

AN EXAMPLE RELATED TO THE AFFINE THEOREM OF CASTELNUOVO

Jeffrey Lang

In a recent article, Peter Russell [13] proved the following theorem.

(Affine theorem of Castelnuovo): *Suppose k is perfect. Let $A \subset k^{[2]}$ be a finitely generated, regular k -algebra of dimension 2 such that $\bar{k} \otimes_k A$ is factorial (\bar{k} an algebraic closure of k) and $qt(k^{[2]})/qt(A)$ is a separable extension. Then $A \cong k^{[2]}$.*

The question that naturally arises is: Does the conclusion of the theorem still hold if we drop the condition that $qt(k^{[2]})/qt(A)$ is a separable extension?

We answer in the negative, by considering the surface $X_1: z^2 = x(xy + 1)^2 + y^3$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic two.

The coordinate ring of X_1 is isomorphic to $A = k[x^2, y^2, x(xy + 1)^2 + y^3]$. Using methods of P. Samuel we show that A is factorial. All of the other conditions of the theorem are easily seen to be met except that $qt(k^{[2]})/qt(A)$ is a purely inseparable extension. Let \tilde{X} be a smooth projective model of $qt(A)$. We show that the geometric genus $p_g(\tilde{X}) > 0$ which implies that \tilde{X} is not rational, hence A is not isomorphic to $k^{[2]}$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ and $F \subseteq A_k^3$ be a normal affine surface defined by an equation of the form $z^p = G(x, y)$ where $G(x, y) \in k[x, y] \setminus k[x^p, y^p]$. Then the coordinate ring of F is isomorphic to $B = k[x^p, y^p, G]$. (In general this is not a k -isomorphism unless the coefficients of G are in the prime subfield of k).

Let $D: k(x, y) \rightarrow k(x, y)$ be the k -derivation defined by $D(x) = \partial G / \partial y$, $D(y) = -\partial G / \partial x$. Let $R = D^{-1}(0) \cap k[x, y]$.

LEMMA 1.1. $R = B$.

Proof. We have that $Dx \neq 0$ or $Dy \neq 0$ since $G(x, y) \notin k[x^p, y^p]$. Thus we have that $k(x^p, y^p) \subsetneq qt(B) \subsetneq qt(R) \subsetneq k(x, y)$. Since $[k(x, y):k(x^p, y^p)] = p^2$ we see that $qt(B) = qt(R)$. But R is integral over B and B is integrally closed. We conclude that $R = B$.

The following are results of P. Samuel given in his Tata notes (see [14], pp. 61-65).

1.2. Let $Cl(B)$ denote the divisor class group of B . Then $Cl(B)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{L} , the additive group of logarithmic derivatives of D , where

Received April 1, 1981.

Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981),

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \frac{Df}{f} \mid f \in k(x, y) \text{ and } \frac{Df}{f} \in k[x, y] \right\}.$$

1.3 There exists $a \in B$ such that $D^p = aD$.

1.4. An element $t \in k[x, y]$ is in $\mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow D^{p-1}(t) - at = -t^p$.

LEMMA 1.5. If $t \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\deg(t) \leq \deg(G) - 2$.

Proof. Suppose $t \in \mathcal{L}$. Then there exists $f \in k(x, y)$ such that $Df/f = t$. Since $k(x, y)$ is a purely inseparable extension of $qt(B)$ of degree p , there exists $\alpha_i \in qt(B)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, such that $f = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{p-1} x^{p-1}$. Combining the α_i under a common denominator we see that we can write $f = h/g$ for some $h \in k[x, y]$, $g \in B$. Since $Dg = 0$ we obtain $Dh/h = t$. We have that

$$\deg(Dh) \leq \deg(h) + \deg(G) - 2.$$

From this we conclude that $\deg(t) \leq \deg(G) - 2$.

Remark. By bounding the degree of any logarithmic derivative we obtain a method for computing the divisor class group of any normal surface of the form $z^p = G(x, y)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. We write t as a polynomial in x and y of degree $= \deg(G) - 2$ with undetermined coefficients. We then substitute t into our differential equation (1.4) and compare coefficients. The number of solutions will be the order of the class group. Since $\mathcal{L} \subseteq k[x, y]$, each element in the class group will have p -torsion. From this it can be shown that the class group is a finite p -group of type (p, p, \dots, p) (see [7] and [10]).

2. FACTORIALITY

We now restrict our attention to the case where the characteristic of k is two.

We then are considering normal surfaces of the form $z^2 = G(x, y)$. We now compute a in (1.3).

LEMMA 2.1. $a = G_{xy}$.

Proof. As usual we can assume $G_x \neq 0$. Then $D(y) = G_x$ and $D^2(y) = G_{xy}G_x$. Thus $a = D^2(y)/D(y) = G_{xy}$.

Remark. This implies that in characteristic two that $G_{xy} \in \mathcal{L}$. From this we conclude that for a generic choice of G , the surface $z^2 = G(x, y)$ has nontrivial divisor class group. For if $G_{xy} \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{L} \neq 0$.

Thus when the characteristic is two our differential equation (1.4) becomes

$$2.2. \quad Dt + at = t^2.$$

We can rewrite this equation as

$$2.3. \quad t_x G_y + t_y G_x + G_{xy} t = t^2.$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.3) with respect to x (resp., y) we obtain $t_{xy}G_x = 0$ (resp., $t_{xy}G_y = 0$).

Therefore, we have that

$$2.4. \quad t \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow t_{xy} = 0.$$

Let us now calculate $\text{Cl}(A)$ where $A = k[x^2, y^2, x(xy + 1)^2 + y^3]$. By (1.2) $\text{Cl}(A) \cong \mathcal{L}$. Let $t \in \mathcal{L}$. By (1.5) $\deg(t) \leq 3$ and by (2.4) $t_{xy} = 0$. Thus t must be of the form

$$2.5 \quad t = (\alpha_{00} + \alpha_{20}x^2 + \alpha_{02}y^2) + (\alpha_{10} + \alpha_{30}x^2 + \alpha_{12}y^2)x + (\alpha_{01} + \alpha_{03}y^2 + \alpha_{21}x^2)y$$

for some $\alpha_{ij} \in k$.

Since $\partial^2/\partial x \partial y (x(xy + 1)^2 + y^3) = 0$ our equation (2.3) becomes

$$2.6 \quad t_x y^2 + t_y (xy + 1)^2 = t^2.$$

Substituting the expression for t in (2.5) into equation (2.6) we obtain

$$2.7. \quad (\alpha_{10} + \alpha_{30}x^2 + \alpha_{12}y^2)y^2 + (\alpha_{01} + \alpha_{03}y^2 + \alpha_{22}x^2)(xy + 1)^2 = \alpha_{00}^2 + \alpha_{20}^2x^4 + \alpha_{02}^2y^4 + \alpha_{10}^2x^2 + \alpha_{30}^2x^6 + \alpha_{12}^2x^2y^4 + \alpha_{01}^2y^2 + \alpha_{03}^2y^6 + \alpha_{21}^2x^4y^2.$$

Comparing coefficients in (2.7) we see that each $\alpha_{ij} = 0$. This implies that $t = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{L} = 0$. Therefore A is factorial.

3. NON RATIONALITY

It remains to show that A is not isomorphic to $k^{[2]}$. We will accomplish this by showing that X_1 is not rational. We do this in the following steps.

Step 1. We make X_1 an affine piece of a projective k -scheme X .

Step 2. We define a double differential σ on X .

Step 3. We resolve X to obtain a smooth projective surface \tilde{X} , birational to X_1 , and show that σ lifts to a nonzero regular differential $\tilde{\sigma}$ on \tilde{X} .

It then follows that $p_g(\tilde{X}) > 0$ and that X_1 is not rational.

Step 1. Let X_2 be the surface in A_k^3 defined by the equation $w^2 = u^3v + uv^5 + v^3$ and X_3 be the surface defined by the equation $t^2 = r^2s + s^5 + r^3s^3$. We then glue X_1, X_2 , and X_3 together in the following way.

Let U_{12} (resp., U_{13}) be the open subset of X_1 defined by $y = 0$ (resp., $x \neq 0$), V_{12} (resp., V_{23}) be the open subset of X_2 defined by $v \neq 0$ (resp., $u \neq 0$), W_{13} (resp., W_{23}) be the open subset of X_3 defined by $s \neq 0$ (resp., $r \neq 0$).

Let $\phi_{12}: U_{12} \rightarrow V_{12}$ be the isomorphism defined by $x \rightarrow u/v, y \rightarrow 1/v, z \rightarrow w/v^3$,
 $\phi_{13}: U_{13} \rightarrow W_{13}$ be the isomorphism defined by $x \rightarrow 1/s, y \rightarrow r/s, z \rightarrow t/s^3$,
 $\phi_{23}: V_{23} \rightarrow W_{23}$ be the isomorphism defined by $u \rightarrow 1/r, v \rightarrow s/r, w \rightarrow t/r^3$.

We glue X_1, X_2 , and X_3 together via these isomorphisms to obtain a scheme X . We note that the coordinate ring of X_1 (resp., X_2, X_3) is the integral closure of $k[x, y]$ (resp., $k[u, v], k[r, s]$) in its quotient field. Thus we have a finite morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$. Since a finite morphism is projective (see [6, p. 113]) and a composition of projective morphisms is projective, it follows that X is a projective k -scheme.

Step 2. For each $i = 1, 2, 3$ we define σ_i , a differential on X_i as follows:

$$\text{On } X_1, \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{dx dz}{y^2} = \frac{dy dz}{x^2 y^2 + 1}.$$

$$\text{On } X_2, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{du dv}{u^3 + uv^4 + v^2} = \frac{dy dw}{u^2 v + v^5}.$$

$$\text{On } X_3, \quad \sigma_3 = \frac{dr dt}{r^2 + s^4 + r^3 s^2} = \frac{ds dt}{r^2 s^3}.$$

We check that these differentials agree on the above overlaps.

Under ϕ_{12} , σ_1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(1/v)d(w/v^3)}{(u/v)^2(1/v)^2 + 1} &= \frac{(1/v^2)dv(1/v^2)((v dw + w dv)/v^2)}{(u^2/v^4) + 1} \\ &= \frac{dv dw}{vu^2 + v^5} = \sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly σ_2 maps to σ_3 under ϕ_{23} and σ_1 maps to σ_3 under ϕ_{13} .

Thus these differentials glue together to give a differential σ on X . We now resolve X to obtain a smooth projective scheme \tilde{X} and show that σ lifts to a regular differential $\tilde{\sigma}$ on \tilde{X} .

Step 3. $\tilde{\sigma}$, the lifting of σ to \tilde{X} , will be a regular differential on \tilde{X} if we show that X has only rational singularities (see [8], page 153).

Since X_1 is smooth, X can only have singularities on $X_2 \cup X_3$.

On $X_2: w^2 = u^3 v + uv^5 + v^3$ singularities can only occur when $v = 0$. Otherwise we would be considering points on $X_1 \cap X_2$, which we know is smooth. So we see that X_2 has only an isolated singularity at $(u, v, w) = (0, 0, 0)$.

Similarly, we see that $X_3: t^2 = r^2 s + s^5 + r^3 s^3$ has only an isolated singularity at $(r, s, t) = (0, 0, 0)$.

These double point singularities will be rational if we show that they can be resolved by quadratic transformations alone (see [9, p. 255]).

J. Lipman has shown that if an isolated singularity on a normal affine surface has local equation of the form

$$3.1. \quad z^2 = xy^2 + x^2g(x, y), \quad g(x, y) \in k[x, y]$$

then the singularity is rational (see [9, p. 266]).

Thus we see immediately that the singularity on X_3 is rational. This leaves only the singularity on X_2 .

We begin by blowing up the origin on X_2 . Since w is integrally dependent on the ideal generated by u and v , the blow up of $(0,0,0)$ is covered by two charts (see [1] page 96). Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1: \quad w^2 &= u^2v + u^4v^5 + uv^3 \quad \text{and} \\ F_2: \quad w^2 &= u^3v^2 + uv^4 + v. \end{aligned}$$

F_1 has only an isolated singularity at the origin which is a rational singularity by (3.1).

F_2 is smooth since $\partial/\partial v = 1$. Thus the singularity on X_2 can be resolved by quadratic transformations alone and is a rational singularity.

Therefore $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a regular differential on \tilde{X} , which shows that X_1 is not rational.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

After the circulation of a preliminary version of this paper, M. Miyanishi and P. Russell have shown that the ring $A = k[x^p, y^p, x(xy+1)^p + y^{p+1}]$ gives an example of a regular, factorial, nonrational ring for all primes $p > 0$ (see [10]).

Also Miyanishi and Russell have observed that a theorem of Ganong [4] yields a more concise proof that A is not isomorphic to $k^{[2]}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Piotr Blass for introducing me to the study of Zariski surfaces and for his assistance in this study.

REFERENCES

1. P. Blass, *Zariski Surfaces*. Dissertationes Mathematicae, to appear.
2. R. Fossum, *The divisor class group of a Krull domain*, Springer, New York, 1973.
3. T. Fujita, *On Zariski problem*. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 55 (1979), no. 3, 106-110.
4. R. Ganong, *Plane Frobenius sandwiches*, to appear.

5. ———, *On plane curves with one place at infinity*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 307 (1979), 173–193.
6. A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique*. II. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 8, 1961.
7. J. Lang, Ph.D. Thesis, to appear.
8. J. Lipman, *Desingularization of two dimensional schemes*. Ann. Math. (2) 107 (1978), no. 1, 151–207.
9. ———, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., No. 36, 1969.
10. M. Miyanishi and P. Russell, *Purely inseparable coverings of the affine plane of exponent one*, to appear.
11. M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie, *Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980), no. 1, 11–42.
12. P. Russell, *Hamburger-Noether expansion and approximate roots of polynomials*. Manuscripta Math. 31 (1980), no. 1–3, 25–95.
13. ———, *Affine ruled surfaces*, Math. Ann., to appear.
14. P. Samuel, *Lectures on unique factorization domains*, Tata Inst. of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1964.
15. O. Zariski, *On the Castelnuovo's criterion of rationality $p_a = P_2 = 0$ of an algebraic surface*. Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958), 303–315.

Department of Mathematics
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN 47907.