INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE & BIOLOGY ISSN Print: 1560–8530; ISSN Online: 1814–9596

14–561/2015/17–4–727–733 DOI: 10.17957/IJAB/14.0007

DOI: 10.17957/IJAB/14.0007 http://www.fspublishers.org

Full Length Article



Antibacterial Potential of Capparis spinosa and Capparis decidua Extracts

Tehseen Gull^{1*}, Bushra Sultana¹, Ijaz Ahmad Bhatti¹ and Amer Jamil²

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Department of Biochemistry, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

*For correspondence: chemistuaf@gmail.com

Abstract

Capparis spinosa and C. decidua are indigenous medicinal plants of Pakistan which are rich in antioxidant compounds. In present investigation, the aqueous menthanolic, ethanolic and acetone extracts of stem bark, shoot, fruit, flower and roots of both species were investigated for their antimicrobial potential in comparison with amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin (control). The effect of these extracts was evaluated on the growth of four bacteria i.e., Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis and Pasteurella multocida using disc diffusion and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assays. After comparing all extracts, it was found that methanolic extracts of selected parts of both species significantly reduced the growth of all four bacteria although different extracts expressed varying efficacy in reducing bacterial growth. It was further noted that S. aureus growth was mainly inhibited by methanolic extracts of C. decidua flowers and roots (GIZ: 26.5 and 26.4 mm, respectively), E. coli population was effectively reduced by methanolic extracts of C. decidua roots and shoots (GIZ: 29.1 26.7 mm, respectively) while B. subtilis growth was inhibited maximally by methanolic extracts of C. spinosa stem bark and C. decidua fruits (GIZ: 26.8 and 26.8, respectively). Moreover, methanolic extract of C. decidua root markedly inhibited the growth of P. multocida (25.7 mm). On the basis of above mentioned findings, it can safely be concluded that C. decidua possess higher antimicrobial potential than C. spinosa and these can be used as natural antibacterial agent. © 2015 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Acetone; Bacillus subtilis; Escherichia coli; Ethanol; Methanol; Pasteurella multocida; Staphylococcus aureus

Introduction

The medicinal plants have been used traditionally in different civilization since ancient times due to their strong therapeutic effects on human beings. Recently, medicinal plants are acquiring good place in pharmaceutical industries owning higher nutritional quality and medicinal compounds which are capable for curing diseases (Shrishailappa et al., 2001). These are also used against microbial infections for treating ailments due to the presence of antimicrobial compounds (Iwu et al., 1999). In this modern era, the pharmacists and physicians mostly prescribe drugs discovered, isolated and manufactured synthetically which allow a fast recovery from diseases but, as their use increases, the side effects for the host and the resistance of infecting agents to these products also increase. Synthetic drugs have been preferred for over decades but now the use of the herbal medicines is being preferred to avoid blind dependency on synthetic ones. Recently, many plants have been explored and reported for their ability to inhibit the growth of microorganisms, which can replace the chemically manufactured drugs (Ilic et al., 2004; Mandalari et al., 2007). Consequently, plant based compounds like isoflavones, gamma thionin and homoisoflavinoids have been discovered and studied extensively (Hong et al., 2006; Franco *et al.*, 2006; Mhaeswara *et al.*, 2006). Additionally, sulfur rich plant extracts have been studied and their potential against bacterial pathogens has been reported (Iscan *et al.*, 2002; Kalemba and Kunicka, 2003).

Many plant species have been reported for their medicinal uses around the world. According to a safe estimate, 6000 plant species have been reported in Pakistan (Shinwari et al., 2000). Many of these are traditionally used as therapy for curing and preventing different diseases. These plants belong to different families and genera including higher plants, trees, herbs, shrubs and grasses. Capparis species belonging to family Capparaceae are not exceptions for their medicinal attributes. This family consists of 250 species, out of which C. spinosa and C. decidua are native to Pakistan which have been reported for their traditional uses in folk medicine (Mabberley, 1997; Hamed et al., 2007). The aerial parts of these species have been reported as a potential source to restrain the bacterial growth. The extracts of fermented C. spinosa parts were reported to be effective to inhibit growth of different bacterial strains especially those which have acquired resistance to drugs like ciprofloxacin, vancomycin and teicoplanin (Perez et al., 2005; 2006a, b). Based on these findings, it is a dire need to explore the antimicrobial potential of different parts of C. spinosa and C. decidua as previously, no investigation has been carried out in Pakistan on these plants. So, the present study was designed aiming at exploring the antibacterial potential of aqueous methanol, aqueous ethanol and aqueous acetone extracts against Gram positive and negative bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Five different plants of each species (*C. spinosa* and *C. decidua*) were selected and tagged from Cholistan desert, Bahawalpur region of Punjab. The samples of stem bark, shoots, fruits, flowers and roots of the both species were collected from the selected plants. The samples were further identified and authenticated by Dr. M. Hameed, Taxonomist, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan. Average temperature (27°C), dew point (16°C) and relative humidity (47%) were recorded in sampling season (April).

Preparation of Extracts

The plant samples were ground to pass 2 mm sieve and the powder plant samples were separately extracted with three different solvents aqueous methanol (methanol: water, 80:20 v/v), aqueous ethanol (ethanol: water, 80:20 v/v) and aqueous acetone (acetone: water, 80:20 v/v). Dry powdered samples of each plant part (10 g) were mixed separately with 100 mL of each solvent for 6 h at room temperature in an orbital shaker (Pamico, Pak). The extract and residues were separated using Whatman's filter paper No. 1. The residues were extracted twice with the respective fresh solvents, and the obtained three extracts were pooled. The combined extracts were evaporated under reduced pressure at 45 °C, using rotary evaporator (EYELA, N-N Series). The crude concentrated extracts were stored in refrigerator at 4°C, until used for further studies.

Bacterial Strains

The pure cultures of Bacterial strains (Staphylococcus aureus: NCTC 6571, Escherichia coli: ATCC 8739, Bacillus subtilis: NCTC 10400 and P. multocida: wild type) were obtained from Department of Biochemistry, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan and Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology (NIAB), Faisalabad, Pakistan which were further characterized from Institute of Microbiology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan. The bacteria were cultured overnight at 37°C on nutrient agar (NA). Antibacterial activity of 80% aqueous methanolic, ethonolic and acetone extracts of selected parts of C. spinosa and C. decidua were individually evaluated against the above bacteria by following two methods.

a. Disc diffusion method (NCCLS, 1997). Bacterial suspension (100 μ L) containing 10^8 colony-forming units (CFU)/mL was spread on petri plates (diameter × height:

 150×25 mm) containing NA medium (50 mL media/plate). The wick paper discs (6 mm in diameter, 11 discs in each petri plate including two positive and one negative control) were separately saturated with 15 μ L of each extract placed on the agar which had previously been inoculated with the selected test bacteria. Amoxycillin and ciprofloxacin were used as positive references for bacteria. Discs without samples were used as a negative control. Plates were kept at 4°C for 1 h and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Antibacterial activity was assessed by measuring the diameter of the growth-inhibition zone (GIZ) in mm (including disc diameter of 6 mm) for the test organisms comparing to the controls.

Resazurin microtitre-plate assay. Modified resazurin microtitre-plate assay was also used for the measurement of MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) of test bacteria against different extracts (Sarker et al., 2007). Briefly, 100 µL extract and standard drug (1 mg/mL in methanol) was pipetted into the first row of the 96 well plates. To all other wells 50 µL of nutrient broth was added. Two fold serial dilutions were performed using a multichannel pipette such that each well had 50 µL of the test material in serially descending concentrations. Then, 30 μL of 3.3× strength isosensitised broth and 10 μL of resazurin indicator solution (prepared by dissolving 270 mg tablet in 40 mL of sterile distilled water) were added in each well. Finally, 10 µL of bacterial suspension was added to each well to achieve a concentration of approx 5×10^5 CFU mL⁻¹. Each plate had a set of controls: a column with ciprofloxacin and second with amoxicillin as positive control, a column with all solutions with the exception of the test compound, a column with all solutions with the exception of the bacterial solution adding 10 µL of nutrient broth instead and a column with methanol as a negative control. The plates were prepared in triplicate. Plates were enfolded loosely with cling film and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The color change was then assessed visually. The growth was indicated by color changes from purple to pink or colorless. The lowest concentration at which colour change occurred was taken as the MIC value.

Statistical Analysis

Each parameter was analyzed individually in triplicate and data was reported as mean \pm standard error. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Minitab 2000 Version 13.2 statistical software (Minitab Inc. Pennysalvania, USA) at 5% significance level.

Results

The growth of all four bacteria was significantly affected by the application of plant extracts. It was found that aqueous methanolic extract of the stem bark of *C. spinosa* effectively inhibited the growth of all

Table 1: Antimicrobial activity (mm) of stem bark extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* (assessed by disc diffusion assay)

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	15.7±0.5 ^b	17.9±0.7 ^b	26.8±0.7 ^a	24.7±0.4 ^a	
_	Ethanol	11.1±0.3 ^b	13.2 ± 0.2^{b}	19.2±0.2a	12.7±0.3 ^b	
	Acetone	13.2±0.1 ^{bc}	18.6±0.7 ^a	13.7±0.4 ^{bc}	15.3±0.5 ^b	
C. decidua	Methanol	20.4 ± 0.8^{ab}	21.1 ± 0.6^{ab}	23.4 ± 0.9^{a}	19.7±0.7 ^{ab}	
	Ethanol	14.2±0.6 ^b	14.3±0.5 ^b	17.1±0.6 ^b	21.2±0.9 ^a	
	Acetone	13.7±0.5°	16.9±0.4 ^b	12.9±0.5°	19.0±0.4 ^a	
Control	Amoxicillin	31.4±1.2	32.3±1.4	31.6±0.9	30.3±0.8	
	Ciprofloxacin	29.6±1.1	30.9±1.2	27.8±1.3	27.9±1.3	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the mean rows indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

Table 2: Minimum inhibitory concentration (µg mL⁻¹) of stem bark extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua*

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	145.2±5.1 ^b	184.6±4.8 ^a	123.2±5.2°	126.7±8.4°	
•	Ethanol	189.1±4.3 ^b	236.2±3.7a	159.7±3.3°	158.3±6.1°	
	Acetone	256.7±3.6 ^b	278.9±3.9a	207.8±6.5°	246.7±2.2 ^b	
C. decidua	Methanol	236.7±4.5 ^a	212.3±4.5 ^b	128.9±3.1°	199.0±6.2 ^{bc}	
	Ethanol	241.2±7.9 ^b	268.9±5.3a	179.1±3.9 ^d	213.2±7.7°	
	Acetone	303.4 ± 6.3^{a}	310.1±8.1 ^a	233.4±8.6 ^b	296.7±7.5a	
Control	Amoxicillin	22.2±1.1 ^{ab}	13.7±0.6 ^b	10.8±0.5 ^b	29.5±1.4a	
	Ciprofloxacin	14.8 ± 0.7^{a}	10.7 ± 0.4^{ab}	9.2 ± 0.4^{ab}	13.4±0.7 ^a	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the means row indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

Table 3: Antimicrobial activity (mm) of shoot extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* (assessed by disc diffusion assay)

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	21.0±0.2 ^b	21.9±0.2 ^b	24.6±0.5°	23.6±0.7 ^a	
_	Ethanol	17.9±0.2 ^b	13.7±0.4°	19.9 ± 0.7^{a}	16.9±0.3 ^b	
	Acetone	11.1±0.5 ^b	14.5±0.2 ^a	14.9±0.3 ^a	12.5±0.7 ^b	
C. decidua	Methanol	19.8±0.3 ^b	26.7±0.7 ^a	12.4 ± 0.4^{c}	20.7±0.1 ^b	
	Ethanol	16.7±0.5 ^b	15.9±0.3 ^b	19.1±0.4 ^a	11.8±0.3 ^b	
	Acetone	12.9±0.5°	17.6±0.6 ^a	14.7±0.3 ^b	12.6±0.2°	
Control	Amoxicillin	31.5±1.2	30.6±1.0	30.6±1.2	29.3±0.8	
	Ciprofloxacin	30.6±0.9	29.8±1.4	28.7±1.1	27.2±0.5	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the means row indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

selected bacteria but its maximum inhibiting potential was recorded against *B. subtilis* with greater inhibition zones (26.8 mm) and lower MIC values (123.2 μg mL⁻¹) followed by *P. multocida* (GIZ, 24.7 mm; MIC, 158.3 μg mL⁻¹), while aqueous ethanol and acetone extracts of *C. spinosa* stem bark in that order were effective in inhibiting the corresponding population of *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* (GIZ, 19.2, 18.6 mm; MIC, 278.9, 159.7 μg mL⁻¹, respectively) as given in Table 1 and 2. In case of *C. decidua* stem bark, methanolic extract maximally inhibited *B. subtilis* (GIZ, 23.4 mm; MIC, 128.9 μg mL⁻¹), while its ethanol and acetone extracts showed considerable antibacterial activities with GIZ of 21.2, 19.0 mm and MIC values of 213.2, 296.7 μg mL⁻¹ against *P. multocida* (Table 1 and 2).

Antibacterial activity of aqueous methanolic extract of *C. spinosa* shoot maximally controlled the population of *B.*

subtilis (GIZ, 24.6 mm; MIC, 148.9 μg mL⁻¹) followed by *P. multocida* while its ethanol and acetone extracts effectively inhibited the growth of *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* with appreciable values of inhibition zones (GIZ) 13.7, 19.9 and 14.5, 14.9 mm minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of 136.7, 198.9 and 251.9, 275.6 μg mL⁻¹, respectively (Table 3 and 4). The methanolic extract of *C. decidua* shoot maximally reduced the growth of *E. coli* followed by *P. multocida*, while showed less activity against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. The antibacterial activity of aqueous ethanol and acetone extracts of shoot was good against the gram positive and gram negative bacteria in similar trend like *C. spinosa* shoot extracts (Table 3 and 4).

Aqueous methanol, ethanol and acetone extract of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* fruits exhibited varying antibacterial activity as shown by the inhibition zones (GIZ) and MIC values (Table 5 and 6). The best activity of methanolic

Table 5: Antimicrobial activity (mm) of fruit extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* (assessed by disc diffusion assay)

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	17.7±0.5	20.9±0.1	23.9±0.4	24.9±0.6	
•	Ethanol	11.9±0.6	15.2±0.4	16.1±0.3	17.7±0.5	
	Acetone	12.6±0.2	16.7±0.3	12.7±0.7	12.1±0.1	
C. decidua	Methanol	21.4±0.3	24.1±0.7	26.8±0.2	21.7±0.1	
	Ethanol	16.9±0.2	15.3±0.1	19.2±0.3	23.7±0.6	
	Acetone	13.2±0.4	18.9±0.7	18.7±0.5	19.9±0.8	
Control	Amoxicillin	30.1±0.9	32.2±1.6	34.1±0.9	29.9±1.4	
	Ciprofloxacin	29.7±0.7	27.3±1.3	31.7±1.2	26.6±1.0	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the means row indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

Table 6: Minimum inhibitory concentration (μg mL⁻¹) of fruit extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua*

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	110.9±2.9 ^b	253.2±8.4 ^a	126.7±5.1 ^b	120.1±2.5 ^b	
•	Ethanol	125.6±3.5°	266.7±4.6a	160.1±2.2 ^b	176.7±4.4 ^b	
	Acetone	272.7±4.7 ^b	306.9±5.1a	253.9±6.2 ^{bc}	234.9±8.2°	
C. decidua	Methanol	231.2±7.9 ^a	158.3±4.8°	134.2±5.7 ^{cd}	191.9±4.3 ^b	
	Ethanol	269.9±8.3 ^a	188.9±3.0°	156.7±2.9 ^d	233.7±7.9 ^b	
	Acetone	316.4±9.8 ^a	260.1±7.5°	212.8±6.8 ^d	285.7±5.2 ^b	
Control	Amoxicillin	21.2±0.6 ^b	9.7±0.5°	12.4±0.6°	29.2±0.9a	
	Ciprofloxacin	14.3±0.4 ^a	8.9 ± 0.3^{ab}	6.8 ± 0.2^{b}	9.7±0.3 ^{ab}	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the means row indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

Table 7: Antimicrobial activity (mm) of flower extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* (assessed by disc diffusion assay)

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	23.7±0.5 ^{ab}	26.5±0.7 ^a	17.6±0.4 ^{bc}	20.7±0.8 ^b	
•	Ethanol	14.8±0.2	18.2±0.9	19.7±0.1	15.9±0.3	
	Acetone	12.1±0.3 ^b	21.6±0.7 ^a	11.2±0.5 ^b	14.7±0.2 ^b	
C. decidua	Methanol	26.5±0.4 ^a	23.9±0.1 ^b	19.6±0.3°	22.3±0.6 ^b	
	Ethanol	19.1±0.2 ^a	13.4±0.9 ^b	11.3±0.5 ^b	13.4±0.3 ^b	
	Acetone	21.3±0.3 ^a	16.4±0.5 ^b	13.2±0.8°	11.3±0.2°	
Control	Amoxicillin	30.9±1.2	30.2±0.9	31.6±1.1	29.7±0.9	
	Ciprofloxacin	28.5±1.1	29.3±1.1	30.3±0.9	27.9±0.7	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the means row indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

Table 8: Minimum inhibitory concentration (μg mL⁻¹) of flower extracts of C. spinosa and C. decidua

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	122.1±1.5°	181.2±3.1 ^a	111.2±1.6 ^{cd}	146.7±3.8 ^b	
_	Ethanol	147.8±2.7 ^{bc}	215.6±2.6 ^a	175.6±3.7 ^b	158.9±3.6 ^{bc}	
	Acetone	266.7±6.4 ^a	224.0±5.3 ^b	196.7±7.2°	206.7±7.1°	
C. decidua	Methanol	112.3±3.7 ab	133.4±3.6 ^a	96.3±4.2 ^b	112.3±3.9ab	
	Ethanol	143.1±2.7 ^b	163.4±2.6 ^a	138.2±4.2 ^b	133.4±1.9 ^b	
	Acetone	204.5±3.7 ^d	258.9±2.6 ^b	295.6±8.9a	222.3±2.7°	
Control	Amoxicillin	23.1±0.6 ^b	11.2±0.4°	9.6±0.3°	27.2±0.5 ^a	
	Ciprofloxacin	17.4±0.3 ^a	7.8 ± 0.2^{b}	7.4 ± 0.2^{b}	10.8±0.4 ^b	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the means row indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

extract of fruit was observed against *P. multocida* with large GIZ (24.9 mm) and small MIC values (120.1 µg mL⁻¹) values, while its acetone extract maximally reduced the growth of *E. coli* (GIZ, 16.7 mm; MIC, 3.6 µg mL⁻¹). Although the aqueous methanolic extracts of *C. decidua* fruits exhibited different trend against the selected bacteria,

however it maximally reduced the population of *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* (GIZ, 26.8, 24.1 mm; MIC, 134.2, 158.3 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively) while its ethanolic and acetone extracts effectively controlled the population of *P. multocida* with GIZ of 23.7, 19.9 mm and minimum inhibitory concentration 233.7, 285.7 μ g mL⁻¹ (Table 5 and 6).

Table 9: Antimicrobial activity (mm) of root extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* (assessed by disc diffusion assay)

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	21.1±0.9	23.9±0.7	21.7±0.4	20.4±0.5	
_	Ethanol	14.9±0.4 ^b	19.2±0.1 ^a	16.1 ± 0.6^{ab}	13.7±0.8 ^b	
	Acetone	15.6±0.3	16.7±0.4	17.7±0.8	15.1±0.2	
C. decidua	Methanol	26.4 ± 0.2^{ab}	29.1±0.4 ^a	23.8±0.9 ^b	25.7±0.1 ^{ab}	
	Ethanol	19.9±0.5 ^{ab}	22.3±0.3a	18.2 ± 0.6^{ab}	23.4±0.5 ^a	
	Acetone	20.2±0.1 ^a	21.9±0.6 ^a	15.7±0.3 ^b	22.9±0.2 ^a	
Control	Amoxicillin	32.1±1.6	34.2±1.7	32.1±1.3	29.7±1.2	
	Ciprofloxacin	31.7±1.4	32.3±1.4	29.7±1.0	27.1±0.8	

Table 10: Minimum inhibitory concentration (μg mL⁻¹) of root extracts of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua*

Plant Species	Solvents	Bacteria				
		Staphylococcus aureus	Escherichia coli	Bacillus subtilis	Pasteurella multocida	
C. spinosa	Methanol	156.7±1.4 ^b	142.6±6.3 ^b	157.8±4.2 ^b	207.9±8.1a	
	Ethanol	198.7±5.6 ^b	194.1±2.1 ^b	231.4±4.8 ^a	231.4±6.6 ^a	
	Acetone	277.1±8.0 ^a	229.6±7.6 ^b	282.6±5.3a	264.8±7.9 ^a	
C. decidua	Methanol	182.3±5.3 ^{ab}	163.7±5.7 ^b	197.7±3.6a	204.8±6.9 ^a	
	Ethanol	189.3±4.6 ^b	179.6±4.1 ^b	232.3±4.8a	232.7±7.5 ^a	
	Acetone	263.4±5.5°	221.7±6.2 ^d	318.1 ± 7.9^{a}	286.4±8.1 ^b	
Control	Amoxicillin	22.5±1.1 ^b	7.9±0.3°	10.8±0.5°	33.6 ± 1.6^{a}	
	Ciprofloxacin	15.7±0.7 ^a	8.0 ± 0.4^{b}	5.6 ± 0.2^{c}	11.8±0.5 ^{ab}	

Values (means \pm SD) are average of three samples of each bacterium, analyzed individually in triplicate. Different Superscript letters within the means row indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) among the bacteria

Screening of the antimicrobial activity of the aqueous methanol, ethanol and acetone extract of flowers of C. spinosa and C. decidua was also done against four pathogenic bacteria. The results of disc diffusion assay and measurement of inhibitory concentrations showed variation in the antibacterial activity (Tables 7 and 8). The methanol extract of C. spinosa flower showed stronger antibacterial activity against E. coli followed by S. aureus, P. multocida and B. subtilis. The values of GIZ offered for bacterial stains were 26.5, 23.7, 20.7 and 17.6 mm, respectively. Generally, the tested Gram positive bacteria were found to be more sensitive to flowers extract than Gram negative bacteria. Little differences were observed for the GIZ among these extracts, since all of them showed a strong activity for the strains assayed. However, more precise results on the antimicrobial properties were obtained through the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration that were found to be 181.2, 122.1, 146.7 and 111.2 μg mL⁻¹ against E. coli, P. multocida, S. aureus and B. subtilis, respectively. Ethanolic extract of C. spinosa flower was effective against B. subtilis (GIZ, 19.7 mm; MIC, 175.6 µg mL⁻¹) followed by E. coli (GIZ, 18.2 mm, MIC, 215.6 μg mL⁻¹) while all three extracts of C. decidua flowers i.e., aqueous methanol, ethanol and acetone effectively reduced the population of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* (Table 7 and 8).

The antibacterial effectiveness of aqueous methanol, ethanol and acetone extract of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* roots was evaluated by the measurement of inhibition zones (GIZ) and minimum Inhibitory concentration (MIC) is in Table 9 and 10. The results from the disc diffusion assay indicated that aqueous methanol extract of *C. spinosa* root showed comparable antibacterial activity in selected strains

of bacteria (*E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *P. multocida*) with GIZ 23.9, 21.7, 21.2 and 20.4 mm, respectively. The ethanol and acetone extracts of *C. spinosa* root exhibited best antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* (GIZ, 19.2, 17.7mm; MIC, 142.6, 282.6 μg mL⁻¹, respectively) while methanolic extract of *C. decidua* roots showed best activity against the *E. coli* (GIZ, 29.1 mm; MIC, 142.6 μg mL⁻¹) and ethanolic and acetone extracts of *C. decidua* roots reduced the growth of *P. multocida* (GIZ, 23.4,22.9 mm; MIC, 232.7, 286.4 μg mL⁻¹, respectively) (Table 9 and 10).

Discussion

Resistance in bacteria against drugs is intensifying due to their extensive use in clinical medicines. No doubt, the scientists are continuously working on discovering and formulating new drugs to cure microbial infections but drug resistant bacterial strains are continuously opposing a challenge to pharmacists. It is urging for researchers to explore the natural products qualifying antibacterial potential in their extracts. Medicinal plants have been used in since ages but their antimicrobial potential as a source of drugs have not been explored extensively although these are used in folk medicine or indirectly in pharmaceutical drugs. The resistance in pathogenic bacteria against drugs and the traditional practices of using medicinal plants against infectious diseases is accelerating the research work related to explore the efficacy of plant extracts or plant derived phytochemical compounds (Chan et al., 2007; Pitchamuthu et al., 2012).

In present investigation, antibacterial potential of

selected parts of C. spinosa and C. decidua was evaluated against four Gram positive and negative bacteria. The growth of all four bacteria was significantly inhibited by the application of Capparis extracts in comparison with synthetic drugs i.e., amoxycillin and ciprofloxacin. Aqueous methanolic extracts were found to be more effective in comparison with ethanol and acetone extracts against all bacteria but extracts of different parts exhibited variable efficacy in limiting bacterial development. Previously, the inhibiting potential of aerial parts of C. spinosa plants has been reported against Gram-positive and negative bacteria (Mahasneh, 2002). In this study, Capparis stem bark aqueous methanolic extract effectively inhibited Bacillus subtilis and P. multocida growth, while other bacteria showed some resistance. The difference in bacterial response against solvents might be due to cell membrane permeability. Gram negative bacteria have an outer phospholipidic membrane, which makes the impermeable to antimicrobial chemical compound, while Gram positive bacteria have peptidoglycan layer, which is permeable to these substances (Sharma et al., 2010). It was further found that aqueous methanolic extract of C. decidua shoot has inhibiting potential against Bacillus subtilis and Aspergillus niger (Iqbal et al., 2006). Upadhyay et al. (2010) reported the antimicrobial potential of absolute and aqueous methanol extracts of C. decidua against Klebsiella pneumoniae, E. coli, Micrococcus luteus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, S. aureus, Bacillus cereus and Lactobacilus acidophilus. Those researchers reported 36 mm growth inhibition zone (GIZ) of S. aureus when induced with C. decidua shoot extract while in the present study 21.0 and 19.8 mm GIZ of S. aureus was recorded by aqueous methanolic extract of C. decidua and C. spinosa shoot extract, respectively. As, the fruits and flowers of C. spinosa and C. decidua are also rich in antioxidant compounds (Zia-ul-Haq et al., 2011), their extracts exhibited good antimicrobial potential in comparison with synthetic drugs i.e., amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin as found in the present investigation. Previously, C. spinosa flower extracts have been reported as 100 and 90% effective against Gram positive and negative bacteria, respectively (Ibrahim, 2012). Likewise, extracts obtained from fermented caper fruits have been found to contain effective antimicrobial agents against bacterial strains that had become resistant to drugs like ciprofloxacin, vancomycin and teicoplanin (Perez et al., 2005; 2006a, b).

The roots of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* are not exception to exhibit antibacterial activity. The root extracts of both species exhibited growth inhibiting effect on all studied bacteria (Table 9, 10). The potential of *C. spinosa* roots has also been studied on *Deinococcus radiophilus* (Boga *et al.*, 2011). Aqueous methanolic extract of *C. decidua* roots showed larger GIZ for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, while Mali *et al.* (2004) found that ethanolic extract of *C. decidua* roots is more effective against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* in comparison with other extracts. This difference might be

attributed to extraction technique/solvent, germplasm, climatic factors and growing conditions. A few studies are available for antimicrobial potential of *C. spinosa* and *C. decidua* root extracts while the researchers have worked on a few other species' root extracts. The effectiveness of *C. grandiflora* root extract was studied against *S. aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus pumillus*, *E. coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Candida albicans* and *A. niger* (Sini *et al.*, 2011). Similarly, petroleum ether extract of *C. zeylanica* roots have inhibiting potential against *S. aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Proteus vulgaris* (Rengapriya *et al.*, 2012).

The efficacy of plant extracts against bacterial growth might be due to phytoconstituents like phenolics and flavonoids present in plant extracts. So, antibacterial potential of Capparis extracts might be due to higher phenolic and flavonoid compounds found in different parts of Capparis species (Zia-ul-Haq et al., 2011; Imran et al., 2014). Proestos et al. (2006) studied the correlation between antimicrobial activity of plant extracts and phenolic acids. The researchers studied the phenolic acids composition by employing RP-HPLC with UV detection and further GC-MS was used for phenolic acids characterization. It was found that plant extracts with higher phenolic and flavonoid compounds exhibit good antibacterial activity. Capparis extracts are also rich in antioxidant compounds, phenolics, flavonoids, rutin, tocopherols, carotenoids and vitamin C (Tlili et al., 2011a,b; Imran et al., 2014). The inhibited growth of E. coli and S. aureus can be correlated with flavonoid compounds in C. decidua extracts (Sharma and Kumar, 2009). Such studies show that the extracts rich in phenolic acids and flavonoids, exhibit better antimicrobial potential (Sivropoulou et al., 1995). Secondly, the difference in the antimicrobial potential of different solvents might be due to the difference in polarities and chemical characteristics of these solvents (Sultana et al., 2009).

Conclusion

Methanolic extracts of the selected parts of both species were more effective in inhibiting the growth of studied bacteria in comparison with other solvents. The results manifested that the bacterial population were maximally inhibited by *C. decidua* root extracts except *B. subtilis* while *S. aureus* was more sensitive to methanolic flower extract of *C. decidua*. Based on these findings, ex vivo confirmatory studies should be carried out for further explanation of the effectiveness of these species.

References

Boga, C., L. Forlani, R. Calienni, T. Hindley, A. Hochkoeppler, S. Tozzi and N. Zanna, 2011. The antibacterial activity of roots of *Capparis spinosa*. Lett. Nat. Prod. Res., 25: 417–421

Chan, É.W.C., Y.Y. Lim and M. Omar, 2007. Antioxidant and antibacterial activity of leaves of *Etingera species* (Zingiberaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia. *Food Chem.*, 104: 1586–1593

- Franco, O.L., A.M. Murad, J.R. Leite, P.A. Mendes, M.V. Prates and C.J. Bloch, 2006. Identification of a cowpea gamma-thionin with bactericidal activity. FEBS J., 273: 3489–3497
- Hamed, A.R., K.A. Abdel-Shafeek, N.S. Abdel-Azim, S.I. Ismail and F.M. Hammouda, 2007. Chemical investigation of some *Capparis* species growing in Egypt and their antioxidant activity. *Evid. Based. Complement. Altern. Med.*, 4: 25–28
- Hong, H., M.R. Landauer, M.A. Foriska and G.D. Ledney, 2006. Antimicrobial activity of soy isoflavone genistein. J. Basic Microbiol., 46: 329–335
- Ibrahim, O.M.S., 2012. Evaluation of anti-bacterial activity of Capparis spinosa (Al-Kabara) and Aloe vera extracts against isolates bacterial skin wound infections in-vitro and in-vivo. Kufa J. Vet. Med. Sci., 3: 23–35
- Ilic, S.B., S.S. Konstantinovic and Z.B. Todorovic, 2004. Antimicrobial activity of bioactive component from flower of Linum capitatum kit. *Facta Univ.*, 3: 73–78
- Imran, I., M.Z. Haq, L. Calani, T. Mazzeo and N. Pellegrini, 2014. Phenolic profile and antioxidant potential of selected plants of Pakistan. J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual., 87: 30–35
- Iqbal, S., M.I. Bhanger, M. Akhtar, F. Anwar, K. R. Ahmed and T. Anwer, 2006. Antioxidant Properties of Methanolic Extracts from Leaves of Rhazya stricta. J. Med. Food, 9: 270–275
- Iscan, G., N. Kirimer, M. Kurkcuoglu, K.H. Baser and F. Demirci, 2002. Antimicrobial screening of *Mentha piperita* essential oils. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.*, 50: 3943–3946
- Iwu, M.W., A.R. Duncan and C.O. Okunji, 1999. New antimicrobials of plant origin. *In: Perspectives on New Crops and New Uses*, pp: 457–462. Janick, J. (ed.). ASHS Press, Alexandria, Virginia, USA
- Kalemba, D. and A. Kunicka, 2003. Antibacterial and antifungal properties of essential oils. Curr. Med. Chem., 10: 813–829
- Mabberley, D.J., 1997, The Plant-book: A Portable Dictionary of Higher Plants. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Mahasneh, A.M., 2002. Screening of some indigenous Qatari medicinal plants for antimicrobial activity. *Phytother. Res.*, 16: 751–753
- Mali, R.G., J.C., Hundiwale, R.S. Sonawane, R.N. Patil and B.C. Hatapakki, 2004. Evaluation of *Capparis decidua* for anthelmintic and antimicrobial activities. *Ind. J. Nat. Prod.*, 20: 10–13
- Mandalari, G., R.N. Bennett, G. Bisignano, D. Trombetta, A. Saija, C. B. Faulds, M.J. Gasson and A. Nabad, 2007. Antimicrobial activity of flavonoids extracted from bergamot (*Citrus bergamia Risso*) peel, a byproduct of the essential oil industry. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 103: 2050–2064
- Mhaeswara, M., V. Siddaiah and C.V. Rao, 2006. Two new homo-iso-flavonoids from *Caesalpinia pulcherrima*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 54: 1193–1195
- NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards), 1997.

 **Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Test, 6th edition. Approved Standard. M2-A6, Wayne, Philadelphia, USA
- Perez, P.R., N.B. Omar, H. Abriouel, R.L Lopez, M.M. Canamero and A. Galvez, 2005. Microbiological study of lactic acid fermentation of Caper berries by molecular and culture-dependent methods. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 71: 7872–7879
- Perez, P.R, H. Abriouel, N.B. Omar, L.R. Lucas, M.M. Canamero and A. Galvez, 2006a. Plasmid profile patterns and properties of pediococci isolated from caper fermentations. *J. Food Prot.*, 69: 1178–1182

- Perez, P.R., H. Abriouel, H.B. Omar, R. Lucas, M.M. Canamero M. and A. Galvez, 2006b. Safety and potential risks of enterococci isolated from traditional fermented capers. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 44: 2070–2077
- Pitchamuthu, A., M. Gomathinayagam and R. Panneerselvam, 2012. Preliminary study on the antimicrobial activity of *Enicostemma littorale* using different solvents. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.*, 2012: 552–555
- Proestos, C., I. Boziaris, G.J. Nychas and M. Komaitis, 2006. Analysis of flavonoids and phenolic acids in Greek aromatic plants: Investigation of their antioxidant capacity and antimicrobial activity. *Food Chem.*, 95: 664–671
- Rengapriya, D., R. Bharathidasan., K. Kanimozhi, P. Madhanraj and A. Panneerselvam, 2012. Screening of Antibacterial Activity and Phytocompound Studies of *Capparis zeylanica* (linn) and *Spermacoce hispida* (linn). *Int. J. Pharmaceut. Invent.* 2: 15–23
- Sarker, S.D., L. Nahar and Y. Kumarasamy, 2007. Microtitre plate-based antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application in the in vitro antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. *Methods*, 42: 321–324
- Sharma, A., S. Chandraker, K. Patel and P. Ramteke. 2010 Antibacterical activity of medinical plants against pathogens causing complicated urinary tract infections. *Ind. J. Pharm.*, 118: 102–166
- Sharma, B. and P. Kumar, 2009. Extraction and pharmacological evaluation of some extracts of *Tridaz procumbent* and *Capparis decidua*. *Int. J. Appl. Res. Nat. Prod.*, 1: 5–12
- Shinwari, Z.K., S.S. Gilani, M. Kohjoma and T. Nakaike, 2000. Status of medicinal plants in Pakistani Hindukush Himalayas. *Proc. Nepal Japan Joint Symposium*, pp: 235–242
- Shrishailappa, B., V.B. Desai and B. Suresh, 2001. Ethnopharmacolgoy, its relevance and need in India. *Eastern Pharma.*, 64: 35–38
- Sini, K.R., N.B. Sinha, A. Rajasekaran, M. Thorakkattil, D. Krishnan and M.K. Jose, 2011. Antimicrobial activity of the dried root powder of Capparis grandiflora wall. Ex Hook .F & Thomson. Asian J. Pharmaceu. Clin. Res., 4: 63–64
- Sivropoulou, S. Kokkini, T. Lanaras and M. Arsenakis, 1995. Antimicrobial activity of mint essential oils. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 43: 2384–2388
- Sultana, B., F. Anwar and M. Ashraf, 2009. Effect of Extraction Solvent/Technique on the Antioxidant Activity of Selected Medicinal Plant Extracts. *Molecules*, 14: 2167–2180
- Tlili, N., W. Elfalleh, E. Saadaoui, A. Khaldi, S. Triki and N. Nasri, 2011a. The caper (*Capparis* L.): Ethnopharmacology, phytochemical and pharmacological properties. *Fitoterap.*, 82: 93–101
- Tlili, N., N. Nasri, A. Khaldi, S. Triki and S.M. Bosch, 2011b. Phenolic compounds, tocopherols, carotenoids and vitamin C of commercial caper. J. Food Biochem., 35: 472–483
- Upadhyay, R.K., S. Ahmad, R. Tripathi, L. Rohtagi and S.C. Jain, 2010. Screening of antimicrobial potential of extracts and pure compounds isolated from *Capparis decidua*. *J. Med. Plant Res.*, 4: 439–445
- Zia-ul-Haq, M., S. Cavar, M. Qayum, I. Imran and V. de Feo, 2011. Compositional Studies: Antioxidant and Antidiabetic Activities of Capparis decidua (Forsk.) Edgew. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 12: 8846–8861

(Received 05 July 2014; Accepted 16 October 2014)