

AN EXTENSION OF BANACH'S MAPPING THEOREM

RICHARD A. BRUALDI¹

The following mapping theorem of Banach [1] is well known. It is the basis of most proofs of the Schroder-Bernstein equivalence theorem.

If X and Y are sets and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ are injective mappings, then there exists partitions² $X = X_1 + X_2$ and $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$ such that $f(X_1) = Y_1$ and $g(Y_2) = X_2$.

The conclusion of this theorem can be rephrased in the following way.

Let $\Lambda \subseteq X \times Y$ be the relation between X and Y defined by

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_f \cup \Lambda_{g^{-1}}$$

where

$$\Lambda_f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\}, \quad \text{the graph of } f,$$

and

$$\Lambda_{g^{-1}} = \{(g(y), y) : y \in Y\}, \quad \text{the graph of } g^{-1}.$$

Then there exists a bijection $h: X \rightarrow Y$ with graph $\Lambda_h \subseteq \Lambda$. With this, we are now prepared to state the main result of this paper which extends the above theorem of Banach. For sets U and V , $U \setminus V$ is the set consisting of those elements of U which are not in V .

1. Theorem. Let X and Y be sets with given partitions $\sum_{i \in I} X_i$ and $Y = \sum_{j \in J} Y_j$ where I and J are arbitrary index sets. For each $i \in I$, let integers a_i and a_i' be specified with $0 \leq a_i \leq a_i'$. For each $j \in J$, let integers b_j and b_j' be specified with $0 \leq b_j \leq b_j'$. Suppose $f: X^0 \rightarrow Y^0$ is a bijection where $X^0 \subseteq X$ and $Y^0 \subseteq Y$ with

$$(1) \quad a_i \leq |X_i \setminus X^0| \quad (i \in I),$$

$$(2) \quad |Y_j \setminus Y^0| \leq b_j' \quad (j \in J),$$

and suppose $g: {}^0Y \rightarrow {}^0X$ is a bijection where ${}^0Y \subseteq Y$ and ${}^0X \subseteq X$ with

$$(3) \quad |X_i \setminus {}^0X| \leq a_i' \quad (i \in I),$$

$$(4) \quad b_j \leq |Y_j \setminus {}^0Y| \quad (j \in J).$$

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_f \cup \Lambda_{g^{-1}}$ where Λ_f and $\Lambda_{g^{-1}}$ are the graphs of f and g^{-1} respec-

Received by the editors November 2, 1967.

¹ Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. GP-7073.

² $X = X_1 + X_2$ is a partition of X if $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ and $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$.

tively. Then there exist $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ with

$$(5) \quad a_i \leq |X_i \setminus X'| \leq a'_i \quad (i \in I),$$

$$(6) \quad b_j \leq |Y_j \setminus Y'| \leq b'_j \quad (j \in J),$$

and a bijection $h: X' \rightarrow Y'$ with graph $\Lambda_h \subseteq \Lambda$.

REMARKS. (a) $X = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ is a partition means $X = \cup_{i \in I} X_i$ and $X_i \cap X_{i'} = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq i'$ in I .

(b) It is possible that the cardinalities $|X_i \setminus X^0|$ and $|Y_j \setminus Y^0|$ be infinite.

(c) If $I = \{1\}$ and $J = \{1\}$ so that the partitions of X and Y are trivial and if $a_1 = a'_1 = b_1 = b'_1 = 0$, then the above theorem reduces to Banach's mapping theorem. For under these circumstances $g^{-1}: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ are injective mappings and $X' = X, Y' = Y$.

2. Proof of the theorem. If we restrict the injective mapping f to a subset of X^0 , then condition (1) will still be satisfied. Hence we may assume at the start that

$$b_j \leq |Y_j \setminus Y^0| \leq b'_j \quad (j \in J).$$

Let $i \in I$. If $a_i \leq |X_i \setminus X^0| \leq a'_i$, then define $X_i^1 = \emptyset$. If on the other hand $|X_i \setminus X^0| > a'_i$, then from (3) we conclude that $|(X_i \setminus X^0) \setminus X^0| \leq a'_i$, so that there exists $X_i^1 \subseteq (X_i \setminus X^0) \cap X^0$ such that

$$a_i \leq |X_i \setminus (X^0 \cup X_i^1)| \leq a'_i.$$

Define X^1 and P^1 by $X^1 = P^1 = \cup_{i \in I} X_i^1$ and define $U^1 = \emptyset$, so that

$$a_i \leq |X_i \setminus ((X^0 \cup U^1) \cup P^1)| \leq a'_i \quad (i \in I).$$

Note that $P^1 \subseteq {}^0X \setminus X^0$. If $P^1 = \emptyset$, then $X' = X^0, Y' = Y^0, h = f$ satisfy the conclusion of the theorem. Hence we can assume $P^1 \neq \emptyset$. We proceed inductively. Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose the following six families of sets have been defined, each family consisting of mutually disjoint sets:

$$(7) \quad \{X^k \subseteq X: 1 \leq k \leq n\} \quad \text{with } X^1 \subseteq {}^0X \setminus X^0 \text{ and } X^k \subseteq X^0 \quad (1 < k \leq n),$$

$$(8) \quad \{P^k \subseteq {}^0X \setminus X^0: 1 \leq k \leq n\},$$

$$(9) \quad \{U^k \subseteq X^0 \setminus X: 1 \leq k \leq n\},$$

$$(10) \quad \{Y^k \subseteq {}^0Y: 1 \leq k < n\},$$

$$(11) \quad \{Q^k \subseteq {}^0Y \setminus Y^0: 1 \leq k < n\},$$

$$(12) \quad \{V^k \subseteq Y^0 \setminus Y: 1 \leq k < n\},$$

with

$$a_i \leq \left| X_i \setminus \left(\left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \right| \leq a'_i \quad (i \in I)$$

and

$$b_j \leq \left| Y_j \setminus \left(\left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} Q^k \right) \right| \leq b'_j \quad (j \in J).$$

(If $n = 1$, then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} Q^k = \emptyset$.) We then define

$$(13) \quad Y^n = g^{-1}((X^n \setminus U^n) \cup P^n),$$

$$(14) \quad Q_j^n = (Y^n \cap Y_j) \setminus Y^0 \quad (j \in J),$$

$$(15) \quad Q^n = \bigcup_{j \in J} Q_j^n \subseteq {}^0 Y \setminus Y^0.$$

Since for each $j \in J$, $|Y_j \setminus Y^0| \leq b'_j < \infty$, Q_j^n is a finite set. If for $j \in J$,

$$b_j \leq \left| Y_j \setminus \left(\left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Q^k \right) \right| \leq b'_j,$$

we define $V_j^n = \emptyset$. Otherwise

$$\left| Y_j \setminus \left(\left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Q^k \right) \right| < b_j.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left((Y_j \setminus {}^0 Y) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cap Y^0 \right| \\ & \geq b_j - \left| Y_j \setminus \left(\left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Q^k \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

for otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_j \setminus {}^0 Y| & \leq \left| \left((Y_j \setminus {}^0 Y) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cap Y^0 \right| \\ & \quad + \left| Y_j \setminus \left(\left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Q^k \right) \right| < b_j, \end{aligned}$$

which would be a contradiction. Hence we may choose $V_j^n \subseteq ((Y_j \setminus {}^0 Y) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k) \cap Y^0$ with

$$|V_j^n| = b_j - \left| Y_j \setminus \left(\left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Q^k \right) \right|.$$

Define $V^n = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j^n \subseteq Y^0 \setminus {}^0 Y$ so that

$$b_j \leq \left| Y_j \setminus \left(\left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Q^k \right) \right| \leq b'_j \quad (j \in J).$$

For $n=1$ each of the families (7), (8), (9) are families of mutually disjoint sets. It then follows from definitions (13), (14) and (15) that if (7), (8) are families of mutually disjoint sets so are $\{Y^k: 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ and $\{Q^k: 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. Also it follows from the definition of V^n that if $\{V^k: 1 \leq k < n\}$ is a family of mutually disjoint sets, so is $\{V^k: 1 \leq k \leq n\}$.

We now show how to define X^{n+1} , P^{n+1} , and U^{n+1} . Let

$$(16) \quad X^{n+1} = f^{-1}((Y^n \setminus Q^n) \cup V^n),$$

$$(17) \quad U_i^{n+1} = (X^{n+1} \cap X_i) \setminus {}^0X,$$

$$(18) \quad U^{n+1} = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i^{n+1}.$$

Since for each $i \in I$, $|X_i \setminus {}^0X| \leq a'_i < \infty$, U_i^{n+1} is a finite set. If for $i \in I$,

$$a_i \leq \left| X_i \setminus \left(\left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \right| \leq a'_i,$$

then we define $P_i^{n+1} = \emptyset$. Otherwise

$$\infty > \left| X_i \setminus \left(\left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \right| > a'_i.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left((X_i \setminus X^0) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \cap {}^0X \right| \\ & \geq \left| X_i \setminus \left(\left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \right| - a'_i, \end{aligned}$$

since otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} & |X_i \setminus {}^0X| \\ & \geq \left\{ |X_i \setminus (X^0 \cup {}^0X)| - \left| \left((X_i \setminus X^0) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \cap {}^0X \right| \right\} \\ & \quad + \left\{ \left| X_i \setminus \left(\left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \right| - |X_i \setminus {}^0X \cup X^0| \right\} \\ & > a'_i, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we can choose $P_i^{n+1} \subseteq ((X_i \setminus X^0) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k) \cap {}^0X$ with

$$|P_i^{n+1}| = \left| X_i \setminus \left(\left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n P^k \right) \right| = a_i'.$$

Define $P^{n+1} = \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i^{n+1} \subseteq {}^0X \setminus X^0$ so that

$$a_i \leq \left| X_i \setminus \left(\left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} P^k \right) \right| \leq a_i' \quad (i \in I).$$

It follows from the definitions (16), (17) and (18) that if $\{Y^k: 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ and $\{Q^k: 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ are families of mutually disjoint sets then since $X^1 \subseteq {}^0X \setminus X^0$, $\{X^k: 1 \leq k \leq n+1\}$ is a family of mutually disjoint sets. Also since $U^1 = \emptyset$, $\{U^k: 1 \leq k \leq n+1\}$ is a family of mutually disjoint sets by (17) and the above. Likewise from the definitions, it follows that $\{P^k: 1 \leq k \leq n+1\}$ is a family of mutually disjoint sets. Now define

$$X' = \left(X^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P^k$$

and

$$Y' = \left(Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V^k \right) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q^k.$$

Since for each $i \in I$, $|X_i \setminus (X^0 \cup P^1)| < \infty$ and $P_i^k \subseteq X_i \setminus (X^0 \cup P^1)$, $k \geq 2$, all but a finite number of the P_i^k (i fixed) are empty. Likewise since $U_i^k \subseteq X_i \setminus {}^0X$ and $|X_i \setminus {}^0X| < \infty$, all but a finite number of the U_i^k (i fixed) are empty. Hence

$$a_i \leq |X_i \setminus X'| \leq a_i' \quad (i \in I).$$

In a similar way we derive that

$$b_j \leq |Y_j \setminus Y'| \leq b_j' \quad (j \in J).$$

Let $x \in X'$. If $x \in (X^n \setminus U^n) \cup P^n$ for some $n \geq 1$, define $h(x) = g^{-1}(x) \in Y^n \subseteq Y'$. If $x \notin (X^n \setminus U^n) \cup P^n$ for any $n \geq 1$, define $h(x) = f(x) \in Y'$. Suppose for $x_1 \neq x_2$ in X' , $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$. Since both f and g are injective, we may assume that $x_1 \in (X^m \setminus U^m) \cup P^m$ for some $m \geq 1$ and that $x_2 \notin (X^n \setminus U^n) \cup P^n$ for any $n \geq 1$. Thus $h(x_1) = g^{-1}(x_1) = f(x_2) = h(x_2)$. But then $g^{-1}(x_1) \in Y^m$ and $g^{-1}(x_1) = f(x_2) \notin V^m$. Hence $x_2 \in f^{-1}(Y^m \setminus Q^m) \subseteq X^{m+1}$. If $x_2 \notin X$, then $x_2 \in U^{m+1}$ and hence $x_2 \notin X'$, a contradiction. If $x_2 \in {}^0X$, then $x_2 \notin U^{m+1}$ and hence $x_2 \in (X^{m+1} \setminus U^{m+1}) \cup P^{m+1}$, a contradiction. Thus h is injective.

Suppose $y \in Y'$. If $y \in Y^m$ for some $m \geq 1$ then $g(y) \in (X^m \setminus U^m) \cup P^m \subseteq X'$ and $h(g(y)) = g^{-1}(g(y)) = y$. Otherwise $y \in (Y^0 \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Y^m) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k$. Hence $f^{-1}(y) \notin (X^n \setminus U^n) \cup P^n$ for any $n \geq 1$ and thus $f^{-1}(y) \in X'$

with $h(f^{-1}(y)) = f(f^{-1}(y)) = y$. Thus $h: X' \rightarrow Y'$ is a bijection satisfying the conclusion of the theorem.

3. Consequences. The main result of this paper not only contains the original theorem of Banach but also an extension of Banach's theorem given by Knaster and Tarski [4] (cf. [6, pp. 146-147]) and an essentially equivalent theorem of Perfect and Pym [5]. We state it as a corollary, and show how it is a special case.

COROLLARY 1. *Let X and Y be sets. Let $X_1 \subseteq X$ and $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ with $f: X_1 \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y_1 \rightarrow X$ injective mappings. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_{f^{-1}} \cup \Lambda_g$. Then there exist sets X', Y' with $X_1 \subseteq X' \subseteq X, Y_1 \subseteq Y' \subseteq Y$ and a bijection $h: Y' \rightarrow X'$ with graph $\Lambda_h \subseteq \Lambda$.*

PROOF. Let the set $X \setminus X_1$ be partitioned into its one element subsets, say $X \setminus X_1 = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ where I is an index set with $1 \notin I$, so that $X = \sum_{i \in I \cup \{1\}} X_i$ is a partition of X . Likewise, let the set $Y \setminus Y_1$ be partitioned into its one element subsets, say $Y \setminus Y_1 = \sum_{j \in J} Y_j$ where J is an index set with $1 \notin J$, so that $Y = \sum_{j \in J \cup \{1\}} Y_j$ is a partition of Y . With $i \in I$ associate the integers $b_i = 0, b'_i = 1$, and with 1 associate the integers $b_1 = 0, b'_1 = 0$. With $j \in J$ associate the integers $a_j = 0, a'_j = 1$, and with 1 associate the integers $a_1 = 0, a'_1 = 0$. Then $f^{-1}: f(X_1) \rightarrow X_1$ is a bijection with

$$\begin{aligned} |X_1 \setminus X_1| &\leq b'_i = 0, & |X_i \setminus X_1| &\leq b'_i = 1 & (i \in I), \\ 0 = a_1 &\leq |Y_1 \setminus f(X_1)|, & 0 = a_j &\leq |Y_j \setminus f(X_1)| & (j \in J). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise $g^{-1}: g(Y_1) \rightarrow Y_1$ is a bijection with

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = b_1 &\leq |X_1 \setminus g(Y_1)|, & 0 = b_i &\leq |X_i \setminus g(Y_1)| & (i \in I), \\ |Y_1 \setminus Y_1| &\leq a'_1 = 0, & |Y_j \setminus Y_1| &\leq a'_j = 1 & (j \in J). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the theorem there exists $X' \subseteq X, Y' \subseteq Y$ and a bijection $h: Y' \rightarrow X'$ with $\Lambda_h \subseteq \Lambda_{f^{-1}} \cup \Lambda_g$ with, in particular,

$$0 = b_1 \leq |X_1 \setminus X'| \leq b'_1 = 0, \quad 0 = a_1 \leq |Y_1 \setminus Y'| \leq a'_1 = 0.$$

Hence $X_1 \subseteq X'$ and $Y_1 \subseteq Y'$. Thus the corollary is a special case of the theorem.

We now give an application of the main result to *transversal theory*. Let $\mathfrak{A}(I) = (A_i: i \in I)$ be a family of subsets of a set E . Here I is an index set, and it is possible that $A_i = A_{i'}$ for $i \neq i'$ in I . A family $(e_i: i \in I)$ is a *system of distinct representatives* of the given family $\mathfrak{A}(I)$ provided $e_i \in A_i$ ($i \in I$) and the elements e_i ($i \in I$) are distinct. The set $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ is a *transversal* of $\mathfrak{A}(I)$. If $|E| < \infty$ and $|I| < \infty$ and $E = \sum_{j=1}^p E_j$ is a partition of E with associated integers $0 \leq b_j \leq b'_j$, then A. J. Hoffman and H. W. Kuhn [3] gave necessary and suffi-

cient conditions that the family $\mathfrak{A}(I)$ have a transversal $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ with $b_j \leq |E_j \setminus \{e_i: i \in I\}| \leq b'_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq p$).

A consequence of their conditions, as was noted in [2], is that if there is a transversal $\{e'_i: i \in I\}$ with $b_j \leq |E_j \cap \{e'_i: i \in I\}|$, $1 \leq j \leq p$, and a transversal $\{e''_i: i \in I\}$ with $|E_j \setminus \{e''_i: i \in I\}| \leq b'_j$, $1 \leq j \leq p$, then there is a transversal with the above properties. In fact the Hoffman-Kuhn theorem is a special case of the so-called symmetric supply-demand theorem [2], which can indeed be used to derive our main result in case the sets X and Y are finite. It is in fact the symmetric supply-demand theorem which led the author to the main result of this paper. The following corollary extends the aspect of the Hoffman-Kuhn theorem mentioned above. It is an immediate consequence of the main result.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\mathfrak{A}(I) = (A_i: i \in I)$ be a family of subsets of a set E and let $E = \sum_{j \in J} E_j$ be a partition of E . Let integers $0 \leq b_j \leq b'_j$ be given for each $j \in J$. Suppose there is a subfamily $(A_i: i \in I_0)$ of $\mathfrak{A}(I)$ which has a transversal $\{e'_i: i \in I_0\}$ with*

$$|E_j \setminus \{e'_i: i \in I_0\}| \leq b'_j \quad (j \in J),$$

and suppose \mathfrak{A} has a transversal $\{e''_i: i \in I\}$ with

$$b_j \leq |E_j \setminus \{e''_i: i \in I\}| \quad (j \in J),$$

then \mathfrak{A} has a transversal $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ with

$$b_j \leq |E_j \setminus \{e_i: i \in I\}| \leq b'_j \quad (j \in J).$$

Of course, the complete main result may be translated into the language of transversal theory.

REFERENCES

1. S. Banach, *Un théorème sur les transformations biunivoques*, Fund. Math. **6** (1924), 236-239.
2. L. R. Ford, Jr. and D. R. Fulkerson, *Flows in networks*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1962.
3. A. J. Hoffman and H. W. Kuhn, *On systems of distinct representatives*, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 38, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956, pp. 199-206.
4. B. Knaster, *Un théorème sur les fonctions d'ensembles*, Ann. Polon. Math. **6** (1927), 133.
5. Hazel Perfect and J. S. Pym, *An extension of Banach's mapping theorem, with applications to problems concerning common representatives*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **62** (1966), 187-192.
6. W. Sierpinski, *Algèbre des ensembles*, Monografie Mat. No. 23, PWN, Warsaw, 1951.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN