ANALYTIC CONTINUATION BY THE FAST FOURIER TRANSFORM*

JOEL FRANKLIN†

Abstract. The ill-posed problem of analytic continuation is regularized by a prescribed bound. A simple computer algorithm is given that is based on the fast Fourier transform. The algorithm computes m complex values and a positive error bound with time complexity $O(m \log m)$. As a function of the data errors and the prescribed bound, the numerical error is shown to be consistent with that prescribed by the three-circles principle of Hadamard.

Key words. analytic continuation, fast Fourier transform, ill posed

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. 30B40, 65E05, 65M30

1. Introduction. Analytic continuation is an ill-posed problem because the solution depends discontinuously on the data.

Example 1. Let f(z) be analytic for $1 \le |z| \le R$. Given that $|f(z) - z| \le \varepsilon$ for |z| = 1, the problem is to compute f(z) in the rest of the annulus:

If $N^{-1} < \varepsilon$, two possible solutions are $f(z) = z \pm N^{-1} z^N$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ and N is very large, the two solutions may differ greatly. Miller [11] has observed that analytic continuation can be regularized by using the three-circles theorem of Hadamard:

THEOREM. Let $\phi(z)$ be analytic for 1 < |z| < R and continuous for $1 \le |z| \le R$. Let $\mu(\rho) = \max |\phi(z)|$ for $|z| = \rho$. Then $\log \mu(\rho)$ is a convex function of $\log \rho$. Thus, if 1 < r < R and if $\theta = (\log r)/\log R$, then

Hardy proved an analogous theorem for an L^2 norm instead of the maximum norm $\mu(\rho)$.

Example 2. As before, let f(z) be analytic for $1 \le |z| \le R$, and let $|f(z) - z| \le \varepsilon$ for |z| = 1. Now assume $|f(z)| \le \beta$ for |z| = R. The problem is again to compute f(z) for 1 < |z| < R.

If $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ are two possible solutions and $\phi(z) = f_1(z) - f_2(z)$, then Hadamard's theorem implies, for $\theta = (\log r)/\log R$,

$$(1.2) |\phi(z)| \leq 2\varepsilon^{1-\theta}\beta^{\theta}.$$

Therefore, unless z is near the outer boundary, the difference between two possible solutions must be small.

The annulus is doubly connected. Consider, now, a simply connected region, D. Suppose f(z) is analytic in D. Let g(z) be given data such that

$$(1.3) |g(z) - f(z)| \le \varepsilon \text{for} z \in S,$$

where S is an arc in D. The problem is to compute f(z) as accurately as possible in D-S. Note that D-S is doubly connected. By conformal mapping we can reduce this problem to the problem for the annulus and apply the three-circles theorem.

Example 3. Let R > 1. Let D be the elliptical domain

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} < 1,$$

^{*} Received by the editors November 2, 1987; accepted for publication (in revised form) January 24, 1989.

[†] Applied Mathematics 217-50, Firestone Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125.

where

(1.5)
$$a = \frac{1}{2}(R + R^{-1}), \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(R - R^{-1}).$$

Let S be the interior arc $-1 \le x \le 1$, and y = 0. For z in S let g(z) be given data. Let the unknown function f(z) be analytic in \overline{D} and assume $|g(z) - f(z)| \le \varepsilon$ for z in S. The problem is to compute f(z) in D - S.

In its present form the problem is again ill posed and a bound $|f(z)| \le \beta$ for z on D is required to obtain a computational solution. If we use the conformal mapping $z = \frac{1}{2}(w + w^{-1})$, then D - S corresponds to the annulus 1 < |w| < R and the segment S corresponds to the unit circle |w| = 1. If we set F(w) = f(z), G(w) = g(z), then we have

$$(1.6) |G(w) - F(w)| \le \varepsilon \text{for } |w| = 1,$$

and

$$(1.7) |F(w)| \leq \beta \text{for } |w| = R.$$

Now the solution F(w) can be determined as in Example 2, and every two possible solutions satisfy

$$(1.8) |F_1(w) - F_2(w)| \leq 2\varepsilon^{1-\theta} \beta^{\theta},$$

where $\theta = (\log |w|)/\log R$.

Miller's method for solving the regularized analytic-continuation problem depends on a general principle of least squares for ill-posed problems. His computer algorithm, SNAC, uses a finite-dimensional least-squares computation. Thus, to produce m solution values, his algorithm requires $O(m^3)$ arithmetic operations.

The present paper uses a different principle, which was motivated by some earlier work [5]. The computer algorithm uses the fast Fourier transform; see [4] and [8]. Thus, to produce m solution values, the algorithm requires $O(m \log m)$ arithmetic operations.

As Example 3 illustrates, the present method may depend on a preliminary conformal mapping of a doubly connected region into an annulus. Wegmann [16] has recently published an efficient computational method for the conformal mapping of doubly connected regions that can be used to implement the present method for analytic continuation. The original region D may be replaced by an approximate subregion D' for the purpose of regularization. If f(z) is analytic in D, and if $|f(z)| \le \beta$ in D, then f(z) is analytic and has the same bound in every subregion D'. One may choose D' to include the given arc S and to include as much of the rest of D as is conveniently possible.

Other approaches to numerical analytic continuation have been made by Bisshop [1], Niethammer [12], Stefanescu [14], and Reichel [13]. One may also state the problem as a Fredholm integral equation of the first kind, to which one may apply Tikhonov's method (see Tikhonov and Arsenin [15]). For a discussion of the error in Tikhonov's method see the section in [6] on harmonic continuation, which is equivalent to analytic continuation.

Analytic continuation from an arc is equivalent to the Cauchy problem for Laplace's equation, for which there exists a vast amount of literature. General references include the books by Hadamard [7], Tikhonov and Arsenin [15], Lavrentiev [10], and Carasso and Stone [3]. Logarithmic convexity and ill-posed problems are discussed by Knops [9].

Whereas the three-circles theorem proves logarithmic convexity for the maximum norm, Miller [11] used logarithmic convexity for a quadratic norm on the m-dimensional complex linear space; we shall do likewise. In the limit as $m \to \infty$, this norm becomes the continuous L^2 norm.

2. The problem for an annulus. We assume that an unknown function f(z) has a Laurent series

(2.1)
$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k z^k \qquad (1 \le |z| \le R)$$

that is absolutely convergent on the bounding circles |z|=1 and |z|=R. We are given numerical values g_j approximating f(z) on the unit circle. Let m be a power of 2, and let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/m)$. We assume

(2.2)
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |g_j - f(\omega^j)|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

where ε is a known positive bound for the data error. We are also given a positive bound β for a quadratic norm of f on the outer boundary:

(2.3)
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |f(R\omega^{j})|^{2} \leq \beta^{2}.$$

Finally, we are given a positive bound τ_m for the truncation error of the Laurent series. We assume

(2.4)
$$\sum_{k < -m/2} |c_k| R^{m/2-1} + \sum_{k \ge m/2} |c_k| R^k \le \tau_m.$$

In summary, we are given the following: the integer m, where m is a power of 2 greater than 1; the complex numbers g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{m-1} ; the positive numbers ε , β , and τ_m ; two radii, r and R, where 1 < r < R. As a rule, the numbers ε and τ_m will be small; the number β will be moderate or large.

The problem is to compute the unknown f(z) on the interior circle |z| = r. For |z| = r we will compute complex numbers b_0, \dots, b_{m-1} approximating the unknowns $f(r\omega^j)$ $(j = 0, \dots, m-1)$.

In the analysis of the algorithm, we will prove an inequality for the error norm μ defined by

(2.5)
$$\mu^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |b_j - f(r\omega^j)|^2.$$

We will show that the error norm μ satisfies

(2.6)
$$\mu \leq \tau_m + 2\varepsilon^{1-\theta} (\beta + \varepsilon + \tau_m)^{\theta},$$

where $\theta = (\log r)/\log R$. (Actually, we shall get a somewhat better result.) Thus, as a function of the data-error bound, ε , the solution-error bound, μ , is of the order $\varepsilon^{1-\theta}$. For example, if r is near 1, then μ behaves about like ε ; if r is near \sqrt{R} , μ behaves like $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$; but if r is near R, we obtain $\mu \le \tau_m + 2(\beta + \varepsilon + \tau_m)$, which is of academic interest only.

For fixed r, the algorithm produces the positive error bound μ_1 and m complex numbers b_0, \dots, b_{m-1} . Using the fast Fourier transform, the algorithm has time complexity of the order of $m \log m$.

3. The algorithm. As described in the last section, we are given the data

(3.1)
$$m; g_0, \dots, g_{m-1}; \varepsilon, \beta, \tau_m; r, R.$$

The algorithm will compute m complex numbers, b_0, \dots, b_{m-1} , and a positive number, u_1 .

Notation. Let **u** be any vector with *m* complex components. By the equation

$$\mathbf{v} = F\mathbf{u}$$

we shall mean that v is the finite Fourier transform of u:

(3.3)
$$v_{j} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} u_{k} \omega^{jk} \qquad (j = 0, \dots, m-1)$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/m)$. Equivalently, we may write $\mathbf{u} = F^{-1}\mathbf{v}$, the inverse transform of \mathbf{v} :

(3.4)
$$u_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} v_j \omega^{-kj} \qquad (k = 0, \dots, m-1).$$

The algorithm uses the data (3.1) and the auxiliary variables β_1 , λ , θ , and **u** to compute the vector **b** and the positive number μ_1 . (The components b_j approximate $f(r\omega^j)$; the number μ_1 is an upper bound for the error norm μ .)

Algorithm Analytic Continuation;

Begin

end.

$$\theta \coloneqq (\log r)/\log R;$$

$$\beta_1 \coloneqq \beta + \varepsilon + \tau_m;$$

$$\lambda \coloneqq \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_1} \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta};$$

$$\mathbf{u} \coloneqq F^{-1}\mathbf{g}; \qquad \text{{inverse fft}}$$
for $k \coloneqq 0$ to $\frac{m}{2} - 1$ do
$$u_k \coloneqq \frac{r^k}{1 + \lambda R^k} u_k;$$
for $k \coloneqq \frac{m}{2}$ to $m - 1$ do
$$u_k \coloneqq r^{k - m} u_k;$$

$$\mathbf{b} \coloneqq F\mathbf{u};$$

$$\mu_1 \coloneqq \tau_m + (\varepsilon + \lambda \beta_1) \lambda^{-\theta}$$
{fft}

4. Analysis of the algorithm. Let f(z) be an analytic function in the annulus 1 < |z| < R and r be a fixed radius satisfying 1 < r < R. Given the integer m; positive numbers r, R, ε , β , τ_m ; and complex numbers g_0, \dots, g_{m-1} , the algorithm computes complex numbers b_0, \dots, b_{m-1} to approximate the unknown values $f(r\omega^j)$ $(j = 0, \dots, m-1)$, where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/m)$. We assume m is a power of 2 greater than 1.

Time complexity. The algorithm uses the fast Fourier transform twice and O(m) other operations. Therefore the algorithm has time complexity $T(m) = O(m \log m)$.

Error analysis. We now analyze the numerical error, $b_j - f(r\omega^j)$ $(j = 0, \dots, m-1)$. We will show that the error is bounded in terms of the given numbers ε , β , and τ_m , which are defined in the text that follows.

If v is a vector with complex components v_0, \dots, v_{m-1} , then the L_2 norm is

(4.1)
$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \left(m^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |v_j|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Let x vary in the interval $1 \le x \le R$, and define the vector $\mathbf{f}(x)$ with m complex components $f(x\omega^j)$ $(j=0,1,\cdots,m-1)$. Assume

$$||\mathbf{f}(1) - \mathbf{g}|| \le \varepsilon$$

where ε is a given positive bound for the data error.

On the outer circle, |z| = R, we assume the bound

$$||\mathbf{f}(R)|| \leq \beta,$$

which regularizes the ill-posed problem of analytic continuation. For a positive data error ε , the values of the unknown vector $\mathbf{f}(r)$ must depend on the outer bound β .

We assume that the unknown function f(z) has an absolutely convergent Laurent series (2.1) and that the truncation error has the bound

(4.4)
$$\sum_{k < -m/2} |c_k| R^{m/2-1} + \sum_{k \ge m/2} |c_k| R^k \le \tau_m.$$

This implies

$$(4.5) \left| f(z) - \sum_{-n \le k \le n} c_k z^k \right| \le \tau_m$$

in the closed annulus $1 \le |z| \le R$, where n = m/2.

THEOREM. Under the preceding assumptions, define

(4.6)
$$\theta = (\log r)/\log R, \qquad \beta_1 = \beta + \varepsilon + \tau_m \quad and \quad \lambda = \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta_1} \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta},$$

which implies that $0 < \theta < 1$, $\beta_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$. For $k = -n, \dots, n-1$ define

(4.7)
$$G_k = m^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j \omega^{-kj}.$$

For $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ define

(4.8)
$$b_{j} = \sum_{\substack{-n \leq k < 0}} G_{k} r^{k} \omega^{jk} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < n}} G_{k} r^{k} (1 + \lambda R^{k})^{-1} \omega^{jk}.$$

Also define the constant $1 < C \le 2$ by

(4.9)
$$C = (1 - \theta)^{-(1 - \theta)} \theta^{-\theta}$$

Then the numerical error satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{g}(r)\| \le \tau_m + C\varepsilon^{1-\theta} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^{\theta}.$$

This completes the theorem whose proof will require the following elementary result.

LEMMA. Assume x > 0, $p_i > 0$, q_i real. Then

$$\log \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k x^{q_k} \text{ is a convex function of } \log x.$$

Proof. Set $x = e^t$ and call the sum S(t). To prove $\log S(t)$ convex, it suffices to prove

$$(4.11) S(t)^2 \le S(t-h)S(t+h)$$

for all real t and h. We have

$$S(t) = \sum p_k \exp(q_k t)$$

= $\sum p_k [\exp \frac{1}{2} q_k (t - h)] \cdot [\exp \frac{1}{2} q_k (t + h)].$

Since $p_k > 0$, the inequality (4.11) follows from the Schwarz inequality. \square Proof of the Theorem. Define the m complex numbers

(4.12)
$$A_k = m^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_j(1) \omega^{-kj} \qquad (-n \le k < n),$$

where, as usual, n = m/2. Similarly, define the numbers

(4.13)
$$G_k = m^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j \omega^{-kj} \qquad (-n \le k < n).$$

For $1 \le x \le R$ and $j = 0, \dots, m-1$, define the following functions of x:

$$(4.14) a_j(x) = \sum_{-n \leq k < n} A_k x^k \omega^{jk},$$

(4.15)
$$\phi_j(x) = \sum_{-n \le k < 0} A_k x^k \omega^{jk} + \sum_{0 \le k < n} A_k x^k (1 + \lambda R^k)^{-1} \omega^{jk},$$

$$(4.16) b_j(x) = \sum_{-n \le k < 0} G_k x^k \omega^{jk} + \sum_{0 \le k < n} G_k x^k (1 + \lambda R^k)^{-1} \omega^{jk}.$$

For the given x = r, we get the numbers $b_j(r) = b_j$ defined in (4.8). We wish to prove (4.10) for $\|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{f}(r)\|$. First we will express the Fourier coefficients A_k in terms of the Laurent coefficients c_i . From (4.12) we have

(4.17)
$$f(\omega^{j}) = f_{j}(1) = \sum_{n \leq k \leq n} A_{k} \omega^{jk} (j = 0, \dots, m-1).$$

But the Laurent series gives

(4.18)
$$f(\omega^j) = \sum_{-\infty < k < \infty} c_k \omega^{jk} \qquad (j = 0, \dots, m-1).$$

Since $\omega^m = 1$, we may write

(4.19)
$$f(\omega^j) = \sum_{\substack{-n \le k \le n \\ -m \le k \le n}} \left(\sum_{\substack{-m \le k \le n \\ -m \le k \le m}} c_{k+sm} \right) \omega^{jk} \qquad (j = 0, \dots, m-1).$$

But the Fourier coefficients A_k are defined uniquely by (4.17). Therefore, (4.19) implies

(4.20)
$$A_k = \sum_{m < s < m} c_{k+sm} \qquad (k = -n, \cdots, n-1).$$

Now we will determine a bound for $\|\mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{f}(x)\|$. From (4.14) and (4.20) we determine

$$(4.21) a_j(x) = \sum_{\substack{-n \leq k < n \\ -\infty < s < \infty}} \left(\sum_{\substack{-\infty < s < \infty \\ -\infty < s < \infty}} c_{k+sm} \right) x^k \omega^{jk} (j=0,\cdots,m-1).$$

If we define the unique residue $k \mod m$ in the set $-n, \dots, n-1$, then from (4.21)

(4.22)
$$a_j(x) = \sum_{-\infty < k < \infty} c_k x^{(k \mod m)} \omega^{jk} \qquad (j = 0, \dots, m-1).$$

Subtracting the Laurent series for $f_i(x)$, we obtain

(4.23)
$$a_j(x) - f_j(x) = \sum_{-\infty < k < \infty} c_k [x^{(k \mod m)} - x^k] \omega^{jk}.$$

We have $(k \mod m) = k$ for $-n \le k < n$, while

$$(k \mod m) > k \text{ for } k < -n$$

and

$$(k \mod m) < k \text{ for } k \ge n.$$

Since $1 \le x \le R$, equation (4.23) implies that

$$|a_j(x) - f_j(x)| \le \sum_{k < -n} |c_k| R^{n-1} + \sum_{k \ge n} |c_k| R^k \le \tau_m$$

where the given bound τ_m satisfies (4.4). Therefore

$$||\mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{f}(x)|| \le \tau_m \qquad (1 \le x \le R).$$

Next we will determine a bound for $\|\mathbf{b}(x) - \mathbf{a}(x)\|$ at x = r. To do so, we will determine a bound for $\|\mathbf{b}(x) - \mathbf{a}(x)\|$ at x = 1 and at x = R. We will then use the lemma, which implies that $\log \|\mathbf{b}(x) - \mathbf{a}(x)\|$ is a convex function of $\log x$, to show that

$$||\mathbf{b}(r) - \mathbf{a}(r)|| \le ||\mathbf{b}(1) - \mathbf{a}(1)||^{1-\theta} ||\mathbf{b}(R) - \mathbf{a}(R)||^{\theta}$$

where $\theta = (\log r)/\log R$. The lemma is applicable because, by (4.14) and (4.16),

(4.27)
$$\|\mathbf{b}(x) - \mathbf{a}(x)\|^2 = \sum_{-n \le k < n} p_k x^{2k},$$

where all p_k are positive.

First set x = 1. Then from (4.14) and (4.15),

(4.28)
$$\|\phi(1) - \mathbf{a}(1)\|^2 = \sum_{0 \le k < n} |A_k \lambda R^k (1 + \lambda R^k)^{-1}|^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{0 \le k < n} |A_k \lambda R^k|^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{0 \le k \le n} |A_k \lambda R^k|^2 = \lambda^2 \|\mathbf{a}(R)\|^2.$$

But (4.25) implies, for x = R,

$$||\mathbf{a}(R)|| \le ||\mathbf{f}(R)|| + \tau_m \le \beta + \tau_m,$$

where β is the given bound for $\|\mathbf{f}(R)\|$. Now (4.28) gives

From (4.15) and (4.16),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{b}(1) - \phi(1)\|^2 &= \sum_{-n \le k < 0} |G_k - A_k|^2 + \sum_{0 \le k < n} |G_k - A_k|^2 (1 + \lambda R^k)^{-2} \\ &\le \sum_{-n \le k < n} |G_k - A_k|^2 = \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{f}(1)\|^2 \le \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if ε is the given data-error bound, we have

Applying the triangle inequality to (4.30) and (4.31), we deduce

(4.32)
$$\|\mathbf{b}(1) - \mathbf{a}(1)\| \le \varepsilon + \lambda (\beta + \tau_m).$$

Now we will determine the bound for $\|\mathbf{b}(R) - \mathbf{a}(R)\|$. From (4.14) and (4.15) we get

$$\|\phi(R) - \mathbf{a}(R)\|^{2} = \sum_{0 \le k < n} |A_{k}R^{k} \cdot \lambda R^{k} (1 + \lambda R^{k})^{-1}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{-n \le k < n} |A_{k}R^{k}|^{2} = \|\mathbf{a}(R)\|^{2}.$$

From (4.29) we obtain

$$\|\phi(R) - \mathbf{a}(R)\| \le \beta + \tau_m.$$

From (4.15) and (4.16),

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{b}(R) - \phi(R)\|^2 &= \sum_{-n \le k < 0} |G_k - A_k|^2 R^{2k} + \sum_{0 \le k < n} |G_k - A_k|^2 R^{2k} (1 + \lambda R^k)^{-2} \\ &\le \sum_{-n \le k < 0} |G_k - A_k|^2 + \lambda^{-2} \sum_{0 \le k < n} |G_k - A_k|^2. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{-n \leq k \leq n}} |G_k - A_k|^2 = \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{f}(1)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{b}(R) - \phi(R)\| \le \varepsilon \cdot \max(1, \lambda^{-1}) < \varepsilon(1 + \lambda^{-1}).$$

Applying the triangle inequality to (4.33) and (4.34), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{b}(R) - \mathbf{a}(R)\| \le \beta + \tau_m + \varepsilon (1 + \lambda^{-1}).$$

Now we are ready to bring our results together. By (4.32) and (4.35), we have

$$\|\mathbf{b}(1) - \mathbf{a}(1)\| \le \varepsilon + \lambda \beta_1, \quad \|\mathbf{b}(R) - \mathbf{a}(R)\| \le (\varepsilon + \lambda \beta_1) \lambda^{-1},$$

where $\beta_1 = \beta + \varepsilon + \tau_m$. From the (4.26) we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{b}(r) - \mathbf{a}(r)\| \le (\varepsilon + \lambda \beta_1) \lambda^{-\theta},$$

where r is the given radius satisfying 1 < r < R. Setting the variable x equal to r, we deduce from (4.25)

The triangle inequality yields

As a function of λ , the right-hand side is minimized by the value defined in (4.6). Then the proved (4.38) is the required inequality (4.10). This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

5. Computer testing. It is easy to implement the algorithm described in § 3. Using an available fast Fourier transform (FFT) subroutine, a PASCAL program was written to test the algorithm for an example of analytic continuation from a line segment. The function

$$(5.1) F(w) = \frac{1}{2 - w}$$

was used and data G(w) for F(w) were given on the line segment $-1 \le w \le 1$. A data-error bound

$$|G(w) - F(w)| \le \varepsilon = 10^{-4}$$

was assumed. The data G(w) are used to continue the supposedly unknown function F(w) from the line segment into an ellipse with foci at ± 1 .

Let E_R be the ellipse in the w-plane given by

(5.3)
$$\frac{u^2}{A^2} + \frac{v^2}{B^2} = 1,$$

where w = u + iv, $A = \frac{1}{2}(R + R^{-1})$, $B = \frac{1}{2}(R - R^{-1})$. Assume 1 < R < 3.732 so that the pole of F(w) at w = 2 lies outside the ellipse. A bound β is prescribed for |F(w)| on the boundary E_R .

The conformal mapping $w = \frac{1}{2}(z+z^{-1})$ maps the annulus 1 < |z| < R into the region bounded by the slit $-1 \le w \le 1$ and the ellipse E_R . For 1 < r < R the circle |z| = r is mapped into an interior confocal ellipse E_r . Values for F(w) on the interior ellipse E_r are computed.

Set F(w) = f(z), G(w) = g(z). Thus f(z) is the supposedly unknown function

(5.4)
$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2 - \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})}.$$

Let m be a large power of 2; typically, m = 256. Let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/m)$. The test simulates data g(z) on the unit circle by computing

(5.5)
$$g(\omega^{j}) = f(\omega^{j}) + \varepsilon X_{i} \qquad (j = 0, \dots, m-1),$$

where $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$ and X_j is a computer-generated random number satisfying $-1 \le X_j \le 1$. Thus, εX_j is a simulated data error bounded by $\pm \varepsilon$.

As described in § 3, the algorithm requires an upper bound τ_m for the Laurent-series truncation error. The function f(z) defined in (5.4) has the Laurent series

(5.6)
$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k z^k = \frac{\gamma_1}{z - z_1} + \frac{\gamma_2}{z - z_2},$$

where z_1 and z_2 are the reciprocal poles $2 \pm \sqrt{3}$. Therefore, if n = m/2,

(5.7)
$$\sum_{k < -n} |c_k| R^{n-1} + \sum_{k \ge n} |c_k| R^k = O((2 - \sqrt{3})^n R^n)$$

and $\tau_m = O((2-\sqrt{3})^n R^n)$. If $m \ge 256$ and $R \le 3$, we have $\tau_m = O(7.28 \times 10^{-13})$. Thus, within the limit of roundoff error, we may set $\tau_m = 0$.

Table 1 gives the results of a numerical test. In accordance with (5.5), randomly perturbed data were given on the unit circle. The following values were fixed:

(5.8)
$$\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$$
, $m = 256$, $\tau_m = 0$, $R = 3$, $\beta = 0.972$.

TABLE 1 Precise $\beta = 0.972$.

r	λ	μ_1	μ
1.25	2.62E-5	1.07E-3	5.81E-5
1.50	6.02E - 5	5.72E - 3	2.99E-4
1.75	1.07E - 4	2.15E - 2	1.14E - 3
2.00	1.76E - 4	6.34E - 2	4.28E - 3
2.25	2.90E - 4	1.56E - 1	1.32E - 2
2.50	5.17E - 4	3.32E - 1	3.72E - 2
2.75	1.20E - 3	6.20E - 1	1.02E - 1

Thus, the data errors were bounded by $\pm 10^{-4}$. With the outer radius fixed at R = 3, the norm $\|\mathbf{f}(R)\|$ is fixed at 0.972, and this value was used for β . (This upper bound may be replaced by a value twice as large without an appreciable change in the computations.)

The radius τ was given the seven values 1.25, 1.50, \cdots , 2.75. For each r, the algorithm was applied to the randomly perturbed data g_0, \cdots, g_{255} and the numbers λ, μ_1 , and b_0, \cdots, b_{255} were computed. For each r, using an IBM XT, the computation required approximately 4 seconds.

For each r the algorithm computed an upper bound μ_1 for the true solution error

$$\mu = \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{f}(r)\|.$$

In a separate computation, which used the function definition (5.4), the true error μ was computed. For the different values of r, the values of the true error μ appear in the last column of Table 1.

The effect of doubling the prescribed bound β for the norm $\|\mathbf{f}(R)\|$ on the outer circle is given in Table 2. The only surprise came for r=2. For that value the true error μ decreased: it went from 4.28×10^{-3} in Table 1 to 2.61×10^{-3} in Table 2. For the other tested values of r the true error increased with the use of the crude upper bound β . As a rough check of the computations, a naive analytic continuation by the FFT was performed with the parameter λ equal to zero. For inner radius r=2 the result was a true error $\mu=7.8\times10^{32}$.

TABLE 2 Crude $\beta = 1.94$.

r	λ	μ_1	μ
1.25	1.31E-5	1.11E-3	6.72E-5
2.00	8.8E - 5	6.72E - 2	2.61E - 3
2.75	6.0E - 3	6.59E - 1	1.59E - 1

The algorithm was tested with other functions. $F(w) = e^w$ was continued from the interval $-1 \le w \le 1$ into the rest of the complex plane. This example has the same form as the one given above, but it is easier because F(w) has no singularity in the finite plane. As before, data G(w) are given for $-1 \le w \le 1$, with $|G(w) - e^w| \le 10^{-4}$. If the precise bound $\beta = 5.71$ is prescribed for the norm $\|\mathbf{f}(5)\|$ on the outer ellipse E_5 , the algorithm computes values b_j approximating the true values of e^w on the inner ellipse E_3 with two-digit accuracy. On E_3 the computed error bound is $\mu_1 = 0.33$, but the true error μ is smaller. A typical experiment with random data errors yielded the true error $\mu = \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{f}(3)\| = 0.0125$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. BISSHOP, Numerical conformal mapping and analytic continuation, Quart. Appl. Math., 41 (1983), pp. 125-142.
- [2] J. CANNON AND K. MILLER, Some problems in numerical analytic continuation, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Ser. B Numer. Anal., 2 (1965), pp. 87-96.
- [3] A. CARASSO AND A. P. STONE, EDS., Improperly Posed Boundary Value Problems, Pitman, San Francisco, 1975.

- [4] J. M. COOLEY AND J. W. TUKEY, An algorithm for the machine calculation of Fourier series, Math. Comp., 19 (1965), pp. 297-301.
- [5] J. FRANKLIN, Minimum principles for ill-posed problems, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 9 (1978), pp. 638-650.
- [6] —, On Tikhonov's method for ill-posed problems, Math. Comp., 28 (1974), pp. 889-907.
- [7] J. HADAMARD, Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential Equations, Dover, New York, 1952.
- [8] P. HENRICI, Applied and Computational Complex Analysis, Vol. 3, John Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [9] R. J. KNOPS, ED., Symposium on Non-Well-Posed Problems and Logarithmic Convexity, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1973.
- [10] M. M. LAVRENTIEV, Some Improperly Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin New York, 1967.
- [11] K. MILLER, Least squares methods for ill-posed problems with a prescribed bound, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1 (1970), pp. 52-74.
- [12] W. NIETHAMMER, Ein numerisches Verfahren zur analytischen Fortsetzung, Numer. Math., 21 (1973), pp. 81-92.
- [13] L. REICHEL, Numerical methods for analytic continuation and mesh generation, Constr. Approx. 2 (1986), pp. 23-39.
- [14] I. S. STEFANESCU, On the stable analytic continuation with rational functions, J. Math. Phys., 21 (1980), pp. 175-189.
- [15] A. N. TIKHONOV AND V. Y. ARSENIN, Solutions of Ill-Posed Problems, John Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [16] R. WEGMANN, An iterative method for the conformal mapping of doubly connected regions, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 14 (1986), pp. 79-98.