



# Analytical Model for Two-Dimensional Electron Gas Charge Density in Recessed-Gate GaN High-Electron-Mobility Transistors

Samaneh Sharbati<sup>1</sup> · Iman Gharibshahian<sup>2</sup> · Thomas Ebel<sup>1</sup> · Ali A. Orouji<sup>2</sup> · Wulf-Toke Franke<sup>1</sup>

Received: 9 November 2020 / Accepted: 24 February 2021 / Published online: 20 April 2021  
© The Author(s) 2021

## Abstract

A physics-based analytical model for GaN high-electron-mobility transistors (HEMTs) with non-recessed- and recessed-gate structure is presented. Based on this model, the two-dimensional electron gas density (2DEG) and thereby the on-state resistance and breakdown voltage can be controlled by varying the barrier layer thickness and Al mole fraction in non-recessed depletion-mode GaN HEMTs. The analytical model indicates that the 2DEG charge density in the channel increases from  $2.4 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  to  $1.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  when increasing the Al mole fraction from  $x = 0.1$  to 0.4 for an experimental non-recessed-gate GaN HEMT. In the recessed-gate GaN HEMT, in addition to these parameters, the recess height can also control the 2DEG to achieve high-performance power electronic devices. The model also calculates the critical recess height for which a normally-ON GaN switch becomes normally-OFF. This model shows good agreement with reported experimental results and promises to become a useful tool for advanced design of GaN HEMTs.

**Keywords** GaN high-electron-mobility transistor (HEMT) · two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) · recessed gate · recess height

## Introduction

GaN high-electron-mobility transistors (HEMTs) have shown great potential for use in high-power and high-frequency applications due to their wide bandgap and high electron mobility.<sup>1,2</sup> The defining feature of this device technology is the presence of a high-density two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) at the AlGaIn–GaN interface

due to the strong spontaneous and piezoelectric polarization. However, this high 2DEG density leads to GaN HEMTs that are normally-ON (depletion-mode) switches, which is not suitable for power electronic applications because of safety and system cost concerns. Therefore, the carriers in the 2DEG beneath the gate should be depleted to achieve normally-OFF (enhancement (E)-mode) transistors. Moreover, E-mode GaN HEMTs have attracted much attention for use in power switching applications, owing to their high breakdown voltage and low on-resistance.<sup>3–9</sup>

Several techniques have been used to design E-mode GaN devices, all of which incorporate technologies that empty the 2DEG channel underneath the gate at zero gate bias. The recessed-gate structure is one of the approaches for designing E-mode GaN HEMTs for use in power electronic applications.<sup>10</sup>

Understanding the physical mechanisms behind the formation of the 2DEG is crucial to model the 2DEG charge density under the gate and to device design.<sup>11</sup> Experimental research has shown that the presence of donor states at the AlGaIn surface is the main source of the electrons in the 2DEG.<sup>12,13</sup> For non-recessed-gate GaN HEMT structures, Gordon et al.<sup>14</sup> showed that the 2DEG density varies with the barrier layer thickness. It was subsequently shown

✉ Samaneh Sharbati  
sharbati@sdu.dk

Iman Gharibshahian  
i.gharibshahian@semnan.ac.ir

Thomas Ebel  
ebel@sdu.dk

Ali A. Orouji  
aliaorouji@semnan.ac.ir

Wulf-Toke Franke  
franke@sdu.dk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Centre for Industrial Electronics, University of Southern Denmark, 6400 Sønderborg, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Semnan University, Semnan 3513119111, Iran

experimentally as well as by numerical simulations that the Al mole fraction in the AlGa<sub>N</sub> has a significant impact on the 2DEG density due to its effect on the piezoelectric and spontaneous polarization.

In this paper, an analytical model for the 2DEG charge density of GaN HEMTs is presented and verified by a complete match with experimental data. Moreover, an analytical model for the recessed-gate GaN HEMT structure is also provided. In addition to the AlGa<sub>N</sub> barrier layer thickness ( $d$ ) and the Al mole fraction ( $x$ ) affecting the density of the 2DEG, the recess height ( $h$ ) also influences the density of the 2DEG for recessed-gate GaN HEMTs. To design highly efficient and reliable E-mode HEMT structures, it is essential to understand the dependence of the 2DEG density on these physical characteristics.

### Simulation of Non-recessed and Recessed-Gate GaN HEMTs and Comparison with Experimental Data

Figure 1 shows a schematic of an experimental GaN HEMT with the recessed-gate structure.<sup>10</sup> The epitaxial structure consists of a 15.6-nm-thick AlGa<sub>N</sub> barrier layer with Al mole fraction  $x=0.27$  on a 2- $\mu\text{m}$ -thick GaN buffer layer grown on a sapphire substrate. The devices are fabricated with Ti/Al/Ni/Au (20/120/20/70 nm) ohmic metallization at the source and drain. According to the gate, a 3-nm barrier is etched down by neutral-beam technology to recess the gate.




Fig. 1 Schematic of a recessed-gate GaN HEMT structure.

After that, Ni/Au is deposited to form the gate electrode. The detailed fabrication process is explained in Ref. 15.

To achieve precise control over the recess etch rate, neutral-beam etching (NBE) is carried out, and the reduced AlGa<sub>N</sub> barrier is formed by low-damage recess etching using the Cl<sub>2</sub> plasma process. A radiofrequency (RF) power supply can accurately control the etching rate of AlGa<sub>N</sub> in GaN-based HEMTs.<sup>16,17</sup> In this structure with 3-nm recess height, the recess etch rate in the NBE gate recess process is controlled to 5 nm/min, corresponding to RF bias power.<sup>15</sup>

The electrical characteristics of both devices (with recessed and non-recessed gate) are extracted by solving a two-dimensional (2D) drift–diffusion model. The activated models are the self-heating model to enable heat flow simulation, the  $n_i$  Fermi model to include the effects of Fermi statistics into the calculation of the intrinsic concentration in expressions for the Shockley–Read–Hall (SRH) recombination, the polarization model for automatic calculation of the interface charge due to spontaneous and piezoelectric polarization, the strain model for automatic calculation of the strain from the lattice mismatch, and the impact ionization model. Furthermore, the charge at the AlGa<sub>N</sub>–GaN interface is fixed and the donor and acceptor traps at the GaN buffer are activated. Moreover, it is considered that there are no trapped charges at the interfaces between the GaN buffer layer and sapphire substrate. Table I lists the parameter values adopted in the simulations.


To validate this work, the simulation results and experimental data<sup>10</sup> for the  $I_D$ – $V_G$  transfer and  $I_D$ – $V_D$  characteristics for both structures, viz. the non-recessed and recessed-gate GaN HEMTs, are compared. The match obtained between the simulation results and experimental data confirms the accuracy of the model for use in further research.

Figure 2a and b show the simulated and measured<sup>10</sup> transfer characteristics of the GaN HEMT for the non-recessed design and with a 3-nm gate recess, respectively. Figure 3a and b show a perfect match of the simulation of the direct-current (DC) characteristics with experimental results<sup>10</sup> for different gate voltages, further verifying the accuracy of the physical models used for the GaN HEMTs. The calculated and measured data for both the non-recessed and recessed-gate GaN HEMT are presented in Table II. The maximum drain current ( $I_{D-\text{max}}$ ) is calculated to be around 444 mA/mm and 372 mA/mm for the non-recessed and recessed-gate structure, respectively, at  $V_G = +2$  V, while  $V_{\text{th}}$  for the non-recessed gate structure is obtained as  $-2.3$  V versus  $-1.6$  V for the recessed-gate structure. For the 3-nm gate recess,  $V_{\text{th}}$  shifts significantly, while there is a minor reduction in  $I_{D-\text{max}}$  and  $g_m$ .


The reduction in the current density for gate-recessed devices has been attributed to the diminution of the polarization sheet charge. Moreover, the 2DEG charge density ( $n_s$ ) is calculated while there is no voltage. The overlapping

**Table I** Material parameters used in both GaN HEMT devices simulations; data from Refs. 18–22.

Parameter	Description	GaN	Al <sub>0.27</sub> Ga <sub>0.73</sub> N	Units
$E_g$	Bandgap at 300 K	3.42	3.95	eV
$\chi$	Electron affinity	4.31	4.04	eV
$A_n^*$	Richardson constant for electrons	22.4	25.3	A/cm <sup>2</sup> K <sup>2</sup>
$A_p^*$	Richardson constant for holes	61	108	A/cm <sup>2</sup> K <sup>2</sup>
$N_c$	Conduction-band effective density of states at 300 K	$2.02 \times 10^{18}$	$2.42 \times 10^{18}$	cm <sup>-3</sup>
$N_v$	Valence-band effective density of states at 300 K	$9.08 \times 10^{18}$	$2.15 \times 10^{19}$	cm <sup>3</sup>
$\epsilon_r$	Relative permittivity	10.4	10.32	
$\mu$	Low-field electron mobility	1460	306	cm <sup>2</sup> /Vs
$v_{sat,n}$	Saturation velocity of electrons	$1.91 \times 10^7$	$1.12 \times 10^7$	cm/s
$v_{sat,p}$	Saturation velocity of holes	$1 \times 10^6$	$1 \times 10^6$	cm/s




**Fig. 2** Transfer characteristics of (a) non-recessed and (b) 3-nm recessed-gate GaN HEMT with  $V_D$  of 5 V, AlGaIn thickness ( $d$ ) of 15.6 nm, and Al mole fraction ( $x$ ) of 0.27. (Experimental data from Ref. 10).



**Fig. 3**  $I_D$ - $V_D$  characteristics of (a) non-recessed and (b) 3-nm recessed-gate GaN HEMT. (Experimental data from Ref. 10).

**Table II** Comparison of experimental data from literature<sup>10</sup> and simulation results for GaN HEMT devices with non-recessed and 3-nm recessed-gate structure;  $n_s$  calculated for equilibrium condition, and  $I_{D-max}$  calculated at  $V_G = 2$  V.

Sample	$V_{th}$ (V)		$I_{D-max}$ (mA/mm)		$g_{m-max}$ (mS/mm)		$n_s$ (cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
	Sim.	Exp.	Sim.	Exp.	Sim.	Exp.	Sim.	Exp.
Non-recessed	-2.3	-2.3	444 (at $V_G - V_{th} = 4.3$ V)	430	124	120	$1 \times 10^{13}$	$0.9 \times 10^{13}$
Recessed	-1.6	-1.6	372 (at $V_G - V_{th} = 3.6$ V)	367	119	111	$0.96 \times 10^{13}$	-



**Fig. 4** (a) Energy-band diagram of AlGaIn/GaN heterostructure. (b) Charge distribution at different interfaces, and formation of 2DEG with electrons supplied by surface donor states.

curves and equal parameters for the non-recessed and recessed-gate GaN HEMT structures confirm that the applied model exactly describes the physical phenomenon for both structures.

## Results and Discussion

### Model Description for Non-recessed GaN HEMT

A physics-based analytical model for the non-recessed gate GaN HEMT is presented to calculate the 2DEG charge concentration depending on the AlGaIn barrier thickness.

Figure 4a depicts the band diagram of the GaN HEMT, and Fig. 4b shows the charge distribution profile, illustrating the formation of the 2DEG with electrons supplied by surface donor states. It is assumed that a high density of surface donor states exists at an energy level of  $E_d$  in the forbidden gap, measured relative to the conduction-band minimum of the AlGaIn surface.

This model is based on the charge-neutrality condition across the barrier layer, where the unintentional doping of GaN and AlGaIn is assumed to be negligible. Polarization in combination with the distributed surface donor states is the mechanism responsible for the 2DEG charge. By considering Fig. 4, the 2DEG charge concentration ( $qn_s$ ) can be obtained by maintaining charge neutrality

$$qn_s = \frac{\epsilon_{AlGaIn}}{d} \left[ \frac{\sigma_{AlGaIn}d}{\epsilon_{AlGaIn}} - \phi_b - \frac{E_F}{q} + \frac{\Delta E_C}{q} \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $E_F$  is the difference between the Fermi level and the conduction-band minimum (CBM) in the GaN at the hetero-interface,  $d$  is the AlGaIn barrier thickness, and  $\epsilon_{AlGaIn}$  is the permittivity of the barrier layer.<sup>20</sup>

$$\epsilon_{AlGaIn} = 10.4 - 0.3x, \quad (2)$$

and  $\phi_b$  is the Schottky barrier height, which can be calculated by assuming a constant surface donor state on the AlGaIn surface,  $n_0$ , at a donor energy level  $E_d$ .<sup>18</sup>

$$q\phi_b = \frac{n_s}{n_0} + E_d. \quad (3)$$

$E_d$  and  $n_0$  are linearly related to the Al mole fraction ( $x$ ) in the AlGaIn barrier layer.<sup>19</sup>

$$n_0 = (2.9x - 0.0893) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ eV}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

$$E_d = 2x + 0.42 \text{ eV} \quad (5)$$

The energy band offset,  $\Delta E_C$ , can be calculated from the bandgap energy of AlGaIn and GaN as shown in the following equation, where the bandgap of AlGaIn can be measured by<sup>20</sup>

$$\Delta E_C = 0.7(E_g(x) - E_g(0)) \quad (6)$$

$$E_g(x) = xE_g(\text{AlN}) + (1-x)E_g(\text{GaN}) - x(1-x) \text{ eV} \quad (7)$$

The polarization charge density ( $\sigma_{AlGaIn}$ ) is modeled by using spontaneous and piezoelectric polarization coefficients, which are functions of the mole fraction, AlGaIn thickness, and lattice parameters of the GaN wurtzite crystal structure.

**Table III** Mole fraction-dependent parameters of AlGa<sub>x</sub>N/GaN heterostructure; data from Ref. 21.

Symbol	Quantity	Expression
$a$	Lattice constant	$(-0.077x + 3.189) \times 10^{-10}$ m
$e_{31}$	Piezoelectric coefficient	$(-0.11x - 0.49)$ C/m <sup>2</sup>
$e_{33}$	Piezoelectric coefficient	$(0.73x + 0.73)$ C/m <sup>2</sup>
$C_{13}$	Elastic constant	$(5x + 103)$ GPa
$C_{33}$	Elastic constant	$(-32x + 405)$ GPa
$P_{sp}$	Spontaneous polarization-induced sheet charge	$(-0.052x - 0.029)$ C/m <sup>2</sup>

$$\sigma(x) = \left| 2S_r \frac{a(0) - a(x)}{a(x)} \left\{ e_{31}(x) - e_{33}(x) \frac{C_{13}(x)}{C_{33}(x)} \right\} + P_{sp}(x) - P_{sp}(0) \right|, \quad (8)$$

where  $a$  is the equilibrium value of the lattice constant,  $e_{31}$  and  $e_{33}$  are piezoelectric coefficients,  $C_{13}$  and  $C_{33}$  are elastic constants, and  $P_{sp}$  is the spontaneous polarization-induced sheet charge. All these parameters are listed as functions of the Al mole fraction in the Al<sub>x</sub>GaN<sub>1-x</sub> layer in Table III.


$S_r$  is a term to account for the residual strain induced in the layer, which is calculated based on the equation<sup>23</sup>

$$S_r = S_{cr} \exp\left(1 - \frac{d}{d_{cr}}\right), \quad (9)$$

where  $d_{cr} = \epsilon_{\text{AlGa}_x\text{N}}(E_d - \Delta E_C)/q\sigma_{pz}$  is the critical thickness for the onset of significant charge at the interface and  $S_{cr}$  is the critical strain extracted from Ref. 23.

Figure 5 calculates the 2DEG charge density for the GaN HEMT with respect to the AlGa<sub>x</sub>N barrier layer thickness and Al mole fraction in the barrier layer. At low barrier thickness, the piezoelectric polarization component is dominant. However, when  $d$  is substantially larger than the critical thickness (here 20 nm), only the spontaneous polarization remains. This demonstrates that the total strain-induced polarization is reduced by increasing the barrier layer thickness  $d$ . At thicknesses higher than  $d_{cr}$  ( $d \gg d_{cr}$ ), due to the decrease in the residual strain, the piezoelectric polarization becomes zero and the 2DEG reaches an almost constant value. These modeling results match with the report by Bykhovski et al.<sup>24</sup>

Moreover, AlGa<sub>x</sub>N has a smaller lattice constant than GaN. So, by increasing the Al mole fraction in AlGa<sub>x</sub>N, the mismatch and strain effects on the piezoelectric and spontaneous polarization gradually increase. Hence, the 2DEG charge density at the AlGa<sub>x</sub>N–GaN interface increases. In addition to physical justifications, this model is verified by the quite satisfactory agreement with experimental data in Fig. 5.


**Fig. 5** Contour plot of 2DEG charge density for GaN HEMT as a function of barrier layer thickness ( $d$ ) and Al mole fraction in the barrier layer. Experimental data indicated by black dots.<sup>21,25–31</sup>

## Model Description for Recessed-Gate GaN HEMT

In recessed-gate structures, the barrier thickness under the gate decreases. Due to the constant electric field in the barrier layer,  $E_d$  moves away from the Fermi level and prevents the surface donor states from donating electrons to form 2DEG charge carriers at the AlGa<sub>x</sub>N–GaN interface.

In recessed-gate GaN HEMT structures, to maintain charge neutrality, the electric field across the barrier layer is given below, where  $h$  is the recess height in these structures (Fig. 1):

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{AlGa}_x\text{N}} - qn_s}{\epsilon_{\text{AlGa}_x\text{N}}} = \frac{q\phi_b + E_F - \Delta E_C}{q(d - h)}. \quad (10)$$

The 2DEG electron density for the recessed-gate GaN HEMT structures can be calculated by applying Eqs. 1 and 3 to Eq. 10 and solving for the 2DEG charge density.

$$qn_s = \frac{\sigma_{\text{AlGa}_x\text{N}} h + k_1}{h + k_2}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$k_1 = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{AlGa}_x\text{N}}}{q} [E_d + E_F - \Delta E_C] - d\sigma_{\text{AlGa}_x\text{N}}, \quad (12)$$

$$k_2 = -\left[ d + \frac{\epsilon_{\text{AlGa}_x\text{N}}}{q^2 n_o} \right]. \quad (13)$$

From Eq. 11 it can be seen that, by increasing the recess height, the 2DEG charge density will be decreased and the



transistor starts to operate in E-mode. For a sufficient recess height to ensure that the GaN device demonstrates normally-OFF operation ( $h \approx d$ ), the critical 2DEG charge density can be calculated from Eqs. 11–13:

$$n_s \approx n_o(E_d + E_f - \Delta E_c). \tag{14}$$

Therefore, according to the proposed model, the  $n_s$  value for the experimental recessed-gate device with an Al mole fraction of 0.27 in the AlGa<sub>N</sub> barrier layer is calculated to be  $0.48 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Figure 6 shows the 2DEG charge density modeled for the recessed-gate GaN HEMT structure with various AlGa<sub>N</sub> barrier thicknesses ( $d$ ).

In this figure, the critical recess height is indicated by a vertical dashed line, at which the charge density equals  $n_s = 0.48 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Moreover, the accuracy of the current model is confirmed by the simulation results. Figure 7 shows the transfer characteristics of the AlGa<sub>N</sub>/Ga<sub>N</sub> HEMT for various recess heights with an AlGa<sub>N</sub> thickness of  $d = 15.6 \text{ nm}$  and Al mole fraction of  $x = 0.27$ .

This figure indicates that the critical recess height for this experimental device would be  $h_{cr} \approx 10 \text{ nm}$ , where  $V_{th} = 0 \text{ V}$  and the device will be normally-OFF. Comparison of Figs. 6 and 7 confirms that the simulation results are in good agreement with the analytical model.

The critical recess height in a Ga<sub>N</sub> structure to convert it to a normally-OFF device depends on the Al mole fraction and the thickness of the barrier layer, as well as the energy level of donor states in the barrier layer. Since the recess




Fig. 6 The 2DEG charge density of the recessed-gate GaN HEMT structures versus the recess height for various barrier thicknesses. The critical recess height is determined by the vertical dashed line.

height exceeds the critical recess height, the device converts to a normally-OFF AlGa<sub>N</sub>/Ga<sub>N</sub> device.

Figure 8 graphically illustrates the band energy for various recess heights ( $h$ ) and the resulting 2DEG charge density. The critical height  $h = h_{cr}$  is the recess height at which  $n_s$  can be approximated to be very small, and the device can be considered as normally-OFF or in E-mode operation. This model shows how the 2DEG charge density can be controlled by varying the barrier thickness and recess height. It could help researchers develop new approaches for E-mode GaN HEMT technology.




Fig. 7 Simulated DC transfer characteristics of AlGa<sub>N</sub>/Ga<sub>N</sub> HEMT for various recess heights for AlGa<sub>N</sub> thickness ( $d$ ) of 15.6 nm and Al mole fraction ( $x$ ) of 0.27.




Fig. 8 Band diagrams of AlGa<sub>N</sub>/Ga<sub>N</sub> HEMT for various recess heights ( $h_{cr} > h_2 > h_1$ ).

## Conclusions

GaN HEMTs with non-recessed and recessed-gate structures are investigated, achieving excellent agreement between the simulation results and experimental measurements. Moreover, an analytical model is provided for the 2DEG charge density of the GaN HEMTs with both structures. The importance of this model is that the optimized AlGaIn layers can be designed regarding the thickness, the Al mole fraction, and the recess height to control the 2DEG charge density. Achieving a high 2DEG density is a critical priority to design reliable low-resistivity high-performance GaN HEMTs.

Moreover, the model can predict the experimental observations for recessed-gate GaN HEMTs in an analytical manner, while considering the effects of the mole fraction and recess height of the AlGaIn barrier layer. A relation is developed between the recess height and 2DEG charge density and can be used to extract the critical recess height at which the device converts to normally-OFF operation. The model shows excellent agreement with experimentally measured data.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported by Interreg Deutschland-Danmark with funds from the European Regional Development Fund via the PE-Region Platform project (ref. 098-1.1-18). Find further information on Interreg Deutschland-Denmark on [www.interreg5a.eu](http://www.interreg5a.eu)

**Conflict of Interest** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

1. N.M. Shrestha, Y.Y. Wang, Y. Li, and E.Y. Chang, *Vacuum* 118, 59 (2015).
2. N.M. Shrestha, Y. Li, and E.Y. Chang, *J. Comput. Electron.* 15, 154 (2016).
3. S. Sharbati, T. Ebel, and W.-T. Franke, *Microelectron. Reliab.* 114, 113907 (2020).
4. X. Lyu, H. Li, Y. Abdullah, K. Wang, B. Hu, Z. Yang, J. Liu, J. Wang, L. Liu, and S. Bala, *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* 35, 8926 (2020).
5. J. Zhu, L. Chen, J. Jiang, X. Lu, L. Yang, B. Hou, M. Liao, Y. Zhou, X. Ma, and Y. Hao, *IEEE Electron. Device Lett.* 39, 79 (2018).
6. T. Zine-eddine, H. Zahra, and M. Zitouni, *J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices* 4, 180 (2019).

7. Y. Dong, Z. Xie, D. Chen, H. Lu, R. Zhang, and Y. Zheng, *Int. J. Numer. Model. Electron. Netw. Dev. Field.* 32, e2482 (2019).
8. D.K. Panda and T.R. Lenka, *Microsyst. Technol.* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-019-04324-3>.
9. C. Yang, J. Xiong, J. Wei, J. Wu, B. Zhang, and X. Luo, *Adv. Condens. Matter Phys.* 2015, 267680 (2015).
10. N.M. Shrestha, Y. Li, T. Suemitsu, and S. Samukawa, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 66, 1694 (2019).
11. C. Wood, and D. Jena, *Polarization Effects in Semiconductors*, 1st ed., (New York: Springer, 2008).
12. M. Higashiwaki, S. Chowdhury, M.-S. Miao, B.L. Swenson, C.G.V.D. Walle, and U.K. Mishra, *J. Appl. Phys.* 108, 063719 (2010).
13. M.S. Miao, J.R. Weber, and C.G.V.D. Walle, *J. Appl. Phys.* 107, 123713 (2010).
14. L. Gordon, M.-S. Miao, S. Chowdhury, M. Higashiwaki, U.K. Mishra, and C.G. Van de Walle, *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* 43, 505501 (2010).
15. F. Hemmi, C. Thomas, Y.-C. Lai, A. Higo, Y. Watamura, S. Samukawa, T. Otsuji, and T. Suemitsu, *Solid State Electron.* 137, 1 (2017).
16. S. Samukawa, K. Sakamoto, and K. Ichiki, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 40, L779 (2001).
17. T. Ohno, D. Nakayama, T. Okada, and S. Samukawa, *Results Phys.* 8, 169 (2018).
18. N. Goyal, B. Iniguez, and T.A. Fjeldly, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 101, 103505 (2012).
19. N. Goyal, B. Iniguez, and T.A. Fjeldly, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1566, 393 (2013).
20. O. Ambacher, B. Foutz, J. Smart, J.R. Shealy, N.G. Weimann, K. Chu, M. Murphy, A.J. Sierakowski, W.J. Schaff, L.F. Eastman, R. Dimitrov, A. Mitchell, and M. Stutzmann, *J. Appl. Phys.* 87, 334 (2000).
21. O. Ambacher, J. Smart, J.R. Shealy, N.G. Weimann, K. Chu, M. Murphy, W.J. Schaff, L.F. Eastman, R. Dimitrov, L. Wittmer, M. Stutzmann, W. Rieger, and J. Hilsenbeck, *J. Appl. Phys.* 85, 3222 (1999).
22. SILVACO® (2016) ATLAS™ User's Manual. <https://dynamic.silvaco.com/dynamicweb/jsp/downloads/DownloadManualsAction.do?req=silen-manuals&nm=atlas>
23. N. Goyal, and T.A. Fjeldly, *J. Appl. Phys.* 113, 014505 (2013).
24. A.D. Bykhovski, B.L. Gelmont, and M.S. Shur, *J. Appl. Phys.* 81, 6332 (1997).
25. L.W. Wong, S.J. Cai, R. Li, K. Wang, H.W. Jiang, and M. Chen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 73, 1391 (1998).
26. G. Tan, and Y. Su, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* 25, 1293 (2011).
27. O. Ambacher, J. Majewski, C. Miskys, A. Link, M. Hermann, M. Eickhoff, M. Stutzmann, F. Bernardini, V. Fiorentini, V. Tilak, B. Schaff, and L.F. Eastman, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter.* 14, 3399 (2002).
28. S. Heikman, S. Keller, Y. Wu, J.S. Speck, S.P. DenBaars, and U.K. Mishra, *J. Appl. Phys.* 93, 10114 (2003).
29. S.M. Dinara, S.K. Jana, S. Ghosh, P. Mukhopadhyay, R. Kumar, A. Chakraborty, S. Bhattacharya, and D. Biswas, *AIP Adv.* 5, 047136 (2015).
30. K. Köhler, S. Müller, R. Aidam, P. Waltereit, W. Pletschen, L. Kirste, H.P. Menner, W. Bronner, A. Leuther, R. Quay, M. Mikulla, O. Ambacher, R. Granzner, F. Schwier, C. Buchheim, and R. Goldhahn, *J. Appl. Phys.* 107, 053711 (2010).
31. B. Bakeroot, S. You, T.-L. Wu, J. Hu, M.V. Hove, B.D. Jaeger, K. Geens, S. Stoffels, and S. Decoutere, *J. Appl. Phys.* 116, 134506 (2014).

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.