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SUMMARY
An analytical mode? is developed for proprotor aircraft dynamics. The rotor model includes coupled flap-lag bending modes, and blade torsion degrees of freedom. The rotor aerodynamic model is generally valid for high and low inflow, and for axial and nonaxial flight. For the rotor support, a cantilever wing is considered; incorporation of a more general support with this rotor model will be a straight-forward matter.

INTRODUCTION
This report presents the development of an analytical model for tilting proprotor aircraft dynamics. The emphasis in this model is on the rotor. The rotor support for the present is limited to a cantilever wing, but the incorporation of a more general support model with this rotner model will be a straight-forward matter.

The rotor motion is represented by: coupled fiap and las bending modes: rigid pitch (control system flexibility) and blade elastic torsion deflection: simbal tilt and rotor speed perturibetion degrees of freedom (optional). The six components of shaft linear and angular motion are included, and rotor blade pitoh control. The rotor aerodynamic model is

[^0]generally valid for high and low inflow, and for axial and nonaxial flight. The effects of compressibility and static stall may be included, but reverse flow and unsteady wake aerodynanic interference effects are neglected. Three components of aerodynamic gust are included as external excitation. The rotor model includes gimbal undersling, torque offset, precone, droop, sweep, and feathering axjs offset (for the case with blare bending flexibility inboard of the pitch bearing). Center of gravity, aerodynanic center, and tension center offsets are included; but the elastic axis is assumed to be a straight line, and only offset from the pitch axis by the droop and sweep rotations. For the equations of motion in the nonrotating frame it is assuned the rotor has three or more blaries. The equations of motion are derived for the rotor degrees of freedom, along with the forces and moments acting on the hub.

This rotor model may be coupled with any support model. The present derivation is restricted to a cantilever wing support (fig. 1). The winf motion is represented by three degrees of freedom: wing vertical bending, wing chordwise bending, and wing torsion. Wing aerodynamic forces are included, and a wing trailing odge flap in the controls.

The differential equations of motion for the proprotor and support system are presented in matrix form, for three cases: axial flow, which is a constant coefficient system; nonaxial flow, which is properly a periodic coefficient systom; and a constant coofficient approximation for the nonaxial flow equations, using the mean of the coefficients in the nomrotating frame. The axial flow case is applicable to the proprotor aircraft in airplane mode cruise and in helicopter mode hover flight. The nonaxial flow case is applicable to helicopter mode forward flight, and to conversion mode flight of the propiotor aircraft.

Solutions and results for proprotor dymanics from these equations are not mesented in this report, but are left to a ister work.

The body of this report is composed of the following sections:

Bending/Torsion of Highly Tkisted Beam<br>Equations of Motion for a Rotating Blade<br>Aerorlynamics<br>: Kotor Trim<br>Blade Bencing and Torsion Modes<br>Support Equations of Notion: Cantilever Hing Equations of Motion

## BENDING/TORSION OF HIGHLY TNISTED BEAM

This section presents an engineering bean theory model for the coupled flap/lag bending and torsion of a rotor blade, with large pitch and twist. A high aspect ratio (cf the structural elements) is assumed so the beam model is applicable. The object is to reiate the bending moments at the section, and the torsion moment, to the blade deflection and elastic torsion at that section. The analysis follows the work of references 1-3.

The basic assumptions are i) an elastic axis exists, and the undeformed elastic axis is a straight line, and ii) the blade has a high aspect ratio (of the structural elements), so engineering beam theory applies. Figure 2 shows the geometry of the undeformed blade. The span vaiable is $r$, measured from the center of rotation along the straight elastic axis. The section coordinates are $x$ and $z$, the principle axes of the section, with origin at the elastic axis. So by definition

$$
\int_{\sec +1 x^{2}} x=d A=0
$$

Really the integral is over the tension carring elements, i.e. modulus weighted, $\quad \int x z E d A=0 ;$ so $x$ and $z$ are modulus principle axes. This remari holds for all the section integrals in this section. The tension center (modulus weighted centroid) is $x_{C}$ aft of the elastic axis, and on the $x$ axis, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int x \triangle A=x_{c} A \\
& \int z \triangle A=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, these are modulus weighted integrals. If E is uniform over the section, then $x_{C}$ is the area centroid: and if the section mass distribution is the same as the $E$ distribution, then $x_{C}$ equals the section center of gravity location.

The angle of the major principle axis (th $x$ axis) with respect to the hub plane is $\theta$. The existence of the elastic axis means that elastic twist about the RA occurs without bendingi we may, and shall, include the elastic torsion deflection in $B$. The blade feathering axis (FA) is at
$r_{\text {FA }}$; the blade pitch is described by root pitch $\theta^{\circ}$ (rigid pitch about the FA, including that due to elastic distortion of the control system), built in twist $\theta_{\text {to }}$, and elastic torsion about the EA $\theta_{e}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=\theta^{0}+\theta_{+w}+\theta_{e} \\
& \theta^{0}(\psi)= \text { root pitch; command collective and } \\
& \text { cyclic and control system flexibility; } \\
& \text { rigid pitch about the FA; equals } \theta \text { at } \\
& r_{F A} \\
& \theta_{+\omega}(r)= \text { built in twist, } \theta_{w}\left(r_{F A}^{+}\right)=0 \\
& \theta_{e}(r, \Psi)= \text { elastic torsion, } \partial_{e}\left(r_{F A}, \psi\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

There is stress in the blade due to $\theta_{e}$ only. It is assumed that $\theta_{e}$ is small, but $\theta^{\circ}$ and $\partial_{\text {tu }}$ are allowed to be large.

The unit vectors in the hub plane (HP) axis system (rotating) are $\vec{L}_{e}, \vec{\jmath}_{e}, \vec{k}_{Q}$. The unit vectors for the principle axes of the section $(x, r, z)$ are $\vec{Z}, \vec{J}, \vec{K}$; these are for no bending, but including the elastic torsion in the pitch angle $\theta$. So the principle unit vectors are rotated by $\Theta$ from the HP:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{Z}=\vec{i}_{B} \cos \theta-\vec{k}_{B} \sin \theta \\
& \vec{J}=J_{B} \\
& \vec{k}=\vec{i}_{B} \sin \theta+\vec{k}_{B} \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

## Description of the bending

Now the engineering beam theory assumption is introduced s iii) plane sections perpendicular to the $\mathbb{E A}$ remain so after the bending of the blade. Figure 3 shows the geometry of the deformed section. The deformation of the blade is described by

1) deflection of the FRi $x_{0}, r_{0}, z_{0}$
ii) rotation of the section: $\phi_{x}, \phi_{e}$
iii) twist about the $E A$, implicit in $Z, \vec{k}$

The quantities $x_{0}, x_{0}, \Sigma_{0}, \psi_{x}, \phi_{z}, \theta_{c}$ are assumed to be small.

The unit vectors of the unbent cross section are $\vec{\imath}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$. The unit vectors in the deformed cross section are $\vec{\tau}_{x s}, \mathcal{J}_{x s}, \vec{k}_{x s}: \vec{\tau}_{x s}, \vec{k}_{x s}$ are the priciple axes of the section, and Jus is tangent to the deformed EA. It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{Z}_{x s}=\vec{Z}+\phi_{z} \vec{J} \\
& \mathcal{J}_{x s}=\vec{J}-\phi_{z} \vec{\imath}+\phi_{x} \vec{k} \\
& \vec{R}_{x s}=\vec{k}-\phi_{x} \vec{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by definition $\vec{j}_{3}=\theta \vec{r} / Q_{s}$ where $\vec{r}=x_{0} \vec{\imath}+\left(r+r_{0}\right) \vec{\jmath}+z \cdot \vec{k}$ and $s=$ arc length along the deformed EA. Hence to first order

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{j}_{k s} & =\vec{\jmath}+\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v} \\
& =\jmath+\left(x_{0}^{\prime}+z_{0} \theta^{\prime}\right) \vec{\imath}+\left(z_{0}^{v}-x_{0} \theta^{v}\right) \vec{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows the rotation of the section is

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\phi_{z} & =x_{0}^{\prime}+z_{0} \theta^{\theta} \\
\phi_{x} & =z_{0}^{!}-x_{0} \theta^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\phi_{x} \vec{\imath}+\phi_{z} \vec{k}=\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{Q}
$$

The undeflected position of the blade element is

$$
\vec{r}=r \vec{\jmath}+x \vec{\imath}+z \vec{k}
$$

and the deflected position

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{r} & =\left(r+r_{0}\right) \vec{j}+x_{0} \vec{z}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x \vec{z}_{x s}+z \vec{k}_{x s} \\
& =r \vec{j}+x_{0} z+r_{0} \vec{j}+z_{0} \vec{k}+\left(x \phi_{z}-z \phi_{x}\right) \vec{j}+x \vec{\imath}+z \vec{k} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall neglect $r_{0}$ for now. The strain analysis is simplified then since to first order s $=$ ri $r_{0}$ guat gives uniform strain over the section, so it may be simply added back later.

## Analysis of strain

The metric of the undeforned blate -- no bending, and no torsion so $\ddot{\theta}=e_{t,}^{\sigma}$-. is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{r} & =x \vec{r}+r \vec{\jmath}+z \vec{k} \\
\frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial r} & =-x \theta_{+w}^{r} \vec{\imath}+\vec{\jmath}+z \theta_{+w}^{r} \vec{k} \\
\text { gre } & =\frac{\partial^{\vec{r}}}{\partial r} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial r}=1+0_{+\infty}^{2}\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The metric of the deformed blade, with bending and torsion, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{R}=\left(x+x_{0}\right) \vec{t}+\left(r+x_{z}-z \phi_{x}\right) \vec{J}+(z+z \partial \vec{k} \\
& \frac{\partial \vec{R}}{\partial r}=\left(x_{0}^{\prime}+\theta^{\prime}\left(z+z_{0}\right)\right) \vec{r}+\left(1+x \phi_{i}^{\prime}-z \phi_{x}^{\prime}\right) \vec{J}+\left(z_{0}^{v}-\partial^{\prime}\left(x+x_{0}\right)\right) \vec{k} \\
& G_{r r}= \frac{\partial \vec{R}}{\partial r} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{R}}{\partial r}=\left(1+x \phi_{z}^{\prime}-z \phi_{x}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{0}^{v}+\theta^{v}\left(z+z_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \\
&+\left(z_{0}^{\prime}-\partial^{v}\left(x+x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the axial component of the stain tensor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{r r}=\frac{1}{2} \text { (er - gro) } \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1+x \phi z_{z}^{\prime}-z \phi_{x}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1+\left(x_{0}^{0}+\theta^{\prime}\left(z+z_{0}\right)\right)^{2}-\theta_{t 0}^{\prime 2} z^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(z_{0}^{x}-\theta^{2}\left(x+x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}-a_{t i v}^{\theta^{2}} x^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The linear strain, for small $x_{0}, z_{0}, \theta_{c}, \phi_{y}, \phi_{z}$, is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\gamma_{r r} \cong \epsilon_{r r}=x \phi_{z}^{2}-z \phi_{x}^{\prime}+\Theta_{r i}^{r^{2}}\left(x x_{0}+z z_{0}\right) \\
+\theta_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(z x_{0}^{\prime}-x z_{0}^{\prime}+\theta_{c}^{0}\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

The strain due to the blade tension, $\in_{T}$, is a constant such that the ternion is given by

$$
T=\int_{\text {section }} E \in r \mathcal{Q} A=E_{T} \int E D A
$$

Substituting for $\in \operatorname{cr}$ and using $\quad \int y d A=0, \quad \int x d A=x_{C} A$, and $\int\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right) \Delta A=I p=k p^{2} A$
where $k_{F}$ is the (modulus in tithed) radius of gradation about the 5A, obtain for $\in T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{T}=\frac{T}{E A}=\phi_{z}^{\prime} x_{c}+\theta_{t \sim}^{\prime 2} x_{0} x_{c} & -\theta_{i \omega}^{\prime} z_{0}^{\prime} x_{c} \\
& +\theta^{\prime} \theta_{e}^{\prime} k_{p}^{2}+r_{0}^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this expression, the strain due to the blade extension $r_{o}$ has been added. It follows the strain may be written, with $\mathbb{C T}^{T}=T / E A:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E r r=E r+\left(x-x_{c}\right)\left(\phi_{z}^{*}-\theta_{+\omega}^{*} \phi_{x}\right)-z\left(\phi_{x}^{*}+\theta_{r \omega}^{*} \phi_{z}\right) \\
+\theta_{+\omega}^{*} \theta_{e}^{\circ}\left(x^{2}+z^{2}-k_{p}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Section moments

To find the moments on the section, the second engineering beam theory assumption is introduced: iv) all stresses except Fro are negligible. The axial stress is given by $\boldsymbol{T}_{r}=$ E Err. The direction of Tee is $\hat{e}=\frac{\partial \vec{R}}{\partial r} /\left|\frac{\partial \vec{R}}{\partial r}\right|$

The moment on the deformed cross section (figure 4) is

$$
\vec{M}=M_{x} Z_{x s}+M_{r} \cdot \vec{J}_{x s}+M_{z} \vec{k}_{x s}
$$

To find $\vec{M}$, integrate the moment about the $\mathbb{M}$ due to the elemental force proA on the cross sections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \vec{M}=\left(x \vec{\tau}_{8 s}+\overrightarrow{k_{k s}}\right) \times \overrightarrow{\text { Fr }} \partial A
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating over the blade section, there follows the total moments due to beading and elastic torsions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{x}=\cdots \int_{\text {section }}^{i \sigma r} R A \\
& M_{z}=\int_{\text {action }} \times \sigma_{r r} \delta A \\
& M_{r}=G J \theta_{e}^{\vec{e}}+\int_{\text {Sachon }}\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right) \theta_{+\omega}^{r} \sigma_{r r} \delta A
\end{aligned}
$$

To $M_{r}$ has been added the torsion moment $G J \Theta_{\mathcal{C}}$, due to shear stresses produced by elastic torsion. There moments are about the E. For bending it is more convenient to work with moments about the tension center $x_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{x}=-\int z \sigma_{r r} \otimes A \\
& M_{z}=\int\left(x-x_{c}\right) \sigma_{r r} \otimes A
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting for $\sigma_{\sigma r}$ and integrating, the moments are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{x}= & E I_{e z}\left(\phi_{x}^{\prime}+\partial^{\prime \prime} \phi_{z}\right)-\theta^{\prime} \theta_{e}^{\prime} E I_{z p} \\
M_{z}= & E I_{x x}\left(\phi_{z}^{v}-\theta^{\prime} \phi_{x}\right)+\theta^{\prime} \partial_{e}^{\prime} E I_{x p} \\
M_{r}= & \left(G J+k_{p}^{2} T+\theta^{\prime 2} E I_{p p}\right) \theta_{e}^{*}+\theta_{t w}^{*} k_{p}^{2} T \\
& +\theta^{\prime}\left[E I_{x p}\left(\phi_{z}^{\prime}-\theta^{\prime} \phi_{x}\right)-E I_{z p}\left(\phi_{x}^{\bullet}+\theta^{\prime} \phi_{z}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{z z}=\int z^{2} \partial A \\
& I_{x}=\int\left(x-x_{c}\right)^{2} \Delta A \\
& I_{p}=k_{p}^{2} A=\int\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right) \theta A \\
& I_{x p}=\int\left(x-x_{6}\right)\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right) \Delta A \\
& I_{z p}=\int\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right) \Delta A \\
& I_{p p}=\int\left(x^{2}+z^{2}-k_{p}^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta A
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrals are all over the tension carrying elements of course, i.e. modulus weighted. The tension $T$ acts at the tension center $X_{C}$; here the bending moments about the $E A$ are given rom those about $x_{C}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{Z}\right)_{E A} & =M_{Z}+x_{c} T \\
\left.M_{X}\right)_{E A} & =M_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bending/torsion coupling is due to $E I_{X P}$ and $E I_{Z P}$; for a symmetrical section $E I_{Z Y}=0$.

## Vector formulation

Define the bending moment vector

$$
\overrightarrow{M e}_{E}(z)=M_{n} \vec{\tau}+M_{z} \vec{K}
$$

and the flap/lag deflection

$$
\vec{\omega}=\left(\operatorname{sot}-x_{0} \vec{R}\right)
$$

( $\vec{M}_{E}^{(z)}$ is not quite the moment on the section, because $M_{x}$ and $M_{z}$ are really the $\vec{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\vec{k}_{\mathrm{ks}}$ components of the moment). The derivatives of $\vec{w}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\phi_{n} t+\phi_{z} t \\
& \left.\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{a}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\phi_{x}^{\prime}+\partial^{0} \phi_{z}\right) \tau+\left(\phi_{v}^{v}-0^{\prime} \phi_{x}\right) \vec{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the result for the bending and torsion moments may be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{M}_{E}^{(z)}=\left(E I_{z} z \vec{\tau}+E I_{x \times} \vec{R} \vec{R}\right) \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{Z}-x_{0} \vec{Z}\right)^{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.M_{r}=\left[\sigma_{0}\right]+k_{p}^{2} \tau+\theta_{n+1}^{-2} E_{p p}\right] \Theta_{i}^{0}+\theta_{+\infty}^{\nu} k_{p}^{2} T \\
& +\theta_{i}^{\circ}\left(E I_{x p} \vec{k}-E_{z+} \vec{\tau}\right) \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the result sought in this section. coupling as $\overrightarrow{E I}_{p}=E E_{x p} \vec{Z}-E E_{P} \vec{Z}$, this result becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{M g}_{G}^{(2)}=E I \vec{w}^{*}+\theta_{n+}^{*} \theta_{e}^{\prime} \\
& M_{r}=\left[\sigma_{T}+k^{2} T+\theta_{T}^{2} E=p p\right] \theta_{e}^{\bullet}+k^{2} T \theta_{N}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

This form is an obvious extension of the engineering beam theory result for uncoupled bending and torsion ( $\Theta_{t}^{\nabla}=0$ case). The vector formulation of the result is a major simplification. The vector form allows an easy transformation from one axis system to another. In fact, the vector form is independent of the axis system used (the base of the vectors), which 18 the source of the simplification. Working with the vector form simplifies the analysis to follow; the base of the vectors (for example, either the
 w111 be considered only when come to evaluate the coefficients of the equations of motion, never in the derivation.

This is a linearized result. So the 2,2 appearing in EI and in $\vec{W}$ are based on the trim pitch anele $\theta=\theta^{\circ}+\theta_{n}$. The perturbation of $\vec{i} \rightarrow$ due to $B_{2}$ gives second order moments, which have already been neglected in the derivation. The net torsion modulus is
where $T=\Omega^{2} \int_{r}^{\prime} \xi^{n}-$ centrifuge tension in the blade. For the elastic torsion stiffness characteristic of rotor blades, the GJ term usually dominates. The $i_{p}^{2} T$ ten is only important for very soft (torsionally) blades, mar the root. The of Exp ten is only important for very soft. high twist blades.

This section derives the $\therefore$, Lions $r^{*}$ in to for a helicopter rotor blade, the blade orion considered inc comped flap/lafs mending (including the rigid modes if the blade is articulated). rigid pitch, elastic torsion, gimbal pitch and roll, and rotation speed perturbation. The analysis includes the effects of precone, droop, and sweep; feathering axis offset; and risque offset and giribal undersing. The effects of shaft motion, and the hub forces and moments are included, so this analysis may be combined with the equations of motion for a body or support to give the complete aeroelastic model for the system. Numerous approximations are made in the course of the analysis, in order to obtain a tractable set of equations.

## Rotor Configuration

Consider an $N$-bladed rotor, rotating at speed $\Omega 2$ (figure 5). The meh rotor blade is at

$$
W_{m}=\psi+m \Delta \psi, \quad m=1 \cdots N
$$

Where $\Delta W=2 \pi / N$ and $W=\Omega \tau$ is a nondimensional time variable. The $S$ system ( $\left.\vec{Z}_{s}, \overrightarrow{J_{s}}, \vec{Z}_{s}\right)$ is a norrotating, hub plane coordinate
 coordinate frame rotating with the w th blade. The acceleration, angular velocity, and angular acceleration of the hub, and the forces and moments exerted by the rotor on the hub are defined in the nomrotating HP frame -the $S$ system. The rotor blade equations of motion are derived in the rotating frame -- the $B$ system. Figure $G(a)$ shows the definition of the rotor shaft motion, linear and angular displacement in an inertial frame. Figure $6(b)$ shows the definition of forces and moments on the hub, in the normotating frame.

## Blade root geometry

Figure ' shows the blade root geometry considered (undistorted). The origin of the B system is the location of the gimbal i if there is no gimbal, this is just the point where the shaft motion and hub forces are
defined. Tne gimbal is at the center of the $B$ and $S$ frames. The hub of the rotor is $z_{F A}$ below the gimbal (gimbal undersling). The torque offset $x_{F A}$ is positive in the $\vec{L}_{B}$ direction. The azimuth $\psi_{m}$ is measured to the feathering axis line (its projection in the HP), so the FA is parallel to tre $\mathcal{J}_{B}$ axis, and offset $x_{F A}$ from the center of rotation. The precone angle $\delta_{F A}$, gives the orientation of the FA with respect to the l.ut plane; $\delta \Gamma x_{1}$ is positive upward, and is assumed to be a small angle. The FA is offset from the center of rotation by $r_{F A}$; the FA is located at $r=r_{F A}$ along the blade. The rizid pitch rotation of the blade about the feathering axis occurs at $r_{F A}$. The droop angle $\delta_{\mathrm{FA}_{2}}$ and sweep angle $\delta \mathrm{SA}_{3}$ occur at $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{FA}}$, just outboard of the feather bearing; $\delta \mathrm{FA}_{2}$ and $\delta \mathrm{SA}_{3}$ give the orientation oi the $E A$ of the blade with respect to the FA. Note that these angles are measured in the HP frame; $\delta \mathrm{FA}_{2}$ is positive downward, and $\delta \mathrm{FA}_{3}$ is positive aft. Both $\mathrm{Sen}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Sen}_{3}$ are assumed to be small angles.

From the ginbal to the blade root is the hub, underslung jy $z_{\text {EA }}$ and torque offset by $X_{F A}$. From the root to the $T A$ is a shank, of length $r_{F A}$, which undistorted is, straight line an an angle $\delta F_{1}$, to the hub plane (small precone). The blade outboard of the FA at $r_{F A}$, undistorted, is a straight elastic axis, with small droop and sireep ( $\delta \delta_{A_{2}}$ and $\delta \delta_{A_{3}}$ ) with: respect to the FA direction.

From the gimbal to the root is a rigid hub. The shank (inboard of the FA at $r_{F A}$ ) and the blade (outboard of $r_{F A}$ ) are flexible in bencing. The shank is assumed to be rigid in torsion, i.e. the effect of torsion of the hub inboard of the feathering axis is neglected. The lade outboard of the FA is flexible in torsion as well as bending. There is rigid pitch rotation of the blade about the FA, which takes place at $r_{F A}$, about the local cirection of the $F A$ at $r_{F A}$, including the bending of the shank. Incluision of the bending flexibility of the blade inboard of the feathering axis means the the general rotor configuration is considered: the articulated rotor with the FA inboard or outboard of the hinges, or the cantilever blade with or without flexibility inboard of the FA. The special case of a rigic. shank can be considered as well of course.

## Geometry of the blade

Figure ${ }^{8}$ shows the undeformed geometry of the blade. It is ascuner that i) an elastic axis exists, and the undeformed EA is a straight line; and ii) the blade has a high aspect ratio, en engineering beam theory and lifting line theory are applicable. The following notation is used:

| IA | feathering axis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EA | elastic axis |  |
| $C G$ | $x_{I}$ | locus of section center of gravity |
| AC | $x_{A}$ | locus of section aerodynamic center |
| TC | $x_{C}$ | locus of section tension center |

The distances $X_{I}, x_{A}$, and $x_{C}$ are positive aft, measured from the En; they are in general a function of $r$. The corresponding $z$ displacements are neglected, i.e. taken as zero.

The $\vec{Z}_{0,} \vec{J}_{0}, \vec{k}_{0}$ system is the EA/ Principle axis system of the section. The subscript "o" is for the undeformed frame, ie. no elastic torsion in $\theta$, or gimbal or rotor speed degrees of freedom. The subscript will be dropper when it is obvious what is meant. The direction of the undefcrmed EA is $\vec{J}_{0} ; \vec{Z}_{0}{\underset{1}{1}}_{\vec{Z}_{0}}$ are the directions of the local principle axes oi the section, undeformed (no bending or torsion).

The spar variable is $r$, measured from the center of rotation to the tip. This variable is dimensionless, $r=0$ at the root to $r=1$ at the tip. The section coordinates $x$ and $z$ are mass principle axes, with origin at the $E A$. It is assumed that the direction of the mass principle axes is the same as the modulus principle axes (used in engineering beam theory for the structural moments). The $C C$ is at $z=0, x=x_{I}$. Usually $x_{I}$ and $x_{C}$ should be close. By definition then

and

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\int x^{2}+z^{2} 0 m=I_{\theta} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { section polar moment } \\
\text { of inertia, about EA }
\end{array} \\
-14- &
\end{array}
$$

The blade pitch angle is $\theta$; here undistorted, denoted by the subscript "m". The anil $\Theta$ is measured from the $H P$ to the section principlefaxis. It is then the angle of rotation of $\tau_{0} \in \vec{E}_{0}$ from the HP axes. The undeformed pitch angle is the collective plus the brit in twist

$$
\theta=\theta_{m}=\theta_{c+1}+\theta_{+w}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{\text {coll }} & =\text { collective pitch } \\
\theta_{\text {th }}(-) & =\text { twist }
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\Theta_{\text {ell }}$ as the pitch at $r_{F A}$, so $\theta_{+0}\left(r_{r_{A}^{+}}\right)=0$. The root pitch is then $\theta^{\circ}=\theta_{\text {call }}$. Inboard of $r_{F A}$, do not have the $\theta_{\text {coll }}$ rotation of the blade, but there can be pitch of the local prinicple axes with respect to the HP, which is included in $\theta_{\text {ow }}$ for $r<r_{F A}$. Note $\theta_{\pi}\left(r_{F A}^{-}\right)$is not necessarily zero, hence there is a jump in $\Theta$ at $r_{F A}$ of magnitude

$$
\theta\left(r_{F A}^{+}\right)-\theta\left(r_{F A}^{-}\right)=\theta_{\infty}-\theta_{\infty}\left(r_{F A}^{-}\right)
$$

The trim pitch angle is then

$$
\theta=\theta_{m}= \begin{cases}\theta_{c \infty l}+\theta_{m}(r) & r>r_{F A} \\ \theta^{e}=\theta_{\text {cI }} & r=r_{F A} \\ \theta_{m w}(r) & r<r_{F A}\end{cases}
$$

It is assumed that $\theta_{m}$ is steady, constant in time, so independent of $\mathcal{Y}$. Cyclic variations in $\theta$, as may be required to trim the rotor, are included in the perturbation to the pitch angle. We shall allow the trim pitch angle to be large, hence $\theta_{\text {coll }}$ and $\Theta_{\text {on }}$ may be larg's angles.

The physical sweep and droop angles are defined with respect to the blade outboard of the FA, i.e. rotated by $\Theta^{\circ}$ about the FA. Let SpAr $_{2}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{3}^{+} A_{3}$ be defined with respect to the principle axes at the root (at the $F A, I=r_{F A}$ ); these angles will be equivalent to SPA 2 and $\mathrm{SFA}_{3}$ when there is zero root pitch. Hence the droop and sweep angles are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta F A_{2}=\delta F A_{2}^{*} \cos \theta^{\circ}+\delta_{F A_{3}}^{*} \sin \theta^{\circ} \\
& \delta F A_{3}=-\delta_{F A_{2}}^{*} \sin \theta^{\circ}+\delta E F A_{3} \cos \theta^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

The angles $\delta \delta_{A_{2}}^{*}$ and $\delta_{F A_{3}}^{*}$ are fixed Geometrical constants. It follows then that $\delta_{F A_{2}}$ and $\delta_{F A_{3}}$ vary with the root pitch $\Theta^{0}$. This must be accounted for when there are perturbations to $\theta$ due to the rigid pitch motion of the blade. In addition, the droop and sweep only affect the blade outboard of the FA , i.e. for $\mathrm{r}>\mathrm{r}_{F A}$. This may be accounted for by including with $\delta \lim _{\mathbf{Z}}$ and $\delta \mathrm{FA}_{3}$ the factor $U\left(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{FA}}\right)$, where

$$
u(r)= \begin{cases}1 & r>0 \\ 0 & r<0\end{cases}
$$

We shall follow the convention of assuming the factor " is present whenever writing $\delta E A_{2}$ or $\delta F A_{3}$.

From the $B$ system (meh blade, rotating $H P$ axes) to the o system (undistorted $E A / X S$ axes) there is

1) rotation $\delta_{f A_{1}}-\delta_{F A_{2}}$ about $\mathcal{Z}_{B}$ (small precone and droop)
2) rotation $\mathrm{SFA}_{3}$ about $\vec{k}_{8}$ (small sweep)
3) then rotation $\partial_{m}$ about Ja (large pitch angle)

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{t}_{0}=\cos \theta_{m} \tau_{B}-\sin \theta_{m} \vec{F}_{e}+J_{B}\left[\left(\delta_{F A_{1}}-\delta_{F A_{2}}\right) \sin \theta_{m}-\delta_{f A_{3}} \cos \theta_{m}\right] \\
& \vec{k}_{\theta}=\sin \theta_{m} \vec{Z}_{B}+\cos \theta_{m} \vec{k}_{B}+J_{B}\left[-\left(\delta_{F A_{1}}-\delta_{F A_{2}}\right) \cos \theta_{m}-\delta_{F A_{3}} \sin \theta_{m}\right] \\
& \vec{J}_{0}=J_{E A}=J_{B}+\delta_{F A_{3}} \tau_{B}+\left(\delta_{F A_{1}}-\delta_{F A_{2}}\right) \vec{k}_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{\text {Fin }}$ and $\delta_{f_{1}}$ are based on $\Theta_{m}^{0}=\Theta_{L} l l$, and are absent for $r<r_{F A}$. We shall drop the subscripts " 0 " and $" m$ ", denoting the trim and undistorted geometry, when it is obvious what is meant.

## Motion

The rotor blade motion (degrees of freedom of the rotor) is described by:

1) gimbal motion (optional): pitch and roll of the rotor disk.
2) rotor speed perturbation.
3) Then elastic torsion about the EA, and rigid pitch about the FA.
4) Followed by bending deflection of the FA, including rigid flap and lag motion if the blade is articulated.

## Gimbal moticn/rotor speed perturbation

Figure $Q(a)$ shows the gimbal motion and rotor speer perturbation in the nonrotating frame. The gimbal degrees of freedom are fac and $\beta_{6 s}:$ rotation of the rotor disk, in the nomrotating frame ( $S$ system), with the same convention as $\beta_{i c}$ and $\beta_{1 s}$ tip path plane tilt. The rotor rotational speed perturbation is $\dot{\psi}_{s} \quad$. The degree of freedom $\psi_{s}$ is a rotation about the shaft axis $\vec{k}_{s}$; so the azimuth angle of the m th blade is really $H_{m}+W_{s}$

Figure 9 (b) shows the gimbal motion in the rotating frame. The legrees of freedom are fo and $\Theta_{c}$, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}=\beta_{\operatorname{coc}} \cos W_{m}+\beta_{\cos } \sin W_{n} \\
& \theta_{0}=-\beta_{\cos } \sin W_{m}+\beta_{0} \cos \psi_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The main effects are due to $\beta$, the flapwise rotation about the $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ axis; Ea. the rotation about Je only introduces a translation of the hub du to $s_{F A}$ and $x_{p A}$. The blade pitch $\Theta$ is defined with respect to the hub plane, 80 only the blade inboard of the FA sees the pitch rotation due to $\theta_{C}$, and that effect $w 111$ be neglected.

## Bide motion

Figure 3 shows the geometry of the deformed blade. The blade deformation is described by s

1) twist about the AA: $\theta$
?) deflectici: of the EA: $x_{0}, z_{0}$
2) rotation of the section: $\phi_{x}, \phi_{z}$

The pitch angle $\theta$, including perturbations, is implicit in the $\vec{\imath}, \vec{J}, \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}}$ system: $\vec{L} \nmid \vec{k}$ are the principle axes of the blade with no bending, but now with the blade elastic torsion and rigid pitch motion in $\theta$. The XS system $\left(\vec{\tau}_{x s}, \vec{J}_{x s}, \vec{F}_{x s}\right.$ ) are the principle axes and EA of the deformed blade, including torsion and bending. The tangent to the deformer EA is $\mathcal{J}_{x s}$; the rotation of the cross section from $2 \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}$ is given by $\phi x$ and $\phi z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{x} \vec{\tau}+\varphi_{z} \vec{k} & =\left(z_{0}^{\bullet}-x_{0} \partial^{v}\right) \vec{\tau}-\left(x_{0}^{v}+z_{0} \theta^{*}\right) \vec{k} \\
& =\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

The blade position, relative the root, is then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{r}=\left(r+r_{0}\right) \vec{\jmath}+x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x \vec{r}_{x s}+\vec{z} \vec{k}_{x s} \\
& =\left(r+r_{0}+x \phi_{z}-z \phi_{x}\right) \vec{J}+\left(x_{0} \imath+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)+x \vec{\imath}+z \vec{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will neglect the perturbation of the radial position, $r_{0}+x \phi_{z}-z \phi_{x} \lll$.

## Blade pitch

The angle $P$ is the angle of the major principle axis of the section (the $x$ axis, chordwise), measured from the hub plane. The blade pitch is composed of:

1) $\theta^{\circ}(\psi)=$ root pitch, the pitch of the blade at the $F A$ at $r=r_{F A}^{+}$due to commanded collective and control. control system flexibility, and mechanical feedback.
2) $\Theta_{n_{0}}(r)=b u i l t-i n$ twist; $e_{\text {w }}\left(r_{\text {fin }}^{+}\right)=0$.
3) $\theta_{e}(r, \mu)=$ elastic torsion about the $E A$; zero at the $F A$, $\Theta_{e}\left(T_{m}, \psi\right)=0 ;$ only $\Theta_{e}$ produces torsion shear stress in the blade.

For the shank, $r<r_{F A}$, elastic torsion is neglected, and it does not see the root pitch $\theta^{*}$. Then $\psi_{\omega^{\prime}}(\sigma)$ is used for the pitch of the principle axes with respect to the hub plane in the shank. There is no perturbation to $\Theta$ inboard of the FA, the pitch and torsion degrees of freedom are only for outboard of the FA. Since probably $\theta_{\text {+w }}\left(\sigma_{F A}^{-}\right)$is not zero, there is a jump in $\theta$ at the FA. So the blade pitch is

$$
\theta= \begin{cases}\theta^{0}+\theta_{+w}+\theta_{e} & r>r_{F A} \\ \theta^{0} & r=r_{F A} \\ \theta_{+w} & r<r_{F A}\end{cases}
$$

The commanded root pitch angle is

$$
\theta^{c}=\theta_{c-1}+\theta_{\text {an }}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Ocoll }= & \text { collective pitch angle; the trim value, which. } \\
& \text { may be large but is assumed to be steady in time. } \\
\text { Ocm }= & \text { control input; time dependent, but assumed to be } \\
& \text { a small angle; includes cyclic to trim the rotor; } \\
& \text { and for dynamics analyses this is the control } \\
& \text { variable. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The blade root pitch commanded by the control system is $\theta^{c} ; \Theta^{\circ}$ is the actual blade root pitch. The difference $\left\langle\Theta^{\circ}-\Theta^{c}\right.$ ) is the rigid pitch motion due to control system flexibility or mechanical coupling in the control system (1.0. $\delta_{3}$ effects). Hence we may write the blade pitch as:

$$
\theta= \begin{cases}\left(\theta_{\infty-1}+\theta_{r \infty}\right)+\left(\theta^{0}-\theta^{e}\right)+\theta_{c o m}+\theta_{e} & r>r_{F A} \\ \theta^{0}=\theta_{c \infty 1}+\left(\theta^{0}-\theta^{e}\right)+\theta_{c o w} & r=r_{F A}^{+} \\ \theta_{+\infty} & r<r_{F A}\end{cases}
$$

Now the pitch $\theta$ may be separated into trim and perturbation contributions:

$$
\theta= \begin{cases}\theta_{m}+\theta^{\theta} & r>r_{P A} \\ \theta_{m}^{\bullet}+\hat{\theta}^{\circ} & r=r_{R A}^{+} \\ \theta_{m} & r<r_{P A}\end{cases}
$$

where the trim terms are (as above)

$$
\xi_{N}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{\operatorname{col}}+\theta_{+\infty} \\
\theta_{\operatorname{col}} \\
\theta_{+\infty}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the perturbations

$$
\tilde{\theta}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\theta^{0}-\theta^{c}\right)+\theta_{c n}+\theta_{c} \\
\tilde{\theta}^{0}=\left(\theta^{0}-\theta^{c}\right)+\theta_{\text {on }} \\
0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The trim value of the pitch is $\Theta_{m}$, composed of $\theta_{c a l l}$ and $\Theta_{\text {to }}$; it is a large, steady angle. The perturbation of the pitch angle is $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, composed of the blade motion $\left(\theta^{\circ}-\theta^{2}\right)$, $\theta_{\text {cm }}$. and $\theta_{e}$; all are small angles, so $\boldsymbol{\theta} \quad$ is small. For the rigid pitch degree of freedom we shall use $p_{0}$, defined as

$$
p_{0}=\tilde{\theta}^{0}=\left(\theta^{0}-\theta^{e}\right)+\theta_{\text {con }}
$$

and for the elastic pitch Oe an expansion as a series in the normal modes (described in the sections to follow). Note that $p_{0}$ is the total rigid pitch perturbation, including the control $\qquad$

## Coordinate Frames and Axes

S System: nonrotating, hub plane frame
rotation $\boldsymbol{N}_{m}-90$ about $\vec{k}_{s}$
B system: rotating, meh blade, hub plane
$\beta_{G}$ abl it te
Oc about J
us about $\vec{k}$
H system: hub frame

FA system: blade fA (EA for $r<r_{F A}$ )
$\delta_{f A}$, apo it $\tau_{\mu}$
$\begin{array}{ll}-\delta_{F A_{2}} & \text { about } \vec{Z}_{F A} \\ -\delta F A_{3} & \text { about tHEA }\end{array}$
EA system: EA outboard of FA

blade system: principle axes, including, torsion

| $\phi_{x}$ | about $\vec{\imath}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\phi_{z}$ | about |



XS system: principle axes, torsion and bending

B system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{Z}_{B}=\sin \psi_{m} \tau_{s}-\cos \psi_{m} J_{s} \\
& J_{s}=\cos \psi_{m} \tau_{s}+\sin \psi_{m} J_{s} \\
& \vec{K}_{s}=\vec{k}_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Blade system

From the B system to the blade system, there is first rotation
 rotation $\theta$ about JenA . Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{L}=\cos \theta \vec{\tau}_{B} & -\sin \theta \vec{k}_{B} \\
& +J B\left[\left(\beta_{G}+\delta F A_{1}-\delta_{F A_{2}}\right) \sin \theta+\left(\psi_{S}-\delta F A_{3}\right) \cos \theta\right] \\
\vec{k}=\sin \theta \vec{\tau}_{B} & +\cos \theta \vec{k}_{B} \\
& +J B\left[-\left(\beta_{G}+\delta_{F A_{1}}-\delta F A_{2}\right) \cos \theta+\left(\psi_{S}-\delta F A_{3}\right) \sin \theta\right] \\
\vec{J}=\overrightarrow{J E A}= & J_{B}-\left(\psi_{S}-\delta F A_{3}\right) \tau_{B}+\left(\beta_{G}+\delta F A_{1}-\delta F A_{2}\right) \vec{k}_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r<r_{F A}$, the $\delta_{F A_{2}}$ and $\delta_{F A_{3}}$ terms drop; in particular:

$$
J=J_{F A}=J_{B}-\psi_{S} \tau_{B}+\left(\beta_{G}+\delta F A_{1}\right) \vec{k}_{B}
$$

XS system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{z}_{x s}=\vec{\imath}+\phi_{:} \vec{J} \\
& \vec{J}_{x s}=\vec{J}-\phi_{z} \vec{\imath}+\phi_{x} \vec{k}=\vec{J}+\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v} \\
& \vec{k}_{x 5}=\vec{k}-\phi_{x} \vec{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

Undisturbed blare system
The undisturbed blade system is $\vec{\tau}, \vec{J}, \vec{k}$ without $\beta_{G}, \psi_{s}$, or the pitch perturbations in $\theta$ (and $\delta_{\mathrm{FA}_{2}} \notin \delta \delta_{3}$ based on $\theta_{m}^{\circ}=\Theta_{\text {all }}$ ); hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{\tau}_{0}=\cos \theta_{m} \tau_{A}-\sin \theta_{m} \vec{k}_{B}+J B\left[\left(\delta A_{1}-\delta P A_{2}\right) \operatorname{sen} \theta_{m}-\delta F A_{3} \cos \theta_{m}\right] \\
& \vec{k}_{0}=\sin \theta_{m} \tau_{B}+\cos \theta_{m} \vec{k}_{B}+J A\left[-\left(\delta F A_{1}-\delta F A_{2}\right) \cos \theta_{m}-\delta F A_{3} \operatorname{sm} \theta_{m}\right] \\
& J_{0}=J \theta+\delta P A_{3} \tau_{B}+\left(\delta P A_{1}-\delta P A_{2}\right) \vec{k}_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now since the blade motion $\tilde{\theta}$. ß., and $\psi_{s}$ is small, it is possible to expand the blade system in terms of the undisturbed frame:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{\tau}=\vec{\tau}_{0}-\hat{\theta} \vec{k}_{\theta}+\vec{\theta}\left[\left(\beta_{\theta}-\tilde{\theta}^{0} \delta \operatorname{sen}_{2}\right) \sin \theta+\left(\psi_{y}+\tilde{\theta}^{\theta} \delta f A_{2}\right) \cos \theta\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

There follows then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)= & \left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}_{0}+z_{0} \vec{k}_{0} \cdot\right. \\
& +\vec{\jmath}_{0}\left[\left(\psi_{s}+z_{0} \vec{\imath}_{0}-x_{0} \vec{k}_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\vec{L}_{0}-\left(\beta_{G}-\hat{\theta}_{0} s_{F A_{B}}\right) \vec{k}_{B}\right] \cdot\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}_{0}+z_{0} \vec{k}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is an exmision of the bending/torsion deflection of the blade
in terms of the undisturbed axis system.

Blade position, velocity, and acceleration

Position
The distance from the gimbal to a point on the blade section is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}=-z_{F A} \vec{k}_{M}-x_{F A} \vec{\tau}_{n}+r_{F A}\left(\vec{J} F A-\vec{J}_{F A}\right)+r \vec{J}+x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x \vec{r}_{x S}+\vec{z}_{k_{x s}} \\
& 0_{0}^{8} 5^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}=\tau_{A}\left(-x \neq A-z_{f A} \theta_{G}-r_{f A} \delta_{f A_{3}}\right) \\
& +J_{B}\left(Z_{P A}\left(3_{0}-x_{F A} \mu_{s}\right)\right. \\
& +\vec{k}_{B}\left(-z_{F A}+x_{F A} \theta_{B}+r_{P A} \delta_{F A_{2}}\right) \\
& +r \vec{J}+\left(x_{0} \vec{Z}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)+(x \overrightarrow{2}+\vec{k}) \\
& =Z_{A}\left(-x_{F A}-Z_{F A} \theta_{0}-r \omega_{S}+\left(r-r_{p A}\right) \delta_{F A_{2}}\right) \\
& +30\left(r+\text { moa }_{6} \beta_{G}-x_{B A} \mu_{s}\right) \\
& +\vec{R}_{B}\left(-\beta_{A}+x_{A A} \theta_{G}+r\left(\beta_{G}+\delta_{F A_{1}}\right)-\left(r-r_{P A}\right) \delta_{P A_{2}}\right) \\
& +\left(x_{0} \vec{z}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)+(x t+z \vec{k})
\end{aligned}
$$

Velocity
The velocity of a point on the blade, relative to the rotating, frame (the B system) is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{v}_{r}=\left(\frac{\theta}{Q \pi} \vec{r}\right)_{B}= & \tau_{B}\left(-z_{F A} \dot{\theta}_{C}-r \dot{\psi}_{S}\right) \\
& +J_{B}\left(z_{F A} \dot{\beta}_{G}-x F_{A} \dot{\psi}_{S}\right) \\
& +\vec{k}_{B}\left(x_{F A} \dot{\theta}_{G}+r \dot{\beta}_{G}\right) \\
& +\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \dot{\theta}^{0}\left(-\tau_{B} \delta A_{A}-\vec{k}_{B} \delta \delta_{F A}\right) \\
& +\left(\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{\tau}+\left(z_{Q}+z\right) \vec{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{\imath}+\left(\xi_{0}+z\right) \vec{k}\right)^{\cdot} \cong\left(x_{0} \imath_{0}\right. & \left.+z_{0} \vec{k}_{0}\right)^{0} \\
& +\dot{\theta}\left(\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{\tau}_{0}-\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{k}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Acceleration

The acceleration of a point on the blade, relative to the rotating frame, and neglecting the squares of velocities, is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{a}_{r}=\left(\frac{\theta}{a_{r}} \vec{\nabla}_{r}\right)_{B}=\tau_{B}\left(-z_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{G}-r \ddot{\mu}_{s}\right) \\
& +j_{0}\left(\text { Epa }_{a} \ddot{\beta}_{G}-x_{F A} \ddot{u}_{s}\right) \\
& +\vec{k}_{B}\left(x_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{B}+r \ddot{\beta}_{B}\right) \\
& +\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \ddot{\theta}^{0}\left(-\tau_{B} \delta_{F A_{2}}-\vec{k}_{A} \delta \delta_{A_{3}}\right) \\
& +\left(\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{\imath}+\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{k}\right)^{\bullet \bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{\tau}+\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{R}\right)^{\bullet \bullet} \cong\left(x_{0} t_{0}\right. & \left.+z_{0} \vec{k}_{0}\right)^{\bullet} \\
& +\ddot{\theta}\left(\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{z}-\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Acceleration of the blade

The acceleration of the blade is required with respect to an Inertia frame, i.e. In the $S$ system. The B system rotates at a constant angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}=\Omega \vec{k}$ with respect to the $S$ frame. The shaft motion is composed of lInear and angular velocity and acceleration of
the origin of the $S$ frame (the gin bal pint at the nub center of rotation). The acceleration, angular velocity, and angular acceleration of the :
system, with respect to the nonrotating, inertial frame, are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{a}_{0}=\bar{x}_{w} \vec{z}_{s}+\ddot{y}_{n} \vec{J}_{s}+\ddot{z}_{n} \vec{k}_{s} \\
& {\overrightarrow{\omega_{0}}}=\dot{\alpha}_{k} \vec{\tau}_{s}+\dot{\Delta}_{y} \vec{J}_{s}+\dot{o}_{z} \vec{k}_{s} \\
& \dot{\omega}_{\omega_{0}}=\dot{\partial}_{x} \tau_{s}+\ddot{\partial}_{y} \jmath_{s}+\ddot{\partial}_{z} \vec{k}_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is assumed that $\vec{a}_{0}, \vec{\omega}_{0}$, and $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}_{0}$ are all small quantities.

Given above is the motion of the blade in the $B$ frame, the acceleration and velocity of the blade $\vec{a}_{r}$ and $\vec{v}_{r}$. Now wo shall derive the acceleration of a blade point in inertial space $(\vec{a})$, in terms of the motion of the shaft, the rotation of the rotor, and the blade motion in the B frame. From the result for the acceleration in a rotating coordinate frame, there follows:

$$
\vec{a}=\vec{a}_{0}+\vec{a}_{r, s}+2 \vec{\omega}_{0} \times \vec{v}_{r, s}+\vec{\omega}_{0} \times\left(\vec{\omega}_{0} \times \vec{r}\right)+\dot{\omega}_{0} \times \vec{r}
$$

where $\vec{a}_{r, s}$ and $\vec{v}_{r, s}$ are the acceleration and velocity of a point in the $S$ frame. The $B$ system rotates at angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}=\Omega \vec{K} \quad$ with respect to the $S$ frame. Hence with $\Omega$ constant and no angular acceleration or acceleration of $B$ with respect to $S$, there follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{\Delta_{r, s}}=\overrightarrow{a_{r}}+2 \vec{\Omega} \times \overrightarrow{v r}+\vec{\Omega} \times(\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}) \\
& \overrightarrow{v r}_{r, s}=\overrightarrow{v_{r}}+\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{a}_{r}$ and $\vec{v}_{r}$ are the acceleration and velocity in the $B$ frame. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}=\vec{a}_{0} & +\vec{a}_{r}+2 \vec{J} \times \vec{v}_{r}+\vec{\Omega} \times(\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}) \\
& +2 \vec{\omega}_{0} \times \vec{v}_{r}+2 \vec{\omega}_{0} \times(\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r})+\vec{\omega}_{0} \times\left(\vec{\omega}_{0} \times \vec{r}\right)+\dot{\vec{v}}_{0} \times \vec{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Tc first order in the velocity and angular velocity, this becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{a} \cong \vec{a}_{0} & +\overrightarrow{a_{r}}+2 \vec{\pi} \times \overrightarrow{v_{r}}+\overrightarrow{5} \times(\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{F}) \\
& +2 \overrightarrow{u_{0}} \times(\vec{\pi} \times \vec{r})+\dot{\vec{r}}_{0} \times \vec{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

The six terms are respectively: the acceleration of the ur: gin; the relative acceleration in the rotating frame; the relative coriolis acceleration; the centrifugal acceleration; the coriolis acceleration due to the angular velocity of the origin; and the angular acceleration of the origin. In dyadic operator form, and with $\vec{\Omega}=\Omega \overrightarrow{k_{B}}$, this result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}=\vec{a}_{0} & +\vec{a}_{r}+2 \Omega\left(\vec{k}_{0}\right) \vec{v}_{r}-\Omega^{2}\left(\vec{\tau}_{a} \vec{u}_{B}+\vec{J}_{B}\right) \vec{r} \\
& +2 \Omega\left(\vec{L}_{B} \vec{r}-\overrightarrow{L_{B}}\right) \vec{w}_{0}-\left(\vec{r}_{x}\right) \dot{\vec{w}}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the forces and moments and equations of notion, the acceleration is multiplied by the density of the blade point (dndr) and integrated over the volume of the blade, to proriuce the total acceleration of the blade.

## Equations of Motion and Forces

The equations of motion for elastic bending, torsion, and rigid pitch of the blade are obtained from equilibrium of inertial, aerodynamic, am elastic moments on the portion of the blade outboard of r :

$$
-\vec{M}_{E}+\vec{M}_{A}=\vec{M}_{I}
$$

where
$M_{E}=8 t r u c t u r a l$ moment on deformed cross section, on the
inbound face: so- $M_{E}$ is the external force on the
outbound face.
$M_{A}=$ total aerodyminic force un blade surface outboard of $r$.
$M_{I}$ - total acceleration of the blade outboard of $r$.
$\mu_{\mathrm{E}}$ is a general clastic constraint from engineering bean the ry for bending and torsion: from control system flexibility for rigid pitch hub spring for gimbal motion i or it is the force or moment on the hub due to the rotor (s o-Ms is the force on the rotor). $M_{I}$ is the angular acceleration of the blade outboard of $r_{\text {}}$, about the point $\vec{r}_{0}(r)$ :

$$
\vec{M}_{2}=S_{r}^{1} \int_{\text {anton }}\left(\vec{F}(\xi)-\vec{F}_{0}(r)\right) \times \vec{i} \operatorname{don} \Delta \rho
$$

For bending, engineering beam theory gives

$$
\vec{M}_{E}^{(z)}=M_{x} \vec{\tau}+M_{z} \vec{k}=\left(\vec{\tau}_{x s}+\vec{k}_{k} \vec{k}_{x s}\right) \vec{M}_{E}
$$

So this operator is applied to $\vec{M}_{I}$ and $\vec{M}_{A}$ also. For bending the moments about the ten cion center $x_{C}$ are required. Then the desired PDE for bending is obtained from $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} \vec{M}^{(2)}$.

For elastic torsion, engineering beam theory gives $M_{\xi}=\mathcal{J}_{s} \cdot \vec{M}_{E}$. So this same operator is applied to $\vec{M}_{I}$ and $\vec{M}_{A}$. For torsion require moments about the section EA $(x=0)$ at $r$; also, elastic torsion involves only $r>r_{F A}$. The desired PDE for torsion is then obtained from $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} M_{r}$.

The equation of motion for rigid pitch degree of freedom $p_{o}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$ is obtained from equilibrium of moments about the FA:

$$
M_{F A}=J_{x S}\left(r_{F A}^{-}\right) \cdot \vec{M}\left(r_{F A}\right)
$$

where $\vec{M}$ is the moment about the $F A(x=0)$ at $r=r_{F A}$. The elastic restraint from the control system flexibility gives the restoring moment about the FA, completing the desired equation of mot.. ?.

The equations of motion for the gimbal degrees of freedom $\beta_{G c}$ and $\beta_{\text {os }}$ are obtained from equilibrium of moments about the gimbal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{x}=\tau_{s} \cdot \vec{M} \\
& M_{y}=J_{s} \cdot \vec{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{M}$ is the total moments (from all $N$ blades) about the gimbal point, in the nonrotating frame.

The equation of motion for the speed perturbation degree of freedom $\psi_{s}$ is obtained from equilibrium of torque moments $N=-M_{\mathcal{E}}=\overrightarrow{k_{s}} \cdot \vec{M}$ where again $\vec{M}$ is the total moment about the gimbal point.

The total rotor force and moment on the hub (at the gimbal point) are obtained from a sum over the $N$ blades of $\vec{F}(m)$ and $\overrightarrow{M l}(m)$, the force and moment due to the moth blade:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}=\sum_{m=1}^{N} \vec{F}^{(m)} \\
& \vec{M}=\sum_{m=1}^{N} \vec{M}^{(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $-\vec{F}^{(m)}$ and $-\vec{N}^{(m)}$ are the forces on the $b^{?}$ add, there follows from force and moment equilibrium of the entire blade:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\vec{F}^{(m)}+\vec{F}_{A}=\vec{F}_{工} \\
& -\vec{m}^{(m)}+\vec{M}_{A}=\vec{M}_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

The hub force and moment are required in the nonrotating hub plane frame (tree S system); the components are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}=H \tau_{s}+Y \jmath_{s}+T \vec{k}_{s} \\
& \vec{M}=M_{s} \tau_{s}+M_{y} J_{s}-Q \vec{k}_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $\vec{M}$ produces the gimbal and rotor speed perturbation motion, if those degrees of freedom are used, but it is also transmitted throught the gimbal to the helicopter body or support.

## Aerodynamics

The aerodynamic forces and moments on the blade are obtained
from the integral over the span of the aerodynamic forces and pitch moments on the blade section. The aerodynamic forces and moment on the section are:
$F_{x} \quad$ in hub plane, positive in drag direction, ( $\tau_{a}$ direction), at the EA
$F_{z}$ normal to the hub plane, positive up ( $\vec{k}_{B}$ direction), at the EA
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}$ radial, positive outward (Fe direction), at the EA
$M_{2}$ moment about the $\mathbb{M}$, positive nose up
The forces on the section are $F_{X}, F_{z}$, and $F_{Y}$; these are the component of the aerodynamic lift and drag forces in the hub plane axis system (the $B$ frame). $F_{r}$ is here just the radial drag force; the radial components
of $F_{x}$ and $F_{z}$ due to tilt of the blade when it is bent are included explicitly in the results below.

The aerodynamic force on the section, at the deformed EA, including the effect of the rotation of the section due to bending, is thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}_{\text {ane }}=F_{x} \vec{\tau}_{B}+F_{z} \vec{k}_{Q} \cdots \vec{J}_{B} \vec{J}_{x 5} \cdot\left(F_{x} \vec{L}_{E}+F_{z}{\overrightarrow{k_{B}}}\right) \\
& + \text { Fr Jus }^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cong F_{x} \tau_{a}+ & F_{2} \vec{k}_{B} \\
& +\overrightarrow{J B}_{B}\left(F_{r}-F_{z}\left(\beta_{B}+\delta \delta_{A_{1}}-\delta_{F_{2}}+\vec{k}_{B}\left(x_{0} \tau+\vec{z}_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\nabla}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the aerodynamic moments

$$
\vec{M}_{\text {are }}=M_{a} J \times s
$$

Equations of Motion and Hub Forces/Moments

Bending
The equation of motion comes from

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{z}} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{M}_{E}(z)+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} \vec{M}_{I}(z)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} \vec{M}_{A}^{(z)}
$$

where $\rightarrow$ is the moment about the tension center $\left(x=x_{\Omega}\right)$ at $r$, and

$$
\vec{M}^{(z)}=\left(\vec{L}_{x_{6}}+\vec{k} \vec{k}_{x s}\right) \vec{M}=\left\langle\tau^{2} \tau+\vec{k} \vec{k}-\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z \vec{v}_{0}\right)^{v} \vec{J}\right) \vec{M}
$$

Inertia: Conrinering first $r>r_{F A}$, the moment is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{M}_{I}=\int_{r}^{1} \int_{\text {serin }}\left(\left.\vec{r}\right|_{g x z}-\left.\vec{r}\right|_{r x_{c}}\right) \times \vec{a} \operatorname{dm} Q \rho \\
&=\int_{r}^{\prime} \int\left[(\xi-r) \vec{J}+\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{u}+\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{k}\right. \\
&\left.-\left.\left(\left(x_{0}+x_{c}\right) \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)\right|_{r}\right] \times \vec{a} \operatorname{den} Q \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial r}=-\left(\vec{J}+\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x_{c} \vec{l}\right)^{+}\right) \times \int_{r}^{1} \int_{a} \operatorname{dm} d \rho \\
& -\int\left(x_{\vec{i}}+z \vec{k}-x_{c} \vec{Z}\right) \times \vec{a} \operatorname{din} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{M}}{\partial r^{2}}=\vec{t}=\int \vec{a} \\
& -\left[\left(x_{0} \vec{\tau}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x_{c} \vec{\tau}\right)^{\sigma} \times \int_{r} \int_{\vec{a}} \operatorname{den} \dot{\theta}\right]^{\sigma} \\
& -\left[\int(x \vec{\tau}+\vec{z} \vec{k}-x \vec{\imath}) \times \vec{a} \text { dm }\right] \\
& \vec{J} \cdot \vec{M}=\int_{r}^{\prime} \int\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{\imath}-\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{k} \\
-\left.\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{c} \vec{k}\right)\right|_{r}
\end{array}\right] \cdot \vec{a} \operatorname{Qun} \text { Qp }
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} \vec{M}_{I}(1)=\left(\tau^{2}+\vec{k} \vec{k}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \vec{M}_{I}}{\partial r^{2}}-\left[\left(x_{0} \tau+z \cdot \vec{k}\right) \vec{J}^{*} \cdot \vec{M}_{I}\right]^{\sigma} \\
& =\vec{j} \times \int \vec{a} \sin \\
& +\left[\int\left(z \vec{\tau}-x \vec{k}+x_{c} \vec{k}\right) \vec{J} \cdot \vec{a} 2 n\right]^{v} \\
& \left.+\left[\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{R}-x_{c} \vec{k}\right)^{v} \int_{r}^{1} \int \vec{J} \cdot \vec{a} \operatorname{aim} \alpha\right\}\right]^{\nabla} \\
& -\left[\left(x_{0} \vec{\tau}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v} \int_{r}^{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
i z_{0}+\xi_{i} i \vec{\imath}-\left(x_{0}+\lambda, \vec{k}\right. \\
\left.-i z_{0} i-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{c} \vec{k}\right)\left.\right|_{r}
\end{array}\right] \cdot \vec{a} \lambda_{\mu_{0}} \lambda_{0}\right]^{\nabla v}
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall neglect the last term in this resale, $\left[\left(x_{0} \vec{z}+z_{0} \vec{k}:{ }^{n} J \cdot \vec{m}_{工}\right]^{\nabla+}\right.$, as order $(c / R)^{2}$ smaller than the first term. Including the case $r<r_{i 4}$, which only introduces an effect of droop and sweep, the result is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} \vec{M}_{I}^{(2)}=J \times \int \vec{a} d m \\
& +\left[\int\left(2 \vec{\imath}-x \vec{k}+x_{c} \vec{k}\right) \rightarrow \vec{a} \operatorname{an}\right]^{\nabla} \\
& t\left[\left(z_{0} \vec{z}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{c} \vec{k}\right)^{\nabla} \int_{r}^{1} \int \vec{J} \cdot \vec{a} \text { in }_{\sim}\right]^{\nabla} \\
& \left.\left.-\delta\left(r-r_{P A}\right): \delta f_{A} \vec{L}_{B}+\delta F_{A_{3}} \vec{k}_{A}\right) \int_{r_{F A}}^{1}\right) \vec{J} \cdot \vec{a} \delta \operatorname{man}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(r)$ is the delta function, i.e. an impulse at roo.
a) shaft motion: with $\vec{r} \approx \underset{J}{s}$ have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{a} & =\vec{a}_{0}+2 \Omega\left(\vec{k}_{B} \vec{r}-\vec{k} \vec{k}_{A}\right) \vec{\omega}_{0}-\vec{r}_{x} \dot{\omega}_{0} \\
& \equiv \vec{a}_{0}+2 \Omega r\left(\vec{k}_{B} \vec{J}_{B}-\vec{J}_{B}\right) \vec{k}_{0}-r \vec{\omega}_{B} \times \dot{\omega}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \vec{M}_{2}^{(2)}}{\partial r^{2}} \cong m J_{B} \times \vec{a}=m\left(\vec{\tau}_{B} \vec{k}_{B}-\vec{k}_{B} \tau_{B}\right) \vec{r}_{0} \\
& +2 \Omega \operatorname{ram}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{B}} J_{B}\right) \vec{\omega}_{0} \\
& +m r\left(\vec{L}_{s}{\overrightarrow{L_{e}}}^{+}+\vec{k}_{B} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \ddot{\vec{\omega}}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) relative acceleration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \vec{\mu}^{(2)}}{\partial r^{2}} & \cong \vec{\jmath} \times \int \vec{a}_{r} d m \\
& =m\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\vec{k}_{B}\left(-z_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{C} \cdots r \ddot{\psi}_{S}\right) \\
+\vec{\tau}_{B}\left(x_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{G}+r \ddot{\theta}_{G}\right) \\
+\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{0} \vec{k}\right) \\
\left.-\ddot{\theta}\left(x_{0}+x_{B}\right) \vec{\tau}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right) \\
-\ddot{\theta}^{0}\left(r-r_{F A}\right)\left(\delta_{B} \tau_{B}-\delta_{2} \vec{k}_{A}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

c) centrifugal acceleration:

$$
\vec{a}_{a}=-\Omega^{2}\left({\overrightarrow{L_{B}}}_{B}+\vec{u}_{B} \vec{J}_{B}\right) \vec{r}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\jmath} \times \vec{a}= & \Omega^{2} \overrightarrow{4}_{A}\left[-x F A-z_{F A} \theta_{G}-r_{P A} \delta_{3}+\vec{l}_{B} \cdot\left(\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{i}+\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{k}\right)\right] \\
& +\Omega^{2} \vec{\tau}_{B} r\left(\beta_{G}+\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right) \\
\overrightarrow{\jmath \cdot \vec{a}} \cong & \Omega^{2} r
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\left(\tilde{\theta}\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x_{c} \vec{\imath}\right)\right)^{\bullet} \int_{r}^{1} \rho m \operatorname{m}\right]^{*} \\
& +\left[\left(x_{c}-x_{x}\right) \tilde{\theta} \hat{i} r m\right]^{\bullet}-m \vec{k}_{\beta} \tilde{\theta} \vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \hat{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x_{x} \imath^{\imath}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
+\tilde{\partial}^{0} m\left[r \delta_{3} \vec{L}_{B}-r_{F A} \delta_{2} \vec{k}_{B}\right] \\
+\vec{K}_{E} m z_{F A} \theta_{G}-\tau_{B} m \beta_{G}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

d) coriolis acceleration: $\vec{a}=? \Omega \vec{k}_{\AA} \times \vec{v}_{r}$

So $\vec{j} \cdot \vec{a}=2 \Omega\left\{-r \dot{\psi}_{s}-\vec{k}_{\Delta} \cdot\left(t \cdot \vec{t}-x_{0} \vec{z}\right)^{\cdot}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{J} \times \vec{a}=2 \Omega & \left\{\vec{k}_{B} \vec{J}_{B} \cdot \overrightarrow{v r}_{r}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[-\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right) \vec{c}_{B}+\delta_{3} \vec{k}_{B}\right]\left[-r \dot{\psi}_{s}-\vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\cdot}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $J \cdot \vec{v}_{r}$, it is here necessary to include the effect of the change in thefadial position of the blade due to bending:

$$
\Delta \vec{r}=-\jmath_{B} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{r}\left[\begin{array}{rl}
\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}\right. & \left.+z_{0} \vec{k}+x_{2} \vec{\imath}\right)^{v}-\left(\psi_{s}-\delta_{3}\right) \tau_{B} \\
& +\left(\beta_{c}+\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right) \vec{k}_{k}^{2} d g
\end{array}\right]^{2}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{\jmath} \cdot \vec{v}_{r}=-\int_{0}^{r}\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\nabla^{\prime}} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)^{\cdot} \text { de } \\
& -\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-\mu_{0} \vec{R}\right)^{\cdot} \cdot\left(\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right) \vec{L}_{6}-\delta_{3} \vec{k}_{8}\right) \\
& -\dot{\beta}_{G}\left[-z_{F A}+\vec{l}_{B} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{z}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)+r \delta_{1}-\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \delta_{2}\right] \\
& -\dot{\psi}_{S}\left[x_{F A}+\vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-y_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)-\left(r_{-} r_{F A}\right) \delta_{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\vec{k}_{B} m \dot{\beta}_{\theta}\left[-z_{F A}+\vec{i}_{B} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{t}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{l}\right)+r_{1}-\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \delta_{2}\right] \\
& -\vec{k}_{8} m \dot{f}_{s}\left[x_{F A}+\vec{k}_{A} \cdot\left(z \cdot \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)+r_{f A} \delta_{3}\right] \\
& -\left[\left(x_{c}-x_{x}\right) \vec{k}_{m}\left(r \dot{\psi}_{s}+\vec{k}_{8} \cdot\left(z_{\bullet} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{z}\right)^{\cdot}\right)\right]^{\prime} \\
& -\left[\left(z \cdot \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{c} \vec{k}\right)^{\nabla} \int_{r}^{1}\left(\rho \dot{\psi}_{s}+\vec{k}_{e} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\cdot}\right) m 0 \rho\right]^{\nabla} \\
& +\delta\left(r-r_{F A}\right)\left(\delta_{2} \tau_{2}+\delta_{3} \vec{z}_{A}\right) \int_{r_{F A}}^{1}\left(g^{\psi_{s}}+\vec{k}_{R} \cdot\left(z_{0} t-x_{0} \vec{t}\right)^{0}\right) \mathrm{mog} \\
& +m\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right) J \times\left[\left(z a t-x_{0} \vec{Z}\right)^{\circ}+r \psi_{s}^{*} \vec{k}_{t}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

elastic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\dot{\partial}^{i} \vec{M}_{E}^{(2)}}{\partial r^{2}}=\left[\left(E I_{z z} \tau \tau+E I_{n k} \vec{Z} \vec{Z}\right)\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{z}\right)^{\nabla \nabla}\right]^{\nabla \nabla} \\
& +\left[\left(E I_{x p} \vec{k}-E I_{z p} \vec{\imath}\right) \theta_{+\infty}^{*} \theta_{e}^{\dot{i}}\right]^{* *}
\end{aligned}
$$

aerodynamic: The moment about the tension center ( $x=x_{C}$ ) at $r$ die to the blade loading at the EA at $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{M}_{A} & =\int_{r}^{\prime}\left(\left.\vec{r}\right|_{g a 0}-\left.\vec{r}\right|_{r x_{c} 0}\right) \times \vec{F}_{a \mu \infty} d g \\
& \cong \int_{r}^{\prime}(\rho-r)\left(f_{z} \vec{t}_{B}-f_{x} \vec{k}_{B}\right) d g
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \vec{M}_{A}^{(2)}}{\partial r^{2}}=\vec{J} \times \vec{F}_{a ع N}=F_{z} \vec{l}_{B}-F_{x} \vec{k}_{R}
$$

Elastic torsion
The equation of motion is obtained from

$$
-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} M_{r_{E}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} M_{r_{x}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} M_{r A}
$$

where $\vec{A}$ is the moment about the $E A$ ar $r$, and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mu_{r}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} J x_{s} \cdot \vec{M}=\vec{J} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial r}+\left[\left(x_{0} t+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\varphi} \cdot \vec{M}\right]
$$

inertia:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{M}_{ \pm}=S_{r}^{\prime} S_{\text {section }}\left(\left.\vec{r}\right|_{g x:}-\left.\vec{r}\right|_{\text {roo }}\right) \times \vec{a} \text { dm dg } \\
& =S_{r}^{\prime} \int\left[\begin{array}{c}
(\xi-r) \vec{j}+\left(x_{0}+x\right) t+\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{k} \\
-\left(x_{0} t+z \cdot \vec{z}\right) i_{r}
\end{array}\right] \times \vec{a} \operatorname{dmg}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left.\frac{\partial \vec{\mu}}{\frac{\mu}{r}}=-\left(\vec{J}+\left(x_{0} \vec{\tau}+z_{0} \vec{i}\right)^{\nabla}\right) \times S_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \operatorname{din} 0 \rho$

$$
-\int(x \vec{\imath}+z \vec{R}) \times \vec{a} \text { dun }
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial M_{r}}{\partial r}=\int(x \vec{k}-z \vec{\imath}) \cdot \vec{a} a_{m}-\left(z \cdot \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{z}\right)^{v r} \cdot \int_{r}^{1}(\rho-r) \int \vec{a} d m d g \\
& -\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{\cdot} \vec{k}\right) \times \int(x \vec{k}-z \vec{r}) \vec{\jmath} \cdot \vec{a} \text { om } \\
& -\left(x_{0} \vec{\tau}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v v} \cdot \int_{r}^{1} \int\left[\begin{array}{c}
(z+t z) \vec{t}-\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{k} \\
-\left(z-t-x_{0} \vec{t}\right)
\end{array}\right] \vec{r} \cdot \vec{a} \operatorname{dmag}
\end{aligned}
$$

The CDE for the $k$ th torsion more of the moth blade is obtained by operating with $S_{r_{\text {FA }}}^{1} \xi_{k}(\cdots)$ or $\quad$; where $\xi_{k}$ is the elastic torsion node shape. It is most convenient to apply this operator at this point:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{r_{F A}}^{1}\right\}_{k} \frac{\partial m r_{x}}{\partial r} d r= \\
& \int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \int\left\{\xi_{k}(x \vec{k}-z \vec{\imath})\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{\sigma_{F A}}^{r} \xi_{k}\left(z_{0} t-x_{0} \vec{t}\right)^{* v}(r-\rho) d \rho\right\} \cdot \vec{a} d m d r \\
& -\int_{r_{k a}}^{1} \xi_{k}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x_{0} \vec{z}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v} \cdot \int(x \vec{k}-z \vec{z}) \vec{\jmath} \cdot \vec{a} \text { am } \\
+\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v v} \cdot \int_{r}^{1} \int\left[\begin{array}{r}
\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{\imath}-\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{k} \\
-\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{z}\right) \mid r
\end{array}\right] \vec{\jmath} \cdot \vec{a} Q_{m} Q_{l}
\end{array}\right\} \text { ar }
\end{aligned}
$$

and we shall use the notations

$$
\left.\vec{x}_{k}=\xi_{k} x_{I} \vec{k}-\int_{r_{F A}}^{r}\right\}_{k}\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{* v}(r-\rho) d \rho
$$

a) shaft motions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{r_{F A}}^{\prime} \xi_{k} \frac{\partial m r}{\partial r} \theta r & =\left(\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{k} m d r\right)\left(\vec{z}_{B} z_{B}+\vec{k}_{B} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \vec{a}_{0} \\
& +2 \Omega\left(\int_{r_{B A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{k} r m \partial r\right) \cdot \vec{k}_{B} \vec{J}_{B} \cdot \vec{\omega}_{\theta} \\
& +\left(\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{K} r m \partial r\right)\left(\vec{k}_{B} \vec{\imath}_{B}-\vec{L}_{B} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \dot{\vec{\omega}}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) relative accelerations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \xi_{k} \frac{\partial \mu_{r}}{\partial r} \partial r=\left(\int_{r_{A A}}^{1} \vec{X}_{k} m Q_{r}\right) \cdot\left(-z_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{G} z_{B}+x_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{G} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \\
& +\left(\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{k} r m \ln \right) \cdot\left(-\ddot{\psi}_{S} \vec{\tau}_{B}+\ddot{\beta}_{G} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \\
& +\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{X}_{k} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0}+k\right)^{\cdots} \text { mon } \\
& +\int_{r_{\text {RA }}}^{1}\left[\vec{x}_{k} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)+\xi_{k} x_{x}^{2}\right] \ddot{\theta} \text { mem } \\
& -\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \vec{X}_{k} \cdot\left(\delta_{2} \vec{i}_{A}+\delta_{3} \vec{K}_{B}\right)\left(r_{\ldots} r_{A A}\right) \ddot{\theta}^{0} m \text { Qr } \\
& -\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \xi_{K} \ddot{\theta} \mathcal{I}_{\theta} \text { Qr }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad I_{0}=\int x^{2}+z^{2}$ Qm $=$ section pitch moment of inertia, about EA.
c) centrifugal acceleration: neglecting, a number of terms due to blade torsion and pitch (of the same order as the propeller moment), compared to the structural stiffening; there follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{r_{i n}}^{1} \xi_{k} \tilde{\theta} x_{\partial}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right) d r \\
& -\int_{r_{P A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{k} \cdot \vec{k}_{k} \overrightarrow{k_{k}} \cdot\left(x_{0} \vec{t}+z_{0} \vec{k}+x_{x} \vec{\imath}\right) m Q r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[+\int_{r_{r A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{k} \cdot\left[\vec{z}_{B}\left(-x_{p_{m}}-r_{m} \delta_{3}\right)-\vec{k}_{A} r\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)\right] m d r\right.} \\
& \text {-36- }
\end{aligned}
$$

elastic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=5 z^{2} \int_{r}^{1} \rho^{m} d g \quad, \\
& \frac{\partial M P_{E}}{\partial r}=\left[\left(G J+k_{p}^{2} \Omega^{2} \int_{r}^{1} \rho m \theta \rho+\theta_{i w}^{\nabla^{2}} E I_{p p}\right) \theta_{e}^{\bullet}\right]^{\nabla} \\
& +\left(0^{+} k_{p}^{2} \Omega^{2} \int_{r}^{1} \rho m d \varphi\right)^{0} \\
& +\left[\theta_{\pi \omega}\left(E I_{x p} \vec{k}-E I_{z p} \vec{\imath}\right) \cdot\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\nabla}\right]^{\nabla}
\end{aligned}
$$

aerodynamic: the moment about the EA at $r$ is

$$
\vec{M}_{A}=\int_{r}^{1} M_{a} \vec{J}_{x s} Q g+\int_{r}^{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
(\xi-r) \vec{J}+\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z \cdot \vec{k}\right) \\
-\left.\left(x_{0} \imath+z_{0} \vec{Z}\right)\right|_{r}
\end{array}\right] \times \vec{F}_{a r o} d q
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \vec{M}_{A}}{\partial r}=-M_{a} \mathcal{J}_{x s}-\mathcal{J} \times s \times \int_{r}^{1} \vec{F}_{a}{ }_{2} d \\
& {\frac{\partial M_{r}}{\partial r}}_{\partial r}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \vec{J}_{x S} \cdot \vec{M}_{A}=\vec{J}_{x s} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{M}_{A}}{\partial r}+\left(x_{0} \vec{\tau}^{2}+z_{0} \vec{R}^{v_{N}} \cdot \vec{M}_{A}\right. \\
& =-M_{a}-\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{0} \vec{z}\right)^{* v} \cdot \int_{r}^{1}(\rho-r)\left(F_{x} \vec{Z}_{B}+F_{z} \vec{F}_{B}\right) \ell_{\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus
where $\left.\vec{X}_{A_{k}}=\vec{X}_{k}-\right\}_{k} x_{2} \vec{k}$

Rigid pitch
The equation of motion comes from $M_{F A_{E}}+{ }^{M} F_{F A}=M_{F A_{A}}$, where

$$
M_{P A}=J_{V_{s}}\left(r_{F A}^{-}\right) \cdot \vec{M}=\left(\mathcal{J}_{A A}+\left.\left(x_{0} z^{2}+z_{0} \hat{k}\right)\right|_{r_{P A}}\right) \cdot \vec{M}
$$

and $\vec{M}$ is the moment about the $F A$, at $r=r_{F A}$.
inertia:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{M}_{\Sigma} & =\int_{r P A}^{\prime} \int\left(\vec{r} l_{C x z}-\left.\vec{r}\right|_{r_{B A}} \ldots\right) \times \vec{a} \operatorname{dmQr} \\
& =\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \int\left[\begin{array}{r}
\left(r_{-} r_{B A}\right) \vec{J}+\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{\imath}+\left(z_{\theta}+z\right) \vec{k} \\
-\left.\left(x_{0} t+z_{\theta} \vec{k}\right)\right|_{r_{G A}}
\end{array}\right] \times \vec{a} \operatorname{dmQr}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MFA }=\int_{r_{F_{A}}}^{1} \int\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left(z_{0}+z\right) \vec{t}-\left(x_{0}+x\right) \vec{k}-i \delta_{2} \overrightarrow{c_{\theta}}+\delta_{3} \overrightarrow{z_{8}}\right)\left(r-r_{A A}\right) \\
-\left.\left(z_{0} \vec{t}-x_{0} \vec{z}\right)\right|_{r_{B A}}-\left.\left(z_{0} \vec{t}-x_{0} \vec{t}\right)\right|_{r_{P A}}\left(r-r_{A A}\right)
\end{array}\right] \cdot \vec{a} \partial_{m} \alpha_{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we shall use time notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{x}_{0}=-\left(z_{0} \vec{i}-x_{0} \vec{i}-x_{2} \vec{i}\right) & +\left(\delta_{2} \vec{t}_{2}+\delta_{3} \vec{k}_{4}\right)\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \\
& +\left.\left(z_{0} \vec{i}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)\right|_{r_{0}}+\left.\left(z-\vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)\right|_{r_{0 A}}\left(r-r_{F A}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

a) shaft motions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { iPA }=-\left(\int_{r_{B A}}^{1} \overrightarrow{x_{0}} \text { mar }\right)\left(r_{0} r_{A}+\vec{k}_{B} \vec{F}_{B}\right) \vec{a}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

b）relative acceleration：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{F A}=-\left(\int_{r_{P A}}^{1} \vec{X}_{0 m a r}\right) \cdot\left(-z_{P A} \ddot{\theta}_{G} \vec{L}_{A}+x_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{G} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \\
& -\left(\int_{r_{p A}}^{1} \vec{X}_{0 r m \Delta r}\right) \cdot\left(-\ddot{\psi}_{B} \vec{L}_{B}+\ddot{\beta}_{=} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \\
& -\int_{r_{p n}}^{\prime} \vec{x}_{0} \cdot\left(x_{0} \hat{\tau}+z \cdot \vec{z}\right)^{\cdot \bullet} m o r \\
& \text { - } \int_{r i r}^{1}\left[\vec{x}_{0} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{I} \vec{k}\right)+x_{x}^{2}\right] \ddot{\theta} \mathrm{m} ⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 一 \sim \\
& +\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{0} \cdot\left(\delta_{2} \vec{\tau}_{B}+\delta_{3} \vec{k}_{B}\right)\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \ddot{\theta}^{0} m \text { or } \\
& +\int_{r_{p A}}^{1} \dot{\theta} I_{0} Q r
\end{aligned}
$$

c）centrifugal acceleration：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{F A}=-\Omega^{2}\left[\left(\int_{r_{F A}}^{\prime} \vec{X}_{0} m Q_{r}\right) \cdot \vec{L}_{B} z_{F A} \theta_{G}\right. \\
& +\left(\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \vec{X}_{0} r m Q r\right) \cdot \vec{k}_{B} \beta_{G} \\
& -\int_{r p a}^{1} \tilde{\theta} I \cdot\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right) d r \\
& -\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \vec{X}_{0} \cdot\left[\tau_{B}\left(-X_{F A}-r_{F A} \delta_{3}\right)-\vec{R}_{B} r\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)\right] m \text { er } \\
& +\int_{r \operatorname{px}}^{1} \vec{x}_{0} \cdot \vec{k}_{8} \vec{z}_{\theta} \cdot\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{z}+x_{x} \vec{\imath}\right)_{m} a_{r} \\
& +\left.\left.(x, z+z-z)\right|_{r_{P A}} \cdot\left(z, z-x_{0} \vec{z}\right)\right|_{r_{\text {PA }}} \int_{p_{p A}}^{1} \text { rear }
\end{aligned}
$$

aerodynamics: moment about the $F A$ at $r_{F A}$ is

So

$$
\text { MFA }=\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} M_{a} \theta r-\int_{r_{F A}}^{1}\left(F_{x} \vec{\varepsilon}_{B}+F_{z} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \cdot \vec{X}_{A_{0}} \text { or }
$$

where

$$
\vec{x}_{A_{0}}=\vec{x}_{0}-x_{2} \vec{k}
$$

elastic:
The aerodynamic and intertial moments about the FA are reacted by moments due to the deformation of the control system, due to commanded pitch uncle, and due to feedback (mechanical or kinematic) from the blade bending or gimbal motion. The restoring moment about the feathering axis on the blade is $-M_{c o n}$; it is given by the control system. flexibility, i.e. the elastic deformation in the control system $\theta_{e c}$ tines the control system stiffness $K_{\text {con }}$. Hence:

$$
M_{c \infty}=K_{c o n} \Theta_{c e}=K_{c o n}\left(\Phi^{\bullet}-\Theta_{c o n}+\sum_{i} k_{P_{1}} q_{i}+K_{P_{G}} \beta_{G}\right)
$$

The $q_{1}$ are the bending degrees of freedom, so $K_{P_{1}}$ are the pitch/flap and pitch/lag coupling, mechanical or kinematic feedback due to the control system and blade root geometry. Similarly, $K_{P_{C}}$ is the pitch/flap coupling for the gimbal motion. For the rigid flap motion of the blade, this coupling is given by the $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ angle, such that $K_{p}=\tan \delta_{3}$. For a rigid control system, $X_{c o n} \rightarrow \infty$, the rigid pitch equatic:t of motion reduces to

$$
p_{0}=\beta^{\circ} \rightarrow \theta_{\text {em }}-\sum_{i} k_{i} \eta_{i} \rightarrow \mu_{G} \beta_{0}
$$

So $p_{0}$ becomes just the control input, and pitch/bending coupling.

Now we write the control system stiffness $K_{c o n}$ in terms of the nonrotating natural frequency of the rigid pitch: motion of the blade, $\omega_{0}$ :

$$
k_{\operatorname{con}}=\left(\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \operatorname{Lo}_{0} \text { ar) } w_{0}^{2}\right.
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{F A_{E}}=M_{c o n}=\left(S_{r_{p A}}^{1} I_{e} Q r\right) \omega_{0}^{2}\left[p_{6}\right. & -\theta c o m \\
& +\sum K_{p:} g_{i} \\
& \left.+k_{e} \beta_{G}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Force
The net force of the moth blade on the hub is

$$
\vec{F}(m)=\vec{F}_{A}-\vec{F}_{I}
$$

where $\vec{F}$ is the force due to the blade, at the hub.

Inertia:

$$
\vec{F}_{x}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\text {section }} \vec{a} \operatorname{don} 2
$$

a) shaft motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{F}=\left(S_{0}^{\prime} m Q_{r}\right) & \vec{v}_{0}+2 \Omega\left(\int_{0}^{1} r m Q r\right)\left(\vec{k}_{B} J_{B}-\vec{J}_{B}\right) \vec{k}_{0} \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{1} r m \Omega r\right)\left(\vec{k}_{B} \vec{L}_{B}-\vec{L}_{B} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \dot{\vec{w}}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) relative acceleration:

$$
\vec{F}=\left(S_{0}^{\prime} r_{m} \varepsilon r\right)\left(-\vec{\iota}_{B} \ddot{\psi}_{s}+\vec{k}_{B} \ddot{\beta}_{c}\right)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\prime \prime} m \text { ar o }
$$

c) coriolis acceleration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{F} & =2 \Omega \int_{0}^{1} \int \vec{k}_{B} \times \overrightarrow{v r}_{r} \Delta m Q r \\
& =2 \Omega J_{B}\left[-\left(\int_{0}^{1} r m d r\right) \dot{\psi}_{s}+\int_{0}^{1} \vec{\tau}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} t+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)_{m Q r}^{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

d) centrifugal acceleration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{F} & =-\Omega^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int\left(\tau_{B} \tau_{B}+J_{B} J_{B}\right) \vec{r} Q_{m} \partial r \\
& =-\Omega^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tau_{B}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(-x_{F A}+\left(r-r_{A A}\right) \delta_{3}\right) m \Delta r\right] \\
\\
+J_{B}\left(\int_{0}^{1} r_{m} \alpha_{r}\right)-\tau_{B}\left(S_{0}^{1} r_{m} \alpha r\right) \psi_{S} \\
\\
+\tau_{B} \quad \int_{0}^{1} \tau_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{\tau}^{2}+x_{I} \tau\right) m Q r
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

aerodynamics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{F}_{A}= & \int_{0}^{1} \vec{F}_{a}{ }_{0} \theta r \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[F_{x} \vec{\tau}_{B}+F_{z} \vec{k}_{B}\right. \\
& +J_{B}\left(F_{r}-F_{z}\left(\beta_{G}+\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{z}^{0}\right)\right)\right] d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Moment
The net moment of the m th blade on the hub, about the gimbal point,
is:

$$
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{M}^{(m)}=\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{M}_{A}-\vec{M}_{I}
$$

inertia: $\quad \vec{m}_{x}=\int_{0}^{1} \int \vec{r} \times \vec{a}$ amor
a) shaft motions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{M}=\left(S_{0}^{1} r_{m} a_{0}\right)\left(\tau_{B} \vec{k}_{B}-\vec{k}_{B} \vec{L}_{E}\right) \vec{a}_{0} \\
& +2 \Omega\left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{2} m \Omega r\right) \tau_{\&} J_{B} \cdot \vec{\omega}_{0} \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{2} m{ }^{2} r\right)\left(i_{B} \lambda_{B}+\vec{k}_{B} \vec{k}_{A}\right) \dot{\omega}_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) relative acceleration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{M}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(S_{0}^{1} r m d r\right)\left(z_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{G} \vec{k}_{B}+y_{F A} \ddot{\theta}_{G} \tau_{B}\right) \\
+\left(S_{0}^{1} r^{2} m d r\right)\left(k_{B} \ddot{\psi}_{S}+\vec{L}_{B} \ddot{\beta}_{B}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& +S_{0}\left(z_{0} t-x_{0} \vec{x}\right)^{\bullet r} r_{m} \text { Qr } \\
& -\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \ddot{\ominus}\left(\left(x_{0}+x_{x}\right) \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right) r_{m} d r \\
& -\ddot{\theta}^{0}\left(\delta_{3} \tau_{8}-\delta_{2} \overrightarrow{k_{E}}\right) \int_{r_{F A}}^{1}\left(r-r_{F A}\right) r m \ell r
\end{aligned}
$$

c) centrifugal acceleration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{r} \times(\vec{\Omega} \times(\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r})) & =\vec{r} \times \vec{r} \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{r}=-\Omega^{2} \vec{k}_{\&} \times \vec{r} \vec{k}_{B} \cdot \vec{r} \\
& \cong-\Omega^{2} r\left(-\vec{\tau}_{B} \vec{k}_{B} \cdot \vec{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{M}=\Omega^{2} \tau_{B}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(-z_{F A}+r \delta_{1}-\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \delta_{2}\right) r m d r \\
\\
\quad+\left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{2} m Q r\right) \beta G \\
\\
+\int_{0}^{1} \vec{k}_{A} \cdot\left(x_{0} \vec{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{R}+x_{x} \vec{z}\right) r_{m Q r}
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\int_{r_{F A}}^{1} \tilde{\theta} \overrightarrow{k_{B}} \cdot\left(z_{0} \tilde{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{R}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right) r m d r \\
& -\tilde{\theta}^{\circ} \delta \delta A A_{3}\left(S_{r f A}^{1}\left(r-r_{R A}\right) r m \theta_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

d) coriolis acceleration:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{r}_{\times} \times \vec{a}=2 \Omega\left[\vec{\jmath}_{a} \times \vec{r}\left(r \dot{\psi}_{s}+\vec{k}_{\Omega} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\cdot}\right)\right. \\
\\
\left.+r \vec{k}_{B} \jmath_{\Omega} \cdot \vec{v}_{r}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{J}_{Q \times \vec{r}}=-\vec{k}_{A}\left(-x_{F A}+\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \delta_{3}\right)+\vec{L}_{B}\left(-z_{F A}+r_{1}-\left(r-r_{F A}\right) \delta_{2}\right) \\
+\left(z_{0} \vec{i}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{2} \vec{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

so
aerodynamics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{M}_{A} & =\int_{0}^{1} \vec{r}_{x} \vec{F}_{a} \text { or } \\
& \cong \int_{0}^{1}\left(F_{z} \vec{i}_{z}-F_{x} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \text { Qr }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gimbal

The equations of motion for the gimbal degrees of freedom are
 where $\vec{M}_{H S}$ is the spring and damper moment at the gimbal, reacting the rotor applied moment. The gimbal spring and damper are assumed to be in the nonrotating frame. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{M}_{H S} & =\tau_{S}\left(K_{G} \beta_{G S}+C_{G} \dot{\beta}_{G S}\right) \\
& -J_{S}\left(K_{G}\left(\beta_{G C}+C_{G} \dot{B G C}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the $\vec{F}_{s}$ and $\vec{J}_{s}$ components of $\vec{?}$, the gimbal equations of motion are:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{y}+C_{0} \dot{\beta}_{O C}+K_{G} \beta_{a c}=0 \\
-M_{x}+G_{G} \dot{\beta}_{a s}+K_{G} \beta_{G S}=0
\end{array}
$$

We shall write the gimbal hub spring and damper as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{G}=\frac{N}{2} I_{0} \Omega^{2}\left(\nu_{0}^{2}-1\right) \\
& C_{0}=\frac{N}{2} I_{0} \Omega 2 C_{0}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{0}=\int_{0}^{R} r^{2} \operatorname{ar}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{G}$ is the natural frequency of the gimbal flap motion.

## Modal Equations

## Bending

Consider the equilibrium of the elastic，inertial，and centrifugal bending moments，from the above analysir，these terms give the homogeneous equation for bending of the blade：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(E エ_{z z} て \vec{て}+E \text { Ix } \vec{k} \vec{k}\right)\left(\vec{Z} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{R}\right)^{v}\right]^{\bullet \theta}} \\
& -\Omega^{2}\left[S_{0}^{\prime} \operatorname{gm} g_{g}\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{0}\right]^{0} \\
& -\vec{\Omega} m \vec{\Omega} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right) \\
& \operatorname{tm}\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\bullet}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation may be solved by the method of senaration of variables．
Writing

$$
\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)=\vec{\eta}(r) e^{i \nu t}
$$

it becomes

$$
\left(E I \vec{q}^{凶}\right)^{*}-\Omega^{2}\left[\int_{r}^{1} \rho m g \vec{\eta}^{\bullet}\right]^{\bullet}-\vec{\Omega} m \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\eta}-m \nu^{2} \vec{\eta}=0
$$

This is the modal equation for comr ${ }^{-1}$ lab／lag bending of the rotating blade．It is an ordinary differential equation for the more shape $\vec{\gamma}(r)$ ： this mode may be interpreted as the free vibration of the rotating beam at natural frequency $\rangle$ ．

This none equation，with the appropriate boundary conditions for a cantilever or hinged blade，is a proper Sturm－Liouville eigenvalue problem．It follows that there exists a series of eigensolutions $\vec{\eta}_{n}(r)$ of this equation，with corresponding eigenvalues $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{k}^{2}$ ．The eigensolutions －－modes－－are orthogonal with weighting function m；so if $1 \neq k$ ，

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \vec{y}: \cdot \vec{y} k m a r=0
$$

These modes form a complete series， 80 it is possible to expand the rotor blade bending as a series in the modes：

$$
z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_{1}(t) \vec{\eta}_{i}(r)
$$

We shall normalize the bending modes to unit amplitude (nondimensional) at the tip: $\quad\left|\vec{\eta}_{k}(1)\right|=1$.

## Torsion

Consider the homogeneous equation for the elastic torsion motion of the nonrotating blade; i.e. the balance of structural and inertial torsion moments, which from the above analysis is:

$$
-\left(\Theta^{J} \Theta_{c}^{\nabla}\right)^{\nabla}+I_{\theta} \ddot{\theta}_{e}=0
$$

We could consider the equation for the torsion motion of the rotating blade, i.e. including centrifugal forces and some additional structural torsion moments. For the usual torsion stiffness of rotor blades these terms have little effect however, and the nonrotating torsion modes are an accurate representation of the blade motion. Solving this equation by separation of variables, write $\left.\Theta_{e}=\right\}(r) e^{i \omega t}$, so:

$$
\left.-\left(0 J \xi^{*}\right)^{0}-工 \theta w^{2}\right\}=0
$$

This equation is a proper Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem, so it follows that there exists a series of eigensolutions $\xi_{k}(r)$, and corresponding eigenvalues $\omega_{k}^{2}(k=1 \ldots \infty)$. The modes are orthogonal with weighting function $I_{\theta}$, so if $i \neq k$

$$
\left.\left.\operatorname{SrpA}_{\operatorname{l}}^{1}\right\} i\right\} x=0 \text { ar =0 }
$$

The modes form a complete set, so the elastic torsion of the blade may be expanded as a series in the modes:

$$
\theta_{e}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i}(t) \xi_{i}(r)
$$

These modes are the free vibration shape of the nonrotating blade in torsion, at natural frequency $W_{k}$. We shall normalize the torsion modes to unity at the tip, $\xi_{n}(1)=1$.

## Expansion in modes

The rending and torsion motion $\because$ the blade is now expanded as series in the natural modes. By this means the partial differential equations for the motion (in $r$ and $t$ ) are converted to ordinary differential equations (in $t$ ) for the degrees of freedom.

For the black bending we write

$$
\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)=\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)_{\operatorname{trim}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{i}(t) \vec{\eta}_{i}(r)
$$

where $\vec{\eta}$ : are the rotating, coupled bending modes defined above. These modes are orthogonal, and satisfy the modal equation given above. The $q_{i}$ are the degrees of freedom for the bending motion of the blade. It is assumed (for the inertial terms) that the trim bending deflection is steady, independent of time; and when the substitution for the modal expansion is made, the subscript "trim" will be dropped, as that is all that will be meant by ( $\left.\vec{z} \vec{i}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)$ then.

For the blade elastic torsion we write

$$
\theta_{e}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i}(t) \xi_{i}(r)
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{i}$ are the nonrotating elastic torsion modes. These modes are orthogonal, and satisfy the modal equation given above. The $p_{i}(i \geqslant 1)$ are the degrees of freedom for the elastic torsion motion of the blade.

We also have the rigid pitch degree of freedom

$$
p_{0}=\hat{\theta}^{\bullet}=\left(\theta^{\bullet}-\theta^{c}\right)+\theta_{\operatorname{con}}
$$

which is the total rigid pitch motion of the blade. Since it is rigid pitch,
 perturbation of the blade is expanded as a series:

$$
\hat{\theta}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i}(t) \xi_{i}(r)
$$

For the blade pitch $\theta$ then, the mean plus the perturbation is

$$
\left.\theta=\theta_{m}+\tilde{\theta}=\left(\theta_{\infty}+\theta_{+\infty}\right)+\sum_{0} p_{i}\right\}_{i}
$$

The subscript " $m$ " on the trim pitch ankle will be dropped when the substitution for the modal expansion is made, since that is all that will be meant by $\theta$ then.

Honrotating Frame
The equations of motion and the hub forces and moments are In the rotating frame yet. To get to the nonrotating frame, we introduce a coordinate transformation of the Fourier types i.e., introduce the new degrees of freedom:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} q^{(m)} \\
& \beta_{n c}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} q^{(m)} \cos n \psi_{m} \\
& \beta_{n s}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} q^{(m)} \sin n \psi_{m} \\
& \beta_{\frac{N}{2}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} q^{(m)}(-1)^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{0}$ is the coning mode; $\beta_{1 c} \sum_{i} \beta_{1 s}$ the tip path plane tilt coordinates; and $\beta_{\frac{0}{2}}$ is the reactionless slap mode -- for the out of plane bending of the blade. Then:

$$
q^{(m)}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{n}\left(\beta_{n c} \cos n \mu_{m}+\beta_{n s} \sin n \mu_{m}\right)+\beta_{2}(-1)^{m}
$$

where the summation over $n$ goes from 1 to ( $N-1$ )/2 for $N$ odd; and from 1 to ( $N-2$ )/2 for $N$ even; the $\frac{\beta}{2}$ degree of freedom appears only if $N$ is even.

The quantities $\beta_{0}, \beta_{n e} \beta_{n s}$, and $\beta^{\prime}$, are degrees of freedom, 1.e. functions of time, just as the quantities $q^{(m)}$ are. These degrees of freedom describe the rotor motion as seen in the nonrotating frame, while the $9^{(m)}$ describe the motion in the rotating frame.

This coordinate transform must be accompanied by a conversion of the equations of motion for $q^{(m)}$ from the rotating to the nonrotating frame. This is accorolished by operating on the equation e of motion with the following sumation over irs:

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}(\ldots), \frac{2}{N} \sum_{m}(\ldots) \cos n \psi_{n}, \frac{2}{N} \sum_{\infty}(\ldots) \sin n \psi_{m}, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}(\ldots)(-1)^{m}
$$

Peference If gives more retails of this transformation.

Similarly, the degrees of freedom for the blade pitch ar. gimbal motion are $\quad$ transformed to the nonrotatinf frame. The corresponding degrees of freedom for the rotating and nonrotating frames are:

| $\frac{\text { rotating }}{q_{i}^{(m)}}$ | $\frac{\text { nonrotating }}{\beta_{0, i c, i s}^{(i)}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\rho_{i}^{(m)}$ | $\theta_{0, i c, i s}^{(i)}$ |
| $\beta_{c}, \theta_{C}, \psi_{s}$ | $\beta_{0 c}, \beta_{a s}, \psi_{s}$ |

When the transformation of the equations and degrees of freedom is accomplisher, there is a decoupling of the inertial and structural terms as follows (for $N \geqslant 3$ ):
a) $0,1 \mathrm{C}$, is degrees of freedom; $\beta_{\mathrm{cc}}, \beta_{5}$, and $\Psi_{s}$; and the rotor shaft motion.
b) 2C, 2S, ... $n c, n s, N / 2$ degrees of freedom (as present). The first set couples with the fixed system motion. The latter set is just internal rotor motion. For $N=3$, the first set is the complete description of the motion of course. Nonaxial flow aerodynamics couples all the rotor degrees of freedom and shaft motion; i.e. the two sets above are coupled for helicopter forward flight or conversion mode operation. For axial flow -hover or propretor airplane mode cruise operation -- the aerodynamic terms decouple also.

We shall assume here that the rotor has three or more blades, $N \geqslant 3$. For $\mathrm{N}=2$, there are periodic coefficients even in the inertia terms, so
that is a special case, For the case of periodic coefficients in the aerodynamics, i.e. helicopter forward flight or cunversion mode flight, it is necessary to specify $N$; we shall take $N=3$ for that case. (The periodic coefficients depend on $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) For the case of axial flow, or for the constant coefficient approximation for the nonaxial flow case, the equations obtained will be valic for all $N$ greater than or equal to 3 .

Reference 4 dirousses these points further.

## Equations of liotion! Hub Forces and Moments

The elements are avaliable now to obtain the equations of motion for the blate bending and torsion modes, in the rotating frame; and the forces and moments acting on the hub due to the mth blade. The eteps required are:
a) Substitute for the expansion of tiee bending and torsion motion as a series in the modes.
b) Use the appropriate modal equation to introtisce the mode natural frequency into the bending or torsion equation, replacing the structural stiffness terms (and for bending also some of the centrifugal stiffness terms).
c) For the bending equation, operate with $\int_{0}^{1} \overrightarrow{y_{k}} \cdot(\cdots)$ \&u to obtain the ordinary differential equation for the $k$ th mode of the mth blade (the $q_{k}$ equation).
d) For the torsion equation, operate with $S_{\text {rpa }}^{\prime} \xi_{k}(\ldots)$ (... to obtain the ordinary differential equation :o the $k$ th mode of the mth blade (the $A_{k}$ equation).
'The result is the equations of motion and hub forces in the rotat'ing frame. The transformation to the nonrotating frame involves the following 8 teps:
a) (perate on the hub force and moment with $\sum_{m}(\ldots)$; i.e. sum over all N blader to obtain the total force and moment on the hui.
b) Find the $\vec{\tau}_{s}, \vec{J}_{s}, \vec{k}_{s}$ components of the force and moment in the nonrotating frame (the S system).
c) Write the shaft motion $\vec{a}_{0}, \vec{\omega}_{0}$, and $\frac{\vec{\omega}_{0}}{\omega_{0}}$ in tems of the $\vec{\tau}_{s}, \vec{J}_{s}, \vec{k}_{s} \quad$ components in the nonrotating frame (the $S$ system).
d) Apply the fourler coordinate transform to the equations of motion and rotor degrees of freedom operate on the equations for bending and torsion with

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}(\ldots), \frac{2}{N} \varepsilon_{m}(\cdots) \cos \psi_{m}, \frac{2}{N} \sum_{m}(\cdots) \sin _{m} \psi_{m}, \cdots
$$

to obtain the nonrotating equations of motion ( $0,1 \mathrm{C}$, 1S, etc.). $N \geqslant 3$ is assune for this transformation. The transformation of the equations to the nonrotating frame will be delayed however, so the rotating modal equations may be presented first.

We acid at this point structural damping terms, modelled as equivalent viscous damping; the structural damping parameter is $g_{s}$ (which may be different for each degree of freedom), equal to twice the equivalent damping ratio.

Names are given to all the inertial constants now. The equations of motion, hub forces and moments, and inertia constants are also normalized at this point. The inertia constants are divided by the characteristic
 This normalization of the inertia constants is denoted by a superscript *. The rotating equations of motion are divided by $I_{b}$ the hub forces and moments are divided by $(N / 2) I_{b}$ for $M_{x}, M_{y}, H$, and $Y$, and by $N_{b}$ for $Q$ and $T$. The result is that the forces and moments are obtained in coefficient form. Hore details of this normalization procedure are given in reference 4.

Equations
The resulting hub forces, hub moments, gimbal equations, and equations of motion for coupled flap/lag bending and for elastic torsion/ rigid pitch of the rotating blade are as follows.

Forces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \frac{2 C_{H}}{\sigma=}=\gamma\left(\frac{2 C_{M}}{\sigma a}\right)_{\text {ain }}-2 M_{b}^{*} \ddot{x}_{n}+\Sigma S_{q:}^{*} \cdot \vec{k}_{B} \ddot{\beta}_{\beta_{s}}^{(i)} \\
& \gamma \frac{2 G_{y}}{\sigma a}=\gamma\left(\frac{2 C_{r}}{\sigma a}\right)_{\alpha_{0}}-2 M_{b}^{*} \dot{y}_{n}-\sum S_{q}^{*} \cdot \vec{k}_{8} \ddot{\beta}_{1 c}^{(i)} \\
& \gamma \frac{c r}{\sigma \sigma}=\gamma\left(\frac{c r}{\sigma a}\right)_{2}-M_{b}^{*} \ddot{z}_{n}-\Sigma S_{p}^{*} \cdot \vec{L}_{B} \ddot{f}_{0}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \frac{2 c_{\mu_{x}}}{\sigma a}=\gamma\left(\frac{2 c_{m x}}{c_{a}}\right)_{a_{0}}-I_{0}^{*}\left(\alpha_{x}+2 \dot{\alpha}_{y}\right)-I_{0}^{*}\left(\ddot{\beta}_{G S}-2 \dot{\beta}_{\sigma c}\right) \\
&-\Sigma I_{q_{i \alpha}}^{*} \cdot \overrightarrow{c o s}_{B}\left(\ddot{\beta}_{i s}^{(i)}-2 \dot{\beta}_{1 c}^{(i)}\right) \\
&+\sum S_{p i \alpha}^{*} \cdot \vec{c}_{B}\left(\ddot{\theta}_{1 s}^{(i)}-2 \dot{\theta}_{1 c}^{(i)}\right) \\
&-2 \Sigma I_{\dot{q}_{i \alpha}^{*}}^{*}\left(\dot{\beta}_{1 s}^{(i)}-\beta_{1 c}^{(i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\varepsilon 工_{q_{i \alpha}^{*}}^{*} \cdot \vec{l}_{B}\left(\hat{\beta}_{k}^{(i)}+2 \dot{\beta}_{i s}^{(i)}\right. \\
& -\varepsilon S_{p i a x}^{*} \cdot \tau_{B}\left(\ddot{\theta}_{1 k}^{(i)}+2 \dot{\theta}_{1 s}^{(i)}\right) \\
& +2 \varepsilon I_{i a}^{*}\left(\dot{\beta}_{1 c}^{(i)}+\beta_{1 s}^{(i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \frac{C_{a}}{\sigma_{a}}=\gamma\left(\frac{C_{\theta}}{\sigma}\right)_{\operatorname{an}}+I_{0}^{*} \ddot{\alpha}_{z}+I_{0}^{*} \ddot{\psi}_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$


Gimbal: $\quad \gamma^{\frac{2 S_{\mu}}{2}}+I_{0}^{*} c_{0}^{*} \dot{\beta}_{a c}+I_{0}^{*}\left(\nu_{0}^{2}-1\right) \beta_{c c}=0$

$$
-\gamma \frac{2 c_{\mu x}}{\sigma-a}+x_{0}^{*} C_{G}^{*} \dot{\beta}_{G S}+I_{0}^{*}\left(D_{0}^{2}-1\right) \beta_{C S}=0
$$

Bending：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{q_{k}}^{*}\left(\ddot{q}_{k}+g_{s} \nu_{k} \dot{q}_{k}+\nabla_{k}^{2} q_{k}\right)+2 \Sigma I_{q_{k} q_{i}}^{*} \dot{q}_{i} \\
& -\Sigma S_{q_{k} p_{i}}^{*} \ddot{p}_{i}-\Sigma S_{q_{k} p_{i}}^{*} p_{i} \\
& + \text { 工 }_{q_{x} \alpha}^{*} \cdot \vec{k}_{s} \ddot{\psi}_{s}+\text { 工q}_{q_{k a}}^{*} \cdot \vec{i}_{s}\left(\ddot{\beta}_{G}+\beta_{G}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +s_{j_{k}}^{*} \cdot \vec{L}_{E} \ddot{z}_{n}-s_{q_{k}}^{*} \cdot \vec{L}_{e}\left(\ddot{x}_{n} \sin \psi_{m}-\ddot{y}_{n} \cos \psi_{m}\right) \\
& +I_{q_{k}}^{*} \cdot \vec{k}_{k} \ddot{\alpha}_{z}+I_{q_{k} \alpha}^{*} \cdot \dot{l}_{k}\left(\left(\ddot{\alpha}_{x}+2 \dot{\alpha}_{y}\right) \sin \psi_{m}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\ddot{\alpha}_{y}-2 \dot{\alpha}_{x}\right) \cos \psi_{m}\right) \\
& =\partial \frac{M_{q_{k}}}{a c}+I_{q_{k}}^{*} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Torsion／pitch：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{p_{k}}^{*}\left(\ddot{p}_{k}+\rho_{s} \omega_{k} \dot{p}_{k}+\omega_{k}^{2} p_{k}\right) \\
& +\Sigma I_{p_{k}}^{*}: \ddot{p_{i}}+\Sigma I_{p_{k} p_{i}}^{*} p_{i} \\
& -\Sigma S_{p z q}^{*}: \ddot{q}:-\Sigma S_{p_{k q}: ~}^{*}{ }^{*} \\
& +I_{p_{k \alpha}}^{*} \cdot \tau_{B} \ddot{\psi}_{s}-x_{p_{a}}^{*} \cdot \vec{k}_{B}\left(\ddot{\beta}_{G}+\beta 6\right) \\
& -S_{p_{x}}^{*} \dot{\alpha}\left(\ddot{\theta}_{G}+2 \dot{\beta}_{G}-\theta_{G}\right)+S_{p_{x \alpha}}^{*} \beta_{G} \\
& -s_{p_{x}^{*}}^{*} \cdot \vec{T}_{4} \tilde{z}_{n}-s_{p_{x}}^{*} \cdot \vec{l}_{s}\left(x_{n} \sin \psi_{m}-\ddot{y}_{c} \cos \psi_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\left(\ddot{\mu}_{y}-2 \dot{\alpha}_{\infty}\right) \cos \psi_{m}\right) \\
& =\gamma \frac{M_{p h} \text { an }}{C E}+\left(I_{p_{0}}^{*} \omega_{0}^{2} J_{k}\left(r_{P A}\right)\right) \Theta_{C N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Aerodynamic forces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 C_{n}}{r a}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{m}\left[\sin \psi_{m} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{x}}{a c} \alpha r+\cos \psi_{m} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{f}}{a c}-\frac{F_{z}}{a c}<\beta_{G i} i \delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\vec{k}_{8}-\left(x_{0} \tau t+z_{0} \vec{R}\right)^{-}\right) d r\right] \\
& -\frac{2 G_{y}}{\sigma a}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{m}\left[\cos \psi_{m} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{x}}{a_{c}} o r-\sin \psi_{m} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{r}}{r_{c}}-\frac{F_{z}}{a c}\left(\beta_{E}+\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+k_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\prime}\right) d r\right] \\
& \frac{C_{T}}{F_{a}}=\frac{1}{N} \varepsilon_{m} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f_{z}}{a c} \text { or } \\
& \frac{2 C_{m}}{\sigma_{a}}=\frac{2}{i} \sum \sin \psi_{m} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{z}}{a c} r \text { or } \\
& -\frac{2 C_{m y}}{\sigma_{a}}=\frac{2}{N} E \cos \psi m \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f_{z}}{a c} r Q r \\
& \frac{C_{Q}}{\sigma_{Q}}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{N} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{X}}{a_{C}} r a r \\
& \frac{\mu_{q_{k}}}{a c}=\int_{0}^{1} \vec{\eta}_{x} \cdot\left(\frac{F_{z}}{\alpha c} \tau_{B}-\frac{F_{x}}{a c} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \text { Qr }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{x}_{\lambda_{k}}=\vec{x}_{k}-j_{k} x_{x} \vec{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then for the nonrotating equations:



Moocare $=\frac{1}{\omega} \varepsilon_{\text {M M M }}$



Inertia constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{b}^{*}=S_{0}^{1} m D r / I_{b} \\
& s_{q i}^{*}=\int_{0}^{1} \vec{\eta}: m \operatorname{Qr} / x_{b} \\
& I_{0}^{*}=\int_{0}^{1} r^{2} m o r / I_{b} \\
& I_{y_{i} \alpha}^{*}=\int_{0}^{1} \vec{\eta}_{i} \times m d r / I_{b} \\
& S_{p i a}^{*}=\frac{1}{x_{b}} \int_{r_{f A}}^{1}\left(\xi_{i}\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{k}+x_{x} \vec{\imath}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\hat{j}:\left(r_{m}\right)\left(\delta_{3} \vec{L}_{E}-\partial_{2} \lambda_{B}\right)\left(r_{-r_{A}}\right)\right) r_{m} \theta_{r} \\
& x_{\dot{q}}^{*}: \alpha=\frac{1}{\sum_{b}} \int_{0}^{1} \vec{k}_{B} \cdot \overrightarrow{\eta_{i}}\left(-z_{f A}+r \delta_{1}-\left(r-r_{m A}\right) \delta_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\overrightarrow{u_{0}} \cdot\left(z_{0} \vec{z}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)\right) m Q r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{0}^{1} \vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}\left(x_{F A}+r_{F A} \delta_{3}+\vec{k}_{E} \cdot\left(z_{0} t-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)\right) m d r \\
& I_{0}^{*}\left(v_{G}^{2}-1\right)=K_{G} /\left(\frac{N}{2} I_{b} \Omega^{2}\right) \\
& I_{0}^{*}\left(C_{c}^{*}\right)=C_{c o} /\left(\frac{N}{2} I_{b} \Omega\right) \\
& I_{q_{k}}^{*}=\int_{0}^{1} y_{k}^{2} m \text { ar } / I_{b} \\
& S_{q_{x}}^{*} \ddot{p}:=\frac{1}{\Sigma_{b}} \int_{r_{p A}}^{1} \vec{y}_{x} \cdot( \}_{i}\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \overrightarrow{k^{\prime}+x_{x}} \vec{\tau}\right) \\
& \left.-\xi_{i}\left(r_{f_{A}}\right)\left(\delta_{2} \vec{x}_{B}-\delta_{3} \overrightarrow{I_{A}}\right)\left(r-r_{F_{A}}\right)\right) m \text { Qr }
\end{aligned}
$$

and with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{x}_{k}=\xi_{k} \times I \vec{k}-\int_{r_{\text {en }}}^{r} \xi_{k}\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{0} \vec{t}\right)^{w \prime}(r-\rho) d \rho \\
& I_{p k}^{*}=\int_{r_{P A}}^{1} \zeta_{k}^{2} I_{\theta} \text { Qr / } I_{b} \\
& S_{p k}^{*}=\int_{r m}^{\prime} \vec{x}_{k m \operatorname{lor}} / I_{b} \\
& I_{p k a}^{*}=\int_{r o n}^{1} \vec{X}_{k} \text { rmer / } / I_{b} \\
& S_{p_{k}}^{*} \ddot{a}=\frac{亠_{b}}{\Sigma_{b}} \int_{r_{m A}}^{1} \vec{x}_{k} \cdot \vec{t}_{B}\left[-z_{F_{A}}+r \delta_{1}-\left(r-r_{F_{A}}\right) \delta_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\vec{t}_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(z_{0} t-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)\right] m e r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{r_{R}}^{1}\left[\left(\xi_{k}-\xi_{k}\left(r_{r_{A}}\right)\right) \xi_{j} ; r_{r_{A}}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{i}:\left(r_{\text {P }}\right)\right)\right\}_{k}\left(r_{A A}\right)\right] I_{\theta} \otimes r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{p_{k} \alpha}^{*}=k_{p_{G}} I_{p_{0}}^{*} w_{0}^{2} \xi_{k}\left(r_{p A}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{P \times q:}^{*}=\frac{1}{x_{b}}\left[\int_{r_{m}}^{1} \vec{x}_{k} \cdot \vec{k}_{B} \overrightarrow{u_{B}} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}\right. \text { mor } \\
& +\int_{r=n}^{1} \vec{j}_{k k x} m \tau \cdot\left(\vec{\eta}_{i}^{r}-\vec{\eta}_{i}\right) d r \\
& +\int_{r p n}^{1} 3_{k}\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{w} \cdot \int_{r}^{1}\left(r \vec{\eta}_{i}-\xi \vec{\eta}^{(r i)}\right) m d g 2 r
\end{aligned}
$$

except for 2 igid pitch ( $k=0$ ), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{x}_{0}=- & \left(z \vec{z}-x_{0} \vec{k}-x_{x} \vec{k}\right)+\left(\delta_{2} \vec{t}_{\theta}+\delta_{3} \vec{k}_{A}\right)\left(r_{r}-r_{F A}\right) \\
& +\left.\left(z_{0} \vec{\imath}-x_{0} \vec{u}\right)\right|_{r_{F A}} \\
& +\left.\left(z_{0} \vec{\tau}-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)\right|_{r_{R A}}\left(r-r_{P_{A}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{P_{B q}}^{*}=\frac{1}{I_{b}}\left[S_{F_{F A}}^{\prime} \vec{x}_{0} \cdot \vec{k}_{B} \vec{\tau}_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}\right. \text { mor }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -k_{p_{i}} \omega_{0}^{2} I_{p_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Nomrotating frame equations
The equations of motion': for the rotor in the nonrotating frame, i.e. after application of the fourier coorilnate transformation, are

$$
A_{2} \ddot{x}_{R}+A_{1} \dot{x}_{R}+A_{0} x_{R}+\tilde{A}_{2} \ddot{\alpha}+\tilde{A}_{1} \dot{o}+\tilde{A}_{0} \alpha=E V_{R}+M_{\text {ane e }}
$$

and the hub forces and moments

$$
F=c_{2} \ddot{x}_{R}+c_{1} \dot{x}_{R}+c_{0} x_{R}+\tilde{c}_{2} \ddot{\alpha}+\tilde{c}_{1} \dot{\alpha}+\tilde{c}_{0} \alpha+F_{\text {no }}
$$

where the rotor degrees of freedom $\left(\frac{\vec{x}}{R}\right.$ ), shaft motion ( $\vec{\sim}$ ), rotor blade pitch input ( $\vec{v}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ), and the hub forces and moments $(\overrightarrow{\vec{v}})$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{x}_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{0}^{(x)} \\
\beta_{16}^{(k)} \\
\beta_{15}^{(x)} \\
\theta_{0}^{(x)} \\
\theta_{16}^{(x)} \\
\theta_{15}^{(x)} \\
\beta_{6 c} \\
\beta_{6 S} \\
\omega_{s}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \vec{v}_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{0}^{c o n} \\
\theta_{i c}^{\operatorname{con}} \\
\theta_{i s}^{c o n}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \vec{\alpha}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{n} \\
y_{n} \\
z_{n} \\
\alpha_{x} \\
\alpha_{y} \\
\alpha_{z}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrices of the coefficients, and the aerodynamic forcing vectors, follow.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-62- }
\end{aligned}
$$


$\widetilde{A}_{2}=$




$E_{1}=$

-60-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{0}= \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l} 
\\
& & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline- & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 \times x_{i, 0}^{*} & & & & & & \\
\hline-2 * & & & & & &
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

... . .

$$
\tilde{c}_{2}=
$$



-72-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}_{0}=0 \\
& \tilde{C}_{0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{a, 2}=\gamma\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{c q}{\sigma \sigma} \\
\frac{2 c_{H}}{\sigma a} \\
-\frac{2 c_{y}}{\sigma a} \\
\frac{c_{\sigma}}{\sigma a} \\
\frac{2 c_{m y}}{\sigma a} \\
-\frac{2 c_{m x}}{\sigma a}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In this section, tiw erovnaris force am moments on the rotor blade are derivo.․ The shall consider the generil cae of high or lo: inflow, and axial or nonaxial low. The acoroynamic tems in tie rotor equations of motion and the hul forces and moments are obtainet for three caser: $\quad$ axial flow (hover or hiph inflow crifee); nonaxial flow with periodic coetiicients (helicoptor forwari flight, or conversion mode flight), and a constant coefficient approximation for nonaxial flow.

The mrinciple assumptions in the aerodynamic analysis are: reverse flow is neglected (goo to an advance ratic of atout 0.t cr 0.5, which is sufticient for the tilting promotor aircraft); the winf walre (near field an! far fiell) effect on the rotor, and other wing/rotor interferences are neglected; the unsteary rotor wake effects are neglected; the virtual mass aerodynamic forces and moments are neglected; the order c (rotor chori) terms in the aerocynami: lift expression are neflected; the order $c^{3}$ terms in the aerodynamic moment expression are neglected; and only first order velocity terms are retained. The derivation an? notation are an extension of that in reference 4.

## Section Aerodynamic Forces

A hub plane reference frame is used for the aerodynamic forces. All forces and velocities are resolved in the hub plane then, i.e. in the $B$ system. The hub plane reference frame is fixed with respect to the shaft, hence it is tilted and displaced by the shaft motion. Figure 10 11lustrates the forces and velocities of the blade section aerodynamics. The velocity of the air seen by the blade, the pitch angle, and the angle of attack are:
$\theta=$ blade pitch, measured from the reference plane
$u_{T}, u_{R}, u_{p}=$ air velocity seen by the blade, resolved with respect to the reference plane; $u_{\mathrm{T}}$ is in the hub plane, positive in the blade drag direction; 14,

> is in the hub plane, positive radially outward along the blade; and up is normal to the hub plane, positive down through the rotor disk.
> $U=$ resultant air velocity in the plane of the section.
> $\boldsymbol{\phi}=$ induced ante
> $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=$ section ankle of attack
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{2}=u_{T}^{2}+u_{p}^{2} \\
& \phi=\tan ^{-1} u_{p} / u_{T} \\
& \alpha=\theta-\phi
\end{aligned}
$$

The aerodynamic forces and moment on the section, at the EA, are:
$L, D=$ aerodynamic lift and drag forces on the section, normal and parallel to the resultant velocity 11
$F_{g}, F_{x}=$ section $L$ and $D$ (total aerodynamic force on the section) resolver with respect to the hub plane, normal to and in the plane of the rotor
$\because r$ = radial drag force on the blade, in the plane of the disk, positive outward (the same direction as positive $u_{n}$ ); the radial forces due to the tilt of $z_{z}$ and $F_{x}$ have been considered separately.
$\vdots_{i}=$ section aerolyamic moment about the EA, positive nose up.

Aerodynamic forces -- wind axes
The section lift and drag are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=\frac{1}{2} \rho u^{2} c c_{2} \\
& D=\frac{1}{2} \rho u^{2} c_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad U=$ resultant velocity at the section

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\text { air density } \\
& c=\text { chord of blade }
\end{aligned}
$$

The air density is dropped at this point, in the process of making the quantities dimensionless with $\mathcal{F}, \Omega$, and $k$. The section lift -75-
and crap coefficients, $c_{1}=c_{1} \propto$, ) ant $c_{d}=c_{c}(\alpha$, i) are functor $n$ r: of the section ingle of attack and Mach number:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma=\theta-\phi=\theta-\tan ^{-1} \text { uplur } \\
& M=M_{T i p} U
\end{aligned}
$$

where "TIF' is the tip Mach number, the rotor tip speer $\Omega$ : diva en by the speed of sound. The 'opendence of $c_{1}$ and $c_{\dot{d}}$ on other quantities, such as the local $\because n$ angle or anitea'y angle of attack changes, is neglects. The radial froe, due to the radial Ara, is

$$
F_{r}=\frac{U R}{U} D=\frac{1}{2} \text { UuRCC}
$$

The radial drag force is revived assuming that the viscous drag force on the section has the same sweep angle as the local section velocity. The moment about the $\Xi A$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{a} & =-x_{A} L+M_{A C}+M_{u s} \\
& =-x_{A} \frac{1}{2} u^{2} C_{C}+\frac{1}{2} u^{2} c^{2} C_{m_{a c}}+M_{u s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{A} & =\text { distance aerodynamic center }(A C) \text { behind } E A \\
c_{m_{a c}} & =\text { section moment about the } A C, \text { positive nose un. } \\
M_{I S} & =\text { unsteady aeroiynamic moment. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the section aerodynamic moment it is necessary to include the unsteady aerodynamic terms, which from thin airfoil theory are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{M_{n s}}{a c}=-\frac{c^{2}}{32}\left[(V B)\left(1+8 \frac{x_{A}}{c}+1 b\left(\frac{x_{A}}{C}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\dot{\omega}+u_{R} \omega^{\circ}\right)\left(1+\frac{x_{A}}{c}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad \begin{aligned} W & =\text { mean upwash along the blade chord, i.e. normal blade section } \\ & =u_{T} \sin \theta-u_{P} \cos \theta \\ B & =\partial w / \partial x, \text { basically the pitch rate } \dot{\theta} \\ V & =u_{T} \cos \theta+u_{P} \sin \theta\end{aligned}$

Hence in the aerodynamic model we have neglected the following
effects: reverse flow; she i wake aerodynamic interference (egg. If ft deficiency function set to unity); terms in $L$ order $c$ and above; term: in 1 order $c$ 'and bow: virtual mass terms in the unsteady aerodynamic moment.

Aerodyname forces -- hub plane axes
With respect to the hum plane then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{z}=L \cos \phi-\Delta \sin \phi=\frac{L u T-\Delta u p}{U} \\
& F_{x}=L \sin \phi+\Delta \cos \phi=\frac{L u p+\Delta n T}{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting for $L$ ansi $n$, and dividing by ac (where a is the two-dimensional section inf curve slope, and $a$ the section chord; which enter the lock number $\gamma$ also), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{F_{z}}{a c}=u\left(u+\frac{c x}{2 a}-u p \frac{C_{d}}{2 a}\right) \\
& \frac{F_{x}}{a c}=u\left(u p \frac{c i}{2 a}+u r \frac{C_{d}}{2 a}\right) \\
& \frac{F_{r}}{a c}=u u_{p} \frac{c_{d}}{2 a} \\
& \frac{M_{a}}{a c}=-x_{x} u^{2} \frac{c_{s}}{2 a}+u^{2} \frac{c c_{m}}{2 a}+\frac{M_{n s}}{a c}
\end{aligned}
$$

The net rotor aerodynamic forces are obtained by integration of the section forces over the span of the blade, an then summation over all N blades.

## Perturbation forces

Bach component of the velocity seen by the blade has a trim term, due to operation of the rotor in its trim equilibrium state; and a perturbation term due to the perturbed motion of the system. The latter is due to the system degrees of freedom, and is assumed to be small in obtaining the linear differential equations describing the dynamics. We shall write the blade
pitch and section velocities as trim plus perturbation terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta \Rightarrow \theta+\delta \theta \\
& u_{T} \Rightarrow u_{T}+\delta u_{T} \\
& u_{P} \Rightarrow u_{P}+\delta u_{P} \\
& u_{R} \Rightarrow u_{R}+\delta u_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

then there follows the perturbations of $\propto, U$, and $M:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta o=\delta \theta-\frac{u_{T} \delta u_{p}-u_{p} \delta u_{T}}{u^{2}} \\
& \delta u=\frac{u+\delta u_{T}+u p \delta u p}{u} \\
& \delta M=M_{\text {Tip }} \delta u
\end{aligned}
$$

and of the aerodynamic coeffients

$$
\delta_{1}=\frac{\partial C_{q}}{\partial \alpha} \delta \alpha+\frac{\partial C_{R}}{\delta \mu} \delta M=C_{2} \delta \alpha+C_{M} \delta M
$$

(and similarly for $c_{m}$ and $c_{d}$ ). The perturbations of the section aerodynamic forces may then be obtained by carrying out the differential operation on the expressions above for $F_{z}, F_{x}, F_{r}$, and $M_{a}$, using the above results to express the perturbations in terms of $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}, \delta u_{T}, \delta u_{P}$, and $\delta u_{B}$. The coefficients of the perturbation quantities are then evaluated at the trim state. The results are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\delta \frac{F_{B}}{a c}=\text { (uит } \frac{C l_{2 a}}{2 a}-u n p \frac{C_{d x}}{2 a}\right) \delta \theta \\
& +\left[-\frac{u r}{n}\left(u+\frac{\operatorname{coq}}{20}-u p \frac{C+a}{2 a}\right)+\left(\frac{g}{20}+M \frac{\operatorname{Cgm}}{2 a}\right) \frac{\omega+u p}{u}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{c}{2 a}+m \frac{C d m}{2 a}\right) \frac{u_{p}^{2}}{u}-\frac{C 1}{2 a} u\right] \delta u p
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\frac{c g}{2 a} u-\left(\frac{c \theta}{2 a}+M \frac{\operatorname{com}}{2 a}\right) \frac{n+u p}{u}\right] \delta_{n q} \\
& =F_{t \theta} \delta \theta+F_{z p} \delta u_{p}+F_{z T} \delta u_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \frac{F_{x}}{a c}=\left(u_{u p} \frac{c 9 a}{2 a}+u_{u t} \frac{c_{d a}}{2 a}\right) \delta \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\frac{c q}{2 a} u+\left(\frac{c d}{2 a}+m \frac{c}{2 a}\right) \frac{n+u p}{n}\right] \delta{ }_{n p} \\
& +\left[\frac{u p}{L}\left(u_{p} \frac{c_{0 a}}{2 a}+u_{r} \frac{c_{d}}{2 a}\right)+\left(\frac{c_{a}}{2 a}+M \frac{c_{p m}}{20}\right) \frac{n \rho u r}{u}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{c_{d}}{20}+m \frac{c_{n}}{2 \theta}\right) \frac{n_{T}^{2}}{u}+\frac{c_{d}}{20} u\right] \delta n_{T} \\
& =F_{x \theta} \delta \theta+F_{x p} \delta u_{p}+F_{x_{7}} \delta u_{T} \\
& \delta \frac{F_{r}}{a C}=\left(U u R \frac{C \partial a}{2 a}\right) \delta \theta \\
& +\left[-\frac{u r u r}{n} \frac{c_{d a}}{2 a}+\left(\frac{c}{2 a}+M \frac{c_{n}}{2 a}\right) \frac{n R n p}{n}\right] \text { dup } \\
& +\left[\frac{u p u k}{u} \frac{d d a}{2 a}+\left(\frac{\delta_{d}}{2 a}+m \frac{\sum_{n}}{2 a}\right) \frac{n \in u r}{n}\right] \delta u r \\
& +\left[n \frac{d}{2 a}\right] \operatorname{dnk} \\
& =F_{r_{\theta}} \delta \theta+F_{r_{p}} \delta u_{\rho}+F_{r_{T}} \delta n_{\gamma}+F_{r_{R}} \delta_{n_{R}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \frac{M a}{d c}=\left[u^{2}\left(-x_{x} \frac{C_{R}}{2 a}+c \frac{c_{m a}}{2 a}\right)\right] \delta \theta \\
& +\left[u_{p}\left(-x_{A} \frac{c_{q a}}{2 a}+c \frac{a_{m a}}{2 a}\right)-x_{A} u_{T}\left(2 \frac{c_{a}}{2 a}+m \frac{c_{m}}{2 a}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+c u r\left(2 \frac{a_{m}}{a c}+n \frac{c_{m \mu}}{2 a}\right)\right] \delta u r^{2 a} \\
& +\left[-u r\left(-x_{A} \frac{\sigma_{R a}}{2 a}+c \frac{a_{m a}}{2 a}\right)-x_{A} u p\left(2 \frac{c_{2}}{2 a}+M \frac{c_{2 \mu}}{2 a}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+c \cdot u_{p}\left(2 \frac{c m}{a c}+M \frac{c m p}{c c}\right)\right] \delta u_{p} \\
& +\frac{M n s}{a c} \\
& =M_{a_{\theta}} \delta \theta+M_{a_{p}} \delta \delta_{p}+M_{a_{r}} \delta n_{r}+\frac{i_{A_{S}}}{a_{c}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Velocity of the Blade

Now we obtain the velocity of the air seen by the blade section. There is the trim velocity, composed of the forward speed, rotor rotation, and rotor induced velocity; and the perturbation velocities, due to the rotor degrees of freedom and the shaft motion, and due to the aerodynamic gust velocity.

The rotor is rotating at constant speed $\sqrt{2}$. The steady velocity of the rotor with respect to he air, ic described by (figure 11):
$V=$ trim velocity of the rotor in inertial axes. in the rotor $x-2$ plane.
Qnp $=$ angle of attack (unilsturber) of the mot hub plane with respect to $V$. positive for disk tilt forward (for $V$ down through the disk): this is the shaft angle.

There are then the following cases: $\alpha_{n p}=90^{\circ}$ for cruise (high inflow axial flight); -wp small for heliconter forward flight; owns large but less than $90^{\circ}$ for conversion mode; and $V=0$ is the hover case. The rotor induced velocity is $v$, due to the thrust $T$ (figure 11); $v$ is assumed to be normal to the hub plane, and uniform over the risk. Now the rotor advance ratio $\mu$ and inflow ratio $\lambda$ are defined s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\frac{V \cos \sigma n}{S 2 R} \\
& \lambda=\frac{V \sin \alpha p+V}{S Z R}
\end{aligned}
$$

The cases are then: for hover $\mu=0$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ small: for helicopter forward flight $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda$ small; for conversion mode flight $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda$ order 1; and for cruise flight $\mu=0$ and $\lambda$ order 1 .

For the rotor induced velocity we use the Glauert result:

$$
\lambda=\mu \tan \alpha_{n p}+\frac{C T}{2 \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}
$$

For high speed $\left(V^{2} \gg \frac{1}{2} C_{T}(\Omega R)^{2}\right.$ or about $\left.V / \Omega R>0.15\right)$ in inflow ratio is approximately

$$
\lambda=\frac{v}{-2} \sin d n+\frac{E T}{2 V / \Omega R}
$$

The induced velocity is thus quite small, $v / v \ll 1$, for typical proprotor cruise and conversion mode operation. The induced velocity is not generally an important factor in proprotor aerodynamics at high inflow; hence the assumption of uniform induced inflow is acceptable for an investigation of the proprotor aeroolastic behavior. (See reference 4.) The mutual aerodynamic interference of the rotors is neglected.

The trim velocity $V$ is steady, at an angle exp to the rotor hub plane. The uniform induced velocity $v$ is normal to the hub plane. The advance ratio and inflow ratio, $\mu$ and $\lambda$, are the nondinensional
components parallel and normal to the hub plane. In cody axes, $V$ would be fixed in the reference frame, and world tilt with it. Here an inertial frame (the $S$ system) is used however, $:$ it follows that $t_{1}$ it of the rotor by the shaft notion gives $a s, 11$ change in the direction of $V$ as seen in the reference frame.

The shaft motion consists of small linear and angular velocity. with components defined in the nonrotitirr frame:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \vec{v}_{0}=\dot{x}_{n} \vec{t}_{s}+\dot{y}_{n} \vec{J}_{s}+\dot{z}_{n} \vec{k}_{s} \\
& \vec{\omega}_{0}=\dot{\sigma}_{n} \vec{t}_{s}+\dot{\theta}_{s} \vec{j}_{s}+\dot{\partial}_{z=} \overrightarrow{k_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The aerodynamic gust velocity has components $u_{G},{ }_{G}$, and ${ }_{G}$ (longitudinal, lateral, and vertical) defined with respect to the body or earth axes (figure 11): these components are the velocity seen by the aircraft, and are assumed to be small compared to $\Omega$. defined with respect to V, ie. Ow from the disk plane, so that with $V$ usually horizontal (level flight) $W_{G}$ and $u_{G}$ arc always the vertical and longitudinal components with respect to the flight path. The gust components are normalized by dividing by $S \Omega R$, not by $V$ as is often the convention for airplane analyses. The aerodynamic gust is assumed to be uniform throughout space.

## Trim terms

The result for the trim velocity terms is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{T}=r+\mu \sin \omega-\mu \cos \psi\left(\delta_{0} n_{3}-\varepsilon q: \vec{k}_{A} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{\square}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \dot{q}: \overrightarrow{4}_{8} \cdot \vec{\eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +N \cos \varphi\left(S_{m_{1}}-\operatorname{dan}_{2}+\varepsilon_{q}: \dot{L}^{\prime} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{1}^{\prime}+\beta_{G}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U R=\mu \cos \psi+\left(x F A+r_{F A} d_{F A_{3}}\right)+\varepsilon q_{i} \vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(\vec{\eta}_{i}-r_{\eta_{i}^{*}}^{*}\right) \\
& -\lambda\left(\delta e_{A_{1}}-\delta_{p A_{2}}+\varepsilon q_{i}+\beta_{\theta} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}^{+}+\beta G\right) \\
& +\mu \sin \psi\left(\delta A_{3}-\Sigma q: \hat{k}_{B} \cdot \vec{y}_{:}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\theta=\theta_{\text {all }}+\theta_{n \omega}+\theta_{\text {agc }}-k_{G} \beta \text { 䇇 }-\varepsilon k_{p}: q:
$$

where $\theta_{\text {aye }}$ is the input cyclic mitch required to trim the row. "or the trim velocity, the blade bendix, and gimbal motion is periotic. Nor axial flirt, $\mu=0$, the trim velocities are constant; for monaxial flow, $\mu>c$, these velocities are periodic in $\psi_{m}$, due to the rotation of the blade with respect to the rotor forward velocity.

Perturbation terms
The result for the perturbations of the velocity components and the blade pitch, due to the rotor and shaft motion and the aerodynamic gust, is then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{u_{T}}= & \left(\lambda \alpha_{x}+\dot{y}_{n}+v_{0}\right) \cos \psi_{m} \\
& +\left(\lambda \alpha_{y}-\dot{x}_{n}+u_{0} \cos \alpha_{n p}+\omega_{0} \sin \alpha_{n p}\right) \sin \psi_{m} \\
& +\mu \cos \psi_{m}\left(\alpha_{z}+\psi_{s}\right) \\
& +r\left(\dot{\alpha}_{z}+\dot{\psi}_{s}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \dot{q}_{i}\left(\vec{k}_{s} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{:}\right) \\
& +\mu \cos \psi_{m} \Sigma q_{i}\left(\vec{k}_{s} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{:}^{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{n p}=\left(\dot{\xi}_{n}-\mu_{\alpha_{y}}+n_{0} \sin _{n p}-\omega_{a} \cos \alpha_{n p}\right) \\
& +\mu \cos \mu_{m} \text { ( } \beta \sigma \text { ) } \\
& +r\left(\dot{\beta}_{G}+\dot{\alpha} x \sin \psi_{m}-\dot{\alpha}_{y} \cos \psi_{m}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \dot{q}:\left(\vec{u}_{b} \cdot \vec{p}_{\dot{\prime}}\right) \\
& +\mu \cos \psi_{m} \quad \Sigma q:\left(t_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}^{\eta}\right) \\
& \delta u_{R}=-\left(\lambda \alpha_{x}+\dot{y}_{n}+v_{G}\right) \sin \psi_{m} \\
& +\left(\lambda \alpha_{y}-\dot{x}_{n}+u_{G} \cos \alpha_{n p}+\omega_{G} \sin \alpha_{n P}\right) \cos \psi_{m} \\
& \rightarrow \beta_{G}-\mu \sin \psi_{m}\left(\alpha_{z}+\psi_{s}\right) \\
& +\Sigma q:\left[\vec{k}_{A} \cdot\left(\vec{\eta}_{i}-r \vec{\eta}_{i}^{*}-\mu \sin \psi_{m} \vec{\eta}_{:}^{\square}\right)-\lambda \vec{z}_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}^{\prime}\right] \\
& \partial \theta=\tilde{\theta}=\Sigma p_{i} \xi_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for Mns

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V B=(u+\cos \theta+u p \sin \theta)\left(\dot{\theta}+\beta_{\theta}+\varepsilon_{q}: \tau_{B} \cdot \vec{y}_{i}^{v}\right) \\
& \dot{\omega}+u_{R} \omega^{r}=\sum \dot{p}_{i} \xi_{i}(u \cos \theta+n \operatorname{psin} \theta) \\
& +n R E p_{i}\left(\$ i^{\circ}(n+\cos \theta+n \rho \sin \theta)+2 \xi: \cos \theta\right) \\
& \text { - ß̄o (2nrcose) } \\
& +\beta \sigma(\mu \sin \psi \cos \theta) \\
& +\left(\dot{\alpha}_{z}+\dot{\psi}_{s}\right)(2 n \varepsilon \sin \theta) \\
& -\left(\alpha_{z}+\psi_{s}\right)\left(\mu \sin \psi_{m} \sin \theta\right) \\
& \text {-2ur } \varepsilon \dot{q}: r \cdot \vec{\eta}^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-54- }
\end{aligned}
$$

Rotor Aeroly humic Forces - - Rotating Blade
with now the expansions for the section forces and moment in terms of the frelocity perturbations, and the velocity in terms of the notion of the rotor and shaft, we may obtain the perturbations of the aerodynamic forces on the blade. These are the blade forces expanded as linear combinations: of the degrees of freed bm. Giving manes to the aerodynamic coefficients at this point, the exults for the required aerodynamic forces on the rotating blade are as follows.

Bending:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\prime} \vec{y}_{k} \cdot\left(\frac{f_{z}}{a_{z}} \vec{c}_{k}-\frac{F_{X}}{a_{c}} \vec{k}_{B}\right) d r= \\
& M_{q_{x} 0}+M_{q_{x} \mu}\left[\left(\lambda \sigma_{x}+\dot{y}_{n}+v_{0}\right) \cos \psi_{m}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\lambda \sigma_{y}-i_{n}+n_{0} \cos \alpha_{n p}+\omega_{g} \sin _{m p}\right) \sin \psi_{m}\right] \\
& +M_{q k} \dot{j}\left(\dot{\alpha}_{z}+\dot{\psi}_{\xi}\right) \\
& +M_{q \times 5}\left(\alpha_{z}+\psi_{s}\right) \\
& +M_{q_{k} \lambda}\left(\dot{z}_{n}-\mu \alpha_{y}+u_{c} \sin \alpha_{n p}-\omega_{0} \cos \alpha_{n p}\right) \\
& + \text { Maquis }^{\dot{\beta}}\left(\dot{\beta}_{c}+\dot{\alpha}_{x} \sin \psi_{m}-\dot{\alpha}_{y} \cos \psi_{m}\right) \\
& +M_{q_{k} \beta} \quad \beta_{G} \\
& +\Sigma \mu_{q_{k} \dot{q}_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} \\
& +\Sigma M_{q_{k}}: q_{i} \\
& +\Sigma M_{q_{k} p_{i}} p_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

radial force:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{r}}{a c}-\frac{F_{z}}{a c}\left(\beta_{6}+\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{8} \cdot\left(x_{0} t+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v}\right) d r \\
& =R_{\mu}\left[-\left(\lambda \alpha_{x}+\dot{y}_{n}+v_{0}\right) \sin \psi_{m}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\lambda \alpha_{y}-\dot{x}_{n}+n_{0} \cos \alpha_{m p}+\omega_{s} \sin \alpha_{H 1}\right) \cos _{m} \omega_{m}\right] \\
& +\operatorname{Rr}\left[\left(\lambda \alpha_{x}+\dot{y}_{n}+v_{a}\right) \cos \right. \text { thm } \\
& \left.+\left(\lambda \alpha_{y}-\dot{x}_{n}+n_{0} \cos \alpha_{x p}+w_{g} \dot{i n}_{n} \alpha_{n p}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{m}\right] \\
& +R_{\dot{5}}\left(\dot{\alpha}_{z}+\dot{\psi}_{s}\right)+R_{5}\left(\alpha_{z}+\psi_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +R_{\dot{\beta}}\left(\dot{\beta}_{a}+\dot{\alpha}_{x} \sin \psi_{m}-\dot{\alpha}_{y} \cos \psi_{m}\right)+R_{\beta} \beta_{G} \\
& +\varepsilon R_{\dot{q}} \boldsymbol{q}:+\Sigma R_{q:} \boldsymbol{q}^{1} \\
& +\varepsilon R_{p i} p^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Torsion/pitch:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =M_{P_{k} r}\left[\left(\lambda_{\alpha_{x}}+j_{y}+v_{G}\right) \operatorname{cov} v_{m}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\lambda_{y}-i_{n}+u_{c} \cos \alpha_{n p}+w \in \operatorname{smo} \alpha_{n p}\right)\right)_{n} \psi_{m}\right] \\
& \left.+M p_{k j} \dot{\left(\alpha_{z}\right.}+\dot{w}_{s}\right)+M_{p_{k}}\left(\alpha_{z}+k_{z}\right) \\
& \left.+M p_{k} \text { [ } \dot{z}_{n}-\mu \alpha_{y}+n o \sin \alpha_{n p}-\omega_{0} \cos \alpha_{n p}\right] \\
& +\operatorname{Mpk}_{\beta \dot{\beta}}\left(\dot{\beta}_{0}+\dot{\alpha}_{x} \sin \psi_{m}-\dot{\alpha}_{b} \cos \psi_{m}\right)+M_{p k \beta} \beta \in \\
& +\varepsilon M_{p k q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\varepsilon M_{p k q i} q_{i} \\
& +\varepsilon M_{p_{k}} \dot{p}_{i} \dot{p}_{i}+\varepsilon M_{p_{k}} p_{i} p_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hub forces and moments: similar to the bending case, but with notation

|  | integrand | notation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{rF}_{Z}$ | H |
| flap moment | $\mathrm{rF}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | G |
| blade drag force | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | H |
| thrust | $\mathrm{F}_{Z}$ | T |

Aerodynamic coefficients
Applying the results for the expansion of the aerodynamic forces, and the expansion of the velocities, the aerodynamic coefficients may be evaluated. These coefficients of the degrees of freedom in the aerodynam ${ }^{2} c$ forces are constant for axial flow, the $\mu=0$ case. For the general monaxial flow case, $\mu>0$, the coefficients are however periodic functions of $\psi_{m}$. The results follow.

Bending:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{q_{k}}=S_{0}^{\prime} \vec{\eta}_{k} \cdot\left(\frac{F_{z}}{a_{c}} \tau_{t}-\frac{F_{x}}{a_{c}} \vec{k}_{k}\right) \text { or } \\
& M_{q_{k} \mu}=\int_{0}^{1} \vec{\eta}_{k} \cdot\left(F_{z+} \vec{l}_{B}-F_{x+} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \text { Ar }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{q_{k 5}}=\mu \cos \mu_{m} M_{q_{k} \mu} \\
& M_{q_{k}}=\int_{0}^{1} \vec{Y}_{x} \cdot\left(F_{z+} \overrightarrow{L_{s}}-F_{x p} \vec{k}_{k}\right) \text { \&r }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +S_{0}^{\prime} \forall_{x} \cdot\left(F_{e_{p}} \vec{L}_{B}-F_{x p} \vec{R}_{B}\right) \mathcal{L}_{B} \cdot y_{1} \text { dr }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+S_{0}^{1} \vec{\eta}_{\mu} \cdot\left(F_{z} \overrightarrow{4}-F_{x p} \vec{E}_{3}\right) t_{s} \cdot \vec{T}_{i} d\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Flap moment:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mu}=S_{0}^{1} F_{z T} r Q r \\
& M_{\dot{S}}=S_{0}^{1} F_{z r} r^{2} Q r \\
& M_{S}=\mu \cos \psi_{m} M_{\mu} \\
& M_{\lambda}=S_{0}^{1} F_{z p} r Q r \\
& M_{\dot{\beta}}=\int_{0}^{1} F_{z p} r^{2} Q r \\
& M_{\beta}=\mu \cos \psi_{m} M_{\lambda} \\
& M_{\dot{q}}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(F_{z r} \vec{K}_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}+F_{z p} \vec{L}_{\theta} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}\right) r Q r \\
& M_{q}=\mu_{\theta} \psi_{m} S_{0}^{1}\left(F_{z T} \vec{k}_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}^{r}+F_{z p} \tau_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}^{v}\right) r Q r \\
& \left.M_{p}=S_{0}^{1} F_{z \theta}\right\}_{i} r Q r
\end{aligned}
$$

Other hub forces and monents: similar to flap moment, with
flap moment torque
blade drag force thrust

| coefficient | $\frac{\text { integrand }}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ | $\mathrm{rF}_{\mathrm{z}}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{rF}_{\mathrm{X}}$ |
| H | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{X}}$ |
| T | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ |

Radial force:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\mu}=\int_{0}^{1} F_{r R} Q r \\
& R_{S}=S_{0}^{1}\left[F_{T T}-F_{E T}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{R} \cdot\left(x_{0} t+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{V}\right)\right] d r \\
& R_{\dot{j}}=\int_{0}^{1}\left[F_{r_{T}}-F_{z \tau}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{R}\right)^{\nu}\right)\right] r Q r \\
& R_{5}=\mu \cos \psi_{m} R_{r}-\mu \sin \psi_{m} R_{\mu} \\
& R_{\lambda}=S_{0}^{\prime}\left[F_{r_{p}}-F_{z p}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{0} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\bullet}\right)\right] \text { Q } \\
& R_{\dot{\beta}}=\int_{0}^{1}\left[F_{r p}-F_{z p}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \hat{\imath}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\top}\right)\right] r d r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\beta}=\mu \cos H_{m} R_{\lambda}-\lambda R_{\mu}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{f_{z}}{a_{c}} \text { dr } \\
& R_{q}:=\int_{0}^{1}\left[F_{r}-F_{z r}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{F}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \vec{t}+t_{0} \vec{R}\right)^{r}\right)\right] \overrightarrow{E_{B}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma_{i}} \text { dr } \\
& +S_{0}^{\prime}\left[F_{r_{p}}-F_{z p}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{r}\right)\right]{\overrightarrow{\zeta_{B}}}_{B} \cdot \vec{y}: d r \\
& R_{q:}=\mu \cos \psi_{m}\left\{S_{0}^{1}\left[f_{T T}-F_{F_{T}}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{F}_{B} \cdot\left(x \partial_{0}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\prime}\right)\right] \vec{F}_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{T}^{v} Q r\right. \\
& \left.+\zeta_{0}^{\prime}\left[F_{r p}-F_{z p}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}+\vec{k}_{B} \cdot\left(x_{0} \tau+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\prime}\right)\right] \vec{द}_{B} \cdot \hat{y}_{0}^{v} d r\right\} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Fr}_{R R}\left[{\overrightarrow{r_{B}}}_{B} \cdot\left(\vec{\eta}_{i}-r \vec{\eta}_{i}^{i}-\mu \operatorname{sinkm}_{\mathrm{m}} \vec{\eta}_{i}^{i}\right)-\lambda \vec{i}_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}^{\prime}\right] \text { dr } \\
& \text { - } \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f_{z}}{a c} \vec{L}_{b} \cdot \vec{\eta}: \text { or } \\
& \left.R_{p i}=\int_{0}^{1}\left[F_{r \theta}-F_{z_{\theta}}\left(\delta_{1}-d_{2}+\vec{F}_{\beta} \cdot\left(x_{0} \vec{t}+z_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{\nu}\right)\right]\right\}: 2 r
\end{aligned}
$$

Torsion/pitch:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{P x \mu}=\int_{r_{m}}^{1}\left[\xi_{k} M_{a r}-\left(F_{x T} \tau_{B}+F_{z_{r}} \vec{\xi}_{s}\right) \cdot \vec{X}_{A_{k}}\right] \text { or } \\
& M_{M K j}=\int_{r_{F A}}^{1}\left[\xi_{k} M_{a T}-\left(F_{X T} \vec{L}_{E}+F_{z_{T}} \vec{k}_{R}\right) \cdot \vec{x}_{A_{k}}\right] r \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \\
& -S_{r_{F A}} \xi_{K} \frac{\frac{C}{2}^{2}}{32}\left(1+4 \frac{X_{A}}{C}\right) 2 u_{R} \sin \theta Q_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$M_{\text {pKS }}=\mu \cos \psi_{m} M_{p_{k}} \mu$
$+S_{\text {rex }}^{1} 3_{k} \frac{\frac{C}{2}_{32}^{32}}{}\left(1+4 \frac{x_{x}}{c}\right) \mu \sin \psi_{m} \sin \theta d r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{p k \lambda}=S_{r_{m}}^{\prime}\left[\xi_{k} M_{a p}-\left(F_{x p} \vec{L}_{E}+F_{z p} \vec{L}_{B}\right) \cdot \vec{X}_{A_{k}}\right] \text { or }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\text {rox }}^{1} 3_{k} \frac{c^{2}}{32}\left(1+4 \frac{k_{A}}{\delta}\right) 2 u R \cos \theta \operatorname{ar}
\end{aligned}
$$

$M_{\text {Ppup }}=\mu \cos \psi_{m}$ Mpsi

$\left.-\int^{1} r_{m A}\right\}_{k} \frac{\frac{c}{2}_{2}^{32}}{32}\left(1+4 \frac{x_{A}}{c}\right) \mu \sin \psi_{m} \cos \theta$ or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{p_{X} \dot{q}}=\int_{r_{R A}}^{1}\left[\xi_{k} M_{a r}-\left(F_{x T} \vec{t}_{B}+F_{z T} \vec{k}_{B}\right) \cdot \vec{x}_{A K}\right] \vec{k}_{B} \cdot \overrightarrow{q_{:}} \text {or } \\
& +\int_{P_{P}}^{1}\left[\xi_{k} M_{a r}-\left(F_{x p} \vec{u}_{z}+F_{z p} \vec{k}_{A}\right) \cdot \vec{X}_{A k}\right] z_{\beta} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{1} \partial r \\
& +\int_{r=n}^{1} \xi_{k} \frac{c^{2}}{32}\left(1+4 \frac{x_{A}}{c}\right) Z_{R} t \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i}^{*} \operatorname{ar}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\int_{r_{p A}}^{1}\left\{\xi_{k} m_{a p}-\left(F_{x p} \vec{c}_{s}+f_{z p} \vec{k}_{s}\right) \cdot \vec{X}_{A k}\right] \vec{\tau}_{B} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{i} \theta_{r}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\text {TRA }} \xi_{K} \frac{c^{2}}{32}(u r \cos \theta+n \rho \sin \theta)\left(1+8 \frac{x_{x}}{c}+16\left(\frac{x_{x}}{c}\right)^{2}\right) \vec{C}_{B} \cdot \vec{y}: d r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{p_{k} p:}=\int_{r \theta}^{1}\left[\xi_{k} M_{a \theta}-\left(F_{x \theta} \vec{\tau}_{\theta}+F_{z \theta} \vec{k}_{\theta}\right) \cdot \vec{x}_{A_{k}}\right] \xi_{i} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{p k p_{i}}=-\int_{r_{p A}}^{1} \xi_{k} \xi_{i} \frac{c^{2}}{16}(u r \cos \theta+u p \sin \theta)\left(1+6 \frac{x_{A}}{c}+8\left(\frac{x_{y}}{c}\right)^{2}\right) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{x}_{A_{k}}=-S_{r_{\text {PA }}}^{r} i_{x}\left(z_{0} \tau-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)^{v v}(r-\rho) d \rho \\
& \vec{X}_{A_{0}}=-\left(z_{0} t-x_{0} \vec{k}\right)+\left(\delta_{2} i_{B}+\delta_{3} \vec{k}_{A}\right)\left(r_{-} r_{p A}\right) \\
& +\left.\left(\varepsilon_{n} t-x_{0} \vec{x}^{2}\right)\right|_{r_{P A}}+\left.\left(\varepsilon_{0} t-x \vec{k}\right)^{\Gamma}\right|_{r_{n}}\left(r-r_{r_{A}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{x}_{A_{k} q i}=-S_{r_{m A}}^{r} \xi_{k} \vec{y}_{i}^{u r}(r-\rho) \otimes \rho \\
& \vec{x}_{A-q:}=-\vec{\eta}_{i}+\vec{\eta}_{i}^{i}\left(r_{p A}\right)+\vec{y}_{i}^{( }\left(r_{p_{A}}\right)\left(r-r_{p A}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rotor Aerodynamic Forces -- Nonrotating Frame

The aerodynamic forcing functions for the rotor equations of motion in the nonrotating frame, and the hub forces and moments are now required. These are obtained by summing the blade rotating forces (given above) over all N blades. The fourier coordinate transform of the rotor degrees of freedom is: introduced as requireri.

## Axial Flow

First consider the case of axial flow, $\mu=0$; for either high inflow ratio $\lambda$ (order 1, i.e. proprotor cruise flight), or low inflow (small $\lambda$, ie. hover in helicopter mode). In this case the aerodynamic coefficients in the blade forces are constant, independent of $\mu_{m}$. The coefficients are also independent of $m$ (the blade index) then, so the summation over N blades operates only on the blade degrees of freedom and shaft motion variables. The result for the required aerodynamic forces, in matrix form, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
-M_{a \sim} & =A_{1} \dot{x}_{R}+A_{0} x_{R}+\tilde{A}_{1} \dot{\alpha}+\tilde{A}_{0} \alpha-B_{G} g \\
F_{0 a v} & =C_{1} \dot{x}_{R}+C_{0} x_{R}+\tilde{C}_{1} \dot{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{0} \alpha+\dot{A}_{G} g
\end{aligned}
$$

where the rotor degrees of freedom $\left(\vec{x}_{p}\right)$, shaft motion ( $\vec{\alpha}$ ), and aerodynamic gust input ( $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}$ ) are:

These coefficients simply add to the inertial coefficients already derived, to complete the equations of motion. The matrices of the aerodynamic coefficients follow.




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}_{0}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-95- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B_{G}=
$$


$C_{0}=$

$\tilde{C}_{1}=$
$\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l} \\ & & \gamma T_{\lambda} & & & \partial T_{\dot{\xi}} \\ \hline-\gamma\left(\mu_{\mu}+R_{r}\right) & \gamma R_{r} & & \gamma H_{\dot{\beta}} & -\gamma R_{\dot{\beta}} & \\ \hline \gamma R_{r} & \gamma\left(\mu_{\mu}+R_{\mu}\right) & & -\gamma R_{\dot{\beta}} & -\gamma \mu_{\dot{\beta}} & \\ \hline & & \gamma Q_{\lambda} & & & \gamma Q_{\dot{\xi}} \\ \hline \gamma \mu_{\mu} & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline \gamma \mu_{\mu} & & & \end{array}\right]$


## Monaxial flow

Consider now the case of monaxial flow, $\mu>0$. This case includes helicopter mode forward flight, and conversion mode flight for the tilting proprotor aircraft. The aerodynamic coefficients are then periodic functions of $W_{m}$. Hence the equations of motion for the system have periodic coefficients, due to the periodically varying aerodynamics of the edgewise moving rotor.

One can express the aerodynamic coefficients as Fourier series, and then obtain the coefficients of the nonrotating equations of motion in terms of these harmonics. For the general rotor considered here, it would be necessary to evaluate the harmonics of the aerodynamic coefficients numerically, however. It is simplest therefore to just sum (numerically) the coefficients over $m=1 \ldots N$ as is required in finding the nonrotating equations of motion and the net hub forces and moments. The nonrotiting coordinates for the rotor motion (Fourier coordinate transformation) are also introduced.

For the periodic coefficient case, it is necessary to specify the number of blades $N$, since the periodic coefficients depend on $N$; also, the periodic coefficients couple all the rotor nonrotating degrees of freedom, so more than the $0,1 \mathrm{C}$, and 1 S variables are involved with the shaft motion (if $N>3$ ). We shall consider only the case $N=3$; then the $0,1 C$, and 15 degrees of freedom are the complete set, even for the periodic coefficient case. The period of the equations in the nonrotating frame is $\Delta \psi=2 \pi / N$.

Again we write the aerodynamic forces in matrix form, as
where now the coefficients $A, B, C$, and $D$ are periodic functions of $W$ (period $2 \pi / \mathrm{N}$ ). The matrices of the aerodynamic coefficients follow. The notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=\cos \psi_{m} \\
& S=\sin \psi_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

is used $\left(\psi_{m}=\psi+m \Delta \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)$. Note that each matrix is a summation over all N blades ( $i=3$ in this case).


$$
A_{0}=\gamma \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}
$$



$$
\tilde{A}_{1}=\gamma \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}
$$



$$
\tilde{A}_{0}=\gamma \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}
$$

- 



$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{G}=\gamma \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$c_{1}=$


$$
c_{0}=\gamma \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}
$$



$$
\underline{\varepsilon}_{0}=\gamma \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m}
$$





## Constant Coefficient Approximation

Finally, we consider a constant coefficient approximation for the monaxial flow case. This approximation uses the mean values of the periodic coefficients of the differential equations. A constant coefficient approximation is desirable (if it is demonstrated to be accurate enough) because the calculation required for the analysis is considerably reduced compared to the periodic coefficient equations, and because the powerful techniques for analyzing time-invariant (constant coefficient) linear differential equations are applicable. It is only an approximation to the correct dynamics however; the accuracy of the approximation must be determined by comparison with the correct periodic coefficient solutions.

To find the mean value of the coefficients, we apply the operator

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(\cdots) 0 \psi
$$

to the periodic coefficients given above. The result is terms of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1}{N} \underset{\substack{ \\
2 \cos ^{2} \mu_{\infty} \\
2 \sin ^{2} \psi_{m}}}{\cos \psi_{m}} M\left(\psi_{m}\right)\right) d \psi_{m} \\
& 2 \text { suntereen } 4 \text {. } \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \\
2 e^{2} \\
2 e^{2} \\
2 e^{2}
\end{array}\right) M \Delta M_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$


where $M^{n c, n s}$ are the harmonics of a Fourier series representation of the rotating blade aerodynamic coefficient $\mathrm{M}:$

In the press nt case, these harmonics must be evaluated numerically. We evaluate $M$ at $J$ points, equally spaced around the azimuth:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{0}=\frac{1}{J} \Sigma M_{j} \\
& M^{n<, n s}=\frac{2}{J} \Sigma M_{j} \sin n \omega_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{j}=M\left(\psi_{j}\right) \\
& \psi_{j}=j \Delta \psi, j=1 \ldots J J \\
& \Delta \psi=\frac{2 \pi}{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

The harmonics up to the second ( $n=2$ ) are required here. This Fourier interpolation formula requires then for good accuracy about $\mathrm{J}>12$ (a $30^{\circ}$ azimuth increment). Using these expressions, the required harmonics are:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
M^{0} \\
\frac{1}{2} M^{k} \\
\frac{1}{2} M^{1 s} \\
M^{0}+\frac{1}{2} M^{2 c} \\
M^{0}-\frac{1}{2} M^{2 c} \\
\frac{1}{2} M^{2 s}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{J} \sum_{j}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\cos \psi \\
\sin \omega \\
2 \cos ^{2} \mu \\
2 \sin 2 \psi \\
2 \sin \psi \cos \psi
\end{array}\right) M\left(\psi_{j}\right)
$$

It follows then that the constant coefficient approximation is obtained from the periodic coefficient expressions by the simple transformation:

The summation over $N$ blades ( $m=1 \ldots N, \Delta \psi=2 \pi / N$ ) for the perioisc coefficient case is replaced by a summation over the rotor azimuth ( $j=1 . . J, \Delta M=2 \pi / J$ ) for the constant coefficient approximation. This is quite convenient, since the same procedures may be used to evaluate the coefficients for the two cases, with simply a change in the azimuth increment. The periodic coefficients must be evaluated throughout the period of $\quad W=0$ to $2 \pi / N$ of course; while the constant coefficient approximation (the mean values only) is evaluated only once.

With the substitution $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\infty} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{5} \sum_{j}$, the results given above for the periodic coefficient matrices are directly applicable to the constant coefficient approximation as well.

The are two requirements in the dynamics analysis for the trim, equilibrium solution for the rotor blare motion and rotor performance: first, the trim bending deflection $\left(x_{0}, i+\pi \overrightarrow{2}\right)$ is required for the coefficients, particularly when the blats torsion dynamics are involved; secondly, the evaluation of the aerodynamic coefficients requires the lift $t$ and drag loading of the rotor blade. The trim bending deflection is assumed to be independent of N ) in the analysis, so the mean value must be used when $\mu>0$; for the aerodynamic coefficients, the periodic variation of the trim blade aerodynamics when $\mu>0$ will be included however. The dynamics analysis (the evaluation of the coefficients of the equations of motion) must be preceded therefore by a preliminary calculation of the rotor equilibrium motion. The trim solution for the blade motion is periodic in the rotating frame for the general case of monaxial flow for $\mu=0$, axial flow, the blade motion is steady in the rotating frame. For the trim blade motion solution we shall consider only the bending and gimbal degrees of freedom. It is assumed that there is no shaft motion, gusts, rotational speed perturbation, or torsion/pitch motion (except cyclic control and any bending/torsion coupling) in the trim solution.

The trim solution involves the numerical integration of the differential equations of motion for a single blade in the rotating frame, until the blade motion converges to the desired periodic solution. The equations for the blade motion are obtained from the above analysis, and are for the bending and gimbal degrees of freedoms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{q_{k}}^{*}\left(\ddot{q}_{k}+g_{s} \theta_{k} \dot{q}_{x}+\theta_{k}^{2} q_{x}\right)+2 \varepsilon_{q_{k}}^{*} \dot{q}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-117- }
\end{aligned}
$$

Where the inertia constants are defined above, and the aerodynamic forces are evaluated using the trim velocity components (for which expressions are given above).

After the integration of the blade motion converges to a periodic solution, the rotor performance may be evaluated, i.e. the mean aerodynamic forces and moments the rotor produces at the hub, particularly the rotor thrust and torque coefficients. The Fourier harmonics of the blade bending motion are also evaluated. From the zeroth harmonics of the bending motion, the mean bending deflection of the blade may be evaluated.

For axial flow, $\mu=0$, integration of the blade motion is not required; for the gimbal motion is zero (assuming no cyclic pitch input) and the equation for the blade bending modal deflection reduces to

$$
I_{n}^{*} \partial_{n}^{2} q_{n}=z_{L_{n}}^{+}+\gamma \int_{0}^{1} \vec{\eta}_{x} \cdot\left(\frac{P_{z}}{A_{0}} i_{0}-\frac{F_{x} F_{n}}{E_{c}}\right) d r
$$

## Coupled bending modes of a rotating blade

Equilibrium of the elastic, inertial, and centrifugal bending moments on the blade gives the differential equation for the coupled flap/lag bending of the rotating blade. For free vibration -- the homogeneous equation (no forcing) with harmonic motion at the natural frequency $\mathcal{V}$.we obtain the modal equation for bending of the blare:



This is an eigenvalue problem, a differential equation in $r$ for the mode shapes $\vec{\sim}$ and the natural frequencies $\vec{v}$. The equation with the appropriate boundary conditions constitutes a proper Stum-Liouville eigenvalue problem. It follows that the solution exists: a series of modes $\hat{\eta}_{i}(p)$ and corresponding natural frequencies $\nabla_{i} ;$ where the modes are orthogonal with weight $m, 1 . e$. if if $k$ then

$$
\sum_{0}^{R} \overrightarrow{\eta_{i}} \cdot \vec{y} N \vec{n}=0
$$

and the frequencies satisfy the relation (an energy balance):


The modal equation will be solved by a Calexkin method. The mode shape is expanded as a finite series in the functions $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{F}}_{1}(r)$ :

$$
\vec{y}=E=\vec{F}_{i}(r)
$$

We require that each of the $\vec{f}_{1}$ satisfy the boundary conditions on $\overrightarrow{\vec{r}}$; then the sum automatically does. Since a finite series is required for computation, this is an approximate calculation; the functions $\vec{f}_{i}$ should then be chosen so that at least the lower frequency modes can be well represented, for best numerical accuracy. Substituting this series in the differential equation and operating with

$$
\int_{e}^{1} \vec{f}_{k} \cdot(\cdots) 2 r
$$

reduces the problem (after integration by parts and an application of the boundary con'itions) to a set of algegraic equations for $\vec{c}=\left[i_{i}\right]$

$$
\left(A-\theta^{2} B\right) \vec{c}=0
$$

where the coefficient matrices are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{k}:=\int_{e}^{1} m \vec{f}_{k} \cdot \vec{f}_{:} \text {Qr }
\end{aligned}
$$

Eigenvalues of the matrix $3^{-1} A$ are the natural frequencies $J^{2}$ of the coupled bending vibration of the blade; and the corresponding eigenvectors $\vec{c}$ give the mode shape $\vec{\eta}$. As a final step, the modes are normalized to unity at the tip; $|\vec{₹}(1)|=1$.

A convenient set of functions for $f_{1}$ are the polynomials (refs):

$$
f_{n}=\frac{(n+2)(n+8)}{6} p^{n+1}-\frac{n(n+3)}{3} r^{n+2}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} r^{n+3}
$$

(for a hinged blade $f_{1}=r$ is used). These polynomials satisfy the required boundary conditions, butare not orthoemnl functions.

Torsion modes of a nonrotation blade
Equilibrium of the clastic and inertial torsion moments gives the modal? equation

$$
\left.\left.\cos \xi^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}+\operatorname{In}^{2}\right\}=0
$$

The mores are orthogonal with weight I $\mathcal{A}$ ie. if its then

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{R}\right\}: \xi_{n} I a_{n}=0
$$

and the frequencies satisfy the relation

These are nomrotating mores, so the solution is independent
of $\Omega$ or $\Omega$. The equation is solver! by a Galerkin method. Writing

$$
\xi=\sum e_{i} f ;(r)
$$

where the functions $f_{1}$ satisfy the boundary conditions on $\}$, and opera ing : th $\left.S_{\eta_{n}}^{\prime}\right]_{m}(\cdots)$ on the "inferential equation, produces a set of algebraic equations for $\vec{c}=\left[r_{i}\right]$ :

$$
\left(A-D^{2} B\right) 2^{2}=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k 1}=\int_{r+i}^{\prime} \frac{\sigma J}{\Omega^{2} R^{2}} f_{k}^{0} f_{i}^{0} \Delta r \\
& B_{k i}=S_{r m i}^{\prime}=0 f_{k} f_{i} \text { ar }
\end{aligned}
$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathrm{B}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}$ give the natural frequencies of the torsion vibration, and the corresponding eigenvectors for $\vec{c}$ give the modes. Finally, the torsion modes are normalized to unity at the tip, $\zeta(1)=1$.

A convenient set of functions to use for $f_{i}$ is the solution for the torsion modes of a uniform beam:

$$
f_{n}=\sin \left[\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \frac{r_{B A}}{1-r_{B A}}\right]
$$

These functions satisfy the boundary conditions, and will usually be close to the actual mode shapes.

For the rotor support we consider a cantilever wing, with the rotor on a mast or pylon attacher to the wing tip. Reference 4 discusces the cantilever wing as a representation of the tilting proprotor aircraft dynamics, and develops the equations of motion describing this support. The equations of motion for the wing, and the rotor motion produced by the wing are developed in reference 4 ; these results are adopted here With only two extensions: to arbitrary angle of attack of the rotor shaft with respect to the forvarr velocity; and the inclusion of a wine trailing-edge flap mong the controls.

## Cantilever wing

The cantilever wing and pylon peumetry is shoun in fig..e 12. He consifer a high aspect ratio, flexible wing, with the rotor on the tip. The wing is attached to an immovable support with cantilever root restraint. A pylon with large mass and moment of inertia is rigidjy attached to the wing tip. The rotor is mounted on the pylon with the hub forward of the wing $E A$, with mast height $h$. A general pyion angle $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is considered. from vertical in helicopter mode to horizontal in airplane mode. The wing motion consists of elastic benting, vertical and chordwise, and elastic torsion. There is no motion of the pyion reletive to the wing tip, so the wing tip motion is transmitted directly to the hub, and hut forces and moments tiransmitted directly to the wing tip, through the mast of height $h$. The rotor and wing operate in a steady free strean of velocity $V$. The pylon (or mast, or rotor shaft) ansle of attack Sp may be large, so it covers the entire range of tilting proprotor operation. The cases includes Sp near $90^{\circ}$ for helicopter model is between 0 and $90^{\circ}$ for conversion mode: $\delta p=0$ for sruise modes and $V=0$ is the case of hover flight.

The wing angle of attack is $\delta_{\text {ra }}$, dofined positive nose upi it is assured that $\delta \omega_{0}$ is a small angle. The angle between the wing and the rotor shaft is then $8 p-\mathrm{Sh}_{2}$ it is this angle which determines
the transmission of motion and forces between the rotor and the wing. Recall that $\sim_{M P}$ is the angle of the rotor disk to the forward speed $V$; here we use $\delta_{p}$ for the shaft angle of attack, hence $\delta_{p}=90^{\circ}=\sigma_{n} p$. We also consider small sweep angle $\delta w_{3}$ (positive aft) and small dineतral angle $\delta_{w_{i}}$ (positive up) of the wing. A major effect of $\delta_{r y}$ and $\delta_{w_{1}}$ is on the position of the effective elastic axis of the wing, hence on the effective mast height for the transmission of motion and forses between the rotor and the wing. The angles $\delta_{w_{1}}, \delta_{w_{2}}$, and $\delta_{w_{2}}$ are remover from the orientaticn of the pylon and shaft at the wing tip. So the rotor shaft is in a vertical plane with no sweep or dihedral, parallel to $V$ when $\delta p=0$ a ami then $S p$ is the angle of attack of the shaft with respect to $V$, not with respect to the wing.

The wing is assumed to have a straight spar line, which is the locus of the local AA . The wing root is supported with cantilever restraint, and the rotor shaft is attacher? rigidly to the wing tip. The wing has no twist, constant chord $c_{w}$, length $y_{T}$ from root to tip (semispan), with the distance $y_{w}$ measured from the root, along the wing spar. The shaft length (mast height) is $h$, the iistance the rotor hub is forward of the wing tip EA. The wing spar is roughly perpendicular to $V$, with small wing sweep, dihedral, anc angle of attaci considered. The wing root is attacher to a plane defined by the forward velocity $V$ and the vertical; then the three rotation angles $\delta w_{1}$, $\delta w_{2}$, and $\delta_{w_{3}}$ define the urientation of the spar with respect to the free stream velocity. Next the pylon is rotated by $-\delta_{w_{1}},-\delta_{w_{2}}$, and -Sos with respect to the wing tip, to keep the shaft parallel to $v$; finally the pylon is rotated by $\delta P$ with respect to $V$, defining the orientation of the rotor.

Swept wings are usually built with a center box structure in the fuslage, where the spars are unswept, and only the wing structure outside th.e fualage has swept spars. The wing is restrained at several points where the wing box is tied to the fuselage structure, or in this case to the cantilever wing fixed support. There exists an effective elastic axis for vertical bending of the wing tips some point on the shaft or its
extension where the application of a vertical force results in purely vertical displacement of the shaft, with no rotation in pitch. Without sweep this point would be just at the wing tip SA; $^{\text {b }}$ but with sweep a force there will produce a pitch motion of the shaft also, hence the effective EA is some distance from the wing tip EA. The effective elastic axis for the tip lies between thefactual wing tip $E A$ and the extension of the unswept spar line, the actual position depending on the degree of root restraint and sweep, and other structural details. Figure 13 illustrates the geometry involved. Reference 4 develops an elementary model for the wing bending and torsion including the shift of the effective EA तue to sweep (and a similar effect due to dihedrial), which is adopted here. The effective Ed position is described by (figure 13):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}= \text { mast height, distance hub forward wing tip EA. } \\
&= \text { effective mast height, di. tance hub forwar! } \\
& \mathrm{hBA} \mathrm{effective} \mathrm{EA.} \\
& \mathbf{z}_{\mathrm{EA}}= \text { distance hub below effective EA due to dihedral. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Further discussion of this effect, including the estimation of the parameters involved, is given in reference 4.

The aircraft has two contrarotating rotors, one on each wing tip. The direction of rotation of the rotor on the right aing (as in figure 12) may be either clockwise or counterclockwise. The influence of the rotor rotational direction is a few signs in the equations of motion, reflecting how the rotor hub forces and moments excite the wing motion, and how the wing produces motion of the rotor shaft. As in reference 4, the notation $\Omega$ is used to carry this influence of the rotor rotation direction, where $\sqrt{2}$ takes only the values $\quad \leq 1:$

$$
S=\left\{\begin{aligned}
&+1, \text { rotor rotation clockwise on right } \\
& \text { wing, counterclockwise on left. } \\
&-1, \text { rotor rotation counterclockwise o } \\
& \text { right wing, clockwise on left. }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## Wing Motion

The wing motion is lescribed by elastic bending and torsion of the spar; the pylon, and witn it the rotor shaft, is rigidly attached to the wing tip. Elastic bending results in ioflection of the wing spar with components both perpendicular to the wing surface (vertical or beam bendinf), and paralle] to the wing surface (chordwise bending). Vertical and chorriwise ben!ing: are efined with respect to thefirecion of the local principle axes of the section. There is no winf twist, su these principle axes are the same all along the span, but they are not vertical and horizontal axes because of the wing sweep, diherml, and angle of attack. We define (figure 1i) the wing bending and torsion deflection as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
z_{w}\left(y_{w}\right)= & e l a s t i c \text { bending vertical displacenent of the } \\
& :-i x i r, ~ n o r m a l ~ t o ~ t h e ~ w i n g ~ s u r f a c e, ~ p o s i t i v e ~ u p ~
\end{aligned} \\
& x_{w}\left(y_{w}\right)=\begin{array}{l}
\text { elastic bending chorriwise displacement } c: ~ t h e ~
\end{array} \\
& \text { rearward. } \\
& \theta_{w}\left(y_{w}\right)=\text { pitch chance of local wing section, d'se to } \\
& \text { elastic torsion about the local En, positive } \\
& \text { nose up. }
\end{aligned}
$$

A modal description of the wing elastic defrimation is used, anc only the lowest irequency modes retained. We consider just three decrees of freedom for the ::ing: first mode vertical bending, chordwise bending, and torsion. 'the degrees of freedon representing the wing notion are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{H_{1}}=\text { winf vertical or beamwise bending, positive } \\
& \text { upwari: }{ }^{\eta_{w_{1}}}=\overbrace{W} / y_{T_{W}} \text { it the wing tip. } \\
& q_{w_{2}}=\text { wing chordwise hending, positive rearward; } \\
& q_{w_{2}}=x_{W} / y_{T_{W}} \text { at the wing tip. } \\
& \begin{aligned}
& p_{w}= \text { wing olastic torsion, positive nose up; } \\
& p_{w}=\Theta_{W} \text { at the wing tip. }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Associated with these degrees of freedom are mode shapes, $\xi_{w}\left(y_{w}\right)$ for torsion, and $y_{\omega}\left(y_{\omega}\right)$ for bending. These modes are normalized to 1 and to $y_{T_{w}}$ respectively at the wink tip $\left(y_{w}=y_{T_{w}}\right)$.

From the results of reference 4 , generalized to arbitrary ph ion angle of attack. $\delta_{p}$, the rotor himation due to the wing degrees of freedom is:

where we have written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \text { for } \cos \left(\delta p-\delta_{w_{2}}\right) \\
& S \text { for } \sin \left(\delta_{p}-\delta_{v_{2}}\right) \\
& \eta \text { for } \Omega y_{w}\left(y w_{0}\right) \\
& y \text { for } y_{T_{w}} \\
& \delta_{1} \text { for } \Omega \delta_{v_{1}} \\
& \delta_{3} \text { for } \Omega \delta_{w_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Wing Equations of Notion
from reference 4 , the equation $\because$ motion for the $q_{w_{1}}, q_{w_{2}}$, an $\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}}$ degrees oi freedom of the cantilever wind, excited by the forces and moments at the rotor hub and by the wing aerodynamic forces, are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c_{q_{2}}^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{p}^{*}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{c}
q_{w_{1}} \\
q_{w_{2}} \\
p_{w}
\end{array}\right)^{0}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{q_{1}}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & k_{q_{2}}^{*} & 0 \\
c_{p_{1} y^{*} \frac{2 k_{2}}{*} c} & c_{p y_{1}^{*}}^{*} \frac{2 k_{7}}{\sigma_{a}} & k_{p}^{*}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{c}
q_{w_{1}} \\
q_{w_{2}} \\
p_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(\begin{array}{l}
M_{q_{1}}=\sim 0 \\
M_{q_{2}} \\
M_{p \omega \infty}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



The wing equations are nomalized by dividing by $(N / 2) I_{b}$, so thefrotor exciting forces are in helicopter coefficient form. The inertias are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{I_{w}}^{*}=\frac{1}{\frac{N}{2} I_{6}} \int_{0}^{y r_{\infty}} m_{\infty} \eta_{n}^{2} \partial_{y m} \\
& I_{p_{m}}^{*}=\frac{1}{\frac{N}{2} I_{b}} \int_{0}^{y_{\infty}} I_{0 w} \xi_{\infty}^{2} d y \omega \\
& m_{p}^{*}=\frac{m_{p} y^{2} w}{\frac{N}{2} I_{b}} \\
& I_{p_{x}}^{*}=\frac{I_{p x}}{\sum_{2} I_{b}} \\
& I_{p_{y}}^{*}=\frac{I_{p_{y}}}{\frac{V_{b}}{2}} \\
& S_{w}^{*}=m^{*} \frac{\text { PEA }}{y_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m_{W}$ is the wing mass per unit length; $I_{\Theta_{W}}$ is the wing section moment of inertia in pitch; $m_{P}$ is the pylon mass (without the rotor); $I_{P_{x}}$ and $I_{P_{y}}$ are the pylon yaw and pitch moments of inertia, without the rotor, about ${ }^{y}$ the wing tip effective $E A$; and ${ }^{2} P_{E A}$ is the distance the pylon CG (without the rotor) is ahead of the wing EA tip effective EA. For the pioprotor configuration, the pylon mass is so large that it dominates the wink inertias. Hence the inertia is primarily that of the pylon and rotor, with the wing contributing elastic restraint of the motion. The wing structural spring constants are $K_{q_{1}}^{*}, K_{q_{2}}^{*}$, and $K_{p}^{*}$; these were evaluated by matching the predicted frequencies of the wing modes to the values obtained experimentally. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}}^{*}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}_{2}}^{*}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}^{*}$ are the structural damping constants for the wing modes. Vertical bending elevates the rotor trim thrust above the inboard sections, and so gives a nose down pitch moment with effectiveness given by $C_{p q}^{*}{ }^{\prime}$

$$
c_{P q}^{*}=\int_{0}^{400} 3_{0 n} y_{i n}^{*} d_{y-1 / y r u} \cong \frac{2}{3}
$$

Dimensionally, the spring ind don tine constants are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k^{*}=k \cdot \frac{N}{2} I_{b} \Omega^{2} \\
& C^{*}=c / \frac{N}{2} I_{b}|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the relative spring and damping rates vary with the rotor rotational speed; ie. "o wing frequency is really a fixed (imensional value ( Hz ), so the per-rev values vary with $\Omega$.

Additional discussion and details of the wing equations of motion are given in reference 4.

Wing Aerodynamics
The wing aerodynamic forces exciting bending and torsion motion of the wing are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{q_{2}}=\frac{1}{\delta \frac{\hbar}{2} I_{D}} \int_{0}^{y \gamma_{\infty}} F_{x_{\omega}} \eta_{\omega} d_{y_{\omega}} \\
& \left.M_{p+\infty}=\frac{1}{\delta \frac{N}{2} I_{L}} \int_{\infty}^{y_{0}} M_{\infty}\right\}_{\infty} \text { dy } \rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{Z_{w}}$ and $F_{X_{W}}$ are the vertical and chordwise aerodynamic forces on the wing ${ }^{W}$ section ${ }^{W}$ (lift and profile plus induced drag); $M_{W}$ is the aerodynamic moment about tie local EA. The velocity seen by the section has perturbations due to the wing degrees of freedom, and due to aerodynamic gusts. Aerodynamic interference between the rotor and the wing is neglected. From the velocity perturbations, the perturbations of the section forces may be found, and hence the wing aerodynamic coefficients. The derivation of the wing aerodynamic coefficients follows the standard techniques of strip theory in aeroelasticitys more details of the derivation are given in reference 4. We also include here the aerodynamic force due to the deflection of a control surface flap or aileron) on the wing trailing-erge. The geometry is shown in figure 14. A constant chord $\left(c_{F}\right)$ trailing-edge flap, extending from $y_{w}=y_{F}$ to $y_{w}=y_{F_{0}}$
is considered. The flap deflection angle is $f$, is considered. The flap deflection angle is $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{f}}$. positive downward. So 0
$\delta \boldsymbol{q}$ is a control variable, in addition to the rotor cyclic and collective pitch controls. The result for the wing aerodynamic forces is:

The aerodynamic coefficients are:
-131-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{91}=\theta_{12} V 2 G_{10} e_{1} \\
& \varepsilon_{\text {q.w }}=\delta_{12} \vee C_{\text {La }} \theta_{1} \\
& C_{910}=\delta_{m i n} C_{910}+\delta_{n g} C_{91 n} \\
& c_{91} \dot{q}_{1}=-D_{13} \vee c_{L \times} e_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left[\begin{array}{lll}
c_{q 191} & c_{q 192} & c_{11} \\
c_{q 291} & c_{929} & c_{92} \\
c_{p q 1} & c_{p q 92} & c_{p p}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{l}
q_{101} \\
q_{102} \\
p_{N}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{q, w} & c_{q, v} & c_{q, w} \\
c_{q 2 n} & c_{q 2 v} & c_{q 2} \\
c_{p n} & c_{p v} & e_{p w}
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \\
v o \\
w G
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{9.8} \\
c_{928} \\
c_{18}
\end{array}\right] \delta_{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{q_{1} \dot{q}_{2}}=-\theta_{13} \vee C_{4} R_{2} \\
& c_{q_{1} q_{1}}=-D_{12} V^{2} S_{n_{3}} c_{q_{\alpha}} e_{3} \\
& c_{q_{192}}=-\theta_{12} v^{2} \delta_{w_{3}} c_{L} \cdot e_{3} \\
& c_{q_{1} \dot{p}}=\delta_{22} \frac{1}{2} V\left(\frac{2}{4}+\frac{X_{A n}}{C_{w}}\right) c_{c_{1}} e_{4} \\
& c_{q_{1} p}=\delta_{12} v^{2} c_{\text {Lor }} e_{4} \\
& c_{q_{1} \delta}=0_{12} V^{2} c_{L \alpha} c_{q_{6}}^{\forall} e_{5} \\
& c_{q_{2 H}}=\theta_{12} \vee 2\left(<\Delta_{0}-\delta_{w_{2}} c_{L_{0}}\right) e_{1} \\
& c_{q_{2} w}=\theta_{12} V\left({C D_{\alpha}}-2 c_{L_{0}}\right) e_{1} \\
& c_{q_{2 v}}=S_{w_{1}} c_{q_{2} w}+\delta_{w_{3}} C_{q_{2} n} \\
& c_{92 q_{1}}=-\theta_{13} V\left(C_{\alpha}-2 c_{10}\right) e_{2} \\
& c_{q_{2} \dot{q}_{2}}=-\theta_{13} V\left(2 c_{\theta_{0}}-\delta_{\omega_{2}} c_{\Delta_{\alpha}}\right) e_{2} \\
& c_{q 291}=-\theta_{12} V^{2} \delta_{\omega_{3}}\left(C \Delta \alpha-2 c_{L 0}\right) e_{3} \\
& c_{q_{212}}=-\delta_{12} V^{2} \delta_{v_{3}}\left(2 c_{\Delta_{0}}-\delta_{\omega_{2}} c_{\Delta_{\infty}}\right) e_{3} \\
& c_{q_{2} \dot{P}}=\theta_{22} \frac{1}{2} V\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{x_{\omega_{0}}}{c_{\infty}}\right)\left(c_{D_{\alpha}}-2 c_{20}\right)-\frac{1}{4} c_{L_{0}}\right] e_{4} \\
& c_{q_{2} p}=\theta_{12} V^{2}\left(c_{Q_{\alpha}}-c_{L_{0}}\right) e_{4} \\
& c_{12 \delta}=\theta_{12} v^{2}\left(c_{\delta \delta}+\left(c_{\Delta_{\alpha}}-c_{L_{0}}\right) c_{Q_{\delta}}^{*}\right) e_{B} \\
& c_{p u}=\theta_{21} V 2 c_{\text {mace }} f_{1} \\
& c_{p w}=-\delta_{21} V \frac{M_{n}}{C_{\infty}} c_{L a} f_{1} \\
& C_{p r}=\delta_{w 1} C_{p w}+\delta_{n j} C_{p n} \\
& C_{p q_{1}}=\partial_{22} V{ }_{E_{w 1}}^{E_{w}} c_{4} \\
& \text {-:32- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{p p_{2}}=-D_{22} \times 2 C_{\text {mac }} e_{4}$
cpq1 $=0_{12} V^{2}$ amos $f_{2}$
$C_{p 92}=-Q_{12} V^{2} c_{10} \xi_{2}$
$c_{p p}=-Q_{31} \frac{1}{2} V\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{X_{10}}{E_{w}}\right) c_{L} f_{3}$

$C p \delta=-\delta_{21} V^{2}\left(\frac{x_{m 0}}{e_{w}} c_{\delta \delta}^{*}-c_{m}^{*}\right) C_{L-1} f_{4}$
$C_{L_{0}}$ and $C_{D_{0}}$ are the aircraft trim lift and drag (profile plus induced) coefficients; and $O_{L_{\infty}}$ and $C_{D_{\infty}}$ their derivatives with respect to $\alpha_{w}$. The section monet characteristics are given by $x_{A}$, the distance the wing $A C$ is behind the EA, and $c_{m_{a c}}$, the nose up moment coefficient about the AC. The constant

$$
\theta_{n m}=\frac{\epsilon_{\infty}^{\infty} y^{m} T_{m}^{m}}{\pi^{\sigma} a}
$$

accounts for the difference in the normalization of the wing and rotor
coefficients. The constants $e_{n}$ and $f_{n}$ are integrals of the wing mode shapes, accounting for the way the motion produces forces on the wing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}=\int_{0}^{y \gamma \omega} y \omega d y \omega / y^{2} w \approx \frac{1}{3} \\
& e_{2}=\int_{0}^{y \pi n} y_{i=} \text { syn/ } y^{3} \cong \frac{1}{5} \\
& e_{3}=\int_{0}^{y r_{0}} y_{0} y_{\omega} \text { dyw/yrw } \cong \frac{1}{2} \\
& e_{4}=\int_{0}^{y T_{0}} y-\xi \omega \text { dyn/yon } \cong \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=\int_{0}^{y+\infty} 3 \omega \operatorname{dy\omega } / y{ }_{0} \approx \frac{1}{1} \\
& \left.f_{2}=\int_{0}^{y+\infty}\right\}_{\infty} y_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}\left(y^{+\infty}-y_{\infty}\right)^{2} a_{y-1} / y+\infty \approx \frac{1}{12} \\
& f_{3}=\int_{0}^{y+w} \xi_{0}^{2} a_{y-1} / y+\infty \approx \frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the flaperon coefficients, we use:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{l g}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial c_{f}}{\partial \delta_{f}}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\sqrt{1-c^{2}}+\cos ^{-1} c\right)\left(.95+.05 \frac{c_{f}}{c_{\infty}}\right)\left(1+\frac{1-c_{f} / c_{\infty}}{2 R_{\infty}}\right) \\
& c_{m g}^{+}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial S_{q}}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left((1+c) \sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right)\left(-95+.05 \frac{C_{f}}{\sum_{\infty}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left.1-c F / C m^{2 R_{n}}\right)}{}\right) \\
& c_{\partial \delta}=\frac{\partial C_{d}}{\partial \delta_{\xi}} \cong .02 \text { to } .06 \\
& C=1-2 \frac{C_{p}}{C_{w}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
The first factor in these expressions is: the two -dimensional thin airfoil theory result for the lift and moment due to control surface deflection; and the last two factors are corrections for the wing aspect ratio, thickness, and real flow effects on the flap effectiveness (based on ref. 6).

## Ms Equation of Motion

The mutational speed degree of freedom ( $\mathcal{L}_{s}$ ) is an important factor in the dynamics, especially with a windmilling rotor. Usually the $M_{S}$. equation of motion will involve the engine, drive train, interconnect shaft, and governor dynamics; here we shall consider only two liniting cases.

The first case is windmilling or autorotation operation of the rotor. The rotor is free to turn on the shaft, $s 0$ no torque moments are transmitted from the rotor to the shaft, and no pylon roll motion transmitted to the rotor. Both effects are accomplished by using $C_{Q}=0$ as the equation of notion for $\Psi_{s}$. There is no spring term on Mss, so the degree of freedom is misally $i_{f}$, the rotor speed perturbation. It should be noted that WS is defined with respect to the pylon, which has a roll angle $\sigma$; so the rotor speed perturbation with respect to space is the sum Mister .

The second case considered here is powered operation of the rotor. It is assumed that the rotor hub rotational speed is fixed, at $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, with no perturbations. This case may be viewed as the limit of operation with a perfect governor on engine or rotor speed. The powered case is treated by dropping the $W_{s}$ degree of freedom and equation; ie. the solution is just $\psi_{3}=0$.

Hence we add to the support equations of notion the equation for

$$
\frac{C_{0}}{F a}=0
$$

For the powered case this equation and the ${ }^{\text {Ms }}$ degree of freedom are dropped from the system (a row and column eliminated from the matrices). For the windmilling case they are retained; note that the ms equation is first order, since there is no spring term.

Reference 4 gives a further discussion of these two cases, windmilling and powered operation, and their effects on the proprotor dynamics.

## Support Equations of Motion

We have obtained now the shaft motion and support equations of motion, which in matrix form are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \in \in x_{w} \\
& a_{2} \ddot{x}_{w}+a_{0} \dot{x}_{w}+a_{0} x_{w}=1 v_{w}+b_{\infty} g+\hat{a}_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the wing degree of freedom ( $\vec{x}_{w}$ ) and the wing nap control $\left(\vec{v}_{\square}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{x}_{w}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
q_{w} \\
q_{w 2} \\
p_{w}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \vec{v}_{w}=\left[\delta_{\xi}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and as defined above, the rotor hub forces and moments $(\vec{F})$, shaft motion $(\vec{\nabla})$, and aerodymmic gust $(\vec{i})$ ares

$$
\vec{\Delta}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{n} \\
y_{n} \\
s_{n} \\
\omega_{n} \\
v_{y} \\
v_{s}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{0} \\
v_{0} \\
w_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The matrices of the coefficients of the equations of motion follow. The matrix $c$, relating the rotor shaft motion to the wing motion, has been given above.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8= \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l} 
\\
\hline \gamma_{q, 8} \\
\hline \gamma c_{q 2 s} \\
\hline \gamma c_{p \delta}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{G}=\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l} 
& & \\
\hline \gamma c_{q_{1} u} & \gamma c_{q_{1} v} & \gamma c_{q, w} \\
\hline \gamma c_{q_{2} n} & \gamma c_{q_{2} v} & \gamma c_{q_{2} w} \\
\hline \gamma c_{p n} & \gamma c_{q v} & \gamma c_{q w}
\end{array}\right]
$$



The complete set of equations of motion describing the propantor and cantilever wing system may now be obtained, by substituting for the shaft motion into the rotor forces and moments, and then for the rotor forces into the wing equations. The result is a set of linear differential equations, of the form:

$$
A_{2} \ddot{x}+A_{1} \dot{x}+A_{0} x=B v+B_{0} g
$$

where the decrees of freedom (state) vector $(\vec{x})$ and the input vector ( $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }$ ) are:
recalling the equations for the rotor equations of motion, the rotor hub forces and moments, the shaft notion, and the wing equations of motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2} \ddot{x}_{R}+A_{1} \dot{x}_{R}+A_{0} x_{R}+\tilde{A}_{2} \ddot{\alpha}+\tilde{A}_{1} \dot{\alpha}+\tilde{A}_{0} \alpha=B_{v_{R}}+B_{G} g \\
& F=c_{2} \ddot{x}_{R}+c_{1} \dot{x}_{R}+c_{0} x_{R}+\tilde{c}_{2} \ddot{\alpha}+\tilde{c}_{1} \dot{\alpha}+\tilde{C}_{0} \alpha+D_{G} g \\
& \sigma=e x_{w} \\
& a_{2} \ddot{x}_{w}+a_{1} \dot{x}_{w}+a_{0} x_{w}=b v_{w}+b_{G} g+\tilde{a} F
\end{aligned}
$$

the coefficiont matricer of the ecripletin equations of motion may be irentified, as:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{2} & \tilde{A}_{2} c \\
\hline-\tilde{a} C_{2} & a_{2}-\tilde{a} \tilde{C}_{2} c
\end{array}\right] \\
A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l|l}
A_{1} & \tilde{A}_{1} c \\
\hline-\tilde{\alpha} C_{1} & a_{1}-\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{C}_{1} c
\end{array}\right] \\
A_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l|l}
A_{0} & \tilde{A}_{0} c \\
\hline-\approx C_{0} & a_{0}-\tilde{a} \tilde{C}_{0} c
\end{array}\right] \\
B=\left[\begin{array}{l|l}
B & 0
\end{array}\right. \\
\hline 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right] \quad B_{G}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{G} \\
\hline B_{G}+\tilde{a} \Delta_{G}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Treatment of Rotor Pitch/Torsion

The equations of notion have been set up including the rotor pitch and torsion degrees of freedom, $\Theta^{(1)}$, and with $\theta^{(0 n}$ (the commanded pitch angle) as the rotor control variable. One may not wish to include these degrees of freedom in the system dynamics, but it is not possible to simply drop them at this stare. The pitch control and bending/rimbal feedback enters the system through the rigid pitch degree of freedom ( $p_{0}$ ), so it is necessary to first operate on the columns of the equation matrices to account for these effects. Then the degrees of freedom and equations (columns and rows of the matrices) may be dropped as appropriate. We shall consider three options for the treatment of the rotor pitch/torsion motion.

The first option is to include the pitch and torsion degrees of freedom in the system; then the equations are used as derived.

The second option is the case of a rigid control system. It is the limit of infinite control system and blade torsion stiffness. Thus the rotor blade elastic torsion motion is zero, and the response of the rigid pitch motion reduces to

$$
p_{0}=e^{\infty n}-E k_{p} q_{i}-k_{G} \beta_{\sigma}
$$

or

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{0} \\
\theta_{1 k} \\
\theta_{1 s}
\end{array}\right)_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{0} \\
\partial_{i c} \\
\partial_{1 s}
\end{array}\right)_{c o n}-\sum k_{p_{i}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{0} \\
\beta_{1 c} \\
\beta_{1 s}
\end{array}\right)_{i}-k_{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\beta_{c c} \\
\beta_{G s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus we operate on the columns of the $A_{0}$ matrix as follows: subtract $K_{P_{1}}$ times the $\Theta_{0}^{(\infty)}$ column from the $\beta_{0}^{(i)}$ column subtract $K_{P_{1}}^{1}$ times the $\Theta_{i c}^{(0)}$ column from th $\beta_{i c}^{(i)}$ column subtract $K_{P_{1}}^{1}$ times the $\Theta_{(0)}^{(0)}$ column from the $\beta_{i s}^{(i)}$ column subtract $K_{P_{G}}^{1}$ times the $\Theta_{1 c}^{(0)}$ column from the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Cc}}$ column subtract $K_{P_{G}}$ times the $\Theta_{\text {is }}^{(0)}$ column from the $\beta_{G S}$ column
and reconstruct the control matrix $B$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { replace the } \theta_{0}^{c o u} \text { column of } B \text { with minus the } \theta_{0}^{(0)} \text { column of } A_{0} \\
& \text { replace the } \theta_{k}^{c o m} \text { column of } B \text { with minus the } \Theta_{k}^{(0)} \text { column of } A_{0} \\
& \text { replace the } \theta_{i s}^{c o m} \text { column of } 1 \text { with minus the } \Theta_{i s}^{(0)} \text { column of } A_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the rigid pitch degrees of freedom and equations of motion are dropped from the system. Note that the above transformation is only the result of infinite control system stiffness; it would be possible to retain the elastic torsion degrees of freedom, 'romping only the rigid pitch $p_{o}$.

The third option is a quasistatic approximation for the effect of the blade torsion and pitch motion. We shall neglect the acceleration and velocity terms in the torsion/pitch equations. The torsion/pitch equations then become just a static substitution relation for $\theta$ in the other equations of motion. This treatment retains all the static coupling effects in the $\lambda_{0}$ matrix. The required transformation of the equations is accomplished as follows. First the $A_{0}, 3$, and $B_{G}$ matrices are partitioned, to separate the $\theta$ variables and equations from the rest. Assuming the e block is in the middle of $\vec{x}$, the state equations take the form:

$$
A_{2} \dot{x}+A_{1} \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{0}^{0} & A_{0}^{12} & A_{0}^{13} \\
A_{0}^{21} & A_{0}^{22} & A_{0}^{23} \\
A_{0}^{31} & A_{0}^{32} & A_{0}^{33}
\end{array}\right] x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
B^{\prime} \\
B^{2} \\
B^{3}
\end{array}\right] v+\left[\begin{array}{l}
B_{0}^{1} \\
B_{G}^{2} \\
B_{G}^{3}
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{\gamma}
$$

Now the acceleration and velocity terms are dropped from the pitch equations; and we write $\vec{x}$ still for the state variable vector, but now with the pitch degrees of freedom dropped. Hence

$$
\left.B=\left(A_{0}^{22}\right)^{-1}\left[-\left[A_{0}^{21} A_{0}^{33}\right] x+B^{2} v+B_{6}^{2}\right]\right]
$$

which may be substituted into the remaining equations, eliminating $\Theta$ from $A_{0}$ (the pitch acceleration and velocity terms in the remaining equations
are dropped). Thus the quasistatic torsion approximation giver the following equations of motion, in terms of the reduced state variable $\vec{x}$ (without the torsion/pitch degrees of freedom):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{3} & A_{2}^{3} \\
A_{2}^{3} & A_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{A} & A_{1}^{13} \\
\lambda_{1}^{4} & A_{1}^{3,}
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.B^{1}-A_{0}^{12}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1} B^{2} \\
\left.B^{3}-A_{0}^{2}\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right] v \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.B_{0}^{2}-A_{1}^{12}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\right)^{-1} B_{0}^{2} \\
\left.B_{c}^{2}-A_{0}^{2}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\right)^{-1} B_{c}^{2}
\end{array}\right] J
\end{aligned}
$$

# 1 Houbolt, John C. and Brooks, Genrge W., "Differential Equations of llotion for Combined Flapwise Bending, Chordwise Bending, and Torsion of ?wisted Nonuniform ?otor Blader," NACA Rept 1346, 1958 <br> 2. Bisplinghoff, Raymond L.: Mar, James W.; and Plan, Theodore H.H. Statics of Deformable Solids. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1965 <br> 3 Rivelo, Robert M. Theory and Analysis of Flight Structures, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1969 <br> 4 Johnson, Wayne, "Dynamics of Tilting Proprotor Aircraft in Cruise Flight," NASA TN D-7677, Niay 1974 <br> 5 Bisplinghoff, Raymond L.: Ashley, Holt; and Halfman, Robert L.i Aeroelasticity. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1955 
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Figure 1. Proprotor and cantilever wing configuration.


Figure 2. Geometry of undeformed blade.


Figure 3. Geometry of deformed blade.


Figure 4. :oments on blade section.


Figure j. Hub frame coorilinate :ytems (rutating and nonrotating).
(a)

(b)


Figure 6. Notation and sign conventions for (u) shaft motion, angular and lisear dieplacement in an inertial franof and (b) hub forces and moments, on the hub in a nompotating frase.


Figure 7. Rotor hub and root geometry (undistorted), showing gimbal undersling ( $z_{\mathrm{iA}}$ ), torque offset ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{FA}}$ ), feathering axis offset $\left(r_{F A}\right)$, precone $\left(\delta_{F_{1}}\right)$, droop $\left(\delta_{M_{2}}\right)$, and sweep ( $\delta_{A_{3}}$ ).


Figure 8. Geometry of undeformed blacle.


Hecure 9. Notation and sign convention for gimbal motion, (a) in the nonrotatine frame, and (b) in the rotating frame.


Figure 10. Rotor blade section aerodynamics; notation and sign conventions for section forces and velocities.


Figure 11. Notation and sign conventions for rotor velocity and orientation ( $v$ and $\alpha_{n p}$ ), induced velocity ( $v$ ), and aerodynamic gust velocity components ( $u_{G}, v_{G}, w_{G}$ ).

firfure 12. Geonetry of cantilever .. ing and rotor rhaft orientation.

Tifure 1". Geonetry of winc, effective elastic axjs.

:Irure 14. Geometsy oi winf and wing flaj aerorynamics.


[^0]:    Mescarch Scientist, Iarce Scale Acrodynaics Branch, MASA-Ancs Rescarch Center

