# AN INFINITELY DIVISIBLE DISTRIBUTION INVOLVING MODIFIED BESSEL FUNCTIONS 
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Abstract. We prove that the function

$$
\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\mu-\nu} \frac{K_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right) K_{\nu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right) K_{\nu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}
$$

is the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible probability distribution when $\nu>\mu \geq 0$ and $b>a>0$. This implies the complete monotonic ity of the function. We also establish a representation as a Stieltjes transform, which implies in particular that the function has positive real part when $x$ lies in the right half-plane. We conjecture that

$$
\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\mu-\nu} \frac{I_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right) I_{\nu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}{I_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right) I_{\nu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}
$$

also is the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible probability distribution. It is known that in the limit as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, the infinite divisibility property holds for both functions.

1. Introduction. A probability distribution on $[0, \infty)$ is said to be infinitely divisible if and only if for every natural number $n$, the $n$th root of its Laplace transform is a Laplace transform of a probability distribution. A function $f$ defined on ( $0, \infty$ ) and of class $C^{\infty}$ is said to be completely monotonic if $(-1)^{n} f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ for all $n$. The connection between infinitely divisible distributions and completely monotonic functions is expressed in the following theorem (see [5, p. 450]):

THEOREM 1. The function $w$ is the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible probability distribution on $[0, \infty)$ if and only if $w=e^{-h}$ where $h(0+)=0$ and $h^{\prime}$ is completely monotonic.

In $\S 2$ we shall prove the following result:
Theorem 2. Let $K_{\lambda}$ be the modified Bessel function of the second kind. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x ; \mu, \nu)=\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\mu-\nu} \frac{K_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right) K_{\nu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right) K_{\nu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]is the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible probability distribution when $\nu>$ $\mu \geq 0$ and $b>a>0$.

As $\nu$ increases without limit,

$$
K_{\nu}(x) \sim(2 \nu)^{\nu-1 / 2} e^{-\nu} x^{-\nu} \pi^{1 / 2}
$$

(see, for example [8]). Hence

$$
F(x ; \mu, \infty)=\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\mu} \frac{K_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}
$$

The limiting case ( $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ) of Theorem 2 was proved by Ismail and Kelker [9] using complex variable and special functions methods. Kent [11] and Wendel [14] independently encountered the same distribution $(\nu=\infty)$ in their studies of Bessel processes and Brownian motion, and established its infinite divisibility property by probabilistic methods. Ismail and May [10] provided an integral representation of the probability density function as the Laplace transform of a transcendental function. Miller [12, p. 82] proved that $F(x ; \mu, \nu)$ is monotone decreasing, a result needed in certain hypothesis testing problems.

In $\S 3$ we shall establish an integral representation of $F(x ; \mu, \nu)$ as a Stieltjes transform. This implies, for example, that $F(z ; \mu, \nu)$ has positive real part when $z$ lies in the right half-plane. The Stieltjes transform seems to play an important role in infinite divisibility problems, and in the theory of orthogonal polynomials (see [2, 3, 9, 10]).

We conjecture that if $\nu>\mu \geq 0$ and $b>a>0$, then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x ; \mu, \nu)=\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\mu-\nu} \frac{I_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right) I_{\nu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}{I_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right) I_{\nu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible probability distribution; here $I_{\lambda}$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind.

As $\lambda$ increases without limit

$$
I_{\lambda}(x) \sim\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)^{\lambda} \Gamma^{-1}(\lambda+1)
$$

(see, for example [4, pp. 22 and 23]). Hence

$$
G(x ; \mu, \infty)=\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\mu} \frac{I_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}{I_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}
$$

and the conjecture reduces to a known result, see $[9,10,14]$. In $\S 4$ we shall discuss this conjecture and formulate an equivalent conjecture involving functions that resemble theta functions.
2. Proof of Theorem 2. Our argument will be to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=-\ln F(x ; \mu, \nu) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a completely monotonic derivative and that $h(0+)=0$. Then we may invoke Theorem 1 to complete the proof.

Clearly $h$ is well defined since $F(x ; \mu, \nu)$ is positive on $[0, \infty)$. Now (see [1, p. 375]) as $x \rightarrow 0+$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\nu}(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\nu)\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)^{-\nu}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \nu>0 \\
& K_{0}(x) \sim-\ln x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $h(0+)=0$ since $F(0+; \mu, \nu)=1$. Using the recursion relation [13, p.79]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\nu}^{\prime}(x)=-K_{\nu-1}(x)-\nu K_{\nu}(x) / x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 x^{1 / 2} h^{\prime}(x)= & a \frac{K_{\mu-1}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}-b \frac{K_{\mu-1}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)} \\
& -a \frac{K_{\nu-1}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\nu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}+b \frac{K_{\nu-1}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\nu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral representation [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{\nu-1}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\nu}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)}=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{1 / 2} t^{-1}}{x+t}\left[J_{\nu}^{2}\left(t^{1 / 2}\right)+Y_{\nu}^{2}\left(t^{1 / 2}\right)\right]^{-1} d t, \quad \nu \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\nu}$ and $Y_{\nu}$ are the Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively, enables us to express $h^{\prime}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(x a^{2}+t\right)^{-1}\left(x b^{2}+t\right)^{-1} \phi(t) d t \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi\left(t^{2}\right)=\left[J_{\mu}^{2}(t)+Y_{\mu}^{2}(t)\right]^{-1}-\left[J_{\nu}^{2}(t)+Y_{\nu}^{2}(t)\right]^{-1}
$$

Now, Nicholson's formula [13, p. 444]

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}^{2}(x)+Y_{\lambda}^{2}(x)=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{0}(2 x \sinh t) \cosh 2 \lambda t d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that $J_{\lambda}^{2}(x)+Y_{\lambda}^{2}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function of $\lambda$ for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $x$ a fixed positive number. Therefore $\phi(t)$ is strictly positive for $t>0$; and the integrand in equation (2.5) is a positive multiple of the completely monotonic function $\left(t+a^{2} x\right)^{-1}\left(t+b^{2} x\right)^{-1}$ (as a function of $x$ ). This then implies the complete monotonicity of $h^{\prime}$. Thus the function $F(x ; \mu, \nu)=e^{-h(x)}$ is also completely monotonic (see Criterion 2 in [5, p. 441]). By Bernstein's theorem [5, p. 439] we see that $F(x ; \mu, \nu)$ must be the Laplace transform of a probability distribution since $F(0 ; \mu, \nu)=1$.

Note that in the process of proving Theorem 2 we also have shown that:
Corollary. The function $F(x ; \mu, \nu)$, defined in (1.1), is a completely monotonic function of $x$ for $\nu \geq \mu \geq 0$ and $b>a>0$.
3. An integral representation. The purpose of this section is to establish an integral representation for the function $F$ of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. If $K_{\lambda}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and of order $\lambda$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{K_{\mu}\left(b z^{1 / 2}\right) K_{\nu}\left(a z^{1 / 2}\right)}{K_{\mu}\left(a z^{1 / 2}\right) K_{\nu}\left(b z^{1 / 2}\right)}-1 \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{M(t, a, b, \mu, \nu) d t}{(z+t)\left[J_{\mu}^{2}\left(a t^{1 / 2}\right)+Y_{\mu}^{2}\left(a t^{1 / 2}\right)\right]\left[J_{\nu}^{2}\left(b t^{1 / 2}\right)+Y_{\nu}^{2}\left(b t^{1 / 2}\right)\right]} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
|\arg z|<\pi
$$

for $\mu>0, \nu>0, a>0, b>0$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
M\left(t^{2}, a, b, \mu, \nu\right)= & {\left[J_{\mu}(a t) J_{\nu}(a t)+Y_{\mu}(a t) Y_{\nu}(a t)\right] } \\
& \times\left[J_{\nu}(b t) Y_{\mu}(b t)-J_{\mu}(b t) Y_{\nu}(b t)\right]  \tag{3.2}\\
& -\left[J_{\mu}(b t) J_{\nu}(b t)+Y_{\mu}(b t) Y_{\nu}(b t)\right] \\
& \times\left[J_{\nu}(a t) Y_{\mu}(a t)-J_{\mu}(a t) Y_{\nu}(a t)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and $J_{\mu}, Y_{\nu}$ are the Bessel functions of the first and second kind respectively.
The proof utilizes the representation and inversion theorems for the Stieltjes transform, namely,

Lemma (Representation Theorem). If
(i) $H(z)$ is analytic for $|\arg z|<\pi / \alpha$ for some $\alpha, 0<\alpha<1$, and
(ii) $H(z)=o(1)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and $H(z)=o\left(|z|^{-1}\right)$ as $|z| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in every sector $|\arg z|<\pi / \alpha^{\prime}$ with $\alpha^{\prime}>\alpha$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{x+t} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\varsigma H\left(t e^{\varsigma}\right) e^{\varsigma / 2}}{\varsigma^{2}+\pi^{2}} d \varsigma \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a rectifiable closed curve going around $[-i \pi, i \pi]$ in the positive direction and lying in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \zeta|<\pi / \alpha$.

For a proof of this lemma see [6, pp. 210 and 235]. We are now in a position to prove our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. Denote the left-hand side of (3.1) by $H(z)$. Then $H(z)$ satisfies condition (i) of the Lemma, for some $\alpha>2 / 3$, because $K_{\lambda}(z)$ has finitely many zeros and none in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$. For $\lambda \geq 0$ we have $K_{\lambda}(z) \sim(\pi / 2 z)^{1 / 2} e^{-z}$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in the sector $|\arg z| \leq 3 / 2-\delta$ for any $\delta \in(0,3 \pi / 2)$, (see [13, p. 203]). As $|z| \rightarrow 0$ we have $K_{\lambda}(z) \sim \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\lambda)\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)^{-\lambda}$ for $\lambda>0$ and $K_{0}(z) \sim-\ln z$, (see [1, p. 375]). Hence $H(z)$ also satisfies condition (ii) of the Lemma.

The contour integral in (3.3) is now readily seen to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{2}\left[H\left(t e^{i \pi}\right)-H\left(t e^{-i \pi}\right)\right] . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.1) now follows, for $z>0$, from (3.4) and

$$
K_{\nu}\left(z e^{i \pi / 2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} i \pi e^{-i \pi \nu / 2}\left[J_{\nu}(z)-i Y_{\nu}(z)\right]
$$

and

$$
K_{\nu}\left(z e^{-i \pi / 2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} i \pi e^{i \pi \nu / 2}\left[J_{\nu}(z)+i Y_{\nu}(z)\right]
$$

(see, for example, [4, pp. 4 and 6]). Finally, (3.1) follows for $|\arg z|<\pi$ from $z>0$ by analytic continuation.
4. Discussion of conjecture. We shall show that the conjecture made in $\S 1$ is equivalent to the statement that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\nu}(x ; a, b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e^{-j_{\nu, n}^{2} a x}-e^{-j_{\nu, n}^{2} b x}\right), \quad 0<a<b, \quad \nu \geq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a decreasing function of $\nu$, where $j_{\nu, 1}<j_{\nu, 2}<\cdots<j_{\nu, n}<\cdots$ are the successive positive zeros of the Bessel function of the first kind and order $\nu$.

From (1.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 x^{1 / 2} \frac{d}{d x} \ln G(x ; \mu, \nu)= & b \frac{I_{\mu+1}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}{I_{\mu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}-a \frac{I_{\mu+1}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}{I_{\mu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}  \tag{4.2}\\
& -b \frac{I_{\nu+1}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}{I_{\nu}\left(b x^{1 / 2}\right)}+a \frac{I_{\nu+1}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}{I_{\nu}\left(a x^{1 / 2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used [13, p. 79]

$$
I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)=I_{\lambda+1}(x)+\lambda I_{\lambda}(x) / x
$$

An analog of (2.4) is the Mittag-Leffler expansion [4, p. 61]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{\lambda+1}(z)}{I_{\lambda}(z)}=2 z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(z^{2}+j_{\lambda, n}^{2}\right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda>-1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{d}{d x} G(x ; \mu, \nu)= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(x+j_{\mu, n}^{2} b^{-2}\right)^{-1}-\left(x+j_{\mu, n}^{2} a^{-2}\right)^{-1}\right] \\
& -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(x+j_{\nu, n}^{2} b^{-2}\right)^{-1}-\left(x+j_{\nu, n}^{2} a^{-2}\right)^{-1}\right] \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t}\left[\Theta_{\mu}\left(t ; b^{-2}, a^{-2}\right)-\Theta_{\nu}\left(t ; b^{-2}, a^{-2}\right)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that the conjecture is equivalent to the above-mentioned property of $\Theta_{\nu}(x ; a, b)$.

The sums appearing in (4.1) resemble theta functions, and, in fact, when $\nu=$ $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ the function $\Theta_{\nu}$ reduces to the difference of two theta functions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{1 / 2}(x ; a, b) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\vartheta_{3}(0, q)-\vartheta_{3}\left(0, q^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
\Theta_{-1 / 2}(x ; a, b) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\vartheta_{2}(0, q)-\vartheta_{2}\left(0, q^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q=e^{-\pi^{2} a x}$ and $q^{\prime}=e^{-\pi^{2} b x}$.
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