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[^0]Proof of Theorem ??: Along the lines of the previous proof, we have to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(C>\pi^{*}-u_{1}\right)=P\left[\cup_{G \in \mathcal{G}}\left\{\sum_{j \in G} \lambda_{j} \hat{\pi}_{j} \Phi\left(\frac{s-\left|\hat{R}_{j}\right| \hat{v}_{j}^{\top} Y}{\sqrt{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}}\right)>\pi^{*}-u_{1}\right\}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first step is to prove that the events inside the square brackets are disjoint. To see this for $u_{1} \downarrow 0$, let $G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathcal{G}$ with $G_{1} \neq G_{2}$. Consider $u_{1}$ arbitrarily small and a region for $Y$ such that for $j=1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in G_{i}} \lambda_{j} \hat{\pi}_{j} \Phi\left(\frac{s-\left|\hat{R}_{j}\right| \hat{v}_{j}^{\top} Y}{\sqrt{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}}\right)>\pi^{*}-u_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As there is no subset $G_{2}^{s}$ of $G_{2}$ such that the inequality (2) is also satisfied for $G_{2}^{s}$, there must be a constant $k>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{j \in G_{2} \backslash G_{1}} \lambda_{j} \hat{\pi}_{j} \Phi\left(\frac{s-\left|\hat{R}_{j}\right| \hat{v}_{j}^{\top} Y}{\sqrt{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}}\right)>k
$$

implying

$$
\sum_{j \in G_{2} \cup G_{1}} \lambda_{j} \hat{\pi}_{j} \Phi\left(\frac{s-\left|\hat{R}_{j}\right| \hat{v}_{j}^{\top} Y}{\sqrt{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}}\right)>\pi^{*}+k-u_{1}
$$

in the region for $Y$ considered. This, however, contradicts the definition of $\pi^{*}$.
We now have for $u \downarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(C>\pi^{*}-u_{1}\right) \stackrel{a}{=} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} P\left[\sum_{j \in G} \lambda_{j} \hat{\pi}_{j} \Phi\left(\frac{s-\left|\hat{R}_{j}\right| \hat{v}_{j}^{\top} Y}{\sqrt{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}}\right)>\pi^{*}-u_{1}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $a_{j}=s / \sqrt{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}$ and $b_{j}=\left|\hat{R}_{j}\right| \hat{v}_{j} / \sqrt{1-R_{j}^{2}}$, and $\hat{\lambda}_{j}=\lambda_{j} \hat{\pi}_{j}$. Then the probabilities inside the sum in (3) simplify to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[\sum_{j \in G} \hat{\lambda}_{j} \Phi\left(a_{j}-b_{j}^{\top} Y\right)>\pi^{*}-u_{1}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now split $Y$ in polar coordinates, $Y=R \theta$, with $R^{2}$ a $\chi_{m}^{2}$ variate, and $\theta$ uniform on a hyperglobe. The variates $R$ and $\theta$ are independent. Now rewrite (4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int P\left[\sum_{j \in G} \hat{\lambda}_{j} \Phi\left(a_{j}-R b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)>\pi^{*}-u_{1} \mid \theta\right] P(d \theta) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\bar{\Phi}(x)=1-\Phi(x)$. Then rewrite (5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int P\left[\sum_{j \in G} \hat{\lambda}_{j} \bar{\Phi}\left(a_{j}-R b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)<u_{1} \mid \theta\right] P(d \theta) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now first consider the probabilities inside the integral. Define $\Theta$ as the set $\theta$ 's for which $b_{j}^{\top} \theta<0$ for all $j \in G$. Note that $\Theta$ constitutes the only set of $\theta$ 's of interest. For other $\theta$ 's, the probability inside the integral equals zero for $u_{1} \downarrow 0$.

Next, make a subdivision of $\Theta$ into $\Theta_{1}, \ldots, \Theta_{m}$, such that we have $\left|b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right|<\left|b_{i}^{\top} \theta\right|$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\theta \in \Theta_{j}$. The $\Theta_{j}$ 's are disjoint. Therefore, we can rewrite (6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in G} \int_{\Theta_{j}} P\left[\hat{\lambda}_{j} \bar{\Phi}\left(a_{j}-R b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)<u_{1} \mid \theta\right] P(d \theta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplify the probability inside the integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[\left.R^{2}>\left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}\left(u_{1} / \hat{\lambda}_{j}\right)+a_{j}}{b_{j}^{\top} \theta}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\, \theta\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.5.4) and (6.5.32) in Abramowitz and Stegun (1970) we have

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{a-1} d t=x^{a-1} e^{-x}\left(1+O\left(x^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then from (26.4.19) from Abramowitz and Stegun it follows that for large $x$

$$
P\left(R^{2}>x^{2}\right)=\frac{(x / 2)^{m / 2-1} e^{-x^{2} / 2}}{\Gamma(m / 2)}\left(1+O\left(x^{-2}\right)\right)
$$

We also have

$$
\exp \left(-\Phi^{-1}(x)^{2} / 2\right) \approx x \cdot L(x)
$$

for $x \uparrow \infty$. Combining all these results and using the independence of $R$ and $\theta$, we can approximate (asymptotically) (8) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{1} / \hat{\lambda}_{j}\right)^{1 /\left(b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again combining all results, we have for $u_{1} \downarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(C>\pi^{*}-u_{1}\right)=\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Theta_{j}}\left(u_{1} / \hat{\lambda}_{j}\right)^{1 /\left(b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)^{2}} P(d \theta) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we are only interested in

$$
\alpha=\lim _{u_{1} \downarrow 0} \frac{\ln P\left(C>\pi^{*}-u_{1}\right)}{\ln u_{1}}
$$

if follows from (10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\min _{G \in \mathcal{G}} \min _{j \in G} \operatorname{ess} \inf _{\theta \in \Theta_{j}}\left(b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)^{-2}=\min _{G \in \mathcal{G}} \min _{j \in G} \operatorname{ess} \inf _{\theta \in \Theta_{j}} \frac{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}{\hat{R}_{j}^{2}\left(v_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)^{2}}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, to be precise, $\Theta_{j}=\Theta_{j}(G)$.
Remark: It is only a visual illusion that this result does not seem to nest the result for homogenous $v_{j}$. Indeed, there is a min over $j$ rather than the max derived in the previous
theorem. However, consider the case of homogenous $v_{j}$. In that case, we can simplify to a one-factor model by considering $v^{\top} Y$ instead of $Y$. Note that $\theta$ can only be 1 or -1 now. Using the proof of the present and the previous theorem, it is easy to see (focus for example on the case $m=2$ ) that only one of the $\Theta_{j}$ 's will be non-empty, and this non-empty $\Theta_{j}$ will contain either only 1 or only -1 . The non-empty $\Theta_{j}$ is characterized by precisely that $j$ for which $\left|b_{j}\right|$ is at its minimum, or $\left(1-\hat{R}_{j}\right)^{2} / \hat{R}_{j}^{2}$ is at its maximum, see just above (7). So the minimum over $j$ in (11) is correct, but one has to bear in mind that several of the $\Theta_{j}(G)$ 's may be empty. We can easily accomodate this by defining the ess inf over an empty set to be $+\infty$.

Note that (11) can be simplified further. Define

$$
\Theta^{*}(G)=\cup_{j \in G} \Theta_{j}(G)
$$

then the minimum over $j$ and the infimum over $\theta$ can be integrated. Note that conditional on a $\theta \in \Theta^{*}, j=j(\theta)$ is determined by the smallest $\left|b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right|$, i.e., by the maximum $\left(b_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)^{-2}$. Therefore, we have an equivalent expression for (11), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\min _{G \in \mathcal{G}} \operatorname{ess} \inf _{\theta \in \Theta^{*}} \max _{j \in G} \frac{1-\hat{R}_{j}^{2}}{\hat{R}_{j}^{2}\left(v_{j}^{\top} \theta\right)^{2}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof.
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