



Provided by the author(s) and University College Dublin Library in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	Analysis of virtual synchronous generator control and its response based on transfer functions
Authors(s)	Chen, Junru; O'Donnell, Terence
Publication date	2019-09-18
Publication information	IET Power Electronics, 12 (11): 2965-2977
Publisher	IET
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/11479
Publisher's statement	This paper is a postprint of a paper submitted to and accepted for publication in IET Power Electronics and is subject to Institution of Engineering and Technology Copyright. The copy of record is available at the IET Digital Library.
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1049/iet-pel.2018.5711

Downloaded 2022-08-28T02:49:26Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)



Analysis of Virtual Synchronous Generator Control and its Response based on Transfer Functions.

Junru Chen, Terence O' Donnell

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

junru.chen.1@ucdconnect.ie, terence.odonnell@ucd.ie

Abstract: Virtual Synchronous Generator (VSG) control has been proposed as a means to control power electronics converter interfaced generation and storage which retains the dynamics of the conventional synchronous machine. This paper provides a comprehensive, transfer function based, analysis of VGS control, which can be used as the basis for the design of VSG transient and steady state performance. Based on a hardware validated, large signal model, a small signal model and associated transfer functions which describe the changes in real and reactive power in response to changes in references and grid frequency disturbances. The derived transfer functions are used to obtain insight into the correct design of VSG controllers. The small signal models, transfer functions and associated analysis are validated by comparison with measured results on a scaled hardware system.

1. Introduction

The increase in penetration of power electronics connected renewable generation into the power system raises issues of power system stability. These inertia-less renewable energy sources decrease the total inertia of the network and potentially pose transient stability issues. In relation to this problem, Beck and Hesse proposed the Virtual Synchronous Machine (VSM) method in 2007 [1]. Its basic strategy is to control the Voltage Source Converter (VSC) to mimic the synchronous generator by implementing the swing equation in the control loop, thus emulating the inertia of a conventional generator. In this way, the DC side of the converter incorporating electrical energy storage, mimics the virtual kinetic energy, [2] and the renewable energy source mimics the prime mover. Note both the terms virtual synchronous machine (VSM) and virtual synchronous generator (VSG) have since been used interchangeably in literature to denote this type of control approach, but for the sake of consistency in this work we use only the VSG terminology.

Since the VSG concept had been proposed, different implementation methods have been designed [3]. Although some VSG control approaches have been applied to current source/grid feeding converters [4,5], here the focus is on voltage source/grid forming converters which become necessary in the scenario of increased converter interfaced generation. The synchronization of the grid forming VSG is analogous to the SG, relying on the filtered power synchronization [6][7], without the need for a PLL. The Synchronverter [8] was proposed with a self-synchronizing method [9] to directly control the converter terminal voltage and this method has been further investigated in wind and PV systems [10-12]. The synchronverter is essentially a 2nd order synchronous generator emulation with the swing equation to determine voltage angle and a reactive power controller to determine voltage amplitude. Alternatively, the higher-order self-synchronized VSM topology, based on the outer voltage, inner current controlled converter, presented by S. D'Arco et al. [13-16], applies power-to-frequency control to achieve synchronization and directly regulate the

output voltage. This approach is one of the most general implementations [17-28] as it also features the inclusion of virtual impedance in the VSG which could help compensate the resistive line impedance. This method has also been investigated for wind generation [21,22], electric vehicle systems [23] and other distributed energy resources [24-26]. These VSG controls were typically applied to storage systems or systems where the DC link voltage was regulated by the storage system. On the other hand, many renewable generation systems operate with a grid side converter the main function of which is to control the DC link. Considering the application of VSG control to these converters, it has been shown that the dynamics of the DC side capacitor are similar to those of the kinetic energy or inertia of the synchronous generator [29], and references [30-31] proposed a VSG control method based on the DC link capacitor voltage dynamics. However, the VSG implementation of [13-16] has the strongest similarity with the conventional Synchronous Generator (SG), with their control settings characterized by virtual inertia, damping and droop settings which can be directly analogous to the SG and giving similar performance if set identically [27]. For that reason, this work is most relevant to those VSG implementations.

An advantage of the virtual synchronous machine over the real synchronous machine is that the inertia and damping parameters are controller settings which can be easily varied (within the limits of ratings). Taking advantage of this, [34] suggested the use of varying inertia in order to rapidly reduce frequency oscillation after a contingency. Moreover, [35] utilized a self-adaptive inertia and damping combination control on VSG to improve performance on both oscillation and settling time, although the mathematic relationship between VSG parameters and the oscillation reduction is missing in that paper. Although adaptive controls are not directly addressed in this work, the relationships developed can be used to give insight into adaptive control settings.

A solid mathematical basis for investigating the interaction between the VSG and the power system is vital in order to understand the possibilities which might arise from it in terms of enhancing power system performance. In this regard, references [13-16] provide the overall small-

signal modeling of VSG with full Jacobian matrix. Based on this, [36] applies Bifurcation Theory to synchronverter-based microgrid stability analysis. Although the Jacobian matrix could be used to analyze parametric sensitivity, the decoupled parametric effect on output power performance can be more clearly presented by transfer functions. In this respect, [32,37] has provided a complex transfer function analysis with both VSG and VSC dynamics for frequency to active power, while [38,39] uses a simplified second-order transfer function for frequency to active power considering virtual inertia and droop. Reference [40] provides the transfer function for reference active power to actual power output and then analyzes the VSG stability constraints. In addition, [41] uses an angle to active power transfer function to analyze the influence of the virtual resistance on active power. The benefit of transfer function analysis over state space analysis, is its ability to provide a more intuitive insight into the parametric effects on the response. While the state space approach, is appropriate for stability analysis in complex multi-input-multi-output systems it is not so easy to understand the direct effects of each parameter on the transient response. In the other words, the transfer analysis put more emphasis on the device level analysis, although this analysis is limited to the single-input-single output, while the state space approach is more appropriate for the system-level analysis. Therefore before studying the incorporation of the VSG in larger power systems, a more insightful analysis at the device level is required which adequately captures the VSG terminal characteristics, their interaction with the grid and the influence of the VSG settings on its characteristics. However, to the author's knowledge, there is no paper which provides the complete coupled analysis for voltage angle and magnitude and grid frequency to active and reactive power using transfer functions. Therefore the novel contributions of this paper are 1) to propose a VSG large signal model, which is simplified compared with models in [13-16] and mainly focuses on the VSG parameters; 2) to provide not only frequency to active power transfer function, but also the comprehensive transfer functions from the all possible inputs, references and grid state changes, to the outputs, real and reactive powers, including the cross couplings between them.; 3) to make use of the transfer functions to clearly relate VSG terminal performance (power overshoot and damping, settling time, oscillation frequency) to its settings and the grid characteristics. Furthermore, the analysis is used as a basis for exploring the influence of the settings on the terminal characteristics with simplified equations providing a basis for VSG design. The developed models are validated with hardware experiments. Under the parametric analysis, the VSG transient performance, including the overshoot, settling time and steady state error can be predicted from the mathematic computation, this is verified also by the hardware experiments.

This paper first introduces the large signal model of VSG in section 2. From this model, the transfer functions relating active and reactive power outputs to reference changes and grid frequency changes are developed. In section 3, based on the transfer functions, the effects of VSG settings on its output performance will be analyzed. Finally, the VSG parametric effects are validated in a hardware experiment in Section 5.

2. VSG Large Signal Model

VSG control is implemented into grid-connected inverters, which typically interface the DC bus voltage from renewable generation or storage to the AC grid. The structure of the VSG consists of an active power regulation block, reactive power regulation block, virtual impedance and a conventional voltage source converter (VSC) as shown in Fig. 1. The blocks highlighted in blue form is the core of VSG control, which aims to compute the reference output voltage $u_{o,ref}$ for the VSC according to the required power; the blocks highlighted in red form is the conventional voltage controlled VSC with output voltage u_o tracking $u_{o,ref}$. In this work it is assumed that the DC link voltage is maintained constant, e.g. by the action of the controller for the storage system. It is also assumed that the response time of the VSG control is similar to that of the SG, i.e. in the range of seconds, while the VSC voltage controller response time is less than 10 ms. Considering this separation in response times, the large signal model neglects the fast-response VSC and only models the VSG (i.e. it essentially assumes that $u_o = u_{o,ref}$). The specific conditions under which this assumption remains valid have been verified in [40]. It is also assumed that the VSG is connected to an infinite bus, with voltage, \bar{u}_g , through a line impedance, Z_g . Since the VSC acts as a voltage controlled converter, the electric potential $E\angle\delta$ is the controlled VSC voltage after the filter. Due to the implementation of a virtual impedance, E can be considered a virtual voltage and the actual output voltage of the VSG after the virtual impedance is u_o as shown in Fig. 2.




Fig. 1. Virtual synchronous generator control structure

2.1 Active Power Regulation

The active power exchanged between the VSG and the grid is determined by the angle δ of the virtual electric potential, \bar{e} , relative to the grid voltage angle. This angle is composed of a contribution from the reference active power setpoint which can also include a droop component for steady state frequency support, and a contribution from inertia during transients. The inertia part is determined from the swing equation (1) while the droop part is determined from active power-frequency droop (2).

$$\frac{d\Delta\omega_{VSG}}{dt} = \frac{P^*}{J} - \frac{P}{J} - \frac{D(\omega_{VSG} - \omega_g)}{J} \quad (1)$$

$$P^* = P + K_d(\omega_g - \omega^*) \quad (2)$$

Where J is virtual inertia, D is damping gain, K_d is droop gain, ω_{VSG} is VSG frequency, ω_g is grid frequency, ω^* is reference frequency, P is VSG output active power, P^* is VSG reference active power.

If the damping gain D is made equal to droop gain K_d , (1) and (2) can be combined as following:

$$\frac{d\Delta\omega_{VSG}}{dt} = \frac{P^*}{J} - \frac{P}{J} - \frac{K_d(\omega_{VSG} - \omega^*)}{J} \quad (3)$$

The constraints for the parameters J and K_d to ensure stability have been analysed in [40]. Compared with the use of different damping and droop parameters, this approach has a narrower scope, since one parameter serves the purpose of damping during transients and droop during steady state. It does have the advantage of eliminating the need for a PLL. Although the analysis in this paper is based on (3), nevertheless, it would be possible to extend the analysis to the method with separate damping and droop with (2). This possibility is discussed later in section 6.

2.2 Reactive Power Regulation

The reactive power exchanged between the VSG and the grid is determined by the electric potential magnitude E and can incorporate a reactive power-voltage droop term as in (4) [42].

$$E = U^* + K_q(Q^* - Q) \quad (4)$$

Where K_q is the reactive power droop gain, U^* is the voltage reference, Q^* is the reactive power reference, and Q is the VSG output reactive power.

2.3 Virtual Impedance

The virtual impedance [37] $R_v + jX_v$ plays a similar role to the stator impedance of a synchronous machine. Thus, the virtual impedance connects the VSG to the transmission line in series as shown in Fig. 2. Hence, the current through the virtual impedance is the VSG output current \vec{i} . Thus, the VSG output voltage \vec{u}_o can be obtained as the electric potential minus the voltage drop on the virtual impedance:

$$\vec{u}_o = \vec{e} - (R_v + jX_v)\vec{i} \quad (5)$$

2.4 Electrical System Model

In steady state, the reactive power and active power references determine the virtual electric potential and its phase angle respectively. Assuming the transmission line impedance between the VSG and grid is $R_g + jX_g$, and the grid voltage is \vec{u}_g and taking the grid voltage as the reference as defined by (8), then the resulting phase angle from the active power regulation is equal to the phase difference between the grid and the VSG potential. Then the electric potential can be defined as (6) in the static dq frame, where the angle is the integral of the frequency change in both VSG and grid (7).

$$\vec{e} = e_d + je_q = E\cos(\delta) + jE\sin(\delta) \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{d\delta}{dt} = \Delta\omega_{VSG} + \Delta\omega_g \quad (7)$$

$$\vec{u}_g = u_{gd} + ju_{gq} = U_g \quad (8)$$

Where U_g is the magnitude of the grid voltage and $\Delta\omega_g = (\omega^* - \omega_g)$.

Fig. 2 presents the electrical system model of the VSG connected to the grid.




Fig. 2. The electrical system model of VSG connecting to the grid

From Fig. 2, the output current or line current can be

easily computed as:

$$R = R_v + R_g \quad (9)$$

$$X = X_v + X_g = 2\pi f_{VSG}L_v + 2\pi f_gL_v \quad (10)$$

$$\vec{i} = i_d + ji_q = \frac{\vec{e} - \vec{u}_g}{R + jX} \quad (11)$$

The current in dq-frame would be:

$$\begin{cases} i_d = \frac{R}{R^2 + X^2}(e_d - u_{gd}) + \frac{X}{R^2 + X^2}(e_q - u_{gq}) \\ i_q = -\frac{X}{R^2 + X^2}(e_d - u_{gd}) + \frac{R}{R^2 + X^2}(e_q - u_{gq}) \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Substituting (6) into (5) gives the output voltage in the dq-frame:

$$\begin{cases} u_{od} = E\cos\delta - i_dR_v + i_qX_v \\ u_{oq} = E\sin\delta - i_dX_v - i_qR_v \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Equation (12) and (13) give the output current and voltage from the VSG, and its power output can be computed as:

$$P = \frac{3}{2}(u_{od}i_d + u_{oq}i_q) \quad (14)$$

$$Q = \frac{3}{2}(-u_{od}i_q + u_{oq}i_d) \quad (15)$$

The active power P computed from (14) can be viewed as the feedback for active power regulation in (3), while the reactive power Q computed from (15) can be viewed as the feedback for reactive power regulation in (4). Then (3-15) describe the closed loop VSG large signal model. Fig. 3 depicts the VSG large signal model where each part from the above section is indicated in the figure. The input is the references (P^* , Q^* , U^*) and grid information ($U_g, \Delta\omega_g$), while the output is the VSG generated power or VSG output voltage and current.




Fig. 3. VSG large signal model

2.5 VSG Large Signal Model Validation

In order to validate the accuracy of large signal model, we compare the VSG large signal model (Fig. 3) result to measurements obtained from a hardware in the loop test of a VSG controlled converter. The hardware uses two 100 V, 2 kVA, three-phase AC/DC converter with control and measurement implemented via an OPAL-RT platform as shown in Fig. 4. Two converters are used, with one converter implementing VSG control while the other converter models the grid. These two converters use an LC filter and connect through an inductance emulating a transmission line. The parameters of the system and settings for the VSG are summarized in Table 1. The large signal

model is implemented in Matlab/Simulink with the same VSG settings as given in Table 1.




Fig. 4. Hardware experimental set-up

Table 1 Hardware VSG settings

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
PWM/Sampling time	1350/14.81e-6 s	Filter inductance	0.033 H
Rated Voltage U_g	100 V	Filter resistance	0.1266 Ω
Reference voltage U^*	100 V	Filter capacitance	80 μ F
Reference angular frequency ω^*	$2\pi \cdot 50$ Hz	Line inductance	0.033 H
VSG Inertia J	20 W/rad ⁻¹ s ²	Line resistance	1.44 Ω
VSG damping/droop K_d	80 W/rad ⁻¹ s	Virtual inductance	0.011 H
VSG reactive power droop K_q	0.01 VA/V	Virtual resistance	0.1 Ω
Current controller P/I	66/339.8	Voltage controller P/I	0.0535/11.987

Initially both reference active power and reactive power are set to be 0 and the grid operates at 50 Hz. At 27.5 s, the reference active power step increases to 300 W, while at 27.5 s, the reference reactive power step increases to 300 Var. At 37.5 s, the grid frequency decreases to 49 Hz with 1 Hz/s ramp.




Fig. 5. Comparison results between hardware and large signal model (a) output active power; (b) output reactive power

Fig. 5 (a) is the output active power, while Fig. 5 (b) is the output reactive power. From these results, the large signal model gives the same performance as the hardware experiment. This hardware validation gives confidence that the large signal model can be validly used to analyze the effect of VSG settings on steady state, settling time and overshoot when the system is subject to changes about the

operating point.

3. Development of Transfer Functions

The aim of this section is to develop transfer functions, based on the large signal model, which relate the VSG inputs to outputs. The inputs to the VSG are reference active power P^* , reference reactive power Q^* and grid frequency ω_g , while the outputs from VSG are active power P and reactive power Q . Therefore, the transfer functions of interest are:

- $G_{P,P}$ reference active power to output active power
- $G_{Q,P}$ reference active power to output reactive power
- $G_{P,Q}$ reference reactive power to output active power
- $G_{Q,Q}$ reference reactive power to output reactive power
- $G_{P,\omega}$ grid frequency to output active power
- $G_{Q,\omega}$ grid frequency to output reactive power.

The analysis starts by using the electrical system model equations to derive the relationships between angle, δ and potential E , to real and reactive power outputs. Since we neglect the fast VSC dynamics, these relationships are a set of gains, $H_{dP/d\delta}$, $H_{dQ/d\delta}$ which relate a change in angle to the resulting change in real and reactive powers, and $H_{dP/dE}$, $H_{dQ/dE}$ which relate a change in potential to the resulting change in real and reactive power. Subsequently, from the active power regulation part, the transfer function from reference active power and frequency to angle δ can be determined. Similarly, from the reactive power part the function from reference reactive power to potential E can be determined. These can be combined with the gains to derive the overall transfer functions of interest as listed above.

3.1 Small Signal Model and Transfer Functions

This section presents the development of the relationship of phase angle to output real and reactive power ($H_{dP/d\delta}$, $H_{dQ/d\delta}$) and the potential to real and reactive power ($H_{dP/dE}$, $H_{dQ/dE}$).

3.1.1 Initial Operating Point: Assuming in pre-disturbance the VSG operates at phase angle δ_0 , and its electric potential is E_0 then substituting (6) into (12) we obtain the initial current:

$$\begin{cases} i_{d0} = \frac{(E_0 \cos \delta_0 - U_g)R + E_0 X \sin \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \\ i_{q0} = \frac{-(E_0 \cos \delta_0 - U_g)X + E_0 R \sin \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Substituting (16) into (13) we obtain the initial output voltages as shown in (17).

3.1.2 Small signal change in angle $\Delta\delta$: Assuming a small signal disturbance in angle, $\Delta\delta$, and in currents, $\Delta i_d, \Delta i_q$, substituting into (16), expanding and assuming, $\cos(\Delta\delta) \approx 1$, and $\sin(\Delta\delta) \approx \Delta\delta$ then we obtain (18) and (19).

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\Delta i_d}{\Delta\delta} = \frac{-R \sin \delta_0 + X \cos \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} E_0 \\ \frac{\Delta i_q}{\Delta\delta} = \frac{X \sin \delta_0 + R \cos \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} E_0 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{od0} = E_0 \cos \delta_0 + \frac{-(E_0 \cos \delta_0 - U_g)RR_v - E_0XR_v \sin \delta_0 - (E_0 \cos \delta_0 - U_g)XX_v + E_0RX_v \sin \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \\ u_{oq0} = E_0 \sin \delta_0 + \frac{(E_0 \cos \delta_0 - U_g)XR_v - E_0RR_v \sin \delta_0 - (E_0 \cos \delta_0 - U_g)RX_v - E_0XX_v \sin \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\Delta u_{od}}{\Delta \delta} = -E_0 \sin \delta_0 + \frac{E_0RR_v \sin \delta_0 - E_0XR_v \cos \delta_0 + E_0XX_v \sin \delta_0 + E_0RX_v \cos \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \\ \frac{\Delta u_{oq}}{\Delta \delta} = E_0 \cos \delta_0 + \frac{-E_0XR_v \sin \delta_0 - E_0RR_v \cos \delta_0 + E_0RX_v \sin \delta_0 - E_0XX_v \cos \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\Delta u_{od}}{\Delta E} = \cos \delta_0 + \frac{-RR_v \cos \delta_0 - XR_v \sin \delta_0 - XX_v \cos \delta_0 + RX_v \sin \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \\ \frac{\Delta u_{oq}}{\Delta E} = \sin \delta_0 + \frac{XR_v \cos \delta_0 - RR_v \sin \delta_0 - RX_v \cos \delta_0 - XX_v \sin \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

With a similar approach using (13) and (18) in (14)(15), we can derive the transfer functions from small signal angle change to real and reactive power change

$$H_{dP/d\delta} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \delta} = \frac{3(E_0U_gR \sin \delta_0 + E_0U_gX \cos \delta_0)}{2(R^2 + X^2)} - \frac{3(E_0U_gR^2R_v \sin \delta_0 + E_0U_gX^2R_v \sin \delta_0)}{(R^2 + X^2)^2} \quad (20)$$

$$H_{dQ/d\delta} = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta \delta} = \frac{3(E_0U_gX \sin \delta_0 - E_0U_gR \cos \delta_0)}{2(R^2 + X^2)} - \frac{3(E_0U_gR^2X_v \sin \delta_0 + E_0U_gX^2X_v \sin \delta_0)}{(R^2 + X^2)^2} \quad (21)$$

3.1.3 Small signal change in Potential, ΔE : Following a similar procedure for the change in currents due to a change in VSG potential gives (22) and (23):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\Delta i_d}{\Delta E} = \frac{X \sin \delta_0 + R \cos \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \\ \frac{\Delta i_q}{\Delta E} = \frac{R \sin \delta_0 - X \cos \delta_0}{R^2 + X^2} \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Then the gains for potential to power can be obtained:

$$H_{dP/dE} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta E} = \frac{3(2E_0R - U_gR \cos \delta_0 + U_gX \sin \delta_0)}{2(R^2 + X^2)} + \frac{3(U_gX^2R_v \cos \delta_0 + U_gR^2R_v \cos \delta_0 - E_0X^2R_v - E_0R^2R_v)}{(R^2 + X^2)^2} \quad (24)$$

$$H_{dQ/dE} = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta E} = \frac{3(2E_0X - U_gX \cos \delta_0 - U_gR \sin \delta_0)}{2(R^2 + X^2)} + \frac{3(U_gX^2X_v \cos \delta_0 + U_gR^2X_v \cos \delta_0 - E_0X^2X_v - E_0R^2X_v)}{(R^2 + X^2)^2} \quad (25)$$

Now considering the above relationships, the closed loop transfer function diagram can be formed as shown in Fig. 6. The inputs are reference active power change ΔP^* , grid frequency change $\Delta \omega_g$ and reference reactive power change ΔQ^* . The outputs are output active power change ΔP and output reactive power change ΔQ .




Fig. 6. VSG small signal closed loop transfer function

3.2 Transfer functions

3.2.1 Reference active power step change: With reference to Fig. 6 we can now find the transfer functions from reference active power change to output active power and reactive power, assuming the grid frequency and reference reactive power are invariant i.e. $\Delta Q^* = 0$, $\Delta \omega_g = 0$. The transfer functions are $G_{P,P}$ and $G_{Q,P}$ as in (26) and (27).

3.2.2 Reference reactive power step change: Again, with reference to Fig. 6, the transfer functions from reference reactive power change to reactive $G_{P,Q}$ and active power output $G_{Q,Q}$, assuming the grid frequency and reference active power is invariant ($\Delta P^* = 0$, $\Delta \omega_g = 0$) are given by (28) and (29).

3.2.3 Grid frequency step change: The transfer function for grid frequency change to active and reactive power, assuming the reference reactive and reference active power is invariant or $\Delta P^* = 0$, $\Delta Q^* = 0$, are given by $G_{P,\omega}$ and $G_{Q,\omega}$ as (30) and (31).

Note that all of above transfer functions (26~31) are second order, which arises from the fact that the work ignored the dynamics of the VSC itself on the assumption that they are much faster than the VSG controls.

3.3 Steady State Values

It is interesting to examine the steady state value of the active and reactive powers, after a change in inputs or grid frequency. These steady state values can easily be obtained from (26-31). by setting $s = 0$ and are summarized in Table 2. From Table 2, it is obvious that in steady state the output active power can fully follow the reference active power, is decoupled from the reference reactive power and droops the active power according to the frequency change. However, the output reactive power is coupled with the reference active power and does not even fully follow the reference reactive power. Essentially this is because the active power control loop has an integrator as part of the swing equation thus forcing zero steady state error, while the reactive power control loop only has a proportional gain or droop term.

3.4 Damping Ratios and Natural Oscillation

For the second order transfer functions, the damping ratios and natural oscillation frequency can be computed, which can then further be used to determine settling times and overshoot.

$$G_{P_P} = \frac{H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE}}}{J s^2 + K_d s + H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE}}} \quad (26)$$

$$G_{Q_P} = \frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}}{J(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})s^2 + K_d(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})s + \frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}} + H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})} \quad (27)$$

$$G_{P_ω} = \frac{JK_q H_{dP/dE} s^2 + K_d K_q H_{dP/dE} s}{J(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})s^2 + K_d(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})s + H_{dP/d\delta} + (H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta})K_q H_{dP/dE}} \quad (28)$$

$$G_{Q_ω} = \frac{JK_q H_{dQ/dE} s^2 + K_d K_q H_{dQ/dE} s + H_{dP/d\delta} K_q H_{dQ/dE} - H_{dQ/d\delta} K_q H_{dP/dE}}{J(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})s^2 + K_d(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})s + H_{dP/d\delta} + H_{dP/d\delta} K_q H_{dQ/dE} - H_{dQ/d\delta} K_q H_{dP/dE}} \quad (29)$$

$$G_{P_f} = \frac{(H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE}})(Js + K_d)}{J s^2 + K_d s + H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE}}} \quad (30)$$

$$G_{Q_f} = \frac{\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}}{1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE}}(Js + K_d)}{J s^2 + K_d s + H_{dP/d\delta} - K_q H_{dP/dE} \frac{1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE}}{H_{dQ/d\delta}}} \quad (31)$$

Table 3 gives the damping ratio and natural oscillation frequency for all the transfer functions.

In G_{P_P} and $G_{P_ω}$, since reactive power is always positively associated to electric potential, $H_{dQ/dE} > 0$, thus, $\frac{K_q}{1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE}} < K_q$. Actually, according to (4), $K_q < \frac{U_{max} - U_{min}}{P_{max} - P_{min}}$, so that K_q would typically be a small value. The effect of angle to reactive power and voltage to active power is normally small, assuming large inductance to resistance ratio. Thus $H_{dP/d\delta} \gg H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}K_q$. Therefore, the damping ratio of G_{P_P} and $G_{P_ω}$ can be simplified as

$$\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$$

In G_{Q_P} and $G_{Q_ω}$, similarly, $\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}} \ll H_{dP/d\delta}$. Thus, the damping ratio of G_{Q_P} and $G_{Q_ω}$ can be simplified as

$$\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$$

In G_{P_Q} , $H_{dP/d\delta} \gg H_{dQ/d\delta}$ and as mentioned above, K_q is small enough that $K_q H_{dP/dE} \approx K_q H_{dQ/dE}$. Thus, $H_{dP/d\delta} + (H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta})K_q H_{dP/dE} \approx H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})$.

Therefore, G_{P_Q} can be simplified as $\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$.

In G_{Q_Q} , $H_{dP/d\delta} \gg H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}K_q$, and $K_q H_{dP/dE} \approx K_q H_{dQ/dE}$. Thus, $H_{dP/d\delta} + H_{dP/d\delta}K_q H_{dQ/dE} - H_{dQ/d\delta}K_q H_{dP/dE} \approx H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_q H_{dQ/dE})$. Therefore, G_{Q_Q} can be simplified as $\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$.

In total, the damping ratio for all of the mentioned second order transfer functions can be uniformly simplified as $\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$, under the assumption that $H_{dP/d\delta} \gg H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}K_q$, $\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}} \ll H_{dP/d\delta}$ and $K_q H_{dP/dE} - K_q H_{dQ/dE} \approx 0$ or in the case of a largely inductive line.

Similar terms occur in the expressions for the natural oscillation frequency and thus, the simplification used for

the damping ratio analysis can directly be applied also to the natural oscillation frequency analysis. Therefore, the natural oscillation frequency of all of the mentioned second order transfer function can be reduced to $\sqrt{H_{dP/d\delta}/J}$.

Considering that the damping ratio and natural oscillation frequency have now been defined, the system stability condition, settling time and overshoot can be computed.

3.4.1 System stability condition: For a second order transfer function, the stable condition is that the damping ratio ξ must be greater than zero, i.e. in this case:

$$\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}} > 0 \quad (32)$$

Since damping/droop gain K_d and inertia J are always positive, the system would be stable as long as $H_{dP/d\delta}$ is positive i.e. (20) is greater than zero, which depends on initial equilibrium point, line and virtual impedance. To ensure a positive value of $H_{dP/d\delta}$, the angle δ_0 should not exceed 90° and the total impedance X should not be less than zero.

3.4.2 Settling time: When the system stability condition is satisfied, the settling time can be calculated based on the damping ratio ξ .

When $0 < \xi < 1$, the system is underdamped. The settling time t_s to 2% steady state error is:

$$t_s = \frac{1}{\omega_n \xi} \log\left(\frac{1}{0.02\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}}\right) \quad (33a)$$

$$t_s = \frac{2J}{K_d} \log\left(\frac{1}{0.02\sqrt{1 - K_d^2/4JH_{dP/d\delta}}}\right) \quad (33b)$$

When $\xi > 1$, the system is overdamped. The settling time t_s to 2% steady state error is:

$$t_s = 4\sqrt{T_1^2 + T_2^2} \quad (34)$$

Where T_1 and T_2 are the time constant of the real roots of overdamped second order transfer function.

$$T_1, T_2 = -\frac{1}{-\omega_n \xi \pm \omega_n \sqrt{\xi^2 - 1}} \quad (35a)$$

$$T_1, T_2 = \frac{2J}{K_d \mp \sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}}} \quad (35b)$$

3.4.3 Overshoot: When the system is underdamped, it gives rise to overshoot during transients. The transfer function (26) is in the standard second order format and (27)(30)(31) can be transformed to standard second order format by multiplying by its steady state value S . However, (28) and (29) cannot be transformed to standard second order format. For those transfer functions in standard second order format, the overshoot can be easily computed, while for those nonstandard transfer function, the overshoot can be calculated from the definition (detailed in Appendix A).

Table 2 presents the result of overshoot for all the considered transfer functions.

3.5 Transfer function validation

In order to validate the transfer function, the results obtained from the transfer function are compared to those

obtained from the previously validated large signal model by the means of Matlab based simulations. Fig. 6 shows the results for a step change in reference active power (Fig.6a), reference reactive power (Fig.6b), and frequency (Fig.6c) where the frequency changes by 0.01 Hz (0.02 π rad) with 1 Hz/s slope. The system parameters and settings are the same as in Table 1. The results of output active and reactive power are shown in Fig. 7.

From the results, the transfer function is accurate. The output active power can precisely follow the reference, while the output reactive power as expected, couples with input reference active power and mismatches its reference.

The following validation is to confirm the calculation of steady state value S and overshoot PO , and compare the settling time t_s damping ratio ξ and natural oscillation frequency ω_n obtained from each transfer function to the simplified values. The results are shown in Table 4.

Comparing the results in Table 4 (steady state S , overshoot PO and settling time t_s) and Fig. 7, the expressions used in Table 2 and 3 are validated. Comparing the calculation using the simplified expressions with the full expressions, the simplified expression for damping ratio

Table 2 Steady state value and overshoot

Transfer function	Steady state value S	Overshoot PO
$G_{P,P}$	1	$\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\xi\pi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}\right) + 1 \right)$
$G_{Q,P}$	$\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE} + H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})}$	$\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\xi\pi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}\right) + 1 \right) * \frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE} + H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})}$
$G_{P,Q}$	0	$\frac{K_qH_{dP/dE}}{1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}}$
$G_{Q,Q}$	$\frac{H_{dP/d\delta}K_qH_{dQ/dE} - H_{dQ/d\delta}K_qH_{dP/dE}}{H_{dP/d\delta} + H_{dP/d\delta}K_qH_{dQ/dE} - H_{dQ/d\delta}K_qH_{dP/dE}}$	$\frac{K_qH_{dQ/dE}}{1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}}$
$G_{P,\omega}$	K_d	$\frac{c_1}{\omega_{P,\omega}} e^{-a_{P,\omega}t_{P,\omega}} \sin(\omega_{P,\omega} \cdot t_{P,\omega}) + K_d(1 - e^{-a_{P,\omega}t_{P,\omega}} \cos(\omega_{P,\omega} \cdot t_{P,\omega})) - \frac{a_{P,\omega}}{\omega_{P,\omega}} e^{-a_{P,\omega}t_{P,\omega}} \sin(\omega_{P,\omega} \cdot t_{P,\omega}))$
$G_{Q,\omega}$	$\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}K_d}{(1+K_qH_{dQ/dE})H_{dP/d\delta} - K_qH_{dP/dE} \frac{(1+K_qH_{dQ/dE})^2}{H_{dQ/d\delta}}}$	$\frac{c_2}{\omega_{Q,\omega}} e^{-a_{Q,\omega}t_{Q,\omega}} \sin(\omega_{Q,\omega} \cdot t_{Q,\omega}) + \frac{K_d c_2}{c_3} \left(1 - e^{-a_{Q,\omega}t_{Q,\omega}} \cos(\omega_{Q,\omega} \cdot t_{Q,\omega}) - \frac{a_{Q,\omega}}{\omega_{Q,\omega}} e^{-a_{Q,\omega}t_{Q,\omega}} \sin(\omega_{Q,\omega} \cdot t_{Q,\omega}) \right)$

Table 3 Damping ratio and natural oscillation frequency

Transfer function	Damping ratio ξ	Natural oscillation frequency ω_n
$G_{P,P}$	$\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{J(H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}})}}$	$\sqrt{(H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}}) / J}$
$G_{Q,P}$	$\frac{K_d(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})}{2\sqrt{J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}) \left(\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}} + H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}) \right)}}$	$\sqrt{\left(\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}} + H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}) \right) / (J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}))}$
$G_{P,Q}$	$\frac{K_d(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})}{2\sqrt{J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})(H_{dP/d\delta} + (H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta})K_qH_{dP/dE})}}$	$\sqrt{(H_{dP/d\delta} + (H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta})K_qH_{dP/dE}) / (J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}))}$
$G_{Q,Q}$	$\frac{K_d(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})}{2\sqrt{J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})(H_{dP/d\delta} + H_{dP/d\delta}K_qH_{dQ/dE} - H_{dQ/d\delta}K_qH_{dP/dE})}}$	$\sqrt{(H_{dP/d\delta} + H_{dP/d\delta}K_qH_{dQ/dE} - H_{dQ/d\delta}K_qH_{dP/dE}) / (J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}))}$
$G_{P,\omega}$	$\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{J(H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}})}}$	$\sqrt{(H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}}) / J}$
$G_{Q,\omega}$	$\frac{K_d(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE})}{2\sqrt{J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}) \left(\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}} + H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}) \right)}}$	$\sqrt{\left(\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}} + H_{dP/d\delta}(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}) \right) / (J(1 + K_qH_{dQ/dE}))}$

$\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{H_{dP/d\delta}}}$, natural oscillation frequency $\sqrt{H_{dP/d\delta}/J}$ and settling time (33~35) are a good approximation.




Fig. 7. Response from Transfer function analysis compared to results from large signal model.

Table 4 System performance parameters obtained from the transfer functions and comparison with values from simplified expressions.

Transfer function	S	PO	ξ	ω_n	t_s
$G_{P,P}$	1	1.41	0.2730	7.3251	1.9754
$G_{Q,P}$	-0.1018	-0.1432	0.2747	7.2795	1.9756
$G_{P,Q}$	0	0.0108	0.2856	7.0033	1.9773
$G_{Q,Q}$	0.0979	0.0968	0.2730	7.3251	1.9754
$G_{P,\omega}$	5.0265	10.5201	0.2730	7.3251	1.9754
$G_{Q,\omega}$	-0.5055	-1.0530	0.2747	7.2795	1.9756
Simplified	\	\	0.2732	7.3207	1.9754

With the understanding gained from the analysis of the VSG input-output transfer functions, the behavior of VSG can be fully predicted.

4. Analysis of Effects of VSG settings

Clearly the VSG parameters determine its performance, and now based on the derived transfer functions we can fully analyse the effects of the various VSG settings on the performance. From the above performance parameter analysis of steady state value, settling time and overshoot, the gains of power to angle and electric potential $H_{dP/d\delta}, H_{dQ/d\delta}, H_{dP/dE}, H_{dQ/dE}$, the inertia J , damping/droop gain K_d and reactive power droop gain K_q are critical. For any given VSG, we will assume that the line impedance is fixed. The design variables are therefore the VSG settings, i.e. virtual impedance, inertia and damping/droop. To illustrate the effects of the various settings, the paper uses the example used in Table 1 to illustrate the relationships.

4.1 Virtual Impedance Effects

The virtual impedance essentially emulates the existence

of an extra impedance in series with the line impedance. Due to the fact that it is implemented via a control algorithm, the virtual impedance can be positive (increase the impedance between potential and grid) or negative. The virtual impedance directly influences the values of $H_{dP/d\delta}, H_{dQ/d\delta}, H_{dP/dE}, H_{dQ/dE}$ in (20)(21)(24)(25) respectively. These values subsequently determine the steady state value, settling time and overshoot and it will be shown that after simplification, $H_{dP/d\delta}$ dominates the settling time and overshoot. Thus it is important to firstly analyse the effect of the virtual impedance on the gains, $H_{dP/d\delta}, H_{dQ/d\delta}, H_{dP/dE}, H_{dQ/dE}$ as shown in Fig. 8. Although, these value are also dependent on the initial angle δ_0 and initial potential E_0 , the effect of both δ_0 and E_0 are to scale (20)(21)(24)(25) so that they would not change the shape of curves in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8. Virtual impedance effects on H , $Z_{base}=10 \Omega$, $X_g=1.038 pu$ and $R_g=0.144 pu$

From Fig.8, it can be seen that all of the gains are sensitive to the virtual inductance. Moreover, reducing the virtual inductance can increase all the gains. This result has already been verified in [43] and accords with the well-known power exchange equations.

$$P = \frac{EU_g(R\cos\delta + X\sin\delta) - RU_g^2}{R^2 + X^2} \quad (36)$$

$$Q = \frac{EU_g(X\cos\delta - R\sin\delta) - XU_g^2}{R^2 + X^2} \quad (37)$$

Where from (36) and (37) it is clearly seen that the inductance reduction would increase both active and reactive power flow.

4.1.1 Virtual impedance effect on steady state value:

Damping and inertia only affects the transient behavior, while the steady state performance is only related to the impedance and droop. From Table 2, it can be seen that the output active power is fully controllable i.e. its transfer function has zero steady state error. Thus, its steady state value is independent of the VSG settings. In contrast the output reactive power is only partially controllable, and its transfer function has a certain steady state error. Its steady state value is dependent on the virtual impedance as well as line impedance. Therefore, in steady state analysis, we focus on reactive power or $S_{G_{Q,P}}, S_{G_{Q,Q}}$ ($S_{G_{Q,f}} \approx K_d S_{G_{Q,P}}$). Fig. 9 illustrates the steady state reactive power with reference active power change.




Fig. 9. Virtual impedance effects on $S_{G_{Q,P}}$, $Z_{base}=10 \Omega$, $X_g=1.038 pu$ and $R_g=0.144 pu$

From Fig. 9, it can be seen that the virtual inductance increase and virtual resistance reduction could reduce the absolute value of $S_{G_{Q,P}}$. This reflects the fact that increased X/R ratio helps decouple the reactive and active powers [44].

Similarly, we can obtain the steady state reactive power change with reference reactive power change as shown in Fig. 10. Impedance reduction can increase reactive power output as can be deduced in (37), while the increased reactive power would result in a reduced electric potential according to (4). Consequently, this will reduce the reactive power in (37). Hence, the reactive power has a maximum value as shown in Fig. 10 (b).




Fig. 10. Virtual impedance effects on $S_{G_{Q,Q}}$, $Z_{base}=10 \Omega$, $X_g=1.038 pu$ and $R_g=0.144 pu$

4.1.2 Virtual impedance effect on settling time: The settling time can be calculated from (33~35) and is only related to $H_{dP/d\delta}$. Settling time is calculated by two different methods, depending on the damping ratio value.

When $0 < \xi < 1$, the settling time is computed from (33b). For $\sqrt{1 - \frac{K_d^2}{4JH_{dP/d\delta}}} \leq 1$, (33b) becomes:

$$t_s = \frac{2J}{K_d} \log\left(\frac{1}{0.02 \sqrt{1 - K_d^2/(4JH_{dP/d\delta})}}\right) \geq \frac{2J \log 50}{K_d} \quad (38)$$

The increased $H_{dP/d\delta}$ makes damping ratio $\sqrt{1 - \frac{K_d^2}{4JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$ approach 1, which makes t_s approach $\frac{2J \log 50}{K_d}$ and increased $H_{dP/d\delta}$ reduces settling time.

When $\xi > 1$, the settling time is computed from (34)(35b). In order to satisfy $\xi > 1$, K_d should greater than $2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}$. Thus, in (35b), t_s is dominated by T_1 , where

$$t_s \approx 4T_1 = \frac{8J}{K_d - \sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}}} \quad (39)$$

The decreased $H_{dP/d\delta}$ makes $\sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}}$ approach K_d , which makes t_s approach infinity and decreased $H_{dP/d\delta}$ increases settling time.

In summary, whatever ξ is, as long as it is stable increasing $H_{dP/d\delta}$ would reduce settling time.

4.1.4 Overshoot: When the system is damped with $0 < \xi < 1$, overshoot arises during the transient. This overshoot may damage the converters, due to the overload. For the second order transfer function, its overshoot is only related to its damping ratio. As mentioned before, increasing $H_{dP/d\delta}$ reduces damping ratio, which will increase the overshoot. Thus, reducing virtual inductance will result in overshoot increasing.

4.2 Virtual Inertia and Droop/damping Effects

As mentioned in section 2, it is common in VSG design, to make droop and damping gain (in swing equation) equal, thus K_d has both a droop feature and damping feature. Droop only influences VSG steady state performance, such as the steady state, while damping only influences VSG dynamic performance, such as the damping ratio of the second order transfer function, the settling time and overshoot. It is obvious that increasing K_d can increase the power output after grid frequency changes arising from the droop feature of K_d . However, the transient response of the VSG associated with K_d and inertia J is complex. This section will focus on the damping feature of K_d as well as its coordination with inertia J .

4.2.1 Effect of K_d and J on Damping ratio and natural oscillation frequency:

The inertia J and the damping feature of K_d only has effects on the VSG transient performance. The critical factors for the VSG transient performance based on the second order model are the damping ratio and natural oscillation frequency. Both damping ratio and natural oscillation frequency can be simplified to $\frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$ and $\sqrt{H_{dP/d\delta}/J}$ respectively. This makes it clearer that the damping ratio is proportional to K_d , while both damping ratio and natural oscillation frequency are inversely related to the square root of inertia J .

As usual for a second order system, the value of damping ratio ξ has important impacts on the VSG transient behavior, i.e. $\xi > 1$ overdamped, $1 > \xi > 0$ underdamped, $0 > \xi$ unstable. From $\xi = \frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$, since the active power to angle gain

$H_{dP/d\delta}$ is normally positive as aforementioned, as long as both $K_d > 0$ and $J > 0$, the system is stable. The effect of K_d and J on damping ratio ξ is shown by the yellow surface in Fig. 11, where the red plane represents critical damping, $\xi=1$. For this plot, $H_{dP/d\delta} = 1059$ as calculated from the settings in Table 1 and equation (20) with initial angle $\delta_0 = 0.2793$ and initial potential $E_0 = 100$. As expected, ξ is proportional to K_d while ξ is inverse proportional to J . Note as the inertia J approaches to 0, ξ approaches to infinity. However it should be noted that when J equals zero, all the transfer functions (see (26-31)) become 1st order where only the droop part is of relevance.

4.2.2 Settling time: Settling time is sensitive to the damping ratio, where as shown, the damping ratio depends on the interaction between the droop/damping gain K_d and inertia J . Thus, we separately analyze the effect of K_d and J on settling time.

Firstly, consider the effect of K_d on settling time t_s assuming J is fixed. When the system is underdamped ($1 > \xi > 0$), according to (38), K_d is inversely proportional to t_s . Thus, increasing droop/damping gain results in settling time reduction.




Fig. 11. Effects of droop/damping gain K_d and inertia J on settling time on damping ratio ξ

However, when the system is overdamped ($\xi > 1$), according to (39), the effect of K_d is more complex. Taking

$$\frac{d}{dK_d} \left(K_d - \sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}} \right) = 1 - 2K_d(K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (40a)$$

$$1 - 2K_d(K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}} < 1 - 2K_d(K_d^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = -1 \quad (40b)$$

Since the derivative of $K_d - \sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}}$ is negative, increasing K_d leads to $K_d - \sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}}$ decreasing, thus, settling time t_s increases according to (39) under the $\xi > 1$ condition.

Similarly, the effect of inertia J on settling time t_s is directly proportional from (38) when the system is underdamped.

However, when the system is overdamped, inertia J appears in both denominator and numerator part in (39). Thus, making use of *L'Hôpital's* rule considering the derivative of the denominator and numerator part separately:

$$\frac{(8J)'}{\left(K_d - \sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}} \right)'} = \frac{8}{4H_{dP/d\delta} / \sqrt{K_d^2 - 4JH_{dP/d\delta}}} \quad (41)$$

In (41), the derivative of the denominator and numerator are both positive, however increasing inertia J will increase the derivative of the denominator. In other words, when increasing inertia J , the denominator part of (39) increases faster than its numerator part. Thus, the settling time will reduce with increasing inertia J .

4.2.3 Overshoot: In Table 2, when the system is underdamped, the overshoot associated with droop/damping gain K_d and inertia J follows from the standard second order transfer function overshoot expression $\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\xi\pi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}\right) + 1 \right)$ where a reduction in damping ratio ξ increases overshoot. Therefore, increasing droop/damping gain K_d or reducing inertia J can help decrease the overshoot.

4.3 Reactive Power Droop Gain Effects

Reactive power droop gain K_q has a similar effect on the potential to reactive power as the active power droop gain K_d has on the angle to real power. Therefore, the main effects of K_q are on the steady state value and overshoot.

4.3.1 Reactive power droop gain effect on Steady state:

In Table 2, only the steady state value of $G_{Q,P}$ (or $G_{Q,\omega}$) and $G_{Q,Q}$ are related to the reactive power droop gain K_q .

Due to $H_{dQ/dE} > 0$, increasing K_q leads to a reduction in $S_{G_{Q,P}}$, while it results in an increase in $S_{G_{Q,Q}}$. Hence, increasing K_q helps to decouple reactive power from active power.

4.3.3 Reactive power droop gain effect on Overshoot:

Increasing K_q makes $PO_{G_{P,Q}}$ approach $\frac{H_{dP/dE}}{H_{dQ/dE}}$, and makes $PO_{G_{Q,Q}}$ approach 1, while decreasing K_q makes $PO_{G_{P,Q}}$ approach $K_q H_{dP/dE}$, and makes $PO_{G_{Q,Q}}$ approach $K_q H_{dQ/dE}$. Therefore, decreasing K_q helps reduce overshoot.

4.4 Summary

The above analysis gives some useful insight in how VSG control might be designed when applied to, for example, a storage system. Table 5 summarizes the parametric effects on the transient responses. The design of such a system might start from the requirement to achieve a given droop response, thus fixing K_d , as this determines steady state output in reaction to a frequency change and therefore largely impacts the storage capacity. As regards dynamic response, another requirement might be that the response be close to critically damped so as to limit overshoot and oscillatory response after a disturbance. The simplified relationship expression for the damping ratio therefore highlights that the virtual inertia must be chosen in order to obtain the damping ratio thus limiting its choice. It can also be seen that the role of virtual impedance is largely to affect the angle and potential to power gains. Again the simplified expression for damping ratio highlights that for a fixed damping ratio and droop, virtual inertia could be increased if the angle to real power gain is reduced, which could be achieved by an increase in virtual inductance. The increase in virtual inductance can also help decouple the effect of an active power reference change on reactive power output, i.e. reduce $H_{dQ/d\delta}$ (see Fig. 8(b)).

As regards reactive power, the reactive power droop gain, K_q can be chosen larger in order to decouple the reactive power from active power, although on the other hand this increases overshoot so that there is clearly a trade-off involved.

Table 5 Increased parameter effects on VSG transient responses.

Parameter Increasing	$\xi = \frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$	$0 < \xi < 1$		
		Settling time t_s	Overshoot PO_c	Settling time t_s
Virtual Impedance	Increase	Increase	Reduce	Increase
Virtual inertia J	Reduce	Increase	Increase	Reduce
Virtual damping K_d	Increase	Reduce	Reduce	Reduce
voltage droop K_q	-	-	Increase	-
Comments	Increase X/R ratio and K_q help decouple the reactive and active power.			

5. Hardware Validation

In order to further validate the above analysis and also to

provide an example, results from a small-scaled hardware experiment are presented. The design requirements are reference voltage $V^* = 100\text{ V}$, reference frequency $\omega^* = 100\pi\text{ rad/s}$, the converter capacity $S=800\text{ VA}$, the DC source power $P_{DC}=300\text{ W}$, the storage rate of discharge $P_s = 250\text{ W}$, the settling time $t_s < 2\text{ s}$, and the line impedance $R_g + 2\pi f_g L_g = 1.44 + 100\pi \times 0.033\ \Omega$.

Designing for a grid frequency deviation, $\Delta f = 0.1\text{ Hz}$, substituting into (42) gives the droop/damping gain K_d :

$$K_d = \frac{P_s}{2\pi\Delta f} = \frac{300}{2\pi \times 0.1} = 400\text{ W/Hz} \quad (43)$$

The initial grid voltage $U_g = 100\text{ V}$ and frequency $\omega_g = 100\pi\text{ rad/s}$ and we assume that the initial electric potential $E_0 = 100\text{ V}$, and there is no virtual impedance. Then, according to (37), the initial angle difference δ_0 can be calculated:

$$\delta_0 = \sin^{-1} \frac{(P_{DC} + P_s)2\pi f_g L_g}{E_0 U_g} = 0.6739\text{ rad}$$

For illustration purposes, we consider two values of virtual impedance, $2\pi f_g L_v = 100\pi \times 0.011\ \Omega$, and $2\pi f_g L_v = 100\pi \times 0.011\ \Omega$ which decreases and increases the actual line inductance by 33.3%, respectively. Substituting these values of virtual impedance and $\delta_0 = 0.6739$ into (20)(21)(24)(25) gives: when virtual impedance is negative, $H_{dP/d\delta} = 1867$ and when virtual impedance is positive, $H_{dP/d\delta} = 902$.

The damping ratio ξ is given by:

$$\xi = \frac{K_d}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}} = \frac{400}{2\sqrt{JH_{dP/d\delta}}}$$

and we present both underdamped case with $J = 20$ and $\xi \in [1.04, 1.49]$, and overdamped case with $J = 80$ and $\xi \in [0.52, 0.74]$.

The reactive power droop gain K_q considering its constraint is given by:

$$K_q < \frac{U_{max} - U_{min}}{P_{max} - P_{min}} = \frac{(110 - 90)}{650} = 0.031$$

and the experiment tests values of $K_q = 0.01$ and $K_q = 0.03$ conditions.

The design of the voltage source converter follows the design approach for a dq frame voltage controlled VSC as given in [45], with controller settings as given in Table 1.

For the tests the VSG experiences a reference active power step change from 0 to 300 W at 1 s, and a grid frequency ramp change from 50 Hz to 49.9 Hz with 1 Hz/s slope at 4 s. The designed VSGs has been validated on the hardware in the loop OPAL-RT platform. The VSG transient response, i.e. the steady state value, overshoot and settling time is predicted from the mathematical computation in Table 2 and Table 3 with the above settings are given in Table 6. Fig. 12 depicts the measured output power results from the hardware tests.

In comparison with the hardware result, the predicted steady state values, settling times and overshoot are accurate. Furthermore, these results follow the analysis from section 4.1.2. For example, reducing the virtual inductance can reduce the settling time (compare C1 and C3, C2 and C4). When the other settings are identical, the overdamped situation with smaller inertia settles faster than the underdamped situation (compare C1 and C2, C3 and C4). Moreover, the reactive droop gain has no effect on settling

time (compare C4 and C5).

TABLE 6 VSG Transient Response Prediction

Transfer function	C1: $L_v = -0.011$ $J = 20$ $K_q = 0.01$	C2: $L_v = -0.011$ $J = 80$ $K_q = 0.01$	C3: $L_v = 0.011$ $J = 20$ $K_q = 0.01$	C4: $L_v = 0.011$ $J = 80$ $K_q = 0.01$	C5: $L_v = 0.011$ $J = 80$ $K_q = 0.03$
Damping ratio	1.01	0.492	1.366	0.683	0.683
Steady State at 3s	P=300 Q=-20	P=300 Q=-20	P=300 Q=-11	P=300 Q=-11	P=300 Q=-9
Steady State at 6s	P=551 Q=19	P=551 Q=19	P=551 Q=11	P=551 Q=11	P=551 Q=9
Overshoot at 1s	\	P=351 Q=-36	\	P=316 Q=-16	P=315 Q=-15
Overshoot at 4s	\	P=625 Q=43	\	P=565 Q=14	P=565 Q=13
Settling Time	0.55	1.62	1.3	1.69	1.69





Fig. 12. Hardware experiment result for designed VSG

From Fig. 12 (a), as expected, in the underdamped situation with inertia $J=80$, reducing the virtual inductance results in an increase in overshoot.

Under the active power variation, the reactive power output Fig. 12 (b) illustrates the degree of coupling. Since the reference reactive power is zero, the curves which are closest to the zero value represent the lower degree of coupling. The results serve to validate the analysis that increasing the virtual inductance or increasing the reactive power droop gain helps to decouple the reactive power output from active power operation.

6. Discussions

The analysis in the paper is applied to the VSG scheme where droop and damping are combined in a single parameter with equivalent droop and damping [7-12][19,20,22,27,28,36,40,41], and simple reactive power to voltage droop control [13-16,23,28,38]. The analysis can however be extended to other schemes such as the use of separate droop and damping parameters [13-18,21,23,34,35,38] or other reactive power regulation approaches such as voltage regulation [17-18,21,22,28] or reactive power control [19,20]. The diagram in fig. 13 indicates how such schemes could be added to the scheme analyzed in the paper. For the scheme using separate droop

and damping the droop contribution could be added as an outer loop which adjusts the power setpoint according to the deviation in frequency, measured by a PLL with transfer function $H(s)$. The transfer function from power reference change to output power, $G_{P,P}, G_{P,Q}, G_{Q,Q}, G_{Q,P}$ remain identical to those derived above. However the transfer function from grid frequency change to output power becomes more complex in this case. Specifically there now exists three components to this transfer function. One component is the same $G_{P,\omega}$ transfer function as derived in this paper, the second is associated with the power setpoint change from the droop which is given by $K_d H(s) G_{P,P}$, and the third is associated with the separate damping term, a full discussion of which is beyond the scope of this paper.

As regards the other reactive power controls these can be easily accommodated by the modification of the outer loop associated with determining E . These additions required to accommodate these different schemes are indicated by the blocks enclosed within the sections marked by broken lines in fig. 13.




Fig. 13. Different VSG implementation methods

7. Conclusions

The paper introduced the large signal model for VSG control of a voltage controlled converter, and based on this develops a complete set of transfer functions which can be used to analyze the output real and reactive power in response to changes in real and reactive power references and grid frequency disturbances. Using these transfer functions the effect of various VSG design parameters such as inertia, droop/damping and virtual inertia on the VSG performance has been analyzed. Moreover the transfer functions can provide the basis for VSG controller design taking into account transient and steady state performance and cross coupling effects between real and reactive powers. The choice of droop gain and virtual inertia are clearly linked through the requirement to satisfy transient performance criteria such as damping, overshoot and setting time. The inclusion of virtual impedance does give another degree of freedom in the choice of these parameters. Although the analysis in the paper is based on the VSG scheme with equivalent droop and damping, and simple reactive power to voltage droop control, it is possible to adapt to the analysis to schemes with separate droop and damping settings, or with other reactive power regulations.

8. Acknowledgments

This work is part of Energy Systems Integration Partnership Programme (ESIPP) Project funded by the Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) Strategic Partnership

Programme Grant Number SFI/15/SPP/E3125.

9. References

- [1] Beck, H., Hesse, R.: 'Virtual Synchronous Machine', 2007 9th International Conference on Electrical Power Quality and Utilisation, Barcelona, Spain, Oct. 2007, pp.1-6
- [2] Van Wesenbeeck, M.P.N., De Haan, S.W.H., Varela, P., Visscher, K.: 'Grid tied converter with virtual kinetic storage', 2009 IEEE Bucharest PowerTech, Bucharest, Romania, Jun./Jul. 2009, pp. 1-7
- [3] D'Arco, S., Suul, J.A.: 'Virtual Synchronous Machines - Classification of Implementations and Analysis of Equivalence to Droop Controllers for Microgrids', 2013 IEEE Grenoble PowerTech, Grenoble, France, June 2013, pp. 1-7
- [4] Torres L., M.A., Lopes, L.A.C., Morán T., L.A., Espinoza C., J.R.: 'Self-Tuning Virtual Synchronous Machine: A Control Strategy for Energy Storage Systems to Support Dynamic Frequency Control', *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 2014, **29**, (4), pp. 833 - 840
- [5] Tamrakar, U., Galipeau, D., Tonkoski, R., Tamrakar, I.: 'Improving Transient Stability of Photovoltaic-Hydro Microgrids Using Virtual Synchronous Machines,' IEEE Eindhoven PowerTech, Eindhoven, Netherlands, Jun./Jul. 2015, pp. 1-6
- [6] D'Arco, S., Suul, J.A.: 'Equivalence of Virtual Synchronous Machines and Frequency-Droops for Converter-Based MicroGrids', *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2014, **5**, (1), pp. 394-395
- [7] Zhang, L., Harnefors, L., Nee, H.: 'Power-Synchronization Control of Grid-Connected Voltage-Source Converters,' *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 2010, **25**, (2), pp. 809-820
- [8] Zhong, Q., Weiss, G.: 'Synchronverter: Inverters That Mimic Synchronous Generators', *IEEE Trans. In. Electron.*, 2011, **58**, (4), pp. 1259-1267
- [9] Zhong, Q., Nguyen, P., Ma, Z., Sheng, W.: 'Self-Synchronized Synchronverters: Inverters Without a Dedicated Synchronization Unit,' *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, 2014, **29**, (2), pp. 617-630
- [10] Zhong, Q., "Virtual Synchronous Machines: A unified interface for grid integration," *IEEE Power Electronics Magazine*, 2016, **3**, (4), pp. 18-27
- [11] Zhong, Q., Ma, Z., Ming, W., Konstantopoulos, G.C.: 'Grid-friendly wind power systems based on the synchronverter technology', *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2015, **89**, (1), pp. 719-726
- [12] Ming, W., Zhong, Q.: 'Synchronverter-based transformerless PV inverters', *IECON 2014 - 40th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, Dallas, TX, USA, Nov. 2014, pp. 4396-4401
- [13] D'Arco, S., Suul, J.A., Fosso, O.B.: 'A Virtual Synchronous Machine implementation for distributed control of power converters in Smart Grids', *Electric Power Systems Research*, 2015, **122**, pp. 180-197.
- [14] D'Arco, S., Suul, J.A., Fosso, O.B.: 'Small-signal modelling and parametric sensitivity of a Virtual Synchronous Machine', 2014 Power Systems Computation Conference, Wroclaw, Poland, Aug. 2014, pp. 1-9
- [15] D'Arco, S., Suul, J.A., Fosso, O.B.: 'Control system tuning and stability analysis of Virtual Synchronous Machines', 2013 Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, Denver, CO, USA, Sept. 2013, pp. 2664-2671
- [16] Mo, O., D'Arco, S., Suul, J.A.: 'Evaluation of Virtual Synchronous Machines with Dynamic or Quasi-Stationary Machine Models'. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2017, **64**, (7), pp. 5952-5962
- [17] Liu, J., Miura, Y., Bevrani, H., Ise, T.: 'Enhanced Virtual Synchronous Generator Control for Parallel Inverters in Microgrids', *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2017, **8**, (5), pp. 2268-2277
- [18] Alipoor, J., Miura Y., Ise, T.: 'Stability Assessment and Optimization Methods for Microgrid With Multiple VSG Units', *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2018, **9**, (2), pp. 1462-1471
- [19] Shi, K., Ye, H., Song, W., Zhou, G.: 'Virtual Inertia Control Strategy in Microgrid Based on Virtual Synchronous Generator Technology', *IEEE Access*, 2018, **6**, pp. 27949-27957
- [20] Shi, K., Song, W., Xu, P., Liu, R., Fang, Z., Ji, Y.: 'Low-Voltage Ride-Through Control Strategy for a Virtual Synchronous Generator Based on Smooth Switching', *IEEE Access*, 2018, **6**, pp. 2703-2711
- [21] Ma, Y., Cao, W., Yang, L., Wang, F., Tolbert, L.M.: 'Virtual Synchronous Generator Control of Full Converter Wind Turbines With Short-Term Energy Storage', *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, 2017, **64**, (11), pp. 8821-8831
- [22] Chen, J., Liu, L., Milano, F., O'Donnell, T.: 'Placement of Virtual Synchronous Generator Controlled Electric Storage combined with Renewable Generation,' PowerTech, Milano, Italy, June 23-27, 2019.

- [23] Suul, J.A., D'Arco, S., Guidi, G.: 'Virtual Synchronous Machine-Based Control of a Single-Phase Bi-Directional Battery Charger for Providing Vehicle-to-Grid Services', *IEEE Trans. Industry Applications*, 2016, **52**, (4), pp. 3234-3244
- [24] Chen, D., Xu, Y., Huang, A.Q.: 'Integration of DC Microgrids as Virtual Synchronous Machines into the AC Grid', *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, 2017, **64**, (9), pp. 7455-7466
- [25] Kerdphol, T., Rahman, F.S., Watanabe, M., Mitani, Y., Turschner D., Beck, H.: 'Enhanced Virtual Inertia Control based on Derivative Technique to Emulate Simultaneous Inertia and Damping Properties for Microgrid Frequency Regulation', *IEEE Access*, 2019, **7**, pp. 14422-14433
- [26] Kerdphol, T., Rahman, F.S., Mitani, Y., Watanabe, M., Küfeoğlu, S.: 'Robust Virtual Inertia Control of an Islanded Microgrid Considering High Penetration of Renewable Energy', *IEEE Access*, 2018, **6**, pp. 625-636
- [27] Chen, J., Liu, M., O'Donnell, T.: 'Replacement of Synchronous Generator by Virtual Synchronous Generator in the Conventional Power System', 2019 IEEE PES General Meeting, Atlanta, GA USA, Aug. 2019, pp. 1-5
- [28] Chen, J., Liu, M., O'Loughlin, C., Milano, F., O'Donnell, T.: 'Modelling, Simulation and Hardware-in-the-Loop Validation of Virtual Synchronous Generator Control in Low Inertia Power System', 2018 Power Systems Computation Conference (PSCC), Dublin, Ireland, June 2018, pp. 1-7.
- [29] Cvetkovic, I., Boroyevich, D., Burgos, R., Li, C., Jaksic, M., Mattavelli, P.: 'Modeling of a Virtual Synchronous Machine-Based Grid-Interface Converter for Renewable Energy Systems Integration', 2014 IEEE 15th Workshop on Control and Modeling for Power Electronics (COMPEL), Santander, Spain, June 2014 pp. 1-7
- [30] Cao, Y., Wang, W., Tan, Y., *et al.*: 'A Virtual Synchronous Generator Control Strategy for VSC-MTDC Systems', *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 2018, **33**, (2), pp. 750-761
- [31] Fang, J., Li, H., Tang, Y., Blaabjerg, F.: 'Distributed Power System Virtual Inertia Implemented by Grid-Connected Power Converters', *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 2018, **33**, (10), pp. 8488-8499
- [32] Fang, J., Lin, P., Li, H., Yang, Y., Tang, Y.: 'An Improved Virtual Inertia Control for Three-Phase Voltage Source Converters Connected to a Weak Grid', *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 2018 (Early Access)
- [33] Huang, L., Xin, H., Wang, Z., *et al.*: 'A Virtual Synchronous Control for Voltage-Source Converters Utilizing Dynamics of DC-Link Capacitor to Realize Self-Synchronization', in *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, 2017, **5**, (4), pp. 1565-1577
- [34] Alipoor, J., Miura, Y., Ise, T.: 'Power System Stabilization Using Virtual Synchronous Generator With Alternating Moment of Inertia,' *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, 2015, **3**, (2), pp. 451-458
- [35] Li, D., Zhu, Q., Lin, S., Bian, X.Y.: 'A Self-Adaptive Inertia and Damping Combination Control of VSG to Support Frequency Stability', *IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion*, 2017, **32**, (1), pp. 397-398
- [36] Shuai, Z., Hu, Y., Peng, Y., Tu, C., Shen, Z.J.: "Dynamic Stability Analysis of Synchronverter-Dominated Microgrid Based on Bifurcation Theory," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, 2017, **64**, (9), pp. 7467-7477
- [37] Li, G., Ma, F., Luo, A., *et al.*: 'Virtual impedance-based virtual synchronous generator control for grid-connected inverter under the weak grid situations', *IET Power Electronics*, 2018, **11**, (13), pp. 2125-2132
- [38] Du, Y., Guerrero, J.M., Chang, L., Su, J., Mao, M.: 'Modeling, Analysis, and Design of a Frequency-Droop-Based Virtual Synchronous Generator for Microgrid Applications', 2013 *IEEE ECCE Asia Downunder*, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, June 2013, pp. 643 - 649
- [39] Wang, Z., Yi, H., Wu, J., Zhuo, F., Wang, F., Zeng, Z.: 'Dynamic performance analysis of paralleled virtual synchronous generators under grid-connected and islanded mode', 2017 *IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC)*, Tampa, FL, USA, March 2017, pp. 1326-1332
- [40] Chen, J., O'Donnell, T.: 'Parameter Constraints for Virtual Synchronous Generator Considering Stability', *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 2019, **34**, (3), pp. 2479-2481
- [41] Wang, J., Wang, Y., Gu, Y., Li, W., He, X.: 'Synchronous Frequency Resonance of Virtual Synchronous Generators and Damping Control', 2015 9th International Conference on Power Electronics and ECCE

Asia (CPE-ECCE Asia), Seoul, South Korea, June 2015, pp. 1011-1016

- [42] Pogaku, N., Prodanovic, M., Green, T.C.: 'Modeling, Analysis and Testing of Autonomous Operation of an Inverter-Based Microgrid', *IEEE Trans. Power Electronics*, 2007, **22**, (2), pp. 613-625
- [43] Zeng, Z., Zhao, R., Yang, H., Cheng, C., Tang, S.: 'Single-Phase Virtual Synchronous Generator for Distributed Energy Sources', 2013 International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems, Busan, South Korea, Oct. 2013, pp. 190-195
- [44] Kundur, P.: 'Power System Stability and Control' (McGraw-Hill Education, New York, USA, 1994)
- [45] Yazdani, A., Iravani, R.: 'Voltage-sourced Converters in Power System' (WILEY IEEE PRESS, Canada, Mar. 2010)

10. Appendices

The appendix will give the detailed computation for the overshoot G_{P_ω} and G_{Q_ω} .

Defining $c_1 = H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1+K_qH_{dQ/dE}}$

$$G_{P_\omega} = \frac{(H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1+K_qH_{dQ/dE}})(Js + K_d)}{Js^2 + K_d s + H_{dP/d\delta} - H_{dQ/d\delta}H_{dP/dE} \frac{K_q}{1+K_qH_{dQ/dE}}}$$

$$= c_1 \frac{s + \frac{K_d}{J}}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_1}{J}s}$$

$$= c_1 \frac{s}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_1}{J}s} + c_1 \frac{\frac{K_d}{J}}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_1}{J}s} \quad (A1)$$

Defining $\omega_{P_\omega} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1}{J} - \frac{K_d^2}{4J^2}}$ and $a_{P_\omega} = \frac{K_d}{2J}$, and computing each part in (A1) separately:

$$c_1 \frac{s}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_1}{J}s} = c_1 \frac{\omega_{P_\omega}}{(s + a_{P_\omega})^2 + \omega_{P_\omega}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_{P_\omega}}$$

$$= \frac{c_1}{\omega_{P_\omega}} e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t) \quad (A2)$$

$$c_1 \frac{\frac{K_d}{J}}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_1}{J}s} = K_d \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{s + \frac{K_d}{J}}{s^2 + \frac{K_d}{J}s + \frac{c_1}{J}} \right)$$

$$= K_d \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{s + a_{P_\omega}}{(s + a_{P_\omega})^2 + \omega_{P_\omega}^2} - \frac{a_{P_\omega}}{(s + a_{P_\omega})^2 + \omega_{P_\omega}^2} \right)$$

$$= K_d (1 - e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} \cos(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t) - \frac{a_{P_\omega}}{\omega_{P_\omega}} e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t)) \quad (A3)$$

Inverse Laplace transform on (A1):

$$c(t) = K_d - e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} K_\alpha \sin(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t + \alpha) \quad (A3)$$

$$\frac{dc(t)}{dt} = a_{P_\omega} e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} K_\alpha \sin(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t + \alpha)$$

$$- \omega_{P_\omega} e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} K_\alpha \cos(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t + \alpha)$$

$$= e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} K_\alpha K_\beta \sin(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t + \alpha - \beta) \quad (A4)$$

When (A4) equals to 0 could compute the time for overshoot (raising time):

$$t_{P_\omega} = \frac{\tan^{-1} \frac{\omega_{P_\omega}}{a_{P_\omega}} - \tan^{-1} \frac{K_d \omega_{P_\omega}}{K_d a_{P_\omega} - c_1}}{\omega_{P_\omega}} \quad (A5)$$

Then overshoot is:

$$OP_{P_\omega} = \frac{c_1}{\omega_{P_\omega}} e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t_{P_\omega}) + K_d (1 - e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} \cos(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t_{P_\omega}) - \frac{a_{P_\omega}}{\omega_{P_\omega}} e^{-a_{P_\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{P_\omega} \cdot t_{P_\omega})) \quad (A6)$$

Similarly, it could obtain OP_{Q_ω} by defining $c_2 =$

$$\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}}{1+K_q H_{dQ/dE}}, \quad c_3 = -K_q H_{dP/dE} \frac{1+K_q H_{dQ/dE}}{H_{dQ/d\delta}} + H_{dP/d\delta},$$

$$a_{Q-\omega} = \frac{K_d}{2J}, \quad \omega_{Q-\omega} = \sqrt{\frac{c_3}{J} - \frac{K_d^2}{4J^2}}.$$

$$G_{Q-\omega} = \frac{\frac{H_{dQ/d\delta}}{1+K_q H_{dQ/dE}}(Js + K_d)}{Js^2 + K_d s - K_q H_{dP/dE} \frac{1+K_q H_{dQ/dE}}{H_{dQ/d\delta}} + H_{dP/d\delta}}$$

$$= c_2 \frac{s + \frac{K_d}{J}}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_3}{J}s}$$

$$= c_2 \frac{s}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_3}{J}s} + c_2 \frac{\frac{K_d}{J}}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_3}{J}s} \quad (A7)$$

$$c_2 \frac{s}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_3}{J}s} = \frac{c_2}{\omega_{Q-f}} e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t) \quad (A8)$$

$$c_2 \frac{\frac{K_d}{J}}{s^3 + \frac{K_d}{J}s^2 + \frac{c_3}{J}s}$$

$$= \frac{K_d c_2}{c_3} \left(1 - e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} \cos(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t) - \frac{a_{Q-\omega}}{\omega_{Q-\omega}} e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t) \right) \quad (A9)$$

$$c(t) = \frac{K_d c_2}{c_3} - c_2 e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} K_\alpha \sin(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t + \alpha) \quad (A10)$$

$$\frac{dc(t)}{dt} = e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} c_2 K_\alpha K_\beta \sin(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t + \alpha - \beta) \quad (A11)$$

$$t_{Q-\omega} = \frac{1}{\omega_{Q-\omega}} \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{\omega_{Q-\omega}}{a_{Q-\omega}} - \tan^{-1} \frac{K_d/c_3}{\frac{K_d a_{Q-\omega}}{c_3 \omega_{Q-\omega}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{Q-\omega}}} \right) \quad (A12)$$

$$OP_{Q-\omega} = \frac{c_2}{\omega_{Q-f}} e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t)$$

$$+ \frac{K_d c_2}{c_3} \left(1 - e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} \cos(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t) - \frac{a_{Q-\omega}}{\omega_{Q-\omega}} e^{-a_{Q-\omega} t} \sin(\omega_{Q-\omega} \cdot t) \right) \quad (A13)$$