RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



An automated framework for NMR chemical shift calculations of small organic molecules

Yasemin Yesiltepe^{1,2}, Jamie R. Nuñez², Sean M. Colby², Dennis G. Thomas², Mark I. Borkum², Patrick N. Reardon³, Nancy M. Washton², Thomas O. Metz², Justin G. Teeguarden², Niranjan Govind² and Ryan S. Renslow^{1,2*}

Abstract

When using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to assist in chemical identification in complex samples, researchers commonly rely on databases for chemical shift spectra. However, authentic standards are typically depended upon to build libraries experimentally. Considering complex biological samples, such as blood and soil, the entirety of NMR spectra required for all possible compounds would be infeasible to ascertain due to limitations of available standards and experimental processing time. As an alternative, we introduce the in silico Chemical Library Engine (ISiCLE) NMR chemical shift module to accurately and automatically calculate NMR chemical shifts of small organic molecules through use of quantum chemical calculations. ISiCLE performs density functional theory (DFT)-based calculations for predicting chemical properties—specifically NMR chemical shifts in this manuscript—via the open source, high-performance computational chemistry software, NWChem. ISiCLE calculates the NMR chemical shifts of sets of molecules using any available combination of DFT method, solvent, and NMR-active nuclei, using both user-selected reference compounds and/or linear regression methods. Calculated NMR chemical shifts are provided to the user for each molecule, along with comparisons with respect to a number of metrics commonly used in the literature. Here, we demonstrate ISiCLE using a set of 312 molecules, ranging in size up to 90 carbon atoms. For each, calculation of NMR chemical shifts have been performed with 8 different levels of DFT theory, and with solvation effects using the implicit solvent Conductor-like Screening Model. The DFT method dependence of the calculated chemical shifts have been systematically investigated through benchmarking and subsequently compared to experimental data available in the literature. Furthermore, ISiCLE has been applied to a set of 80 methylcyclohexane conformers, combined via Boltzmann weighting and compared to experimental values. We demonstrate that our protocol shows promise in the automation of chemical shift calculations and, ultimately, the expansion of chemical shift libraries.

Keywords: Chemical shift, Density functional theory, DFT, Metabolomics, NMR, NWchem, Python, Quantum chemistry

Background

Metabolomics is being increasingly applied in biomedical and environmental studies, despite the technical challenges facing comprehensive and unambiguous identification of detected metabolites [1-3]. The capability to routinely measure and identify even a modicum

*Correspondence: ryan.renslow@pnnl.gov

¹ The Gene and Linda Voiland School of Chemical Engineering

and Bioengineering, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

of biologically important molecules within all of chemical space-greater than 10⁶⁰ compounds [4]-remains a grand challenge in biology. The prevention and treatment of metabolic diseases, determining the interactions between plant and soil microbial communities, and uncovering the building blocks that led to abiogenesis will all strongly depend on confidently identifying small molecules, and thus understanding the mechanisms involved in the complex processes of metabolic networks [5–7]. The current gold standard for chemical identification requires matching chemical features to those measured from an authentic chemical standard. However, this is not the case with the vast majority of molecules. For example, only 17% of compounds found in the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) and less than 1% of compounds found in exposure chemical databases like the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Distributed Structure-Searchable Toxicity (DSSTox) Database [8] can be purchased in pure form [9, 10]. Although analytical techniques like nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy [11-13] and mass spectrometry (MS) [14-16] have been applied for the identification of metabolites and to build libraries [17–21], determining the complete composition of entire metabolomes is still non-trivial for both technical and economic reasons. In this regard, libraries constructed of experimentally obtained data are too limited, expensive, and slow to build, even for libraries with thousands of metabolites [22-25].

The most practical approach expand reference libraries for comprehensive identification of compounds detected in metabolomics studies is through in silico calculation of molecular attributes. Molecular properties that can be both accurately predicted computationally and consistently measured experimentally may be used in "standards free" metabolomics identification approaches. The metabolomics community has made many advances in calculations of measurable chemical attributes, such as chromatographic retention time [26, 27], tandem mass spectra [28–30], ion mobility collision cross section [31, 32], and NMR chemical shifts [33]. Recently, high throughput computation of chemical properties has been demonstrated using machine learning approaches [34–37]. These tools are a good resource for the metabolomics community, however, machine learning methods are limited by the size and scope of the initial training set, and thus ultimately limited by the number of authentic chemical standards available for purchase. In contrast, structure-based approaches, utilizing first principles of quantum chemical calculations, leverage our understanding of the underlying chemistry and physics to directly predict chemical properties of any chemically valid molecule. Thus, quantum chemical calculations enable us to overcome the reliance on authentic chemical standards in

Page 2 of 16

metabolomics. In this study, we focus on expanding the utility of density functional theory (DFT), a widely used electronic structure approach, which has been applied to predict NMR chemical shifts [38-41]. DFT enables examination of molecular conformers [42-45] and allows custom solvent conditions [46-48]. Ultimately, computational modeling can be used in the rapid identification and study of thousands of metabolites, culminating in in silico metabolome libraries of multiple chemical properties. Furthermore, the same tools that can be used to aid identification of small molecules in complex samples can also be used for structure confirmation and correction. For example, we recently used the tool described in this manuscript to help correct the misidentification of the isoflavonoid wrightiadione to the actual structure as an isobaric isostere, the alkaloid tryptanthrin [49].

Metabolomics researchers unfamiliar with DFT or similar calculations may find the application of quantum chemical calculations complicated or challenging to apply quickly, and thus avoid these techniques. To this end, and to help bring DFT calculations to large sets of small organic molecules relevant to the mainstream metabolomics community, we have developed a Python-based workflow and analysis package, the ISiCLE (in silico Chemical Library Engine) NMR chemical shift module employs DFT methods through use of NWChem [50], a high-performance quantum chemistry software package developed at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). The module automates calculations of NMR chemical shifts, including solvent effects, via the COnductor-like Screening Model (COSMO) [51] of userspecified NMR-active nuclei for a given set of molecules for multiple DFT methods. ISiCLE also calculates the corresponding errors if experimental values are available. In this paper, we describe ISiCLE's NMR module, provide a working tutorial example, demonstrate its use through the calculation of chemical shifts for a large set of small molecules, and, finally, show how ISiCLE can be applied to rapidly calculate chemical shifts of arrays of Boltzmann-weighted conformers to yield high accuracy chemical shift calculations.

Methods

In silico Chemical Library Engine (ISiCLE)—NMR module

ISiCLE is a Python module that provides straightforward automation of DFT using NWChem, an open source, high-performance computational quantum chemistry package, developed at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), for geometry optimization and chemical shift and solvent effect calculations. Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of ISiCLE. For typical use, ISiCLE requires only a list of molecules and a list of desired levels of DFT theory from





the user. For more advanced use cases, users may adjust NWChem parameters by modifying the provided .nw template file.

Here, we describe each step of a typical ISiCLE run (see Fig. 2 for a general workflow for using the ISiCLE NMR module).

To start, users must prepare File A, containing a list of molecules, and File B, containing a list of DFT combinations, which both are required to be in Excel format (.xls or .xlsx). File A must contain all input molecules either as (i) International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) International Chemical Identifier (InChI) strings [52, 53] or (ii) XYZ files, a free-format text file having XYZ coordinates of atoms. In subsequent versions, alternative file formats will be supported, such as TSV for inputs and outputs.

Once prepared, the user runs ISiCLE. First, ISiCLE opens File A for the input molecules. OpenBabel, an open-source chemical informatics toolbox available with Python wrappers [54, 55], is called to generate geometry files. For InChI inputs, OpenBabel generates .xyz files for each molecule, unless .xyz files are provided, and converts InChI to InChIKey for naming files (otherwise, the base names of XYZ files are used for naming subsequent files). Next, OpenBabel applies the Merck molecular force field (MMFF94) [56] to generate a rough threedimensional (3D) structure for each molecule, resulting in associated .mol files. ISiCLE then prepares NWChem input files based on the specified DFT methods, solvents, shielding parameters and regarding task directives given by the user-prepared File B. Finally, ISiCLE submits the appropriate files to, if relevant, a remote NWChem installation (typically on a non-local, networked, highperformance computer), and then retrieves the output files once the calculations are complete. Additional information and further details about ISiCLE is provided in Additional file 1 (S1). Note that future versions of ISiCLE will automatically generate conformers of a given molecule, as part of the seamless pipeline.

For each molecule, ISiCLE generates MDL Molfiles (.mol) [57] that contain isotropic shieldings and NMR chemical shifts. ISiCLE exports isotropic shieldings for each molecule and appends them to a MDL Molfile in the same atomic order of the original XYZ files. Then, ISiCLE converts isotropic shieldings to NMR chemical shifts by subtracting the isotropic shielding constants for the specified nuclei of the molecule of interest from those of a reference compound computed at the same level of theory (Eq. 1). For this manuscript, tetramethylsilane (TMS) is used as a reference compound. The experimental chemical shifts of TMS are assigned a value of zero, thus the calculation of NMR chemical shifts needs only isotropic shieldings of TMS [58-61]. Any molecule can be used as reference in ISiCLE as long as it has the specified nuclei and its experimental (or calculated) chemical shifts are supplied. It is explained in detail in a supplemental tutorial how a user inputs experimental data. The equation for calculating chemical shifts from isotropic shieldings is:

$$\delta_i = \sigma_{ref} - \sigma_i + \delta_{ref} \tag{1}$$

where δ_i and δ_{ref} are the chemical shifts of atom *i* (of the molecule of interest) and the reference molecule, respectively. σ_i and σ_{ref} are the isotropic shielding constants of atom *i* and the reference molecule, respectively.

ISiCLE also calculates errors in NMR chemical shifts if experimental data is provided in the MDL Molfiles in a required way as explained in the tutorial. The errors are quantified in terms of mean absolute error (MAE) (Eq. 2), corrected mean absolute error (CMAE) (Eq. 3), root mean square error (RMSE) (Eq. 4), and maximum absolute error (Eq. 5).

$$MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \delta_{exp} - \delta_{calc} \right|}{N}$$
(2)

$$CMAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \delta_{exp} - (\delta_{calc} - intercept) / slope \right|}{N}$$
(3)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\delta_{exp} - \delta_{calc}\right)^2}{N}}$$
(4)

$$\max_{i=1,2,\dots,N} \left| \delta_{exp} - \delta_{calc} \right| \tag{5}$$

where N is the total number of chemical shifts, and δ_{calc} and δ_{exp} are the lists of calculated and experimental chemical shits, respectively.

Empirical scaling of isotropic shieldings or NMR chemical shifts is the most common approach to remove systematic errors. If experimental data is provided, ISiCLE uses two optional approaches for its linear regression method, where slope and intercept values are derived from (i) regression of computed NMR chemical shifts versus experimental NMR chemical shifts using (Eq. 6), and/or (ii) regression of computed isotropic shieldings versus experimental NMR chemical shifts using (Eq. 7).

$$\delta_{exp} = \frac{intercept - \delta_{calc}}{-slope} \tag{6}$$

$$\delta_{exp} = \frac{intercept - \sigma_{calc}}{-slope} \tag{7}$$

where σ_{calc} is the list of isotopic shielding constants of molecules.

Alternatively, if the user does not provide experimental NMR chemical shifts, ISiCLE can scale NMR chemical shifts using provided intercept and slope values. The scaled NMR chemical shifts are appended to MDL Molfiles.

A detailed description of InChIs and InChIKeys, and why they were chosen, can be found in the Additional file 1 (S2). Similarly, justification for the use of MDL Molfiles is explained in Additional file 1 (S2). In the next version, ISiCLE will be compatible with other file formats, such as the NMReDATA [62] format that has been recently designed for NMR data use. To help ease the use of our data, we provide NMReDATA files for the demonstration set in the Additional file 2.

Furthermore, installation details for OpenBabel and other required Python packages are provided in the tutorial (see Additional file 2). The Windows-based tutorial provides step-by-step instructions for running ISiCLE for the first time, including information for installation of packages, properly preparing input files, running a calculation, and obtaining output files. The tutorial includes example molecules with anticipated output files for use as a practice set and for benchmarking purposes. It is designed to guide users of ISiCLE and NWChem in the use of the input files and scripts, demonstrated using three small molecules: methanol, methyl-isothiocyanate, and nitromethane. Calculation time may vary (depending on network speed, local computational power, etc.), but it is expected to take less than 10 min.

Demonstration set

For an initial demonstration of ISiCLE, we have compiled a molecule set of 312 compounds from previous studies: Alver [63], Asiri et al. [64], Bally and Rablen [65], Bagno et al. [66], Borkowski et al. [67], Coruh et al. [68], Fulmer et al. [69], Hill et al. [70], Izgi et al. [71], Karabacak et al. [72], Krishnakumar et al. [73-75], Kwan and Liu [45], Li et al. [76], Lomas [77], Osmialowski et al. [78], Parlak et al. [79], Perez et al. [80], Rablen et al. [81], Sarotti and Pellegrinet [82, 83], Sebastian et al. [84], Seca et al. [52], Senyel et al. [85, 86], Sridevi et al. [87], Tormena and da Silva [88], Vijava and Sankaran [89], Watts et al. [53], Wiitala et al. [90, 91], Willoughby et al. [92], and Yang et al. [93]. We aimed to cover a broad chemical space and distribution of sizes. Our criteria also included the existence of all ¹H and/or ¹³C NMR experimental data in chloroform solvent, referenced to TMS at room temperature, for comparisons. Note that the NMR spectra of each molecule set were not recorded at the same magnetic field strengths. A summary of the demonstration set compounds are given in Table 1. Detailed information about the individual sets is given in Additional file 1 (S3).

Table 1 Demonstration set sources and details

References	# of molecules	Ave. atoms per molecule	Ave. H per molecule	Ave. C per molecule	Types of molecules
Alver [63]	1	24	11	8	Boron-based compound
Asiri et al. [64]	1	33	12	16	Organic photochromic compound
Bagno et al. [66]	4	44	21	18	Small organic molecules with constrained conforma- tions
Barkowski et al. [67]	15	83	50	31	Pentacyclic terpenoids (fernenes)
Coruh et al. [68]	1	25	8	13	Heterocyclic aromatic compound
Fulmer et al. [69]	33	14	9	4	Commonly used NMR solvents
Hill et al. [70]	1	70	33	30	Complex drug with multiple chemical groups and one stereocenter
lzgi et al. [71]	1	24	15	8	Molecule with cyclohexene (C_6H_{10}) attached to ethylamine (C_2H_7N)
Karabacak et al. [72]	1	17	8	7	Planar benzene ring with attached B(OH) ₂ and two F groups
Krishnakumar et al. [74]	2	16	4	6	Agrochemical intermediate compounds with planar rings
Krishnakumar et al. [73]	2	16	7	7	Nitrotoluene derivatives
Krishnakumar et al. [75]	2	17	6	7	Phenol derivatives
Kwan and Liu [45]	1	42	22	18	Natural product
Li et al. [76]	78	11	4	5	Molecules with a large number of connected sub- stituent groups
Lomas [77]	15	18	12	5	Saturated alcohols
Osmialowski et al. [78]	28	28	11	14	Substituted phenacylpyridines (ketimine forms) and tautomers
Parlak et al. [79]	1	26	4	12	Polyfluoroaromatic compound with two rings
Perez et al. [80]	2	22	10	8	Chloropyrimidine species
Rablen et al. [81]	80	6	4	11	Rigid organic compounds with constrained confor- mations
Sarotti and Pellegrinet [82, 83]	66	15	8	6	Low polarity compounds with constrained confor- mations
Sebestian et al. [84]	1	26	11	14	Phenyl cyanide compound with two planar phenyl rings
Seca et al. [52]	4	40	24	65	Light petroleum extracts
Senyel et al. [85]	1	23	13	9	A structural element of many pharmaceutical drugs
Senyel et al. [86]	1	28	18	8	3-Piperidino-propylamine molecule
Sridevi et al. [87]	1	21	8	10	Chromene, a two ringed planar compound
Tormena and da Silva [88]	3	17	8	8	Para-X-sub'ed (X=H, CH ₃ O, & NO ₂) aromatic carbonyl compounds
Vijaja and Sankaran [89]	1	47	24	20	Azine
Watts et al. [53]	6	45	21	18	Coniferol, a building block of lignin, stereoisomers and conformers
Wiitala et al. [90]	43	11	6	3	Organic compounds
Wiitala et al. [91]	7	24	14	8	Cis-/trans-forms of 2-, 3-, and 4-methylcyclohexanols
Willoughby et al. [92]	2	22	14	7	Cis-/trans-diastereomers of 3-methylcyclohexanol
Yang et al. [93]	2	57	28	23	Complex natural products
This study's demonstration set	312	20	10	8	Small- to medium-sized organic molecules with constrained conformations

Details (i.e. experimental conditions, total and average number of nuclei, molecule types and classes) for each molecule set are given in Additional file 1 (S3)

Computational details

As a first demonstration of ISiCLE, a benchmark study was performed with 8 different DFT methods to predict

¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical factors for the calculations of chemical shifts in chloroform. Each compound was optimized with the Becke three-parameter Lee–Yang–Parr

(B3LYP) hybrid functional [94-96] and the 6-31G(d) split-valence basis set [97]. This level of theory in geometry optimization was chosen because of its broad application in the literature for organic molecules [98, 99]. Isotropic magnetic shielding constants were calculated with the 4 different functionals, BLYP [94, 95], B3LYP [97-99], B35LYP, and BHLYP [100]. DFT methods were selected with different Hartree-Fock (HF) ratios: BLYP (0% HF), B3LYP (20% HF), B35LYP (35% HF), BHLYP (50% HF). Each method was tested with 2 different correlation-consistent Dunning basis sets (double-zeta cc-pVDZ [101] or triple-zeta cc-pVTZ [101]). All basis sets were obtained from the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL) Basis Set Exchange [102-104]. For each optimized geometry, ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts were computed relative to TMS using the Gauge Including Atomic Orbitals (GIAO) formalism [105]. Chloroform solvation effects were simulated using COSMO.

For a second demonstration of ISiCLE, the NMR chemical shifts, along with frequency calculations (and subsequent Boltzmann weighting), two sets of axial and equatorial conformers (40 conformers each) of methylcyclohexane were processed. We performed in vacuo molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, using the sander MD software program from AmberTools (version 14) [106], to generate 80 conformers of the methylcyclohexane compound. These conformers were generated in four stages. First, the initial geometries of axial and equatorial conformers were taken from the study of Willoughby et al. [92]. Second, a short energy minimization run was performed to relax the initial structure and to remove any non-physical atom contacts. Third, a short 50 ps MD run was performed (in 0.5 fs time steps) to heat the structure from 0 to 300 K, without non-bonded cutoffs. In the fourth step, we performed 8 simulated annealing cycles, where each cycle was run for 1600 ps in 1 fs MD steps with the following temperature profile: heating from 300 to 600 K (0–300 ps), equilibration at 600 K (300–800 ps), cooling from 600 to 300 K (800-1100 ps), and equilibration at 300 K (1100-1600 ps). Ten conformers from the equilibration stage at 300 K, of each simulated annealing cycle, were randomly selected to obtain the 80 conformers. After the conformers were obtained, M06-2X was used with the basis set of 6-31 + G(d,p) for the geometry optimization and frequency calculations and B3LYP with 6-311+G(2d,p) method for the calculations of NMR chemical shifts. Relative free energies of the conformations and Boltzmann weighted NMR chemical shifts were compared to those found in the literature [92, 107-109].

All results shown in this manuscript were generated using the Cascade high-performance computer (1440 compute nodes, 23,040 Intel Xeon E5-2670 processor cores, 195,840 Intel Xeon Phi 5110P coprocessor cores, and 128 GB memory per compute node [110]), in EMSL (a U.S. national scientific user facility) located at PNNL. Cascade is available for external users through a free, competitive proposal process. ISiCLE can utilize local clusters or high-performance computing resources available to the user. NWChem is freely available and can be downloaded from the website [111, 112].

Results and discussion

NMR chemical shift calculations have been used successfully to identify new molecules, determine metabolite identifications, and eliminate structural misassignments [59, 113]. In the last two decades, many research groups have performed benchmark DFT studies on the accuracy of optimized molecular geometry [92, 114-116], functionals [117, 118], basis sets [88, 119], and solvation models [90, 120, 121] for NMR chemical shifts [60, 122-124]. Each group uses a molecule set focusing on a unique chemical class [78, 125-129] and several groups have recommended different exchange-correlation (XC) energy functionals with a different basis set for a particular condition or suitable to specific chemical functionalities and properties [70, 77, 130-133]. The prevailing opinion is that reliable isotropic NMR chemical shifts strongly depend on accurate calculations of molecular geometries and inclusion of HF exchange in selected DFT methods, to an extent [134, 135]. On the other hand, the size of the basis set does not increase the accuracy after a point [136, 137].

The ISiCLE software can be installed locally. As seen in Fig. 1, it requires only two input files, prepared in Excel: a sequence of InChI or XYZ molecule geometry files, and a sequence of DFT methods of the user's choice. Preparation of NWChem "run files," 3D molecule geometry files, and/or Linux/Unix shell script "drivers" are not required. As output, ISiCLE prints isotropic shielding, calculated by NWChem, and calculated chemical shifts with respect to a reference molecule and/or application of a userspecified linear regression technique. ISiCLE is a promising tool contributing to standards-free metabolomics, which depends on the ability to calculate properties for thousands of molecules and their associated conformers.

Application 1: chemical shift calculations for a demonstration set of molecules

To test ISiCLE, we generated a set of 312 molecules. This set is large relative to other metabolomic molecule sets found in the literature, which in our literature survey averaged 34 molecules (Table 1). Our molecule set ranges from small- to large-sized molecules (number of carbon atoms ranging from 1 to 90), and experimental ¹³C and ¹H NMR data in chloroform were available for each of

them. Our set also spans a wide array of chemical classes, including acetylides, alkaloids, benzenoids, hydrocarbons, lipids, organohalogens, and organic nitrogen and oxygen compounds. ISiCLE was used to successfully perform DFT calculations for this set under chloroform solvation using eight different levels of DFT theory (4 different functionals and 2 basis sets for ¹³C and ¹H).

A total of 2494 carbon nuclei and of 3127 hydrogen nuclei were calculated for all 312 molecules of the demonstration set and compared with experimental data. Deviation bars indicating MAE and MAXAE are plotted for each method in Fig. 3. For both ¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts, the MAE of each method with cc-pVTZ is higher than those with cc-pVDZ. For ¹³C, the MAE of each method with cc-pVTZ (7-10 ppm) is higher than those with cc-pVDZ (5-6 ppm). MAE of methods with a larger basis set deviate more compared to those with a smaller basis set. The smallest deviations are observed for B3LYP and B35LYP, both in MAE and MAXAE results. The same situation is observed for ¹H NMR chemical shifts as well: MAE of each method with cc-pVTZ (~0.35 ppm) is higher than those with ccpVDZ (~0.30 ppm). In contrast to ¹³C NMR chemical shifts, ¹H NMR chemical shifts are better predicted with methods using larger basis sets (cc-pVTZ). Although the error differences among each method may be too low to confidently identify the outperforming method, B3LYP/ cc-pVDZ is the most successful combination in the calculation of $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ and $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts for our application shown here.

Figure 4 shows computational costs of DFT combinations for the demonstration set. We found that the smaller basis set (cc-pVDZ) in the calculation of both



demonstration set. Each bar is for two DFT methods with basis sets of cc-pVDZ and cc-pVTZ. The grey bars represent CPU times for the methods with cc-pVDZ and the black bars represent those with cc-pVDZ and the black bars represent those with cc-pVTZ



¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts was an acceptable compromise between accuracy and computational performance, compared with the larger cc-pVTZ basis. This finding is similar to a recent benchmark study [138] that showed B3LYP/cc-pVDZ is a reliable combination, balancing accuracy with computational cost in ¹³C chemical shifts calculation. The larger basis set (cc-pVTZ) took 2–3 times longer to complete than cc-pVDZ (in terms of total CPU time). The computational times of the isotropic shielding and chemical shift calculations for this demonstration set are given in the file of Demonstration-Set_CPUtimes.xlsx in the Additional file 2.

Effect of scaling by linear regression

We performed the most general approach to error reduction, empirical scaling. Our molecule set has 1554 and 1830 experimental ¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts, respectively. It provides confidence for applying linear regression effectively as it reduces the possibility of overfitting. Empirical scaling was applied to the data obtained with the best combination, B3LYP/cc-pVDZ, using two different relationships: computed shifts versus experimental chemical shifts (Eq. 6), and computed isotropic shieldings versus experimental shifts (Eq. 7). Once the empirical scaling was applied, the accuracy for ¹³C chemical shifts and ¹H chemical shifts improved by 0.7 and 0.11 ppm, respectively. Our computed NMR chemical shifts and shieldings deviate from unity (desired slope=1) by 0.02 for both ¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts. Linear fits with correlation coefficients of 0.99 (Fig. 5a, b) and 0.93 (Fig. 5c, d) for ¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts, respectively, were observed, which also shows that B3LYP/cc-pVDZ is able to produce data free from random error. Results of linear regression to the ¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts obtained by other DFT methods are given in the Additional file 1 (S5).

Detailed look at ¹³C NMR chemical shifts

The carbon (¹³C) magnetic shieldings and chemical shifts derived from the various DFT methods are highly correlated, as shown by a correlation coefficient of 0.99 (Fig. 5). The inclusion of a scaling factor enhances the performance of theoretical calculations with B3LYP/cc-pVDZ//B3LYP/6-31G(d) and decreases the MAE in ¹³C NMR chemical shifts for this set by approximately 13%.

There has been a trend toward using multiple references, such that each molecule should be referenced to a molecule with similar properties to improve accuracy of NMR chemical shifts [123, 134, 139]. Sarotti et al. examined the influence of the reference compound used in the ¹³C [83] and ¹H [82] NMR chemical shift calculations over a set of organic compounds, all of which were included in our calculations. They recommended the use of benzene and methanol as a reference standard in the calculations of chemical shifts of sp-sp²- and sp³- hybridized carbon atoms, respectively, instead of TMS for all type of carbon atoms [140]. Propelled by the discussion in the study of Grimblat et al. [141] about the distribution of the errors observed in sp²- and sp³- carbons, we determined the distribution of the data of chemical shifts of sp²- (933 carbons) and sp³- (745 carbons) hybridized carbons (Fig. 6, the sp^2 - and sp^3 - derived series of carbons show two separate chemical shift distributions and two separate error distributions over a much larger variety of compounds than Grimblat et al. For our demonstration set, both errors between calculated and experimental sp²- and sp³- chemical shifts more closely resemble a Student's t-distribution [58, 142], rather than a normal distribution [138, 143]. The correlation coefficients of the errors of sp²- and sp³- carbons are 0.93 and 0.78, and 0.98 and 0.95 for Student's t-distribution and normal distribution, respectively.

Furthermore, we looked for the bonded neighbors of each carbon and hydrogen extensively in Fig. 7. For carbon shifts, error was measured for carbon (n=1709), chlorine (n=149), fluorine (n=8), hydrogen (n=1161), nitrogen (n=199), oxygen (n=251) and sulfur (n=20)attachments. The largest deviations occur in carbonchlorine and carbon-sulfur attachments with MAEs of 11.2 and 5.8 ppm and MAXAE 39.7 and 16.5 ppm, respectively. The study by Li et al. [76], which used a set of chlorinated carbons, reports the same conclusion: calculation accuracy decreases as the size of the basis set used increases, but improvement was obtained after linear regression corrections for B3LYP/6-31 + G(d,p) with slope of 0.98. Other than chlorine and sulfur, carbonhydrogen attachments also make the ¹³C NMR chemical shift DFT calculations deviate significantly from experimental values, with MAE of 4.7 and 3.9 ppm and with MAXAE of 51.6 and 34.9 ppm. Carbon was found in rings in 70% of the cases, and these carbons show a MAE of 4.6 ppm. Also, the MAE of ¹³C NMR chemical shift is 3.9 ppm for carbons bonded to a hydrogen atom but reaches 9.7 ppm in all other cases.

Oxygen and nitrogen attachments to carbon led to 13 C NMR chemical shifts with MAE up to 3.1 and 4.2 ppm and MAXAE of 32.5 and 23.6 ppm, respectively. Interestingly, half the C–O attachments found in ring-form had chemical shifts with a MAE of 2.8 ppm, compared to the chemical shifts of C–O attachments not found in a ring, which had a relatively higher MAE of 3.2 ppm, leading to a percent difference of 14.1%. NMR chemical shifts of C–N attachments, present in a ring or not, show close MAE of 4.19 and 4.26 ppm, respectively, a percent difference of 1.6%. This is to be expected, since C–O attachments are expected to show some deviation in chemical



shift due to the polarization of the electron distribution caused by the high electronegativity of oxygen, while nitrogen atoms have a lower electronegativity, leading to a lower deviation in C–N chemical shifts.

The correlation plot (Fig. 5a, b) shows a linear pattern with only minor deviations of the predicted 13 C shieldings or 13 C chemical shifts from the fitted line. It is verified by the correlation coefficient of 0.99, as observed in previous studies [130, 144], that the deviation of the slope from unity within the range of 0.95 and 1.05 is an indicator of a reliable method. However, when placed in subgroups of different attachment types, distant outliers are observed, with some more than 15 ppm away (Fig. 7a). Most outliers are observed in the C–C and C–H attachments, respectively. C–Cl and C–N chemical shifts have a high occurrence of outliers, which may be due to the chemical properties of chlorine and nitrogen such as being remarkably close to first ionization energies. We





suspected that some cases of high calculation errors could be due to the consideration of only a single conformer. For the highest accuracy, proper conformational sampling must be considered, as demonstrated below in "Application 2: Boltzmann-weighted NMR chemical shifts of methylcyclohexane" section

Detailed look at ¹H NMR chemical shifts

Proton (¹H) chemical shifts are significantly affected by intermolecular interactions, particularly in aqueous

states, especially compared to ¹³C chemical shifts. Agreement with experimental values improves as empirical linear scaling is performed for ¹H chemical shifts. GIAO/ B3LYP/cc-pVDZ//B3LYP/6-31G(d) yields scaled ¹H chemical shifts in chloroform solution having a MAE of 0.30 ppm in comparison with solution experimental values. The ¹H chemical shifts in the range of 10–17 ppm show the largest deviation, occurring higher than 5 ppm.

In Fig. 7b, error bars are shown for ¹H chemical shifts when the hydrogen attaches to carbon (n = 1793),

nitrogen (n=17), and oxygen (n=41). Oxygen-bound hydrogen nuclei have the largest errors (up to 10 ppm), which is to be expected due to the electronegative property of oxygen atoms, as discussed in the previous section. It is followed by less electronegative nitrogenbound hydrogen atoms, with an MAE of 0.71 ppm and a MAXAE of 2.25 ppm. About 95% of the ¹H NMR chemical shifts calculated for this set are from H–C attachments. These chemical shifts had a MAE of 0.27 ppm and a MAXAE of 4.41 ppm. The high occurrence of outliers could be evidence of how ¹H NMR chemical shifts are sensitive to intermolecular interactions.

H–O attachments are highly sensitive (to concentration, solvent, temperature, etc.), and it is non-trivial to determine the NMR chemical shift value of arbitrary protons experimentally as well as predict them by using a single, "catch all" DFT method, which explains the relatively low correlation coefficient of 0.93 (Fig. 5c–d). For future studies, we may need to consider the use of different DFT methods, including the use of explicit solvation, particularly in the calculation of ¹H NMR chemical shifts in the presence of H–O attachments.

Application of empirical scaling to functional groups are given in detail in the Additional file 1 (see S7 and S8).

Cross-validation

As a final assessment for the data collected with the demonstration set, we assessed the stability and accuracy of the linear regression approach using cross-validation [145]. Cross-validation is a technique mostly used in prediction problems to evaluate how much a given model generalizes to an independent set of data. Specifically, we performed Monte Carlo cross-validation [146, 147]. The procedure of application of Monte Carlo cross-validation method is explained in Additional file 1 (S9).

We observed that the estimated linear model parameters (i.e. slope and intercept) from the training set do not differ from that of the entire set. Therefore, the predictive linear model is stable to be accurately estimated and the subsets of ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{1}H$ NMR chemical shifts generalize well to the groups that are not represented in the training fold.

Application 2: Boltzmann-weighted NMR chemical shifts of methylcyclohexane

Metabolites were experimentally interrogated using solution-state NMR, where the observed signal arises from the combined signals of present conformers. It is routine that NMR chemical shifts calculations are carried out on a single dominant conformer. However, it is well known that metabolites do not comprise a single conformer in solution and are instead found in a collection of various conformers [148], and the accuracy of NMR chemical shifts heavily depends on molecular geometries and conformation consideration [46]. It has been shown that for the highest accuracy NMR chemical shift calculations, consideration of conformers is critical, even for relatively small molecules [149]. As a second demonstration of ISi-CLE, a conformational analysis based on DFT was performed on a set of 80 conformers of methylcyclohexane using a Boltzmann distribution technique. Boltzmann weighting determines the fractional population of each conformer based on its energy level [92]. High-throughput and straightforward DFT-based NMR chemical shift calculations of all 80 methylcyclohexane conformers was performed by ISiCLE then compared to experimental values.

It has been shown by Willoughby et al. [92] that the effects of molecular flexibility on NMR chemical shifts can be captured by Boltzmann weighting analysis, as demonstrated with methylcyclohexane (Fig. 8). Methyl-cyclohexane is a well-studied small molecule [150–154]. It is flexible, composed of a single methyl group attached to a six-membered ring, and known to exist as an assembly of two chair conformers. There are two distinct conformations of which chair–chair interconversion is



rapid and dominated by equatorial to axial conformation. We weighted 40 axial and 40 equatorial conformers in chloroform and obtained a relative free energy of 1.99 kcal/mol with NWChem, similar to calculations using Gaussian [155] by Willoughby et al. [92], and similar to experimental findings (1.73 kcal/mol [156], 1.93 kcal/mol] [108]) and computed (2.15-2.31 kcal/ mol [107] and 1.68-2.48 kcal/mol [109]) values. We compared the Boltzmann-weighted ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts (a ratio of 3% axial to 97% equatorial) to experimental values reported by Willoughby et al. [92]. The Boltzmann-weighted, scaled MAE was 0.017 ppm for ¹H chemical shifts ($\delta_{exp} = 1.00 \times \delta_{comp} + \sim 0.00$), similar to the experimental value of 0.018 ppm in the study of Willoughby et al. Also, the MAE for ¹³C chemical shifts was 4.4 ppm and decreases to 0.8 ppm when the chemical shifts were scaled ($\delta_{exp} = 0.99 \times \delta_{comp} + 0.13$) (Fig. 9). Further details can be found in the Additional file 1 (S10).

Conclusions

We introduce the first release of ISiCLE, which predicts NMR chemical shifts of any given set of molecules relevant to metabolomics for a given set of DFT techniques. ISiCLE calculates the unscaled or scaled NMR chemical shifts (depending on the user's choice of DFT method) and writes the data to appended MDL Molfiles. It also quantifies the error in calculated NMR chemical shifts if the user provides experimental values.

The functionality of ISiCLE is demonstrated on a molecule set consisting of 312 molecules, with experimental



chemical shifts reported in chloroform solvent. 1H and 13C NMR chemical shifts were calculated using 8 different levels of DFT (BLYP, B3LYP, B35LYP, BHLYP and, cc-pVDZ, and ccpVTZ), referenced to TMS in chloroform by carrying initial geometry optimizations out at B3LYP/6-31G(d) for all molecules. The optimal combination for this set was found to be B3LYP/cc-pVDZ// B3LYP/6-31G(d) with mean absolute error of 0.33 and 3.93 ppm for proton and carbon chemical shifts, respectively. We show that DFT calculations followed by linear scaling do in fact provide an analytically useful degree of accuracy and reliability. Finally, we used ISiCLE for the calculation of NMR chemical shifts of 80 Boltzmannweighted conformers of methylcyclohexane and compared our results with earlier studies in the literature.

ISiCLE is a promising automated framework for accurate NMR chemical shift calculations of small organic molecules. Through this tool, we hope to expand chemical shift libraries, without the need for chemical standards run in the laboratory, which could lead to significantly more identifiable metabolites. Future work includes wrapping individual steps of the ISiCLE NMR module into a formal workflow management system such as Snakemake, to include better fault tolerance, modularization, and improved data provenance. Furthermore, additional chemical properties will be included, such as ion mobility collision cross section and infrared spectra. Finally, ISiCLE will be adapted to run seamlessly on cloud computer resources such as Amazon AWS, Microsoft Azure, and Google Cloud. ISiCLE is a promising tool contributing to standards-free metabolomics, which depends on the ability to calculate properties for thousands of molecules and their associated conformers.

Additional files

Additional file 1. Supporting information document.

Additional file 2. Supporting information files. Including tutorial, code, and all other files.

Authors' contributions

YY designed the tool, gathered the dataset, performed the calculations and provided the analysis. NG provided technical support. RR conceived and supervised the project. All authors participated in the manuscript preparation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ The Gene and Linda Voiland School of Chemical Engineering and Bioengineering, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA. ² Earth and Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA. ³ Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Facility, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by PNNL Laboratory Directed Research and Development program, the Microbiomes in Transition (MinT) Initiative. This work was performed in the W. R. Wiley Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL), a DOE national scientific user facility at the PNNL. The NWChem calculations were performed using the Cascade supercomputer at the EMSL. PNNL is operated by Battelle for the DOE under contract DE-AC05-76EL0 1830.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

Project name: ISiCLE. Project home page: github.com/pnnl/isicle. Programming language: Python. Operating system(s): Platform independent. License: GNU GPL license. Free academic and non-profit research use only. All data files, source code, and tutorial are provided in the additional files.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in publishedmaps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 24 July 2018 Accepted: 9 October 2018 Published online: 26 October 2018

References

- 1. Fiehn O (2002) Metabolomics—the link between genotypes and phenotypes. Plant Mol Biol 48(1–2):155–171
- Sumner LW, Mendes P, Dixon RA (2003) Plant metabolomics: largescale phytochemistry in the functional genomics era. Phytochemistry 62(6):817–836
- Bino RJ et al (2004) Potential of metabolomics as a functional genomics tool. Trends Plant Sci 9(9):418–425
- Dobson CM (2004) Chemical space and biology. Nature 432(7019):824–828
- Nicholson JK et al (2002) Metabonomics: a platform for studying drug toxicity and gene function. Nat Rev Drug Discov 1(2):153–161
- Berendsen RL, Pieterse CM, Bakker PA (2012) The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Trends Plant Sci 17(8):478–486
- Griffin JL, Bollard ME (2004) Metabonomics: its potential as a tool in toxicology for safety assessment and data integration. Curr Drug Metab 5(5):389–398
- Richard AM, Gold LS, Nicklaus MC (2006) Chemical structure indexing of toxicity data on the internet: moving toward a flat world. Curr Opin Drug Discov Dev 9(3):314–325
- 9. Daviss B (2005) Growing pains for metabolomics. Scientist 19(8):25-28
- Nicholson JK, Wilson ID (2003) Understanding 'global' systems biology: metabonomics and the continuum of metabolism. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2(8):668–676
- 11. Beckonert O et al (2007) Metabolic profiling, metabolomic and metabonomic procedures for NMR spectroscopy of urine, plasma, serum and tissue extracts. Nat Protoc 2(11):2692–2703
- Nicholson JK, Lindon JC, Holmes E (1999) 'Metabonomics': understanding the metabolic responses of living systems to pathophysiological stimuli via multivariate statistical analysis of biological NMR spectroscopic data. Xenobiotica 29(11):1181–1189
- 13. Nicholson JK et al (1995) 750 MHz 1H and 1H-13C NMR spectroscopy of human blood plasma. Anal Chem 67(5):793–811
- Soga T et al (2003) Quantitative metabolome analysis using capillary electrophoresis mass spectrometry. J Proteome Res 2(5):488–494
- Smith CA et al (2006) XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. Anal Chem 78(3):779–787
- Dettmer K, Aronov PA, Hammock BD (2007) Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics. Mass Spectrom Rev 26(1):51–78
- 17. Smith CA et al (2005) METLIN: a metabolite mass spectral database. Ther Drug Monit 27(6):747–751

- Wishart DS et al (2013) HMDB 3.0—the human metabolome database in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res 41(Database issue):D801-7
- 19. Ulrich EL et al (2008) BioMagResBank. Nucleic Acids Res 36(Database issue):D402-8
- 20. Pence HE, Williams A (2010) ChemSpider: an online chemical information resource. J Chem Educ 87(11):1123–1124
- 21. Tautenhahn R et al (2012) XCMS Online: a web-based platform to process untargeted metabolomic data. Anal Chem 84(11):5035–5039
- 22. Little JL et al (2012) Identification of "known unknowns" utilizing accurate mass data and ChemSpider. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 23(1):179–185
- Little JL, Cleven CD, Brown SD (2011) Identification of "known unknowns" utilizing accurate mass data and chemical abstracts service databases. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 22(2):348–359
- 24. Patti GJ et al (2013) A view from above: cloud plots to visualize global metabolomic data. Anal Chem 85(2):798–804
- 25. Wishart DS et al (2009) HMDB: a knowledgebase for the human metabolome. Nucleic Acids Res 37(Database issue):D603-10
- Randazzo GM et al (2017) Enhanced metabolite annotation via dynamic retention time prediction: steroidogenesis alterations as a case study. J Chromatogr, B: Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci 1071:11–18
- Vinaixa M et al (2016) Mass spectral databases for LC/MS- and GC/ MS-based metabolomics: state of the field and future prospects. TrAC Trends Anal Chem 78:23–35
- Bocker S (2017) Searching molecular structure databases using tandem MS data: are we there yet? Curr Opin Chem Biol 36:1–6
- 29. Allen F, Greiner R, Wishart D (2015) Competitive fragmentation modeling of ESI-MS/MS spectra for putative metabolite identification. Metabolomics 11(1):98–110
- 30. Wolf S et al (2010) In silico fragmentation for computer assisted identification of metabolite mass spectra. BMC Bioinform 11:148
- Zheng XY et al (2017) Structural elucidation of cis/trans dicaffeoylquinic acid photoisomerization using ion mobility spectrometry–mass spectrometry. J Phys Chem Lett 8(7):1381–1388
- 32. Metz TO et al (2017) Integrating ion mobility spectrometry into mass spectrometry-based exposome measurements: what can it add and how far can it go? Bioanalysis 9(1):81–98
- Graham TR et al (2016) Precursor ion–ion aggregation in the Brust– Schiffrin synthesis of alkanethiol nanoparticles. J Phys Chem C 120(35):19837–19847
- 34. Zhou ZW et al (2016) Large-scale prediction of collision cross-section values for metabolites in ion mobility-mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 88(22):11084–11091
- 35. Zhou ZW et al (2017) LipidCCS: prediction of collision cross-section values for lipids with high precision to support ion mobility-mass spectrometry-based lipidomics. Anal Chem 89(17):9559–9566
- 36. Brockherde F et al (2017) Bypassing the Kohn–Sham equations with machine learning. Nat Commun 8:872
- Sarotti AM (2013) Successful combination of computationally inexpensive GIAO C-13 NMR calculations and artificial neural network pattern recognition: a new strategy for simple and rapid detection of structural misassignments. Org Biomol Chem 11(29):4847–4859
- Forsyth DA, Sebag AB (1997) Computed C-13 NMR chemical shifts via empirically scaled GIAO shieldings and molecular mechanics geometries. Conformation and configuration from C-13 shifts. J Am Chem Soc 119(40):9483–9494
- Casabianca LB, De Dios AC (2008) Ab initio calculations of NMR chemical shifts. J Chem Phys 128(5):052201
- Auer AA, Gauss J, Stanton JF (2003) Quantitative prediction of gas-phase C-13 nuclear magnetic shielding constants. J Chem Phys 118(23):10407–10417
- Mothana B, Ban FQ, Boyd RJ (2005) Validation of a computational scheme to study N-15 and C-13 nuclear shielding constants. Chem Phys Lett 401(1–3):7–12
- 42. Saito H (1986) Conformation-dependent C-13 chemical-shifts—a new means of conformational characterization as obtained by high-resolution solid-state C-13 NMR. Magn Reson Chem 24(10):835–852
- 43. Jaime C et al (1991) C-13 NMR chemical-shifts—a single rule to determine the conformation of calix[4]arenes. J Org Chem 56(10):3372–3376

- Yannoni CS et al (1991) C-13 NMR-study of the C60 cluster in the solidstate—molecular-motion and carbon chemical-shift anisotropy. J Phys Chem 95(1):9–10
- Kwan EE, Liu RY (2015) Enhancing NMR prediction for organic compounds using molecular dynamics. J Chem Theory Comput 11(11):5083–5089
- Malkin VG et al (1996) Solvent effect on the NMR chemical shieldings in water calculated by a combination of molecular dynamics and density functional theory. Chem Eur J 2(4):452–457
- 47. Casanovas J et al (2001) Calculated and experimental NMR chemical shifts of p-menthane-3,9-diols. A combination of molecular dynamics and quantum mechanics to determine the structure and the solvent effects. J Org Chem 66(11):3775–3782
- Benzi C et al (2004) Reliable NMR chemical shifts for molecules in solution by methods rooted in density functional theory. Magn Reson Chem 42:S57–S67
- Garcellano RC et al (2018) Isolation of tryptanthrin and reassessment of evidence for its isobaric isostere wrightiadione in plants of the Wrightia Genus. J Nat Prod. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatp rod.8b00567
- 50. Valiev M et al (2010) NWChem: a comprehensive and scalable opensource solution for large scale molecular simulations. Comput Phys Commun 181(9):1477–1489
- Klamt A, Schuurmann G (1993) Cosmo—a new approach to dielectric screening in solvents with explicit expressions for the screening energy and its gradient. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2(5):799–805
- Seca AML et al (2000) Chemical composition of the light petroleum extract of *Hibiscus cannabinus* bark and core. Phytochem Anal 11(6):345–350
- Watts HD, Mohamed MNA, Kubicki JD (2011) Comparison of multistandard and TMS-standard calculated NMR shifts for coniferyl alcohol and application of the multistandard method to lignin dimers. J Phys Chem B 115(9):1958–1970
- 54. The Open Babel Package version 2.3.1. http://openbabel.org. Accessed 16 Oct 2018
- 55. O'Boyle NM et al (2011) Open Babel: an open chemical toolbox. J Cheminform 3:33
- Halgren TA (1996) Merck molecular force field. 1. Basis, form, scope, parameterization, and performance of MMFF94. J Comput Chem 17(5–6):490–519
- Dalby A et al (1992) Description of several chemical-structure file formats used by computer-programs developed at Molecular Design Limited. J Chem Inf Comput Sci 32(3):244–255
- Smith SG, Goodman JM (2010) Assigning stereochemistry to single diastereoisomers by GIAO NMR calculation: the DP4 probability. J Am Chem Soc 132(37):12946–12959
- Brown SG, Jansma MJ, Hoye TR (2012) Case study of empirical and computational chemical shift analyses: reassignment of the relative configuration of phomopsichalasin to that of diaporthichalasin. J Nat Prod 75(7):1326–1331
- Aliev AE, Courtier-Murias D, Zhou S (2009) Scaling factors for carbon NMR chemical shifts obtained from DFF B3LYP calculations. J Mol Struct Theochem 893(1–3):1–5
- Baldridge KK, Siegel JS (1999) Correlation of empirical delta(TMS) and absolute NMR chemical shifts predicted by ab initio computations. J Phys Chem A 103(20):4038–4042
- 62. Pupier M et al (2018) NMReDATA, a standard to report the NMR assignment and parameters of organic compounds. Magn Reson Chem 56(8):703–715
- 63. Alver O (2011) DFT, FT-Raman, FT-IR, solution and solid state NMR studies of 2,4-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid. C R Chim 14(5):446–455
- 64. Asiri AM et al (2011) Synthesis, molecular conformation, vibrational and electronic transition, isometric chemical shift, polarizability and hyperpolarizability analysis of 3-(4-Methoxy-phenyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-acrylonitrile: a combined experimental and theoretical analysis. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 82(1):444–455
- 65. Bally T, Rablen PR (2011) Quantum-chemical simulation of H-1 NMR spectra. 2. Comparison of DFT-based procedures for computing proton–proton coupling constants in organic molecules. J Org Chem 76(12):4818–4830

- Bagno A, Rastrelli F, Saielli G (2008) Predicting the NMR spectra of nucleotides by DFT calculations: cyclic uridine monophosphate. Magn Reson Chem 46(6):518–524
- 67. Borkowski EJ, Suvire FD, Enriz RD (2010) Advances in correlation between experimental and DFT/GIAO computed C-13 NMR chemical shifts: a theoretical study on pentacyclic terpenoids (fernenes). J Mol Struct Theochem 953(1–3):83–90
- Coruh A et al (2011) Synthesis, molecular conformation, vibrational, electronic transition, and chemical shift assignments of 4-(thiophene-3-ylmethoxy)phthalonitrile: a combined experimental and theoretical analysis. Struct Chem 22(1):45–56
- Fulmer GR et al (2010) NMR chemical shifts of trace impurities: common laboratory solvents, organics, and gases in deuterated solvents relevant to the organometallic chemist. Organometallics 29(9):2176–2179
- Hill DE, Vasdev N, Holland JP (2015) Evaluating the accuracy of density functional theory for calculating H-1 and C-13 NMR chemical shifts in drug molecules. Comput Theor Chem 1051:161–172
- 71. Izgi T et al (2007) FT-IR and NMR investigation of 2-(1-cyclohexenyl)ethylamine: a combined experimental and theoretical study. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 68(1):55–62
- Karabacak M et al (2009) Experimental (UV, NMR, IR and Raman) and theoretical spectroscopic properties of 2-chloro-6-methylaniline. Mol Phys 107(3):253–264
- Krishnakumar V et al (2012) Molecular structure, vibrational spectra, HOMO, LUMO and NMR studies of 2-chloro-4-nitrotoluene and 4-chloro-2-nitrotoluene. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 91:1–10
- Krishnakumar V, Barathi D, Mathammal R (2012) Molecular structure, vibrational spectra, HOMO, LUMO and NMR studies of 1,2-dichloro-4-nitrobenzene and 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-1-nitrobenzene based on density functional calculations. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 86:196–204
- Krishnakumar V et al (2012) Molecular structure, spectroscopic studies (FTIR, FT-Raman and NMR) and HOMO–LUMO analysis of 6-chloro-ocresol and 4-chloro-3-methyl phenol by density functional theoretical study. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 97:144–154
- 76. Li YJ et al (2011) Screening and characterization of natural antioxidants in four Glycyrrhiza species by liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 1218(45):8181–8191
- Lomas JS (2016) H-1 NMR spectra of alcohols in hydrogen bonding solvents: DFT/GIAO calculations of chemical shifts. Magn Reson Chem 54(1):28–38
- Osmialowski B, Kolehmainen E, Gawinecki R (2001) GIAO/DFT calculated chemical shifts of tautomeric species. 2-Phenacylpyridines and (Z)-2-(2-hydroxy-2-phenylvinyl)pyridines. Magn Reson Chem 39(6):334–340
- Parlak C et al (2008) Molecular structure, NMR analyses, density functional theory and ab initio Hartree–Fock calculations of 4,4'-diaminooctafluorobiphenyl. J Mol Struct 891(1–3):151–156
- Perez M et al (2006) Accuracy versus time dilemma on the prediction of NMR chemical shifts: a case study (chloropyrimidines). J Org Chem 71(8):3103–3110
- Rablen PR, Pearlman SA, Finkbiner J (1999) A comparison of density functional methods for the estimation of proton chemical shifts with chemical accuracy. J Phys Chem A 103(36):7357–7363
- Sarotti AM, Pellegrinet SC (2012) Application of the multi-standard methodology for calculating H-1 NMR chemical shifts. J Org Chem 77(14):6059–6065
- Sarotti AM, Pellegrinet SC (2009) A multi-standard approach for GIAO C-13 NMR calculations. J Org Chem 74(19):7254–7260
- Sebastian S et al (2011) Quantum mechanical study of the structure and spectroscopic (FT-IR, FT-Raman, C-13, H-1 and UV), first order hyperpolarizabilities, NBO and TD-DFT analysis of the 4-methyl-2-cyanobiphenyl. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 78(2):590–600
- Senyel M, Unal A, Alver O (2009) Molecular structure, NMR analyses, density functional theory and ab initio Hartree–Fock calculations of 3-phenylpropylamine. C R Chim 12(6–7):808–815

- Senyel M, Alver O, Parlak C (2008) H-1, C-13, N-15 NMR and (n)J(C, H) coupling constants investigation of 3-piperidino-propylamine: a combined experimental and theoretical study. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 71(3):830–834
- Sridevi C, Shanthi G, Velraj G (2012) Structural, vibrational, electronic, NMR and reactivity analyses of 2-amino-4H-chromene-3-carbonitrile (ACC) by ab initio HF and DFT calculations. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 89:46–54
- Tormena CF, da Silva GVJ (2004) Chemical shifts calculations on aromatic systems: a comparison of models and basis sets. Chem Phys Lett 398(4–6):466–470
- Vijaya P, Sankaran KR (2015) A combined experimental and DFT study of a novel unsymmetrical azine 2-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-1-(1-(4-isobutylphenyl) ethylidene)hydrazine. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 138:460–473
- Wiitala KW, Hoye TR, Cramer CJ (2006) Hybrid density functional methods empirically optimized for the computation of C-13 and H-1 chemical shifts in chloroform solution. J Chem Theory Comput 2(4):1085–1092
- Wiitala KW et al (2007) Evaluation of various DFT protocols for computing H-1 and C-13 chemical shifts to distinguish stereoisomers: diastereomeric 2-, 3-, and 4-methylcyclohexanols as a test set. J Phys Org Chem 20(5):345–354
- 92. Willoughby PH, Jansma MJ, Hoye TR (2014) A guide to small-molecule structure assignment through computation of (H-1 and C-13) NMR chemical shifts. Nat Protoc 9(3):643–660
- Yang J, Huang SX, Zhao QS (2008) Structure revision of hassananes with use of quantum mechanical (13)C NMR chemical shifts and UV–Vis absorption spectra. J Phys Chem A 112(47):12132–12139
- 94. Becke AD (1988) Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic-behavior. Phys Rev A 38(6):3098–3100
- Lee CT, Yang WT, Parr RG (1988) Development of the Colle–Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron-density. Phys Rev B 37(2):785–789
- 96. Becke AD (1993) Density-functional thermochemistry. 3. The role of exact exchange. J Chem Phys 98(7):5648–5652
- 97. Hehre WJ (1986) Ab initio molecular orbital theory. Wiley-Interscience, New York
- Ruiz E, Nunzi F, Alvarez S (2006) Magnetic communication through functionalized nanotubes: a theoretical study. Nano Lett 6(3):380–384
- 99. Johnson JRT, Panas I (2001) Water adsorption and hydrolysis on molecular Al oxides and hydroxides—solvation versus cluster formation. Phys Chem Chem Phys 3(24):5482–5488
- 100. Becke AD (1993) A new mixing of Hartree–Fock and local densityfunctional theories. J Chem Phys 98(2):1372–1377
- Dunning TH (1989) Gaussian-basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. 1. The atoms boron through neon and hydrogen. J Chem Phys 90(2):1007–1023
- 102. Feller D (1996) The role of databases in support of computational chemistry calculations. J Comput Chem 17(13):1571–1586
- Schuchardt KL et al (2007) Basis set exchange: a community database for computational sciences. J Chem Inf Model 47(3):1045–1052
- 104. Basis Set Exchange. https://bse.pnl.gov/bse/portal. Accessed 16 Oct 2018
- Dupuis M (2001) New integral transforms for molecular properties and application to a massively parallel GIAO-SCF implementation. Comput Phys Commun 134(2):150–166
- 106. Case DA, Babin V, Berryman JT, Betz RM, Cai Q, Cerutti DS, Cheatham TE, III, Darden TA, Duke RE, Gohlke H, Goetz AW, Gusarov S, Homeyer N, Janowski P, Kaus J, Kolossváry I, Kovalenko A, Lee TS, LeGrand S, Luchko T, Luo R, Madej B, Merz KM, Paesani F, Roe DR, Roitberg A, Sagui C, Salomon-Ferrer R, Seabra G, Simmerling CL, Smith W, Swails J, Walker RC, Wang J, Wolf RM, Wu X, Kollman PA (2014) AMBER 14, University of California, San Francisco
- Ribeiro DS, Rittner R (2003) The role of hyperconjugation in the conformational analysis of methylcyclohexane and methylheterocyclohexanes. J Org Chem 68(17):6780–6787
- Abraham RJ, Ribeiro DS (2001) Conformational analysis. Part 36. A variable temperature C-13 NMR study of conformational equilibria in methyl substituted cycloalkanes. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2(3):302–307

- Freeman F, Kasner ML, Hehre WJ (2001) An ab initio molecular orbital theory study of the conformational free energies of 2-methyl-, 3-methyl-, and 4-methyltetrahydro-2H-pyran. J Mol Struct Theochem 574:19–26
- Cascade Supercomputer. https://www.emsl.pnnl.gov/emslweb/instr uments/computing-cascade-atipa-1440-intel-xeon-phi-node-fdr-infin iband-linux-cluster. Accessed 16 Oct 2018
- 111. NWChem: Open source high-performance computational chemistry. www.nwchem-sw.org. Accessed 16 Oct 2018
- 112. NWChem: Open source high-performance computational chemistry— Github. https://github.com/nwchemgit. Accessed 16 Oct 2018
- 113. Tuan NQ et al (2017) A grayanotox-9(1)-ene derivative from Rhododendron brachycarpum and its structural assignment via a protocol combining NMR and DP4 plus application. Phytochemistry 133:45–50
- 114. Barone G et al (2002) Determination of the relative stereochemistry of flexible organic compounds by ab initio methods: conformational analysis and Boltzmann-averaged GIAO C-13 NMR chemical shifts. Chem Eur J 8(14):3240–3245
- 115. Barone G et al (2002) Structure validation of natural products by quantum-mechanical GIAO calculations of C-13 NMR chemical shifts. Chem Eur J 8(14):3233–3239
- 116. Remya K, Suresh CH (2013) Which density functional is close to CCSD accuracy to describe geometry and interaction energy of small noncovalent dimers? A benchmark study using gaussian09. J Comput Chem 34(15):1341–1353
- Zhao Y, Truhlar DG (2008) Improved description of nuclear magnetic resonance chemical shielding constants using the M06-L metageneralized-gradient-approximation density functional. J Phys Chem A 112(30):6794–6799
- Magyarfalvi G, Pulay P (2003) Assessment of density functional methods for nuclear magnetic resonance shielding calculations. J Chem Phys 119(3):1350–1357
- Cimino P et al (2004) Comparison of different theory models and basis sets in the calculation of C-13 NMR chemical shifts of natural products. Magn Reson Chem 42:S26–S33
- 120. Cramer CJ, Truhlar DG (1999) Implicit solvation models: equilibria, structure, spectra, and dynamics. Chem Rev 99(8):2161–2200
- 121. Reddy G, Yethiraj A (2006) Implicit and explicit solvent models for the simulation of dilute polymer solutions. Macromolecules 39(24):8536–8542
- 122. Bagno A, Rastrelli F, Saielli G (2003) Predicting C-13 NMR spectra by DFT calculations. J Phys Chem A 107(46):9964–9973
- Wang B et al (2001) Accurate prediction of proton chemical shifts. I. Substituted aromatic hydrocarbons. J Comput Chem 22(16):1887–1895
- 124. Wang B, Hinton JF, Pulay P (2002) Accurate prediction of proton chemical shifts. II. Peptide analogues. J Comput Chem 23(4):492–497
- 125. Smirnov SN et al (1996) Hydrogen deuterium isotope effects on the NMR chemical shifts and geometries of intermolecular low-barrier hydrogen-bonded complexes. J Am Chem Soc 118(17):4094–4101
- 126. Benedict H et al (1996) Hydrogen/deuterium isotope effects on the N-15 NMR chemical shifts and geometries of low-barrier hydrogen bonds in the solid state. J Mol Struct 378(1):11–16
- 127. Gidley MJ, Bociek SM (1988) C-13 Cp/MAS NMR-studies of amylose inclusion complexes, cyclodextrins, and the amorphous phase of starch granules—relationships between glycosidic linkage conformation and solid-state C-13 chemical-shifts. J Am Chem Soc 110(12):3820–3829
- 128. Buckingham AD (1960) Chemical shifts in the nuclear magnetic resonance spectra of molecules containing polar groups. Can J Chem Rev Can Chim 38(2):300–307
- Gauss J (1993) Effects of electron correlation in the calculation of nuclear-magnetic-resonance chemical-shifts. J Chem Phys 99(5):3629–3643
- Lodewyk MW, Siebert MR, Tantillo DJ (2012) Computational prediction of 1H and 13C chemical shifts: a useful tool for natural product, mechanistic, and synthetic organic chemistry. Chem Rev 112(3):1839–1862
- 131. Flaig D et al (2014) Benchmarking hydrogen and carbon NMR chemical shifts at HF, DFT, and MP2 levels. J Chem Theory Comput 10(2):572–578
- 132. Gregor T, Mauri F, Car R (1999) A comparison of methods for the calculation of NMR chemical shifts. J Chem Phys 111(5):1815–1822

- 133. Teale AM et al (2013) Benchmarking density-functional theory calculations of NMR shielding constants and spin–rotation constants using accurate coupled-cluster calculations. J Chem Phys 138(2):024111
- 134. Wu A et al (2007) Systematic studies on the computation of nuclear magnetic resonance shielding constants and chemical shifts: the density functional models. J Comput Chem 28(15):2431–2442
- Giesen DJ, Zumbulyadis N (2002) A hybrid quantum mechanical and empirical model for the prediction of isotropic C-13 shielding constants of organic molecules. Phys Chem Chem Phys 4(22):5498–5507
- Jain R, Bally T, Rablen PR (2009) Calculating accurate proton chemical shifts of organic molecules with density functional methods and modest basis sets. J Org Chem 74(11):4017–4023
- 137. Gao HW et al (2010) Comparison of different theory models and basis sets in the calculations of structures and C-13 NMR spectra of [Pt(en) (CBDCA-O, O')], an analogue of the antitumor drug carboplatin. J Phys Chem B 114(11):4056–4062
- 138. Xin D et al (2017) Development of a (13)C NMR chemical shift prediction procedure using B3LYP/cc-pVDZ and empirically derived systematic error correction terms: a computational small molecule structure elucidation method. J Org Chem 82(10):5135–5145
- Zhang Y et al (2006) OPBE: a promising density functional for the calculation of nuclear shielding constants. Chem Phys Lett 421(4–6):383–388
- 140. d'Antuono P et al (2008) A joined theoretical–experimental investigation on the 1H and 13C NMR signatures of defects in poly(vinyl chloride). J Phys Chem B 112(47):14804–14818
- Grimblat N, Zanardi MM, Sarotti AM (2015) Beyond DP4: an improved probability for the stereochemical assignment of isomeric compounds using quantum chemical calculations of NMR shifts. J Org Chem 80(24):12526–12534
- 142. Navarro-Vazquez A (2017) State of the art and perspectives in the application of quantum chemical prediction of H-1 and C-13 chemical shifts and scalar couplings for structural elucidation of organic compounds. Magn Reson Chem 55(1):29–32
- 143. Ermanis K et al (2017) Doubling the power of DP4 for computational structure elucidation. Org Biomol Chem 15(42):8998–9007

- 144. Pierens GK (2014) H-1 and C-13 NMR scaling factors for the calculation of chemical shifts in commonly used solvents using density functional theory. J Comput Chem 35(18):1388–1394
- 145. Kohavi R (1995) A study of cross-validation and bootstrap for accuracy estimation and model selection. In: International joint conference on articial intelligence
- 146. Dubitzky W, Granzow M, Berrar DP (2007) Fundamentals of data mining in genomics and proteomics. Springer, Berlin
- 147. Xu Q-S, Liang Y-Z (2001) Monte Carlo cross validation. Chemom Intell Lab Syst 56(1):1–11
- Li DW, Bruschweiler R (2010) Certification of molecular dynamics trajectories with NMR chemical shifts. J Phys Chem Lett 1(1):246–248
- 149. Di Micco S et al (2013) Plakilactones G and H from a marine sponge. Stereochemical determination of highly flexible systems by quantitative NMR-derived interproton distances combined with quantum mechanical calculations of C-13 chemical shifts. Beilstein J Org Chem 9:2940–2949
- 150. Hirsch JA (1967) Table of conformational energies. Top Stereochem 1:199
- Beckett CW, Pitzer KS, Spitzer R (1947) The thermodynamic properties and molecular structure of cyclohexane, methylcyclohexane, ethylcyclonexane and the seven dimethylcyclohexanes. J Am Chem Soc 69(10):2488–2495
- 152. Streitwieser A, Heathcock CH, Kosower E (1992) Introduction to organic chemistry. Macmillan, New York
- 153. Smith MB, March J (1992) March's advanced organic chemistry. Wiley, New York
- 154. Solomons TWG (2000) Organic chemistry. Wiley, New York
- 155. Frisch MJ et al (2016) Gaussian 16 Rev. B.01. Gaussian, Inc, Wallingford
- 156. Booth H, Everett JR (1980) Experimental-determination of the conformational free-energy—enthalpy, and entropy differences for alkyl-groups in alkylcyclohexanes by low-temperature C-13 magneticresonance spectroscopy. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2(2):255–259

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

