly compact if and only if it is weakly sequentially compact.

(a) Suppose X has DP1, and let K and J be given as in the statement of the theorem. Let $(x_n) \subseteq K$ and $(y_n) \subseteq J$ be given. Since K and J are weakly compact, by passing to subsequences if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that there exist $x \in K$ and $y \in J$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly. Recall from [12, IV.2] that we have $(X \widehat{\otimes} Y)^* = \mathcal{B}(Y, X^*)$, where $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X^*)$ acts on $x \otimes y$ by $S(x \otimes y) = \langle x, Sy \rangle$. Now, if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X^*)$, then $\phi(y_n) \rightarrow \phi(y)$ weakly in X^* . Since X has DP1, we obtain $\langle x_n, \phi(y_n) \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, \phi(y) \rangle$, so that $x_n \otimes y_n \rightarrow x \otimes y$ weakly in $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$.

Conversely, let $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in X with $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$, and let $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X^* . Set $x_0 = x$ and $f_0 = 0 \in X^*$. By hypothesis, the set $\{x_i \otimes f_j : i, j \geq 0\}$ is weakly compact. Suppose that $(x_n \otimes f_n)$ is not weakly null in $X \widehat{\otimes} X^*$. It follows that there is a subsequence $(x_{n_k} \otimes f_{n_k})$ and $x_a \neq 0$ and $f_b \neq 0$ such that $x_{n_k} \otimes f_{n_k} \rightarrow x_a \otimes f_b$ weakly. Choose $g \in X^*$ and $h \in X^{**}$ such that $g(x_a) \neq 0$ and $h(f_b) \neq 0$. Then $g \otimes h \in (X \widehat{\otimes} X^*)^*$ and

$$(g \otimes h)(x_{n_k} \otimes f_{n_k}) \rightarrow (g \otimes h)(x_a \otimes f_b) = g(x_a)h(f_b) \neq 0,$$

but

$$(g \otimes h)(x_{n_k} \otimes f_{n_k}) = g(x_{n_k})h(f_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0,$$

since $f_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ weakly and $|g(x_{n_k})| \leq \|g\|$, a contradiction. Hence $(x_n \otimes f_n)$ is weakly null. Since $(X \widehat{\otimes} X^*)^* = \mathcal{B}(X^*, X^*)$, we have $1_{X^*}(x_n \otimes f_n) = f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, whence X has DP1.

(b) Suppose X and Y have KKP and K and J are as in the statement of the theorem. Let $(x_n \otimes y_n) \subseteq K \otimes J$. As in (a), since K and J are weakly compact, we may assume that there exist $x \in K$ and $y \in J$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly. Since X and Y have KKP, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n \otimes y_n - x \otimes y\| &\leq \|x_n \otimes y_n - x \otimes y_n\| + \|x \otimes y_n - x \otimes y\| \\ &= \|(x_n - x) \otimes y_n\| + \|x \otimes (y_n - y)\| \\ &= \|x_n - x\| \cdot \|y_n\| + \|x\| \cdot \|y_n - y\| \\ &= \|x_n - x\| + \|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $K \otimes J$ is norm compact.

Conversely, choose $(x_n) \subseteq \partial X_1$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly and $\|x\| = 1$. Let $y \in \partial Y_1$, and set $x_0 = x$. By hypothesis, the set $\{x_i \otimes y : i \geq 0\}$ is norm compact in $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$, so by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $(x_n \otimes y)$ is norm convergent. Clearly, $x_n \otimes y \rightarrow x \otimes y$ weakly, and hence converges in norm as well. But then

$$\|x_n - x\| = \|x_n - x\| \cdot \|y\| = \|x_n \otimes y - x \otimes y\| \rightarrow 0,$$

whence X has KKP. The same argument shows, upon switching the roles of X and Y , that Y has KKP.

(c) Suppose X and Y both have the Schur property, and let K and J be as given in the statement of the theorem. Let $(x_n \otimes y_n) \subseteq K \otimes J$. As in parts (a) and (b), we may assume without loss that there exist $x \in K$ and $y \in J$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly. Since X and Y both have the Schur property, we have $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$, but as in the proof of (b),

$$\|x_n \otimes y_n - x \otimes y\| \leq \|x_n - x\| \cdot \|y_n\| + \|x\| \cdot \|y_n - y\|,$$

so that $\|x_n \otimes y_n - x \otimes y\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence $K \otimes J$ is norm compact.

Conversely, assume that $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in X , choose $y \in \partial Y_1$, and set $x_0 = x$. As in part (b), by hypothesis, the set $\{x_i \otimes y : i \geq 0\}$ is norm compact, so by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $(x_n \otimes y)$ is norm convergent. Clearly, $x_n \otimes y \rightarrow x \otimes y$ weakly, and hence converges in norm as well. But then

$$\|x_n - x\| = \|x_n - x\| \cdot \|y\| = \|x_n \otimes y - x \otimes y\| \rightarrow 0,$$

whence X has the Schur property. The same argument shows, upon switching the roles of X and Y , that Y has the Schur property as well. ■

1.8. It is a well-known fact that if (f_n) is a sequence in a Banach space X and $f \in X$ is such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly, then $\limsup \|f_n\| \geq \|f\|$. This fact will be used several times in the rest of the paper.

The next theorem plays a central role in this paper, establishing in particular that infinite direct sums of spaces with DP1 have DP1, provided that the right norm is used.

1.9. THEOREM. Let $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of Banach spaces, let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and set

$$X = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha} X_\alpha \right)_p.$$

Then X has DP1 or KKP if and only if for each α , X_α has DP1 or KKP, respectively.

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, X_α is a complemented closed subspace of X , so if X has KKP or DP1, so does X_α . (See Remark 1.2.3.)

For the converse, let $f_n, f \in X$ with $f = (f^\alpha)$ and $f_n = (f_n^\alpha)$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly, and such that for all n ,

$$1 = \|f_n\|^p = \sum_{\alpha} \|f_n^\alpha\|^p = \|f\|^p = \sum_{\alpha} \|f^\alpha\|^p.$$

Clearly, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we have $f_n^\alpha \rightarrow f^\alpha$ weakly in X_α . Let $\beta \in \Lambda$. By the remark in 1.8, $\limsup \|f_n^\beta\| \geq \|f^\beta\|$, so if $\|f_n^\beta\| \not\rightarrow \|f^\beta\|$, then there exists $r > 0$ and a subsequence $(f_{n_k}^\beta)$ such that $\|f_{n_k}^\beta\| \rightarrow r > \|f^\beta\|$. But then

$$1 = \sum_{\alpha} \|f^\alpha\|^p = \sum_{\alpha} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p = \|f_{n_k}^\beta\|^p + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p,$$

so that

$$\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p = 1 - \|f_{n_k}^\beta\|^p = \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \|f^\alpha\|^p + \|f^\beta\|^p - \|f_{n_k}^\beta\|^p.$$

Hence

$$(*) \quad \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \|f^\alpha\|^p + \|f^\beta\|^p - r^p < \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \|f^\alpha\|^p.$$

For each n , let $\widehat{f}_n = (\widehat{f}_n^\alpha) \in X$ be defined by setting $\widehat{f}_n^\alpha = f_n^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\widehat{f}_n^\beta = 0$, and similarly define $\widehat{f} = (\widehat{f}^\alpha)$. Then clearly $\widehat{f}_n \rightarrow \widehat{f}$ weakly in X since $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly; but by $(*)$, we have $\lim_k \|\widehat{f}_{n_k}\| < \|\widehat{f}\|$, which contradicts the remark made in 1.8. Hence $\|f_n^\beta\| \rightarrow \|f^\beta\|$.

Suppose now that X_α has DP1 for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, but X fails to have DP1. Let $(a_n) \subseteq X^* = (\bigoplus_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^*)_q$, where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, with $a_n = (a_n^\alpha)$, and such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Clearly, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we have $a_n^\alpha \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X_{α}^* , and by the uniform boundedness principle, we may assume without loss of generality that $\|a_n\| \leq 1$.

Suppose that $|f_n(a_n)| = |\sum_{\alpha} f_n^\alpha(a_n^\alpha)| \not\rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(n_k) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < s \leq 1$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha} f_{n_k}^\alpha(a_{n_k}^\alpha) \right| \rightarrow s,$$

since for all n ,

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha} f_n^\alpha(a_n^\alpha) \right| \leq \|f_n\| \cdot \|a_n\| \leq 1.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 2^{-(p+1)} s^p$, so that $2(2\varepsilon)^{1/p} < s$. Since $2\varepsilon < 1$, we have $2\varepsilon \leq (2\varepsilon)^{1/p}$ so that $2\varepsilon + (2\varepsilon)^{1/p} < s$.

Choose a finite set $A_0 \subseteq \Lambda$ such that

$$(i) \quad \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f^\alpha\|^p < \varepsilon$$

and choose $N > 0$ such that for all $k \geq N$ the following hold:

$$(ii) \quad \left| \sum_{\alpha} f_{n_k}^\alpha(a_{n_k}^\alpha) - s \right| < \varepsilon,$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} |f_{n_k}^\alpha(a_{n_k}^\alpha)| < \varepsilon,$$

which is possible since $\|f_{n_k}^\alpha\| \rightarrow \|f^\alpha\|$, and X_α has DP1 for all α , and

$$(iv) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} \left| \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p - \|f^\alpha\|^p \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\alpha} \|f_n^\alpha\|^p = \sum_{\alpha} \|f^\alpha\|^p = 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p &= 1 - \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} \|f^\alpha\|^p + \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f^\alpha\|^p - \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} (\|f^\alpha\|^p - \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p) + \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f^\alpha\|^p \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} \left| \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p - \|f^\alpha\|^p \right| + \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f^\alpha\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

so that by (i) and (iv) we have

$$(v) \quad \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p < 2\varepsilon.$$

By (ii), (iii), and (v) we have

$$\begin{aligned} s - \varepsilon &< \left| \sum_{\alpha} f_{n_k}^\alpha(a_{n_k}^\alpha) \right| < \varepsilon + \left| \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} f_{n_k}^\alpha(a_{n_k}^\alpha) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \left(\sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f_{n_k}^\alpha\|^p \right)^{1/p} \|a_{n_k}\| < \varepsilon + (2\varepsilon)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $s < 2\varepsilon + (2\varepsilon)^{1/p}$, contradicting the choice of ε . It follows that $f_n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, whence X has DP1.

Now suppose that X_α has KKP for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, but X fails to have KKP. Let f and f_n be as above. By the first part of the proof above, we have that for all $\beta \in \Lambda$, $f_n^\beta \rightarrow f^\beta$ weakly and $\|f_n^\beta\| \rightarrow \|f^\beta\|$, so that $\|f_n^\beta - f^\beta\| \rightarrow 0$. Suppose that $\|f_n - f\| \not\rightarrow 0$. It follows that since $\|f_n - f\|^p \leq 2^p$, there exists

a subsequence (f_{n_k}) and $0 < s \leq 2^p$ such that

$$\|f_{n_k} - f\|^p = \sum_{\alpha} \|f_{n_k}^{\alpha} - f^{\alpha}\|^p \rightarrow s.$$

Let

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{s}{2 + 2^p + 2^{p+1}},$$

and let $A_0 \subseteq A$ be finite such that (i) holds. Choose $N > 0$ such that (iv) (hence (v)) and the following hold for all $k \geq N$:

$$(ii') \quad \left| \sum_{\alpha} \|f_{n_k}^{\alpha} - f^{\alpha}\|^p - s \right| < \varepsilon,$$

$$(iii') \quad \sum_{\alpha \in A_0} \|f_{n_k}^{\alpha} - f^{\alpha}\|^p < \varepsilon.$$

By (ii'), (iii'), (i), and (v), we then have

$$\begin{aligned} s - \varepsilon &\leq \sum_{\alpha} \|f_{n_k}^{\alpha} - f^{\alpha}\|^p < \varepsilon + \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} \|f_{n_k}^{\alpha} - f^{\alpha}\|^p \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} (\|f_{n_k}^{\alpha}\| + \|f^{\alpha}\|)^p \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} (2 \max\{\|f_{n_k}^{\alpha}\|, \|f^{\alpha}\|\})^p \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2^p \sum_{\alpha \notin A_0} (\|f_{n_k}^{\alpha}\|^p + \|f^{\alpha}\|^p) < \varepsilon(1 + 2^p + 2^{p+1}), \end{aligned}$$

so that $s < \varepsilon(2 + 2^p + 2^{p+1})$, which contradicts the choice of ε . It follows that $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$, whence X has KKP. ■

1.10. COROLLARY. Let $\{\mathcal{M}^{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ be a family of von Neumann algebras and let $\mathcal{M} = (\bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}^{\alpha})_{\infty}$. Then \mathcal{M}_{*} has DP1 if and only if \mathcal{M}_{*}^{α} has DP1 for each $\alpha \in A$.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{M}_{*} = (\bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{*}^{\alpha})_1$, so the result follows immediately from the theorem. ■

1.11. Remark. Since ℓ_1 and ℓ_{∞} have DP, it follows from the theorem that ℓ_p has DP1 for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Hence by Corollary 1.5, if $1 < p < \infty$, then ℓ_p has KKP. This follows also from the fact that ℓ_p is uniformly convex (see [11]) and the standard result that any uniformly convex space has KKP.

We now consider briefly the case of quotient spaces.

1.12. THEOREM. Let X be a Banach space which is weakly sequentially complete. Let $E \subseteq X$ be a closed reflexive subspace. If X has DP1 or KKP, then X/E has DP1 or KKP respectively.

Proof. Suppose that X has DP1. Let $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly in X/E , with $\|y_n\| = \|y\| = 1$. By [8, Lemma 8], there exists a subsequence (y_{n_k}) , an element $x \in X$, and a weakly convergent sequence $(x_k) \subseteq X$ with $x_k \rightarrow x$ weakly such that $y_{n_k} = \pi x_k$ for all k , where $\pi : X \rightarrow X/E$ is the canonical map. It follows immediately that $\pi x = y$, and so $\|\pi x\| = 1$.

For all k we have

$$\|y_{n_k}\| = 1 = \inf_{e \in E} \|x_k - e\|,$$

so for each k , choose $e_k \in E$ such that

$$1 \leq \|x_k - e_k\| < 1 + 2^{-k},$$

so that $\|x_k - e_k\| \rightarrow 1$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that (e_k) is weakly convergent to an element $e \in E$. Hence, $x_k - e_k \rightarrow x - e$ weakly and $\|x_k - e_k\| \rightarrow 1$, so that $\|x - e\| \leq 1$. On the other hand, we have $1 = \|\pi x\| = \|\pi(x - e)\| \leq \|x - e\|$, so that $\|x - e\| = 1$. Let $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $(X/E)^*$. Then $\pi^* f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X^* , so since X has DP1,

$$f_{n_k}(y_{n_k}) = f_{n_k}(\pi x_k) = f_{n_k}(\pi(x_k - e_k)) = (\pi^* f_{n_k})(x_k - e_k) \rightarrow 0,$$

whence X/E has DP1.

Suppose now that X has KKP, and let $y_n \rightarrow y$ weakly in X/E , with $\|y_n\| = \|y\| = 1$. As in the first part, we have a subsequence (y_{n_k}) , a sequence (x_k) with $x_k \rightarrow x$ weakly and $\|\pi x\| = 1$, and (e_k) and $e \in E$ with $\|x - e\| = 1$ and $\|x_k - x\| \rightarrow 1$. Since X has KKP, we have $\|x_k - x - (e_k - e)\| \rightarrow 0$, but $\|y_{n_k} - y\| = \|\pi(x_k - x)\| = \|\pi(x_k - x - (e_k - e))\| \leq \|x_k - x - (e_k - e)\|$, whence X/E has KKP. ■

2. DP1 and KKP for preduals of von Neumann algebras. As mentioned in the introduction, for preduals of von Neumann algebras, the DP property is a rare thing: as seen in [2], \mathcal{M}_{*} has DP if and only if \mathcal{M} is type I and finite. Since $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ and $L^1[0, 1]$ do have DP1, it follows that DP1 is a natural candidate for a property which holds for a larger class of preduals of von Neumann algebras and which may help us to better understand their nature. The first result shows that for either DP1 or KKP, it suffices to consider weakly convergent sequences of *states*, i.e., positive norm one functionals in \mathcal{M}_{*} .

2.1. PROPOSITION. Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra. Then

- (a) \mathcal{M}_{*} has KKP if and only if every weakly convergent sequence of states in \mathcal{M}_{*} converges in norm.
- (b) \mathcal{M}_{*} has DP1 if and only if for any weakly convergent sequence of states $(f_n) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{*}$, with $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly, whenever $a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathcal{M} , we have $f_n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. (a) Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in \mathcal{M}_* , with $\|f_n\| = \|f\| = 1$ for all n . It follows from [12, III.4.11] that $|f_n| \rightarrow |f|$ weakly, so

$$\| |f_n| - |f| \| \rightarrow 0.$$

By the polar decomposition theorem [12, III.4], there exist unique partial isometries u_n and u in \mathcal{M} such that for all n ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= |f_n|u_n, & |f_n| &= f_n u_n^*, & f &= |f|u, & |f| &= f u^*, \\ |f_n^*| &= u_n^* |f_n| u_n, & f_n^* &= |f_n^*| u_n^*, & |f^*| &= u^* |f| u, & f^* &= |f^*| u^*, \end{aligned}$$

with $u_n u_n^* = s(|f_n|)$ and $u u^* = s(|f|)$, the support projections [12, p. 140] for $|f_n|$ and $|f|$ respectively. It follows immediately that $f^* = u^* |f|$ and $|f| = u f^*$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f\| &= \| |f_n|u_n - |f|u \| \\ &\leq \| |f_n|u_n - |f_n|u \| + \| |f_n|u - |f|u \| \\ &\leq \| |f_n|u_n - |f_n|u \| + \| |f_n| - |f| \|, \end{aligned}$$

and by hypothesis, $\| |f_n| - |f| \| \rightarrow 0$, so it is sufficient to show that $\| |f_n|u_n - |f_n|u \| \rightarrow 0$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_1$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for positive functionals [12, I.9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |f_n|((u_n - u)x) \|^2 &\leq |f_n|(x^*x) |f_n|((u_n - u)(u_n^* - u^*)) \\ &\leq |f_n|((u_n - u)(u_n^* - u^*)) \\ &= |f_n|(u_n u_n^*) - |f_n|u_n(u^*) - u_n^* |f_n|(u) + |f_n|(u u^*) \\ &= 1 - f_n(u^*) - f_n^*(u) + |f_n|(u u^*), \end{aligned}$$

using in particular the fact that $u_n u_n^* = s(|f_n|)$ for all n . It follows that

$$\| |f_n|u_n - |f_n|u \| \leq 1 - f_n(u^*) - f_n^*(u) + |f_n|(u u^*),$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(u^*) &\rightarrow f(u^*) = f u^*(1) = |f|(1) = 1, \\ f_n^*(u) &\rightarrow f^*(u) = u f^*(1) = |f|(1) = 1, \quad \text{and} \\ |f_n|(u u^*) &\rightarrow |f|(u u^*) = (u^* |f| u)(1) = |f^*|(1) = \|f\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\| |f_n|u_n - |f_n|u \| \rightarrow 0$, and so $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$.

The converse follows immediately from the definition of KKP.

(b) Let f_n, f, u_n , and u be as in (a) and suppose that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathcal{M} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f_n - f)(a_n)| &\leq |(|f_n|(u_n - u))(a_n)| + \| |f_n|(u a_n) - |f|(u a_n) \| \\ &\leq \| |f_n|(u_n - u) \| \cdot \|a_n\| + \| |f_n|(u a_n) - |f|(u a_n) \|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly, we have $\| |f_n|(u a_n) - |f|(u a_n) \| \rightarrow 0$ by assumption. But by the proof of (a), we have $\| |f_n|(u_n - u) \| \rightarrow 0$, so that $(f_n - f)(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, whence $f_n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$.

The converse follows immediately from the definition of the DP1-property. ■

Remark. In [1, Corollary 5] it is shown that whenever a sequence (f_n) of states in \mathcal{M}_* converges weakly to a pure state f , then we always have $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$.

2.2. EXAMPLE: $L^1[0, 1]$ fails to have KKP. Let (r_n) denote the sequence of Rademacher functions on $[0, 1]$, where for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$r_n(t) = \text{sgn}(\sin 2^n \pi t).$$

It is easy to see that $\{r_n : n \geq 1\}$ is orthonormal in $L^2[0, 1]$. Since $L^\infty[0, 1] \subseteq L^2[0, 1]$, it follows that for any $g \in L^\infty[0, 1]$, we have $\int_0^1 g(t)r_n(t) dt \rightarrow 0$, i.e., as elements of $L^1[0, 1]$, $r_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Setting $f_n(t) = r_n(t) + 1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we then have $f_n \rightarrow 1$ weakly in $L^1[0, 1]$, and it is easy to see that $\|f_n\|_1 = 1$ for all n , but we also have $\|f_n - 1\|_1 = \|r_n\|_1 = 1$ for all n .

2.3. In [6], Dell'Antonio defines property U for a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} saying that \mathcal{M} has property U if the condition in 2.1(a) is met, i.e., weakly convergent sequences of states in \mathcal{M}_* converge uniformly. Thus by Proposition 2.1, \mathcal{M} has property U if and only if \mathcal{M}_* has KKP. It is then shown in [6] that if \mathcal{M} is type I, then \mathcal{M}_* has KKP if and only if Z , the center of \mathcal{M} , is atomic. From this, it follows immediately that for any Hilbert space H , $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ has KKP.

2.4. EXAMPLE: A von Neumann algebra whose predual has DP1, but has neither DP nor KKP. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus_\infty L^\infty[0, 1]$, so $\mathcal{M}_* = \mathcal{L}^1(H) \oplus_1 L^1[0, 1]$. Since $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ fails to have DP, \mathcal{M}_* fails to have DP, and by Example 2.2, $L^1[0, 1]$ fails to have KKP, so \mathcal{M}_* also fails to have KKP. On the other hand, $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ has KKP and $L^1[0, 1]$ has DP, so that both spaces have DP1. Thus by Theorem 1.9, \mathcal{M}_* has DP1 as well.

2.5. THEOREM. Let A be a C^* -algebra such that A^* is separable. Then A^* has KKP.

Proof. By [13, Lemma 3], there exists a countable family $\{H_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of Hilbert spaces such that

$$A^{**} = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(H_i) \right)_{\infty},$$

and hence

$$A^* = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^1(H_i) \right)_1.$$

As remarked in 2.3 above, $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ has KKP, so by Theorem 1.9, A^* has KKP. Alternatively, the von Neumann algebra A^{**} is type I and clearly its center is isomorphic to ℓ_∞ , which is atomic, so by [6, 3.1] its predual A^* has KKP. ■

In [3], it is shown that \mathcal{M}_* has DP if and only if whenever $a_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathcal{M} , then $a_n \rightarrow 0$ σ -strong*, that is, $a_n^*a_n + a_na_n^* \rightarrow 0$ weak*. That result inspired the following proposition.

2.6. PROPOSITION. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra such that \mathcal{M}_* has DP1. Let $a_n \rightarrow a$ weakly in \mathcal{M} with $\|a_n\| = \|a\| = 1$. For all $f \in \mathcal{M}_*$ such that $\|fa^*\| = \|f\|$, we have $f(a_n^*a_n) \rightarrow f(a^*a)$. For all $f \in \mathcal{M}_*$ such that $\|a^*f\| = \|f\|$, we have $f(a_na_n^*) \rightarrow f(aa^*)$. Hence for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_*$ such that $\|a^*f\| = \|f\| = \|fa^*\|$, we have $f(a_n^*a_n + a_na_n^*) \rightarrow f(a^*a + aa^*)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\|fa^*\| = \|f\|$. We have $fa_n^* \rightarrow fa^*$ weakly in \mathcal{M}_* and $\|fa_n^*\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|a_n^*\| = \|f\| = \|fa^*\|$. By the remark in 1.8, we have $\|fa_n^*\| \rightarrow \|fa^*\|$. Since \mathcal{M}_* has DP1, we then obtain $(fa_n^*)(a_n) \rightarrow (fa^*)(a)$, i.e., $f(a_n^*a_n) \rightarrow f(a^*a)$. Similarly, if $\|a^*f\| = \|f\|$, we obtain $f(a_na_n^*) \rightarrow f(aa^*)$, and hence the last assertion follows as well. ■

2.7. COROLLARY. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra such that \mathcal{M}_* has DP1. Let $(a_n) \subseteq \partial\mathcal{M}_1$. If $a_n \rightarrow 1$ weakly, then $a_n \rightarrow 1$ σ -strong*.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the proposition with $a = 1$. ■

3. DP1 and KKP for C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras.

We will now consider when a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} or C^* -algebra A has DP1 or KKP. As shown in [3], A has DP if and only if whenever $x_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in A , we have $x_n^*x_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. As will now be shown, a similar condition is equivalent to A having DP1.

3.1. THEOREM. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then A has DP1 if and only if whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in A with $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$, then $x_n^*x_n \rightarrow x^*x$ weakly. In that case, $x_nx_n^* \rightarrow x^*x$ weakly, and so $x_n^*x_n + x_nx_n^* \rightarrow x^*x + xx^*$ weakly.*

Proof. Suppose A has DP1, and $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in A with $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$. Let $g \in A^*$, and define $f_n = gx_n^*$, and $f = gx^*$. For any $z \in A^{**}$, we have $f_n(z) = g(x_n^*z) = zg(x_n^*) \rightarrow zg(x^*) = f(z)$, so that $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in A^* . Hence $g(x_n^*x_n) = f_n(x_n) \rightarrow f(x) = g(x^*x)$, and thus $x_n^*x_n \rightarrow x^*x$ weakly. Similarly, $x_nx_n^* \rightarrow xx^*$ weakly, from which the final conclusion follows. Conversely, let $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$ for all n , with $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in A . Let $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in A^* . By hypothesis, $x_n^*x_n + x_nx_n^* \rightarrow x^*x + xx^*$ weakly, i.e., $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the σ -strong* topology of A^{**} , whence $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ by [12, III.5.5]. ■

In [3], it is also shown that if A is a C^* -algebra having DP, then every C^* -subalgebra of A has DP as well. A similar result holds for DP1 as seen in the next result.

3.2. COROLLARY. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, with $B \subseteq A$ a C^* -subalgebra. If A has DP1, then B has DP1 as well.*

Proof. Let $b_n \rightarrow b$ weakly in B , with $\|b_n\| = \|b\| = 1$. Clearly, $b_n \rightarrow b$ weakly in A , so by the theorem, $b_n^*b_n \rightarrow b^*b$ weakly in A , and hence in B as well. Thus by the theorem, B has DP1. ■

3.3. EXAMPLES. (i) $K(H)$, and hence $\mathcal{B}(H)$, fails to have DP1. Let (e_n) be an orthonormal sequence in H . For each n , let $x_n = e_n \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 \in K(H)$, and let $x = e_2 \otimes e_2 \in K(H)$. It is easy to see that $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly, and $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$ for all $n \geq 3$, but $x_n^*x_n = e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 \not\rightarrow x^*x = e_2 \otimes e_2$ weakly. Alternatively, letting $f_n = \text{tr}(\cdot e_1 \otimes e_n)$, one sees that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $K(H)^*$, but $f_n(x_n) = 1$ for all n .

(ii) A C^* -algebra having DP whose double dual fails to have DP1. Let A be the C^* -algebra

$$A = \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \right)_0.$$

As shown in [3], $A^* = \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^1(\ell_2^n) \right)_1$ has the Schur property, so that both A and A^* have DP. Let $\mathcal{M} = A^{**} = \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \right)_\infty$. It can be shown that \mathcal{M} fails to have DP1 (and hence fails to have DP), by using a sequence analogous to that used in 3.3(i) and then applying Theorem 3.1. A simpler proof of this fact is offered here, as suggested by the referee.

We note first that \mathcal{M} is clearly isometrically isomorphic to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \right)_\infty \oplus_\infty \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \right)_\infty,$$

and that for any increasing sequence $(n_k) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, the space $\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) \right)_\infty$ contains a complemented isometric copy of $\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^{n_k} \right)_\infty$. Hence, in particular, \mathcal{M} contains a complemented isometric copy of

$$\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^{2n} \right)_\infty \oplus_\infty \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^{2n-1} \right)_\infty.$$

Now, it can be shown (see [14, p. 81] and [8, p. 22]) that for any increasing sequence $(n_k) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, the space $\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^{n_k} \right)_\infty$ contains a complemented isometric copy of ℓ_2 , from which it follows that \mathcal{M} contains a complemented isometric copy of $\ell_2 \oplus_\infty \ell_2$. But by Example 1.6, the latter space fails to have DP1, so that \mathcal{M} fails to have DP1 as well.

3.4. THEOREM. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then A has KKP if and only if A is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Suppose A is infinite-dimensional. Then by [10, 4.6.13], A contains a sequence (f_n) of positive pairwise-orthogonal norm one elements.

Suppose $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$ weakly in A , so there exist $\phi \in A_1^*$, $\delta > 0$, and a subsequence (f_{n_k}) such that for all k , $|\phi(f_{n_k})| > \delta$. Choose $\theta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(\theta_k f_{n_k}) = |\phi(f_{n_k})|$. Since the sequence (f_n) is pairwise orthogonal, we have for any N ,

$$1 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i f_{n_i} \right\| \geq \left| \phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i f_{n_i} \right) \right| = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(\theta_i f_{n_i}) > N\delta,$$

which is impossible. Hence $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Now $f_1 + f_n \rightarrow f_1$ weakly, and $\|f_1 + f_n\| = 1$ for all $n \geq 2$, but $1 = \|f_n\| = \|(f_1 + f_n) - f_1\|$, so A fails to have KKP.

Conversely, if A is finite-dimensional, then the weak and norm topologies agree, so we are done. ■

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra. As shown in [3], \mathcal{M} has DP if and only if \mathcal{M} is a finite direct sum of type I_n von Neumann algebras, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Of course, if \mathcal{M} has DP, then \mathcal{M} has DP1, and the last result shows that the converse holds.

3.5. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra. Then \mathcal{M} has DP if and only if \mathcal{M} has DP1.*

Proof. Again, one direction is immediate, so suppose that \mathcal{M} has DP1. As shown in the proof of [3, Theorem 3], if \mathcal{M} is infinite, then it contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ for some infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H . By Example 3.3(i), $\mathcal{B}(H)$ fails to have DP1, and so by Corollary 3.2, \mathcal{M} fails to have DP1, a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{M} is finite.

As shown in the same proof in [3], if \mathcal{M} contains a type II_1 summand \mathcal{N} , then \mathcal{N} contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{2^j}(\mathbb{C}))_{\infty}$. But by Example 3.3(ii), this subalgebra fails to have DP1, and again by Corollary 3.2, this implies \mathcal{M} fails to have DP1, a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{M} contains no type II_1 summand, so that \mathcal{M} is type I and finite.

It follows that $\mathcal{M} = (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \bar{\otimes} M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C}))_{\infty}$, where for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, A_j is an abelian von Neumann algebra and $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots$. If the sequence (n_j) is unbounded, then \mathcal{M} contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{m_j}(\mathbb{C}))_{\infty}$, for some strictly increasing sequence $(m_j) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, but again by Example 3.3(ii) and Corollary 3.2, this subalgebra fails to have DP1, and so \mathcal{M} fails to have DP1, a contradiction. Hence the sequence (n_j) is bounded, so that \mathcal{M} can be written as a finite direct sum of type I_n von Neumann algebras. But by [3, Theorem 3], this implies that \mathcal{M} has DP. ■

Remark. It seems likely that the predual of every von Neumann algebra has DP1, and that a C^* -algebra A has DP if and only if it has DP1. These remain conjectures at present. The latter question is made difficult to prove

by the general lack for C^* -algebras of the kinds of structure theorems that exist for von Neumann algebras.

References

- [1] C. A. Akemann, *Sequential convergence in the dual of a W^* -algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. 7 (1968), 222–224.
- [2] L. J. Bunce, *The Dunford–Pettis property in the predual of a von Neumann algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), 99–100.
- [3] C. Chu and B. Iochum, *The Dunford–Pettis property in C^* -algebras*, Studia Math. 97 (1990), 59–64.
- [4] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [5] W. J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and A. Pełczyński, *Factoring weakly compact operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 17 (1974), 311–327.
- [6] G. F. Dell’Antonio, *On the limits of sequences of normal states*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (1967), 413–429.
- [7] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [8] —, *A survey of results related to the Dunford–Pettis property*, in: Contemp. Math. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1980, 15–60.
- [9] —, *Remarks on weak compactness in $L_1(\mu, X)$* , Glasgow Math. J. 18 (1977), 87–91.
- [10] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Academic Press, 1983.
- [11] E. J. McShane, *Linear functionals on certain Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1950), 402–408.
- [12] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer, New York, 1979.
- [13] J. Tomiyama, *A characterization of C^* -algebras whose conjugate spaces are separable*, Tôhoku Math. J. 15 (1963), 96–102.
- [14] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach Spaces for Analysts*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 25, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.

Department of Mathematics
University of California at Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, California 93106
U.S.A.

Department of Mathematics
Eastern Mediterranean University
Gazimağusa, TRNC
Mersin 10, Turkey
E-mail: freedman@perl.emu.edu.tr

Received July 29, 1996
Revised version February 24, 1997

(3718)