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ABSTRACT. Let $D^{\alpha} f(z)$ be the Ruscheweyh derivative defined by using the Hadamard product of $f(z)$ and $z /(1-z)^{1+\alpha}$. Certain new classes $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ and $K_{\alpha}$ are introduced by virtue of the Ruscheweyh derivative. The object of the present paper is to establish several interesting properties of $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ and $K_{\alpha}$. Further, some results for integral operator $J_{c}(f)$ of $f(z)$ are shown.
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1. INTRODUCTION. Let $A$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \quad\left(a_{1}=1\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Let $S$ denote the subclass of of $A$ consisting of univalent functions in the unit disk $U$. A function $f(z)$ belonging to $A$ is said to be starlike with respect to the origin in the unit disk $U$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. We denote by $S^{*}$ the class of all starlike functions with respect to the origin in the unit disk $U$. A function $f(z)$ belonging to $A$ is said to be
convex in the unit disk $U$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. We denote by $K$ the class of all convex functions in the unit disk $U$, We note that $f(z) \in K$ if and only if $z f^{\prime}(z) \in S^{*}$ and that

$$
K \subset S^{*} \subset S
$$

Let $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ in $A$ be given by

$$
f_{j}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1, j} z^{n+1} \quad\left(a_{1, j}=1\right)
$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution product) $f_{1} * f_{2}(z)$ of $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1} \star_{2}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1,1} a_{n+1,2} z^{n+1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Hadamard product, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha} f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}}{ }^{(1)}(z) \quad(\alpha \geq-1) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f(z) \in A$. The symbol $D^{\alpha} f(z)$ was introduced by Ruscheweyh [1], and is called the Ruscheweyh derivative of $f(z)$.

To derive our results, we have to recall here the following lemmas.
LEMMA 1 ([2]). Let $\phi(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in the unit disk $U$ and satisfy $\phi(0)=g(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0) \neq 0, g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Suppose that for each $\sigma(|\sigma|=1)$ and $\delta(|\delta|=1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z) * \frac{1+\delta \sigma z}{1-\sigma z} g(z) \neq 0 \quad(0<|z|<1) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each function $F(z)$ analytic in the unit disk $U$ and satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\{F(z)\}$ > $0(z \in U)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\phi \star G(z)}{\phi \star g(z)}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(z)=F \cdot g(z)$.
LEMMA 2 ([3]). Let $w(z)$ be regular in the unit disk $U$, with $w(0)=0$. Then, if $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r(0 \leqq r<1)$ at a point $z_{0}$, we can write

$$
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=u w\left(z_{0}\right),
$$

where $m$ is real and $m \geq 1$.
LEMMA 3 ([4]). For a real number $\alpha(\alpha>-1$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\alpha+1) D^{\alpha+1} f(z)-\alpha D^{\alpha} f(z) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK. Note that (1.9) holds true for $\alpha=-1$.
LEMMA 4 ([5]). Let $\phi(u, v)$ be a complex function, $\phi: \eta \rightarrow C \times C(C$ is the complex plane) and let $u=u_{1}+i u_{2}, v=v_{1}+i v_{2}$. Suppose that $\phi$ satisfies the following conditions
(i) $\phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D$;
(ii) $(1,0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(1,0)\}>0$;
(iii) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right\} \leqq 0$ for all $\left(\mathrm{iu}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{1}\right) \in D$ and such that $v_{1} \leqq-\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$.

Let $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ be regular in the unit disk $U$, such that ( $p(z)$, $\left.z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \in D$ for all $z \in U$. If $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right)\right\}>0(z \in!!)$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$ for $z \in U$.
2. PROPERTIES OF $D^{\alpha} f(z)$. Applying Lemma 1 , we prove

THEOREM 1. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $S^{*}$ and satisfy the condition
$D^{\alpha} f(z) \neq 0(0<|z|<1)$ for $\alpha \geqq-1$. Then $D^{\alpha} f(z)$ is also in the class $S^{*}$.
PROOF. We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}=\frac{D^{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}=\frac{\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha} *\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)}}{\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} * f(z)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\delta=-1, \phi(z)=z /(1-z)^{1+\alpha}, g(z)=f(z), \quad$ and $F(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in Lemma l, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $D^{\alpha} f(z) \in S^{*}$.
THEOREM 2. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $K$ and satisfy the condition $D^{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \neq 0$ $(0<|z|<1)$ for $\alpha \geqq-1$. Then $D^{\alpha} f(z)$ is also in the class $K$.

PROOF. Since $f(z) \in K$ if and only if $z f^{\prime}(z) \in S^{*}$, Theorem 1 derives $z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=$ $D^{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \in S^{*}$. Hence we have $D^{\alpha} f(z) \in K$.
3. THE CLASSES $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ AND $K_{\alpha}$. In view of Theorems 1 and 2 , we can introduce the following classes;

$$
S_{\alpha}^{*}=\left\{f(z) \in A: \quad D^{\alpha} f(z) \in S^{*}, \alpha \geqq-1\right\}
$$

and

$$
K_{\alpha}=\left\{f(z) \in A: D^{\alpha} f(z) \in K, \alpha \geq-1\right\}
$$

Now, we derive:
THEOREM 3. For $\alpha \geqq 0$, we have $S_{\alpha+1}^{*}<S_{\alpha}^{*}$.
PROOF. For $f(z) \in S_{\alpha+1}^{*}$, we define the function $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad(w(z) \neq 1) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, with Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha} f(z)} & =\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left\{\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}+\alpha\right\} \\
& =\frac{(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha) w(z)}{(1+\alpha)(1-w(z))} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.2) logarithmically, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left.z D^{\alpha+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}+\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1-w(z))\{(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha) w(z)\}^{\prime}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that for $z_{0} \in U$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|<w(z)\left|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \quad\left(w\left(z_{0}\right) \neq \pm 1\right)\right. \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\operatorname{mw}\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

where $m$ is real and $m \geqq 1$. Setting $w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta_{0}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}\left(D^{\alpha+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{D^{\alpha+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}+\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2 m w\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(1-w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\left\{(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha) w\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}}\right\} \\
& \quad=-\frac{m \alpha\left(1-\cos \theta_{0}\right)}{M} \leq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M=\left\{\alpha\left(1-\cos \theta_{0}\right)+(1-\alpha) \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}\right\}^{2}+\left\{\alpha+(1-\alpha) \cos _{0}\right\}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}$.
This contradicts the hypothesis that $f(z) \in S_{\alpha+1}^{*}$. Therefore, $w(z)$ has to satisfy that $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in U$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$.
THEOREM 4. For $\alpha \geqq 0$, we have

$$
\bigcap_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^{*}=\{i d\}
$$

where id is the identity function $f(z)=z$.
PROOF. Note that $D_{z}^{\alpha}=z$ for all $\alpha$, and that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} z\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} z}\right\}=1>0 \quad(z \in U)
$$

for all $\alpha$. Consequently, we conclude that id $\in S_{\alpha}^{*}$ for all $\alpha$.
For the converse, we assume that the function $f(z)$ belonging to $A$ is in the class $\int_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^{*}$ Then we have

$$
D^{\alpha} f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \in S^{*}
$$

for all $\alpha \geqq 0$. It is well known that

$$
\left|a_{n+1}\right| \leqq n+1
$$

for $f(z) \in S^{*}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left|a_{n+1}\right| \leqq n+1 \quad \quad(n \geq 1) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n+1}\right| \leqq \frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \quad(n \geq 1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha \geqq 0$. Therefore, we have $f(z)=z$.
By virtue of Theorem 3, we prove:
THEOREM 5. For $\alpha \geqq 0$, we have $K_{\alpha+1} K_{\alpha}$.

PROOF. By Theorem 3, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) \in K_{\alpha+1} & \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha+1} f(z) \in K \\
& \left.\Longleftrightarrow z_{\left(D^{\alpha+1}\right.} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha+1}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow f^{\prime}(z) \in S_{\alpha+1}^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow z^{\prime}(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \in S^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

This asserts the result of the theorem.
THEOREM 6. FOR $\alpha \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
\bigcap_{\alpha} K_{\alpha}=\{i d\}
$$

where id is the identity function $f(z)=z$.
The proof of Theorem 6 is similar to that of Theorem 4.
Furthermore, an application of Lemma 4 to the classes $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ and $K_{\alpha}$ gives:
THEOREM 7. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ with $\alpha \geqq-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-1}\right\}>\frac{1}{2 \beta-1} \quad(z \in(!), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<\beta \leqq 3 / 2$.
PROOF. We define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-1}=p(z)+(A-1) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=1+1 / 2(\beta-1)$. Differentiating (3.10) logarithmically, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}=\frac{1}{\beta-1} \quad \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+(A-1)}+1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{B-1} \cdot \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+(A-1)}+1\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p(z)=u=u_{1}+i u_{2}$ and $z p^{\prime}(z)=v=v_{1}+i v_{2}$, and define the function $\phi(u, v)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u, v)=\frac{1}{\beta-1} \cdot \frac{v}{u+(A-1)}+1 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D=(C-\{1-A\}) \times C$, and together with ( 1,0$) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(1,0)\}=1>0$. Moreover, for all $\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right) \in D$ such that $v_{1} \leqq-\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$, we can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right\} & =\frac{1}{\beta-1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{v_{1}}{i u_{2}+(A-1)}\right\}+1 \\
& \leqq \frac{-1}{\beta-1} \cdot \frac{(A-1)\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right.}{2\left\{u_{2}^{2}+(A-1)^{2}\right\}}+1 \leqq 0, \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

for $1<\beta \leqq 3 / 2$. Hence the function $\phi(u, v)$ satisfies the conditions in lemma 4. It follows from this fact that $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$ for $z \in U$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{A\left(\frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{z}\right)^{B-1}-(A-1)\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the assertion of Theorem 7.
Taking $\beta=3 / 2$ in Theorem 7, we have:
COROLLARY 1. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ with $\alpha \geqq-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(\frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{z}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}>\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

COROLLARY 2. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $K_{\alpha}$ with $a \geq-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \quad\left\{\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right\}^{\beta-1} \quad>\frac{1}{2 \beta-1} \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<\beta \leqq 3 / 2$.
PROOF. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) \in K_{\alpha} & \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha} f(z) \in K \\
& \Longleftrightarrow z^{\prime}\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow z^{\prime}(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\frac{D^{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)}{z}=\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}
$$

Therefore, we have the corollary with the aid of Theorem 7.
4. INTEGRAL OPERATOR $J_{c}(f)$. We define the integral operator $J_{c}(f)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{c}(f)=\frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t \quad(c>-1) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f(z) \in A$. The operator $J_{c}(f)$ when $c \in N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ was studied by Bernardi [6]. In particular, the operator $J_{1}(f)$ was studied by Libera [7] and Livingston [8].

THEOREM 8. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ with $\alpha \geqq 0$. Then $J_{\alpha}(f)$ is also in the class $S_{\alpha}^{*}$

PROOF. Define the function $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)}=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad(w(z) \neq 1) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by taking the differentiation of both sides logarithmically, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{2}\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime \prime}+z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)}=\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))^{(1-w(z))}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=z^{2}\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}+z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}=(\alpha+1) z\left(D^{\alpha+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-(\alpha+1) z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 3. Furthermore, it follows from the definition of $J_{\alpha}(f)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha} f(z)=D^{\alpha+1} J_{\alpha}(f) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{2}\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime \prime} & =(\alpha+1) z\left(D^{\alpha+1} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}-(\alpha+1) z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =(\alpha+1) z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-(\alpha+1) z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

With the aid of Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}=(\alpha+1) D^{\alpha} f(z)-\alpha D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (4.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\alpha+1) z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{(\alpha+1) D^{\alpha} f(z)}{D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)}=\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))(1-w(z))^{\prime}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\alpha+1) D^{\alpha} f(z)}{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}\left\{\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}-\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)}\right\}=\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))(1-w(z))^{\prime}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (4.8) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\alpha+1) D^{\alpha} f(z)}{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}=1+\frac{\alpha D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)}{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}=\frac{(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha) w(z)}{1+w(z)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (4.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} f(z)}=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}+\frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1-w(z))\{(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha) w(z)\}} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assuming

$$
|z| \leqq\left|z_{0}\right| \quad|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \quad\left(w\left(z_{0}\right) \neq \pm 1\right)
$$

for $z_{0} \in U$ and using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 3 , we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we conclude that $J_{\alpha}(f)$ is in the class $S_{\alpha}^{*}$.
COROLLARY 3. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $S_{\alpha}^{*}$ with $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left(z_{p+1} F_{p}(\alpha+1, \ldots, \alpha+1,1 ; \alpha+2, \ldots, \alpha+2 ; z)\right)^{\star} f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}
$$

where $p_{p+1} F_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p+1} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p} ; z\right)$ denotes the generalized hypergeometric function.

PROOF. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\alpha}(f) & =\frac{\alpha+1}{z^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\alpha-1}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} t^{n+1}\right) d t \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{n+\alpha+1}\right) a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \\
& =\left(z_{2} F_{1}(\alpha+1,1 ; \alpha+2 ; z)\right) * f(z) \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

for $f(z) \in A$. Therefore, by Theorem 8, we have

$$
\left(z_{2} F_{1}(\alpha+1,1 ; \alpha+2 ; z)\right) * f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}
$$

Repeating the same manner, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\star} & \Longrightarrow\left(z_{2} F_{1}(\alpha+1,1 ; \alpha+2 ; z)\right) * f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*} \\
& \Longrightarrow\left(z_{3} F_{2}(\alpha+1, \alpha+1,1 ; \alpha+2, \alpha+2 ; z)\right) * f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*} \\
& \Longrightarrow\left(z_{p+1} F_{p}(\alpha+1, \cdots, \alpha+1,1 ; \alpha+2, \cdots, \alpha+2 ; z)\right) * f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we prove
THEOREM 9. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $K_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \geqq 0$, Then $J_{\alpha}(f)$ is also in the class $K_{\alpha}$.

PROOF. In view of Theorem 5 , we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) \in K_{\alpha} & \Longleftrightarrow z^{\prime}\left(D^{\alpha} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow f^{\prime}(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow J_{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \in S_{\alpha}^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha}\left(J_{\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}\right)\right) \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow z^{\alpha}\left(D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f)\right)^{\prime} \in S^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow D^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(f) \in K \\
& \Longleftrightarrow J_{\alpha}(f) \in K_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 9 .
COROLLARY 4. Let $f(z)$ be in the class $K_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, for $p \in N$, $\left(z_{p+1} F_{p}(\alpha+1, \ldots, \alpha+1,1 ; \alpha+2, \ldots, \alpha+2 ; z)\right) * f(z) \in K_{\alpha}$, where ${ }_{p+1} F_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p+1} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p} ; z\right)$ denotes the generalized hypergeometric function.
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