## **UC Merced**

**Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society** 

## Title

Anaphoric Inference in Expository Text: The Effects of Anaphora Type, Mention Order and Typicality of Antecedent

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1996x6bn

## Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 27(27)

## ISSN

1069-7977

## Authors

Lee, Michael D. Pincombe, Brandon Welsh, Matthew

Publication Date 2005

Peer reviewed

### Anaphoric Inference in Expository Text: The Effects of Anaphora Type, Mention Order and Typicality of Antecedent

Jae-Ho Lee (leejaeho@kmu.ac.kr) Department of Psychology, Keimyung University 1000 Sindang-Dong Dalseo-Ku, Daegu, Korea

#### Introduction

has an important function Anaphora in text comprehension. The processes of anaphoric inference are to make local coherence among sentences. The coherence constructed from several variables (e.g. Lee, 1993). The first variable is an anaphora type: noun phrase, pronoun or ellipsis. Another variable is the properties of antecedents: syntactic, semantic, or pragmatic. Yet another variable is discourse contexts such as a topic, theme, or implicit reader's knowledge. The main purpose of this study is to investigate how these variables contribute to anaphoric inference differentially in expository texts.

#### **Experiment 1: Noun Phrase vs. Pronoun**

Experiment 1 explored the effect of anaphora type with antecedent mention order and antecedent typicality. The interaction of anaphora type and mention order of antecedents expected. 67 undergraduate students are participating in the experiment. The results showed that the antecedents of the noun phrase were faster than that of the pronoun. The variables of the mention order and antecedent typicality more influenced to pronoun than noun phrase (see Figure 1). Experiment 1 showed that two types of anaphor have a very different processing mechanism in anaphoric inference.

Figure 1:	Mean Recognition	Time in Exp. 1 (ms)

	Typical		Atypical		
Anaphoric					
Туре	First	Second	First Second		
Noun Phrase	684	700	729 694		
Pronoun	811	870	766 810		

# Experiment 2: Plural pronoun vs. Singular pronoun

Experiment 2 manipulated pronoun type with antecedent typicality and antecedent mention order. The manipulation of pronoun type will provide an additional evidence for the effect of anaphora type. 67 undergraduate students are participated in Experiment 2. The main effect of pronoun type was observed. The antecedents of the singular pronoun were faster than that of the plural pronoun. This result was replicated Lee's (2004). And the effect of mention order was more influenced in singular pronoun than in plural pronouns. The effect of the antecedent typicality did not differ between singular pronoun and plural pronoun (see Figure 2). The results suggest that a syntactic cue of pronoun have strong effect for antecedent access. This also suggested that a singular pronoun was more sensitive in text contexts rather than a plural pronoun.

Figure 2: Mean Recognition Time in Exp. 2 (ms)							
	Typical		А	Atypical			
Pronoun							
Туре	First	Second	First	Second			
Plural	850	869	802	804			
Singular	805	898	777	823			

#### **General Discussion**

This study suggested that an anaphora type have a different function for anaphoric inference. A noun phrase presented for not focused antecedent or for new topic. If the noun phrase occurred in text, the noun phrase enhanced an activation level of antecedent. In pronoun, the previous activation level will be maintained (e.g. Gernsbacher, 1989). But two type of pronoun differed: A singular pronoun was more influenced by a focus or saliency of antecedents than a plural pronoun. The constraints dynamically coordinate for an optimal coherence in anaphoric inference (Kim, Lee, & Gernsbacher, 2004). And the coherence seems to be constructed gradually(e.g. Sanford & Garrod, 1989).

#### Acknowledgments

Authors Note: I thank Soyoung Suh-Kim for many helpful discussions and comments on a draft of this article.

#### References

- Gernsbacher, M. A. (1989). Mechanisms that improve referential access. *Cognition*, 32, 99-156.
- Kim, S. I., Lee, J-H., & Gernsbacher, M. A. (2004). The advantage of first mention in Korean: The temporal contributions of syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic Factors. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 33. 475-491.
- Lee, J-H. (1993). On-line processing of pronoun resolution in reading. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Korea University, Seoul.
- Lee, J-H. (2004). The role of syntactic cues in pronoun referential resolution: The effects of number and gender cue. *The Korean Journal of Cognitive Science*, *15*, 27-35
- Sanford, A. J., & Garrod, S. E. (1989). What, when, and how?: Questions of immediacy in anaphoric reference resolution. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 4, 235-262.