

- 8 **Thorens B**, Porret A, Buhler L, *et al.* Cloning and functional expression of the human islet GLP-1 receptor. Demonstration that exendin-4 is an agonist and exendin-(9-39) an antagonist of the receptor. *Diabetes* 1993;**42**:1678-82.
- 9 **Göke R**, Fehmann HC, Linn T, *et al.* Exendin-4 is a high potency agonist and truncated exendin-(9-39)-amide an antagonist at the glucagon-like peptide 1-(7-36)-amide receptor of insulin-secreting beta-cells. *J Biol Chem* 1993;**268**:19650-5.
- 10 **Schirra J**, Sturm K, Leicht P, *et al.* Exendin (9-39)amide is an antagonist of glucagon-like peptide-1 (7-36) amide in humans. *J Clin Invest* 1998;**101**:1421-30.
- 11 **Nauck MA**. Is glucagon-like peptide 1 an incretin hormone? *Diabetologia* 1999;**42**:373-9.
- 12 **Meier JJ**, Kummeries G, Holst JJ, *et al.* Erythromycin antagonises the deceleration of gastric emptying by glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and unmasks its insulinotropic effect in healthy subjects. *Diabetes* 2005;**54**:2212-18.
- 13 **Edwards CMB**, Todd JF, Mahmoudi M, *et al.* GLP-1 has a physiological role in the control of postprandial glucose in man. Studies with the antagonist exendin 9-39. *Diabetes* 1999;**48**:86-93.
- 14 **Schirra J**, Nicolaus M, Roggel R, *et al.* Endogenous glucagon-like peptide 1 controls endocrine pancreatic secretion and antro-pyloro-duodenal motility in humans. *Gut* 2006;**55**:243-51.
- 15 **Schirra J**, Goke B. The physiological role of GLP-1 in human: incretin, ileal brake or more? *Regulatory Peptides* 2005;**128**:109-15.
- 16 **Lin HC**, Doty JE, Reedy TJ, *et al.* Inhibition of gastric emptying by glucose depends on length of intestine exposed to nutrient. *Am J Physiol* 1989;**256**:G404-11.
- 17 **Hunt JN**, Smith JL, Jiang CL. Effect of meal volume and energy density on the gastric emptying of carbohydrates. *Gastroenterology* 1985;**89**:1326-30.
- 18 **Horowitz M**, Edelbroek MA, Wishart JM, *et al.* Relationship between oral glucose tolerance and gastric emptying in normal healthy subjects. *Diabetologia* 1993;**36**:857-62.
- 19 **Tougas G**, Anvari M, Dent J, *et al.* Relation of pyloric motility to pyloric opening and closure in healthy subjects. *Gut* 1992;**33**:466-71.
- 20 **O'Donovan DG**, Doran S, Feinle-Bisset C, *et al.* Effect of variations in small intestinal glucose delivery on plasma glucose, insulin and incretin hormones in healthy subjects and type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;**89**:3431-5.
- 21 **Rayner CK**, Samsom M, Jones KL, *et al.* Relationships of upper gastrointestinal motor and sensory function with glycemic control. *Diabetes Care* 2001;**24**:371-81.
- 22 **Jones KL**, Horowitz M, Carney BJ, *et al.* Gastric emptying in early non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Nucl Med* 1996;**37**:1643-8.
- 23 **O'Donovan D**, Horowitz M, Russo A, *et al.* Effects of lipase inhibition on gastric emptying of, and on the glycaemic, insulin and cardiovascular responses to, a high-fat/carbohydrate meal in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2004;**47**:2208-14.
- 24 **Monnier L**, Lapinski H, Colette C. Contributions of fasting and postprandial plasma glucose increments to the overall diurnal hyperglycemia of type 2 diabetic patients: variations with increasing levels of HbA(1c). *Diabetes Care* 2003;**26**:881-5.
- 25 **Ceriello A**. Postprandial hyperglycemia and diabetes complications: is it time to treat? *Diabetes* 2005;**54**:1-7.
- 26 **Drucker DJ**. Biological actions and therapeutic potential of the glucagon-like peptides. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**122**:531-44.
- 27 **Meier JJ**, Nauck MA. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) in biology and pathology. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2005;**21**:91-117.
- 28 **Nauck MA**, Meier JJ. Glucagon-like peptide 1 and its derivatives in the treatment of diabetes. *Regulatory Peptides* 2005;**128**:135-48.
- 29 **DeFronzo RA**, Ratner RE, Han J, *et al.* Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control and weight over 30 weeks in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005;**28**:1092-100.
- 30 **Feinglos MN**, Saad MF, Pi-Sunyer FX, *et al.* Effects of liraglutide (NN2211), a long-acting GLP-1 analogue, on glycemic control and bodyweight in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2005;**22**:1016-23.

Pancreatic cancer

Anticipating disaster: the genetics of familial pancreatic cancer

M M Lerch

To be born into a family with familial pancreatic cancer, an inheritable, autosomal dominant disorder, has various implications for an individual's life—and none is fortunate. The prospects just turned even darker because of "anticipation", the phenomenon that successive generations are affected by an inheritable disorder at a progressively earlier age. An up to date study shows that "anticipation" is also operative in familial pancreatic cancer, meaning that affected children die approximately 10 years earlier than their affected parents

One of the definitions *The Oxford English Dictionary* offers for the word "anticipation" is: the action of looking forward to something. This is clearly the opposite of what individuals experience who have the misfortune of being born into a family that is burdened with the risk of an inheritable disorder. In genetics, "anticipation" describes the phenomenon that successive generations within a family are affected by an inherited disorder at either a progressively earlier age or with progressively greater severity. For example, if the malignant melanoma that affected your father at the age of

50 years was one of the inheritable variety, you have a good chance as a daughter or a son of this patient to develop malignant melanoma at the age of 30-35 years,¹ provided you have inherited the gene that places you at risk. Genetic "anticipation" therefore implies, indeed, nothing to look forward to. When the respective inheritable disorder is not one for which the offspring of an affected founder can be tested because no genetic assay is yet available or when a cure for this disorder is neither available nor within sight, "anticipation" feels like rolling dice with the devil for those at risk.

The phenomenon has been reported in families affected by Huntington's chorea,² familial leukaemias,³⁻⁵ and also certain types of lymphomas.⁶⁻⁸ If the diagnosis in question were to be pancreatic cancer, in which long term survival is close to zero even after technically successful surgery and no genetic test exists for most families, "anticipation" would truly spell disaster.

This is the question a consortium of two recruiting centres based in the UK (EUROPAC) and Germany (FaPaCa) have put to the test in a study⁹ that appears in the present issue of *Gut* (see page 252). For a number of years, both registries have been actively searching for kindreds affected by familial pancreatic cancer¹⁰ and other inherited disorders of the pancreas^{11 12} and have pooled their impressive resources of 1223 patients at risk for pancreatic cancer from 106 familial pancreatic cancer kindreds for the purpose of this trial.

For the uninitiated or the statistically naïve, the question of "anticipation" in this setting would be straightforward: all you have to do is compare the age of onset in the 80 available child-parent pairs of the study and, provided the parents were older than the children when pancreatic cancer was diagnosed, the difference in age will tell you the extent of anticipation. That approach alone however would likely be fraught with error because of recruitment bias and the way affected families are generally identified. As the sporadic variety of pancreatic cancer is much more

common (at least a 100-fold), only families with two or more members affected by pancreatic cancer would be recognised as such and included—meaning that a father of 70 years and a son of 50 years of age, both with pancreatic cancer, would readily be recognised as a familial pancreatic cancer family. On the other hand, when the genetic founder of a familial pancreatic cancer family who is diagnosed at the age of 50 years as having pancreatic cancer has passed the disease gene onto his 30 year old daughter, who will develop pancreatic cancer, say, at the age of 70 years, this kindred could not be identified as suffering from familial, rather than sporadic, pancreatic cancer until 40 years after the father's death. Also, when two sons of a founder, who is diagnosed with pancreatic cancer at the age of 70 years, both develop the disease, but one at the age of 60 years and the other at age 80 years, this results in a period of 20 years over which the second son will appear to be unaffected and therefore an anticipation of 10 years will appear obvious—although there clearly is none if the age at diagnosis of both sons could be taken into account. All this stacks the odds in favour of finding genetic anticipation no matter what inherited disease an investigator looks at and the statistical methods needed to avoid this bias are rather complex. After initial evidence of anticipation in familial pancreatic cancer had been reported,¹³ the authors of the study in this issue of *Gut* have gone to great lengths to avoid such a bias in favour of anticipation—the statistical tools and details of which are found in their methods section and not discussed here.⁹ As a result, they demonstrated in the most extensive and carefully conducted analysis to date that in familial pancreatic cancer families, a child affected by this seemingly autosomal dominant disease dies approximately 10 years earlier than his or her affected parent.

The groups from the UK and Germany went on to identify factors that could possibly determine such a devastating fate. While genetic, epigenetic, as well as environmental causes could theoretically be responsible for the phenomenon of “anticipation”, a most likely culprit would be smoking. Not only is smoking one of the few exogenous risk factors, other than alcohol, that has been

associated with the progression of chronic pancreatitis,¹⁴ it is also the single most important precipitating agent for pancreatic cancer in patients with hereditary pancreatitis¹⁵ where it doubles the absolute cancer risk and reduces life expectancy by 20 years. However, smoking is not responsible for genetic “anticipation” as McFaul and Greenhalf *et al* quite clearly—and almost apologetically—show in their present study.⁹ Unfortunately, no other factor emerged from their study and the ultimate cause of anticipation needs to be identified in future trials.

How could anyone benefit from such a pessimistic finding? Firstly, the information may benefit research into familial pancreatic cancer for which no genetic test or disease causing gene has yet been established. With “anticipation” established as a fact rather than a hypothesis, it will be easier to distinguish potential carriers from controls in pancreatic cancer families and for the purpose of controlled trials. Moreover, a project on which several groups are presently moving forward is the search for a strategy to detect pancreatic cancer at its earliest (that is, potentially curable) stage in a high risk population such as those with familial pancreatic cancer. It is still unclear whether imaging techniques or molecular markers will eventually emerge as the most effective tool to identify those family members who will profit most from total pancreatectomy in order to eliminate the risk of dying from pancreatic cancer. It was previously assumed that screening would have to begin at an age that is 10 years earlier than the age at which the index relative was diagnosed with pancreatic cancer. What the present study⁹ shows rather clearly is that, whatever screening strategy aimed at preventing pancreatic cancer deaths will ultimately be used, it will have to begin much earlier in individuals' lives to be effective than previously anticipated.

However dire the outlook for affected patients and relatives remains, it is comforting to see that large research consortia are now pooling their resources and that investigations into the causes and genetic background of pancreatic cancer are rapidly progressing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The experimental pancreatic cancer work of the author is being supported by grants from

the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Deutsche Krebshilfe/Mildred-Scheel-Stiftung, and the Alfried-Krupp-Stiftung.

Gut 2006;**55**:150–151.

doi: 10.1136/gut.2005.075101

Correspondence to: Professor M M Lerch, Department of Gastroenterology, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universität Greifswald, Friedrich Loeffler Str 23A, D-17484 Greifswald, Germany; lerch@uni-greifswald.de

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1 Goldstein AM, Clark WH Jr, Fraser MC, *et al*. Apparent anticipation in familial melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 1996;**6**:441–6.
- 2 Kehoe P, Krawczak M, Harper PS, *et al*. Age of onset in Huntington disease: sex specific influence of apolipoprotein E genotype and normal CAG repeat length. *J Med Genet* 1999;**36**:108–11.
- 3 De Lord C, Powles R, Mehta J, *et al*. Familial acute myeloid leukaemia: four male members of a single family over three consecutive generations exhibiting anticipation. *Br J Haematol* 1998;**100**:557–60.
- 4 Horwitz M, Goode EL, Jarvik GP. Anticipation in familial leukemia. *Am J Hum Genet* 1996;**59**:990–8.
- 5 Yuille MR, Houlston RS, Catovsky D. Anticipation in familial chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Leukemia* 1998;**12**:1696–8.
- 6 Wiernik PH, Wang SQ, Hu XP, *et al*. Age of onset evidence for anticipation in familial non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Br J Haematol* 2000;**108**:72–9.
- 7 Shugart YY, Hemminki K, Vaitinen P, *et al*. A genetic study of Hodgkin's lymphoma: an estimate of heritability and anticipation based on the familial cancer database in Sweden. *Hum Genet* 2000;**106**:553–6.
- 8 Shugart YY, Hemminki K, Vaitinen P, *et al*. Apparent anticipation and heterogeneous transmission patterns in familial Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: report from a study based on Swedish cancer database. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2001;**42**:407–15.
- 9 McFaul CD, Greenhalf W, Earl J, *et al*. Anticipation in familial pancreatic cancer. *Gut* 2006;**55**:252–8.
- 10 Hahn SA, Greenhalf W, Ellis I, *et al*. BRCA2 germline mutations in familial pancreatic carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;**95**:214–21.
- 11 Howes N, Lerch MM, Greenhalf W, *et al*. Clinical and genetic characteristics of hereditary pancreatitis in Europe. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;**2**:252–61.
- 12 Threadgold J, Greenhalf W, Ellis I, *et al*. The N34S mutation of PSTI (SPINK1) is associated with a familial pattern of idiopathic chronic pancreatitis but does not cause the disease. *Gut* 2002;**50**:675–81.
- 13 Rulyak SJ, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, *et al*. Risk factors for the development of pancreatic cancer in familial pancreatic cancer kindreds. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**124**:1292–9.
- 14 Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB, Mollhaupt B, *et al*. Cigarette smoking accelerates progression of alcoholic chronic pancreatitis. *Gut* 2005;**54**:510–14.
- 15 Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Whitcomb DC, *et al*. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for pancreatic cancer in patients with hereditary pancreatitis. *JAMA* 2001;**286**:169–70.

11th European Forum on Quality Improvement in Health Care

26–28 April 2006, Prague, Czech Republic
For further information please go to: www.quality.bmjpg.com
Book early to benefit from a discounted delegate rate