

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Anal Bioanal Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 22.

Published in final edited form as: Anal Bioanal Chem. 2011 October ; 401(7): 2123–2132. doi:10.1007/s00216-011-5294-7.

# ANALYSIS OF THE FLAME RETARDANT METABOLITES BIS (1,3-DICHLORO-2-PROPYL) PHOSPHATE (BDCPP) AND DIPHENYL PHOSPHATE (DPP) IN URINE USING LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY-TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY

**EM Cooper<sup>1</sup>**, **A Covaci<sup>2</sup>**, **ALN van Nuijs<sup>2</sup>**, **TF Webster<sup>3</sup>**, and **HM Stapleton<sup>1</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Duke University, Nicholas School of the Environment, Durham, North Carolina, USA

<sup>2</sup>Toxicological Center, University of Antwerp, 2610 Wilrijk-Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Boston University, School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

# Abstract

Organophosphate triesters tris-(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TDCPP) and triphenyl phosphate (TPP) are widely used flame retardants (FRs) present in many products common to human environments, yet understanding of human exposure, and health effects of these compounds is limited. Monitoring urinary metabolites as biomarkers of exposure can be a valuable aid for improving this understanding; however, no previously published method exists for the analysis of the primary TDCPP metabolite, bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP), in human urine. Here we present a method to extract the metabolites BDCPP and diphenyl phosphate (DPP) in human urine using mixed-mode anion exchange solid phase extraction and mass-labeled internal standards with analysis by atmospheric pressure chemical ionization liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (APCI-LC/MS-MS). The method detection limit was 8 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> urine for BDCPP and 204 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> for DPP. Recoveries of analytes spiked into urine ranged from  $82 \pm 10\%$  to  $91 \pm 4\%$  for BDCPP and from  $72 \pm 12\%$  to  $76 \pm 8\%$  for DPP. Analysis of a small number of urine samples (n=9) randomly collected from non occupationally exposed adults revealed the presence of both BDCPP and DPP in all samples. Non-normalized urinary concentrations ranged from 46–1662 pg BDCPP mL<sup>-1</sup> and 287–7443 pg DPP mL<sup>-1</sup>, with geometric means of 147 pg BDCPP mL<sup>-1</sup> and 1074 pg DPP mL<sup>-1</sup>. Levels of DPP were higher than those of BDCPP in 89% of samples. The presented method is simple and sufficiently sensitive to detect these FR metabolites in humans and may be applied to future studies to increase our understanding of exposure and potential health effects to FRs.

# Introduction

Organophosphate (OP) esters tris-(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TDCPP) and triphenyl phosphate (TPP) are widely used as additive flame retardants (FRs) in polyurethane foams, which are commonly found in sofas, chairs, car upholstery and related products [1–3]. Due to the recent phase-out of the commercial FR mixtures PentaBDE and OctaBDE in many regions, including the US and Europe, production and use of alternative FRs, such as OPs, is likely to increase [2]. Recently, TDCPP and TPP were detected in 96% and 98%, respectively, of US house dust samples (n=50) with geometric means of 1890 ng g<sup>-1</sup> and 7360 ng g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in US house dust [3]. Interestingly, recent European values of TDCPP and TPP in house dust samples (n=33) are lower, with means of 570 and 2020 ng

Corresponding author: Dr. Heather M. Stapleton; heather.stapleton@duke.edu; phone 1 (919) 613-87171; fax 1 (919) 684 8741.

 $g^{-1}$  for TDCPP and TPP, respectively [4], indicating that the use of and the potential exposure to these OPs may vary geographically.

Given the high usage of OPFRs (organophosphate flame retardants) in human environments, there is justified concern over the human health effects of these compounds. TPP can cause contact dermatitis in humans [5,6]. *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that TPP has endocrine disrupting [7], hemolytic [8] and neurotoxic potentials [9]. Additional *in vitro* studies have indicated that TDCPP may be mutagenic [10], nephrotoxic and neurotoxic [11,12]. Meeker and Stapleton observed associations between levels of TDCPP and TPP in house dust and reduced semen quality in men, suggesting endocrine disruption [13]. These authors also observed reduced free thyroxine associated with increased house dust TDCPP, suggesting this FR may also impair thyroid function. The mechanisms involved in the toxic effects associated with TPP and TDCPP, however, are not well understood.

Despite recent reports of high levels and detection frequencies of TDCPP and TPP in human environments, and given the potential toxicity of these compounds, there is very little understanding of human exposures and body burdens of these FRs, or of the levels of their metabolites in humans. Studies using rats and rat liver microsomes observed that TDCPP and TPP are metabolized to bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) and diphenyl phosphate (DPP), respectively [14–16]. In rat liver microsomes, incubation with NADPH yielded 91% transformation of TPP and 43% of TDCPP in 30 min. In rats, half lives of TDCPP varied with tissue from 1.5 - 5 h [14]. Mammalian studies with TPP are not available. These metabolites in human biological fluids, such as urine, therefore, may serve as biomarkers of human exposure to their parent FRs. No methods currently exist to measure BDCPP in human biological fluids or tissues, however, there are a few published methods to measure DPP in human urine [17–19].

Published methods for measuring urinary DPP rely on analysis of concentrated urine [17], or on solid phase extraction (SPE) with either a non-commercial molecularly imprinted polymer [19] or a commercially available cartridge containing a reversed-phase polymer with polar functionality [18]. Matrix effects causing difficulties in extraction and/or analysis were reported in the two methods that used liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) [17]. Only one study on DPP relied on mass-labeled internal standards, which is the most reliable quantification approach [18], however this method required two SPE steps and chemical derivatization to facilitate gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis. Quantification of DPP in the other published methods was achieved by standard addition [17], or using dibutyl phosphate as an internal standard [19], which has recently been observed in human urine [17], making this compound unsuitable as an internal standard.

This study addresses the current need for approaches to evaluate the presence of metabolites of OPFRs. Our primary objective was to develop a method to extract BDCPP and DPP from human urine and to measure them by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS-MS). The sample preparation relies on mixed-mode weak anion-exchange solid phase extraction (SPE) to target BDCPP and DPP, which have low estimated pK<sub>a</sub> values (1.18 and 1.12, respectively [20]), and are anions over typical urine pH range (pH 5–8). This method employs easy to use and commercially-available SPE products, uses mass-labeled internal standards for quantification, and relies on LC/MS-MS thereby circumventing added sample workup necessary for chemical derivatization for GC-MS analysis. Our second objective was to apply the developed method to determine whether BDCPP and DPP would be detected in urine samples randomly collected from non-occupationally exposed adults.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Chemicals

BDCPP (98%) was synthesized by Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, Ontario). DPP (99%), pyrrolidine (99%) and ammonium acetate (99%) were purchased from Isotec (Ohio, USA), Alfa Aesar (Massachusettss, USA), and Fluka (Missouri, USA), respectively. Mass-labeled internal standards included deuterated BDCPP (d<sub>10</sub>-BDCPP) and DPP (d<sub>10</sub>-DPP), which were synthesized by Dr. Vladimir Belov (Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Goettingen, Germany). <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-labeled bisphenol A (<sup>13</sup>C-BPA) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA) and used to quantify recovery of the phosphate diester internal standards. All stock solutions were prepared in HPLC-grade methanol (MeOH) (Honeywell, New Jersey, USA) and stored under refrigeration in the dark. LC/MS-grade water (JT Baker, Philipsburg, NJ), MeOH (EMD, Gibbstown, NJ) and acetonitrile (ACN) (BDH, West Chester, PA) were used in the SPE procedure described below. Structures of the parent compounds, the phosphate diester metabolites, and respective internal standards are provided in Figure 1.

#### **Urine Collection and Characterization**

Nine urine samples from non-occupationally exposed adult volunteers in North America were collected in amber glass jars and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until use. For three donors, urine was also collected at the same time in polypropylene specimen cups to evaluate effects of collection cup material. Equal volumes (10 mL) of all nine individual urine samples were combined for a pooled urine sample for use in matrix spike tests. Urine was characterized for pH, specific gravity, total creatinine and total protein. Specific gravity was measured using a digital handheld refractometer (Atago, Washington, USA). Creatinine was measured using an enzymatic assay (Diazyme, California, USA) with a colorimetric endpoint analyzed on a plate reader (FLUOStar Optima). Total protein was measured colorimetrically on a plate reader (FLUOStar Optima) using the Bradford Assay (Pierce Coomassie Plus, Illinois, USA).

#### Urine Extraction

In the course of developing a method to extract urinary BDCPP and DPP, we have evaluated several SPE products including Varian Bond Elut Plexa (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA), SampliQ (Agilent), Oasis WAX (Waters Inc., Milford, MA), Bond Elut DEA, Bond Elut PSA, Bond Elut NH2, and StrataX-AW (Phenomenex, California, US). Of all these SPEs, the StrataX-AW weak anion exchange cartridge proved most effective. For extraction using StrataX-AW (60 mg, 3 mL), 5 mL of urine was spiked with 10  $\mu$ L each of d<sub>10</sub>-BDCPP (2.3  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) and d<sub>10</sub>-DPP (1.21  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>), diluted 1:1 *v/v* with HPLC-grade water and acidified to pH 6.5 with 0.1 M acetic acid if the sample was above pH 6.5. SPE cartridges were conditioned with 2 mL methanol followed by 2 mL HPLC-grade water. The sample was passed through the SPE cartridge at a flow rate no greater than 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The cartridge was then washed with 2 mL HPLC-grade water, and dried under vacuum. Each cartridge was eluted with 2 mL ACN containing 5% pyrrolidine, concentrated to dryness under N<sub>2</sub> at 45° C, reconstituted in 500  $\mu$ L of 4:1 HPLC-grade water:MeOH, and filtered through a 0.2  $\mu$ m nylon membrane. Blank extractions were conducted using 5 mL of HPLC-grade water. All standards were prepared in of 4:1 HPLC-grade water:MeOH.

#### LC/MS-MS Analysis

BDCPP and DPP extracted from urine was analyzed by LC/MS-MS on an Agilent 1200 series LC connected to an Agilent 6410B triple quadrupole MS detector with multimode

source. Chromatographic separation of the extracts (5  $\mu$ L injection) was performed on a Kinetex XBC18 column (100 mm x 2.1 mm; 2.6  $\mu$ m) (Phenomenex) kept at 45°C. The mobile phases consisted of LC/MS grade water and MeOH and the flow rate was 0.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Analytes were separated over a gradient of 20% to 100% MeOH from 0–6 min and held at 100% MeOH for 2 min. Between injections, the column was re-equilibrated at 20% MeOH for 10 min. BDCPP and DPP were detected by atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) operating in negative ionization mode using multiple reaction monitoring under the conditions described in Table 1. In the ion source, gas (N<sub>2</sub>) temperature was 350 °C, vaporizer temperature was 200°C, gas flow was 10 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, nebulizer pressure was 345 kPa (50 psi), the capillary voltage was -2500 V and the corona charge was 4  $\mu$ A. Standards curves were linear from 194 – 194,000 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> for BDCPP and 406 – 406,000 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> for DPP.

#### **Assessment of Method Performance**

Several criteria were used to evaluate method performance using the SPE extraction and LC/ MS-MS analysis described above. Analyte recoveries were determined from triplicate extractions of the pooled urine sample spiked with BDCPP and DPP at three concentrations (78, 1458 and 7760 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> for BDCPP; 238, 4462 and 23,202 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> for DPP). Quantification of the mass-labeled internal standards was calculated using <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-BPA as an internal standard added just prior to LC/MS-MS analysis. Method repeatability was evaluated in triplicate extractions of all urine samples. Matrix effects were evaluated by comparing areas observed for analytes spiked into pooled urine extract at three levels to corresponding areas measured in spiked 4:1 water:MeOH, as recommended by Matuszewski et al [21]. The instrumental detection limit (IDL) was calculated as 3 times the average baseline noise in the quantitative MRM signal for each analyte and standard. Method detection limits (MDLs) were calculated for each analyte as 3 x standard deviation of the blanks normalized to 5 mL of urine.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Early Method Development

Early method development targeted only BDCPP, which was initially our primary interest. Both BDCPP and the urine matrix presented challenges in the development of both the extraction and LC/MS-MS analysis. Initial trials using reversed-phase SPE (Varian Bond Elut Plexa; Agilent SampliQ) and liquid-liquid extractions yielded low analyte recoveries (e.g., < 20%), possibly because of the highly polar character of BDCPP and its low pK<sub>a</sub> (estimated pKa 1.18 [20]), which may have made it difficult to fully protonate BDCPP and facilitate partitioning. Because BDCPP is likely an anion at pHs commonly observed in urine (e.g., pH 6-7), subsequent trials focused on ion-exchange SPE using Oasis WAX (pKa ~6.5), StrataX-AW (pKa 9), and Bond Elut NH2 (pKa 9), DEA (pKa 10.7), PSA (pKas 10.1 and 10.9) and SAX (permanently positively charged). A summary of the most successful extractions using these products is provided in Table 2. No BDCPP was recovered from the strong anion exchange phase (Bond Elut SAX). For the weak anion exchange cartridges (i.e., all but Bond Elut SAX), trials included testing dilution and pH adjustment of the sample, compositions of the wash step (e.g., deionized (DI) water, ammonium formate and acetate buffers) and elution step (e.g., volume and selection of organic solvent and base additive). Because the  $pK_a$  of the Oasis WAX cartridge falls within the pH range of urine, pH adjustment of the sample with 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer at pH 5 was necessary. For all other cartridges, fresh DI water yielded best results for dilution of the sample and for the wash step. For the elution step, MeOH and ACN were tried with addition of up to 10%NH<sub>4</sub>OH (pK<sub>a</sub> 9.25 for ammonium ion [22]) and pyrrolidine (pK<sub>a</sub> 11.31 [22]). Recoveries ≥70% were observed with Oasis WAX, StrataX-AW and NH2 cartridges. Overall, however,

extraction using StrataX-AW was most promising, because high recoveries were matched with low matrix interferences (e.g., low ion suppression in post-extraction spikes) when eluting with ACN containing 5% pyrrolidine. This additive likely provided better recoveries of BDCPP at least in part because its  $pK_a$  (11.3) is sufficiently above the sorbent pKa (9) to neutralize most of the sorbent positive charge, reducing ionic interactions between the sorbent and analyte and facilitating analyte removal from the SPE. Other studies investigating the use of SPE for recovery of phosphate diesters found success using the mixed-mode polymeric SPE cartridges Isolute ENV+ (Biotage; [18]) and non-commercially available molecularly imprinted polymers [19]. Interestingly, Schindler et al. [18] observed poor recoveries of phosphate diesters using anion exchange SPE, however, these authors did not elaborate on the methods attempted using anion exchange. Similar to our results, Moller et al. [19] noted lack of recovery of DPP from strong anion exchangers.

Selection of an analytical column was also critical in the method development. Analysis using a traditional C18 column (Thermo Keystone Hypersil BDS (100 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm) was complicated by a BDCPP elution near the injection front and by co-eluting matrix interferences. The elution profile was improved by using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) on a Waters XBridge Amide column (50 mm x 2.1 mm, 3.5 µm) and a Phenomenex Kinetex HILIC column (100 mm x 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm). Both columns contain polar functionality that likely increased retention of the polar anionic phosphate diesters. Analysis on the Kinetex HILIC column, however, was problematic for several urine samples, as evidenced by relative retention time shifts for BDCPP of up to 28% compared with reference standards. Interestingly, use of the Phenomenex Kinetex XBC18 (100 mm x 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm), another reversed phase column, eliminated retention time shifting problems, while allowing sufficient retention for elution of BDCPP well past the injection front. Chromatograms of BDCPP and DPP in standards and urine extracts analyzed on the XBC18 column are shown in Figure 2. Differences in column stationary phase characteristics may explain the different behaviors of BDCPP on the Kinetex XBC18 and Hypersil columns. According to the manufacturers' descriptions of the columns, the Kinetex XBC18, compared to the Hypersil, contains smaller particles of silica that allows for increased theoretical plates, and the silica particles have a fused core as opposed to the fully porous particles in the Hypersil column. The fused core particles improve the efficiency of analyte mass transfer in the stationary phase thereby reducing band broadening. Additionally, although both are essentially reversed-phase C18 columns, there may be differences in the manufacturing process and starting materials that result in differences in exact chemistry of the particle surface, which ultimately can affect analyte retention.

Analysis of BDCPP and DPP by MS was evaluated using both negative electrospray ionization (ESI(–)) and APCI(–). While both compounds ionized under both ionization modes, APCI(–) was selected because preliminary investigations indicated fewer matrix effects using APCI(–).

Once an approach for the extraction and analysis of BDCPP in urine was established, it was evaluated for extraction of DPP in preliminary experiments by comparing recoveries of DPP spiked into urine to values in spiked 4:1 water:MeOH following subtraction of background levels. Additionally, some pre-extraction sample treatments were tested. Protein precipitation prior to extraction was evaluated using acetone or ACN, followed by centrifugation and evaporation of the solvent from the supernatant, but this treatment did not improve analyte recovery. Because many metabolites in urine are present in a conjugated form, enzymatic treatment of the urine with glucuronidase and sulfatase enzymes, including bovine  $\beta$ -glucuronidase B-10, *Eschericia coli* glucuronidase type VII-A, and *Helix pomatia* glucuronidase were evaluated. These treatments did not improve recovery and in many trials reduced recovery. This does not necessarily imply that conjugated BDCPP or DPP forms do

not occur in urine. Commercially-available glucuronidase and sulfatase enzyme preparations, however, can vary considerably in substrate preference, and it is possible that another enzyme preparation may be more specific for BDCPP and DPP conjugates.

#### **Sample Characterization**

Urine was collected in early 2011 from 9 donors in the U.S. Donors were aged 23–46 years and included 5 females and 4 males. Urine pH (5.32–7.21; average 6.35 ± 0.67), specific gravity (1.0028 – 1.0238; average 1.0105 ± 0.0066), creatinine (119–2112  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>; average 756 ± 621  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) and total protein (12–264  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>; average 57 ± 5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) generally fell within levels considered normal [23–25]. Because some samples had neutral to slightly alkaline pH, acidification to pH 6.5 with 0.1 M acetic acid was necessary to ensure pH of the sample loaded onto the SPE was well below the sorbent pK<sub>a</sub> (9.0).

#### **Method Performance**

Method performance data for the extraction of BDCPP and DPP from urine using anion exchange SPE are presented in Table 3. Recoveries were assessed at three concentrations of each analyte in triplicate in a pooled urine sample comprised of equal volumes of all 9 individual urine samples, and were determined after background levels of metabolites in the urine were subtracted. For BDCPP, recovery at the lowest spike level (78 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was 82  $\pm$  10%. Higher BDCPP recoveries of 91  $\pm$  4% and 95  $\pm$  2% were observed at the medium (1458 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and high (7,760 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) spike levels, respectively. Recoveries of 71  $\pm$ 12%, 76  $\pm$  8% and 97  $\pm$  3% were observed for DPP added at the low (238 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>), medium (4462 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and high (23,202 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) spike levels, respectively. Recoveries for DPP are comparable to those reported by Moller et al. [19] (78%), but lower than that reported by Schindler et al. (99.3%) [18]. The current study is the first to present a method for extracting BDCPP from urine and therefore previously reported recovery values for BDCPP are unavailable. Recoveries of the internal standards averaged  $90 \pm 19\%$  for  $d_{10}$ -BDCPP and  $86 \pm 16\%$  for d<sub>10</sub>-DPP. Method repeatability was assessed in triplicate extractions of all urine samples. For BDCPP, relative standard deviations for triplicate extractions ranged from 4% to 23% averaging 13% across all samples. Triplicate extractions of DPP yielded relative standard deviations ranging from 9% to 31% averaging 18% across all samples. In 8 samples including the pooled urine sample, greater variability was observed for extracted DPP than for BDCPP for reasons that are unclear.

The instrumental detection limit (IDL), defined as 3 times the average baseline noise, was lower for BDCPP (0.3 pg per 5  $\mu$ L injection) than for DPP (1.7 pg per 5  $\mu$ L injection). The IDL observed for DPP is notably lower than values reported in previously published methods developed for analysis of urinary DPP: 75 pg per injection [18] and 5,000 pg per injection [19]. Method detection limits (MDLs), calculated as the 3 x standard deviation of the blanks, were 8 pg BDCPP mL<sup>-1</sup> and 204 pg DPP mL<sup>-1</sup> in urine. Comparison of our MDL value for DPP to results from previously published methods is confounded due to differences in the validation criteria employed for each method. Criteria provided by Moller et al. [19] and Schindler et al. [18] allowed us to calculate an MDL value for DPP according to our definition described above. MDL for our method is lower than that calculated from the validation criteria reported by Moller et al  $(64,103 \text{ pg mL}^{-1})$  [19], but higher than that of Schindler et al. (15 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>) [18]. Because no analyte-free urine was available, blank extractions were conducted using HPLC-grade water acidified with 0.1 M acetic acid. No BDCPP was observed in blank extractions, however they did contain traces of DPP at levels of 79  $\pm$  41 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). No source for the background DPP could be found. However, scientific literature demonstrates the use of DPP in metal lubrication and protection [26, 27], in plastics [28], and it is sold in products used in coating applications (e.g., IsleChem, LLC, phenyl acid phosphate (PAP), http://www.islechem.com/pdfs/papmsds.pdf). It is also a

product of degradation from cellulose acetate films [29]. Investigation into the source of the background DPP levels indicated that the SPE cartridges contributed little to no DPP to the samples (data not shown). Despite the background levels of DPP observed, the detection limits were sufficiently sensitive to quantify DPP in all samples.

To ensure the analytes did not degrade under the basic conditions necessary for their elution from the SPE cartridge, a 4-hrs stability experiment at room temperature of BDCPP and DPP in the elution solution (ACN with 5% pyrrolidine) was executed. Concentrations of both compounds measured at 0, 1 and 4 hrs were not statistically different, indicating the compounds can be regarded stable under these conditions. Additionally, the concentrations of analytes in the urine extracts stored at -20 °C for 20 days were found to be within 6% of original measurements, indicating that extracted analytes are stable over this time period.

Matrix effects were evaluated by standard addition tests. Extracts of the pooled urine as well as 4:1 water:MeOH were spiked at three levels of BDCPP (522, 988 and 9931 pg per extract) and DPP (1139, 2423, and 21,245 pg per extract). Peak areas of BDCPP and DPP measured in unspiked pooled urine extract was subtracted from corresponding peak areas observed in the spiked extracts. The observed peaks areas of spiked analytes in the urine extract were compared to the expected areas measured in spiked water:MeOH after subtraction of peak areas of background DPP in unspiked water:MeOH. Observed peak areas in the spiked extracts ranged from  $107 \pm 5\%$  to  $117 \pm 9\%$  of expected areas for BDCPP, and  $98 \pm 15\%$  to  $128 \pm 7\%$  of expected values for DPP. Overall, these results suggest that some minor matrix-dependent ion enhancement may occur for both analytes (Table 3). Linear regression of the analyte response by the expected total analyte concentration yielded intercepts not significantly different than 0 (ANOVA, p<0.05), providing further support that matrix interferences were minimal. Because urine samples may vary considerably with regard to types and concentrations of solutes, it is not surprising that some matrix effects may occur. In the most ideal situation, matrix effects may be accounted for by preparing standards in the same matrix as the sample. In this case, however, no urine tested was found free of BDCPP and DPP (results presented below), hence the analysis relied on the use of mass-labeled internal standards that compensate for the occurring matrix effects.

#### Levels of BDCPP and DPP in Urine

Levels of BDCPP and DPP in the nine random urine samples are reported in Table 4 and Figure 3 with and without normalization to specific gravity to account for differences in hydration levels across donors. Concentrations of BDCPP and DPP in urine were normalized to urine specific gravity as follows [30]:

$$P_n = (P) \times \frac{(1.024 - 1)}{(\text{SG} - 1)}$$

where P is the measured analyte concentration, SG is urine specific gravity, and  $P_n$  is analyte concentration normalized to SG. Normalization of the concentrations to creatinine levels, often used as a means to account for hydration levels and overall urine concentration, was not employed since creatinine production may vary with sex, age and health condition [23] and so was not deemed suitable for the mixed population sampled. Both BDCPP and DPP were observed in all urine samples. Non-normalized BDCPP levels ranged from 46 to 1662 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> with a median of 83 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>. In 89% of samples, levels of DPP (non-normalized) were higher than those of BDCPP, ranging from 287 to 7443 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>, with a median of 803 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Concentrations of both BDCPP and DPP were log-normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test *p*<0.05; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test on log-transformed values, *p*>0.05). Geometric

means of non-normalized BDCPP and DPP were 148 and 1074 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. When analytes were normalized to specific gravity, BDCPP ranged from 88 to 3469 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> with a geometric mean of 410 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>, and DPP ranged from 569 to 63,796 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> with a geometric mean of 1810 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>.

Urinary DPP and BDCPP concentrations were not correlated with each other or significantly related to sex, age, or any measured urine characteristic. This result is not surprising given the small number of samples evaluated. Additional studies evaluating urinary phosphate diesters is necessary to understand how BDCPP and DPP concentrations vary within the population and how variable measurements may be over time within individuals. For the three urine samples collected in both glass and plastic, BDCPP and DPP values were higher in urine collected in glass, with the exception of DPP in sample 1 (Figure 4). However, this comparison was only significant (ANOVA on log-transformed values and non-transformed values, p<0.05) for BDCPP in samples 1 and 2. Plastic specimen cups are commonly used to collect urine samples, however, these results suggest that some urinary BDCPP and DPP may be lost to the plastic container. Therefore, glass containers are recommended for use whenever possible.

There are no previously published reports of BDCPP levels in human urine, and only limited information on the urinary levels of other dialkyl and/or diaryl OPFR metabolites. Schindler et al. reported DPP levels in 30 non-occupationally exposed residents of southern Germany [18]. Values were presented as concentrations in urine not adjusted for specific gravity or any other parameter. DPP was not detected in 70% of the samples, and the median level was below the detection limit (15 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The highest value reported was 4100 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>, approximately half of the highest value observed in the current study, suggesting that geographical differences may factor into human exposure to TPP. However, more recently Reemtsma et al. reported a median of 1300 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 95% percentile of 28,600 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> DPP in human urine in Germany [17]. These results vary considerably from those found by Schindler et al [18], Several studies published in the last few years have reported high levels of TDCPP and TPP, the parent OPs of BDCPP and DPP, respectively, in polyurethane foams and in dust from houses, cars, and workplaces [1–3]. Given the high frequency of occurrence of these OPFRs in areas where human exposure is likely, it is not surprising that most samples contained one or both diester metabolites.

# Conclusions

The method presented here for analysis of OPFRs in human urine is the first published for BDCPP and one of few methods available for DPP. Advantages over previously published methods for urinary DPP analysis include use of readily available SPE products, use of mass-labeled internal standards, no need for chemical derivatization, and, in some cases, lower detection limits. Method development highlighted the difficulties in extracting and analyzing soluble anionic compounds from urine, but also emphasized the advances made in SPE technology and chromatography stationary phases. Although we evaluated these metabolites in urine from nine volunteers, additional research examining a greater number of donors is needed to characterize levels of BDCPP and DPP within a population. Additional research may also focus on adaptation of this method to other biological matrices such as serum or to other OPFRs.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Alex Konstantinov and Wellington Labs, Inc. for synthesis and donation of BDCPP, and Dr. Vladimir N. Belov at Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Goettingen, Germany, for synthesis of  $d_{10}$ -BDCPP and  $d_{10}$ -DPP. The authors also acknowledge funding support from NIEHS Grants RO1ES016099 and

RO1ES015829. Alexander van Nuijs and Adrian Covaci are financially supported by PhD and postdoctoral fellowships, respectively, from the Research Scientific Foundation - Flanders (FWO).

## References

- Marklund A, Andersson B, Haglund P. Screening of organophosphorus compounds and their distribution in various indoor environments. Chemosphere. 2003; 53 (9):1137–1146. [PubMed: 14512118]
- Reemtsma T, Quintana JB, Rodil R, García-López M, Rodríguez I. Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in water and air I. Occurrence and fate. Trends Anal Chem. 2008; 27 (9): 727–737.
- Stapleton HM, Klosterhaus S, Eagle S, Fuh J, Meeker JD, Blum A, Webster TF. Detection of organophosphate flame retardants in furniture foam and U.S. house dust. Environ Sci Technol. 2009; 43 (19):7490–7495. [PubMed: 19848166]
- Van den Eede N, Dirtu AC, Neels H, Covaci A. Analytical developments and preliminary assessment of human exposure to organophosphate flame retardants from indoor dust. Environ Int. 2011; 37 (2):454–461. [PubMed: 21176966]
- Kanerva L, Jolanki R, Estlander T. Allergic and irritant patch test reactions to plastic and glue allergens. Contact Derm. 1997; 37 (6):301–302. [PubMed: 9455640]
- O'Driscoll JB, Marcus R, Beck MH. Occupational allergic contact dermatitis from triphenyl phosphite. Contact Derm. 1989; 20 (5):392–393. [PubMed: 2527725]
- Honkakoski P, Palvimo JJ, Penttilä L, Vepsäläinen J, Auriola S. Effects of triaryl phosphates on mouse and human nuclear receptors. Biochem Pharm. 2004; 67 (1):97–106. [PubMed: 14667932]
- Sato T, Watanabe K, Nagase H, Kito H, Niikawa M, Yoshioka Y. Investigation of the hemolytic effects of various organophosphoric acid triesters (OPEs) and their structure-activity relationship. Toxicol Environ Chem. 1997; 59:305–313.
- 9. WHO. Environmental Health Criteria 111: Triphenyl phosphate. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 1991.
- Gold MD, Blum A, Ames BN. Another flame-retardant, tris-(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl)-phosphate, and its expected metabolites are mutagens. Science. 1978; 1978 (4343):785–787. [PubMed: 347576]
- Soederlund EJ, Dybing E, Holme JA, Hongslo JK, Rivedal E, Sanner T, Nelson SD. Comparative genotoxicity and nephrotoxicity studies of the two halogenated flame retardants tris(1,3dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate and tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate. Acta Pharmacol Toxicol. 1985; 56:20–29.
- 12. Dishaw LV, Powers CM, Ryde IT, Roberts SC, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA, Stapleton HM. Is the PentaBDE replacement, tris (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TDCPP), a developmental neurotoxicant? Studies in PC12 cells. Toxicol Appl Pharm. In Press. 10.1016/j.taap.2011.01.005
- Meeker JD, Stapleton HM. House dust concentrations of organophosphate flame retardants in relation to hormone levels and semen quality parameters. Environ Health Perspect. 2010; 118 (3): 318–323. [PubMed: 20194068]
- 14. Nomeir AA, Kato S, Matthews HB. The metabolism and disposition of tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (fyrol fr-2) in the rat. Toxicol Appl Pharm. 1981; 57 (3):401–413.
- 15. Lynn RK, Wong K, Garviegould C, Kennish JM. Disposition of the flame-retardant, tris(1,3dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate, in the rat. Drug Metab Disposition. 1981; 9 (5):434–441.
- Sasaki K, Suzuki T, Takeda M, Uchiyama M. Metabolism of phosphoric-acid triesters by rat-liver homogenate. B Environ Contam Tox. 1984; 33 (3):281–288.
- Reemtsma T, Lingott J, Roegler S. Determination of 14 monoalkyl phosphates, dialkyl phosphates and dialkyl thiophosphates by LC-MS/MS in human urinary samples. Sci Tot Environ. 2011; 409 (10):1990–1993.
- Schindler BK, Forster K, Angerer J. Determination of human urinary organophosphate flame retardant metabolites by solid-phase extraction and gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B. 2009; 877 (4):375–381.

- Moller K, Crescenzi C, Nilsson U. Determination of a flame retardant hydrolysis product in human urine by SPE and LC-MS. Comparison of molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction with a mixed-mode anion exchanger. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2004; 378 (1):197–204. [PubMed: 14614582]
- 20. Chemical Abstracts Service: Columbus, OH, 2011; RN 115-86-6 (diphenyl phosphate) and RN 13674-87-8 (tris (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate; pKa values, calculated using Advanced Chemistry Development (ACD/Labs) Software V11.02. (2011).
- Matuszewski BK, Constanzer ML, Chavez-Eng CM. Strategies for the assessment of matrix effect in quantitative bioanalytical methods based on HPLC MS/MS. Anal Chem. 2003; 75 (13):3019– 3030. [PubMed: 12964746]
- 22. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. 91. CRC Press/Taylor and Francis; Boca Raton, FL: 2011. (Internet Version 2011)
- 23. Simerville JA, Maxted WC, Pahira JJ. Urinalysis: a comprehensive review. Am Fam Physician. 2005; 71 (6):1153–1162. [PubMed: 15791892]
- Encyclopedia ADAMME. Atlanta (GA): A.D.A.M., Inc; 2009. ©2005. Creatinine-urine; [updated 2009 Aug 7; cited 2011 Apr 2]. Available from: http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/003610.htm
- 25. Encyclopedia ADAMME. Atlanta (GA): A.D.A.M., Inc; ©2005. Protein-urine; [updated 2009 Aug 7; cited 2011 Apr 2]. Available from: http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/003580.htm
- 26. Markley TA, Forsyth M, Hughes AE. Corrosion protection of AA2024-T3 using rare earth diphenyl phosphates. Electrochim Acta. 2007; 52:4024–4031.
- 27. Yu T, Lin C-T. Performance of in-situ phosphatizing reagents in solvent-borne paints. Ind Eng Chem Res. 1997; 36:368–374.
- Camacho W, Karlsson S. Quality-determination of recycled plastic packaging waste by identification of contaminants by GC-MS after microwave assisted extraction (MAE). Polym Degrad Stab. 2000; 71:123–134.
- Shinagawa Y, Murayama M, Sakaino Y. Investigation of the archival stability of cellulose triacetate film: the effect of additives to CTA support. Spec Publ - R Soc Chem. 1992; 105:138– 150.
- Meeker JD, Yang T, Ye X, Calafat AM, Hauser R. Urinary concentrations of parabens and serum hormone levels, semen quality parameters, and sperm DNA damage. Environ Health Perspect. 2010; 119(2)10.1289/ehp.1002238





Structures of organophosphate triester flame retardants, their diester metabolites bis (1,3dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) and diphenyl phosphate (DPP) evaluated in this study, and the corresponding internal standards used in the LC/MS-MS analysis.





Example of LC/MS-MS chromatograms of (a) bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) and (b) diphenyl phosphate (DPP) in a standard and a urine extract separated on Phenomenex Kinetex XBC18 (100 mm x 2.1 mm; 2.6  $\mu$ m). Concentrations of BDCPP standard and sample were 9700 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 7170 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Concentrations of DPP standard and sample were 4060 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 2504 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The volume of extract analyzed was 0.5 mL.



#### Fig 3.

Bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) and diphenyl phosphate (DPP) in nine individual urine samples and pooled urine sample (composite of equal volumes of individual samples) presented as concentrations normalized to urine specific gravity. Error bars are standard deviations of triplicate extractions.



#### Fig 4.

Bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) (a) and diphenyl phosphate (DPP) (b) in three urine samples collected in glass and plastic containers. Values are concentrations normalized to urine specific gravity. Error bars are standard deviations of triplicate extractions. Samples for which values are significantly different (ANOVA p < 0.05) between glass and plastic containers are notated with an asterisk (\*).

#### Table 1

Source settings and multiple reaction monitoring ion transitions used to detect bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP), diphenyl phosphate (DPP) and mass-labeled internal standards.

Compound	Transition <sup><math>a</math></sup> ( $m/z$ )	Fragmentor (V)	Collision Energy (V)
BDCPP	318.9 > 35.1 (Q)	80	10
	318.9 > 36.9 (q)	80	10
d <sub>10</sub> -BDCPP	328.9 > 35.1 (Q)	80	10
DPP	249.1 > 93.1 (Q)	120	30
	249.1 > 155.0 (q)	120	20
d <sub>10</sub> -DPP	259.1 > 98.0 (Q)	120	30

<sup>a</sup>Transitions for quantifier ions are designated with "Q;" transitions for qualifier ions are designated with "q."

_
_
_
_
_
0
-
-
-
_
_
-
$\mathbf{C}$
$\simeq$
_
<
_
0
L L L
_
_
<u> </u>
10
S)
Ö
0
-
<u> </u>
()

2	
Ð	
ā	
. <u>a</u>	

Comparison of bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) recoveries from six anion-exchange solid phase extraction (SPE) products.

	ssion in post-extraction spike		ssion in post-extraction spike				
Comments	Strong ion suppres		Strong ion suppres	Poor recovery	Poor recovery	Strong retention	
Spike amount (pg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	2,445	1,458	2,445	2,049	2,049	4,651	
Highest Recoveries from Spiked Urine	76 ±17%	$91{\pm}4\%$	70±8%	$48{\pm}4\%$	39±5%	070%	
Sorbent pKa	6.5	~ 9.0	9.8	10.1 and 10.9	10.7	permanent charge (+)	
Particle Type	Polymeric	Polymeric	Silica	Silica	Silica	Silica	
Brand	Waters	Phenomenex	Varian	Varian	Varian	Varian	
SPE Product	Oasis WAX	StrataX-AW	NH2	PSA	DEA	SAX	

# Table 3

Method performance criteria for extraction of bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) and diphenyl (DPP) from urine.

Criterion	BDCPP	DPP
lecoveries		
Pooled Urine Matrix Spikes		
Low	$82 \pm 10\%$	$71 \pm 12\%$
Medium	$91 \pm 4\%$	$76 \pm 8\%$
High	$95 \pm 2\%$	$97 \pm 3\%$
Internal Standard Recovery	$90 \pm 19\%$	$86 \pm 16\%$
Standard Addition	Observed/Expected	Observed/Expected
Low	$111 \pm 31\%$	$98 \pm 15\%$
Medium	$117 \pm 9\%$	$128 \pm 7\%$
High	$107 \pm 5\%$	$127 \pm 15\%$
Detection Limits		
Blank Concentrations	not detected	$79 \pm 49 \text{ pg mL}^{-1}$
Instrumental Detection Limit <sup>a</sup>	0.3 pg	1.7 pg
Method detection limit $b$	$8 \text{ pg mL}^{-1}$	$204 \text{ pg mL}^{-1}$

 $^{1}$ Instrumental detection limit: determined as 3 x average signal noise and reported as mass of analyte per injection (5  $\mu$ L).

Anal Bioanal Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 July 22.

 $b_{\rm Method}$  detection limit of analyte in urine calculated as (3 x Blank SD).

#### Table 4

Levels of bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (BDCPP) and diphenyl phosphate (DPP) extracted in nine urine samples.

		BDCPP	DPP		
	non-normalized	normalized to specific gravity	non-normalized	normalized to specific gravity	
		pg mL <sup>-1</sup>			
Minimum	46	88	287	569	
Maximum	1,662	3,469	7,443	63,796	
Median	83	371	803	1,810	
Geometric Mean	148	410	1,074	2,974	