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ABSTRACT

Aim: To assess and compare the internal morphology of the maxillary first molar and the incidence of the fourth root canal, especially mesiobuccal (MB2) using three diagnostic methods, clinically with or without dental operating microscopy (DOM) and cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Materials and methods: A total of 336 maxillary first molar teeth were examined and distributed into the following groups: group I (n = 112), the teeth were treated by dental interns without any means of magnification. Group II (n = 112), the teeth were treated by an endodontist with the use of the a dental operating microscope (DOM). Group III (n = 112), the CBCT images were selected and examined carefully.

Results: The second mesiobuccal root canal within the maxillary first molar was present in groups I, II and III with the incidence of 27%, 46% and 60%, respectively.

Conclusion: Within the limitations of this study, it can be concluded that the maxillary first molar teeth showed significant variations of their root canals. CBCT and dental operating microscope significantly facilitated the location and identification of the second root canal of the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first molar.

Clinical significance: Knowledge of root canal anatomy is an important factor for a successful endodontic outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the endodontic therapy relies on the quality of a clinical procedure, knowledge of internal morphology of root canal is essential for the accomplishment of the treatment. Therefore, it is important for the clinicians to recognize common root canal morphologies and possible anatomic variations. In order to minimize the risk of treatment failure, the clinician should be aware of the chances of having extra root canals. These root canal complexities are genetically determined and hence carry importance in anthropology. The root canal anatomic variations because of differences in genetic and ethnic bases have been discussed in many studies.

There are numerous studies investigating the internal morphology of the maxillary molars and primarily focusing on the presence of an extra mesiobuccal root canal in the mesial root. They have reported prevalence of the second mesiobuccal (MB2) canal of maxillary first and second molars to be quite variable. Based on the results, it has been recognized the canal incidence ranges from 3 to 4 root canals in most maxillary first molars. The reported incidence of the second canal within the mesiobuccal root has been shown to be above 50%.

Different cross-sectional tomograms (CT), and lately, dental cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) examinations, are being used in endodontics to study variation in root canal anatomy. Different methods are used to examine the morphology of roots and root canals, including tooth clearing and canal staining, sectioning, conventional and digital radiography, root canal treatment with magnification, modified canal staining and clearing technique, in vitro and ex vivo examination, CT and CBCT imaging.

Filho et al., in 2009, used three methods for evaluating the morphology of maxillary first molars which were clinical, ex vivo, and CBCT. They found that CBCT imaging was a very useful diagnostic tool for identification of internal morphology of maxillary teeth as it provides a high resolution at low and effective radiation doses and is also nondestructive. It is also financially economical as compared with CT imaging and provides a three-dimensional (3D) image for assessing root canals.

This study was conducted to compare efficacy of three methods—clinical visualization with or without a dental operating microscopy (DOM) and CBCT—for the evaluation of the internal morphology of maxillary first molars.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, in collaboration with Tadawi Specialized Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Phone: +966 566660604, e-mail: Yalgarni@kku.edu.sa
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Dental Center and Armed Force Hospital, Southern Region, Khamis Mushayt. A total of 336 patients, including male and female subjects from the southern region of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, took part in the study. For conducting the study, ethical approval was procured from the ethical committee at King Khalid University, which conducts its studies as per the standards of Helsinki declaration. A written consent was taken from all of the patient’s participating in this study. According to the method used for analysis the patients were divided into 3 groups.

Group I: Canal Assessment without Magnification
In group I (visual assessment), the records of 112 endodontic treatments of maxillary first molars performed by dental interns (under the supervision of experienced staff) during year 2018 at College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, were assessed clinically and radiographically. The number of canals and their locations and variations were evaluated.

Group II: Clinical Assessment with Dental Operating Microscope
In the clinical assessment, the records of the endodontic treatments of 112 maxillary first molars performed by an endodontist under an operating microscope during year 2018 in the Tadawi Specialized Dental Center, Khamis Mushayt, and Kingdom of Saudi Arabia were evaluated. The number of canals and their locations and variations were evaluated.

Group III: Cone-beam Computed Tomographic Evaluation (Fig. 1)
Cone-beam computed tomography images of the first maxillary molar were taken in 187 patients, which were referred to Armed Forces Hospitals Southern Region (AFHSR), Khamis Mushayt, Asir, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The CBCT scans were acquired from patients for different purposes which included those suffering from dento-alveolar trauma, tooth fracture, patients required a preoperative assessment for implants or prior to endodontic surgery, location of the impacted teeth before orthodontic treatment; and during root canal treatment on complex teeth or teeth with symptoms after root canal treatment. Selection of teeth was done based on the following criteria:

- Maxillary permanent molar with no periapical lesions.
- No root canal filling material.
- No root canals with immature apex, resorption or calcification.
- The CBCT images of good quality.

Based on the database of patients, a total of 112 maxillary first molar teeth matched the above criteria.

The CBCT images were taken using CS 3D Imaging Software (Carestream Dental), operating at 80 kV and 5.0 mA, with an exposure time of 17 seconds. The voxel size and the slice thickness were 0.125 mm and 1.0 mm, respectively. The field of view was 40 × 40 mm or 60 × 60 mm based on the examination requirements. A licensed oral radiologist performed all the CBCT scans. Evaluation of the images was done by a team that comprised experienced endodontist and radiologist. All the samples were evaluated simultaneously and separately by both the evaluators, to achieve conformity. In cases where consensus was not reached a third evaluation was done by another endodontist to reach a final consensus. Number of roots, canal configuration, the number of canals and apical foramina per root were recorded according to Vertucci’s classification.

Results
In group I (canal assessment without magnification): all 112 maxillary first molars showed 100% incidence for mesiobuccal 1 root canal, palatal root canal and distobuccal root canal. Three root canals MB1, distobuccal and palatal were found in 72.32%, while four root canals MB1, MB2, distobuccal and palatal root canal were found in 27.67% (Table 1).

In group II (clinical under DOM): all 112 maxillary first molars showed 100% incidence for mesiobuccal 1 root canal, palatal root canal and distobuccal root canal. Three root canals MB1, distobuccal and palatal were found in 53.57%, while four root canals MB1, MB2, distobuccal and palatal root canal were found in 46.42%.

In group III (CBCT): all 112 maxillary first molars showed 100% incidence for mesiobuccal 1 root canal, palatal root canal and distobuccal root canal. Three root canals MB1, distobuccal and palatal were found in 39.28%, while four root canals MB1, MB2, distobuccal and palatal root canal were found in 60.71% (Table 1).

The CBCT (group III) showed maximum incidence of mesiobuccal 2 (MB2) canal (60.71%) followed by dental operating microscope (group II) (46.42%) and canal assessment without magnification (group I) (27.67%).

Discussion
The root canal anatomy requires a special attention during root canal therapy as it shows considerable variation and complexity. Proper knowledge of internal morphology of root canal is essential for optimal outcomes of the endodontic treatment. A wide range of variation has been reported in the literature with respect to the number of roots and root canals in each root in maxillary first molar.

According to Lee et al. the mesiobuccal root canal anatomy of maxillary first molars presents an endodontic challenge due to their considerable morphological variability and complexity.
attention should be given during their endodontic management to prevent the undesirable consequences when they are left untreated with missed root canals. Allan et al. noticed that about 8.8% of endodontic failure cases that need retreatment were due to the presence of untreated missed canals.24

Traditionally, many methods like “champagne or bubble test” using sodium hypochlorite, staining with 1% methylene blue, canal location with sharp explorers, red line test, looking for bleeding signs, white line test and obliquely angled preoperative radiographs are used to locate extra canals.25 Technological advances have resulted in introduction of newer techniques and methods like the use of magnifying loupes, operating microscopes, computerized radiographic techniques like conventional computerized tomography, cone beam computerized tomography, X-ray computed transaxial microtomography. All these newer methods have shown to greatly enhance the negotiation of mesiobuccal root canal systems in maxillary molar.26,27

Hence this study was conducted to analyze variation in root canal anatomy, particularly in relation to mesiobuccal canal in maxillary first molar using three different methods. The first method used to locate the MB2 in the present study was with naked eye (unaided vision) and the second was the use of dental operating microscope. Introduction of microscopes to endodontics include enhanced visibility and lighting. According to Stropko,28 identification of MB2 canal in maxillary first molar is enhanced clinically from 20 to 92% with the use of an operating microscope. Thus operating microscopes not only provide a great amount of light to illuminate the pulp chamber, but also magnify the chamber anatomy in great detail.

The result of group I showed that 31 out of 112 (Table 1) teeth showed MB2 canal orifice when viewed with unaided vision While the group II showed 52 out of 112 under the dental operating microscope at 20x magnification which represent 46.42%. These results correlate with the study conducted by Peeters in which they conclude that of the 308 maxillary first molars studied under dental operating microscope, 211 (68.5%) had an MB canal in their mesiobuccal root.29

In this study, third method used for evaluating root canal morphology was cone beam computerized tomography. Until recently, most of this core information on root canal anatomy would be obtained from conventional radiographs, but the main disadvantage with conventional film-based radiographs are the superimposition of images since they provide a 2-dimensional image of an actual 3D object. Nowadays this disadvantage is being overcome by a relatively newer diagnostic imaging modality which is cone beam computerized tomography.29

In the present study when teeth were analyzed under CBCT, 68 (60.71%) teeth showed MB2 canal orifice (Table 1). These results were almost similar to those reported by Shenoi et al.29 who reported an incidence of 80% MB2 canals under CBCT evaluation. However, Bauman in contrary to present study showed a much higher incidence of MB2 up to 92% under CBCT evaluation.30

**Conclusion**

According to the results of this study, it can be concluded that a preoperative CBCT image and use of the dental operating microscope during endodontic treatment can significantly increase the identification of MB2 canal in a maxillary first molar.
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