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## I. The fundamental inequality

1. To every neighborhood on a Riemann surface there is given a map onto a region of the complex plane. For any two overlapping neighborhoods the corresponding maps are directly conformal. $\dagger$ We agree to denote points on the surface by $\mathfrak{m}$, corresponding values of the local complex parameter by $w$.

We introduce a Riemannian metric of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s=\lambda|d w|, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive function $\lambda$ is supposed to depend on the particular parameter chosen, in such a way that $d s$ becomes invariant. The metric is regular if $\lambda$ is of class $C_{2}$. In this paper we shall, without mentioning it further, allow $\lambda$ to become zero, although such points are of course singularities of the metric.

It is well known that the Gaussian curvature of the metric (1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=-\lambda^{-2} \Delta \log \lambda, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that this expression remains invariant under conformal mappings of the $w$-plane. We are interested in the case of a metric with negative curvature, bounded away from zero. It is convenient to choose the upper bound of the curvature equal to -4 . From (2) it follows that the corresponding $\lambda$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \log \lambda \geqq 4 \lambda^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we set $u=\log \lambda$ this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u \geqq 4 e^{2 u} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hyperbolic metric of the unit circle $|z|<1$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-1}|d z| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has the constant curvature -4 .
2. Consider now an analytic function $\mathfrak{w}=f(z)$ from the circle $|z|<1$ to a Riemann surface $W$. The analyticity is expressed by the fact that every local parameter $w$ is an analytic function of $z$. To a differential element $d z$ corresponds an element $d w$ whose length does not depend on the direction of $d z$. The corresponding value of $d s=\lambda|d w|=\lambda_{z}|d z|$ is therefore uniquely de-

[^0]termined, and we have $\lambda_{z}=\lambda\left|w^{\prime}(z)\right|$. It is also seen that $u=\log \lambda_{z}$ satisfies the condition (4) whenever the given metric has a curvature $\leqq-4$. An exception has to be made for the possible zeros of $\lambda_{z}$, corresponding to the zeros of $\lambda$ and $w^{\prime}(z)$.

Theorem A. If the function $\mathfrak{w}=f(z)$ is analytic in $|z|<1$, and if the metric (1) of $W$ has a negative curvature $\leqq-4$ at every point, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s \leqq d \sigma \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

will hold throughout the circle.
Proof: Choose an arbitrary $R<1$ and set $v=\log R\left(R^{2}-|z|^{2}\right)^{-1}$ for $|z|<R$. We note that $\Delta v=4 e^{2 v}$ and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(u-v) \geqq 4\left(e^{9 u}-e^{2 v}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote by $E$ the open point set in $|z|<R$ for which $u>v$. It is clear that $E$ cannot contain any zeros of $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$. Hence (7) is valid and shows that $u-v$ is subharmonic in $E$. It follows that $u-v$ can have no maximum in $E$ and must approach its least upper bound on a sequence tending to the boundary of $E$. But $E$ can have no boundary points on $|z|=R$, for $v$ becomes positively infinite as $z$ tends to that circle, and at interior boundary points we must have $u-v=0$, by continuity. A contradiction is thus obtained, unless $E$ is vacuous. The inequality $u \leqq v$ consequently subsists for all points with $|z|<R$, and letting $R$ tend to 1 we find $u \leqq-\log \left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ at all points. This is equivalent to (6).

If $W$ is the unit circle and $d s$ its hyperbolic metric, Theorem A is simply the differential form of Schwarz's lemma given by Pick.*
3. Several generalizations of the theorem just proved suggest themselves at once. Since the only thing we need is to prevent the function $u-v$ from having a maximum in $E$, it is obvious that the assumptions on $\lambda$ can be considerably weakened, without affecting the validity of the argument. We shall give below two such generalizations which are found to be particularly useful for the applications.

Theorem A1. Let $\lambda$ be continuous and such that at every point, either (a) the second derivatives of $u=\log \lambda$ are continuous and satisfy (4), or (b) it is possible to find two opposite directions $n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}$ for which $\partial u / \partial n^{\prime}+\partial u / \partial n^{\prime \prime}>0$. Then the statement of the previous theorem is still true.

Opposite directions in the $w$-plane correspond to opposite directions in the $z$-plane. At a maximum of $u-v$ we have $\partial u / \partial n \leqq \partial v / \partial n$ in any direction, when-

[^1]ever the directional derivative exists. For opposite directions $\partial v / \partial n^{\prime}+\partial v / \partial n^{\prime \prime}$ $=0$; hence $\partial u / \partial n^{\prime}+\partial u / \partial n^{\prime \prime} \leqq 0$ in case of a maximum. It follows that no maximum can be attained in points satisfying condition (b).

We shall call $d s^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime}|d w|$ a supporting metric of $d s=\lambda|d w|$ at the point $w_{0}$ if: (1) $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda$ at $w_{0}$, (2) $\lambda^{\prime}$ is defined and $\leqq \lambda$ in a neighborhood of $w_{0}$.

Theorem A2. Suppose that $\lambda$ is continuous, and that it is possible to find a supporting metric, satisfying (4), at every point of $W$. Then the inequality (6) still holds.

If $u-v>0$ at $z_{0}$, then $u^{\prime}-v$ will also be positive, and consequently subharmonic, in a neighborhood of $z_{0} .{ }^{*}$ A maximum of $u-v$ will a fortiori be a maximum of $u^{\prime}-v$. Hence $u-v$ can have no maximum in $E$.

## II. Schottixy's theorem

4. As a first application we prove Schottky's theorem with definite numerical bounds.

Theorem B. If $f(z)$ is analytic and different from 0 and 1 in $|z|<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |f(z)|<\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}\left(7+\log ^{+}|f(0)|\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z| \leqq \theta<1 . \dagger$
Let $\zeta_{1}=\zeta_{1}(w)$ map the region outside of the segment $(0,1)$ onto the exterior of the unit circle, so that $w=\infty$ corresponds to $\zeta_{1}=\infty, w=1$ to $\zeta_{1}=1$, and $w=0$ to $\zeta_{1}=-1$. We also set $\zeta_{2}(w)=\zeta_{1}\left(w^{-1}\right)$ and $\zeta_{3}(w)=\zeta_{2}(1-w)$. Clearly these functions define similar maps of the regions outside of the segments $(1, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, 0)$. Explicitly, $\zeta_{1}(w)$ is obtained from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{1}^{-1}=4 w-2 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the coordinates $\rho_{1}=|w|, \rho_{2}=|w-1|$ and divide the plane into regions $\Omega_{1}: \rho_{1} \geqq 1, \rho_{2} \geqq 1 ; \Omega_{2}: \rho_{1} \leqq 1, \rho_{1} \leqq \rho_{2} ; \Omega_{3}: \rho_{2} \leqq 1, \rho_{2} \leqq \rho_{1}$. The metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{i}=\frac{\left|d \log \zeta_{i}\right|}{2\left(4+\log \left|\zeta_{i}\right|\right)}=\lambda_{i}|d w| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

* $u^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\lambda^{\prime}$ as $u$ to $\lambda$.
$\dagger$ Schottky's original theorem was purely qualitative. Numerical relations have been studied at great length, notably by Ostrowski (Studien uber den Schottky'schen Satz, Basel, 1931, and Asymptotische Abschätzung des absoluten Betrags einer Funktion, die die Werte 0 und 1 nicht annimmt, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, vol. 5 (1933)), but no simple inequality comparable with (8) has ever been proved.

Added in proof: Numerical bounds of the same order of magnitude are found by A. Pfluger, Über numerische Schranken im Schottky'schen Satz, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, vol. 7 (1935). His proof depends on the use of modular functions, while ours is strictly elementary.
is readily recognized as the hyperbolic metric of a half-plane with the constant curvature -4 . Computing the derivatives $\zeta_{i}^{\prime}(w)$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}^{-1}=2\left(\rho_{1} \rho_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(4+\log \left|\zeta_{1}\right|\right), \\
& \lambda_{2}^{-1}=2 \rho_{1} \rho_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(4+\log \left|\zeta_{2}\right|\right),  \tag{11}\\
& \lambda_{3}^{-1}=2 \rho_{2 \rho_{1}}^{1 / 2}\left(4+\log \left|\zeta_{3}\right|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We now set $d s=\lambda|d w|$ with $\lambda=\lambda_{i}$ in $\Omega_{i}$. This metric is regular and satisfies condition (3) except at the singular points $0,1, \infty$ and on the lines separating the regions $\Omega_{i}$. On these lines $\lambda$ is still continuous, as seen from (11) and the relations between $\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$, and $\zeta_{3}$.

Next we wish to show that condition (b) in Theorem A1 holds on the singular lines. We consider the arc $\rho_{1}=1, \rho_{2}>1$ and choose $n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}$ as the outer and inner normals of the circle. The required condition is

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n^{\prime}} \log \lambda_{1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial n^{\prime \prime}} \log \lambda_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial n^{\prime}} \log \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}>0 .
$$

From (11) we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n^{\prime}} \log \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n^{\prime}} \log \left|\frac{\zeta_{1}}{\zeta_{2}}\right|}{4+\log \left|\zeta_{1}\right|},
$$

which is also equal to

$$
\frac{1}{2}-2\left(4+\log \left|\zeta_{1}\right|\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \phi},
$$

where $\Phi_{1}=\arg \zeta_{1}, \phi=\arg w$. For $\Phi_{1}$ we have the simple relation $\cos \Phi_{1}=\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}$, which for $\rho_{1}=1$ becomes $\cos \Phi_{1}=1-2 \sin \phi / 2$. Differentiating we find

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \phi}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\csc \frac{\phi}{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

and by use of the inequalities $\pi / 3 \leqq \phi \leqq 5 \pi / 3,\left|\zeta_{1}\right|>1$, we are finally led to the desired result,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n^{\prime}} \log \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3^{1 / 2}}{4}>0
$$

By symmetry, the same must be true for the arc $\rho_{2}=1, \rho_{1}>1$. The transformation $w^{\prime}=(1-w)^{-1}$ takes $\Omega_{1}$ into $\Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ into $\Omega_{3}$. Since the function $\lambda$ is invariant under the transformation we conclude at once that condition (b) will hold also on the line separating $\Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{3}$.

From Theorem A1 we can now conclude that $w=f(z)$ satisfies the differ-
ential inequality $\lambda|d w| \leqq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-1}|d z|$. Integrating, we find that the shortest distance between the points $f(0)$ and $f(z),|z|=\theta$, measured in the metric $d s=\lambda|d w|$, cannot exceed $[\log (1+\theta) /(1-\theta)] / 2$.

The shortest path between the circles $\rho_{1}=m$ and $\rho_{1}=M$, where $M>m \geqq 2$, is a segment of the negative real axis, whose length is found to be

$$
\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{4+\log \left|\zeta_{1}(-M)\right|}{4+\log \left|\zeta_{1}(-m)\right|}
$$

To simplify we introduce the lower and upper bounds $\left|\zeta_{1}(-M)\right| \geqq 4 M$, $\left|\zeta_{1}(-m)\right| \leqq 5 m$. Setting $M=|f(z)|$ and $m$ equal to the greater of the numbers $|f(0)|$ and 2 we obtain

$$
4+\log 4 M \leqq \frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}(4+\log 5 m) .
$$

Here $\log 5 m \leqq \log 10+\log ^{+} g|f(0)|<3+\log ^{+} g|f(0)|$ and we find

$$
4+\log 4 M<\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}\left(7+\log ^{+}|f(0)|\right)
$$

which is stronger than (8).

## III. Bloch's theorem

5. Let $w=f(z)$ be analytic in $|z|<1$ with $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$. Let $B^{\prime}=B^{\prime}(f)$ be the l.u.b. of the radii of all simple (schlicht) circles contained in the Riemann surface $W$ generated by $f(z)$. Bloch's theorem is $B=\min B^{\prime}>0$. Landau has proved $B>.396$. $^{*}$ Grunsky and Ahlfors proved in a recent paper $B<.472 . \dagger$

We show that the method developed in this paper gives an immediate proof of Bloch's theorem with a better lower bound for $B$. For an arbitrary point $\mathfrak{w}$ on $W$ let $\rho(\mathfrak{w})$ denote the radius of the largest simple circle of center $\mathfrak{m}$ contained in $W$. It is clear that $\rho(\mathfrak{m})$ is continuous, and equal to zero only at the branch-points. We introduce the metric $d s=\lambda|d w|$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{A}{2 \rho^{1 / 2}\left(A^{2}-\rho\right)} \quad(\rho=\rho(\mathfrak{w})) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $w$ denoting the variable of the function plane (not the uniformizing variable). $A$ is a constant satisfying the preliminary condition $A^{2}>B^{\prime}$.

In the neighborhood of a branch-point $\mathfrak{a}$ we have $\rho=|w-a|$. Let $n$ be the multiplicity of $\mathfrak{a}$; then $w_{1}=(w-a)^{1 / n}$ is a uniformizing variable, and

[^2]the corresponding $\lambda_{1}$ is determined from $\lambda_{1}\left|d w_{1}\right|=\lambda|d w|$. We obtain $\lambda_{1}=n \rho^{1 / 2-1 / n} / 2\left(A^{2}-\rho\right)$, and it is seen at once that the metric is regular in case $n=2$ and that $\lambda_{1}$ becomes zero in case $n>2$.

We wish to apply Theorem A2 and therefore look for a supporting metric satisfying the requirements of that theorem. For a regular point $\mathfrak{w}_{0}$ the surrounding circle of radius $\rho\left(\mathfrak{w}_{0}\right)$ must pass through at least one singularity $b$ which is either a branch-point or a boundary point for the surface. We set $\rho^{\prime}=|w-b|$ and define $\lambda^{\prime}=A /\left[2 \rho^{\prime / 2}\left(A^{2}-\rho^{\prime}\right)\right]$. This metric has the curvature -4 for it is obtained from the hyperbolic metric of a circle by means of the transformation $w^{\prime}=w^{1 / 2}$. In all points of our circle we have $\rho \leqq \rho^{\prime}$ by the definition of $\rho$. The inequality $\lambda^{\prime} \leqq \lambda$ is therefore satisfied in a neighborhood of $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ if the function $t^{1 / 2}\left(A^{2}-t\right)$ increases for $t \leqq \rho\left(\mathfrak{w}_{0}\right)$. Under this condition $\lambda^{\prime}$ will be a supporting function of $\lambda$, for at the center $w_{0}$ we have $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda$. The function $t^{1 / 2}\left(A^{2}-t\right)$ is increasing as long as $t<A^{2} / 3$. Consequently all the conditions in Theorem A2 are fulfilled if we suppose that $A^{2}>3 B^{\prime}$.

Apply the theorem with $z=0$. Using the condition $|d w / d z|_{z=0}=1$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leqq 2 \rho_{0}{ }^{1 / 2}\left(A^{2}-\rho_{0}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is the radius of the largest simple circle with center at the image of $z=0$. The function in the right member of (13) is increasing, and we can replace $\rho_{0}$ by $B^{\prime}$ obtaining $A \leqq 2 B^{\prime 1 / 2}\left(A^{2}-B^{\prime}\right)$. Letting $A$ tend to $\left(3 B^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ we finally get $B^{\prime} \geqq 3^{1 / 2} / 4$. This implies that Bloch's constant $B \geqq 3^{1 / 2} / 4>.433$.

On the other side, if we insert $A^{2}=\left(3 B^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ in (13), lower and upper bounds for $\rho_{0}$ in terms of $B^{\prime}$ can be found.
6. Landau has considered a closely related constant $L$. Let $L^{\prime}=L^{\prime}(f)$ be the l.u.b. of the radii of all circles in the $w$-plane contained in the projection of $W$, that is, whose values are taken by the function $w=f(z),\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|=1 . L$ is defined as the minimum of all such $L^{\prime}$. Clearly, $L \geqq B$.

The method employed above is immediately applicable if we choose $\lambda=(2 \rho \log C / \rho)^{-1}$. This metric is regular at all branch-points, and when we replace $\rho$ by the distance $\rho^{\prime}$ from a fixed boundary point, the curvature becomes -4 . In order that the function $\lambda^{\prime}$ thus obtained be a supporting function it is sufficient that $t \log C / t$ is increasing. This is true for $t<C e^{-1}$. We therefore choose $C>e L^{\prime}$, obtaining the inequality $1 \leqq 2 L^{\prime} \log C / L^{\prime}$ as above. Letting $C$ tend to $e L^{\prime}$ we find $L^{\prime} \geqq 1 / 2$ and hence $L \geqq 1 / 2$.

This lower bound is the best known. It shows in particular that $L>B$.*
Harvard University,
Cambridge, Mass.

[^3]
[^0]:    * Presented to the Society, September 8, 1937; received by the editors April 1, 1937.
    $\dagger$ For the definition of a Riemann surface see T. Rad6, Über den Begriff der Riemannschen Fläche, Acta Szeged, vol. 2 (1925).

[^1]:    * An account of all questions related to Schwarz's lemma will be found in R. Nevanlinna, Eindeutige analytische Funktionen, Springer, 1936, pp. 45-58.

[^2]:    * E. Landau, Über den Blochschen Satz und zwei verwandte Weltkonstanten, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 30 (1929).
    $\dagger$ L. V. Ahlfors and H. Grunsky, Über die Blochsche Konstante, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 42 (1937). The result was found independently by R. M. Robertson.

[^3]:    * In the other direction R. M. Robinson has proved $L .<.544$. This result has not been published.

