
Review

Antibiotic therapy of community respiratory tract infections: strategies for optimal outcomes and minimized resistance emergence

P. Ball^{a*}, F. Baquero^b, O. Cars^c, T. File^d, J. Garau^e, K. Klugman^f, D. E. Low^g, E. Rubinstein^h and R. Wiseⁱ: The Consensus Group on Resistance and Prescribing in Respiratory Tract Infection

^aSchool of Biomedical Sciences, St Andrews University, St Andrews; ⁱDepartment of Medical Microbiology, City Hospital NHS Trust, Birmingham, UK; ^bDepartment of Microbiology, Hospital Ramon y Cajal, Madrid; ^eDepartment of Medicine, Hospital de Mutua de Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain; ^cDepartment of Infectious Diseases, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; ^dSumma Health System, Akron, Ohio; ^fDepartment of International Health, The Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ^gDepartment of Microbiology, Mount Sinai Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ^hUnit of Infectious Diseases, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel

Widespread, increasing antibiotic resistance amongst the major respiratory pathogens has compromised traditional therapy of the major infective respiratory syndromes, including bacterial pneumonia and acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. Guidelines for antibiotic prescribing dating from the 1980s to 1990s, which attempted to address such problems, were commonly too prescriptive and difficult to apply, and took little account of end-user practice or locally prevalent resistance levels. Further confusion was caused by conflicting recommendations emanating from differing specialty groups. The evidence that such guidelines benefited either clinical outcomes or treatment costs has been disputed. They have probably had little effect on resistance emergence. We report the recommendations of an independent, multi-national, inter-disciplinary group, which met to identify principles underlying prescribing and guideline formulation in an age of increasing bacterial resistance. Unnecessary prescribing was recognized as the major factor in influencing resistance and costs. Antibiotic therapy must be limited to syndromes in which bacterial infection is the predominant cause and should attempt maximal reduction in bacterial load, with the ultimate aim of bacterial eradication. It should be appropriate in type and context of local resistance prevalence, and optimal in dosage for the pathogen(s) involved. Prescribing should be based on pharmacodynamic principles that predict efficacy, bacterial eradication and prevention of resistance emergence. Pharmacoeconomic analyses confirm that bacteriologically more effective antibiotics can reduce overall management costs, particularly with respect to consequential morbidity and hospital admission. Application of these principles should positively benefit therapeutic outcomes, resistance avoidance and management costs and will more accurately guide antibiotic choices by both individuals and formulary/guideline committees.

Introduction

Almost 10% of the worldwide burden of morbidity and mortality relates to respiratory tract infections (RTIs) and, whilst the majority of this is viral in aetiology, three-

quarters of all antibiotic consumption is for RTIs.¹ In the context of considerations for improving therapeutic outcomes, reducing resistance emergence/prevalence and minimizing costs by limiting and optimizing therapy, respiratory infections are clearly an appropriate area for action.

*Correspondence address. 6 Gilchrist Row, St Andrews, Fife KY16 8XU, UK. Tel: +44-133-447-6049; Fax: +44-133-447-6637; E-mail: Peterball1@aol.com

Streptococcus pneumoniae is the most common bacterial pathogen in RTI and each year is implicated in 500 000 cases of pneumonia and over 7 million cases of otitis media in the USA alone.² Worldwide, pneumococcal disease is among the leading cause of mortality, particularly among children, the elderly and those with co-morbid illness.³ Increasing penicillin/macrolide resistance, reaching 30–40% of isolates in many areas, is therefore of considerable concern.⁴ Macrolide resistance in *S. pneumoniae* now exceeds penicillin resistance in some regions and continues to increase.^{3,4} Pneumococcal fluoroquinolone resistance remains rare, but will inevitably increase as these agents are more widely employed in RTI.^{4–7}

The selection of antibiotic resistance is inevitable. The overall volume of antibiotic prescribing is the primary factor driving resistance at both local and regional levels,^{8–11} although other influences, notably clonal spread, complicate epidemiology. It is therefore necessary to educate prescribers in the need to avoid antibiotic therapy where there is no clinical indication, although this may be resisted by patients, who will also require education.^{12,13} However, although studies of pneumococcal disease in Scandinavia have indicated significant falls in resistance levels to a specific antibiotic after reduction in its prescribing,^{14–16} prescribing of structurally unrelated antibiotics (via co-selection of multi-resistance plasmids and other resistance traits) may perpetuate selection pressure.¹⁷

Guidelines on antibiotic prescribing in RTI are available almost in profusion. For community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) alone, they include those of the American Thoracic Society (ATS), the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), the British Thoracic Society (BTS), the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and many other national societies and organizations.^{18–22} Their recommendations vary, reflecting the inadequacies and ambiguity of the available evidence base, bacterial resistance rates and both inter-specialty and national differences.^{18–22} Compliance with guidelines is neither universal nor optimal,^{23,24} nor have existing guidelines necessarily improved outcomes or reduced costs. In one study of CAP, in 46% of cases ATS guideline compliance made no difference to mortality, but increased drug acquisition costs 10-fold in more severe disease.²³

Lack of compliance with guidelines may result from lack of awareness, inertia, ambiguity and inconsistency of validation, variance in local resistance prevalence and prescribing patterns, interference via marketing activities and absence of enforcement measures.^{24–26} Primarily, however, physicians must perceive guidelines as being relevant and useful to their everyday clinical practice.

The consensus principles reported in this paper represent the conclusions of an international, interdisciplinary group that considered the current evidence supporting appropriate use of antibiotics in lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI).

Antibiotics are indicated only in bacterial infection

This is self-evident but commonly ignored. The reasons driving the unnecessary and excessive prescription of antibiotics are complex, but include pressures from patients and parents, and constraints on physician time, plus a lack of appreciation of the possible impact on resistance.^{12,13} Nurse prescribing, a projected development in Europe, may also contribute to excessive use in the future. In the UK the Medicines Control Agency (document MLX 273, 2001) has already consulted on extending prescribing of prescription-only medicines, including oral antibiotics, to nurse practitioners.

Use of antibiotics for non-bacterial or self-limiting bacterial infection risks adverse reactions and selects for development of bacterial antibiotic resistance. The latter is inextricably linked to antibiotic consumption at both local (individual practice) and regional levels,^{8–11} and increases healthcare costs via unnecessary acquisition cost, adverse event management and resistance emergence.²⁷ Nevertheless, there is considerable unnecessary use of antibiotics,^{28–30} notably in viral infections such as the common cold.³¹ In Canadian children, acquisition costs of unnecessary antibiotic prescribing were almost 50% of total prescribing costs.²⁸

Prescribing of antibiotics for respiratory infection, whether necessary or not, may also have collateral effects on microbial flora elsewhere in the body, notably the bowel. Thus, restriction of use or, conversely, overuse may have beneficial or deleterious effects on other diseases, such as urinary tract infection, via susceptibility patterns of associated pathogens.

Diagnostic and other measures to reduce prescribing

Correct diagnosis of bacterial infection is the key to limiting unnecessary prescribing. However, lack of availability of cost-effective diagnostic tests ensures the persistence of ‘grey areas’ of confusing aetiology. Therefore, guidelines should offer practical criteria to identify those bacterial infections that require antibiotic therapy.

Thus, although initially viral, 60% of patients with symptoms of sinusitis persisting for 10 days have bacterial infection.³² Restriction of therapy to only those patients would significantly reduce unnecessary prescribing.³³ Similarly, restriction of antibiotic therapy in otitis media to those children with acute bacterial disease and avoidance in otitis media with effusion (unlikely to indicate bacterial infection) could reduce unnecessary use by two-thirds.^{34,35} Moreover, there is little evidence that antibiotic therapy influences the outcome of acute bronchitis or milder exacerbations of chronic bronchitis,^{31,36,37} and prescribing could again be dramatically reduced. Thus more precise diagnostic criteria can improve the quality of therapy.

Antibiotic strategies for RTIs

Measures to reduce the prevalence of bacterial infections are also relevant. For example, conjugate pneumococcal and influenza vaccines should reduce the frequency of CAP and acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis (AECB), particularly in elderly, at-risk populations,^{2,38–40} and thus decrease antibiotic usage. Preliminary data indicate dramatic reductions in hospitalization and mortality from use of these vaccines,⁴¹ suggesting an associated reduced necessity for prescribing.

Therapy should reduce maximally or eradicate the bacterial load

There is accumulating evidence to confirm bacterial eradication as the primary goal of antibiotic therapy and the main determinant of therapeutic outcome.⁴² However, spontaneous clinical recovery, common in mild to moderate RTI, may mask differences in bacteriological effectiveness of antibiotics and allow sub-optimal agents to continue to be prescribed.^{42,43} Thus, agents with poor bacteriological efficacy can appear clinically almost as good as those with optimal efficacy: the ‘Pollyanna effect’.⁴³ However, these small differences, apparently irrelevant in small series, translate to significant numbers of bacteriological failures in larger populations treated with sub-optimal therapy, with resultant prolongation of morbidity, risk of resistance emergence and dramatic cost consequences.

Antibiotic therapy that allows bacterial persistence risks not only early recurrence or relapse but also resistance selection. It is therefore inappropriate. In practice, most empirical RTI therapy is clinically based and bacterial eradication is usually considered secondarily, if at all. However, bacteriological efficacy is the more sensitive and direct outcome measure, and clinical studies should aim at identifying reduction in bacterial load as the primary parameter by which antibiotics are compared.

In AECB, failure of bacteriological eradication predicts clinical failure⁴⁴ and such failure (and hospital admission) is more common with agents of lower intrinsic activity and higher resistance prevalence or where resistance develops during therapy.^{45,46} Bacteriological efficacy may also influence longer-term outcomes in AECB, superior eradication of *Haemophilus influenzae* in patients receiving gemifloxacin compared with clarithromycin (82% versus 62%) correlating at 26 week follow-up with 29% and 42% relapse rates ($P = 0.016$), respectively.⁴⁷

Pharmacodynamic indices assist appropriate choices of agent and dosage

Pharmacodynamic (PD) properties clearly differentiate between antibiotic classes, and often between members of the same class, in their ability to eradicate pathogens at drug concentrations attainable during therapy. Standard *in*

vitro MICs give no information on the time-course of antibacterial activity, but integration of MIC with pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters provides PK/PD indices, which are valuable tools with which to predict antibacterial effects and optimal drug dosage.^{48,49} Such indices include: the time for which non-protein bound concentrations exceed the MIC ($T > \text{MIC}$); the ratio between peak serum concentration (C_{max}) and MIC ($C_{\text{max}}/\text{MIC}$); and the relationship between drug exposure [area under the serum 24 h concentration–time curve (AUC_{24})] and MIC ($\text{AUC}_{24}/\text{MIC}$).^{48–52} These indices correlate with clinical outcome,^{52–54} underlying bacteriological eradication^{53,55} and emergence (or prevention) of resistance.^{51,56} Attempts to integrate such indices with microbiological parameters other than MIC [e.g. mutant prevention concentration (MPC)] is, as yet, of unproven clinical relevance.⁴⁸

For β -lactams and macrolides, bacteriological efficacy correlates with $T > \text{MIC}$. Higher survival rates (>90%) are seen in animal models if $T > \text{MIC}$ is ~40% of the dosage interval for penicillins and 40–50% for macrolides and cephalosporins.⁴⁸ Human studies (in otitis media and sinusitis) support these findings.^{48–51}

Fluoroquinolones exhibit concentration-dependent bacterial killing and, therefore, $C_{\text{max}}/\text{MIC}$ ⁵² and the relative AUC/MIC ratios^{53–55} correlate with efficacy for these agents. Based on human data from levofloxacin studies, a $C_{\text{max}}/\text{MIC}$ ratio of at least 10–12 (*inter alia*) predicts optimal bactericidal activity for susceptible organisms.⁵² Past work suggested an AUC/MIC ratio of ≥ 125 to optimize outcome in pneumonia. However, *in vitro*, *ex vivo* and modelling studies (but as yet not human data) suggest an AUC/MIC ratio of 25–30 to better predict optimal bactericidal efficacy for other fluoroquinolones against *S. pneumoniae*.^{48,57,58} A recent review indicates that all fluoroquinolones, except ciprofloxacin in standard dosage, would exceed the AUC/MIC target (50) expected to achieve pneumococcal eradication in LRTI.⁴⁸

Further human studies are required to examine the predictive quality of PD parameters on bacterial efficacy and resistance development in Gram-positive RTI in man. Nevertheless, PD principles can be used to determine thresholds for adequate dosage and to compare agents both within and between antibiotic classes. They should be used routinely in drug evaluation and might obviate repetitive and uninformative equivalence studies.⁵⁹

Antibiotic choices must reflect local resistance prevalence

Increasing high-level resistance in RTI pathogens must inevitably result in increased therapeutic failure rates, but the true extent of failure in community-acquired RTI and its relation to resistance prevalence is currently unknown. Adequately documented resistance-related failures are few in number, but are certainly an iceberg phenomenon.

A research database to which such failures could be notified might rapidly have significant implications for guidelines. However, until such data are available, it must be accepted that bacteriological failure is most likely to result from sub-optimal therapy, irrespective of the 'degree' of resistance.

For example, in the rat pneumonia model, co-amoxiclav at doses mimicking standard paediatric dosage was ineffective against pneumococcal strains with MICs of >2 mg/L, although efficacy was maintained if the dose was increased and $T > \text{MIC}$ was at least 34%.⁶⁰ The impact of penicillin resistance differs between β -lactams, dependent on *in vitro* potency and PD characteristics. Thus, in gerbil otitis media, amoxicillin was significantly more effective than cefuroxime against a penicillin-resistant strain (MIC 2 mg/L).⁶¹ Similar observations apply to fluoroquinolones. In the rat pneumonia model, grepafloxacin and gemifloxacin were significantly more effective against pneumococci than either levofloxacin or ciprofloxacin ($P < 0.01$).⁶²

Double tympanocentesis (tap) studies in human otitis media demonstrate the effect of antibiotic resistance on bacterial eradication. For example, in one study of penicillin-resistant isolates, failure rates were 4/14 (29%) with amoxicillin compared with 11/17 (65%) with cefaclor, which had a less favourable PD profile.⁶³ Increasing the $T > \text{MIC}$ of β -lactams by manipulating dosing schedules can increase their efficacy against resistant strains. For example, single-dose ceftriaxone failed to eradicate penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* (PRSP) in 7/13 (54%) of patients, whereas 3 day therapy eradicated 94% of resistant strains ($P = 0.01$).⁶⁴

These studies clearly indicate that the use of agents and dosages with optimal potential to eradicate pathogens is appropriate.

Prescribing change and choices of agents

Thus, locally relevant guidelines should reflect the prevalence and degree of resistance levels, and this may require modification of existing practice. Penicillin resistance in *S. pneumoniae*, although prevalent in many countries, has not yet compromised adequate dosage therapy of adult CAP in monomicrobial infections of moderate severity caused by strains with MICs of up to 2 mg/L.^{65,66} In paediatric bacteraemia, no association was found between penicillin resistance and mortality.⁶⁷ However, others have reported increasing adult mortality in CAP related to pneumococcal penicillin MIC elevation⁶⁸ and mode MICs for pneumococci are rising: recent data from the USA reported 7.8–9.7% of strains to have penicillin MICs of ≥ 4.0 mg/L.^{68,69} Strains with high MICs are often associated with identifiable risk factors and detected in hospital patients.^{70,71} However, when they become common in the community, even maximal doses of penicillins may no longer prove effective and alternative strategies will be necessary.⁷²

Macrolides may not prove to be satisfactory substitutes: treatment failure in CAP caused by macrolide-resistant pneumococci has been reported since early in the 1990s.⁷³ More recently, 11 patients with pneumonia and one without an identifiable infection site developed bacteraemia with a macrolide-resistant *S. pneumoniae* whilst receiving macrolide therapy. All responded to β -lactam therapy.⁷⁴ Four similar cases have subsequently been reported and a further four azithromycin failures, three treated successfully with a quinolone and one fatal, are recorded.^{75–77} These probably represent the tip of an as yet clinically unapparent iceberg. However, there appears little doubt that macrolide resistance correlates directly with clinical failure and that guidelines should exclude macrolides in areas of rising resistance prevalence. This view is reinforced by a Finnish population study directly linking rising macrolide resistance to macrolide use ($P = 0.006$).⁷⁸

New third-generation fluoroquinolones have markedly increased potency against pneumococci in comparison with second-generation agents, although most treatment failures with earlier agents were due to inadequate dosage, absorptive interactions or related severe underlying disease rather than lack of intrinsic activity. However, fatalities in meningitis⁷⁹ and clinical failures in pneumonia caused by levofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae*⁸⁰ confirm that emergent resistance is becoming clinically relevant for agents with marginal PD indices.⁶ Most recently, stepwise *parC* and *gyrA* mutations in pneumococci during ciprofloxacin therapy of RTI have been shown to be responsible for treatment failures and for associated jumps in MICs for later agents, such as moxifloxacin, in strains (23F) that have spread to other patients.⁴⁶

Thus, there is increasing evidence from animal models and human infection that bacterial resistance influences outcome and that local surveillance should dictate optimal choices for empirical therapy. However, this is an intrinsically dynamic situation—perceptions of stasis result from inappropriate perspectives—which requires regular re-assessment.

Appropriate antibiotic choices to minimize resistance

Antibiotic resistance is inevitable. Therapeutic exposure of human bacterial pathogens to antibiotics exerts a continuous selection pressure on pathogens present both in infection and carriage or commensal sites in the individual, within institutions, the community and the environment. This has been recognized since the beginning of the antibiotic era⁷² and favours pathogens that exhibit spontaneous resistance mutation and/or acquire genetic resistance determinants from other organisms. Antibiotic selection pressure may also accelerate bacterial chromosomal resistance mutation.⁸¹ Sub-optimal dosage also predisposes to resistance emergence, e.g. lower than recommended dosage

Antibiotic strategies for RTIs

and prolonged exposure to β -lactams predisposes to nasopharyngeal carriage of PRSP in children.⁸²

Differential effects both between and within antibiotic classes have major importance. For example, increasing prevalence of PRSP in France is linked to widespread replacement of aminopenicillins by oral cephalosporins, many of which achieve a $T > \text{MIC}$ of $<40\%$ for *S. pneumoniae*, resulting in inadequate bacterial killing.^{83,84} A significant correlation between macrolide resistance in *S. pneumoniae* and consumption of long half-life macrolides (clarithromycin, azithromycin) has been reported from various countries, even after single dose exposure.^{85,86} The ability to select for resistance in tissue compartments and at specific concentrations (selective window) may also allow differentiation of agents.⁸⁷

For fluoroquinolones, reports from Hong Kong, Canada and the USA indicate emergent quinolone resistance in pneumococci,⁵⁻⁷ primarily mediated via chromosomal mutation. Thus increasing mutations lead to stepwise increases in resistance and increased prevalence of first-step mutants predisposes to selection of highly resistant second-step mutants.⁸⁸ Selection of first-step mutants might be avoided by restricting use of less active agents in favour of newer quinolones with better PD performance.^{46,89} Nevertheless, levofloxacin, only marginally more potent against *S. pneumoniae* than ciprofloxacin, is widely and apparently effectively used, although the studies supporting such use were undertaken in the years prior to the current upward shift of pneumococcal quinolone MICs.⁹⁰⁻⁹² Thus, AUC/MIC and $C_{\text{max}}/\text{MIC}$ ratios have become marginal in some patients with CAP,⁹³ which may result in sub-optimal efficacy and selection of first-step mutants.^{46,56} Risk factors for acquisition of levofloxacin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* include presence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, residence in an institution and prior exposure to quinolones.⁹⁴

Co-selection of resistance may be encouraged by sub-optimal exposure to other antibiotics. In Iceland, penicillin resistance was twice as likely to be associated with the use of co-trimoxazole or erythromycin than with β -lactams in association with three or more courses of antibiotic treatment.⁹⁵ In the UK, sulphonamide resistance (in *Escherichia coli*) remained high due to co-selection, despite dramatic reductions in prescribing.¹⁷

Active control of resistance by antibiotic restriction

In hospitals and other institutions, decreased antibiotic use may reduce the prevalence of resistance,⁹⁶ but the situation is more complex in the community. For example, in Finland, implementation of guidelines reduced macrolide consumption from 2.40 to 1.38 defined daily doses (DDD)/1000 population and the prevalence of erythromycin-resistant group A streptococci fell from 16.5% in 1992 to 8.6%

in 1996.¹⁶ However, a more recent Finnish study showed rising pneumococcal resistance to parallel increasing macrolide use.⁷⁸ In Iceland, carriage of PRSP in children was found to relate to age (<2 years), area of highest antibiotic consumption and recent individual use of antibiotics, including co-trimoxazole.^{14,95} Reduced antibiotic use, particularly of co-trimoxazole, was accompanied by a decrease in the prevalence of penicillin non-susceptible strains from a peak of 19.8% in 1993 to 12.9% in 1997.¹⁴

Resistance prevalence can increase very rapidly in a population and mathematical models suggest that threshold levels exist, after which resistance dissemination accelerates rapidly.⁹ Reduced antibiotic consumption results in a much slower decline in prevalence and, in areas with very high prevalence, it may be that reduction in antibiotic pressure will have an even slower effect, especially in the presence of multidrug resistance.^{9,97,98} This provokes the chilling prospect that supra-threshold levels of community multi-resistance may no longer be reversible. In addition, the loss of virulence often associated with resistance may be overcome by compensatory mutation.^{99,100} It is, therefore, imperative that appropriate strategies are acted upon prior to such events; later action may fail to redress the situation.

In Sweden, a programme to prevent the projected increase in prevalence of PRSP has incorporated contact tracing and isolation with efforts to reduce antibiotic consumption and, thereby, carriage and dissemination of resistant strains. Its effectiveness has not yet been established¹⁵ and it may yet be pre-empted by interspecies transfer of resistance genes. The threat posed by the global resistance gene pool in related species must be anticipated. Assuming the continued transfer of genetic data from commensal streptococci to pneumococci and the involvement of later antibiotic classes,¹⁰¹ such as the quinolones, the routine use of optimally active agents becomes a priority.

Acquisition costs may be insignificant compared with therapeutic failure

Drug acquisition costs are a primary consideration only if there are no significant differences in:

- treatment outcomes between agents;
- potential for selection of resistance;
- incidence of significant treatment-related adverse events.

This might, for example, occur with generic substitution of an established agent.

In many cases, cost-effectiveness analyses can identify appropriate options on the basis of least cost per outcome measure gained.¹⁰² For example, quinolones may compare favourably with standard care of CAP in terms of costs of treatment, including specific values such as length of stay.^{103,104} Alternatively, shorter courses of equal efficacy may minimize cost. In the UK, prescribing costs of 7 day

therapy of CAP with generic antibiotics in the 1990s exceeded the costs of 5 day therapy by £1.9–7.2 million.²⁵ Thus, if similar outcomes result, shorter courses are clearly preferable.

Inappropriate therapy of RTI is expensive. For example, in 49 552 episodes of LRTI where antibiotic treatment matched the susceptibility of the aetiological agent, the average cost per episode was US\$8821, compared with US\$14 754 when treatment was discrepant ($P = 0.02$).¹⁰⁵ In AECB, first-line agents (amoxicillin, co-trimoxazole, erythromycin, tetracyclines) gave failure and hospitalization rates of 19% and 18%, respectively, compared with 7% and 5% for agents with more optimal PD activity. The infection-free interval was significantly longer in the latter group and overall costs were almost halved (US\$942 versus US\$542).⁴⁵

Treatment failure far exceeds the acquisition costs of any antibiotic¹⁰⁶ and hospitalization is the key cost-driver of management of moderate/severe pneumonia and AECB.¹⁰⁷ Excess costs of hospitalization following treatment failure far outweigh primary care costs.¹⁰⁸ In a study of 50 000 episodes of community-acquired LRTI, 65.6% (US\$73.1 million) of the total management costs related to hospitalization, whereas drug acquisition costs were 5% (US\$5.6 million).¹⁰⁹ Similarly, in AECB patients >65 years of age, hospitalization costs were \$1.1 billion per annum compared with \$24.9 million for outpatient costs.¹¹⁰

Few prospective studies have examined more long-term parameters of cost-effectiveness. Ciprofloxacin therapy of AECB was cheaper and had greater benefit on quality of life in patients with multiple risk factors for poor outcome than 'standard-usual care' therapy.¹¹¹ Thus, severity criteria may be used to indicate subgroups in which drugs with higher acquisition costs, but with improved PD potential may demonstrate cost benefit. A follow-up study of gemifloxacin compared with clarithromycin over 6 months in AECB⁴⁷ showed that higher rates of eradication of *H. influenzae* by the quinolone correlated with lower relapse rates (29% versus 41.5%; $P = 0.016$), a reduction in hospitalizations and a saving of US\$329 per patient.¹¹²

Thus antibiotic treatment that reduces the risk of hospitalization or reduces length of stay must be highly cost-effective, and agents that achieve improved bacterial eradication also have the potential to improve long-term clinical outcomes and reduce overall costs and, perhaps, limit resistance emergence and dissemination.

Conclusions

From the 1980s onwards, resistance has steadily compromised standard therapy of bacterial LRTIs and, in consequence, newer agents, inevitably more costly, have been required. The use of these agents exposes them to risks of resistance emergence and patients to the risk of novel adverse drug reactions, which are phenomena having

important implications in morbidity and cost for individuals and for society. However, antibiotic utilization continues to increase, perhaps more in some countries than in others¹¹³ and, for RTI at least, >90% of patients expect antibiotic treatment¹³ and may resist restricted prescribing. Optimized therapy must therefore be seen to be desirable and must demonstrably reduce morbidity (and mortality), the costs of failure and hospitalization, and emergence of antibiotic resistance. Strategies most likely to achieve these goals must incorporate the principles summarized below:

- identification of bacterial infections by optimized diagnosis;
- severity assessment where relevant;
- recognition and incorporation of ambient resistance data;
- targeting bacterial eradication (or maximal reduction in bacterial load);
- use of PD indices to optimize choice and dosage;
- objective assessment of true (overall) costs of resistance and related treatment failure.

It is very clear that many practice guidelines meet neither these principles nor the interdisciplinary, evidence based criteria that might strengthen the provenance of the guideline concept.¹¹⁴ Lack of credibility is one of the primary failures of speciality group advocacy guidelines, followed rapidly by lack of relevance to everyday practice,²⁴ family practice guidelines in other disciplines often having had minimal impact on patient outcomes.¹¹⁵ Such findings have followed more than a decade of guideline development. Perhaps a radical re-evaluation of the principles of therapy in our own discipline is also long overdue.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to colleagues who have made various contributions to this paper. These include Drs Jack Anon, William Craig and John Langan. The Group also wishes to acknowledge the valuable editorial contribution of Caudex Medical personnel, notably Naomi Richardson, and is grateful to GlaxoSmithKline plc for financial support.

References

1. Anonymous. (1998). Acute respiratory infections: the forgotten pandemic. Communiqué from the *International Conference on Acute Respiratory Infections, Canberra, Australia 7–10 July, 1997*. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* **2**, 2–4.
2. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. (1997). Prevention of pneumococcal disease: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP). *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* **46**, 1–24.
3. Breiman, R. F., Butler, J. C., Tenover, F. C., Elliot, J. A. & Facklam, R. R. (1994). Emergence of drug-resistant pneumococcal

Antibiotic strategies for RTIs

- infections in the United States. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **271**, 1831–5.
- 4.** Felmingham, D., Grüneberg, R. N. & the Alexander Project Group. (2000). The Alexander Project 1996–1997: latest susceptibility data from this international study of bacterial pathogens from community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **45**, 191–203.
- 5.** Ho, P. L., Que, T. L., Tsang, D. N., Ng, T. K., Chow, K. H. & Seto, W. H. (1999). Emergence of fluoroquinolone resistance among multiply resistant strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Hong Kong. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **43**, 1310–13.
- 6.** Chen, D. K., McGeer, A., de Azavedo, J. C., Low, D. E. & the Canadian Bacterial Surveillance Network. (1999). Decreased susceptibility of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to fluoroquinolones in Canada. *New England Journal of Medicine* **341**, 233–9.
- 7.** Despande, L. M. & Jones, R. N. (2000). Antimicrobial activity of advanced-spectrum fluoroquinolones tested against more than 2000 contemporary bacterial isolates of species causing community-acquired respiratory tract infections in the United States (1999). *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* **37**, 139–42.
- 8.** Magee, J. T., Pritchard, E. L., Fitzgerald, K. A., Dunstan, F. D. & Howard, A. J. (1999). Antibiotic prescribing and antibiotic resistance in community practice: retrospective study, 1996–8. *British Medical Journal* **319**, 1239–40.
- 9.** Austin, D. J., Kristinsson, K. G. & Anderson, R. M. (1999). The relationship between the volume of antimicrobial consumption in human communities and the frequency of resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **96**, 1152–6.
- 10.** Baquero, F., Martinez-Beltran, J. & Loza, E. (1991). A review of antibiotic resistance patterns of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Europe. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **28**, Suppl. C, 31–8.
- 11.** Jernigan, D. B., Cetron, M. S. & Breiman, R. F. (1996). Minimising the impact of drug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (DRSP): a strategy from the DRSP working group. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **275**, 206–9.
- 12.** Weiss, M. C., Fitzpatrick, R., Scott, D. K. & Goldacre, M. J. (1996). Pressures on the general practitioner and decisions to prescribe. *Family Practice* **13**, 432–8.
- 13.** Macfarlane, J., Holmes, W., MacFarlane, R. & Britten, N. (1997). Influence of patients' expectations on antibiotic management of acute lower respiratory tract illness in general practice: questionnaire study. *British Medical Journal* **315**, 1211–4.
- 14.** Kristinsson, K. G., Hjalmarsdottir, M. A. & Gudnason, T. H. (1998). Continued decline in the incidence of penicillin non-susceptible pneumococci in Iceland. In *Program and Abstracts of the Thirty-eighth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Diego, CA, 1998*. Abstract C22, p. 74. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 15.** Ekdahl, K. & Cars, O. (1999). Role of communicable disease control measures in affecting the spread of resistant pneumococci: the Swedish model. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* **5**, Suppl. 4, 4S48–54.
- 16.** Seppälä, H., Klaukka, T., Vuopio-Varkila, J., Muotiala, A., Helenius, H. L. & Huovinen, P. (1997). The effect of changes in the consumption of macrolide antibiotics on erythromycin resistance in group A streptococci in Finland. Finnish Study Group for Antimicrobial Resistance. *New England Journal of Medicine* **337**, 441–6.
- 17.** Enne, V. I., Livermore, D. M., Stephens, P. & Hall, L. C. M. (2001). Persistence of sulphonamide resistance in *Escherichia coli* in the UK despite national prescribing restriction. *Lancet* **357**, 1325–8.
- 18.** Niederman, M. S., Bass, J. B., Campbell, G. D., Fein, A. M., Grossman, R. F., Marrie, T. J. *et al.* (1993). American Thoracic Society guidelines for the initial management of adults with community-acquired pneumonia: diagnosis, assessment of severity, and initial antimicrobial therapy. *American Review of Respiratory Disease* **148**, 1418–26.
- 19.** Bartlett, J. G., Breiman, R. F., Mandell, L. A. & File, T. M. (1998). Community-acquired pneumonia in adults: guidelines for management. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **26**, 811–38.
- 20.** Woodhead, M. (1998). Community-acquired pneumonia guidelines – an international comparison: a view from Europe. *Chest* **113**, Suppl. 3, 183S–187S.
- 21.** ERS Task Force Report. (1998). Guidelines for management of adult community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. *European Respiratory Journal* **11**, 986–91.
- 22.** British Thoracic Society. (1993). Guidelines for the management of community acquired pneumonia in adults admitted to hospital. *British Journal of Hospital Medicine* **49**, 346–51.
- 23.** Gleason, P. P., Kapoor, W. N., Stone, R. A., Lave, J. R., Obrosky, D. S., Schulz, R. D. E. *et al.* (1997). Medical outcomes and antimicrobial costs with the use of the American Thoracic Society guidelines for outpatients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **278**, 32–9.
- 24.** Cabana, M. D., Rand, C. S., Powe, N. R., Wu, A. W., Wilson, M. H., Abboud, P. A. *et al.* (1999). Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? A framework for improvement. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **282**, 1458–65.
- 25.** Harris, C. M. & Lloyd, D. C. (1994). Consider short courses of antibiotics. *British Medical Journal* **308**, 919.
- 26.** O'Connor, P. J., Amundson, G. & Christianson, J. (1999). Performance failure of an evidence-based upper respiratory infection clinical guideline. *Journal of Family Practice* **48**, 690–7.
- 27.** Norrby, S. R. (1994). Pharmacoeconomic studies on antibiotics: current controversies. *PharmacoEconomics* **5**, 274–7.
- 28.** Wang, E. E., Einarson, T. R., Kellner, J. D. & Conly, J. M. (1999). Antibiotic prescribing for Canadian preschool children: evidence of overprescribing for viral respiratory infections. *Clinical Infectious Disease* **29**, 155–60.
- 29.** Ochoa, C., Eiros, J. M., Inglada, L., Vallano, A., Guerra, L. & the Spanish Study Group on Antibiotic Treatments. (2000). Assessment of antibiotic prescription in acute respiratory infections in adults. *Journal of Infection* **41**, 73–83.
- 30.** McCaig, L. F. & Hughes, J. M. (1995). Trends in antimicrobial drug prescribing among office-based physicians in the United States. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **273**, 214–9.
- 31.** Gonzales, R., Steiner, J. F. & Sande, M. A. (1997). Antibiotic prescribing for adults with colds, upper respiratory tract infection, and bronchitis by ambulatory care physicians. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **278**, 901–4.
- 32.** Gwaltney, J. M. Jr, Scheld, W. M., Sande, M. A. & Sydnor, A. (1992). The microbial etiology and antimicrobial therapy of adults with acute community-acquired sinusitis: a fifteen year experience at the University of Virginia and review of selected studies. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **90**, 457–61.

33. Sinus and Allergy Health Partnership. (2000). Antimicrobial treatment guidelines for acute bacterial rhinosinusitis. *Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery* **123**, 5–31.
34. Dowell, S. F., Marcy, S. M., Phillips, W. R., Gerber, M. A. & Schwartz, B. (1998). Otitis media – principles of judicious use of antimicrobial agents. *Pediatrics* **101**, 165–71.
35. Bartlett, J. G., Bradley, S. F., Herwaldt, L. A., Jacobs, M. R., Perl, T. M., Poole, M. D. *et al.* (1999). A roundtable discussion of antibiotic resistance: putting the lessons to work. *American Journal of Medicine* **106**, 48S–52S.
36. Orr, P. H., Scherer, K., MacDonald, A. & Moffat, M. E. K. (1993). Randomised placebo-controlled trials of antibiotics for acute bronchitis: a critical review of the literature. *Journal of Family Practice* **36**, 507–12.
37. Ball, P. & Make, B. (1998). Acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: an international comparison. *Chest* **113**, Suppl. 3, 199S–204S.
38. Fine, M. J., Smith, M. A., Carson, C. A., Meffe, F., Sankey, S. S., Weissfeld, L. A. *et al.* (1994). Efficacy of pneumococcal vaccination in adults: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Archives of Internal Medicine* **154**, 2666–77.
39. Douglas, R. G. Jr (1990). Prophylaxis and treatment of influenza. *New England Journal of Medicine* **322**, 443–50.
40. Nichol, K. L., Margolis, K. L., Wuorenma, J. & Von Steinberg, T. (1994). The efficacy and cost-effectiveness of vaccination against influenza among elderly persons living in the community. *New England Journal of Medicine* **331**, 778–84.
41. Christenson, B., Lunbergh, P., Hedlund, J. & Ortvist, A. (2001). Effects of a large scale intervention with influenza and 23-valent pneumococcal vaccines in adults aged 65 years or older: a prospective study. *Lancet* **357**, 1008–11.
42. Dagan, R., Klugman, K. P., Craig, W. A. & Baquero, F. (2001). Evidence to support the rationale that bacterial eradication in respiratory tract infection provides guidance for antimicrobial therapy. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **47**, 129–40.
43. Marchant, C. D., Carlin, S. A., Johnson, C. E. & Shurin, P. A. (1992). Measuring the comparative efficacy of antibacterial agents for acute otitis media: the ‘Polyanna phenomenon’. *Journal of Pediatrics* **120**, 72–7.
44. Pechère, J. C. (1998). Modeling and predicting clinical outcomes of antibiotic therapy. *Infectious Medicine* **15**, Suppl. E, 46–54.
45. Destache, C. J., Dewan, N., O’Donohue, W. J., Campbell, C. J. & Angelillo, V. A. (1999). Clinical and economic considerations in the treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **43**, Suppl. A, 107–13.
46. Weiss, K., Restieri, C., Gauthier, R., Laverdière, M., McGeer, A., Davidson, R. J. *et al.* (2001). A nosocomial outbreak of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **33**, 517–22.
47. Ball, P., Wilson, R., Mandell, L., File, T., Kirsch, J. & the GLOBE Study Group. (2000). Gemifloxacin long-term exacerbations in bronchitis exacerbations (GLOBE) study – an assessment of health outcome benefits in AECB patients following 5 days of gemifloxacin therapy. In *Program and Abstracts of the Fortieth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Toronto, Canada, 2000*. Abstract 812, p. 475. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
48. Andes, D. (2001). Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of antimicrobials in the therapy of respiratory tract infections. *Current Opinion in Infectious Disease* **14**, 165–72.
49. Craig, W. A. (1998). Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters: rationale for antibacterial dosing of mice and men. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **26**, 1–12.
50. Craig, W. A. & Andes, D. R. (1996). Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antibiotics in otitis media. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* **15**, 255–9.
51. Drusano, G. L. & Craig, W. A. (1997). Relevance of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in the selection of antibiotics for respiratory tract infections. *Journal of Chemotherapy* **9**, Suppl. 3, 38–44.
52. Preston, S. L., Drusano, G. L., Berman, A. L., Fowler, C. L., Chow, A. T., Dornseif, B. *et al.* (1998). Pharmacodynamics of levofloxacin: a new paradigm for early clinical trials. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **279**, 125–9.
53. Forrest, A., Nix, D. E., Ballow, C. H., Goss, T. F., Birmingham, M. & Schentag, J. J. (1993). Pharmacodynamics of intravenous ciprofloxacin in seriously ill patients. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **37**, 1073–81.
54. Hight, V. S., Forrest, A., Ballow, C. H. & Schentag, J. J. (1999). Antibiotic dosing issues in lower respiratory tract infection: population-derived area under inhibitory curve is predictive of efficacy. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **43**, Suppl. A, 55–63.
55. Schentag, J. J., Nix, D. E., Forrest, A. & Adelman, M. H. (1996). AUC – the universal parameter within the constraint of a reasonable dosing interval. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy* **30**, 1029–31.
56. Thomas, J. K., Forrest, A., Bhavnani, S. M., Hyatt, J. M., Cheng, A. & Ballow, C. H. (1998). Pharmacodynamic evaluation of factors associated with the development of bacterial resistance in acutely ill patients during therapy. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **42**, 521–7.
57. Wright, D. H., Brown, G. H., Peterson, M. L. & Rotschafer, J. C. (2000). Application of fluoroquinolone pharmacodynamics. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **46**, 669–84.
58. Woodnutt, G. (2000). Pharmacodynamics to combat resistance. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **46**, Topic T1, 25–31.
59. Bax, R., Gabbay, F., Phillips, I. & the Witley Park Study Group. (1999). Antibiotic clinical trials – the Witley Park Symposium. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* **5**, 774–88.
60. Woodnutt, G. & Berry, V. (1999). Efficacy of high-dose amoxicillin-clavulanate against experimental respiratory tract infections caused by strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **43**, 35–40.
61. Cenjor, C., Ponte, C., Parra, A., Nieto, E., Garcia-Calvo, G., Gimenez, M. J. *et al.* (1998). In vivo efficacies of amoxicillin and cefuroxime against penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in a gerbil model of acute otitis media. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **42**, 1361–4.
62. Berry, V., Page, R., Satterfield, J., Singley, C., Straub, R. & Woodnutt, G. (1999). Comparative efficacy of gemifloxacin (SB-265805) against experimental respiratory tract infection in rats caused by ciprofloxacin-resistant strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae*. In *Program and Abstracts of the Thirty-ninth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Francisco, CA, 1999*. Abstract 1548, p. 76. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.

Antibiotic strategies for RTIs

- 63.** Dagan, R., Piglansky, L., Fliss, D. M., Leiberman, A. & Leibovitz, E. (1997). Bacteriologic response in acute otitis media: comparison between azithromycin, cefaclor and amoxicillin. In *Program and Abstracts of the Thirty-seventh Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Toronto, Canada, 1997*. Abstract K103, p. 346. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 64.** Leibovitz, E., Piglansky, L., Raiz, S., Yagupsky, P., Press, J., Fliss, D. M. *et al.* (1998). The bacteriologic efficacy of 1-day versus 3-day intramuscular ceftriaxone (IMN-CRO) in the treatment of non-responsive acute otitis media (NR-AOM). In *Program and Abstracts of the Thirty-eighth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Diego, CA, 1998*. Abstract MN39, p. 599. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 65.** Heffelfinger, J. D., Dowell, S. F., Jorgensen, J. H., Klugman, K. P., Mabry, L. R., Musher, D. M. *et al.* (2000). Management of community-acquired pneumonia in the era of pneumococcal resistance. *Archives of Internal Medicine* **160**, 1399–408.
- 66.** Pallares, R., Liñares, J., Vadillo, M., Cabellos, C., Manresa, F., Viladrich, P. F. *et al.* (1995). Resistance to penicillin and cephalosporin and mortality from severe pneumococcal pneumonia in Barcelona, Spain. *New England Journal of Medicine* **333**, 474–80.
- 67.** Friedland, I. R. & Klugman, K. P. (1992). Antibiotic-resistant pneumococcal disease in South African children. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* **146**, 920–3.
- 68.** Feikin, D. R., Schuchat, A., Kolczak, M., Barrett, N. L., Harrison, L. H., Lefkowitz, L. *et al.* (2000). Mortality from invasive pneumococcal pneumonia in the era of antibiotic resistance, 1995–1997. *American Journal of Public Health* **90**, 223–9.
- 69.** The Alexander Network. [On-line]. <http://www.Alexander-Network.com> (27 September 2001, date last accessed).
- 70.** Pallares, R., Gudiol, F., Liñares, J., Arizo, J., Rufi, G., Murgui, L. *et al.* (1987). Risk factors and response to antibiotic therapy in adults with bacteremic pneumonia caused by penicillin-resistant pneumococci. *New England Journal of Medicine* **317**, 18–22.
- 71.** Buu-Hoi, A. Y., Goldstein, F. W. & Acar, J. F. (1988). A seventeen-year epidemiological survey of antimicrobial resistance in pneumococci in two hospitals. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **22**, Suppl. B, 41–52.
- 72.** Ball, P. (1999). Therapy for pneumococcal infection at the millennium: doubts and certainties. *American Journal of Medicine* **107**, Suppl. 1A, 77S–85S.
- 73.** Sanchez, C., Armengol, R., Lite, J. & Garau, J. (1992). Penicillin-resistant pneumococci and community acquired pneumonia. *Lancet* **239**, 988.
- 74.** Garau, J. (2001). Clinical failures: the tip of the iceberg? *Respiratory Medicine* **95**, Suppl. A, S3–11.
- 75.** Kelley, M. A., Weber, D. J., Gilligan, P. & Cohen, M. S. (2000). Breakthrough pneumococcal bacteremia in patients being treated with azithromycin or clarithromycin monotherapy. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **31**, 1008–11.
- 76.** Fogarty, C., Goldschmidt, R. & Bush, K. (2000). Bacteremic pneumonia due to pneumococci in 3 patients treated unsuccessfully with azithromycin and successfully with levofloxacin. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **31**, 613–5.
- 77.** Waterer, G. W., Wunderink, R. G. & Jones, C. B. (2000). Fatal pneumococcal pneumonia attributed to macrolide resistance and azithromycin monotherapy. *Chest* **118**, 1939–40.
- 78.** Pihlajamaki, M., Kotilainen, P., Teemu, K., Klaukka, T., Palva, E. & Huovinen, P. (2001). Macrolide resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and use of antimicrobial agents. The Finnish Study Group for Antimicrobial Resistance (FiRe-Network). *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **33**, 483–8.
- 79.** Wortmann, G. W. & Bennett, S. P. (1999). Fatal meningitis due to levofloxacin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **29**, 1599–600.
- 80.** Davidson, R., Cavalcanti, R., Brunton, J. L., Bast, D. J., Joyce C. S., de Azavedo, J. C. S. *et al.* (2001). Levofloxacin treatment failures of pneumococcal pneumonia in association with resistance. *New England Journal of Medicine*, in press.
- 81.** Oliver, A., Cantón, R., Campo, P., Baquero, F. & Blázquez, J. (2000). High frequency of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. *Science* **288**, 1251–4.
- 82.** Guillemot, D., Carbon, C., Balkau, B., Geslin, P., Lecoeur, H., Vauzelle-Kervroedan, F. *et al.* (1998). Low dosage and long treatment duration of β -lactam. Risk factors for carriage of penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **279**, 365–70.
- 83.** Baquero, F. (1996). Trends in antibiotic resistance of respiratory pathogens: an analysis and commentary on a collaborative surveillance study. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **38**, Suppl. A, 117–32.
- 84.** Thorburn, C. E., Knott, S. J. & Edwards, D. I. (1998). In vitro activities of oral β -lactams at concentrations achieved in humans against penicillin-susceptible and -resistant pneumococci and potential to select resistance. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **42**, 1973–9.
- 85.** Baquero, F. (1999). Evolving resistance patterns of *S. pneumoniae*: a link with long-acting macrolides? *Journal of Chemotherapy* **11**, Suppl. 1, 35–43.
- 86.** Leach, A., Shelby-James, T., Mayo, M., Gratten, M., Laming, A. C. & Currie, B. J. (1997). A prospective study of the impact of community-based azithromycin treatment of trachoma on carriage and resistance of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **24**, 356–62.
- 87.** Baquero, F. & Negri, M. C. (1997). Strategies to minimize the development of antibiotic resistance. *Journal of Chemotherapy* **9**, Suppl. 3, 29–37.
- 88.** Hooper, D. C. (1999). Mechanisms of quinolone resistance. *Drug Resistance Updates* **2**, 38–55.
- 89.** Drugeon, H. B., Juvin, M. E. & Bryskier, A. (1999). Relative potential for selection of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains by levofloxacin: comparison with ciprofloxacin, sparfloxacin and ofloxacin. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **43**, Suppl. C, 55–9.
- 90.** File, T. M., Segreti, J., Dunbar, L., Player, R., Kohlet, R., Williams, R. R. *et al.* (1997). A multicenter, randomised study comparing the efficacy and safety of intravenous and/or oral levofloxacin versus ceftriaxone and/or cefuroxime axetil in treatment of adults with community acquired pneumonia. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **41**, 1965–72.
- 91.** Norrby, S. R., Petermann, W., Willcox, P. A., Vetter, N. & Salewski, E. (1998). A comparative study of levofloxacin and ceftriaxone in the treatment of hospitalised patients with pneumonia. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* **30**, 397–404.

- 92.** Carbon, C., Ariza, H., Rabie, W. J., Salvarez, C. R., Elkharrat, D., Rangaraj, M. *et al.* (1999). Comparative study of levofloxacin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in adults with mild-to-moderate community-acquired pneumonia. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* **5**, 724–32.
- 93.** Chien, S.-C., Rogge, M. C., Gisclon, L. G., Curtin, C., Wong, F., Natarajan, J. *et al.* (1997). Pharmacokinetic profile of levofloxacin following once-daily 500-milligram oral and intravenous doses. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **41**, 2256–60.
- 94.** Ho, P. L., Tse, W. S., Tsang, K. W. T., Kwok, T. K., Ng, T. K., Cheng, V. C. *et al.* (2000). Risk factors for acquisition of levofloxacin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: a case-control study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **32**, 701–7.
- 95.** Arason, V. A., Kristinsson, K. G., Sigurdsson, J. A., Stefánsdóttir, G., Mölstað, S. & Gudmundsson, S. (1996). Do antimicrobials increase the carriage rate of penicillin resistant pneumococci in children? Cross sectional prevalence study. *British Medical Journal* **313**, 387–91.
- 96.** Rahal, J. J., Urban, C., Horn, D., Freeman, K., Segal-Maurer, S., Maurer, J. *et al.* (1998). Class restriction of cephalosporin use to control total cephalosporin resistance in nosocomial *Klebsiella*. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **280**, 1233–7.
- 97.** Bonhoeffer, S., Lipsitch, M. & Levin, B. R. (1997). Evaluating treatment protocols to prevent antibiotic resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **94**, 12106–11.
- 98.** Levin, B. R., Lipsitch, M., Perrot, V., Schrag, S., Antia, R., Simonsen, L. *et al.* (1997). The population genetics of antibiotic resistance. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **24**, Suppl. 1, S9–16.
- 99.** Schrag, S. J., Perrot, V. & Levin, B. R. (1997). Adaptation to the fitness costs of antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B Biological Sciences* **264**, 1287–91.
- 100.** Andersson, D. I. & Levin, B. R. (1999). The biological cost of antibiotic resistance. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **2**, 489–93.
- 101.** Reichmann, P., Koenig, A., Liñares, J., Alcaide, F., Tenover, F. C. & McDougal, L. (1997). A global gene pool for high level cephalosporins resistance in commensal *Streptococcus* species and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* **176**, 1001–12.
- 102.** Grossman, R. F. (1998). How do we achieve cost-effective options in lower respiratory tract infection therapy? *Chest* **113**, 205S–210S.
- 103.** Marrie, T. J., Lau, C. Y., Wheeler, S. L., Wong, C. J., Vandervoort, M. K. & Feagan, B. G. (2000). A controlled trial of a critical pathway for treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **283**, 749–55.
- 104.** Marras, T. K., Lee-Pack, L., Jamieson, L. & Chan, C. K. (2001). Effect of new guidelines and a respiratory quinolone on clinical outcomes in hospitalised patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **47**, Suppl. S1, 44–5 (abstract P111).
- 105.** Boles, M., Harbarth, S., Chinn, C. & Carmeli, Y. (2000). Assessing the cost implications of microbiological sensitivity results to antibiotic treatment in lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI). In *Program and Abstracts of the Fortieth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Toronto, Canada, 2000*. Abstract 2129, p. 509. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 106.** Backhouse, R., Shakespeare, A. & Hutton, J. (1995). Economic evaluation of alternative antibiotic regimens in the management of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *British Journal of Medical Economics* **8**, 11–25.
- 107.** Vogel, F. (1998). Cost benefits from improving clinical outcome. *Infections in Medicine* **15**, Suppl. E, 61–7.
- 108.** McGuire, A. (1998). Burden and cost of LRTI: a methodologic overview. *Infections in Medicine* **15**, Suppl. E, 26–31.
- 109.** Boles, M., Chinn, C. & Carmeli, Y. (2000). Cost of community-acquired lower respiratory tract (LRTI) in an HMO. In *Program and Abstracts of the Fortieth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Toronto, Canada, 2000*. Abstract 110, p. 503. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 110.** Niederman, M. S., McCombs, J. S., Unger, A. N., Kumar, A. & Popovian, R. (1999). Treatment cost of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Clinical Therapeutics* **21**, 576–91.
- 111.** Grossman, R., Mukherjee, J., Vaughan, D., Eastwood, C., Cook, R., LaForge, J. *et al.* (1998). A 1-year community-based health economic study of ciprofloxacin vs usual antibiotic treatment in acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis. *Chest* **113**, 131–41.
- 112.** Halpern, M. T., Palmer, C. S., Zodet, M. & Kirsch, J. M. (2001). Cost-effectiveness of gemifloxacin versus clarithromycin in the treatment of AECB; the Globe study. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **47**, Suppl. S2, 43 (abstract 107).
- 113.** Cars, O., Molstad, S. & Melander, A. (2001). Variation in antibiotic use in the European Union. *Lancet* **357**, 1851–3.
- 114.** Miller, J. & Petrie, J. (2000). Development of practice guidelines. *Lancet* **355**, 82–3.
- 115.** Worrall, G., Chaulk, P. & Freake, D. (1997). The effects of clinical practice guidelines on patient outcomes in primary care: a systematic review. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* **156**, 1705–12.