AN ELEMENTARY METHOD FOR OBTAINING LOWER BOUNDS ON THE ASYMPTOTIC POWER OF RANK TESTS¹

By Joseph L. Gastwirth and Stephen S. Wolff

The Johns Hopkins University

1. Introduction and summary. The rapid development of non-parametric rank tests was generated, in part, by the result of Hodges and Lehmann [6] which stated that the asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) of the Wilcoxon test to the classical two-sample t-test was always \geq .86. They also conjectured that the ARE of the normal scores test to the t-test was always greater than or equal to one. In 1958, Chernoff and Savage [3] proved the validity of this conjecture using variational methods. In this paper we give a simple proof of their result.

Recently Doksum [4] has shown that the Savage test [11] maximizes the minimum asymptotic power for testing for scale change over the family of distributions with increasing failure rate averages (IFRA) [2]. The technique of our proof enables us to obtain a lower bound for the asymptotic power of Savage's test for scale change of any positive random variable possessing a finite second moment. When the positive random variables are restricted to be IFRA, Doksum's [4] results follow.

2. The proof of the result of Chernoff and Savage. It is known ([3], [7]) that the asymptotic efficacy of the normal scores two-sample test for change in location is given by

(2.1)
$$(\int J'(F(x))f^{2}(x) dx)^{2},$$

where $J(u) = \Phi^{-1}(u)$ (the inverse of the cdf of the standard normal rv) and $J'(u) = [\varphi(\Phi^{-1}(u))]^{-1}$, provided that the density f(x) exists and satisfies mild regularity conditions ([7], p. 313). Also, the asymptotic efficacy of the two-sample t-test is $1/\sigma^2$, where σ^2 is the variance of the underlying cdf F(x). Thus the asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) of the normal scores test to the t-test for samples from F(x) is

(2.2)
$$A_{N,t}(F) = \sigma^2 I^2(F),$$

where

(2.3)
$$I(F) = \int J'(F(x))f^{2}(x) dx.$$

We refer the reader to [3] and [6] for formal definitions of efficacy and ARE. The Chernoff-Savage theorem is

THEOREM 2.1. If F is a cdf with density f and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$, then $A_{N,t}(F) \ge 1$ and $A_{N,t}(F) = 1$ if and only if F is normal.

Received 14 February 1968.

¹ This research was supported by NSF Grant GP-7118, in the case of the first author, and ONR Contract Nonr-4010(13), in the case of the second author.

Our development rests on Jensen's inequality ([8], p.159 or [10], p.46): Let g(x) be measurable and convex on an open interval S. Let X be a rv with $EX < \infty$ and $P(X \in S) = 1$. Then $E[g(X)] \ge g(EX)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1 Without loss of generality we may assume that $\int x f(x) dx = 0$. Since g(x) = 1/x is convex on the open interval $(0, \infty)$ and the rv $J'(F(X))f(X) = f(X)/\varphi(\Phi^{-1}(F(X)))$ is positive wp 1 with respect to the measure with density f(x), Jensen's inequality can be applied to the integral in expression (2.3) yielding

(2.4)
$$I(F) = \int J'(F(x))f^{2}(x) dx \ge \left[\int \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(F(x))) dx \right]^{-1}.$$

Integrating the right side of (2.4) by parts yields

$$(2.5) [I(F)]^{-1} \le x\varphi(\Phi^{-1}(F(x)))|_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int x\Phi^{-1}(F(x))f(x) dx.$$

The first term on the right side of inequality (2.5) can be seen to vanish by an elementary application of Chebyshev's inequality and a bound on the tail probability of the standard normal cdf ([5], p. 166). Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one obtains

(2.6)
$$[I(F)]^{-1} \le [\sigma^2 \int [\Phi^{-1}(F(x))]^2 f(x) \ dx]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

or

(2.7)
$$\sigma^2 I^2(F) \ge \left[\int \left[\Phi^{-1}(F(x)) \right]^2 f(x) \ dx \right]^{-1} = 1,$$

with equality if and only if $\Phi^{-1}(F(x)) = x/\sigma$, i.e., if $F(x) = \Phi(x/\sigma)$.

REMARK. The proof consists of two steps. A lower bound for the efficacy is established in (2.4) and then this lower bound is shown to attain its minimum at the normal cdf. A similar proof can be based on the concavity of the log function and the well-known information-theoretic fact that among all densities with a specified variance σ_0^2 , the entropy is maximized by the normal density with variance σ_0^2 ([12], pp. 55–56). We omit the details because the proof of Shannon's inequality is based on variational methods, which we wished to avoid.

3. The scale problem for positive random variables. Doksum [4] proved that if F and G are defined by

$$F(t) = H(t/\theta)$$
 and $G(t) = H(t/\gamma)$,

where H is an unknown continuous IFRA distribution with H(0) = 0, then for the two-sample problem where one tests the equality of the means of F and G, the Savage statistic maximizes the minimum power over IFRA distributions asymptotically. In this section we show that a lower bound for the efficacy of the Savage test for positive random variables with a finite second moment can be derived using Jensen's inequality.

Doksum [4] has essentially shown that if H(0) = 0 and if H has a density h then the efficacy of the Savage test [11] is given by

(3.1)
$$e = \int_0^\infty th(t) (1 - H(t))^{-1} h(t) dt.$$

Actually, Doksum showed that (3.1) is the key parameter in the expression for the asymptotic power of the Savage test. It equals the efficacy under mild regularity conditions, analogous to Lemma 3 of [7], which justify differentiating under an integral sign in calculating Pitman efficiency. Since the referee has kindly informed us that such conditions have been given in a recent paper by Govindarajulu, we shall not discuss them in detail as our result holds whenever (3.1) is valid. We now prove

Theorem 3.1. For any positive random variable with density h, mean μ , and second moment μ_2 , such that the efficacy of the Savage test is given by (3.1), the efficacy of the Savage test is always $\geq 2\mu^2/\mu_2$.

Proof. Since $th(t)/\mu$ is a probability density (3.1) can be expressed as

(3.2)
$$e = \mu \int_0^\infty h(t) (1 - H(t))^{-1} t h(t) \mu^{-1} dt.$$

Applying Jensen's inequality with $X = (1 - H(T))(h(T))^{-1}$ and g(x) = 1/x, one sees that (3.2) implies

(3.3)
$$e \ge \mu E[g(X)] \ge \mu^2 / \{ \int_0^\infty [1 - H(t)t \, dt] \} = 2\mu^2 / \mu_2.$$

REMARK 1. Since Barlow, Marshall and Proschan [1] have essentially shown that for IFRA cdf's, $\mu_2 \leq 2\mu^2$ with equality only at the exponential distribution, Doksum's Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of our Theorem 3.1.

Remark 2. While this paper dealt with the two-sample problem, the results of Puri [9] show that the lower bounds given extend to both corresponding c-sample problems.

REFERENCES

- [1] BARLOW, R. E. MARSHALL, A. W. and PROSCHAN, F. (1963). Properties of probability distributions with monotone hazard rate. Ann. Math. Statist. 34 375-389.
- [2] BIRNBAUM, Z. W., ESARY, J. O. and MARSHALL, A. W. (1966). A stochastic characterization of wear out for components and systems. Ann. Math. Statist. 37 816-826.
- [3] CHERNOFF, H. and SAVAGE, I. R. (1958). Asymptotic normality and efficiency of certain non-parametric tests. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **29** 972-994.
- [4] Doksum, K. A. (1967). Asymptotically optimal statistics in some models with increasing failure rate averages. Ann. Math. Statist. 38 1731-1739.
- [5] Feller, W. (1957). An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications (2nd ed.). Wiley, New York.
- [6] HODGES, J. L., JR. and LEHMANN, E. L. (1956). The efficiency of some non-parametric competitors of the t-test. Ann. Math. Statist. 27 324-335.
- [7] HODGES, J. L., JR. and LEHMANN, E. L. (1961). Comparison of the normal scores and Wilcoxon tests. Proc. Fourth Berkeley, Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. 1 307-317.
- [8] Loève, M. (1960). Probability Theory (2nd ed.). Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J.
- [9] Puri, M. L. (1964). Asymptotic efficiency of a class of c-sample tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 35 102-121.
- [10] RAO, C. R. (1965). Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications. Wiley, New York.
- [11] SAVAGE, I. R. (1956). Contributions to the theory of rank order statistics: Two sample case. Ann. Math. Statist. 27 590-616.
- [12] Shannon, C. E. and Weaver, W. (1949). A Mathematical Theory of Communication. Univ. of Illinois Press, Urbana, Ill.