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One of the important problems in physics is to relate the masses and quantum numbers of elementary particles. There are many approaches to the problem from group theoretical ${ }^{1)}$ or composite models. ${ }^{2)} \mathrm{We}$ expect that it may be possible to relate masses to quantum numbers by a (yet unknown) dynamical mechanism with constructive force different from interactive one.*) In this note we propose an empirical mass formula for mesons. The relevant formula is required to give a deep insight into dynamical origin of masses and should be suitable for overall fittings if possible. Taking into account this requirement, in

[^0]our formula we will choose a small number of parameters and make coefficients of quantum numbers rational numbers. We can apply the formula to most of the meson particles listed in Ref. 4).*)

Our empirical mass formula for mesons is

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{e \mathrm{i}}^{2} & \left(J^{P}, Y^{\prime}=|Y|, T\right) \\
= & c_{1}+c_{2}\left[J(J+1)+Y^{\prime}\left(Y^{\prime}+1\right)\right. \\
& -6 T(T+1)]+\frac{1}{4} J(J+1), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{\text {ei }}$ denotes eigenvalues of mass operator and $J$ is spin, $P$ parity, $Y$ hypercharge and $T$ isospin of particles respectively, and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are parameters. We will apply formula (1) to mesons which have $J^{P}=0^{ \pm}, 1^{ \pm}$, $2^{ \pm}, T=\frac{1}{2}, 1,0$ and $Y= \pm 1,0$. From (1), the mass relation for a multiplet with the same spin-parity $J^{ \pm}$(we call this multiplet a spin-multiplet) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
12 M_{\mathrm{ei}}^{2}\left(J^{ \pm}, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right)-2.5 M_{\mathrm{ei}}^{2}\left(J^{ \pm}, 0,1\right) \\
=9.5 M_{\mathrm{ei}}^{2}\left(J^{ \pm}, 0,0\right), \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

c.f., the $S U(3)$ mass formula for mesons is

$$
M^{2}=A+B\left[T(T+1)-\frac{1}{4} Y^{2}\right]
$$

*) We make some remarks: $\boldsymbol{E}$ meson has probably $J^{P}=0^{-}$but an assignment $J^{P}=1^{+}$is not excluded and $M_{A_{2}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{A_{2} L}^{2}+M_{A_{2} H}^{2}\right)$.

Table I. Comparison of mass relation (2) with experimental data.4) Here the fourth column gives outputs. Parentheses in the fourth column represent values from $S U(3)$ mass relations.

| $J_{J^{P}} M^{2}$ | $M_{\text {ex }}{ }^{2}\left(J^{P}, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $M_{\text {ex }}{ }^{2}\left(J^{P}, 0,1\right)$ | $M_{\text {ei }}{ }^{2}\left(J^{P}, 0,0\right)$ | Experiments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{-}$ | $M_{K}{ }^{2}=0.246(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$ | $M_{\pi}{ }^{2}=0.019$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.306 \\ (0.322) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{\eta}^{2}=0.301 \\ & M_{\eta^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)}^{2}=0.917 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1^{-}$ | $M_{R^{*}}^{2}=0.796 \pm 0.045$ | $M_{\rho}{ }^{2}=0.585 \pm 0.095$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.852 \\ (0.866) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{\phi}{ }^{2}=1.039 \pm 0.004 \\ & M_{\omega}{ }^{2}=0.614 \pm 0.009 \\ & \frac{1}{2}\left(M_{\phi}{ }^{2}+M_{\omega}{ }^{2}\right)=0.827 \end{aligned}$ |
| $2^{+}$ | $M_{K_{N}}^{2}=1.985 \pm 0.135$ | $M_{A_{2}}^{2}=1.69$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.063 \\ (2.083) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{f^{\prime}}^{2}=2.29 \pm 0.11 \\ & M_{f^{2}}^{2}=1.60 \pm 0.19 \\ & \frac{1}{2}\left(M_{f^{\prime}}^{2}+M_{f}^{2}\right)=1.945 \end{aligned}$ |
| $0^{+}$ | $M_{K_{\pi}}^{2}=1.166$ | $M_{\delta}{ }^{2}=0.933$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.227 \\ (1.244) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{S^{*}}^{2}=1.13 \pm 0.09 \\ & M_{\sigma_{(\varepsilon)}}^{2}=0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1{ }^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{K_{A}}^{2} & =1.54 \pm 0.08 \\ & =1.77 \pm 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $M_{A_{1}}^{2}=1.14 \pm 0.10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.645(1.673) \\ & 1.936 \text { (1.980) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{D}^{2}=1.66 \pm 0.04 \\ & M_{E}^{2}=2.02 \pm 0.10 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{K_{A}}^{2} & =1.54 \pm 0.08 \\ & =1.77 \pm 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $M_{B}{ }^{2}=1.53 \pm 0.13$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1.543(1.543) \\ 1.833 & (1.850) \end{array}$ | ? |
| $2{ }^{-}$ | $M_{K_{A}}^{2}(L)=3.15 \pm 0.14$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{\pi_{A}\left(A_{8}\right)}^{2}= & 2.67 \\ & \pm 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.276 \\ (3.310) \end{gathered}$ | ? |

i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
4 M^{2}\left(J^{ \pm}, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right) & -M^{2}\left(J^{ \pm}, 0,1\right) \\
& =3 M^{2}\left(J^{ \pm}, 0,0\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Results from formula (2) are shown in Table I.

Formula (2) for respective spin-multiplet is in agreement with the experimental data.

Next we group mesons into two multiplets (we call it empirical super-multiplet) in which several spin-multiplets with different spin-parity are contained, and apply formula (1) to respective empirical supermultiplet. Here we choose $\left[0^{-}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}\right]$and $\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}\right]$as two empirical super-multiplets. Results from formula (1) are shown in Tables II- (a) and II-(b).

Characteristic features of overall fittings of (1) are as follows:
(A) We can get overall fittings of two empirical supermultiplets by two parameters.
(B) The empirical super-multiplet $\left[0^{-}, 1^{-}\right.$,
$2^{+}$] which contains well-established spinmultiplets fits the formula better than [ $0^{+}$, $1^{+}, 2^{-}$].
(C) There is a common term, $\frac{1}{4} J(J+1)$, in formula (1).
(D) As experimental data for mesons having $J^{P}=1^{+}$, there seems to exist two groups One group includes lighter $K_{A}, A_{1}$ and $D$ mesons and the other heavier $K_{A}$ and $B$ mesons. Our formula (1) for given values of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ may represent the second group. (E) A mean (mass) ${ }^{2}$ difference between $\left[0^{-}\right.$, $\left.1^{-}, 2^{+}\right]$and $\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}\right]$is $0.921(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$ because the respective values of $c_{2}$ for $\left[0^{-}\right.$, $\left.1^{-}, 2^{+}\right]$and $\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}\right]$are almost the same.

Two simple results (A) and (E) cannot be easily understood for the $S U(6)$ mass formula*)

[^1]Table II. Comparison of formula (1) with experimental data.4) Here $M_{\text {ei }}^{2}$ means predicted mass values.
(a) $\left[0^{-}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}\right] c_{1}=0.306(\mathrm{GeV})^{2} c_{2}=0.024(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$

| $J^{P}$ | $Y^{\prime}=\|Y\|$ | $T$ | $M_{\text {ei }}^{2}(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$ | $M^{2}$ experiment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $0^{-}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.246 (input) | $M_{K^{2}}{ }^{2}=0.246$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0.019 (input) | $M_{\pi^{2}}=0.019$ |
| $1^{-}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.306 | $M_{\eta}{ }^{2}=0.301$ |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.794 | $M_{K^{*}}=0.796 \pm 0.045$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0.567 | $M_{\rho}{ }^{2}=0.585 \pm 0.095$ |
| $2^{+}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.854 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{\phi}{ }^{2}+M_{\omega}{ }^{2}\right)=0.827$ |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1.889 | $M_{K_{N}}^{2}=1.985 \pm 0.135$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1.662 | $M_{A_{2}}^{2}=1.69$ |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1.949 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{f^{\prime}}^{2}+M_{f^{2}}{ }^{2}=1.945\right.$ |

(b) $\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}\right] c_{1}=1.227(\mathrm{GeV})^{2} c_{2}=0.025(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$

| $J^{P}$ | $Y^{\prime}=\|Y\|$ | $T$ | $M_{\mathrm{ei}}^{2}(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$ | $M^{2}$ experiment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $0^{+}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1.166 (input) | $M_{K_{\pi}}^{2}=1.166$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0.933 (input) | $M_{\delta}^{2}=0.933$ |
| $1^{+}$ | 0 | 0 | 1.227 | $M_{S^{*}}^{2}=1.13 \pm 0.09$ |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1.715 | $M_{K_{A}}^{2}=1.54 \pm 0.08$ or |
|  |  |  |  | $1.77 \pm 0.09$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1.482 | $M_{A_{1}}^{2}=1.14 \pm 0.10$, |
| $2^{-}$ | 0 | 0 | 1.776 | $M_{B^{2}=1.53 \pm 0.13}$ |
|  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2.813 | $M_{D^{2}=1.66 \pm 0.04}$ |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2.580 | $M_{R_{A^{(L)}}=3.15 \pm 0.14}$ |
|  | 0 | 0 | 2.874 | $M_{\pi_{A}\left(A_{8}\right)}^{2}=2.67 \pm 0.15$ |

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=a+b\left[T(T+1)-\frac{1}{4} Y^{2}\right]+c J(J+1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Further

(F) Since we get $c_{1}\left(\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}\right]\right)-c_{1}\left(\left[0^{-}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.1^{-}, 2^{+}\right]\right)=0.921(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$ and on the other hand an experimental value of mean (mass) ${ }^{2}$ of $\left[0^{-}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}\right]$is $0.919(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$, we think that these values $\sim 0.9(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$ may represent a global structure of meson mass spectrum.*)

Though we applied formula (1) to $\left[0^{-}\right.$,

[^2]$\left.1^{-}, 2^{+}\right]$and $\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}\right]$, taking the same parameters within respective empirical su-per-multiplets, we do not know a theory which derives these classifications of mesons. It is not always necessary to insist on this classification. For example, it may be possible to classify such as $\left[0^{-}, 1^{-}\right]$, $\left[2^{+}, \cdots\right], \cdots$ and $\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}\right],\left[2^{-}, \cdots\right], \cdots$. In this case, however, we cannot include $g$ meson ( $J^{P}=1^{-}, 3^{-}, \cdots$ with $3^{-}$favored) in $\left[2^{+}, \cdots\right]$ or $\left[2^{-}, \cdots\right]$.

We do not yet derive the mass formula theoretically, but anticipate that formula (1) will be derived from an internal mechanism of particles and, moreover, the se-
cond and third terms of formula (1) correspond to an anharmonic oscillator and a rotator ${ }^{5)}$ respectively.
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[^0]:    *) The constructive force means the one which works in internal spaces of particles and constructs masses of particles. The interactive force is an origin of interactions between particles.

[^1]:    *) This does not mean that $S U(6)$ symmetry is wrong, because in $S U(6)$ symmetry the formula (4) is not the most general mass formula and there is no such classification as $\left[0^{-}, 1^{-}, 2^{+}\right]$ and $\left[0^{+}, 1^{+}, 2^{-}\right]$.

[^2]:    *) On the other hand, fine structures of masses are already pointed out, for example, by Nambu and Takabayasi. ${ }^{3}$ )

