



CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Analytic theory of an edge mode between impedance surfaces

Xianghong Kong, Dia'aaldin J. Bisharat, Gaobiao Xiao, and Daniel F. Sievenpiper

Phys. Rev. A **99**, 033842 — Published 21 March 2019

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033842](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033842)

Analytic theory of an edge mode between impedance surfaces

Xianghong Kong,^{1,2,*} Diaaaldin J. Bisharat,^{2,†} Gaobiao Xiao,^{1,‡} and Daniel F. Sievenpiper^{2,§}

¹*Department of Electronic Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China*

²*Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, University of California, San Diego, California 92093, USA*

(Dated: March 5, 2019)

An eigenmode analysis is presented of the electromagnetic field which occurs between two complementary surface impedances. The analysis is based on the generalized reflection method which is a generalization of the Sommerfeld-Maliuzhinets technique. Numerical results are presented and validated against independent Comsol simulations. Also, the characteristic impedance and phase velocity are defined and calculated for further investigation of the structure.

I. INTRODUCTION

Edge modes can be widely found in quantum phenomena [1, 2], optics [3–6], and acoustics [7, 8]. Due to the complexity of such structures, it is almost impossible to obtain the exact closed-form solutions of the edge modes. However, a simple structure has been discovered which confines the energy along the interface between two planar surfaces recently [9–11]. It has been shown that the line wave occurs when the surface impedances on the two sides are complementary, which means one is inductive while the other is capacitive. Both numerical simulation and experimental verification have demonstrated these line waves, but an analytical solution has only been found for the limiting case where the two surfaces are perfect electric and perfect magnetic conductors.

In this paper, we apply the generalized reflection method to the eigenmode solution of a wedge with two different impedance boundary conditions. The generalized reflection method is developed by Vaccaro to study the scattering from an impedance wedge excited by an obliquely incident plane wave as shown in Fig. 1a [12, 13]. The generalized reflection method is the generalization of the Sommerfeld-Maliuzhinets method, which is applied to solve the problem of the scattering wave of a wedge with impedance surfaces excited by a normally incident plane wave [14]. The TM and TE polarized wave are coupled for the oblique incidence, which makes the Maliuzhinets method no longer valid. Based on the Sommerfeld-Maliuzhinets technique, the diffraction of an electromagnetic skew-incident wave by a wedge with anisotropic impedance boundary condition is solved analytically [15, 16]. The scattered wave generated by a Hertzian dipole placed over an impedance wedge can be calculated by expanding the dipole field into plane waves and extending to complex angles of incidence [17].

However, to the authors' best knowledge, no satisfactory analytic solution to the eigenmode on an impedance




FIG. 1: (a) Impedance wedge with obliquely incident plane wave. The angle between impedance faces is θ . (b) Line wave structure shown in Ref. [9] when $\theta = \pi$.

wedge exists. Knowing the eigenmode solution not only helps to understand the driven mode such as the scattered wave of a wedge excited by plane wave or dipole, but also gives a deeper understanding on the edge mode between impedance surfaces.

II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

A. Structure description


Similar to the driven mode analysis, we have two semi-infinite surfaces with complementary surface impedances Z_1 and Z_2 as shown in Fig. 1b, which means $\Im(Z_1)\Im(Z_2) < 0$. However, instead of solving for scattering by an incident wave, we find the eigenmode solu-

* klovek@sjtu.edu.cn

† dbisharat@eng.ucsd.edu

‡ gaobiaoxiao@sjtu.edu.cn

§ dsievenpiper@eng.ucsd.edu

FIG. 2: Integration path γ .

tion. For simplicity, we assume the surface is lossless, so $\Re(Z_1) = \Re(Z_2) = 0$. We also assume the angle between two surfaces is π , which is the same as Ref. [9]. All the fields in the following discussion have the $e^{-i\omega t}$ time dependence which is suppressed. The surfaces of the wedge satisfy the Leontovich boundary condition [18]:

$$\vec{E} - \hat{\phi}(\hat{\phi} \cdot \vec{E}) = \hat{\phi} \times \vec{H} Z_1 \quad (1a)$$

$$\vec{E} - \hat{\phi}(\hat{\phi} \cdot \vec{E}) = -\hat{\phi} \times \vec{H} Z_2 \quad (1b)$$

where $\hat{\phi}$ is the unit vector as shown in Fig. 1a.

B. Generalized reflection method

By applying the Sommerfeld-Maliuzhinets technique, we can transform the electromagnetic field from real space to the spectral domain:

$$\bar{f}_z(\rho, \phi, z) = \frac{e^{ik_0 z \cos \beta}}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \bar{F}_z\left(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi\right) \cdot e^{-ik_0 \rho \sin \beta \cos \alpha} d\alpha \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{f}_z(\rho, \phi, z) = \begin{bmatrix} E_z \\ Z_0 H_z \end{bmatrix}$, Z_0 is the free space intrinsic impedance. The column vector $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$ represents the spectral function for E_z and $Z_0 H_z$. For the driven mode, β is the angle between the incident wave and the \hat{z} axis which is a given parameter. However, for the eigenmode case, β is the eigenvalue that we need to find by solving Maxwell equations. It is proven that for the wedge structure the z components of the electric and magnetic

fields are bounded at the edge, $|E_z(\rho = 0)| < \infty$ and $|H_z(\rho = 0)| < \infty$, while $|E_\rho|$, $|E_\phi|$, $|H_\rho|$, and $|H_\phi|$ will tend to infinity [19]. The behavior of $f_z(\rho, \phi, z)$ at $\rho \rightarrow 0$ is related to the behavior of the spectral function $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$ at $|\Im(\alpha)| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\lim_{|\Im(\alpha)| \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}_z(\alpha) = \text{constant} \quad (3)$$

Applying the impedance boundary condition as shown in Eq. (1a) and (1b) to the spectral expression Eq. (2), we can get [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{I} \sin \alpha + \sin \bar{v}_1) \bar{C}(\alpha) \bar{F}_z\left(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= (-\bar{I} \sin \alpha + \sin \bar{v}_1) \bar{C}(-\alpha) \bar{F}_z\left(-\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{I} \sin \alpha - \sin \bar{v}_2) \bar{C}(\alpha) \bar{F}_z\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= (-\bar{I} \sin \alpha - \sin \bar{v}_2) \bar{C}(-\alpha) \bar{F}_z\left(-\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4b)$$

where

$$\bar{C}(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \cos \beta \\ \sin \alpha \cos \beta & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$\sin \bar{v}_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin v_{1,2}^e & 0 \\ 0 & \sin v_{1,2}^h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Y_{1,2}}{Y_0 \sin \beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{Z_{1,2}}{Z_0 \sin \beta} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

\bar{I} is the 2×2 identity matrix. $Y_0 = 1/Z_0$ is the free-space admittance and $Y_{1,2} = 1/Z_{1,2}$.

As shown in Eq. (4a) and (4b), the two components in $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$ are coupled since the matrix $\bar{C}(\alpha)$ is non-diagonal. In order to solve $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$ efficiently, we rewrite the Eq. (4a) and (4b) by variable substitution:

$$\bar{F}_z(\alpha) = \bar{C}^{-1}\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \bar{G}_z(\alpha) \quad (7)$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{I} \sin \alpha + \sin \bar{v}_1) \bar{G}_z\left(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= (-\bar{I} \sin \alpha + \sin \bar{v}_1) \bar{G}_z\left(-\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (8a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{I} \sin \alpha - \sin \bar{v}_2) \bar{G}_z\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= (-\bar{I} \sin \alpha - \sin \bar{v}_2) \bar{G}_z\left(-\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (8b)$$

For Eq. (8a) and (8b), the two components of \bar{G}_z are decoupled and are solved by Maliuzhinets [14]:

$$\bar{G}_z(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_e(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_h(\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1^0 \\ a_2^0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where a_1^0 and a_2^0 are arbitrary constants, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{e,h}(\alpha) = & \psi\left(\alpha + v_1^{e,h}\right) \psi\left(\alpha + \pi - v_1^{e,h}\right) \\ & \cdot \psi\left(\alpha + v_2^{e,h} - \pi\right) \psi\left(\alpha - v_2^{e,h}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The Maliuzhinets function $\psi(\alpha)$ of wedge with angle π is defined as:

$$\psi(\alpha) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\alpha \frac{2u - \pi \sin u}{\cos u} du\right) \quad (11)$$

The asymptotic behavior of $\psi(\alpha)$ is

$$\lim_{|\Im(\alpha)| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\alpha) = O\left[\exp\left(\frac{|\Im(\alpha)|}{4}\right)\right] \quad (12)$$

Combining Eq. (9) and Eq. (7), we can get the expression for the spectral function $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$.

It was first discovered by Vaccaro that if $\bar{G}_z(\alpha)$ in Eq. (9) is the solution to Eq. (8), $\bar{G}_z(\alpha)\sigma(\alpha)$ where $\sigma(\alpha)$ satisfies $\sigma\left(\alpha \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \sigma\left(-\alpha \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ will also be the solution [12]. It is easy to show that $\sin^n(\alpha)$ where n is an integer is a solution of $\sigma(\alpha)$. Hence, Equation (9) can be generalized as [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{G}_z(\alpha) = & \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_e(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_h(\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1^{-1} \\ a_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sin \alpha - \cos \phi'} \right. \\ & \left. + \begin{bmatrix} a_1^0 \\ a_2^0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1^1 \\ a_2^1 \end{bmatrix} \sin \alpha + \dots \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where ϕ' is the incident angle as shown in Fig. 1a and $a_{1,2}^n$ are constants we need to figure out. From Eq. (12) and Eq. (10) we know that $\lim_{|\Im(\alpha)| \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{e,h}(\alpha) = O(\exp(|\Im(\alpha)|))$ and $\lim_{|\Im(\alpha)| \rightarrow \infty} \bar{C}^{-1}\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = O(\exp(-|\Im(\alpha)|))$. Combining with Eq. (3) we can conclude that $a_{1,2}^n = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. The first-order pole caused by $a_{1,2}^{-1}$ is produced by the incident wave in the driven mode. For the eigenmode case, we can set $a_{1,2}^{-1} = 0$ directly. The spectral function $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$ can be expressed as

$$\bar{F}_z(\alpha) = \bar{C}^{-1}\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_e(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_h(\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1^0 \\ a_2^0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$a_{1,2}^0$ and eigenvalue β are calculated by removing the poles introduced by $\bar{C}^{-1}\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ which have no physical interpretation. The poles α_0^\pm can be defined as

$$\cos\left(\alpha_0^\pm - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \mp i \sin\left(\alpha_0^\pm - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cos \beta = 0 \quad (15)$$

The process of removing the poles α_0^\pm is to find appropriate eigenvalue β and eigenvector $a_{1,2}^0$ that satisfied the equations




FIG. 3: Absolute value of the determinant defined in Eq. (17) when sweeping $\cos \beta$ (blue line) and eigenvalue $\cos \beta$ calculated by Comsol (red line) for (a) $Z_1 = -iZ_0/\sqrt{3}$, $Z_2 = \sqrt{3}iZ_0$ (b) $Z_1 = -iZ_0/\sqrt{2}$, $Z_2 = 2iZ_0$ (c) $Z_1 = -iZ_0/2$, $Z_2 = 2\sqrt{2}iZ_0$

$\bar{F}_z(\alpha_0^\pm) \left(\cos^2\left(\alpha_0^\pm - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + \sin^2\left(\alpha_0^\pm - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cos^2 \beta \right) = 0$. It can be further expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\Psi_e(\alpha_0^+) & \Psi_h(\alpha_0^+) \\ -i\Psi_e(\alpha_0^-) & \Psi_h(\alpha_0^-) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1^0 \\ a_2^0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (16)$$

In order to have non-zero solution of $a_{1,2}^0$, it requires

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} i\Psi_e(\alpha_0^+) & \Psi_h(\alpha_0^+) \\ -i\Psi_e(\alpha_0^-) & \Psi_h(\alpha_0^-) \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0 \quad (17)$$

Keep in mind that $\Psi_{e,h}(\alpha_0^\pm)$ as defined by Eq. (10) are functions of β . By solving Eq. (17) we can get the eigenvalue β . It is shown in Ref. [9] that the energy of the electromagnetic wave is confined near $\rho = 0$ and decays exponentially as ρ grows. Hence it is intuitive to predict that the eigenvalue β should satisfy $|\cos \beta| > 1$, which means $|k_z| > k_0$. Plugging β into Eq. (16), we can solve $a_{1,2}^0$ and finally get the spectral function $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$.

Once the spectral function $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$ is achieved, we can figure out E_z and H_z in real space by applying Eq. (2). The integral path as shown in Fig. 2 is symmetric to the origin in the α plane [20]. The ends of γ_\pm are located in those regions where $\Re(-ik_0\rho \sin \beta \cos \alpha) < 0$ so that the factor $e^{-ik_0\rho \sin \beta \cos \alpha}$ decays as $|\Im(\alpha)| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $|\cos \beta| > 1$ and the impedance surface is lossless, we know that $\sin \beta$ is pure imaginary. Without loss of generality, we assume $\Im(\sin \beta) > 0$. To ensure the fastest decay of $e^{-ik_0\rho \sin \beta \cos \alpha}$, it is assumed that the ends of γ_+ are




FIG. 4: (a) Absolute value and (b) phase of normalized electric field $E_z/E_z(k_0\rho = 0.1)$ (blue line for analytic solution and green dots for Comsol simulation) and normalized magnetic field $Z_0 H_z/E_z(k_0\rho = 0.1)$ (red line for analytic solution and yellow dots for Comsol simulation) when $\phi = \pi/3$.




FIG. 5: (a) Absolute value and (b) phase of normalized electric field $E_z/E_z(\phi = \pi/2)$ (blue line for analytic solution and green dots for Comsol simulation) and normalized magnetic field $Z_0 H_z/E_z(\phi = \pi/2)$ (red line for analytic solution and yellow dots for Comsol simulation) when $k_0\rho = 0.5$.

located at $\pi + i\infty$ and $-\pi + i\infty$ and the ends of γ_- at $-\pi - i\infty$ and $\pi - i\infty$. Although the poles introduced by $\bar{C}^{-1}\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ which have no physical interpretation have been removed, the poles introduced by $\Psi_{e,h}(\alpha)$ still exist. The poles of the Maliuzhinets function $\psi(\alpha)$ are all on the real axis of the α plane. According to the definition of $\Psi_{e,h}(\alpha)$ given in Eq. (10), the poles are shifted to the region with $\Im(\alpha) \neq 0$ due to the fact that $\Im(v_{1,2}^{e,h})$ may be non-zero. In order to ensure that no singularities of the integrand function are located in the regions bounded by γ_{\pm} above γ_+ and below γ_- , the integral path should be chosen sufficiently far from the real axis. For simplicity, we choose $\gamma_+ = (i\infty + \pi, id + \pi] \cup [id + \pi, id - \pi] \cup [id - \pi, i\infty - \pi)$ where d can be any constant satisfies $d > |\Im(v_{1,2}^{e,h})|$.




FIG. 6: Normalized electric field $|E_z/E_z(k_0\rho = 0)|$ calculated by (a) analytic method and (b) Comsol simulation.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerical results will be presented in the section to verify the accuracy of proposed analytical representation. 2D model in Comsol is chosen for comparison since we neglect the z-dependence of field which is in the form of $e^{ik_0 z \cos \beta}$ when showing the result.

A. Eigenvalue verification

Since we assume the surface impedance Z_1 and Z_2 are constants and the structure is invariant under the scaling transform, k_z/k_0 should be a constant that will not vary with k_0 , which means $\cos \beta$ should be a constant only related to Z_1 and Z_2 . The method to figure out $\cos \beta$ is to solve Eq. (17). By sweeping the value of $\cos \beta$, we can easily find the correct solution as shown in Fig. 3. Comparing with the result simulated by Comsol, we find the high accuracy of our method when calculating the eigenvalue $\cos \beta$. Here the surface impedances Z_1 and Z_2 are inductive and capacitive impedance respectively. When they are both inductive or capacitive, no eigenmode will exist. Due to the inversion symmetry in the z axis, if $\cos \beta$ is one solution for the eigenvalue, then $-\cos \beta$ will also be a solution. For simplicity, we only focus on the positive $\cos \beta$. As shown in Fig. 3, $|\cos \beta| > 1$ is satisfied for different values of Z_1 and Z_2 which indicates the energy of the electromagnetic field is confined near the interface between the two surfaces. Also, larger values of $|\cos \beta|$ represent better confinement of the eigenfield. Hence, the case when $Z_1 = -iZ_0/\sqrt{3}$, $Z_2 = \sqrt{3}iZ_0$ in Fig. 3a decays faster in the ρ direction than the case when $Z_1 = -iZ_0/2$, $Z_2 = 2\sqrt{2}iZ_0$ in Fig. 3c.

B. Eigenfield verification

When Eq. (17) is satisfied, Eq. (16) will have a non-zero solution for $\begin{bmatrix} a_1^0 \\ a_2^0 \end{bmatrix}$. We will take $\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_h(\alpha_0^+) \\ -i\Psi_e(\alpha_0^+) \end{bmatrix}$ in the follow-




FIG. 7: Normalized magnetic field $|H_z Z_0 / E_z(k_0 \rho = 0)|$ calculated by (a) analytic method and (b) Comsol simulation.




FIG. 8: $|E_z / (H_z Z_0)|$ calculated by (a) analytic method and (b) Comsol simulation.

ing derivation. Assuming the case when $Z_1 = -i/2\sqrt{2}Z_0$ and $Z_2 = 2iZ_0$, we can achieve the eigenvalue $\cos\beta = 1.7205$ by sweeping the parameter as mentioned. Also, we can get $a_1^0 = -0.1143 + 0.3244i$ and $a_2^0 = 0.1359 - 0.3858i$. Inserting the values of a_1^0 and a_2^0 into Eq. (14) we have completely solved the spectral function $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$. One last step is to define the integration path γ in order to calculate E_z and H_z in real space as shown in Eq. (2). We choose $\gamma_+ = (i\infty + \pi, id + \pi) \cup [id + \pi, id - \pi] \cup [id - \pi, i\infty - \pi)$ where d satisfies the condition $d > |\Im(v_{1,2}^{e,h})|$. Here we have $v_1^e = \pi/2 - 1.3286i$, $v_1^h = -0.2553$, $v_2^e = -0.3652$, $v_2^h = \pi/2 - 0.8955i$. We set $d = \pi$ and the integration path becomes $\gamma_+ = (i\infty + \pi, i\pi + \pi) \cup [i\pi + \pi, i\pi - \pi] \cup [i\pi - \pi, i\infty - \pi)$, $\gamma_- = (-i\infty - \pi, -i\pi - \pi) \cup [-i\pi - \pi, -i\pi + \pi] \cup [-i\pi + \pi, -i\infty + \pi)$.

As shown in Fig. 4, we set $\phi = \pi/3$ as a constant and sweep the value of $k_0\rho$ from 0.1 to 1.0. Both E_z and $Z_0 H_z$ are normalized by $E_z(k_0\rho = 0.1)$ so we can compare the analytic solution with the Comsol simulation result. Figure 4a shows that both $|E_z|$ and $|H_z|$ decrease with the increase of $k_0\rho$ and the analytic solution matches well with the simulation result. The phase of the eigenfield along the ρ axis is a constant which can be concluded from Fig. 4b.

Similar to Fig. 4, we set $k_0\rho = 0.5$ as a constant and sweep ϕ from 0 to π in Fig. 5. Divided by $E_z(\phi = \pi/2)$, the normalized eigenfield calculated by the analytic





FIG. 9: Real part of the transverse electric field calculated by (a) applying Eq. (19) and (b) Comsol simulation is plotted.

method shows high accuracy. From Fig. 5a we can conclude that the absolute value of E_z and H_z will not vary monotonically with the increase of ϕ , but instead they increase after reaching a minimum at a particular value of ϕ . Figure 5b shows that the phase is also a constant when $k_0\rho$ is fixed. Combined with result in Fig. 4b, we predict that both E_z and H_z have same phase throughout the xy plane.

The absolute values of the normalized electric field $|E_z/E_z(k_0\rho = 0)|$ and normalized magnetic field $|H_z Z_0/E_z(k_0\rho = 0)|$ are plotted in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 respectively. The phases are neglected here since we can conclude from Fig. 4b and Fig. 5b that the phases of E_z and H_z are uniformly distributed in the xy plane. As we can see, the field is concentrated at the interface between two complementary surfaces where $\rho = 0$ and decays exponentially as ρ grows. Both E_z and H_z are finite at $\rho = 0$ which satisfies the boundary condition while E_ρ , E_ϕ , H_ρ , and H_ϕ can have a singularity at the edge




FIG. 10: (a) Normalized phase velocity v_p/c and (b) normalized characteristic impedance Z_c/Z_0 are plotted as functions of Z_1 and Z_2 .

[9, 19]. The field is not symmetrically distributed about axis $\phi = \pi/2$ since for E_z the left part $\pi/2 < \phi < \pi$ is larger while for H_z the right part $0 < \phi < \pi/2$ is larger. It is more clear in Fig. 8 where the ratio of electric field and magnetic field $|E_z/(H_z Z_0)|$ is plotted. The value will increase as ϕ varies from 0 to π for a constant ρ .

Once we figure out the value of E_z and H_z , it is easy to get the value of transverse electric field E_ρ , E_ϕ by applying (similarly for transverse magnetic field):

$$E_\rho = \frac{i}{k_0 \sin^2 \beta} \left(\cos \beta \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial Z_0 H_z}{\partial \phi} \right) \quad (18a)$$

$$E_\phi = \frac{i}{k_0 \sin^2 \beta} \left(\cos \beta \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial Z_0 H_z}{\partial \rho} \right) \quad (18b)$$

Alternatively, we can also solve them by the Sommerfeld-Maliuzhinets technique and the derivative in Eq. (18) turns into multiplication in the spec-

tral domain through $\partial/\partial \rho \rightarrow -ik_0 \sin \beta \cos \alpha$, $\partial/\partial \phi \rightarrow ik_0 \rho \sin \beta \sin \alpha$. Equation (18) can be rewritten as:

$$E_\rho = \frac{e^{ik_0 z \cos \beta}}{2\pi i \sin \beta} \cdot \int_\gamma [\cos \beta \cos \alpha, -\sin \alpha] \cdot \bar{F}_z(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi) e^{-ik_0 \rho \sin \beta \cos \alpha} d\alpha \quad (19a)$$

$$E_\phi = -\frac{e^{ik_0 z \cos \beta}}{2\pi i \sin \beta} \cdot \int_\gamma [\cos \beta \sin \alpha, \cos \alpha] \cdot \bar{F}_z(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi) e^{-ik_0 \rho \sin \beta \cos \alpha} d\alpha \quad (19b)$$

The spectral functions of E_ρ ($[\cos \beta \cos \alpha, -\sin \alpha] \cdot \bar{F}_z/\sin \beta$) and E_ϕ ($[-\cos \beta \sin \alpha, \cos \alpha] \cdot \bar{F}_z/\sin \beta$) tend to infinity when $|\Im(\alpha)| \rightarrow \infty$, which indicates E_ρ and E_ϕ will tend to infinity at $\rho \rightarrow 0$ in real space. However, the volume integrals of $|E_\rho|^2$ and $|E_\phi|^2$ are still finite for finite volume around $\rho = 0$ since the energy should be finite for any practical physical system. As shown in Fig. 9, the transverse electric field decays when ρ increases, which also matches the simulation results in Ref. [9].

C. Characteristic impedance and phase velocity of the waveguide

The phase velocity of the structure shown in Fig. 1b can be calculated by

$$v_p = \frac{\omega}{k_z} = \frac{c}{\cos \beta} \quad (20)$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum and $\cos \beta$ is the eigenvalue mentioned above.

Also, we can follow the definition given in Ref. [21] and define the characteristic impedance as

$$Z_c = \frac{2P}{I^2} \quad (21)$$

where

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \Re \left(\iint (E_t \times H_t^*)_z dx dy \right) \quad (22)$$

and

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} J_z dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} -H_x dx \quad (23)$$

The integrand in Eq. (22) is the z component of the Poynting vector and the integral domain is the cross section above the impedance surface. J_z in Eq. (23) is the current density on the surface, which has the same value as $-H_x$ on the impedance surface.

As shown in Fig. 10, since we assume the complementary impedance surfaces are lossless, both Z_1 and Z_2 are pure imaginary with opposite sign. With the increase of $\Im(Z_1)$ and $\Im(Z_2)$, the phase velocity will increase monotonically, which means the energy will be less confined near $\rho = 0$ according to the definition in Eq. (20). Besides, the phase velocities have an upper bound since they cannot exceed the speed of light, which is also shown in Fig. 10a. Similarly, we can achieve larger characteristic impedance for smaller $\Im(Z_1)$ and $\Im(Z_2)$ as shown in Fig. 10b.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

An analytic solution of the eigenmode of a wedge structure with two complementary surface impedances has been carried out by using the generalized reflection method which is developed from the Sommerfeld-

Maliuzhinets technique. Compared with the driven mode which can also be solved by generalized reflection method, both spectral function $\bar{F}_z(\alpha)$ and integration path γ have to be modified in order to make the method effective. The analytic theory not only proves the existence of an edge mode, but also provides the theoretical support for understanding the relation between edge modes and diffraction from a wedge structure. The results are useful because we have also calculated the waveguide properties such as characteristic impedance and phase velocity for the structure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by AFOSR grant FA9550-16-1-0093, and also in part by the China Scholarship Council.

-
- [1] B. A. Bernevig, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, *Science* **314**, 1757 (2006).
 - [2] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *Physical review letters* **95**, 146802 (2005).
 - [3] A. B. Khanikaev, S. H. Mousavi, W.-K. Tse, M. Kargarian, A. H. MacDonald, and G. Shvets, *Nature materials* **12**, 233 (2013).
 - [4] T. Ma, A. B. Khanikaev, S. H. Mousavi, and G. Shvets, *Physical review letters* **114**, 127401 (2015).
 - [5] L. Lu, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, *Nature Photonics* **8**, 821 (2014).
 - [6] S. Raghu and F. D. M. Haldane, *Physical Review A* **78**, 033834 (2008).
 - [7] C. He, X. Ni, H. Ge, X.-C. Sun, Y.-B. Chen, M.-H. Lu, X.-P. Liu, and Y.-F. Chen, *Nature Physics* **12**, 1124 (2016).
 - [8] R. Süssstrunk and S. D. Huber, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, E4767 (2016).
 - [9] J. B. Diaaaldin and D. F. Sievenpiper, *Physical review letters* **119**, 106802 (2017).
 - [10] J. B. Diaaaldin and D. F. Sievenpiper, *Nanophotonics* **7**, 893 (2018).
 - [11] D. R. Mason, S. G. Menabde, S. Yu, and N. Park, *Scientific reports* **4**, 4536 (2014).
 - [12] V. Vaccaro, *AEU-ARCHIV FUR ELEKTRONIK UND UBERTRAGUNGSTECHNIK-INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIONS* **34**, 493 (1980).
 - [13] V. G. Vaccaro, *Optica Acta: International Journal of Optics* **28**, 293 (1981).
 - [14] G. D. Maliuzhinets, *Soviet Physics Doklady* **3**, 752 (1958).
 - [15] J. Bernard, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **31**, 595 (1998).
 - [16] A. Vallecchi, *Electromagnetics* **33**, 73 (2013).
 - [17] M. A. Lyalinov and N. Y. Zhu, *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **61**, 329 (2013).
 - [18] R. G. Rojas, *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation* **36**, 956 (1988).
 - [19] J. Meixner, *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **20**, 442 (1972).
 - [20] A. V. Osipov and S. A. Tretyakov, *Modern Electromagnetic Scattering Theory with Applications* (John Wiley & Sons, 2017).
 - [21] W. Marynowski, P. Kowalczyk, and J. Mazur, *Progress In Electromagnetics Research* **110**, 219 (2010).