

AFWAL-TR-81-4175

AN OPTIMAL DESIGN OF SIMPLE SYMMETRIC LAMINATES UNDER THE FIRST PLY FAILURE CRITERIA

AU A 1 1 3689

WON J. PARK UNIVERSAL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. DAYTON, OHIO 45432

MARCH 1982

FINAL REPORT FOR PERIOD OCTOBER 1980-DECEMBER 1981

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DTC FILE COPY





ELECT APR 20

006

04 20

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (ASD/PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

Mechanics and Surface Interactions Branch

FOR THE COMMANDER

F. D. CHERRY, Chief Nonmetallic Materials Division

"If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization please notify <u>AFWAL/MLBM</u>, W-PAFB, Ohio 45433 to help us maintain a current mailing list.

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

NOTICE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS	
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
AFWAL-TR-81-4175	120 4113 1249		
. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
AN OPTIMAL DESIGN OF SIMPLE	SYMMETRIC	October 1980-December 1981	
LAMINATES UNDER THE FIRST I	PLY FAILURE		
CRITERIA		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
· AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)	
Won J. Park		F33615-79-C-5129	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	;	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK	
Universal Energy Systems, Inc.		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Dayton, Ohio 45432		78011F/MTP 1/05/28	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		Manch 1002	
Air Force Wright Approximation La	SM) boratorica (AESC)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
	Juratories (AFSC	42	
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II differen	nt from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		Unclassified	
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING	
Approved for Public Poloses, Dis	tribution links		
Approved for Public Release; Dis	tribution Unlimite	ed.	
Approved for Public Release; Dis	tribution Unlimite	ed.	
Approved for Public Release; Dis	tribution Unlimite	ed.	
Approved for Public Release; Dis	tribution Unlimite	ed.	
Approved for Public Release; Dis	tribution Unlimite	m Report)	
Approved for Public Release; Dis	tribution Unlimite	m Report)	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	tribution Unlimite	m Report) ELECTE ELPR 20 852	
Approved for Public Release; Dis	tribution Unlimite	ed. m. Report) ELEC 0 952	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	tribution Unlimite	red. m Report) ELECTE APR 20 992	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	tribution Unlimite	m Report) ELECTE APR 20 852	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	tribution Unlimite	ed. m Report) ELE 0 992 EAPR 2 0 992 H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	tribution Unlimite	red. m Report) ELEC 0 202 ELER 2 0 202 H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary a	tribution Unlimite	ed. m. Report) ELECTE APR 20 APR H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and First Ply Failure	tribution Unlimite	ed. m Report) ELE 0 992 APR 2 0 992 H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable	tribution Unlimite	red. m Report) ELEC 0 0000 ELER 2 0 0000 H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle	tribution Unlimite	ed. m Report) ELECTE ELECTE APR 20 AS2 H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle	tribution Unlimite	ed. m Report) ELE 0 992 SAPR 2 0 992 H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	tribution Unlimite	ed. m Report) CELECO ASS CEAPR 20 ASS H	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle MABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an Optimal design of various types o	tribution Unlimite	nates for T300/5208 graphite	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an Optimal design of various types o epoxy composites was investigated	tribution Unlimite	nates for T300/5208 graphite ply failure criteria. The	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an Optimal design of various types o epoxy composites was investigated symmetric laminates considered ind laminate. The optimal design of	tribution Unlimite	nates for T300/5208 graphite ply failure criteria. The laminate and angle ply	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Optimal design of various types of epoxy composites was investigated symmetric laminates considered indianinate. The optimal design ang phic form as functions of the load	tribution Unlimite	nates for T300/5208 graphite ply failure criteria. The laminate and angle ply ned and presented in gra-	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Optimal design of various types of epoxy composites was investigated symmetric laminates considered into laminate. The optimal design ang phic form as functions of the load presented here are directly usefu	tribution Unlimite	nates for T300/5208 graphite ply failure criteria. The laminate and angle ply ned and presented in gra- N ₁ , N ₂ , N ₆). The results or making a choice of	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an Optimal design of various types o epoxy composites was investigated symmetric laminates considered in laminate. The optimal design ang phic form as functions of the load presented here are directly usefu composites for optimal performance	tribution Unlimite	nates for T300/5208 graphite ply failure criteria. The laminate and angle ply ned and presented in gra- N ₁ , N ₂ , N ₆). The results for making a choice of	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY 8. SUPPLEMENTARY 8. SUPPLEMENTARY 8. SUPPLEMENTARY 8. SUPPLEMENTARY 8. S	tribution Unlimite	ed. The Report is a choice of the second s	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Optimal design of various types of epoxy composites was investigated symmetric laminates considered individual laminate. The optimal design ang phic form as functions of the load presented here are directly usefu composites for optimal performance D 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOL	tribution Unlimite	nates for T300/5208 graphite ply failure criteria. The laminate and angle ply ned and presented in gra- N ₁ , N ₂ , N ₆). The results or making a choice of	
Approved for Public Release; Dis 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary at First Ply Failure Design Variable Ply Orientation Angle MABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an Optimal design of various types o epoxy composites was investigated symmetric laminates considered into laminate. The optimal design ang phic form as functions of the load presented here are directly usefu composites for optimal performance D 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOL	tribution Unlimite	ed. The Report is the Report is the result of the result	

FOREWORD

This report was prepared in the Mechanics and Surface Interactions Branch (AFWAL/MLBM), Nonmetallic Material Division, Materials Laboratory, Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. The work performed under Contract F33615-79-C-5129, Project UES 718.

The work reported herein was performed during the period October 1980 to September 1981. Dr. Won J. Park is a Senior Scientist from Universal Energy Systems, Inc. and Professor of Mathematics and Statistics at Wright State University.

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Dr. Stephen W. Tsai for his encouragement and guidance in the course of this work.

A STATE

	Accession For	
DTIC	BTIS GRAEI DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification	
COPY INSPECTED	By Distribution/	
	Availability C	odes
	Avail and,	or
	Dist Special	
	A	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	1
п.	INPLANE STIFFNESS	3
ш.	CONTINUOUS LAMINATE	6
IV.	DESIGN OF LAMINATES	7
۷.	CONCLUSION	9
	REFERENCES	10

A10812 - 244

v

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

ġ

R 140

1. S. S. S.

Figure		Page
1	Continuous Laminate	11
2	Laminates A-F	12
3-8	Modulus of Laminates A-F	13
9-14	Compliance of Laminates A-F	19
15-17	Engineering Constants for Laminates A-F	25
18-29	Design Angle for Laminates A-F	28
30-31	Comparison of Q Values	40

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Modulus Components, A _{ij}	4
2	Computation of V*	8

AND THE PARTY OF THE OWNER

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

One of the fundamental and frequently recurring optimization problems in fiber composite structure is the design of laminates subject to various inplane loading conditions, considering strength and stiffness. It is well understood that, in laminate designs, the most important design variables are ply orientation angle, ply thickness and volume fraction of fibers.

Kicher and Chao [1] has considered an optimal laminate design problem, in which the ply thickness of preassign ply angle was considered as the only design variable under the minimum weight optimization criteria. Under this criteria the volume fraction of fiber is indirectly related to the ply thickness, but ply orientation angle is completely independent in the design and causes a serious difficulty in laminate optimization design.

A new approach in the design of laminates was proposed in this report, which utilizes a first ply failure (FPF) criteria as the objective function in the design. Under the FPF criteria, the ply orientation angle is a very sensitive design variable and the optimal laminate designed has the most strength in the sense of first ply failure criteria.

The design of various simple symmetric laminates (see Figure 2 for the descriptions of laminates A-F) of the composite material T300/5208 was carried out under the FPF criteria. The fraction of fiber content is constant and each ply has equal thickness throughout the laminate.

The design angles ϕ of the laminate A-F are obtained under various loading conditions (N₁, N₂, N₆) and are presented in Figures 18-29. In addition, the modulus, compliance and engineering constants of the laminate A-F are tabulated in terms of the ply design angle ϕ and are presented in Figures 3-17.

It is interesting to compare the optimal design angle ϕ with the corresponding modulus, compliance and engineering constants for these laminates. It is also noted that the FPF optimal criteria can be applied to the design of more general laminates but this requires very sophisticated computer optimization techniques.

SECTION II

INPLANE STIFFNESS

The composite laminates, having a symmetry of stacking sequence about the midplane surface, behave as a homogeneous anisotropic plate. The effective modulus of the composite laminates is simply the arithmetic average of the modulus of the constituent plies. The main stress strain relations for a composite laminate are, for modulus

$$N_{1} = A_{11}\varepsilon_{1} + A_{12}\varepsilon_{2} + A_{16}\varepsilon_{6}$$

$$N_{2} = A_{21}\varepsilon_{1} + A_{22}\varepsilon_{2} + A_{26}\varepsilon_{6}$$

$$N_{6} = A_{61}\varepsilon_{1} + A_{62}\varepsilon_{2} + A_{66}\varepsilon_{6}$$
(1)

and for compliance

$$\varepsilon_{1} = a_{11}N_{1} + a_{12}N_{2} + a_{16}N_{6}$$

$$\varepsilon_{2} = a_{21}N_{1} + a_{22}N_{2} + a_{26}N_{6}$$
(2)
$$\varepsilon_{5} = a_{51}N_{1} + a_{52}N_{2} + a_{56}N_{6}$$

where N_1 , N_2 and N_6 are stress resultants over the thickness h of the laminate and are defined by

$$N_{i} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_{i} dz , \quad (i = 1, 2, 6)$$
(3)
-h/2

and ϵ_i (i = 1, 2, 6) are inplane strain components, constant through the thickness. The modulus components A_{ij} are given in the following table:

	1	U ₂	U ₃
A ₁₁ /h	U ₁	V*1	V * 2
A ₂₂ /h	U ₁	-V [*] 1	V*2
A ₁₂ /h	U,		-V*2
A ₆₆ /h	U ₅		-V*2
A ₁₆ /h		$\frac{1}{2}V_{3}^{*}$	V *
A ₂₆ /h		$\frac{1}{2}V_{3}^{*}$	-V*

Table 1 Modulus Components, A

Ì

In which U_i (i=1, 2,...,5) are invariances given in Equation (3.15) of Tsai and Hahn [2] and

$$V^{*}_{(1,2,3,4)} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\cos 2\theta, \ \cos 4\theta, \ \sin 2\theta, \ \sin 4\theta) dz \qquad (4)$$

The engineering constants for the laminate are defined as follows:

$$E_{1}^{0} = 1/ha_{11}$$

$$E_{6}^{0} = 1/ha_{66}$$

$$v_{21}^{0} = -a_{21}/a_{11}$$
(5)

The equation of approximate first ply failure surface (strain envelop) is given by

 $\epsilon_{1}^{2} + \epsilon_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{5}^{2} = 2b^{2}$

(see Equation (7.102) of Tsai and Hahn [2]. Let us set

$$Q = \varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_6^2$$
, (6)

then FPF optimal criteria is to minimize the value of Q. The objective function Q has another meaning, mainly the value \sqrt{Q} is the norm or length of the strain vector $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_6/\sqrt{2})$. Hence, the FPF criteria minimizing the value of Q is the equivalent to minimizing the norm of strain vector $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_6 / \sqrt{2})$. The laminates that we have considered to design in this report are so simple that Q is a function of the design angle ϕ only for given loading conditions (N_1, N_2, N_6) .

1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1

SECTION III

CONTINUOUS LAMINATE

It is interesting to consider a symmetric laminate whose ply orientation angle increases uniformly. We call this laminate a continuous laminate. If the ply orientation angle sweeps between $-\phi$ and ϕ , then ϕ is called the sweeping angle of a continuous laminate. The relation between laminate depth Z and ply angle θ is given by (See Figure 1),

$$Z = \left(\frac{h}{4}\right) \left[\left(\frac{\theta}{\phi}\right) + 1 \right], \quad -\phi \leq \theta \leq \phi$$
 (7)

Note that a continuous laminate with one complete revolution of plies has the sweeping angle $\phi = 90^{\circ}$. The modulus and compliance of the continuous laminate for $0^{\circ} \leq \phi \leq 90^{\circ}$ are given in Figure 8 and 14.

In FPF optimal design of the continuous laminate, the sweeping angle ϕ is the only design variable for given loading conditions (N₁, N₂, N₆).

SECTION IV

DESIGN OF LAMINATES

In addition to the continuous laminate, various laminates such as angle ply laminate and angle ply laminate with additional plies of 0, 90, -45 or 45 degree orientations were considered for FPF optimal design. For simplicity, these laminates are named Laminate A, B,...,F, which are specified in Figure 2. The composite material that is used is T300/5208 and its invariants values (in GPa) are;

> $U_1 = 76.37$ $U_2 = 85.73$ $U_3 = 19.71$ $U_4 = 22.61$ $U_5 = 26.88$.

Table 2 gives the expression of V_i^* (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), where $V_i^* = V_i/h$, for the Laminate A-F in terms of design angle ϕ .

It is clearly seen from Equation (1), (2) and (6) and Tables 1 and 2 that $Q = \varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_6^2$ is a function of ϕ and (N_1, N_2, N_6) , and hence Q depends only on ϕ if (N_1, N_2, N_6) are known values. Therefore under a given stress loading (N_1, N_2, N_6) the optimization problem is to find the value ϕ^* so that $Q = Q(\phi^*)$ is the minimum among all values of $Q = Q(\phi)$ for $0 \le \phi \le 90^\circ$.

A CONTRACT DESCRIPTION

The stresses N₁, N₂, N₆ are normalized by the largest value so that $0 \le N_{i} \le 1$, i = 1, 2, 6. Accordingly, the laminate thickness h is set to be 1. The results of optimal design angle ϕ for lmainates A-F were presented in Figures 18-29. The cases that N₁ = 1 and N₆ = 1 are considered for each lminate. The case that N₂ = 1 is identical with that of N₁ = 1 if the laminate is rotated by 90 degrees.

Laminate	Ply Orientation Angle	v*1	v ₂ *
A	φ, φ	cos(2¢)	cos(4¢)
В	-φ, 0 ,φ	$\frac{1}{3}$ [2cos(2 ϕ)+1]	$\frac{1}{3}$ [2cos(4 ϕ)+1]
с	-φ, 90 ,φ	$\frac{1}{3}$ [2cos(2 ϕ)-1]	$\frac{1}{3}$ [2cos(4 ϕ)+1]
D	-φ,0, 90 ,φ	1/2 cos(2φ)	$\frac{1}{2}[\cos(4\phi)+1]$
E	- φ,-45,45,φ	¹ / ₂ cos(2φ)	$\frac{1}{2}[\cos(4\phi)-1]$
F	Continuous Laminate	$\frac{1}{2\phi}$ sin(2 ϕ)	<u>1</u> 4∳ sin(4¢)
$V_3^* = 0$, $V_4^* = 0$			

Table 2 Computation of V*

The comparison of Q values among the laminates A-F is given in Figure 30 and 31. The smaller the Q value the stronger the laminate in FPF criteria. When we apply our results of design angle ϕ in actual laminate design, the thickness of laminate h should be adjusted to meet the original values of stress conditions (N₁, N₂, N₆). The computations were carried out by the computer CDC 660 at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

SECTION V

The first ply failure criteria is proposed in the composite laminate design. It is found that design angle ϕ is very sensitive under this criteria and the results of design angle ϕ can be directly useful to laminate designers. Among the laminate A-F, laminate F performs overall the best in FPF criteria, and then followed by laminate B under maximum longitudinal stress and laminate E under maximum shear stress.

いたというないです。

فالمستخدمة المحالمة والمستحد والم

REFERENCES

a second and the second se

- T. P. Kicher and T. L. Chao, "Minimum Weight Design of Stiffened Fiber Composite Cylinders," Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 8, No. 7, July 1971, pp. 562-568.
- 2. S. W. Tsai and H. T. Hahn, <u>Introduction to Composite Materials</u>, Technonic Publishing Co., Westport, CT 06880, July 1980.







3. LAMINATE C: {-\$\phi,90,\$\phi} 4. LAMINATE D: {-\$\phi,0,90,\$\phi}



FIGURE 2. LAMINATES A - F



A STATE OF THE STA

e E





- New Sector









AND ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRI

19

Internet and the second s



20

-























. ł



「「「「「「「「」」」」」





The second







and the second second

وتوجيع والمراجعة المستطلين والمركز والمراجع والمتركز والمراجع



ALL STREET, SOLL



and the state of the

1. N. L.

39



ALC: NOT ALC: NOT

and the second second



and the second second

