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## 1. Introduction

Harmonic analysis on a free group $F$ has attracted considerable attention in the last ten years or so. There seem to be two reason for that: one is the discovery of deep analogy of certain aspects of harmonic analysis on a free group and harmonic analysis on $S L(2, \boldsymbol{R})$, cf. e.g. fundamental works of P. Cartier [4], A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello [8], the other being the interest in the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by the regular representation of $F$, cf. A. Connes [5], J. Cuntz [6], U. Haagerup [10], M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu [14].

In most of this work Hilbert space representations of $F$ play an essential role. Clearly $F$ has a lot of unitary representations since any collection of unitary operators corresponding to the free generators of $F$ gives rise to a unitary representation of $F$. on the other hand, it is by no means as trivial to construct a representation $\pi$ of $F$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\sup _{x \in F}\|\pi(x)\|<+\infty$ and $\pi$ cannot be made unitary by introducing another equivalent inner product in $\mathcal{H}$. Various series of such representations have been already constructed and used in harmonic analysis of $F$, cf. e.g. [12], [9].

This paper is devoted to the study of a new series of such bounded Hilbert space representations of $F$ together with some applications of them.

For every complex number $z,|z|<1$, we are going to construct a representation $\pi_{z}$ of $F$ on $\ell^{2}(F)$ in such a way that:
(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in F}\left\|\pi_{z}(x)\right\| \leqslant 2 \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|} . \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\pi_{z}^{*}(x)=\pi_{\bar{z}}\left(x^{-1}\right)
$$

(iii) If $L$ is the left regular representation of $F$, then $\pi_{z}(x)-L_{x}$ is a finite dimensional operator for every $x$ in $F$.
(iv) The map $z \rightarrow \pi_{z}(x)$ is holomorphic.

Moreover, $\pi_{0}=L$ and $\pi_{1}=\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} \pi_{z}=1 \oplus \tilde{L}$, where 1 is the trivial representation of $F$ and $\tilde{L}$ is a representation weakly equivalent to $L$.

One of the features of these representations is that the properties above do not depend on the number of the free generators of $F$ and, in fact, $\pi_{z}$ have even nicer properties if the number of the free generators is infinite. For instance, for every $z \neq 0, \pi_{z}$ no leaves no non-trivial closed subspace invariant and for $z \neq z^{\prime}$ representations $\pi_{z}$ and $\pi_{z^{\prime}}$ are topologically inequivalent.

The formula $\pi_{z}^{*}(x)=\pi_{\bar{z}}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ implies that for real $z \pi_{z}$ is unitary and so $[0,1] \ni$ $t \rightarrow \pi_{t}$ is a continuous, even analytic, path of unitary representations each of which differs from the regular representation by operators of finite rank. Construction of such a path is an essential step in the proof of the theorem that the regular $C^{*}$-algebra of a free group on two generators has non-trivial projections, cf. [5], [6], [14].

Other applications of the representations $\pi_{z}$ we consider are towards the identification of the functions on $F$ which are matrix coefficients of bounded Hilbert space representations. It is easy to check that if $|x|$ is the length of the word $x$ in $F$, then

$$
\left\langle\pi_{z}(x) \delta_{e}, \delta_{e}\right\rangle=z^{|x|}
$$

This is a generalization of a result of Haagerup [10] stating that $x \rightarrow r^{|x|}, r \in(0,1)$ is positive definite.

However, many more functions turn out to be the matrix coefficients of bounded Hilbert space representations of $F$, if instead of $\pi_{z}$ we look at the representations

$$
\pi_{\gamma}=\oplus \int_{\gamma} \pi_{z}|d z|,
$$

where $\gamma$ is a closed path in $\{z:|z|<1\}$. Cf. chapter 3 for details.
Finally let us mention that for the free group with infinitely many free generators the representations defined in Theorem 4 seem to be of special importance at least as far as the matrix coefficients are concerned.

The authors would like to thank the referee for a simplification of the proof of Theorem 4 and remarks concerning the presentation.

## 2. The analytic family of representations

2.1 Notation. Let $F$ be a free group with fixed set $E$ of generators (not necessary finite). Each element $x$ of $F$ may be uniquely expressed as a finite sequence of elements of $E \cup E^{-1}$ with no adjacent factors like $a a^{-1}$ or $a^{-1} a$. It is called a reduced word, The number of letters in the word is called the length of $x$ and is denoted $|x|$. Put $|e|=0$ for the identity element $e$ of $F$. When $x \neq e$, denote by $\tilde{x}$ the word obtained from $x$ by deleting the last letter.

Define $\mathcal{K}(f)$ to be the space of all complex functions on $F$ with finite support. This space consists of all linear combinations of $\delta_{x}$ (characteristic function of the one point set $\{x\}), x \in F$.

Introduce the linear operator $P: \mathcal{K}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(F)$ setting $P \delta_{x}=\delta_{\bar{x}}$ when $x \neq e$ and $P \delta_{e}=0$.

If $a \in F$ write $L_{a}$ for the translation operator defined by $L_{a} f(x)=f\left(a^{-1} x\right)$ where $x \in F$ and $f$ is any complex function on $F$.

When $a \in F$ denote by $F_{a}$ the finite set of elements ( $a, \bar{a}, \overline{\bar{a}}, \ldots, e$ ) (a word $x$ in $F$ belongs to $F_{a}$ if and only if $|x|=n$ with $n \leqslant|a|$ and $x$ consists of the first $n$ letters of $a$ ). Let $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$ be the space of all complex functions on $F$ supported by $F_{a}$. The space $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$ may be identified with the finite dimensional space $\boldsymbol{C}^{|a|+1}$ via the natural mapping:

$$
C^{|a|+1} \ni\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{|a|}\right) \longrightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{|a|} a_{k} P^{k} \delta_{x} \in \mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)
$$

One may introduce the standard unilateral shift $S$ and its conjugate $S^{*}$ into $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$ with respect to above identification. Recall that when the operator $S$ ans $S^{*}$ act on $C^{|a|+1}$ they are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{|a|}\right) & =\left(0, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{|a|-1}\right) \\
S^{*}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{|a|}\right) & =\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{|a|}, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.2. A series of representations on $\ell^{p}$. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 1. When $a \in F$ the space $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$ is invariant under both operators $P$ and $L_{a} P L_{a^{-1}}$. The restriction of these operators to $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$ coincide with $S$ and $S^{*}$ respectively. For any function $f \in \mathcal{K}(F)$ with zero on $F_{a}$ its images $P f$ and $L_{a} P L_{a^{-1}}$ are equal.

Proof. If $x \in F-F_{a}$ then $a \overline{a^{-1} x}=a a^{-1} \bar{x}=\bar{x}$. Thus $L_{a} P L_{a^{-1}} \delta_{x}=P \delta_{x}$, which proves the second part of the lemma. Suppose now $x \in F_{a}$. It is obvious that $P \delta_{x}=S \delta_{x}$. To see that $L_{a} P L_{a^{-1}} \delta_{x}=S^{*} \delta_{x}$ assume that the word $a$ has the reduced
form $a=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$. Then $x=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{k}$ for some $k \leqslant n$. If $k=n$ then $x=a$ so $L_{a} P L_{a^{-1}} \delta_{x}=S^{*} \delta_{x}=0$. If $k<n$ then $L_{a} P L_{a^{-1}} \delta_{x}=\delta_{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k+1}}=S^{*} \delta_{x}$. This concludes the proof.

For any complex number $z$ the operator $I-z P$ is invertible on $\mathcal{K}(F)$. For if $f \in \mathcal{K}(F)$, then $P^{n} f=0$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Thus the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} P^{n} f$ has only finitely many non-zero terms.

For $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$ define a representation $\pi_{z}^{\circ}$ of $F$ on the space $\mid K(F)$ by

$$
\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a)=(I-z P)^{-1} L_{a}(I-z P), \quad a \in F
$$

It means $\pi_{z}^{\circ}$ is the conjugation of the left regular representation by the operator $I-z P$.

Lemma 2. Let $|z|<1$ and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. Then $\pi_{z}^{\circ}$ extends uniquely to a uniformly bounded representation of $F$ on $\ell^{p}(F)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a)\right\|_{p, p} \leqslant \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}, \quad a \in F \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The family of representations $\pi_{z}^{\circ}$ is analytic on $\{z \in C:|z|<1\}$. Moreover the operator $\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a)-L_{a}$ has finite rank for arbitrary a in $F$.

Proof. To prove (1) fix $a \in F$ and express the operator $\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a) L_{a}^{-1}$ in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a) L_{a}^{-1} & =(I-z P)^{-1} L_{a}(I-z P) L_{a}^{-1} \\
& =I+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} P^{n}\left(P-L_{a} P L_{a}^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1 the operator $P-L_{a} P L_{a}^{-1}$ has finite rank and maps the space $\mathcal{K}(F)$ into $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$. Pointing out the relation between this operator and $S-S^{*}$ one has

$$
\left\|\left(P-L_{a} P L_{a}^{-1}\right) f\right\|_{p} \leqslant 2\|f\|_{p}
$$

for any $p \leqslant 1$ and any $f \in \mathcal{K}(F)$. Since the function $\left(P-L_{a} P L_{a}^{-1}\right) f$ lies in $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$ and the operator $P$ is a contraction on $\mathcal{K}(F)$ in each $\ell^{p}$-norm so

$$
\left\|\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a) L_{a}^{-1} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}+2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|z|^{n+1}\|f\|_{p}
$$

If $|z|<1$ then each $\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a), a \in F$, extends uniquely to a bounded operator on $\ell^{p}(F)$ and

$$
\left\|\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a)\right\|_{p, p}=\left\|\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right\|_{p, p} \leqslant 1+2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|z|^{n+1}=\frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}
$$

The last inequality guarantees also that the series

$$
I+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} P^{n}\left(P-L_{a} P L_{a}^{-1}\right)
$$

is absolutely convergent in the operator norm and so it represents an analytic function.

Finally the operator $\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a)-L_{a}$ maps the entire space $\ell^{p}(F)$ into the finite dimensional space $\mathcal{K}\left(F_{a}\right)$ for each $p \geqslant 1$.
2.3 The main result. From now on we restrict our attention to the case $p=2$ only. We improve the representation $\pi_{z}^{\circ}$ to get a new class of representations with better properties.

Let $T$ denote the orthogonal projection onto the one-dimensional subspace $\boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}$ in $\ell^{2}(F)$. For $|z|<1$ let $T_{z}$ stand for the bounded invertible operator on $\ell^{2}(F)$ defined by

$$
T_{z}=I-T+\sqrt{1-z^{2}} T,
$$

where $\sqrt{1-z^{2}}$ denotes the principal branch of the square root.
For a complex number $z$ with $|z|<1$ let us define the representation $\pi_{z}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{z}(a)=T_{Z}^{-1} \pi_{z}^{\circ}(a) T_{z}, \quad a \in F \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

TheOrem 1. Let $F$ be a free group on arbitrary many generators. The representation $\pi_{z}, z \in D=\{z \in C:|z|<1\}$, form an analytic family of uniformly bounded representations of $F$ on the Hilbert space $\ell^{2}(F)$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\pi_{z}(a)\right\| \leqslant 2 \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{z}^{*}(a)=\pi_{\bar{z}}\left(a^{-1}\right) \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) $\pi_{Z}(a)-L_{a}$ is a finite rank operator.
(iv) If the group $F$ has infinitely many generators then any representation $\pi_{z}$, $z \neq 0$, has no nontrivial closed invariant subspace. Any two different $\pi_{z}$ 's are topologically inequivalent.

Proof. The first part of the theorem as well as point (iii) are obvious consequences of Lemma 2.

To get (i) and (ii) observe first that each $\mathcal{F}_{a}=\ell^{2}\left(F_{a}\right), a \in F$, is a reducing subspace for each operator $T_{z},|z|<1$. Also $\pi_{z}^{\circ}(a)$ maps $\mathcal{F}_{a^{-1}}$ onto $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ and coincides with $L_{a}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{a^{-1}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ is a reducing subspace for both operators $\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}$ and $L_{a} \pi_{z}\left(a^{-1}\right)$. Let us examine these two operators more closely. We need to see
only how they act on the space $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ because on the orthogonal complement $\mathcal{F}_{a}^{\perp}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ they coincide with the identity operator.

The operator $\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}$ and $L_{a} \pi_{z}\left(a^{-1}\right)$ are constructed by using operators $P$, $L_{a} P L_{a}^{-1}, T_{z}$ and $L_{a} T_{z} L_{a}^{-1}$ defined earlier. The subspace $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ is invariant for all of them and their restrictions to $\mathcal{F}_{a}$ can be expressed in terms of $S$ and $S^{*}$. Namely

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.P\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=S \\
\left.L_{a} P L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=S^{*} \\
\left.T_{z}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=S^{*} S+\sqrt{1-z^{2}}\left(I-S^{*} S\right) \\
\left.L_{a} T_{z} L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=S S^{*}+\sqrt{1-z^{2}}\left(I-S S^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left.\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=\left[S^{*} S+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}}\left(I-S^{*} S\right)\right](I-z S)^{-1}\left(I-z S^{*}\right)\left[S S^{*}+\sqrt{1-z^{2}}\left(I-S S^{*}\right)\right] .
$$

Using the identities $S^{*} S S^{*}=S^{*}$ and $\left(I-z S^{*}\right)=\left(I-z^{2} S S^{*}\right)-z(I-z S) S^{*}$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=\left[\sqrt{1-z^{2}} S^{*} S+\left(I-S^{*} S\right)\right](I-z S)^{-1}\left[\sqrt{1-z^{2}} S^{*} S+\left(I-S S^{*}\right)\right]-z S^{*} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L_{a} \pi_{z}\left(a^{-1}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=\left[\sqrt{1-z^{2}} S S^{*}+\left(I-S S^{*}\right)\right]\left(I-z S^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\sqrt{1-z^{2}} S^{*} S+\left(I-S^{*} S\right)\right]-z S . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check now that

$$
\left.L_{a} \pi_{z}^{*}(a)\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=\left(\left.\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}\right)^{*}=\left.L_{a} \pi_{\bar{z}}\left(a^{-1}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}
$$

which proves (ii).
The desired estimates for norm of $\pi_{z}(a)$ follows from formula (3). In fact:

$$
\left\|\pi_{z}(a)\right\|=\left\|\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right\|=\max \left\{1,\left\|\left.\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}\right\|\right\}
$$

but

$$
\left.\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}=A+B+C
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(1-z^{2}\right) S^{*} S(I-z S)^{-1} S S^{*} \\
& B=\sqrt{1-z^{2}}\left(I-S^{*} S\right)(I-z S)^{-1} S S^{*}+\sqrt{1-z^{2}} S^{*} S(I-z S)^{-1}\left(I-S S^{*}\right), \\
& C=\left(I-S^{*} S\right)(I-z S)^{-1}\left(I-S S^{*}\right)-z S^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S^{*} S$ and $S S^{*}$ both are orthogonal projections thus

$$
\|A\| \leqslant\left|1-z^{2}\right|\left\|(I-z S)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}
$$

Note that for $\xi \in \boldsymbol{C}^{|a|+1}$

$$
B(\xi)=\sqrt{1-z^{2}}\left[\left\langle\xi, u_{1}\right\rangle v_{1}+\left\langle\xi, v_{2}\right\rangle u_{2}\right]
$$

and

$$
C(\xi)=z^{|a|}\left\langle\xi, v_{2}\right\rangle v_{1}-z S^{*}(\xi),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1}=\left(0, z^{|a|-1}, \ldots, z, 1\right), \quad u_{2}=\left(1, z, \ldots, z^{|a|-1}, 0\right), \\
v_{1}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1), \quad v_{2}=(1,0, \ldots, 0,0) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|B\|=\left|\sqrt{1-z^{2}}\right| \max \left\{\left\|u_{1}\right\|\left\|v_{1}\right\|,\left\|u_{2}\right\|\left\|v_{2}\right\|\right\} \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}} \\
\|C\|=\max \left\{|z|^{|a|}\left\|v_{1}\right\|\left\|v_{2}\right\|,|z|\right\}=|z|
\end{gathered}
$$

and consequently

$$
\left\|\left.\pi_{z}(a) L_{a}^{-1}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{a}}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}+\sqrt{\frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}}+|z| \leqslant 2 \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|} .
$$

To prove the first part of (iv) we show first that any $\pi_{z}$ is a cyclic representation of $F$ with a cyclic vector $\delta_{e}$. Next, under the assumption that the group $F$ has infinitely many generators we show that the projection $T$ belongs to the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi_{z}(F)$. This will imply that every closed invariant and nonzero subspace for $\pi_{z}$ contains $\delta_{e}$, so it must the whole of $\ell^{2}(F)$.

Let $x \in F$ and $x \neq e$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{z}(x) \delta_{e}=z^{|x|} \delta_{e}+\sum_{k=0}^{|x|-1} z^{k} \sqrt{1-z^{2}} P^{k} \delta_{x} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
z \pi_{z}(\bar{x}) \delta_{e}=z^{|x|} \delta_{e}+\sum_{k=1}^{|x|-1} z^{k} \sqrt{1-z^{2}} P^{k} \delta_{x}
$$

thus

$$
\pi_{z}(x) \delta_{e}-z \pi_{z}(\bar{x}) \delta_{e}=\sqrt{1-z^{2}} \delta_{x}
$$

This implies that $\delta_{e}$ is a cyclic vector for $\pi_{z}$.
Assume now that the set $E$ of free generators of the group $F$ is infinite. Fix a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ in $E$ and for a natural number $n$ define the operator $S_{z, n}$ on $\ell^{2}(F)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{z, n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_{z}\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the sequence $S_{z, 1}, S_{z, 2}, \ldots$ is strongly convergent to $z T$. Indeed, the sequence $S_{z, n}$ is bounded in the operator norm, thus we have to show only that $S_{z, n} \delta_{e} \rightarrow z \delta_{e}$ and $S_{z, n} \delta_{e} \rightarrow 0$ for $x \neq e$. We have

$$
S_{z, n} \delta_{e}=z \delta_{e}+\frac{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{x_{k}}
$$

which tends to $z \delta_{e}$ when $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Now for $x \neq e$, according to the case whether the first letter of $x$ is one of $x_{k}^{-1}$, say $x_{k_{0}}^{-1}$, or not, $S_{z, n} \delta_{x}$ has one of the form

$$
S_{z, n} \delta_{x}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq k_{0}}}^{n} \delta_{x_{k} x}+\frac{1}{n} \pi_{z}\left(x_{k_{0}}\right) \delta_{x}
$$

or

$$
S_{z, n} \delta_{x}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{x_{k} x} .
$$

However in both cases it tends to zero.
Let $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ be a nonzero closed subspace in $\ell^{2}(F)$, invariant under $\pi_{z}$. If $f(e) \neq 0$ for a function $f$ in $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ then $S_{z, n} f$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ for all $n$. But $S_{z, n} f$ tends to $z f(e) \delta_{e}$ and so $\delta_{e} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$. Observe that we can always find a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{0}$ for which $f(e) \neq 0$. In fact. Take any nonzero function $f$ in $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ ad let $a$ denote a shortest word in the support of $f$. Write $f$ in the form $f=f(a) \delta_{a}+g$. Then $g \in \ell^{2}\left(F-F_{a}\right)$ and so

$$
\pi_{z}\left(a^{-1}\right) f=f(a) \pi_{z}\left(a^{-1}\right) \delta_{a}+L_{a}^{-1} g .
$$

in particular

$$
\left(\pi_{z}\left(a^{-1}\right) f\right)(e)=\sqrt{1-z^{2}} f(a) \neq 0
$$

Consider two representations $\pi_{z}$ and $\pi_{z^{\prime}}$ with $z, z^{\prime} \neq 0$. If a bounded operator $A$ intertwines them then $A^{-1} S_{z, n} A=S_{z^{\prime}, n}$ for each $n$, hence also $A^{-1} T A=\left(z^{\prime} / z\right) T$. But since both $T$ and $A^{-1} T A$ are projections $z=z^{\prime}$. Thus $\pi_{z}$ and $\pi_{z^{\prime}}$ are not similar for $z \neq z^{\prime}$.

This finishes the proof of the theorem.
2.4 Remarks. (1) All the representations are cyclic with a cyclic vector $\delta_{e}$. The representation $\pi_{0}$ is just the left regular representation of $F$. If the group $F$ has only finitely many generators (say $k$ ) then $P$ is a bounded operator on $\ell^{2}(F)$ and

$$
\left\|P^{n}\right\|=\sqrt{2 k(2 k-1)^{n-1}}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Thus $(I-z P)^{-1}$ is also bounded for $|z|<(2 k-1)^{-1 / 2}$. It means that representations $\pi_{z}$ for all such $z$ are similar to the left regular representation.
(2) By (ii) for real $t$ the representations $\pi_{t}$ are unitary. Thus the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \ni x \longrightarrow\left\langle\pi_{t}(x) \delta_{e}, \delta_{e}\right\rangle=t^{|x|} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive definite. It gives an alternative proof of a result of Haagerup [10].
In connection with Remark 1, if the number of generators in $F$ is $k$ then, comparing formula (7) with [10], Theorem 2.1, no representation $\pi_{t},|t|>(2 k-1)^{-1 / 2}$ is weakly, and so strongly, contained in the regular representation.
(3) Observe that it is possible to pass with $z$ to the limit +1 or -1 in formula (3) and define two unitary representations $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{-1}$. It turns out that $\pi_{1}=$ $\operatorname{tr}^{+} \oplus \lambda_{0}^{-}$and $\pi_{-1}=\operatorname{tr}^{-} \oplus \lambda_{0}^{+}$, where $\operatorname{tr}^{+}$and $\operatorname{tr}^{-}$are one-dimensional representations $F \ni x \rightarrow( \pm 1)^{|x|}$ and $\lambda_{0}^{+}, \lambda_{0}^{-}$two representations acting on $\ell^{2}(F-\{e\})$ by

$$
\lambda_{0}^{ \pm}(a) \delta_{x}= \begin{cases}\delta_{a x} & \text { for } x \neq a^{-1} \\ \pm \delta_{a} & \text { for } x=a^{-1}\end{cases}
$$

when $x \in F-\{e\}$ and $a$ is one of the free generators.
The representation $\lambda_{0}^{+}$was considered by Cuntz [6] and earlier in less explicit form by Pimsner and Voiculescu [14].

A construction of a continuous path of unitary representations connecting the regular representation to $\pi_{1}$, such that each representation in the path is congruent to the regular representation modulo compact operators was an essential step in the proof ot the theorem (cf. [14], [5] and [6]) that the regular $C^{*}$-algebra of a free group has non nontrivial projections.
(4) Let us state also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in F}\left\|\pi_{z}(x) \delta_{e}\right\|=\left|1-z^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows directly from (5). This formula will be used later.

## 3. Direct integrals of representations and multipliers

3.1. Preliminaries. Starting with the family of representations $\left\{\pi_{z}:|z|<1\right\}$ by integration on closed paths we obtain many other uniformly bounded representations. This yields a wide class of coefficients. Identification of functions which are the coefficients of hilbertian representations is especially useful when we study multiplier algebras. In this context, for locally compact groups, mainly three algebras were investigated: the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ of all coefficients of unitary representations, the algebra $M(A(G))$ of multipliers of the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ and the algebra $B_{2}(G)$ of Herz multipliers.

A function $\varphi$ in $L^{\infty}(G)$ is called a Herz multiplier if for any bounded operator $A$ on $L^{2}(G)$ with kernel $A(x, y), x, y \in G$, the function $\varphi\left(y^{-1} x\right) A(x, y)$ is again a kernel of a bounded operator on $L^{2}(G)$. The set $B_{2}(G)$ of all Herz multipliers, equipped with the multiplier norm is a Banach algebra under pointwise addition and multiplication.

Proposition 1 (Schur). Let $\pi$ be a uniformly bounded representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$. Then for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ the coefficient

$$
\varphi(x)=\langle\pi(x) \xi, \eta\rangle, \quad x \in G
$$

of the representation $\pi$ belongs to $B_{2}(G)$. Moreover

$$
\|\varphi\|_{B_{2}} \leqslant \sup _{x \in G}\|\pi(x) \xi\| \sup _{x \in G}\left\|\pi^{*}(x) \eta\right\| .
$$

We always have $B(G) \subset B_{2}(G) \subset M(A(G))$ with continuous inclusions. For amenable groups these algebras coincide. On the other hand both inclusion are proper for the free groups (cf. [10], [11], [1], [7], [13]).

Remark. It has been shown in [2] that for any locally compact group $G$ the algebra $B_{2}(G)$ coincides with the algebra $M_{0}(A(G))$ of all completely bounded multipliers of the algebra $A(G)$. This algebra was introduced and studied in [3].

Two uniformly bounded representations $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ of a locally compact group $G$ on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ are called similar or topologically equivalent if there exists a bounded invertible operator $A: \mathcal{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2}$ such that $A \pi_{1}(x)=\pi_{2}(x) A$ for any $x \in G$. Representations $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are called weakly similar if they have the same closure in $B_{2}(G)$ of sets of their coefficients.

Note that for an amenable group $G$ every uniformly bounded representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space is similar to a unitary representation and if two unitary representations are weakly similar they are weakly equivalent.
3.2. Integration on paths. Let $\gamma$ be a piecewise smooth curve contained in the unit disc $|z|<1$. Consider a representation of $F$

$$
\pi_{\gamma}=\oplus \int_{\gamma} \pi_{z}|d z|
$$

acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}=\oplus \int_{\gamma} \ell^{2}(F)|d z|$. Clearly $\pi_{\gamma}$ is a uniformly bounded representation with

$$
\sup _{x \in F}\left\|\pi_{\gamma}(x)\right\| \leqslant 2 \max _{z \in \gamma} \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|} .
$$

Proposition 2. Let $f$ be a holomorphic function in the neighborhood of $\gamma$. Then the complex function $\varphi$ defined on $F$ by

$$
\varphi(x)=\int_{\gamma} z^{|x|} f(z) d z
$$

is a coefficient of the representation $\pi_{\gamma}$ and

$$
\|\varphi\|_{B_{2}} \leqslant \int_{\gamma}|f(z)| \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}|d z| .
$$

Proof. Take two functions $g$ and $h$ on $\gamma$ so that $|g(z)|=|h(z)|$ and $g(z) \overline{h(z)}=$ $f(z) \chi(z)$, where $\chi(z)$ denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative $d z /|d z|$, Define two vectors $G$ and $H$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\oplus \int_{\gamma} g(z) \delta_{e}|d z|, \quad H=\oplus \int_{\gamma} h(z) \delta_{e}|d z| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $x \in F$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\pi_{\gamma}(x) G, K\right\rangle & =\int_{\gamma}\left\langle\pi_{z}(x) \delta_{e}, \delta_{e}\right\rangle g(z) \overline{h(z)}|d z| \\
& =\int_{\gamma} z^{|x|} f(z) \chi(z)|d z|=\int_{\gamma} z^{|x|} f(z) d z=\varphi(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By proposition 1, using the formula (8) and the fact that $|\chi(z)|=1, z \in \gamma$, we get an estimate for the norm $\|\varphi\|_{B_{2}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi\|_{B_{2}} & \leqslant \sup _{x \in F}\left\|\pi_{\gamma}(x) G\right\| \sup _{x \in F}\left\|\pi_{\gamma}^{*}(x) H\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{\gamma}|g(z)| \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}|d z|\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\gamma}|h(z)| \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}|d z|\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\int_{\gamma}|f(z)| \frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|}|d z| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. For $m=0,1,2 \ldots$ let $\chi_{m}$ denote the characteristic function of the set $\{x \in F:|x|=m\}$. Let $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{m+2}\right|(m+2)<+\infty
$$

Then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $B_{2}(F)$.

Proof. For $m=0,1,2 \ldots$ define a function $\varphi_{m}$ on $F$ as $\chi_{0}+\chi_{2}+\ldots+\chi_{m}$ if $m$ is even and $\chi_{1}+\chi_{3}+\ldots+\chi_{m}$ if $m$ is odd.

If $\gamma$ is a circle $\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}:|z|=r\}, 0<r<1$, then each $\varphi_{m}$ is a coefficient of the representation $\pi_{\gamma}$ because

$$
\varphi_{m}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} z^{|x|} f_{m}(z) d z, \quad x \in F,
$$

where

$$
f_{m}(z)=\frac{1}{z^{m+1}\left(1-z^{2}\right)} .
$$

By Proposition 2

$$
\left\|\varphi_{m}\right\|_{B_{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d z|}{z^{m+1}\left(1-z^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{r^{m}\left(1-r^{2}\right)} .
$$

Taking $r=(m /(m+2))^{1 / 2}$ we get $\left\|\varphi_{m}\right\|_{B_{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} e(m+2)$.
Express the function $\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m}$ in the form $\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{m+2}\right) \varphi_{m}$. Then

$$
\|\varphi\|_{B_{2}} \leqslant \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{m+2}\right|\left\|\varphi_{m}\right\|_{B_{2}} \leqslant \frac{e}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+2)\left|\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{m+2}\right| .
$$

As a special case of Corollary 1 we get

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. If the series $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m}$ is convergent then the function $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m}$ belongs to $B_{2}(F)$.
3.3. Remarks. (1) If the group $F$ has infinitely many generators then none of the functions $\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{N} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m}$ belongs to the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(F)$, except $\varphi=\alpha_{0} \delta_{e}$. Indeed, if the function $\varphi$ belongs to $B(F)$ then $\left.\varphi\right|_{F_{k}}$ belongs to $B\left(F_{k}\right)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$, where $F_{k}$ is a subgroup in $F$ generated by $k$ among the free generators. Moreover

$$
\|\varphi\|_{B(F)} \geqslant\left\|\left.\varphi\right|_{F_{k}}\right\|_{B\left(F_{k}\right)} \geqslant\left\|\left.\varphi\right|_{F_{k}}\right\|_{A\left(F_{k}\right)} .
$$

(The last equality holds since $\left.\varphi\right|_{F_{k}}$ has finite support.) On the other hand, it follows by [9], VIII.1.1 that for $n=1,2, \ldots, N$

$$
\left\|\left.\varphi\right|_{F_{k}}\right\|_{A\left(F_{k}\right)} \geqslant \frac{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|}{n+1}\left\|\left.\chi_{n}\right|_{F_{k}}\right\|_{2}=\frac{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|}{n+1} \sqrt{2 k(2 k-1)^{n-1}} .
$$

Comparing these two inequalities and letting $k$ tend to infinity we get $\alpha_{n}=0$ for $n=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Even if the group $F$ has only finite number of generators, the same argument shows that there exists a function in $B_{2}(F)-B(F)$.
(2) If the group $F$ has no more than countably many generators then there exists a sequence of functions with finite supports on $F$ which is an approximate unit for $A(F)$ and is uniformly bounded in the $B_{2}(F)$ norm. This is a result of de Canniere and Haagerup ([3], 3.9). It can be seen easily, applying Corollary 1, that the approximate unit in $A(F)$ constructed by Haagerup in the earlier paper [10] has the desired property.
3.4. Some estimates from below. A complex function $\varphi$ on $F$ is called radial if the value $\varphi(x), x \in F$, depends only on $|x|$, the length of $x$. Any radial function has unique expression of the form (10).

Proposition 2 and the method presented in the proof of Corollary 1 gives tool to estimate from above the $B_{2}(F)$ norm of radial functions. The next theorem gives estimates from below.

Theorem 2. Let $F$ be a free group on infinitely many generators. For a radial function $\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m}$ with only a finite number of $\alpha_{m}$ 's different from zero we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi\|_{B_{2}(F)} \geqslant\|\varphi\|_{M(A(F))} \geqslant \sup _{t \in(0, \pi)} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \frac{\sin (m+1) s \sin s \sin (m+1) t}{\sin t}\right| d s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ of free generators in $F$ and let $F_{k}$ denote the subgroup in $F$ generated by $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Let $V N\left(F_{k}\right)$ denote the von Neumann algebra of operators on $\ell^{2}\left(F_{k}\right)$ generated by the left regular representation. Denote also $V N_{r}\left(F_{k}\right)$ the subalgebra in $V N\left(F_{k}\right)$ of these operators $T$ for which $T \delta_{e}$ is a radial function on $F_{k}$.

For $k, n=1,2, \ldots$ define $\chi_{n, k}$ to be the characteristic function of the set $\left\{x \in F_{k}:|x|=n\right\}$ and $\widehat{\chi}_{n, k}$ the function on $(0, \pi)$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\chi}_{n, k}(s)=(2 k-1)^{n / 2}\left(\frac{\sin (n+1) s}{\sin s}-\frac{1}{2 k-1} \frac{\sin (n-1) s}{\sin s}\right) \\
& \widehat{\chi}_{0, k}(s)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from [15], Theorem 5.1 that the correspondence $\chi_{n, k} \rightarrow \widehat{\chi}_{n, k}$, $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, may be uniquely extended to an isometric isomorphism of $V N_{r}\left(F_{k}\right)$ onto $L^{\infty}(0, \pi)$. For a function $f=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{m} \chi_{m, k}$ in $V N_{r}\left(F_{k}\right)$, the function $\widehat{f}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}(s)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(2 k-1)^{m / 2}\left(\beta_{m}-\beta_{m+2}\right) \frac{\sin (m+1) s}{\sin s}, \quad 0<s<\pi \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m}$ be a radial function on $F$ with only a finite number of $\alpha_{m}$ 's different from zero. For any natural number $k$ multiplication by $\varphi$ defines a bounded operator on $V N_{r}\left(F_{k}\right)$ with norm not exceeding $\|\varphi\|_{M(A(F))}$. Thus

$$
\left.\|\varphi\|_{M(A(F))} \geqslant \sup \left\{\left\|\varphi(f)^{\wedge}\right\|_{\infty}: f \in V N_{r}\left(F_{k}\right)\right),\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1\right\} .
$$

Since by (12) we have

$$
\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \widehat{f}(s) \sin s \sin (m+1) s d s=(2 k-1)^{m / 2}\left(\beta_{m}-\beta_{m+2}\right), \quad m=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

thus

$$
\beta_{m}=(2 k-1)^{-m / 2} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \widehat{f}(s) \sin s \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (m+2 r+1) s}{(2 k-1)^{r}} d s
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\varphi f) \widehat{ }(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{m} \beta_{m}-\alpha_{m+2} \beta_{m+2}\right)(2 k-1)^{m / 2} \frac{\sin (m+1) t}{\sin t} \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \widehat{f}(s) \sin s \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[\left(\alpha_{m} \sin (m+1) s\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{m+2}}{(2 k-1)^{r}} \sin (m+2 r+1) s\right) \frac{\sin (m+1) t}{\sin t}\right] d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put an arbitrary function $g$ in $L^{\infty}(0, \pi)$ with $\|g\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1$ instead of $\widehat{f}$ and pass to the limit with $k$ tending to infinity we get

$$
\|\varphi\|_{M(A(F))} \geqslant \sup _{t \in(0, \pi)} \frac{2}{\pi}\left|\int_{0}^{\pi} g(s) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \frac{\sin (m+1) s \sin s \sin (m+1) t}{\sin t} d s\right|
$$

This implies (11).
Consider the system $U_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$ of the second type Tschebyshev polynomials

$$
U_{m}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sin ((m+1) \operatorname{arc} \cos x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}, \quad x \in(-1,1)
$$

This system is an orthogonal basis in $L^{2}((-1,1), \mu)$, where $d \mu(x)=\sqrt{1-x^{2}} d x$.
Corollary 3. Let $F$ be a free group on infinitely many generators. For a radial function $\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m}$ in $B_{2}(F)$ define an operator on $L^{1}((-1,1), \mu)$ by

$$
\left(T_{\varphi} f\right)(x)=\int_{-1}^{1} K_{\varphi}(x, y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

where

$$
K_{\varphi}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} U_{m}(x) U_{m}(y) .
$$

Then $T_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\varphi}\right\| \leqslant\|\varphi\|_{M(A(F))} \leqslant\|\varphi\|_{B_{2}(F)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correspondence $\varphi \rightarrow T_{\varphi}$ is an algebra homomorphism.
Proof. Changing variable $x=\cos s, y=\cos t$ we get that the right hand side of (11) is equal to

$$
\sup _{x \in(-1,1)} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|K_{\varphi}(x, y)\right| d \mu(y) .
$$

It means that $T \varphi$ is a bounded operator on $L^{1}((-1,1), \mu)$ and (13) holds. To see that $T_{\varphi \psi}=T_{\varphi} T_{\psi}$ observe that $T_{\chi_{m}} U_{m}=U_{m}$ and $T_{\chi_{m}} U_{n}=0$ for $m \neq n$.

Corollary 4. Assume that the free group $F$ has infinitely many generators. For a complex number $z,|z|<1$, define $\varphi_{z}(x)=z^{|x|}$ for $x \in F$. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{z}\right\|_{B_{2}(F)} \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{z}\right\|_{M(A(F))}=\left\|T_{\varphi_{z}}\right\|=\frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|^{2}} .
$$

Proof. The estimate $\left\|\varphi_{z}\right\|_{B_{2}(F)} \leqslant\left|1-z^{2}\right| /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ follows from (8) and Proposition 1. On the other side

$$
\left\|T_{\varphi_{z}}\right\| \geqslant \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+1) z^{m} \sin (m+1) s \sin s\right| d s
$$

by Theorem 2. But

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+1) z^{m} \sin (m+1) s=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(e^{i s} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+1) z^{m} e^{i m s}-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+1) z^{m} e^{-i m s}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{e^{i s}}{\left(1-z e^{i s}\right)^{2}}-\frac{e^{-i s}}{\left(1-z e^{-i s}\right)^{2}}\right)=\frac{\left(1-z^{2}\right) \sin s}{\left(1-z e^{i s}\right)^{2}\left(1-z e^{-i s}\right)^{2}} .
\end{array}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+1) z^{m} \sin (m+1) s \sin s\right|=\left|1-z^{2}\right| \frac{\sin ^{2} s}{\left|\left(1-z e^{i s}\right)\left(1-z e^{-i s}\right)\right|^{2}} .
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{z}(s) & =\frac{\sin s}{\left(1-z e^{i s}\right)\left(1-z e^{-i s}\right)}=\frac{1}{2 i z}\left(\frac{1}{1-z e^{i s}}-\frac{1}{1-z e^{-i s}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^{m} \sin (m+1) s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|T_{\varphi_{z}}\right\| \geqslant \frac{2}{\pi}\left|1-z^{2}\right| \int_{0}^{\pi} f_{z}(s) \overline{f_{z}(s)} d s=\left|1-z^{2}\right| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}|z|^{2 m}=\frac{\left|1-z^{2}\right|}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

3.5. Remarks. (1) The functions $\varphi_{z},|z|<1$, play a fundamental part in present theory. They are analogues of the spherical functions on $S L_{2}(\boldsymbol{R})$. The function $\varphi_{z}$ is the unique, up to constant multiple, radial coefficient of the representation $\pi_{z}$ (cf. Theorem 3). The explicit formula for the kernel $K_{\varphi_{z}}$ of the operator $T_{\varphi_{z}}$ is

$$
K_{\varphi_{z}}(\cos s, \cos t)=\frac{1-z^{2}}{\left(1-2 z \cos (s+t)+z^{2}\right)\left(1-2 z \cos (s-t)+z^{2}\right)}
$$

(2) Applying Proposition 2 and Theorem 2 to the function $\varphi-\chi_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots$, we get the following estimate

$$
\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}(m+1) \leqslant\left\|\chi_{m}\right\|_{B_{2}(F)} \leqslant \frac{4 e}{\pi}(m+1) .
$$

3.6. Characterization of radial coefficients. As we have seen in Proposition 2, if we take two vectors $G, H$ of the special form (9) in the representation space $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$ for a path $\gamma$ then the corresponding coefficient of $\pi_{\gamma}$ is a radial function. Conversely, if $\varphi$ is a radial coefficient of the representation $\pi_{\gamma}$ then we can always find two vectors $G, H$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$ of the form (9) such that $\varphi(x)=\left\langle\pi_{\gamma}(x) G, H\right\rangle$. We prove it only for circle, although the proof works generally. In this case we obtain especially simple characterization of radial coefficients.

Theorem 3. Let $C(r)$ denote the circle $\{z \in \boldsymbol{C}:|z|=r\}, 0<r<1$. Let $F$ be a free group on infinitely many generators. A radial function $\varphi$ is a coefficient of the representation $\pi_{C(r)}$ if and only if there exists a function $f$ in $L^{1}\left(T^{1}\right)$, where $T^{1}$ is the unit circle, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=r^{n} \widehat{f}(n), \quad x \in F, n=|x| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $G, H \in \mathcal{H}_{C(r)}$, i.e.

$$
G=\oplus \int_{C_{r}} G_{z}|d z|, \quad H=\oplus \int_{C_{r}} H_{z}|d z|,
$$

where $G_{z}, H_{z} \in \ell^{2}(F)$. Suppose that the function

$$
\varphi(x)=\left\langle\pi_{C(r)}(x) G, H\right\rangle=\int_{C(r)}\left\langle\pi_{z}(x) G, H\right\rangle|d z|
$$

is radial. Write $\varphi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} \chi_{m}$. Choose a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ of free generators in $F$ and let $S_{z, k}$ be the operator defined in (6). Let $T$ be the orthogonal projection onto the one-dimensional subspace $\boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}$. Then

$$
\alpha_{n}=\int_{C(r)}\left\langle S_{z, n}^{n} G_{z}, H_{z}\right\rangle|d z|
$$

for any $k, n=1,2, \ldots$. Since the sequence of operators $\left\{S_{z, k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ strongly converges on $\ell^{2}(F)$ to the operator $z T$ then by the Dominated Convergence Principle we get

$$
\alpha_{n}=\int_{C(r)} z^{n}\left\langle T G_{z}, H_{z}\right\rangle|d z| \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Define a function $f$ on $T^{1}$ by

$$
f(z)=r\left\langle T G_{r \bar{z}}, H_{r \bar{z}}\right\rangle=r\left\langle T G_{r \bar{z}}, T H_{r \bar{z}}\right\rangle, \quad|z|=1 .
$$

Then $f \in L^{1}\left(T^{1}\right)$ with $\|f\|_{1} \leqslant\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}_{C(r)}}\|H\|_{\mathcal{H}_{C(r)}}$ and $f$ fulfills (14) for $n=1,2, \ldots$ To get (14) also for $n=0$ take the function $f-\widehat{f}(0)+\alpha_{0}$ instead of $f$.

The converse implication is actually shown in the proof of Proposition 2.
3.7. Invariant subspaces for $\pi_{C(r)}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Hilbert space

$$
\mathcal{H}=\oplus \int_{T^{1}} \ell^{2}(F)|d z| .
$$

This space may be realized also as one of the spaces $L^{2}\left(T^{1} \times F\right)$ or $L^{2}\left(T^{1}\right) \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F)$, where the symbol $\bar{\otimes}$ means the completion of $L^{2}\left(T^{1}\right) \otimes \ell^{2}(F)$ in the unique Hilbert space norm. For any $r, 0<r<1$, the space $\mathcal{H}_{C(r)}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}$, the isomorphism being $\mathcal{H} \ni f \rightarrow f_{r} \in \mathcal{H}_{C(r)}$, where $f_{r}(z, x)=r^{-1 / 2} f\left(r^{-1} z, x\right)$, $|z|=r, x \in F$. In this manner we may treat each $\pi_{C(r)}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}$. We get the following formula for this action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi_{C(r)}(a) f \otimes g\right)(z, x)=f(z)\left(\pi_{r z}(a) g\right)(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f \in L^{2}\left(T^{1}\right), g \in \ell^{2}(F)$ and $a, x \in F$.
Let $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ be the subspace $H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F) \subset \mathcal{H}$, where $H^{2}$ is the Hardy space of analytic functions in $L^{2}\left(T^{1}\right)$. Then since $\left\{\pi_{z}:|z|<1\right\}$ is an analytic family of representations, the space $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ is invariant under each representation $\pi_{C(r)}, 0<r<1$. Denote the restriction of $\pi_{C(r)}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ by $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$.

Lemma 3. Let $F$ be a free group on infinitely many generators. Fix a number r, $0<r<1$. Then the representation $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ is indecomposable, weakly similar to $\pi_{C(r)}$ and $1 \otimes \delta_{e}$ is a cyclic vector for $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$.

Proof. It is clear by (15) that the multiplication by $z$ commutes with $\pi_{C(r)}$. It follows that each of the spaces $z^{m} \mathcal{H}^{\circ}=z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F), m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ is invariant under $\pi_{C(r)}$. The restriction of $\pi_{C(r)}$ to any of them is isometrically equivalent to $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$. To prove that $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ is weakly similar to $\pi_{C(r)}$ it suffices to show that any coefficient of $\pi_{C(r)}$ is a limit in $B_{2}(F)$ of sequence of coefficients of $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$.

Let $f$ and $g$ be two functions in $\mathcal{H}$. There exists two sequences $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$ and $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $f_{m}, g_{m} \in z^{-m} \mathcal{H}^{\circ}, m=1,2, \ldots$, and

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-f_{m}\right\|=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g-g_{m}\right\|=0
$$

It follows that the coefficient

$$
\varphi(x)=\left\langle\pi_{C(r)}(x) f, g\right\rangle, \quad x \in F,
$$

is a limit in $B_{2}(F)$ of coefficients

$$
\varphi_{m}(x)=\left\langle\pi_{C(r)}(x) f_{m}, g_{m}\right\rangle, \quad x \in F
$$

But $\varphi_{m}$ is a coefficient of the representation $\left.\pi_{C(r)}\right|_{z^{-m} \mathcal{H}^{\circ}}$ and so of $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ too.
To see that $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ is indecomposable let $P$ be the projection in $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ which commutes with $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 3 the operator $r z I \otimes T$ is a strong limit of a sequence $\oplus \int_{T^{1}} S_{r z, k}|d z|$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $z I \otimes T$ belongs to the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ and so it commutes with $P$. This means that $H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}$ is an invariant subspace for $P$ and $P\left(H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}\right)$ reduces the operator $\left.z I \otimes T\right|_{H^{2}} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}$. But the multiplication by $z$ is an irreducible operator on $H^{2}$ (cf. [16], Theorem 5.3), thus the restriction of $P$ to $H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}$ must be 0 or $I$. In particular $P\left(1 \otimes \delta_{e}\right)=1 \otimes \delta_{e}$ or $P\left(1 \otimes \delta_{e}\right)=0$. This implies that

$$
P \pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x)\left(1 \otimes \delta_{e}\right)=\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x)\left(1 \otimes \delta_{e}\right)
$$

for any $x \in F$ or

$$
P \pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x)\left(1 \otimes \delta_{e}\right)=0
$$

for any $x \in F$. If we prove that $1 \otimes \delta_{e}$ is a cyclic vector for $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ we get then $P=0$ or $P=I$.

Let $M$ denote the closed cyclic subspace in $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ generated by $1 \otimes \delta_{e}$. To prove that $1 \otimes \delta_{e}$ is cyclic for $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ it suffices to show that $f \otimes \delta_{x} \in M$ for any $f \in H^{2}$ and any $x \in F$.

Applying the operator $z I \otimes T$ to $1 \otimes \delta_{e}$ several times we get $z^{m} \otimes \delta_{e} \in M$ for $m=0,1,2, \ldots$, and thus also that $f \otimes \delta_{e} \in M$ for any $f \in H^{2}$.

Let now $x \in F$. If $|x|=1$ then for any $f \in H^{2}$

$$
\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}\left(\frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2} z^{2}}} \otimes \delta_{e}\right)=\frac{r z f(z)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2} z^{2}}} \otimes \delta_{e}+f(z) \otimes \delta_{x}
$$

by (5) and (15). But since

$$
\frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2} z^{2}}} \otimes \delta_{e} \text { and } \frac{r z f(z)}{\sqrt{1-r^{2} z^{2}}} \otimes \delta_{e}
$$

both are in $M$, we have $f \otimes \delta_{e} \in M$. For all other $x \in F$ the proof is similar and goes by induction on the length of $x$.

Remark, The only non-trivial closed subspace in $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$, invariant under $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ are

$$
z^{m-1} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} M+z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F),
$$

where $m=1,2, \ldots$ and $M$ is a closed subspace in $\ell^{2}(F)$ invariant under left translations.

This can be shown in four steps as follows. If for a non-zero function $f$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$, $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ denotes the closed subspace in $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ generated by $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(a) f, a \in F$, then
(i) $\mathcal{H}_{f} \cap H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e} \neq\{0\}$,
(ii) $\mathcal{H}_{f} \cap H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}=z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}$ for some $m, m=1,2, \ldots$,
(iii) $z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F) \subset \mathcal{H}_{f} \subset z^{m-1} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F)$.
(iv) restrictions of operators $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(a)$ and $I \otimes L_{a}, a \in F$, to the space $z^{m-1} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F)$ are equal modulo $z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F)$, i.e. $\left(\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(a) f-I \otimes L_{a} f\right) \perp$ $z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F)$ for any $f \in z^{m-1} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F)$.

Point (i) holds because $z I \otimes T\left(\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(a) f\right) \neq 0$ for a suitable $a \in F$. Point (ii) because the only non-trivial closed subspace in $H^{2}$ invariant under multiplication by $z$ are $z^{m} H^{2}, m=1,2, \ldots$ (cf. [16], Theorem 5.3). Point (iii) because $z^{m} \otimes \delta_{e}$ is a cyclic vector for the restriction of $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ to $z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \ell^{2}(F)$ and because $\mathcal{H}_{f} \subset$ $(z I \otimes T)^{-1}\left(z^{m} H^{2} \bar{\otimes} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{e}\right)$. Finally point (iv) follows directly from (5) (use the Taylor expansion of $\left.\sqrt{1-r^{2} z^{2}}\right)$.

### 3.8. An unexpected realization of the representation $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$.

Theorem 4. Let $F$ be a free group on infinitely many generators and let $\mathcal{K}(F)$ denote the set of all complex functions on $F$ with finite supports. Fix a number $r$, $0<r<1$, and for $f, g$ in $\mathcal{H}(F)$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{r}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|x|=|y|=k} f(x) \overline{g(y)} r^{\left|y^{-1} x\right|} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\langle,\rangle_{r}$ is a non-degenerate hermitian form on $\mathcal{K}(F)$.
Let $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ be the Hilbert space produced from $\left(\mathcal{K}(F),\langle,\rangle_{r}\right)$ in the standard way. Then the left regular representation $L$ of the group $F$ on $\mathcal{K}(F)$ extends to a uniformly bounded representation of $F$ on $\mathcal{H}_{r}$. This representation is indecomposable, similar to $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ and weakly equivalent to $\pi_{C(r)}$.

Proof. First we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, f\rangle_{r} \geqslant\left(1-r^{2}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{K}(F)$. This will prove the first part of the theorem.
Put $f_{k}=f \chi_{k}$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ (recall that $\chi_{k}$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $\{x \in F:|z|=k\}$ ). Then

$$
\langle f, f\rangle_{r}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\langle f_{k}, f_{k}\right\rangle_{r}
$$

We have $\left\langle f_{0}, f_{0}\right\rangle_{r}=|f(e)|^{2}$ and for $k=1,2, \ldots$ by an elementary computation we get

$$
\left\langle f_{k}, f_{k}\right\rangle_{r}=\left(1-r^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} r^{2 n}\left\|P^{n} f_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}+r^{2 k}\left\|P^{k} f_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geqslant\left(1-r^{2}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\langle f, f\rangle_{r} \geqslant\left(1-r^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left(1-r^{2}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

which shows (17).
Define a linear map $T_{r}$ from $\mathcal{K}(F)$ into $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ putting

$$
T_{r}\left(\delta_{x}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1-r^{2}}{1-r^{2} z^{2}}} \pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x)\left(1 \otimes \delta_{e}\right)
$$

for $x \in F$. Since $1 \otimes \delta_{e}$ is a cyclic vector for the representation $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$ (Lemma 3) and multiplication by the function $\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-r^{2} z^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ is an invertible operator on $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$ hence $T_{r}(\mathcal{K}(F))$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x) T_{r}=T_{r} L_{x} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in F$.
The set $E$ of free generators is infinite by the assumption. Fix a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ of distinct elements in $E$ and put $x(j)=x_{j} \ldots x_{j}$ ( $j$ factors). For $j=$ $1,2, \ldots$ define also a hermitian form $h_{j}$ on $\mathcal{K}(F)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{j}(f, g) & =\left\langle\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x(j)) T_{r} f, \pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x(j)) T_{r} g\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle T_{r} L_{x(j)} f, T_{r} L_{x(j)} g\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{r}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} H_{j}(f, g) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course it suffices to show (19) only for functions $f=\delta_{a}, g=\delta_{b}$ with arbitrary $a$ and $b$ in $F$.

By (5) we have

$$
T_{r}\left(\delta_{x}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1-r^{2}}{1-r^{2} z^{2}}} r^{|x|} z^{|x|} \delta_{e}+\sqrt{1-r^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{|x|-1} r^{k} z^{k} P^{k} \delta_{x}
$$

for any $x \in F$. Write $h_{j}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)=h_{j}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)+h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)$ where

$$
h_{j}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)=\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{|x a|+|x b|} \int_{T^{1}}\left|1-r^{2} z^{2}\right|^{-1} z^{|x a|+|x b|}|d z|
$$

and

$$
h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)=\left(1-r^{2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} r^{2 k}\left\langle P^{k} \delta_{x a}, P^{k} \delta_{x b}\right\rangle
$$

with $x=x(j)$ and $n=\min \{|x(j) a|,|x(j) b|\}-1$. Since $|x(j) a|=j+|a|$ and $|x(j) b|=j+|b|$ for large $j$, we get $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} h_{j}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)=0$. To compute $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)$ first consider the case $|a| \neq|b|$. Then $|x(j) a| \neq|x(j) b|$ for large $j$ and so $\left\langle P^{k} \delta_{x(j) a}, P^{k} \delta_{x(j) b}\right\rangle=0$ for any $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ Thus $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)=0$ in this case. Now let $|a|=|b|$. Observe that $\left\langle P^{k} \delta_{x(j) a}, P^{k} \delta_{x(j) b}\right\rangle$ takes only value 0 or 1 and it takes the value 1 exactly when $2 k \geqslant\left|(x(j) b)^{-1}(x(j) a)\right|=\left|b^{-1} a\right|$. Thus $h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)=r^{\left|b^{-1} a\right|}-r^{2 j+2|a|}$, and so

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right)=r^{\left|b^{-1} a\right|}=\left\langle\delta_{a}, \delta_{b}\right\rangle_{r}
$$

Put

$$
C=\sup _{x \in F}\left\|\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}(x)\right\| \leqslant \sup _{x \in F} \max _{|z|=r}\left\|\pi_{z}(x)\right\| \leqslant 2 \frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}
$$

and observe that $h_{j}(f, f) \leqslant C^{2}\left\langle T_{r} f, T_{r} f\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle T_{r} f, T_{r} f\right\rangle \leqslant C^{2} h_{j}(f, f)$ for any $f$ in $\mathcal{K}(F)$ and any $j=1,2, \ldots$.. Together with (19) it follows that $T_{r}$ extends to an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ onto $\mathcal{H}^{\circ}$. Formula (18) shows that $L$ extends to a uniformly bounded representation of $F$ on $\mathcal{H}_{r}$, similar the representation $\pi_{C(r)}^{\circ}$. The rest of Theorem 4 follows now from Lemma 3.
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