

Article

An Intelligent Regenerative Braking Strategy for Electric Vehicles

Guoqing Xu^{1,2}, Weimin Li^{3,*}, Kun Xu³ and Zhibin Song³

- ¹ Department of Electrical Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China; E-Mail: gq.xu@mae.cuhk.edu.hk
- ² Department of Mechanical and Automation Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China
- ³ Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen 518055, China; E-Mails: kun.xu@siat.ac.cn (K.X.); zb.song@siat.ac.cn (Z.S.)
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: wm.li@siat.ac.cn; Tel.: +86-0755-86392145; Fax: +86-0755-86392299.

Received: 12 May 2011; in revised form: 2 September 2011 / Accepted: 6 September 2011 / Published: 22 September 2011

Abstract: Regenerative braking is an effective approach for electric vehicles (EVs) to extend their driving range. A fuzzy-logic-based regenerative braking strategy (RBS) integrated with series regenerative braking is developed in this paper to advance the level of energy-savings. From the viewpoint of securing car stability in braking operations, the braking force distribution between the front and rear wheels so as to accord with the ideal distribution curve are considered to prevent vehicles from experiencing wheel lock and slip phenomena during braking. Then, a fuzzy RBS using the driver's braking force command, vehicle speed, battery SOC, battery temperature are designed to determine the distribution between friction braking force and regenerative braking force to improve the energy recuperation efficiency. The experimental results on an "LF620" prototype EV validated the feasibility and effectiveness of regenerative braking and showed that the proposed fuzzy RBS was endowed with good control performance. The maximum driving range of LF620 EV was improved by 25.7% compared with non-RBS conditions.

Keywords: regenerative braking; fuzzy logic; braking force distribution

1. Introduction

Research and development of electric vehicles (EVs) has received increasing attention from governments, industry, and public around the world due to the growing concerns about global warming, fossil fuel shortage, as well as passenger vehicle safety. Great technical progress has been made in the areas of system structure and key component development for electric vehicles in recent years, but there is still a performance gap between electric vehicles and conventional vehicles with respect to driving range, energy-saving and powertrain efficiency and safety.

For a conventional vehicle, a significant amount of energy is consumed in urban driving cycles by braking [1,2]. To improve the performance of electric vehicles, the regenerative braking system has been developed. It utilizes the electric motor, providing negative torque to the driven wheels and converting kinetic energy to electrical energy for recharging the battery or power supply. The dissipation of kinetic energy during braking, by an electric or hybrid vehicle can be recovered advantageously by controlling the power electronics for total energy management onboard the vehicle. Therefore, regenerative braking is an efficient technology to improve the efficiency of electric vehicles. Research indicates that substantial energy savings are in fact achievable, from 8% to as much as 25% of the total energy use of the vehicle, depending on the driving cycle and its control strategy [3,4]. Particularly, this additional energy recycling can be achieved without adding of any extra components. In addition to their energy regeneration benefits, motor brakes are superior to hydraulic brakes in their accuracy, quick response and ease of measurement.

Various attempts to satisfy the control performance needs for regenerative braking have been presented in the literature, such as rule based strategies [5,6], fuzzy control strategies [7–10], H_{∞} control [11–15], and neural network approaches [16,17]. However, a regenerative braking system is an uncertainty system with nonlinear parameter perturbation and serious external disturbances. These classical control methods are ineffective to guarantee the performance control. What's more, these studies have mainly focused on improving the energy recovery performance based on common factors. Hardly any studies on the structure of drive systems have been done from the standpoint of vehicle driving safety and riding comfort.

The safety and riding comfort of vehicles are strongly influenced by the braking performance since various phenomena uncontrollable by the driver's pedal operations occur when braking [18,19]. In regenerative braking strategy (RBS) design, the objectives of safety, reliability and easy application are the most important factors that should be considered.

Consequently, a fuzzy logic based braking control strategy integrated with series regenerative braking for electric vehicles is developed in this paper to advance the level of energy-savings, as well as vehicle driving safety. Under normal braking conditions, the strategy can achieve almost optimal regenerative efficiency. It can also prevent wheel locking and slip phenomena from occurring at the time of braking by distributing suitable braking torque to the front and rear wheels according to running conditions. Through the experiment results, we can verify the effectiveness of the control strategy in ensuring braking safety and stability and improving energy efficiency.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the prototype EV and the structure of the composite braking system used for evaluating the RBS. Section 3 goes through the principle of brake force distribution between front and rear wheels. The distribution between

regenerative brake force and friction brake force and fuzzy RBS design are implemented in Section 4. Section 5 provides the experimental results and analysis. Finally, conclusions are given in Section 6.

2. Structure of "LF620" Prototype EV

The prototype EV used in this study is the "LF620" EV, developed by the Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Science (SIAT-CAS). It has passed the test of National Passenger Car Quality Supervision and Inspection Center. It had served during the World Expo 2010 Shanghai as a police patrol car. The "LF620" is a front-wheel drive electric vehicle. Its powertrain integrates a permanent magnetic synchronic motor (PMSM), lithium-ion battery, and an automatic/manual transmission system. The motor is directly linked to the input of the transmission. A photo and the important parameters of this vehicle are given in Figure 1 and Table 1, respectively.



Figure 1. LF620 prototype EV.

Table 1. Vehicle Parameters.

Dimensions (mm)		$4550 \times 1705 \times 1495$
Curb Wei	Curb Weight (kg)	
Gearbox Ratio	(Fixed ratio)	1.895
Main Dif	ference	4.308
PMSM	Power (kM)	20/40
	Torque (N m)	75/150
Lithium-ion Battery	Voltage (V)	320
	Capacity (Ah)	80

In using regenerative braking, a significant change is made to the architecture of the vehicle braking system [20–22]. EVs employ both a conventional hydraulic braking system and a regenerative braking system. Despite of the advantages of motor brakes, the hydraulic brake unit is still reserved in consideration of possible failure of the electrical system.

There are two ways to coordinate the regenerative torque of the motor and the friction torque of the hydraulic unit: parallel and series [20]. In parallel braking, the regenerative torque of the motor is exerted on the driving axle directly in addition to the friction torque, while series braking allows independent modulation of the hydraulic brake torque of each axle according to the regenerative torque,

and thus potentially more kinetic energy can be recovered than with parallel braking. Therefore, we developed a series braking system in this study to realize the flexible control of friction brake force and regenerative brake force, as shown in Figure 2.





The LF620 EV layout determines that regenerative braking torque can only operate on the front wheels. The electronic hydraulic brake system consists of a motor, a pump, an accumulator and a pressure regulating valve. When braking, the VCU calculates the target deceleration and target brake force according to the brake pedal stroke, and the distribution between front and rear wheels. The brake pedal force is transmitted to a master cylinder through a vacuum booster. The master cylinder pressure is supplied to the rear wheels cylinder through a proportional valve. The VCU also calculates the distribution between regenerative brake force and friction brake force according to vehicle velocity, SOC and other information. Then an on-off switch controls the motor work or not to keep the accumulator pressure in a proper range. The regenerative brake force and the friction brake force work together, and the target deceleration is obtained. Due to the fact the front wheel pressure is supplied by the electronic hydraulic brake system. Therefore, a pedal feel simulator is added to consume the brake oil flowing from the master cylinder and simulate the front wheels cylinder characteristics to maintain a similar brake pedal feeling.

3. Principle of Braking Force Distribution

Figure 3 shows the principle of braking force distribution between front and rear wheels, which occurs when brake operations are performed while running on flat roads [18]. The braking force F_{car} can be estimated from Equation (1) using the vehicle acceleration α_{car} . The relationship between the vehicle braking force F_{car} and the front wheel braking force F_{f} and the rear wheel braking force F_{r} can be represented as:

$$F_{car} = M \cdot \alpha_{car} = F_f + F_r \tag{1}$$

Figure 3. Load movement which occurs when brake operations are performed while running on flat roads.



The load will be shifted from the rear wheel to the front wheel side when the brake pedal is stepped on, which will affect the distribution of braking force between front and rear wheels. To estimate the influence of load movement on braking, brake strength z is defined as:

$$z = \frac{\alpha_{car}}{g} \tag{2}$$

Accordingly, the maximum braking forces F_f and F_r which can be generated for the front and rear wheels are given by Equations (3)–(5):

$$F_f = \phi \cdot F_{z1} = \phi \cdot \frac{G(b + z \cdot h_g)}{L}$$
(3)

$$F_r = \varphi \cdot F_{z2} = \varphi \cdot \frac{G(a - z \cdot h_g)}{L}$$
(4)

$$F_f + F_r = \varphi \cdot G \tag{5}$$

where F_{z1} and F_{z2} are the normal force in front wheels and rear wheels, respectively, G is vehicle total load; φ represents the friction coefficient between the tire and the road surface.

The ideal distribution curve gives the maximum braking force which can make the front and rear wheels lock simultaneously for each friction coefficient. When the braking force is distributed to the front and rear wheels on the operating curve, safe braking is secured. It can be represented as:

$$F_r = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{G}{h_g} \sqrt{b^2 + \frac{4h_g L}{G} F_f} - \left(\frac{Gb}{h_g} + 2F_f \right) \right]$$
(6)

As an example, the ideal braking force distribution characteristics were determined by calculations based on the specifications of the LF620 EV (Table 2) and shown in Figure 4.

φ	1~0.2	M _{car}	1550 (kg)
L	2.28 (m)	а	1.0 (m)
H_g	0.62 (m)	b	1.28 (m)

Table 2. LF620EV Parameters used for studying the proposed RBS.

Figure 4. Braking force distribution between front and rear wheels.



As shown in Equation (3) and Equation (4), braking forces F_f and F_r vary with the friction coefficient φ . Thus, in order to distribute braking force according to the ideal curve, we need to obtain the friction coefficient in real time, but it is difficult to measure the changing friction coefficient directly. However, it can be found that the distribution ratio R_f and R_r of the front and rear wheels, does not depend on the friction coefficient [18]:

$$R_f = \frac{F_f}{F_f + F_r} = \frac{b + z \cdot h_g}{a + b}$$
(7)

$$R_r = 1 - R_f \tag{8}$$

The braking force F_{car} can be estimated from Equation (1) using the detected acceleration α_{car} . From the above discussions, if an acceleration sensor is installed at the center of gravity of the body, the ideal braking force distribution can be performed. Therefore, an acceleration sensor is used in this study to realize the braking force distribution between front and rear wheels.

4. Regenerative Braking Strategy Design Based on Fuzzy Logic

Vehicle speed and the driver's brake force command have large impacts on braking safety. Battery limitations such as battery capacity and the maximum permissible charging current play important roles in protecting them from damage. The capacity of the batteries can be obtained from the battery SOC. The maximum permissible charging current is a function of Q (battery capacity), T (battery temperature), SOC (state of charge), and SOH (state of health). I = f(Q, T, SOC, SOH). SOH is hard to be evaluated and play limited roles in calculating the maximum permissible charging current.

4.1. The Relationship between Driver's Braking Force Command and the Regenerative Braking Force

the influence factors and the regenerative braking force are discussed in the following sections.

The driver's braking force commands are related to driving safety. The value of braking force represents the braking distance and time the driver requires to stop the vehicle. If the driver's braking force command is large, it means the vehicle should be stopped in a short distance and time. At that time, we should decrease the proportion of regenerative braking force; when the driver's braking force command is medium, the ratio of the regenerative braking force used should be increased; and in the last condition, the driver's braking force command is small, so we can apply a large regenerative braking force to the vehicle. Therefore, we prefer the concourse of driver's braking force command is: $\{low, middle, high\}$ and the universe of discourse is [-10, 2000]. The membership functions are shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Membership functions of driver's braking force commands.



4.2. The Relationship between Vehicle Speed and the Regenerative Braking Force

Vehicle speed plays an important role in ensuring braking safety and we should take the influence of the vehicle speed on the regenerative braking force into consideration. When the speed is low, to ensure the braking safety and satisfy relevant regulations, the regenerative braking force should take up a low proportion; when speed is medium, the regenerative braking force can increase to a suitable high level; when speed is high, we can increase the ratio of the regenerative braking force to the biggest value. Therefore, we prefer the set of speed as: {low, middle, high} and the universe of discourse is [-10, 200]. The membership functions can be seen in Figure 6.





4.3. The Relationship between Battery SOC and the Regenerative Braking Force

When batteries' SOC is lower than 10%, the inner resistance of the batteries has a high value and is unsuitable for charging, so at this time, the proportion of the regenerative braking force should be low; when the value of SOC is from 10% to 90%, the batteries can be charged with a large current and the proportion of the regenerative braking force should be increased correspondingly; when SOC is bigger than 90%, the charging current should be decreased to prevent deposit of lion and the value of regenerative braking force should be low, too. Therefore, we prefer the SOC set to be: {low, middle, high} and the universe of discourse is [0, 1]. The membership functions are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Membership functions of SOC.



4.4. The Relationship between Battery Temperature and the Regenerative Braking Force

The relationship between batteries' temperature and the maximum permissible charging current can be expressed by the following equations [9]:

$$kt = \begin{cases} k_{t1}e^{kt_2}T, & -20 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \le T < 20 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \\ 1, & 20 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \le T < 45 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \\ (50-T)/5, & 45 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \le T < 50 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \\ 0, & T < -20 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ } \text{or} \text{ } T > 50 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \end{cases}$$
(9)

In the equations, k_t is the compensation coefficient, k_{t1} , k_{t2} are temperature coefficients and their values are different according to different companies. In terms of the criteria, $k_{t1} = 0.33$ and $k_{t2} = 0.0549$ when the current value is I at a temperature of 20 °C and the current becomes I/3 when the temperature decreases to 0 °C.

The relationship between battery temperature and the maximum permissible charging current shown in the equations cannot reflect the real relationships between battery temperature and the regenerative braking force [23]. Therefore, we establish the relationship between them using fuzzy control logic according to the equations above.

When the temperature is low, we decrease the regenerative braking force while we increase its value the moment that the temperature is high. Therefore, we set the concourse of battery temperature as: {low, middle, high} and the changing range is [-30, 60]. The membership functions will be as seen in Figure 8.





4.5. Outputs

The type of the fuzzy logic controller is a sugeno function. Therefore, we prefer the concourse of the ratio that the regenerative braking force takes in the total braking force is: $Mf = \{Mf0, Mf1, Mf2, Mf3, Mf4, Mf5, Mf6, Mf7, Mf8, Mf9, Mf10\} = (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0).$

4.6. Rules

The control rules are the core of the entire fuzzy logic controller. The control model uses the form of three inputs and one output structure: IF premise 1, premise 2, premise 3 and premise 4 THEN conclusions; its fuzzy rules can be seen in Table 3 and Figures 9–12.

Driver's Braking Force Command	SOC	Vehicle Speed	Motor Force
	high	high	Mfl
		middle	Mfl
		low	Mf0
		high	Mf2
high	middle	middle	Mf2
		low	Mf1
		high	Mf3
	low	middle	Mf3
		low	Mf2
		high	Mf5
	high	middle	Mf3
middle		low	Mf3
		high	Mf7
	middle	middle	Mf5
		low	Mf4
		high	Mf8
	low	middle	Mf8
		low	Mf4

Table 3. Fuzzy rules base.

Driver's Braking Force Command	SOC	Vehicle Speed	Motor Force
low	high	high	Mf6
		middle	Mf5
		low	Mf4
	middle	high	Mf10
		middle	Mf10
		low	Mf9
	low	high	Mf10
		middle	Mf10
		low	Mf9

Table 3. Cont.

Figure 9. Relationships between driver's braking force command, the battery temperature and motor force.



Figure 10. Relationships between vehicle speed, the battery temperature and the motor force.





Figure 11. Relationships between vehicle speed, battery SOC and motor force.

Figure 12. Relationships between driver's braking force command, battery SOC and motor force.



4.7. Regenerative Braking Strategy Based on Fuzzy Logic

The structure of the RBS is shown in Figure 13.





From the above sections, we prefer four inputs of the fuzzy logic controller including battery temperature, SOC, vehicle speed and driver's braking force command. The output is the regenerative braking force. Through the pedal sensor, we can determine the driver's braking force command. According to the distribution regulations of braking force among front and rear wheels, the front braking force and the rear braking force can be calculated, respectively. Then, according to the fuzzy logic controller, the value of regenerative braking force can be established.

5. Experimental Results and Discussion

The fuzzy RBS had been predesigned using simulation before actual testing on vehicles to test its stability and performance. It is also essential to predesign the RBS under a great variety of driving cycles to gain a level of insight which would normally take an impractical amount of time and effort using actual experiments. Thus we built a vehicle model according to the parameters of the LF620 EV as shown in Tables 1 and 2 using the electric vehicle simulation software ADVISOR. This integrated model is simulated under standard driving cycle EPA Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS).

To evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the fuzzy RBS, the simulation results are compared with a conventional rule-based RBS embedded in ADVISOR. Figure 14 shows the changing curve of battery SOC. The red line represents the SOC curve under fuzzy RBS conditions. The blue line represents the rule-based RBS conditions. It can be seen about an extra 2% of the battery capacity energy is saved with the fuzzy RBS in one UDDS cycle. This means more energy is produced and recycled by the motor.



Figure 14. Simulation results under UDDC cycle.

Figure 15 shows the braking force distribution between front and rear wheels for EV under different RBSs. Due to the fact we can get the ideal distribution ratio by adding an acceleration sensor, the red points located on the ideal distribution curve under fuzzy RBS will ensure the driving stability of vehicle under emergency braking conditions, whereas the blue points under the rule-based RBS deviate substantially from ideal curve, which may lead to the rear wheels locking first under emergency braking conditions. Locking of rear wheels is especially dangerous because the vehicle may spin.



Figure 15. Braking force distribution between front and rear wheels.

The proposed fuzzy RBS was then implemented on a vehicle control unit (VCU) hardware and applied on the LF620 prototype EV. The VCU's photo is shown in Figure 16.



Figure 16. Vehicle controller photo.

Freescale MC9S12XEP100 was selected as the main chip of the VCU. It is a 16-bit micro controller unit, and can operate at a maximum frequency of 50 MHz, providing adequate computational performance. The VCU uses a CAN communication interface. This allows for rapid communication with subsystem controllers to transfer orders and data. The VCU samples some parameters such as vehicle acceleration, vehicle velocity, diver brake force command, discharge current value and so on.

The SOC values and battery temperature are provided by the battery management system (BMS). The VCU was also equipped with a FM25640 storage cell, a 64 KB FRAM memory chip with an SPI interface, to save important parameters, when power is removed. An ADXL202E dual axis accelerometer was selected as the vehicle acceleration sensor.

To evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the fuzzy RBS, the LF620 EV is driven naturally and continuously on an urban road until the battery is over used. The comparative experiment is conducted many times to find the difference between the maximum driving range under RBS and non-RBS conditions. The experiment results for the fuzzy RBS are shown in Figures 17 and 18 and Table 4. It can be seen that the fuzzy RBS achieves very good results. Both the component efficiency and maximum driving range are improved significantly compared to the non-RBS condition.



Figure 17. Current curve.

Figure 18. SOC curves.



Item	NON-RBS	Fuzzy RBS	Improvement
Motor/controller efficiency	0.67	0.78	16.4%
Overall energy efficiency	0.341	0.417	22.2%
Maximum driving range (km)	163	205	25.7%

Table 4. Experimental Results.

From the results above, some useful conclusions are obtained and the effectiveness of the fuzzy RBS is verified. Figure 17 shows the current curve achieved during the urban driving experiment. From the figure we can see that the motor works frequently in generation mode. Figure 18 shows the changing curve of battery SOC. The red line represents the SOC curve under fuzzy RBS conditions. The blue line represents the non-RBS conditions. They are calculated by setting the negative current value to zero. We can see that about an extra 11% of the battery capacity energy is saved with the fuzzy RBS. This means more energy is produced and recycled by the motor; regarding Table 4, we can see an obvious improvement of the efficiency of the motor and overall energy efficiency. The overall energy efficiency improved from 0.341 to 0.417, an improvement of about 22%. The immediate consequence is that the maximum driving range of EV is extended from 163 kilometers to 205 kilometers, almost a 26% improvement. From the experimental results and discussions above, we can see the validity of the fuzzy RBS in improving the energy efficiency of EVs while ensuring driving safety conditions.

6. Conclusions

The energy-saving, powertrain efficiency and braking stability performance of electric vehicles depends to a large extent on their regenerative braking strategy. The article has analyzed the braking effectiveness and braking stability, and presented a fuzzy RBS to improve the braking performance. The fuzzy RBS effectively solves the braking force distribution between front and rear wheels, and the distribution between friction braking force and regenerative braking force. What's more, the fuzzy RBS is applied and tested on a LF620 prototype EV on the road. Experimental results show that it can realize maximum recovery vehicle braking energy while meeting braking safety requirements. The powertrain and component efficiency are evidently improved and the maximum driving range per charge of the EV can be extended. They are the most convincing evidence to verify the effectiveness of the regenerative braking method in recycling braking energy which otherwise is wasted as heat through friction.

References

- 1. Gao, Y.M.; Chen, L.P.; Ehsani, M. Investigation of the effectiveness of regenerative braking for EV and HEV. *SAE Trans.* **1999**, *108*, 3184–3190.
- Rajashekara, K. Power conversion and control strategies for fuel cell vehicles. In *Proceedings of* the 29th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, Roanoke, VA, USA, 2004; Volume 3, pp. 2865–2870.

- 3. Yao, J.; Zhong, Z.M.; Sun Z.C. A Fuzzy Logic based Regenerative Braking Regulation for a Fuel Cell Bus. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety*, Beijing, China, 13–15 December 2006; pp. 22–25.
- 4. Zolot, M.; Markel, T.; Pesaran, A. Analysis of Fuel Cell Vehicle Hybridization and Implications for Energy Storage Devices. In *Proceedings of the 4th Advanced Automotive Battery Conference*, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2–4 June 2004; pp. 121–124.
- Zhang, C.W.; Bai, Z.F.; Cao, B.G.; Li, J.C. Study on Regenerative Braking of Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference*, Xi'an, China, 14–16 August 2004; Volume 2, pp. 836–839.
- Guo, J.G.; Wang, J.P.; Cao, B.G. Regenerative Braking Strategy for Electric Vehicles. In *Proceeding of the 2009 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium*, Xi'an, China, 3–5 June 2005; pp. 864–868.
- Li, X.J.; Xu, L.F.; Hua, J.F.; Li, J.Q.; Ouyang, M.G. Regenerative Braking Control Strategy for Fuel Cell Hybrid Vehicles using Fuzzy Logic. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems*, Wuhan, China, 17–20 October 2008; pp. 2712–2716.
- Zhang, J.M.; Song, B.Y.; Cui, S.M. Fuzzy Logic Approach to Regenerative Braking System. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Human-Machine Systems and Cybernetics, Hangzhou, China, 26–27 August 2009; pp. 451–454.
- Paterson, J.; Ramsay, M. Electric Vehicle Braking by Fuzzy Logic Control. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting*, Toronto, Canada, 2–8 October 1993; Volume 3, pp. 2200–2204.
- Peng, D.; Zhang, J.W.; Yin, C.L. Regenerative Braking Control System Improvement for Parallel Hybrid Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the International Technology and Innovation Conference*, London, UK, 2006; pp. 1902–1908.
- 11. Ye, M.; Bai, Z.; Cao, B. Robust control for regenerative braking of battery electric vehicle. *IET Control Theory Appl.* **2008**, *2*, 1105–1114.
- Zhang, C.W. Simulation Study of H8 Control for Regenerative Braking of Electric Vehicle. In Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Computing, Control and Industrial Engineering, Wuhan, China, 5–6 June 2010; Volume 1, pp. 439–441.
- Zhang, C.W. Experimental Research on H8 Control for Regenerative Braking of Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Electrical and Control Engineering*, Wuhan, China, 25–27 June 2010; pp. 940–943.
- Ye, M.; Bai, Z.F.; Cao, B.G. Robust Sliding Model Control for Regenerative Braking of Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the IEEE 5th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference*, Shanghai, China, 14–16 August 2006; Volume 3, pp. 1–4.
- Cao, J.B.; Cao, B.G.; Xu, P.; Bai, Z.F. Regenerative Braking Sliding Mode Control of Electric Vehicle Based on Neural Network Identification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM) 2008*, Xi'an, China, 2–5 July 2008; pp. 1219–1224.
- Gao, H.W.; Gao, Y.M.; Ehsani, M. A Neural Network based SRM Drive Control Strategy for Regenerative Braking in EV and HEV. In *Proceedings of the Electric Machines and Drives Conference*, Cambridge, MA, USA, 17–20 June 2001; pp. 571–575.

- Cao, J.B.; Cao, B.G.; Chen, W.Z.; Xu, P. Neural Network Self-adaptive PID Control for Driving and Regenerative Braking of Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Automation and Logistics*, Jinan, China, 18–21 August 2007; pp. 2029–2034.
- 18. Mutoh, N.; Hayano, Y.; Yahagi, H.; Takita, K. Electric braking control methods for electric vehicles with independently driven front and rear wheels. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2007, *54*, 1168–1176.
- 19. Zhou, L.; Luo, Y.G.; Yang, D.G.; Li, K.Q.; Lian, X.M. A Novel Brake Control Strategy for Electric Vehicles Based on Slip Trial Method. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety*, Beijing, China, 13–15 December 2007; pp. 1–6.
- Yu, S.; Zhang, J.Z.; Wang, L.F. Power Management Strategy with Regenerative Braking for Fuel Cell Hybrid Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the Power and Energy Engineering Conference*, Wuhan, China, 28–30 March 2009; pp. 1–4.
- Sun, Z.C.; Liu, Q.H.; Liu, X.D. Research on Electro-hydraulic Parallel Brake System for Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety*, Shanghai, China, 13–15 December 2006; pp. 376–379.
- Wang, F.; Zhong, H.; Mao, X.-J.; Yang, L.; Zhuo, B. Regenerative Braking Algorithm for a Parallel Hybrid Electric Vehicle with Continuously Variable Transmission. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety*, Beijing, China, 13–15 December 2007; pp. 1–4.
- 23. Wen, F.; Jiang, J.C.; Zhang, W.G.; Guo, H.P. Charging method for Li-ion battery pack in electric vehicles. *Automot. Eng.* **2008**, *30*, 792–795.

© 2011 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).