

1 **AN AUSTRALIAN CONSENSUS ON INFANT FEEDING GUIDELINES TO PREVENT**
2 **FOOD ALLERGY: OUTCOMES FROM THE AUSTRALIAN INFANT FEEDING**
3 **SUMMIT**

4 **Authors:** Merryn J Netting BSc, BND, PhD^{1,2}, Dianne E Campbell MBBS, FRACP, PhD^{3,4},
5 Jennifer J Koplitz PhD⁵, Kathy M Beck BSc Grad Dip Nut Diet MHSc⁶, Vicki McWilliam BSc
6 MND^{5,7,8}, Shyamali C Dharmage MBBS, MSc, MD, PhD⁹, Mimi L.K Tang FRACP, PhD^{7,10},
7 Anne-Louise Ponsonby MD, PhD⁸, Susan L. Prescott MBBS, PhD, FRACP¹¹, Sandra Vale
8 BSc (Nut)¹², Richard K.S Loh FRACP¹³, Maria Makrides BSc, BND, PhD, FNSA, FAHMS^{1,2}
9 and Katrina J Allen MBBS, BMed Sci, FRACP, PhD^{5,7,8,14}, on behalf of the Centre for Food
10 and Allergy Research, the Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy, the
11 National Allergy Strategy, and the Australian Infant Feeding Summit Consensus Group.

- 12 1. South Australian Healthy and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.
- 13 2. Discipline of Paediatrics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.
- 14 3. Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
- 15 4. Child and Adolescent Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- 16 5. Centre of Food and Allergy Research, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville,
17 Victoria, Australia.
- 18 6. Children's Nutrition Research Centre, School of Medicine, The University of Queensland,
19 South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.
- 20 7. Department of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville,
21 Victoria, Australia.
- 22 8. Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.
- 23 9. Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The
24 University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.
- 25 10. Department of Gastroenterology and Clinical Nutrition, Royal Children's Hospital,
26 Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

27 11. School of Paediatrics and Child Health and Telethon KIDS Institute, Princess Margaret
28 Hospital, University of Western Australia, Perth WA, Australia.

29 12. National Allergy Strategy.

30 13. Princess Margaret Hospital, University of Western Australia, Perth WA, Australia

31 14. Institute of Inflammation and Repair, University of Manchester, Manchester, United
32 Kingdom.

33 **Corresponding Author:** Prof. Katrina. J. Allen, Murdoch Children's Research Institute. The
34 Royal Children's Hospital, 50 Flemington Road, Parkville 3052 Victoria, Australia. Phone: 61 3
35 9936 6752 Email: katrina.allen@mcri.edu.au

36

37 **Funding:** This work was supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research
38 Council (NHMRC) of Australia.

39 The study sponsors had no involvement in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of
40 data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication.

41 **Abstract**

42 Background: Infant feeding in the first postnatal year of life has an important role in an infant's risk of
43 developing food allergy. Consumer infant feeding advice is diverse and lacks consistency.

44 Aim: The Australian Infant Feeding Summit was held with the aim of achieving national consensus on
45 the wording guidelines for infant feeding and allergy prevention.

46 Methods: Two meetings were hosted by the Centre for Food and Allergy Research, the Australasian
47 Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy and the Australian National Allergy Strategy. The first
48 meeting of 30 allergy researchers, clinicians and consumers assessed the evidence. The second
49 consensus meeting involved 46 expert stakeholders including State and Federal health care agencies,
50 consumers and experts in allergy, infant feeding, and population health.

51 Results: Partner stake holders agreed on consensus wording for infant feeding advice:

- 52 1. When your infant is ready, at around 6 months, but not before 4 months, start to introduce a variety
53 of solid foods, starting with iron rich foods, while continuing breastfeeding.
- 54 2. All infants should be given allergenic solid foods including peanut butter, cooked egg, dairy and
55 wheat products in the first year of life. This includes infants at high risk of allergy.
- 56 3. Hydrolysed (partially or extensively) infant formula are not recommended for the prevention of
57 allergic disease.

58 Conclusion. Consensus was achieved in a context where there is a high prevalence of food allergy.
59 Guidelines for other countries are being updated. Provision of consistent wording related to infant
60 feeding to reduce food allergy risk will ensure clear consumer advice.

61 **Key Words**

62 Infant feeding, clinical guidelines, paediatric food allergy, evidence-based research, knowledge
63 translation, health education

64

65 **Abbreviations used**

66 RCTs: Randomised controlled trials

67 NHMRC: National Health and Medical Research Council

68 ASCIA: Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy

69 CFAR: Centre for Food and Allergy Research

70 A&AA: Allergy & Anaphylaxis Australia

71 WHO: World Health Organisation

72

73 **Highlights**

74 **1.** What is already known about this topic?

- 75 • Infant feeding in the first postnatal year of life plays an important role in the risk of
- 76 developing food allergy.
- 77 • Infant feeding guidelines now actively promote inclusion of common allergens in the
- 78 early life diet.

79 **2.** What does this article add to our knowledge?

- 80 • We carefully evaluated the synthesized evidence as part of the process of developing
- 81 consensus Australian infant feeding guidelines to prevent food allergy.
- 82 • Involving a range of key stakeholders will ensure infant feeding advice reaches a wide
- 83 consumer audience.

84 **3.** How does this study impact on current management guidelines?

- 85 • Consumers access a range of infant feeding advice that may be contradictory.
- 86 • Use of consensus wording related to infant feeding to reduce food allergy risk will
- 87 ensure clear and consistent consumer advice which may improve uptake.

88 Introduction

89 Internationally there has been a rise in the prevalence of atopic disease, particularly food allergy. The
90 increase has occurred within one generation - too rapidly to be solely due to genetic factors alone.
91 Environmental influences, including the timing and nature of dietary exposures to specific nutrients and
92 allergens in food, are considered to play a role in the development of the immune system and the early
93 onset of allergic disease – particularly food allergy. In response to high level evidence supporting early
94 introduction of allergens, particularly peanut into the diet to reduce the risk of childhood food allergy,
95 the US has recently released interim infant feeding guidelines ¹, and updated guidelines are soon to be
96 released. It is important that evidence underpins all infant feeding recommendations for food allergy
97 prevention, however, infant feeding guidelines will need to be individualised to fit each country's
98 context, as each country has differing allergy prevalence rates and different health care systems.

99 There is increasing evidence that the way infants are fed in the first postnatal year of life has an
100 important role to play in their risk of developing food allergy and this evidence base has changed
101 significantly in the past 10 years ^{2,3}. Avoidance of allergenic solids such as peanut, egg and cow's milk
102 for at least the first 12 months of life was recommended from the 1990s (in a bid to curb the new and
103 rising rates of food allergy) and featured in most [clinical allergy society](#) recommendations around the
104 world ^{4,6}. From 2005 onwards, recommendations began to change, based firstly on observational cohort
105 studies which suggested that delayed introduction of allergenic foods was not associated with reduced
106 food allergy ⁷⁻⁹. Over the last two years these data are now supported by a series of well conducted
107 randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Most notably, the LEAP study reported that delaying the
108 introduction of peanut (5 years vs 4-11 months) significantly increased peanut allergy risk in infants
109 with early onset eczema and/or egg allergy ¹⁰. Thus, delaying the introduction of peanut past 11 months
110 in infants at high risk of food allergy (with eczema and egg allergy) is now considered to be associated
111 with increased risk of peanut allergy ^{1, 10}. Since the rise in allergic disease has occurred across the
112 population and not just in high-risk individuals there has now been a call by experts to implement
113 changes to infant feeding guidelines for all infants immediately based on this study ¹.

114 Australia has one of the highest incidences of atopic disease, including food allergy in the world ¹¹.
115 However currently in Australia, there is diverse and sometimes conflicting infant feeding advice relating
116 to the timing of solids and the types of foods to introduce. The reasons for this are multifactorial. In
117 Australia the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) is responsible for development
118 and publication of evidence-based infant feeding guidelines for the whole population. The NHMRC
119 Infant Feeding Guidelines were updated in 2012, with some minor revisions in 2015 ¹². Specific infant
120 feeding advice focussed on the prevention of food allergies was first published by the Australasian
121 Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy (ASCIA) in 2008, and was updated in 2010 and then May
122 2016 ¹³. Confusion has arisen because infant feeding guidelines are utilised and interpreted in varying
123 ways by state health authorities and consumer organisations responsible for writing health educational
124 materials, and this has not always been co-ordinated. As a result, the recommendations included in
125 consumer education material vary widely in their wording about the timing of introduction to solid
126 foods and when allergenic foods should be introduced into the diet. In addition, there are recognised
127 knowledge gaps in some key elements of infant feeding practices directed at primary prevention of food
128 allergy, which lead to differences in interpretation of existing evidence.

129

130 **Methods:**

131 **Lead up to the 2016 Australian Infant Feeding Consensus Guidelines Summit**

132 The Centre for Food and Allergy Research (CFAR) is a NHMRC funded Centre for Research
133 Excellence. CFAR's goals include the synthesis and dissemination of evidence-based research for the
134 development of clinical guidelines and improved public health policy for the prevention of food allergy.
135 The infant feeding consensus guidelines were developed in two phases; an initial infant feeding
136 roundtable co-convened with ASCIA in August 2015; and the May 2016 infant feeding summit
137 specifically designed to engage a wider of stakeholder group.

138 **Phase 1: 2015 Infant Feeding Round Table.**

139 *Aim:* The Infant Feeding Round Table aimed to summarise the research on infant feeding and risk of
140 developing early onset allergic disease (including eczema and food allergy) to determine the current
141 level of evidence to recommend changes to the ASCIA and NHMRC infant feeding guidelines.

142 *Participants and structure of the 2015 Infant Feeding Round Table:* Over 30 researchers and clinicians who were
143 members of CFAR and ASCIA, along with representatives from the national consumer group Allergy
144 & Anaphylaxis Australia (A&AA) attended the Round Table. Attendees were provided with
145 background readings, including systematic reviews to prepare for a series of presentations reviewing the
146 evidence related to early feeding and allergy development pertaining to breastfeeding, use of partially
147 hydrolysed formula, introduction of solid foods, allergy screening in high-risk infants and use of
148 perinatal supplements for the infant. After each presentation there were targeted discussions related to
149 the evidence presented.

150 *Outcome:* Based on the 2015 Round Table, and further deliberations, ASCIA guidelines for infant
151 feeding and allergy prevention were revised and released in May 2016. The research evidence
152 summaries developed for the 2015 Round Table were further synthesised to prepare the background
153 paper for participants at the 2016 Infant Feeding Summit.

154

155 **Phase 2: 2016 Infant Feeding Summit**

156 *Aim:* The Australian Infant Feeding Summit was convened by CFAR with the express aim of achieving
157 Australian consensus on the wording of infant feeding guidelines across all State and Federal
158 jurisdiction and across the full spectrum of health care information provision.

159 *Participants:* In partnership with ASCIA and the National Allergy Strategy, a broad set of stakeholders
160 with an interest, expertise and experience in infant feeding was identified and invited to attend the
161 Summit. Representation was sought from State and Federal Health care agencies including the
162 NHMRC, expert specialist bodies (including Royal Australian College of Physicians, Royal Australian
163 College of General Practitioners, the Dietitians Association of Australia and Lactation Consultants
164 Australia and New Zealand), consumer groups (Australian Breastfeeding Association), patient advocacy
165 and support groups (A&AA) and experts in the field of infant feeding and food allergy.

166 *Structure of the 2016 Infant Feeding Summit:* The Summit was hosted in May 2016 at the Royal Children's
167 Hospital campus, Melbourne. The background document based on the outcomes of the 2015
168 CFAR/ASCIA Round Table was circulated for pre-comment prior to the Summit and these comments
169 (tabulated prior to the meeting) informed the basis of much of the Summit's discussions. An
170 independent expert facilitator led discussions to ensure fair representation of the views of all
171 participating groups. Presentations were delivered by both ASCIA and NHMRC about their guideline
172 development process. An audit of infant feeding advice written for Australian consumers was also
173 presented (by CFAR) to illustrate how the guidelines are translated into a range of health education
174 materials. Three main issues relevant to development of food allergies were discussed: 1) breastfeeding,
175 2) use of breastmilk substitutes, and 3) timing and types of solid foods. The Summit's first open
176 discussion offered the opportunity for stakeholders to discuss CFAR's consensus recommendations on
177 these key issues and consider divergent opinions. The second open discussion focused on how to
178 manage change and respond to new research evidence that is continually evolving. The third Summit
179 discussion addressed knowledge translation and the need for consumer-friendly information, effective
180 dissemination using existing channels and the harmonisation of messages to providers and consumers.

181 The workshop concluded with development of consensus statement on infant feeding. The discussions
182 and consensus on each of the three main issues are outlined below.

183 **Results: A Review of the Past, New Evidence, and Consensus**

184 **1. Timing of Introduction to Solid Foods and Optimal Exposure to Allergens**

185 Complementary feeding (or ‘introduction to solid foods’) refers to the addition of foods other than
186 breastmilk or infant formula into an infant’s diet. The issues specific to prevention of food allergy relate
187 to timing of starting solid foods and exposure to common allergens^{2,3,14,15}. World Health Organisation
188 (WHO), NHRMC and ASCIA recommendations [at the time of the summit](#) are summarised in Table 1.

189 Timing of introduction to solid foods: At around 6 months of age, stores of iron and other nutrients
190 laid down during pregnancy begin to reduce and infants show developmental signs that they are ready
191 to consume more than breastmilk alone. [There are differences in the wording of recommended timing](#)
192 [to introduce solid foods: The WHO¹⁷ recommend introduction to solid foods from 6 months, whereas](#)
193 [the NHMRC¹² recommends solid foods at around 6 months of age. The March 2016 revision of the](#)
194 [ASCIA¹³ guidelines recommended introduction to solid foods from 4 to 6 months of age, when the infant is](#)
195 [developmentally ready to start solid foods.](#) Despite recommendations to introduce solid foods at around 6
196 months, the 2010 Australian National Infant Feeding Survey reported 35.3% of infants had started
197 solids at 4-5 months and 70.2% by 5-6 months of age¹⁶.

198 Type of foods, including exposure to foods that are common allergens: The WHO encourages
199 introduction of nutritionally adequate and safe foods offered in ways consistent with a child’s signals of
200 appetite, satiety, and developmental needs¹⁷. The NHMRC 2012 infant feeding guidelines recommend
201 that iron rich foods [\(e.g. iron fortified cereals and pureed meat, poultry or fish\)](#) are included amongst
202 the first foods, and advise that no foods or food allergens should be avoided during infancy to prevent
203 allergy development¹². Since these NHMRC 2012 infant feeding guidelines, the results of two
204 significant RCTs have been published. Whilst the results of these trials provide more information and
205 useful details about infant feeding and allergy risk, they do not require any changes to be made to the
206 NHMRC guidelines. The LEAP study compared early (4 to 11 months) with delayed (5 years)

207 introduction to peanut in children at high risk of peanut allergy due to pre-existing eczema and/or egg
208 allergy. In 2015, the study reported an 11 to 25% absolute reduction in the risk of peanut allergy in
209 high-risk infants (and a relative risk reduction of up to 80%) if peanut was introduced between 4 and 11
210 months of age¹⁰. The EAT study¹⁸ was the first RCT testing the effect on subsequent development of
211 allergy of early introduction to solid foods (from 3 months) compared with the UK guidelines of
212 exclusive breastfeeding until introduction to solid foods at around 6 months. In 2016, the study
213 reported no significant difference in food allergy rates in the primary analysis (intention to treat
214 analysis) between the early and standard introduction groups. Additionally, there was no difference in
215 breastfeeding rates at 12 months for individuals in the early introduction group compared with the
216 exclusive breastfeeding group, showing that earlier introduction of allergenic foods did not have an
217 impact on breastfeeding¹⁹.

218 In 2015, ten international allergy and immunology bodies released a joint consensus communication to
219 highlight the new evidence from the LEAP study regarding potential benefits of early, rather than
220 delayed, peanut introduction during the period of complementary food introduction to prevent peanut
221 allergy in high risk infants¹. ASCIA updated their infant feeding advice in March 2016 to incorporate
222 the findings of the LEAP study^{13, 20}. The ASCIA guidelines actively promote the introduction of
223 common allergens into the infant's diet (from 4 to 6 months of age) as opposed to delayed
224 introduction. The 2015 revision of the 2012 NHMRC infant feeding guidelines align with this, stating
225 that delaying introduction of solid foods, including allergenic foods after the age of 6 months may
226 increase the risk of allergy¹². In May 2016 ASCIA infant feeding advice and ASCIA guidelines for
227 allergy prevention were merged and condensed into new ASCIA guidelines for infant feeding and
228 allergy prevention, which include the consensus statements from the Australian Infant Feeding Summit.

229

230 **Timing of Introduction to Solids: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection of the evidence**
231 **base:**

232 The differing wording of the NHMRC guidelines and ASCIA advice was leading to varied advice for
233 the recommended timing to start solid foods when translated into consumer material. There was
234 consensus that the intent of the ‘from 4 to 6 month’ wording of the March 2016 ASCIA advice was to
235 encourage parents to start solid foods when their infant is developmentally ready. The term ‘at around 6
236 months is also used’.

237 Participants agreed that a statement advising against introduction of solid foods before 4 months of age
238 should be included in the consensus wording. In addition to increased risk of allergy ^{8, 21},
239 complementary feeding prior to 4 months of age is associated with increased risk of obesity ²² and
240 gastrointestinal disorders ⁸.

241 **Reiteration of previous consensus:**

242 Indicators of developmental readiness for solid foods should be clearly communicated in statements
243 related to commencing solid foods. Infants should be exposed to a variety of solid foods, for nutrient
244 diversity, development of taste preferences, and education regarding different textures of foods ²³. Iron
245 rich foods should be amongst the first foods offered, as iron deficiency disorders are a major health
246 issue ¹². Breastfeeding is encouraged during the complementary feeding period because of its nutrient
247 profile, beneficial effect on the gut microbiome, protection from infection, and longer-term benefits to
248 maternal health ²⁴.

249 **Optimal exposure to allergens: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection of the evidence**
250 **base:**

251 The cornerstone of the change in approach is high level, RCT based evidence that inclusion of allergens
252 (peanuts) in the diet during the first year of life reduces the risk of food allergy. Summit participants
253 agreed that common allergens (such as peanut, egg, wheat, and cow’s milk) should be regularly included
254 in an infant’s diet during the first year of life. This is consistent with the NHMRC 2012 infant feeding
255 guidelines ¹², and consistent with WHO recommendations ²⁵ encouraging food diversity in the weaning

256 diet. It was agreed that practical guidelines on appropriate textures of infant foods are required
257 (specifically use of nut meal or paste rather than whole nuts in the early diet to reduce the risk of
258 choking). Issues related to up-skilling of the workforce responsible for providing information to
259 consumers related to infant feeding were discussed.

260 Practical and economic issues were noted if allergy screening was to be recommended for infants at
261 higher risk of food allergies (including infants with severe eczema and / or diagnosed egg allergy) was
262 to be recommended.

263 The HealthNuts study identified that families at high risk of developing food allergy are less likely to
264 introduce egg and peanut into their infant's diets compared with low risk families²⁶. Potential means of
265 targeting families that are more likely to benefit from early introduction of allergens were discussed,
266 including education at diagnosis.

267 **Agreed Knowledge gaps:**

- 268 • There is little evidence related to timing of exposure to allergens for infants with food allergies
269 other than egg and peanut. However, egg and peanut comprise the most common allergies at 12
270 months of age.
- 271 • We do not know if there is any additional benefit from early (between 4-6 months), compared with
272 later (from 6 months, before 12 months) introduction of allergenic solid foods. It is also unknown
273 if introduction of allergens whilst breastfeeding is beneficial.
- 274 • There is insufficient evidence to know if the current recommendations apply differently to preterm
275 infants.
- 276 • It was acknowledged that it is unlikely that RCTs on all allergenic foods, on infant populations at
277 both high and low risk of allergic disease will be conducted due to financial and logistical reasons.

278

279 **2. Breastfeeding**

280 The health benefits of breastfeeding are reviewed in the 2016 Lancet breastfeeding series²⁴, and by the
281 European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) Committee

282 on Nutrition ²⁷. Breastfeeding is the first and most important feeding exposure, and facilitates the
283 establishment of the infant gut microbiome by providing breastmilk microbiota, immunomodulatory
284 factors, and oligosaccharides ²⁸. While there is insufficient evidence to state that breastfeeding prevents
285 allergies ²⁹, it is universally promoted in both developing and developed countries as it conveys other
286 important benefits to both the mother and the child ^{12, 24, 30}. Recommendations related to length of
287 exclusive breastfeeding are closely linked to recommendations regarding timing of complementary
288 foods (Table 1). Breastfeeding should be continued in addition to complementary feeding to 12 months
289 and beyond, for as long as the mother and child desire ^{12, 24, 30}. Statements from WHO, NHRMC and
290 ASCIA related to breastfeeding are summarised in Table 2.

291 **Breastfeeding: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection of the evidence base:**

292 There was overwhelming agreement amongst stakeholders that breastfeeding is important for babies
293 and mothers. Guidelines should aim to minimise food allergies, whilst ensuring that the mother and
294 child do not miss the other health benefits of breastfeeding. Whilst it may not reduce allergy risk,
295 ongoing breastfeeding while solid foods are introduced should be encouraged. It was acknowledged
296 that infants who have been exclusively breast-feed for 6 months may still develop food allergies.

297 **Knowledge gaps:**

298 The true prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding in Australia is not known, due to recall bias and lack of
299 systematic documentation of supplementing breast feeds with infant formula in the early neonatal
300 period. In the Australasian context it is unclear whether there is any health benefit obtained from
301 exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months as compared to continuing breastfeeding with introduction of
302 foods from 4-6 months of age.

303

304 **3. Use of Breastmilk Substitutes for Allergy Prevention**

305 Breastmilk substitutes are used on cessation of established breastfeeding, earlier if mothers do not
306 breast feed, or as mixed feeding where the infant receives both breastmilk and infant formula feeds.

307 Statements from WHO, NHRMC and ASCIA related to use of breastmilk substitutes are summarised
308 in Table 3.

309 The quality of breastmilk substitutes and their ability to play a role in optimal health and disease
310 prevention is of intense commercial and public health interest. Breastmilk substitutes cannot replicate
311 the complex and adaptive constituents of breastmilk and the benefits of breastfeeding for mothers or
312 infants^{12, 24}. For prevention of allergy, there is insufficient evidence to recommend use of soy-based
313 formulas, formulas containing long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, or formulas that contain
314 prebiotics or probiotics compared to standard cow's milk based infant formula. Until recently, infant
315 feeding guidelines in Europe, America, and Australasia³¹⁻³³ supported the use of hydrolysed "HA"
316 formulas for non-breastfed infants in place of standard cows' milk formula if the infant has a family
317 history of allergy. A recent systematic review by Boyle et al³⁴ investigated whether hydrolysed cows'
318 milk formulas can prevent allergic or autoimmune disease. This review found "no consistent evidence
319 that partially or extensively hydrolysed formulas reduce risk of allergic or autoimmune outcomes" and
320 this is now reflected in the most recent ASCIA recent guidelines.

321 **Breastmilk Substitutes to Reduce Allergy Risk: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection**
322 **of the evidence base:**

323 Stakeholders agreed with the May 2016 ASCIA statement regarding the use of partially hydrolysed 'HA'
324 formulas for the prevention of food allergy. Differences in methodology between the Boyle meta-
325 analysis³⁴ and the protocols for Cochrane systematic review were discussed, as was the need for
326 corrections for bias, due to industry sponsorship of research into hydrolysed infant formula.

327 **Knowledge gaps:** none identified during the summit.

328

329 **Discussion:**

330 **Summary of the 2016 Australian Infant Feeding Summit Consensus Agreement**

331 The Summit resulted in the following consensus agreement on infant feeding advice across all partner
332 participants:

- 333 1. When your infant is ready, at around 6 months, but not before 4 months, start to introduce a variety
334 of solid foods, starting with iron rich foods, while continuing breastfeeding.
- 335 2. All infants should be given allergenic solid foods including peanut butter, cooked egg, dairy and
336 wheat products in the first year of life. This includes infants at high risk of allergy.
- 337 3. Hydrolysed (partially or extensively) infant formula is not recommended for prevention of allergic
338 disease.

339 This advice is consistent with the NHMRC Infant Feeding Guidelines, which aim to provide advice on
340 infant feeding for the whole population to achieve a variety of health outcomes (not just allergy
341 prevention). The essential changes of expanding the timing of introduction of solid foods to ‘around 6
342 months and not before 4 months’ ensures alignment with current NHMRC guidelines, and ongoing
343 consistency with the WHO feeding guidelines. Allergenic solids were recommended to be included in
344 the first year as we are awaiting further RCT based evidence about the exact window of opportunity for
345 introduction to allergenic solids other than peanuts. However, observational data supports the risk of
346 delaying exposure to common allergens beyond 12 months for other foods such as egg, wheat, and
347 cow’s milk. Furthermore, the LEAP trial assessed introduction of peanuts between 4 to 11 months and
348 a narrower window has not been defined. After the Summit, other RCTs examining the timing of
349 introduction of allergenic foods have been published, including studies examining egg³⁶⁻³⁸. The reversal
350 of recommendations regarding the use of hydrolysed formula for allergy prevention was largely based
351 on a recent systematic review and meta-analysis³⁴ which demonstrated no role for partially or
352 extensively hydrolyzed formula related to the prevention of food allergy or early onset allergic disease.

353 **Translation of The Summit Outcomes**

354 It is important to provide consistent wording in consumer material and policy documents across both
355 national and state health bodies to ensure guidelines are clear and easy for all health professionals,

356 parents and caregivers to follow. It is essential that messages are targeted correctly to address potential
357 barriers. Barriers to dissemination and uptake will need to be identified to ensure that parents of high-
358 risk infants are aware of and receive the necessary support to be able to follow this advice.

359 Short-term knowledge translation activities from the Summit include the dissemination of the
360 consensus wording to stakeholder organisations to facilitate updating of existing educational material.
361 Standardised Power Point presentation packages for health education staff have been developed, and an
362 ‘infographic’ with the main messages for consumers has been developed for newsletters and on social
363 media platforms. The ASCIA infant feeding advice has been updated to be consistent with the
364 consensus wording ²⁰, and a practical guide to introducing solid foods for infants at risk of allergy has
365 been developed ³⁹.

366 **Concluding Statement:**

367 The 2016 Australian Infant Feeding Summit produced consistent, agreed recommendations
368 representing the shared current evidenced-based views of consumers, federal and state government
369 agencies, health care professionals, industry, and researchers.

370 Australian infant feeding guidelines continue to consistently recommend breastfeeding for its benefits
371 for both the mother and child. There have been recent changes to remove previous advice regarding
372 the potential benefit of partially hydrolysed formula for allergy prevention.

373 Regarding timing and type of first foods, there is much commonality in the NHMRC and ASCIA infant
374 feeding guidelines. However, following the release of new evidence in 2015, it is recommended that
375 common allergens (specifically peanut) should be incorporated into an infant’s diet within the first year
376 to reduce the risk of food allergy. It will be important to ensure that those involved in providing infant
377 feeding advice to parents are aware of these changes and the reasons for the changes. They should be
378 provided with clear and concise advice to share with parents and caregivers.

379

380

381 **Acknowledgement:**

382 **Australian Infant Feeding Summit Consensus Group:** Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine;
383 Australian College of Midwives (Helen Watson); Australian Breastfeeding Association; Allergy &
384 Anaphylaxis Australia (Maria Said, Sally Voukelatos, Dr Wendy Norton, Jody Aitken); ASCIA (Jill
385 Smith, Sandra Vale); ASCIA Paediatric Committee (A/Prof Di Campbell); ASCIA Dietitian Committee
386 (Ingrid Roche); Australasian College of Dermatologists; Dietitians Association of Australia (Evelyn
387 Volders); Infant Nutrition Council; Lactation Consultants Australia & New Zealand; National Asthma
388 Council Australia; NHMRC Infant Feeding Sub Committee (Prof Amanda Lee, Rosemary Stanton,
389 Prof Colin Binns); NHMRC: Public Health (Cathy Connor); National Allergy Strategy (A/Prof Richard
390 Loh); Victorian Association of Maternal & Child Health Nurses (Bernice Boland, Maree Adams);
391 Public Health Association of Australia; Raising Children Network; State Health Departments: [ACT
392 Health (Clare Klimes); Queensland Health; Tasmania Health]; Researchers and Research Groups:
393 CFAR NHMRC CRE (Prof Katie Allen, Prof Susan Prescott, Prof Anne-Louise Ponsonby, A/Prof
394 Michael Gold, Dr Jennifer Koplun, Vicki McWilliam), FoodPlus NHMRC CRE (Prof Maria Makrides,
395 Dr Merryn Netting); Children's Nutrition Research Centre, University of Queensland (Prof Peter
396 Davies; Kathy Beck); University of Western Australia (Dr Debra Palmer); A/Prof John Sinn; A/Prof
397 Matthew Greenhawt, A/Prof Pamela Gurreiro.

398

399 REFERENCES

- 400 1. Fleischer DM, Sicherer S, Greenhawt M, Campbell D, Chan E, Muraro A, et al. Consensus
401 communication on early peanut introduction and the prevention of peanut allergy in high-risk
402 infants. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2015; 136:258-61;
403 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.06.001
- 404 2. Koplin JJ, Allen KJ. Optimal timing for solids introduction - why are the guidelines always
405 changing? *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2013; 43:826-34; 10.1111/cea.12090
- 406 3. Grimshaw KE, Allen K, Edwards CA, Beyer K, Boulay A, van der Aa LB, et al. Infant feeding
407 and allergy prevention: a review of current knowledge and recommendations. A EuroPrevall
408 state of the art paper. *Allergy* 2009; 64:1407-16; 10.1111/j.1398-9995.2009.02172.x
- 409 4. Fiocchi A, Assa'ad A, Bahna S, Adverse Reactions to Foods C, American College of Allergy A,
410 Immunology. Food allergy and the introduction of solid foods to infants: a consensus
411 document. Adverse Reactions to Foods Committee, American College of Allergy, Asthma and
412 Immunology. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology* 2006; 97:10-20; quiz 1, 77;
413 10.1016/S1081-1206(10)61364-6
- 414 5. American Academy of Pediatrics. Committee on Nutrition. Hypoallergenic infant formulas.
415 *Pediatrics* 2000; 106:346-9;
- 416 6. NHMRC. Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents in Australia. Canberra: National
417 Health and Medical Research Council; 2003.
- 418 7. Greer FR, Sicherer SH, Burks AW, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on N,
419 American Academy of Pediatrics Section on A, Immunology. Effects of early nutritional
420 interventions on the development of atopic disease in infants and children: the role of maternal
421 dietary restriction, breastfeeding, timing of introduction of complementary foods, and
422 hydrolyzed formulas. *Pediatrics* 2008; 121:183-91; 10.1542/peds.2007-3022
- 423 8. Agostoni C, Decsi T, Fewtrell M, Goulet O, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, et al. Complementary
424 feeding: a commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. *Journal of Pediatric
425 Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2008; 46:99-110; 10.1097/01.mpg.0000304464.60788.bd

- 426 9. Prescott SL, Tang ML, Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy. The
427 Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy position statement: Summary of
428 allergy prevention in children. *The Medical Journal of Australia* 2005; 182:464-7;
- 429 10. Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, Bahnson HT, Radulovic S, Santos AF, et al. Randomized trial
430 of peanut consumption in infants at risk for peanut allergy. *The New England Journal of*
431 *Medicine* 2015; 372:803-13; 10.1056/NEJMoa1414850
- 432 11. Osborne N, Gurrin L, Koplin J, Martin P, Robinson M, Slaa M, et al. Prevalence of food
433 challenge: Confirmed food allergies in a large pediatric population based study in Melbourne,
434 Australia. *Allergy* 2010; 65:375; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2010.02393.x>
- 435 12. NHMRC. *Infant Feeding Guidelines*. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council;
436 2012.
- 437 13. ASCIA Infant Feeding Advice [http://www.allergy.org.au/health-professionals/papers/ascia-](http://www.allergy.org.au/health-professionals/papers/ascia-infant-feeding-advice)
438 [infant-feeding-advice](http://www.allergy.org.au/health-professionals/papers/ascia-infant-feeding-advice); 2016.].
- 439 14. Lodge CJ, Allen KJ, Lowe AJ, Dharmage SC. Overview of evidence in prevention and aetiology
440 of food allergy: a review of systematic reviews. *International Journal of Environmental*
441 *Research Public Health* 2013; 10:5781-806; 10.3390/ijerph10115781
- 442 15. Ierodiakonou D, Garcia-Larsen V, Logan A, Groome A, Cunha S, Chivinge J, et al. Timing of
443 Allergenic Food Introduction to the Infant Diet and Risk of Allergic or Autoimmune Disease:
444 A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2016;
445 316:1181-92; 10.1001/jama.2016.12623
- 446 16. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. 2010 Australian National Infant Feeding Survey:
447 indicator results. Canberra: AIHW; 2011.
- 448 17. UNICEF W. *Global strategy for infant and young child feeding*. Geneva, World Health
449 Organization, 2003. . Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.
- 450 18. Perkin MR, Logan K, Tseng A, Raji B, Ayis S, Peacock J, et al. Randomized Trial of
451 Introduction of Allergenic Foods in Breast-Fed Infants. *The New England Journal of Medicine*
452 2016; 10.1056/NEJMoa1514210

- 453 19. Perkin MR, Logan K, Marrs T, Radulovic S, Craven J, Flohr C, et al. Enquiring About
454 Tolerance (EAT) study: Feasibility of an early allergenic food introduction regimen. *The Journal*
455 *of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.12.1322
- 456 20. ASCIA Guidelines for Allergy Prevention in Infants 2016.
457 <http://www.allergy.org.au/patients/allergy-prevention: ASCIA; 2016>.
- 458 21. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ. Early solid food diet and eczema in childhood: a 10-year
459 longitudinal study. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 1994; 5:44-7;
- 460 22. Sun C, Foskey RJ, Allen KJ, Dharmage SC, Koplin JJ, Ponsonby AL, et al. The Impact of
461 Timing of Introduction of Solids on Infant Body Mass Index. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2016;
462 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.08.064
- 463 23. Green RJ, Samy G, Miqdady MS, Salah M, Sleiman R, Abdelrahman HM, et al. How to
464 Improve Eating Behaviour during Early Childhood. *Pediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and*
465 *Nutrition* 2015; 18:1-9; 10.5223/pghn.2015.18.1.1
- 466 24. Victoria CG, Bahle R, Barros AJD, Franca VA, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al. Breastfeeding in the
467 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *The Lancet* 2016; 387:475-90;
468 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01024-7
- 469 25. WHO. Infant and young child feeding Fact Sheet N°342 Updated January 2016. World Health
470 Organization, 2016.
- 471 26. Tey D, Allen KJ, Peters RL, Koplin JJ, Tang ML, Gurrin LC, et al. Population response to
472 change in infant feeding guidelines for allergy prevention. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical*
473 *Immunology* 2014; 133:476-84; 10.1016/j.jaci.2013.11.019
- 474 27. Agostoni C, Braegger C, Decxi T, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, Fleischer Michaelsen K, et al. Breast-
475 feeding: A Commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. *Journal of Pediatric*
476 *Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2009; 49:112-25; 10.1097/MPG.0b013e31819f1e05
- 477 28. Bode L, McGuire M, Rodriguez JM, Geddes D'T, Hassiotou F, Hartmann PE, et al. It's Alive:
478 Microbes and Cells in Human Milk and Their Potential Benefits to Mother and Infant.
479 *Advances in Nutrition* 2014; 5:571-3; 10.3945/an.114.006643

- 480 29. Lodge CJ, Tan DJ, Lau MX, Dai X, Tham R, Lowe AJ, et al. Breastfeeding and asthma and
481 allergies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatrica* 2015; 104:38-53;
482 10.1111/apa.13132
- 483 30. National Health and Medical Research Council. *Australian Dietary Guidelines*. Canberra; 2013.
- 484 31. Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy. *ASCIA Infant feeding advice*. 2010.
- 485 32. Muraro A, Halken S, Arshad SH, Beyer K, Dubois AE, Du Toit G, et al. EAACI food allergy
486 and anaphylaxis guidelines. Primary prevention of food allergy. *Allergy* 2014; 69:590-601;
487 10.1111/all.12398
- 488 33. Fleischer DM, Spergel JM, Assa'ad AH, Pongracic JA. Primary prevention of allergic disease
489 through nutritional interventions. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology in Practice* 2013;
490 1:29-36; 10.1016/j.jaip.2012.09.003
- 491 34. Boyle RJ, Ierodiakonou D, Khan T, Chivinge J, Robinson Z, Geoghegan N, et al. Hydrolysed
492 formula and risk of allergic or autoimmune disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *British*
493 *Medical Journal* 2016; 352:i974; 10.1136/bmj.i974
- 494 35. WHO. *Infant and young child feeding : model chapter for textbooks for medical students and*
495 *allied health professionals. : World Health Organisation; 2009.*
- 496 36. Palmer DJ, Sullivan TR, Gold MS, Prescott SL, Makrides M. Randomized controlled trial of
497 early regular egg intake to prevent egg allergy. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*
498 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.06.052
- 499 37. Bellach J, Schwarz V, Ahrens B, Trendelenburg V, Aksunger O, Kalb B, et al. Randomized
500 placebo-controlled trial of hen's egg consumption for primary prevention in infants. *Journal of*
501 *Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.06.045
- 502 38. Tan JW, Valerio C, Barnes EH, Turner PJ, Van Asperen PA, Kakakios AM, et al. A
503 randomized trial of egg introduction from 4 months of age in infants at risk for egg allergy. *The*
504 *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.08.035

- 505 39. ASCIA Information on how to introduce solid foods to infants.
506 https://www.allergy.org.au/images/pcc/ASCIA_PCC_How_to_introduce_solid_foods_FINA
507 [L.pdf](#); 2016.].

508

1 **AN AUSTRALIAN CONSENSUS ON INFANT FEEDING GUIDELINES TO PREVENT**
2 **FOOD ALLERGY: OUTCOMES FROM THE AUSTRALIAN INFANT FEEDING**
3 **SUMMIT**

4 **Authors:** Merryn J Netting BSc, BND, PhD^{1,2}, Dianne E Campbell MBBS, FRACP, PhD^{3,4},
5 Jennifer J Koplitz PhD⁵, Kathy M Beck BSc Grad Dip Nut Diet MHSc⁶, Vicki McWilliam BSc
6 MND^{5,7,8}, Shyamali C Dharmage MBBS, MSc, MD, PhD⁹, Mimi L.K Tang FRACP, PhD^{7,10},
7 Anne-Louise Ponsonby MD, PhD⁸, Susan L. Prescott MBBS, PhD, FRACP¹¹, Sandra Vale
8 BSc (Nut)¹², Richard K.S Loh FRACP¹³, Maria Makrides BSc, BND, PhD, FNSA, FAHMS^{1,2}
9 and Katrina J Allen MBBS, BMed Sci, FRACP, PhD^{5,7,8,14}, on behalf of the Centre for Food
10 and Allergy Research, the Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy, the
11 National Allergy Strategy, and the Australian Infant Feeding Summit Consensus Group.

- 12 1. South Australian Healthy and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.
- 13 2. Discipline of Paediatrics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.
- 14 3. Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
- 15 4. Child and Adolescent Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- 16 5. Centre of Food and Allergy Research, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville,
17 Victoria, Australia.
- 18 6. Children's Nutrition Research Centre, School of Medicine, The University of Queensland,
19 South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.
- 20 7. Department of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville,
21 Victoria, Australia.
- 22 8. Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.
- 23 9. Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The
24 University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.
- 25 10. Department of Gastroenterology and Clinical Nutrition, Royal Children's Hospital,
26 Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

27 11. School of Paediatrics and Child Health and Telethon KIDS Institute, Princess Margaret
28 Hospital, University of Western Australia, Perth WA, Australia.

29 12. National Allergy Strategy.

30 13. Princess Margaret Hospital, University of Western Australia, Perth WA, Australia

31 14. Institute of Inflammation and Repair, University of Manchester, Manchester, United
32 Kingdom.

33 **Corresponding Author:** Prof. Katrina. J. Allen, Murdoch Children's Research Institute. The
34 Royal Children's Hospital, 50 Flemington Road, Parkville 3052 Victoria, Australia. Phone: 61 3
35 9936 6752 Email: katrina.allen@mcri.edu.au

36

37 **Funding:** This work was supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research
38 Council (NHMRC) of Australia.

39 The study sponsors had no involvement in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of
40 data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication.

41 Abstract

42 Background: Infant feeding in the first postnatal year of life has an important role in an infant's risk of
43 developing food allergy. Consumer infant feeding advice is diverse and lacks consistency.

44 Aim: The Australian Infant Feeding Summit was held with the aim of achieving national consensus on
45 the wording guidelines for infant feeding and allergy prevention.

46 Methods: Two meetings were hosted by the Centre for Food and Allergy Research, the Australasian
47 Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy and the Australian National Allergy Strategy. The first
48 meeting of 30 allergy researchers, clinicians and consumers assessed the evidence. The second
49 consensus meeting involved 46 expert stakeholders including State and Federal health care agencies,
50 consumers and experts in allergy, infant feeding, and population health.

51 Results: Partner stake holders agreed on consensus wording for infant feeding advice:

52 1. When your infant is ready, at around 6 months, but not before 4 months, start to introduce a variety
53 of solid foods, starting with iron rich foods, while continuing breastfeeding.

54 2. All infants should be given allergenic solid foods including peanut butter, cooked egg, dairy and
55 wheat products in the first year of life. This includes infants at high risk of allergy.

56 3. Hydrolysed (partially or extensively) infant formula are not recommended for the prevention of
57 allergic disease.

58 Conclusion. Consensus was achieved in a context where there is a high prevalence of food allergy.

59 Guidelines for other countries are being updated. Provision of consistent wording related to infant
60 feeding to reduce food allergy risk will ensure clear consumer advice.

61 Key Words

62 Infant feeding, clinical guidelines, paediatric food allergy, evidence-based research, knowledge
63 translation, health education

64

65 **Abbreviations used**

66 RCTs: Randomised controlled trials

67 NHMRC: National Health and Medical Research Council

68 ASCIA: Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy

69 CFAR: Centre for Food and Allergy Research

70 A&AA: Allergy & Anaphylaxis Australia

71 WHO: World Health Organisation

72

73 **Highlights**

74 **1.** What is already known about this topic?

- 75 • Infant feeding in the first postnatal year of life plays an important role in the risk of
- 76 developing food allergy.
- 77 • Infant feeding guidelines now actively promote inclusion of common allergens in the
- 78 early life diet.

79 **2.** What does this article add to our knowledge?

- 80 • We carefully evaluated the synthesized evidence as part of the process of developing
- 81 consensus Australian infant feeding guidelines to prevent food allergy.
- 82 • Involving a range of key stakeholders will ensure infant feeding advice reaches a wide
- 83 consumer audience.

84 **3.** How does this study impact on current management guidelines?

- 85 • Consumers access a range of infant feeding advice that may be contradictory.
- 86 • Use of consensus wording related to infant feeding to reduce food allergy risk will
- 87 ensure clear and consistent consumer advice which may improve uptake.

88 Introduction

89 Internationally there has been a rise in the prevalence of atopic disease, particularly food allergy. The
90 increase has occurred within one generation - too rapidly to be solely due to genetic factors alone.
91 Environmental influences, including the timing and nature of dietary exposures to specific nutrients and
92 allergens in food, are considered to play a role in the development of the immune system and the early
93 onset of allergic disease – particularly food allergy. In response to high level evidence supporting early
94 introduction of allergens, particularly peanut into the diet to reduce the risk of childhood food allergy,
95 the US has recently released interim infant feeding guidelines ¹, and updated guidelines are soon to be
96 released. It is important that evidence underpins all infant feeding recommendations for food allergy
97 prevention, however, infant feeding guidelines will need to be individualised to fit each country's
98 context, as each country has differing allergy prevalence rates and different health care systems.

99 There is increasing evidence that the way infants are fed in the first postnatal year of life has an
100 important role to play in their risk of developing food allergy and this evidence base has changed
101 significantly in the past 10 years ^{2,3}. Avoidance of allergenic solids such as peanut, egg and cow's milk
102 for at least the first 12 months of life was recommended from the 1990s (in a bid to curb the new and
103 rising rates of food allergy) and featured in most clinical allergy society recommendations around the
104 world ^{4,6}. From 2005 onwards, recommendations began to change, based firstly on observational cohort
105 studies which suggested that delayed introduction of allergenic foods was not associated with reduced
106 food allergy ⁷⁻⁹. Over the last two years these data are now supported by a series of well conducted
107 randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Most notably, the LEAP study reported that delaying the
108 introduction of peanut (5 years vs 4-11 months) significantly increased peanut allergy risk in infants
109 with early onset eczema and/or egg allergy ¹⁰. Thus, delaying the introduction of peanut past 11 months
110 in infants at high risk of food allergy (with eczema and egg allergy) is now considered to be associated
111 with increased risk of peanut allergy ^{1, 10}. Since the rise in allergic disease has occurred across the
112 population and not just in high-risk individuals there has now been a call by experts to implement
113 changes to infant feeding guidelines for all infants immediately based on this study ¹.

114 Australia has one of the highest incidences of atopic disease, including food allergy in the world ¹¹.
115 However currently in Australia, there is diverse and sometimes conflicting infant feeding advice relating
116 to the timing of solids and the types of foods to introduce. The reasons for this are multifactorial. In
117 Australia the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) is responsible for development
118 and publication of evidence-based infant feeding guidelines for the whole population. The NHMRC
119 Infant Feeding Guidelines were updated in 2012, with some minor revisions in 2015 ¹². Specific infant
120 feeding advice focussed on the prevention of food allergies was first published by the Australasian
121 Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy (ASCIA) in 2008, and was updated in 2010 and then May
122 2016 ¹³. Confusion has arisen because infant feeding guidelines are utilised and interpreted in varying
123 ways by state health authorities and consumer organisations responsible for writing health educational
124 materials, and this has not always been co-ordinated. As a result, the recommendations included in
125 consumer education material vary widely in their wording about the timing of introduction to solid
126 foods and when allergenic foods should be introduced into the diet. In addition, there are recognised
127 knowledge gaps in some key elements of infant feeding practices directed at primary prevention of food
128 allergy, which lead to differences in interpretation of existing evidence.

129

130 **Methods:**

131 **Lead up to the 2016 Australian Infant Feeding Consensus Guidelines Summit**

132 The Centre for Food and Allergy Research (CFAR) is a NHMRC funded Centre for Research
133 Excellence. CFAR's goals include the synthesis and dissemination of evidence-based research for the
134 development of clinical guidelines and improved public health policy for the prevention of food allergy.
135 The infant feeding consensus guidelines were developed in two phases; an initial infant feeding
136 roundtable co-convened with ASCIA in August 2015; and the May 2016 infant feeding summit
137 specifically designed to engage a wider of stakeholder group.

138 **Phase 1: 2015 Infant Feeding Round Table.**

139 *Aim:* The Infant Feeding Round Table aimed to summarise the research on infant feeding and risk of
140 developing early onset allergic disease (including eczema and food allergy) to determine the current
141 level of evidence to recommend changes to the ASCIA and NHMRC infant feeding guidelines.

142 *Participants and structure of the 2015 Infant Feeding Round Table:* Over 30 researchers and clinicians who were
143 members of CFAR and ASCIA, along with representatives from the national consumer group Allergy
144 & Anaphylaxis Australia (A&AA) attended the Round Table. Attendees were provided with
145 background readings, including systematic reviews to prepare for a series of presentations reviewing the
146 evidence related to early feeding and allergy development pertaining to breastfeeding, use of partially
147 hydrolysed formula, introduction of solid foods, allergy screening in high-risk infants and use of
148 perinatal supplements for the infant. After each presentation there were targeted discussions related to
149 the evidence presented.

150 *Outcome:* Based on the 2015 Round Table, and further deliberations, ASCIA guidelines for infant
151 feeding and allergy prevention were revised and released in May 2016. The research evidence
152 summaries developed for the 2015 Round Table were further synthesised to prepare the background
153 paper for participants at the 2016 Infant Feeding Summit.

154

155 **Phase 2: 2016 Infant Feeding Summit**

156 *Aim:* The Australian Infant Feeding Summit was convened by CFAR with the express aim of achieving
157 Australian consensus on the wording of infant feeding guidelines across all State and Federal
158 jurisdiction and across the full spectrum of health care information provision.

159 *Participants:* In partnership with ASCIA and the National Allergy Strategy, a broad set of stakeholders
160 with an interest, expertise and experience in infant feeding was identified and invited to attend the
161 Summit. Representation was sought from State and Federal Health care agencies including the
162 NHMRC, expert specialist bodies (including Royal Australian College of Physicians, Royal Australian
163 College of General Practitioners, the Dietitians Association of Australia and Lactation Consultants
164 Australia and New Zealand), consumer groups (Australian Breastfeeding Association), patient advocacy
165 and support groups (A&AA) and experts in the field of infant feeding and food allergy.

166 *Structure of the 2016 Infant Feeding Summit:* The Summit was hosted in May 2016 at the Royal Children's
167 Hospital campus, Melbourne. The background document based on the outcomes of the 2015
168 CFAR/ASCIA Round Table was circulated for pre-comment prior to the Summit and these comments
169 (tabulated prior to the meeting) informed the basis of much of the Summit's discussions. An
170 independent expert facilitator led discussions to ensure fair representation of the views of all
171 participating groups. Presentations were delivered by both ASCIA and NHMRC about their guideline
172 development process. An audit of infant feeding advice written for Australian consumers was also
173 presented (by CFAR) to illustrate how the guidelines are translated into a range of health education
174 materials. Three main issues relevant to development of food allergies were discussed: 1) breastfeeding,
175 2) use of breastmilk substitutes, and 3) timing and types of solid foods. The Summit's first open
176 discussion offered the opportunity for stakeholders to discuss CFAR's consensus recommendations on
177 these key issues and consider divergent opinions. The second open discussion focused on how to
178 manage change and respond to new research evidence that is continually evolving. The third Summit
179 discussion addressed knowledge translation and the need for consumer-friendly information, effective
180 dissemination using existing channels and the harmonisation of messages to providers and consumers.

181 The workshop concluded with development of consensus statement on infant feeding. The discussions
182 and consensus on each of the three main issues are outlined below.

183 **Results: A Review of the Past, New Evidence, and Consensus**

184 **1. Timing of Introduction to Solid Foods and Optimal Exposure to Allergens**

185 Complementary feeding (or ‘introduction to solid foods’) refers to the addition of foods other than
186 breastmilk or infant formula into an infant’s diet. The issues specific to prevention of food allergy relate
187 to timing of starting solid foods and exposure to common allergens^{2,3,14,15}. World Health Organisation
188 (WHO), NHRMC and ASCIA recommendations at the time of the summit are summarised in Table 1.

189 Timing of introduction to solid foods: At around 6 months of age, stores of iron and other nutrients
190 laid down during pregnancy begin to reduce and infants show developmental signs that they are ready
191 to consume more than breastmilk alone. There are differences in the wording of recommended timing
192 to introduce solid foods: The WHO¹⁷ recommend introduction to solid foods *from* 6 months, whereas
193 the NHMRC¹² recommends solid foods *at around* 6 months of age. The March 2016 revision of the
194 ASCIA¹³ guidelines recommended introduction to solid foods *from 4 to 6 months of age, when the infant is*
195 *developmentally ready to start solid foods*. Despite recommendations to introduce solid foods at around 6
196 months, the 2010 Australian National Infant Feeding Survey reported 35.3% of infants had started
197 solids at 4-5 months and 70.2% by 5-6 months of age¹⁶.

198 Type of foods, including exposure to foods that are common allergens: The WHO encourages
199 introduction of nutritionally adequate and safe foods offered in ways consistent with a child’s signals of
200 appetite, satiety, and developmental needs¹⁷. The NHMRC 2012 infant feeding guidelines recommend
201 that iron rich foods (e.g. iron fortified cereals and pureed meat, poultry or fish) are included amongst
202 the first foods, and advise that no foods or food allergens should be avoided during infancy to prevent
203 allergy development¹². Since these NHMRC 2012 infant feeding guidelines, the results of two
204 significant RCTs have been published. Whilst the results of these trials provide more information and
205 useful details about infant feeding and allergy risk, they do not require any changes to be made to the
206 NHMRC guidelines. The LEAP study compared early (4 to 11 months) with delayed (5 years)

207 introduction to peanut in children at high risk of peanut allergy due to pre-existing eczema and/or egg
208 allergy. In 2015, the study reported an 11 to 25% absolute reduction in the risk of peanut allergy in
209 high-risk infants (and a relative risk reduction of up to 80%) if peanut was introduced between 4 and 11
210 months of age¹⁰. The EAT study¹⁸ was the first RCT testing the effect on subsequent development of
211 allergy of early introduction to solid foods (from 3 months) compared with the UK guidelines of
212 exclusive breastfeeding until introduction to solid foods at around 6 months. In 2016, the study
213 reported no significant difference in food allergy rates in the primary analysis (intention to treat
214 analysis) between the early and standard introduction groups. Additionally, there was no difference in
215 breastfeeding rates at 12 months for individuals in the early introduction group compared with the
216 exclusive breastfeeding group, showing that earlier introduction of allergenic foods did not have an
217 impact on breastfeeding¹⁹.

218 In 2015, ten international allergy and immunology bodies released a joint consensus communication to
219 highlight the new evidence from the LEAP study regarding potential benefits of early, rather than
220 delayed, peanut introduction during the period of complementary food introduction to prevent peanut
221 allergy in high risk infants¹. ASCIA updated their infant feeding advice in March 2016 to incorporate
222 the findings of the LEAP study^{13, 20}. The ASCIA guidelines actively promote the introduction of
223 common allergens into the infant's diet (from 4 to 6 months of age) as opposed to delayed
224 introduction. The 2015 revision of the 2012 NHMRC infant feeding guidelines align with this, stating
225 that delaying introduction of solid foods, including allergenic foods after the age of 6 months may
226 increase the risk of allergy¹². In May 2016 ASCIA infant feeding advice and ASCIA guidelines for
227 allergy prevention were merged and condensed into new ASCIA guidelines for infant feeding and
228 allergy prevention, which include the consensus statements from the Australian Infant Feeding Summit.

229

230 **Timing of Introduction to Solids: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection of the evidence**
231 **base:**

232 The differing wording of the NHMRC guidelines and ASCIA advice was leading to varied advice for
233 the recommended timing to start solid foods when translated into consumer material. There was
234 consensus that the intent of the ‘from 4 to 6 month’ wording of the March 2016 ASCIA advice was to
235 encourage parents to start solid foods when their infant is developmentally ready. The term ‘at around 6
236 months is also used’.

237 Participants agreed that a statement advising against introduction of solid foods before 4 months of age
238 should be included in the consensus wording. In addition to increased risk of allergy ^{8, 21},
239 complementary feeding prior to 4 months of age is associated with increased risk of obesity ²² and
240 gastrointestinal disorders ⁸.

241 **Reiteration of previous consensus:**

242 Indicators of developmental readiness for solid foods should be clearly communicated in statements
243 related to commencing solid foods. Infants should be exposed to a variety of solid foods, for nutrient
244 diversity, development of taste preferences, and education regarding different textures of foods ²³. Iron
245 rich foods should be amongst the first foods offered, as iron deficiency disorders are a major health
246 issue ¹². Breastfeeding is encouraged during the complementary feeding period because of its nutrient
247 profile, beneficial effect on the gut microbiome, protection from infection, and longer-term benefits to
248 maternal health ²⁴.

249 **Optimal exposure to allergens: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection of the evidence**
250 **base:**

251 The cornerstone of the change in approach is high level, RCT based evidence that inclusion of allergens
252 (peanuts) in the diet during the first year of life reduces the risk of food allergy. Summit participants
253 agreed that common allergens (such as peanut, egg, wheat, and cow’s milk) should be regularly included
254 in an infant’s diet during the first year of life. This is consistent with the NHMRC 2012 infant feeding
255 guidelines ¹², and consistent with WHO recommendations ²⁵ encouraging food diversity in the weaning

256 diet. It was agreed that practical guidelines on appropriate textures of infant foods are required
257 (specifically use of nut meal or paste rather than whole nuts in the early diet to reduce the risk of
258 choking). Issues related to up-skilling of the workforce responsible for providing information to
259 consumers related to infant feeding were discussed.

260 Practical and economic issues were noted if allergy screening was to be recommended for infants at
261 higher risk of food allergies (including infants with severe eczema and / or diagnosed egg allergy) was
262 to be recommended.

263 The HealthNuts study identified that families at high risk of developing food allergy are less likely to
264 introduce egg and peanut into their infant's diets compared with low risk families²⁶. Potential means of
265 targeting families that are more likely to benefit from early introduction of allergens were discussed,
266 including education at diagnosis.

267 **Agreed Knowledge gaps:**

- 268 • There is little evidence related to timing of exposure to allergens for infants with food allergies
269 other than egg and peanut. However, egg and peanut comprise the most common allergies at 12
270 months of age.
- 271 • We do not know if there is any additional benefit from early (between 4-6 months), compared with
272 later (from 6 months, before 12 months) introduction of allergenic solid foods. It is also unknown
273 if introduction of allergens whilst breastfeeding is beneficial.
- 274 • There is insufficient evidence to know if the current recommendations apply differently to preterm
275 infants.
- 276 • It was acknowledged that it is unlikely that RCTs on all allergenic foods, on infant populations at
277 both high and low risk of allergic disease will be conducted due to financial and logistical reasons.

278

279

280

281

282 **2. Breastfeeding**

283 The health benefits of breastfeeding are reviewed in the 2016 Lancet breastfeeding series ²⁴, and by the
284 European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) Committee
285 on Nutrition ²⁷. Breastfeeding is the first and most important feeding exposure, and facilitates the
286 establishment of the infant gut microbiome by providing breastmilk microbiota, immunomodulatory
287 factors, and oligosaccharides ²⁸. While there is insufficient evidence to state that breastfeeding prevents
288 allergies ²⁹, it is universally promoted in both developing and developed countries as it conveys other
289 important benefits to both the mother and the child ^{12, 24, 30}. Recommendations related to length of
290 exclusive breastfeeding are closely linked to recommendations regarding timing of complementary
291 foods (Table 1). Breastfeeding should be continued in addition to complementary feeding to 12 months
292 and beyond, for as long as the mother and child desire ^{12, 24, 30}. Statements from WHO, NHRMC and
293 ASCIA related to breastfeeding are summarised in Table 2.

294 **Breastfeeding: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection of the evidence base:**

295 There was overwhelming agreement amongst stakeholders that breastfeeding is important for babies
296 and mothers. Guidelines should aim to minimise food allergies, whilst ensuring that the mother and
297 child do not miss the other health benefits of breastfeeding. Whilst it may not reduce allergy risk,
298 ongoing breastfeeding while solid foods are introduced should be encouraged. It was acknowledged
299 that infants who have been exclusively breast-feed for 6 months may still develop food allergies.

300 **Knowledge gaps:**

301 The true prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding in Australia is not known, due to recall bias and lack of
302 systematic documentation of supplementing breast feeds with infant formula in the early neonatal
303 period. In the Australasian context it is unclear whether there is any health benefit obtained from
304 exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months as compared to continuing breastfeeding with introduction of
305 foods from 4-6 months of age.

306

307 **3. Use of Breastmilk Substitutes for Allergy Prevention**

308 Breastmilk substitutes are used on cessation of established breastfeeding, earlier if mothers do not
309 breast feed, or as mixed feeding where the infant receives both breastmilk and infant formula feeds.
310 Statements from WHO, NHRMC and ASCIA related to use of breastmilk substitutes are summarised
311 in Table 3.

312 The quality of breastmilk substitutes and their ability to play a role in optimal health and disease
313 prevention is of intense commercial and public health interest. Breastmilk substitutes cannot replicate
314 the complex and adaptive constituents of breastmilk and the benefits of breastfeeding for mothers or
315 infants^{12,24}. For prevention of allergy, there is insufficient evidence to recommend use of soy-based
316 formulas, formulas containing long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, or formulas that contain
317 prebiotics or probiotics compared to standard cow's milk based infant formula. Until recently, infant
318 feeding guidelines in Europe, America, and Australasia³¹⁻³³ supported the use of hydrolysed "HA"
319 formulas for non-breastfed infants in place of standard cows' milk formula if the infant has a family
320 history of allergy. A recent systematic review by Boyle et al³⁴ investigated whether hydrolysed cows'
321 milk formulas can prevent allergic or autoimmune disease. This review found "no consistent evidence
322 that partially or extensively hydrolysed formulas reduce risk of allergic or autoimmune outcomes" and
323 this is now reflected in the most recent ASCIA recent guidelines.

324 **Breastmilk Substitutes to Reduce Allergy Risk: Summit discussion points to ensure reflection** 325 **of the evidence base:**

326 Stakeholders agreed with the May 2016 ASCIA statement regarding the use of partially hydrolysed 'HA'
327 formulas for the prevention of food allergy. Differences in methodology between the Boyle meta-
328 analysis³⁴ and the protocols for Cochrane systematic review were discussed, as was the need for
329 corrections for bias, due to industry sponsorship of research into hydrolysed infant formula.

330 **Knowledge gaps:** none identified during the summit.

331

332 **Discussion:**

333 **Summary of the 2016 Australian Infant Feeding Summit Consensus Agreement**

334 The Summit resulted in the following consensus agreement on infant feeding advice across all partner
335 participants:

- 336 1. When your infant is ready, at around 6 months, but not before 4 months, start to introduce a variety
337 of solid foods, starting with iron rich foods, while continuing breastfeeding.
- 338 2. All infants should be given allergenic solid foods including peanut butter, cooked egg, dairy and
339 wheat products in the first year of life. This includes infants at high risk of allergy.
- 340 3. Hydrolysed (partially or extensively) infant formula is not recommended for prevention of allergic
341 disease.

342 This advice is consistent with the NHMRC Infant Feeding Guidelines, which aim to provide advice on
343 infant feeding for the whole population to achieve a variety of health outcomes (not just allergy
344 prevention). The essential changes of expanding the timing of introduction of solid foods to ‘around 6
345 months and not before 4 months’ ensures alignment with current NHMRC guidelines, and ongoing
346 consistency with the WHO feeding guidelines. Allergenic solids were recommended to be included in
347 the first year as we are awaiting further RCT based evidence about the exact window of opportunity for
348 introduction to allergenic solids other than peanuts. However, observational data supports the risk of
349 delaying exposure to common allergens beyond 12 months for other foods such as egg, wheat, and
350 cow’s milk. Furthermore, the LEAP trial assessed introduction of peanuts between 4 to 11 months and
351 a narrower window has not been defined. After the Summit, other RCTs examining the timing of
352 introduction of allergenic foods have been published, including studies examining egg³⁶⁻³⁸. The reversal
353 of recommendations regarding the use of hydrolysed formula for allergy prevention was largely based
354 on a recent systematic review and meta-analysis³⁴ which demonstrated no role for partially or
355 extensively hydrolyzed formula related to the prevention of food allergy or early onset allergic disease.

356

357

358 **Translation of The Summit Outcomes**

359 It is important to provide consistent wording in consumer material and policy documents across both
360 national and state health bodies to ensure guidelines are clear and easy for all health professionals,
361 parents and caregivers to follow. It is essential that messages are targeted correctly to address potential
362 barriers. Barriers to dissemination and uptake will need to be identified to ensure that parents of high-
363 risk infants are aware of and receive the necessary support to be able to follow this advice.

364 Short-term knowledge translation activities from the Summit include the dissemination of the
365 consensus wording to stakeholder organisations to facilitate updating of existing educational material.
366 Standardised Power Point presentation packages for health education staff have been developed, and an
367 ‘infographic’ with the main messages for consumers has been developed for newsletters and on social
368 media platforms. The ASCIA infant feeding advice has been updated to be consistent with the
369 consensus wording ²⁰, and a practical guide to introducing solid foods for infants at risk of allergy has
370 been developed ³⁹.

371 **Concluding Statement:**

372 The 2016 Australian Infant Feeding Summit produced consistent, agreed recommendations
373 representing the shared current evidenced-based views of consumers, federal and state government
374 agencies, health care professionals, industry, and researchers.

375 Australian infant feeding guidelines continue to consistently recommend breastfeeding for its benefits
376 for both the mother and child. There have been recent changes to remove previous advice regarding
377 the potential benefit of partially hydrolysed formula for allergy prevention.

378 Regarding timing and type of first foods, there is much commonality in the NHMRC and ASCIA infant
379 feeding guidelines. However, following the release of new evidence in 2015, it is recommended that
380 common allergens (specifically peanut) should be incorporated into an infant’s diet within the first year
381 to reduce the risk of food allergy. It will be important to ensure that those involved in providing infant
382 feeding advice to parents are aware of these changes and the reasons for the changes. They should be
383 provided with clear and concise advice to share with parents and caregivers.

384 **Acknowledgement:**

385 **Australian Infant Feeding Summit Consensus Group:** Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine;
386 Australian College of Midwives (Helen Watson); Australian Breastfeeding Association; Allergy &
387 Anaphylaxis Australia (Maria Said, Sally Voukelatos, Dr Wendy Norton, Jody Aitken); ASCIA (Jill
388 Smith, Sandra Vale); ASCIA Paediatric Committee (A/Prof Di Campbell); ASCIA Dietitian Committee
389 (Ingrid Roche); Australasian College of Dermatologists; Dietitians Association of Australia (Evelyn
390 Volders); Infant Nutrition Council; Lactation Consultants Australia & New Zealand; National Asthma
391 Council Australia; NHMRC Infant Feeding Sub Committee (Prof Amanda Lee, Rosemary Stanton,
392 Prof Colin Binns); NHMRC: Public Health (Cathy Connor); National Allergy Strategy (A/Prof Richard
393 Loh); Victorian Association of Maternal & Child Health Nurses (Bernice Boland, Maree Adams);
394 Public Health Association of Australia; Raising Children Network; State Health Departments: [ACT
395 Health (Clare Klimes); Queensland Health; Tasmania Health]; Researchers and Research Groups:
396 CFAR NHMRC CRE (Prof Katie Allen, Prof Susan Prescott, Prof Anne-Louise Ponsonby, A/Prof
397 Michael Gold, Dr Jennifer Koplun, Vicki McWilliam), FoodPlus NHMRC CRE (Prof Maria Makrides,
398 Dr Merryn Netting); Children's Nutrition Research Centre, University of Queensland (Prof Peter
399 Davies; Kathy Beck); University of Western Australia (Dr Debra Palmer); A/Prof John Sinn; A/Prof
400 Matthew Greenhawt, A/Prof Pamela Gurreiro.

401

402 REFERENCES

- 403 1. Fleischer DM, Sicherer S, Greenhawt M, Campbell D, Chan E, Muraro A, et al. Consensus
404 communication on early peanut introduction and the prevention of peanut allergy in high-risk
405 infants. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2015; 136:258-61;
406 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.06.001
- 407 2. Koplin JJ, Allen KJ. Optimal timing for solids introduction - why are the guidelines always
408 changing? *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2013; 43:826-34; 10.1111/cea.12090
- 409 3. Grimshaw KE, Allen K, Edwards CA, Beyer K, Boulay A, van der Aa LB, et al. Infant feeding
410 and allergy prevention: a review of current knowledge and recommendations. A EuroPrevall
411 state of the art paper. *Allergy* 2009; 64:1407-16; 10.1111/j.1398-9995.2009.02172.x
- 412 4. Fiocchi A, Assa'ad A, Bahna S, Adverse Reactions to Foods C, American College of Allergy,
413 Asthma and Immunology. Food allergy and the introduction of solid foods to infants: a
414 consensus document. Adverse Reactions to Foods Committee, American College of Allergy,
415 Asthma and Immunology. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology* 2006; 97:10-20;
416 10.1016/S1081-1206(10)61364-6
- 417 5. American Academy of Pediatrics. Committee on Nutrition. Hypoallergenic infant formulas.
418 *Pediatrics* 2000; 106:346-9
- 419 6. NHMRC. Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents in Australia. Canberra: National
420 Health and Medical Research Council; 2003.
- 421 7. Greer FR, Sicherer SH, Burks AW, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Nutrition,
422 and American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Allergy and Immunology. Effects of early
423 nutritional interventions on the development of atopic disease in infants and children: the role
424 of maternal dietary restriction, breastfeeding, timing of introduction of complementary foods,
425 and hydrolyzed formulas. *Pediatrics* 2008; 121:183-91; 10.1542/peds.2007-3022
- 426 8. Agostoni C, Decsi T, Fewtrell M, Goulet O, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, et al. Complementary
427 feeding: a commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. *Journal of Pediatric
428 Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2008; 46:99-110; 10.1097/01.mpg.0000304464.60788.bd

- 429 9. Prescott SL, Tang ML, Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy. The
430 Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy position statement: Summary of
431 allergy prevention in children. *The Medical Journal of Australia* 2005; 182:464-7
- 432 10. Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, Bahnson HT, Radulovic S, Santos AF, et al. Randomized trial
433 of peanut consumption in infants at risk for peanut allergy. *The New England Journal of*
434 *Medicine* 2015; 372:803-13; 10.1056/NEJMoa1414850
- 435 11. Osborne N, Gurrin L, Koplin J, Martin P, Robinson M, Slaa M, et al. Prevalence of food
436 challenge: Confirmed food allergies in a large pediatric population based study in Melbourne,
437 Australia. *Allergy* 2010; 65:375; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2010.02393.x>
- 438 12. NHMRC. Infant Feeding Guidelines. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council;
439 2012
- 440 13. ASCIA Infant Feeding Advice [http://www.allergy.org.au/health-professionals/papers/ascia-](http://www.allergy.org.au/health-professionals/papers/ascia-infant-feeding-advice)
441 [infant-feeding-advice](http://www.allergy.org.au/health-professionals/papers/ascia-infant-feeding-advice); 2016
- 442 14. Lodge CJ, Allen KJ, Lowe AJ, Dharmage SC. Overview of evidence in prevention and aetiology
443 of food allergy: a review of systematic reviews. *International Journal of Environmental*
444 *Research Public Health* 2013; 10:5781-806; 10.3390/ijerph10115781
- 445 15. Ierodiakonou D, Garcia-Larsen V, Logan A, Groome A, Cunha S, Chivinge J, et al. Timing of
446 Allergenic Food Introduction to the Infant Diet and Risk of Allergic or Autoimmune Disease:
447 A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2016;
448 316:1181-92; 10.1001/jama.2016.12623
- 449 16. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. 2010 Australian National Infant Feeding Survey:
450 indicator results. Canberra: AIHW; 2011
- 451 17. UNICEF W. Global strategy for infant and young child feeding. Geneva, World Health
452 Organization, 2003. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003
- 453 18. Perkin MR, Logan K, Tseng A, Raji B, Ayis S, Peacock J, et al. Randomized Trial of
454 Introduction of Allergenic Foods in Breast-Fed Infants. *The New England Journal of Medicine*
455 2016; 10.1056/NEJMoa1514210

- 456 19. Perkin MR, Logan K, Marrs T, Radulovic S, Craven J, Flohr C, et al. Enquiring About
457 Tolerance (EAT) study: Feasibility of an early allergenic food introduction regimen. *The Journal*
458 *of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.12.1322
- 459 20. ASCIA Guidelines for Allergy Prevention in Infants 2016.
460 <http://www.allergy.org.au/patients/allergy-prevention:ASCIA;2016>.
- 461 21. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ. Early solid food diet and eczema in childhood: a 10-year
462 longitudinal study. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 1994; 5:44-7
- 463 22. Sun C, Foskey RJ, Allen KJ, Dharmage SC, Koplin JJ, Ponsonby AL, et al. The Impact of
464 Timing of Introduction of Solids on Infant Body Mass Index. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2016;
465 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.08.064
- 466 23. Green RJ, Samy G, Miqdady MS, Salah M, Sleiman R, Abdelrahman HM, et al. How to
467 Improve Eating Behaviour during Early Childhood. *Pediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and*
468 *Nutrition* 2015; 18:1-9; 10.5223/pghn.2015.18.1.1
- 469 24. Victoria CG, Bahle R, Barros AJD, Franca VA, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al. Breastfeeding in the
470 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *The Lancet* 2016; 387:475-90;
471 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01024-7
- 472 25. WHO. Infant and young child feeding Fact Sheet N°342 Updated January 2016. World Health
473 Organization, 2016
- 474 26. Tey D, Allen KJ, Peters RL, Koplin JJ, Tang ML, Gurrin LC, et al. Population response to
475 change in infant feeding guidelines for allergy prevention. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical*
476 *Immunology* 2014; 133:476-84; 10.1016/j.jaci.2013.11.019
- 477 27. Agostoni C, Braegger C, Decxi T, Kolacek S, Koletzko B, Fleischer Michaelsen K, et al. Breast-
478 feeding: A Commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. *Journal of Pediatric*
479 *Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2009; 49:112-25; 10.1097/MPG.0b013e31819f1e05
- 480 28. Bode L, McGuire M, Rodriguez JM, Geddes DT, Hassiotou F, Hartmann PE, et al. It's Alive:
481 Microbes and Cells in Human Milk and Their Potential Benefits to Mother and Infant.
482 *Advances in Nutrition* 2014; 5:571-3; 10.3945/an.114.006643

- 483 29. Lodge CJ, Tan DJ, Lau MX, Dai X, Tham R, Lowe AJ, et al. Breastfeeding and asthma and
484 allergies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatrica* 2015; 104:38-53;
485 10.1111/apa.13132
- 486 30. National Health and Medical Research Council. *Australian Dietary Guidelines*. Canberra; 2013.
- 487 31. Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy. *ASCIA Infant feeding advice*. 2010.
- 488 32. Muraro A, Halken S, Arshad SH, Beyer K, Dubois AE, Du Toit G, et al. EAACI food allergy
489 and anaphylaxis guidelines. Primary prevention of food allergy. *Allergy* 2014; 69:590-601;
490 10.1111/all.12398
- 491 33. Fleischer DM, Spergel JM, Assa'ad AH, Pongracic JA. Primary prevention of allergic disease
492 through nutritional interventions. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology in Practice* 2013;
493 1:29-36; 10.1016/j.jaip.2012.09.003
- 494 34. Boyle RJ, Ierodiakonou D, Khan T, Chivinge J, Robinson Z, Geoghegan N, et al. Hydrolysed
495 formula and risk of allergic or autoimmune disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *British*
496 *Medical Journal* 2016; 352:i974; 10.1136/bmj.i974
- 497 35. WHO. *Infant and young child feeding : model chapter for textbooks for medical students and*
498 *allied health professionals*. World Health Organisation; 2009
- 499 36. Palmer DJ, Sullivan TR, Gold MS, Prescott SL, Makrides M. Randomized controlled trial of
500 early regular egg intake to prevent egg allergy. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*
501 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.06.052
- 502 37. Bellach J, Schwarz V, Ahrens B, Trendelenburg V, Aksunger O, Kalb B, et al. Randomized
503 placebo-controlled trial of hen's egg consumption for primary prevention in infants. *Journal of*
504 *Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.06.045
- 505 38. Tan JW, Valerio C, Barnes EH, Turner PJ, Van Asperen PA, Kakakios AM, et al. A
506 randomized trial of egg introduction from 4 months of age in infants at risk for egg allergy. *The*
507 *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2016; 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.08.035

- 508 39. ASCIA Information on how to introduce solid foods to infants.
509 https://www.allergy.org.au/images/pcc/ASCIA_PCC_How_to_introduce_solid_foods_FINA
510 L.pdf; 2016
511

Table 1 Comparisons of Statements Regarding Timing and Types of Solid Foods from WHO, NHMRC and ASCIA

Organisation	Statements Regarding Timing of Introduction to Solid Foods
WHO (2003) ¹⁷	“... from the age of 6 months with continued breastfeeding up to 2 years of age or beyond”.
NHMRC Infant Feeding Guidelines (2015 revision) ¹²	‘Introducing solid foods at around 6 months is necessary to meet the infant’s increasing nutritional and developmental needs’ (p86).
ASCIA (March 2016) ¹³	“4 to 6 months when your infant is developmentally ready to start solids”
Organisation	Statements Regarding First Foods
WHO (2003) ¹⁷	“Nutritionally adequate and safe complementary feeding...”
NHMRC Infant Feeding Guidelines (2015 revision) ¹²	<p>“Key Points (p85)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • From around 6 months, infants should be offered a range of foods of an appropriate texture and consistency for their developmental stage. • First foods should be iron-rich and an increasing range and quantity of foods should be introduced so that by 12 months the infant is consuming a wide variety of family foods. • Breastmilk or infant formula should be continued while introducing solids, with other drinks, except cooled boiled tap water, avoided until the infant is 12 months old.” <p>“There is no evidence that avoiding any particular foods or food allergens during pregnancy, lactation or infancy provides any benefit in preventing allergy, and this is no longer recommended” (p82)</p> <p>“as long as iron-rich foods are included in first foods, foods can be introduced in any order and at a rate that suits the infant” (p87)</p> <p>“Delaying the introduction of solid foods, including allergenic foods, after the age of 6 months may increase the risk of developing allergic symptoms” (p87)</p> <p>“...Evidence now supports treating peanuts the same as any other foods and introducing them at around 6 months of age (assuming that peanut is fed in a suitable physical form, such as a paste, and not as the whole nut)” (p91)</p> <p>“Advice for parents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solid foods should be introduced at about 6 months of age • Introduce a variety of foods – foods can be introduced in any order although iron-rich foods should be offered first • Continue breastfeeding while introducing solid foods” (p90)
ASCIA (March 2016) ¹³	<p>“introduce foods according to what the family usually eats, regardless of whether the food is considered to be a common food allergen.”</p> <p>“raw egg is not recommended.” (Note: food safety advice)</p> <p>“There is good evidence that for infants with severe eczema and/or egg allergy, that regular peanut intake before 12 months of age can reduce the risk of developing peanut allergy. If your child already has an egg allergy or other food allergies or severe eczema, you should discuss how to do this with your doctor”.</p>

Table 2 Comparisons of Breastfeeding Recommendations from WHO, NHMRC and ASCIA

Organisation	Statements Regarding Breastfeeding Recommendations
WHO (2003) ¹⁷	<p>“...exclusive breastfeeding for the first 6 months of life (180 days)”</p> <p>“...breastfeeding continues for up to two years and beyond”</p>
NHMRC Infant Feeding Guidelines (2015 revision) ¹² and NHMRC Australian Dietary Guidelines (2013) ³⁰	<p>“Breastfeeding is beneficial for infants, mothers, families and society, and is viewed as the biological and social norm for infant and child feeding”</p> <p>“Breastfeeding exclusively to around 6 months is compatible with achieving the lowest rates of allergic disease”</p> <p>“Encourage, support and promote breastfeeding to around 6 months of age.”</p> <p>“Continue breastfeeding with appropriate complementary foods until 12 months of age and beyond, for as long as the mother and child desire.”</p>
ASCIA (March 2016) ¹³	<p>“Breastfeeding is recommended for at least 6 months and for as long as mother and infant wish to continue. There is no consistent evidence that breastfeeding is effective for the prevention of allergic disease. However, breastfeeding is recommended for the many benefits it provides to mother and infant.”</p> <p>“Breastfeeding during the period that complementary “solid” foods are first introduced to infants from 4-6 months may help reduce the risk of the infant developing allergies, although evidence for this is low.”</p>

Table 3 Comparisons of Statements Regarding Breastmilk Substitutes and allergy prevention from WHO, NHMRC and ASCIA

Organisation	Statements Regarding Breastmilk Substitutes
WHO (2009) <small>35</small>	“A minority of infants will need to be fed on breast-milk substitutes, short term or long term.” (p56)
NHMRC Infant Feeding Guidelines (2015 revision) ¹²	“..routine use of special formulas for preventing allergy is not recommended.” (p81) “For infants with a strong history of atopy, there is limited evidence that hydrolysed formula, in comparison with cow’s milk formula, reduces infant and childhood allergy” “There is no evidence that partially hydrolysed infant formula prevents allergic disease when used for supplementary feeds in hospitals, and widespread use for this purpose may undermine breastfeeding.” (p81). “The Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP) recommends the use of extensively hydrolysed infant formula in infants with proven cow’s milk allergy or cow’s milk protein intolerance who are not breastfed.” (p81). “... if breastfeeding is discontinued for any reason, there is no advantage in using special formulas, except under medical supervision” (p82)
ASCIA (March 2016) <small>13</small>	‘Based on a recently published review of studies, there is no consistent convincing evidence to support a protective role for partially hydrolysed formulas (usually labelled 'HA' or Hypoallergenic) or extensively hydrolysed formulas for the prevention of eczema, food allergy, asthma or allergic rhinitis (hay fever) in infants or children.’