

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Apparent breakdown of Raman selection rule at valley exciton resonances in monolayer MoS\_{2}

Steven G. Drapcho, Jonghwan Kim, Xiaoping Hong, Chenhao Jin, Sufei Shi, Sefaattin Tongay, Junqiao Wu, and Feng Wang Phys. Rev. B **95**, 165417 — Published 13 April 2017 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.165417

### Apparent Breakdown of Raman Selection Rule at Valley Exciton Resonances in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>

Steven G. Drapcho<sup>1†</sup>, Jonghwan Kim<sup>1†</sup>, Xiaoping Hong<sup>1†</sup>, Chenhao Jin<sup>1</sup>, Sufei Shi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Sefaattin Tongay<sup>4,5</sup>, Junqiao Wu<sup>2,4</sup>, Feng Wang<sup>\*1,2,6</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States
- <sup>2</sup> Materials Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States
- <sup>3</sup> Current Address: Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12810, United States
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
- <sup>5</sup> Current Address: School for Engineering of Matter, Transport and Energy, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, United States
- <sup>6</sup> Kavli Energy NanoSciences Institute at the University of California, Berkeley and the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, 94720, United States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: fengwang76@berkeley.edu

#### Abstract

The valley degree of freedom in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDC's) has generated great interest due to the possibility of using it to store and control information in analogy to the spin degree of freedom in spintronics. A signature of the valley pseudospin is the selective coupling of valley excitons to photons with defined helicity. This selectivity can have important consequences for a variety of optical phenomena associated with the valley excitons. Here we report that Raman features that seemingly violate the Raman selection rules can become prominent at valley exciton resonances in atomically thin MoS<sub>2</sub>. Specifically, the Raman selection rule requires the excitation and scattering photons to have opposite circular polarizations for the in-plane E' mode phonon, but we observe an apparent E' Raman peak for excitation and scattered photons with the same circular polarization at exciton resonances. We attribute this peak to a defect-assisted process that involves phonons in the transverse optical E' branch slightly away from the  $\Gamma$  point, a process that can be enhanced by the selective coupling of valley pseudospin to photon helicity. Thus, the valley pseudospin, in addition to the crystal symmetry, may be important in understanding the Raman scattering spectra for excitations close to valley exciton resonances.

Atomically thin transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDC's) have attracted significant attention as a promising platform for two-dimensional semiconductor nanoelectronics and nanooptics [1-12]. Due to a lack of inversion symmetry in monolayer TMDC's, the hexagonal Brillouin zone possesses a pair of degenerate but inequivalent valleys at the K and K' points [6]. This valley index or valley pseudospin serves as an additional electronic degree of freedom in addition to electron spin. Recently, it has been shown that the valleys can be manipulated selectively with circularly polarized light, raising the possibility of utilizing the valley pseudospin for quantum information applications [1-7]. This helicity-selective coupling between light and the valley degree of freedom can affect a variety of optical processes involving the valley excitons. Here, we investigate its effect on Raman scattering. Raman spectroscopy has been used extensively on 2D materials, such as graphene and TMDC's, for studying thicknessdependent properties [13-20] and probing strain effects [21, 22]. However, few Raman studies have examined systematically the polarization selection rule for TMDCs with circularly polarized excitation and detection [23]. Here, we perform polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy on monolayers of MoS<sub>2</sub> with excitation wavelengths both on and off valley exciton resonance. We focus our analysis on the singly degenerate out-of-plane A'<sub>1</sub> mode and the doubly degenerate in-plane E' mode, which arise from the  $A_{1g}$  mode and  $E_{2g}^{1}$  mode in bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>, respectively [24]. Comparing our results to a group theory analysis based on the crystal symmetry, we observe that the A'<sub>1</sub> mode always obeys the Raman selection rules. The E' mode, however, appears to violate the Raman selection rule at valley exciton resonances: it shows a prominent peak when the incoming and scattered light have the same circular polarization despite being forbidden by the Raman selection rule. We attribute the presence of this peak to a

defect-assisted process involving E' phonons slightly away from the  $\Gamma$  point, which can be enhanced by the selective coupling of valley excitons to photon helicity at exciton resonances.

In our Raman measurements, we examine four different polarization configurations – the incoming and outgoing light having the same linear polarization, perpendicular linear polarization, same circular polarization, and opposite circular polarization (see Appendix 1 and 2 for a description of the optical setup and sample preparation). Raman data was acquired for two laser excitation wavelengths: a 532 nm solid state laser and a 633 nm HeNe laser. Fig. 1 shows the reflection difference spectrum in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>,  $(R_{sa} - R_{sb}) / R_{sb}$ , where  $R_{sa}$  is the reflection from the sample and  $R_{sb}$  is the reflection from the substrate. For a thin film, this reflection is close to both the A exciton resonance at 655 nm and the B exciton resonance at 610 nm [8, 10], so it corresponds to "on-resonance excitation" of the valley excitons. On the other hand, the 532 nm excitation is far away from both the A and B exciton peaks, so it corresponds to "off-resonance excitation" of the valley excitons. We note that the 532 nm light (2.33 eV) has energy higher than the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> exciton transition (1.9 eV), so it will be resonant with some interband transition [8, 10].

We now examine our polarization-resolved Raman data for both laser excitation wavelengths (532 nm and 633 nm) for exfoliated monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> on a Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate. Fig. 2a shows data for the off-resonance 532 nm excitation, and Fig. 2b shows data for the on-resonance 633 nm excitation. The data was acquired at room temperature. The spectral resolution of our Raman setup is around 8 cm<sup>-1</sup>, limiting the sharpness of the Raman features we observe. We observe the prominent A'<sub>1</sub> phonon mode at 408 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> and E' phonon mode at 388 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup>,

similar to those reported in earlier works [13, 14]. The peak around 424 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> for the onresonance 633 nm excitation is due to a second-order Raman process that is enhanced at exciton resonances and is mediated by exciton-polaritons [27, 28]. The broad peak around 455 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> off-resonance is due to the second-order 2LA(M) mode, which involves two longitudinal acoustic phonons at the M-point of the Brillouin zone, and the broad peak around 465 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> on-resonance has been previously assigned to a convolution of the 2LA(M) mode and phonons in the A<sub>2u</sub>( $\Gamma$ ) branch [27]. A strong background is also present in the on-resonance Raman spectra due to the tail of the photoluminescence peak in MoS<sub>2</sub>. We find that additional polarizationresolved Raman measurements of CVD-grown MoS<sub>2</sub> [29] on a sapphire substrate show similar behavior to the exfoliated sample (see Appendix 3).

For all polarization configurations and excitation wavelengths, the A'<sub>1</sub> mode appears only when the incident and outgoing light have the same linear or circular polarizations. The behavior of E' mode, however, appears to be different for the excitations on and off the valley exciton resonances. For the off-resonance excitation, the E' mode is present when the incident and backscattered photons have opposite circular polarizations, and it is absent when the incident and backscattered photon have the same circular polarization. For the on-resonance excitation, however, the E' mode shows a prominent Raman peak when the incident and backscattered photons have the same circular polarization. With linearly polarized excitation, the E' mode always appears for both parallel and perpendicular linear polarization configurations. Additionally, the E' mode appears to redshift by around 3 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> in the on-resonant excitation compared to the off-resonant excitation, which will be discussed further in the following.

To understand this unusual circular polarization-dependent Raman scattering in  $MoS_2$ , we first examine the Raman scattering selection rules arising from the crystal symmetry using a

group theory analysis. The Raman scattering cross section for a given incident and scattered light polarization and a given phonon mode is proportional to  $\left|\left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i} | \boldsymbol{R} | \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{o} \right\rangle\right|^{2}$ , where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{o}$  are the polarization vectors of the incoming and outgoing light, and R is the Raman tensor for the phonon mode under consideration [30, 31]. If the Raman cross-section is required to be zero by symmetry, the mode is forbidden, otherwise it is allowed. In a first-order Raman process, an electron can be thought of as absorbing a photon, scattering with a phonon, and emitting a photon to return to its initial state. Since the initial and final states of the electron are the same, the wavevector difference of the incident and emitted light must be equal to the wavevector of the phonon mode involved. The wavevectors of the light are very small compared to the size of the Brillouin zone, so for normal incident and backscattered light, the phonons that can contribute to a first order Raman process must also have a wavevector near the  $\Gamma$  point. The Brillouin zone center has the same symmetry as the lattice, so each  $\Gamma$  point phonon mode must transform as one of the irreducible representations of the point group of the lattice. The irreducible representation of a phonon mode determines the form of its Raman tensor [30, 31], i.e. which elements are required to be zero and which may not be zero. Once the Raman tensor is known, the Raman scattering cross section can be evaluated for a given set of ingoing and outgoing light polarizations.

The crystal structure of monolayer  $MoS_2$  belongs to the symmetry point group  $D_{3h}$  [24]. For this point group and with normally incident light, the only modes that can play a role in firstorder Raman scattering are the doubly degenerate E' and singly degenerate A'<sub>1</sub> modes [24]. Therefore, we focus on the E' and A'<sub>1</sub> modes in our analysis. Fig. 3a shows a schematic of the atomic displacements of the Mo and S atoms for these modes. In writing down the Raman tensors and light polarization vectors, we neglect the out-of-plane *z*-component because for

normally incident and backscattered light the polarization directions will lie in the plane of the material and only the in-plane symmetry of the material matters. We use circularly-polarized light as our basis, where the polarization vector for right-circularly polarized light is (1,0) and left-circularly polarized light is (0,1). In this basis, linearly polarized light in the x-direction can be written as  $(1/\sqrt{2})^*(1,1)$  and linearly polarized light in the y-direction can be written as  $(1/\sqrt{2})^*(1,-1)$ . The singly degenerate A'<sub>1</sub> mode involves only vibrations in the z direction, so it cannot alter the polarization of light in the x or y directions during Raman scattering. With our coordinate system, the Raman tensor for the A'<sub>1</sub> mode is  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ . On the other hand, the doubly degenerate E' mode involves in-plane atomic vibrations, which can alter the polarization of light in the x and y directions. The Raman tensors for the two degenerate E' modes can be written as  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , respectively. We can now calculate which polarization configurations are forbidden and which are allowed for each phonon mode. Fig. 3b shows a table of the calculated Raman selection rules for the A'1 and E' modes for each polarization configuration, with "O" denoting that a polarization configuration is allowed for a given phonon mode, and "X" denoting that it is forbidden.

We can also gain a physical understanding of the selection rules by considering the threefold rotational symmetry of the lattice. For a crystal potential that is periodic in space, Bloch's theorem and the crystal boundary conditions lead to discretized values of momentum that are conserved up to a reciprocal lattice vector G, where G connects two reciprocal lattice points. Analogously, for a lattice with three-fold rotational symmetry, an angular momentum with discretized values can be introduced, and the total angular momentum is conserved up to

multiples of  $3\hbar$  since a rotation by  $2\pi/3$  maps the lattice onto itself. The A'<sub>1</sub> phonon mode transforms like the function  $x^2 + y^2$ , which corresponds to zero angular momentum [28]. As a result, it can only couple two photons with the same angular momentum. The two E' phonons transform like  $(x + iy)^2$  and  $(x - iy)^2$ , which correspond to an angular momentum of  $2\hbar$  and  $-2\hbar$ , respectively [28]. The  $(x \pm iy)^2$  phonons can couple an incident photon with angular momentum  $\mp\hbar$  to a scattered photon with angular momentum  $\pm\hbar$ . However, they cannot couple photons with the same angular momentum. Therefore, the crystal symmetry forbids the E' mode from Raman scattering where the incident and scattered light have the same circular polarization.

We now compare our data to the selection rules obtained from group theory, which are tabulated in Fig. 3b. We see that for the A'<sub>1</sub> mode, our data for all polarization configurations and excitation wavelengths agree with the Raman selection rules. For the E' mode, however, the behavior is different. The Raman selection rule is satisfied for the off-resonance excitation, but it is seemingly violated when the excitation is at the valley exciton resonances: the E' mode shows a prominent peak for the same circular ingoing and outgoing configuration even though it should be forbidden.

To understand our result, we need to consider both the phonon bands and the optical properties of valley excitons in monolayer  $MoS_2$ . First, we examine the phonon band structure to explain the 3 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> redshift we observe for the E' mode on-resonance. Examining the calculated energies of the phonons at high-symmetry points in monolayer  $MoS_2$ , we see that there is no second-order process involving phonons at the  $\Gamma$  or M points that has an energy within a few rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> of the E' phonon mode [32, 33]. Additionally, the transverse optical E' branch becomes lower in energy for k values slightly away from the  $\Gamma$  point. In a normal first-

order Raman process, the phonons involved must have effectively zero momentum. On exciton resonance, however, the real exciton states excited by the incident light can interact with defects, which can provide the additional momentum needed to emit a phonon with a small but finite wavevector. Thus, we believe that the slight redshift we observe for the E' mode on resonance arises from a defect-assisted process involving phonons in the transverse optical E' branch slightly away from the  $\Gamma$  point and not a second-order combination of phonons from the  $\Gamma$  or M points. Qualitatively similar on-resonance Raman spectra were observed in Ref. 27 for single-layer and few-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> without polarization resolution, and the behavior of the E' peak was also attributed to phonons in the E' branch slightly away from k=0. We note that the behavior we observe did not seem to appear prominently in the helicity-resolved Raman scattering measurements performed in Ref. [23], which may be due to a lower concentration of defects in the samples used by Ref. [23].

Now, we consider the helicity of the valley excitons to address the polarization dependence we observe. Polarization-resolved photoluminescence measurements have shown previously that a given valley preferentially absorbs and emits light of the same circular polarization [1, 4, 6, 7]. Thus, for on-resonance excitation with circularly polarized photons, one valley exciton will be preferentially excited. In first-order Raman processes the momentum of the light and phonon involved is very small compared to the Brillouin zone, so the exciton will remain in the same valley after emitting a phonon and cannot scatter to the other valley. This remains true for phonons slightly away from the  $\Gamma$  point that we believe are responsible for the E' peak we observe in the same-circular polarization configuration. The Raman selection rule arising from the crystal symmetry will not be completely strict for phonons with a small but finite momentum. Therefore, the valley circular polarization selectivity will favor Raman

processes with the incident and outgoing photons having the same circular polarization and suppress the circular opposite configuration, in competition with the Raman selection rule that favors the opposite behavior.

To rule out the possibility that our observation of phonons in the E' branch for the same circular polarization case is due to polarization impurity from our polarization optics, we measure the extinction ratio of the total reflected light from the sample in our Raman setup for the linear polarization geometries and the circular polarization geometries. For the 532nm excitation, the extinction ratios we measure ( $I_{\text{linear parallel}}/I_{\text{linear perpendicular}}$  and  $I_{\text{circular same}}/I_{\text{circular}}$  opposite, where *I* denotes intensity) are 29000 and 145, respectively, and for the 633nm excitation, the same extinction ratios are 7800 and 6000, respectively. This indicates that our observation of the E' mode for the circular parallel configuration for the on-resonance 633nm excitation is not due to an artifact from polarization impurity.

We also perform a control measurement with the 633nm excitation on the G mode in exfoliated monolayer graphene (see Figure 4), which has identical Raman selection rules to the E' mode in monolayer  $MoS_2$  [22]. The Raman spectra we observe for the G mode in graphene, a system with no valley polarization, exactly obey these selection rules, indicating that the seeming violation of the E' mode we observe in  $MoS_2$  is due to an effect in that system that is not present in graphene. In graphene, it is known that the appearance of the G mode in Raman spectra relies on trigonal warping of the electronic states in the Dirac cone involved in the Raman scattering process [34, 35]. Without trigonal warping, the electronic states would be isotropic and lack three-fold rotational symmetry, forbidding the G mode in any polarization configuration [34, 35]. Similarly, in  $MoS_2$ , the valley exciton states are isotropic in the absence of trigonal warping. However, trigonal warping in  $MoS_2$  is stronger than that present in graphene [36, 37], so the

selection rules arising from the crystal symmetry should still apply to Raman scattering involving the real valley exciton electronic states excited on-resonance.

In addition, we test the effect of in-plane photon momentum by measuring Raman spectra of exfoliated  $MoS_2$  with different numerical aperture of the laser excitation and light collection (Fig. 5). In the case of Fröhlich coupling, it is known that resonance excitation can enhance Raman-forbidden processes through coupling to finite-momentum bulk polar phonons, and the strength of this coupling depends strongly on the in-plane phonon wavenumber [38, 39]. We find that the Raman spectra shape does not change significantly, indicating that in-plane photon momentum does not play a large role.

Our analysis shows that on-resonance Raman scattering of phonons in the E' branch near the Brillouin zone center in atomically thin TMDCs like MoS<sub>2</sub> may be strongly affected by two competing factors: the Raman selection rule from the crystal symmetry favors Raman scattering with opposite incident and scattered circular polarizations, while the valley exciton selectivity favors the same incident and scattered circular polarizations. Our experiment suggests that these two competing factors can have comparable effects on the E' mode Raman intensity in MoS<sub>2</sub>, as we observe E' phonon peaks of comparable intensities for same and opposite circular polarization configurations. Thus, the valley degree of freedom can be important along with the crystal symmetry for determining the Raman spectra for on-resonance excitations.

#### Appendix

#### 1. Description of Optical Measurements



# Figure A1: Schematic of experimental setup used for polarization-resolved Raman measurements

Raman spectroscopy was performed with a confocal microscopy setup with the capability to image the sample and perform Raman measurements, as shown in Figure A1. All measurements were done with normally incident laser light, with the backscattered light collected for detection. An initial polarizer sets the linear polarization of the incident light, and a polarizer before the detector controls the polarization of the measured light. The polarizer before the detector is left unchanged for all configurations, eliminating variation due to polarizationdependent detection efficiency from the spectrometer and detector. For the linear polarization configurations, a half wave plate in the path of the outgoing light controls which linear polarization is detected. For the circular polarization configurations, an additional quarter wave plate before the sample circularly polarizes the incident light and the half wave plate controls which circular polarization is detected. A liquid nitrogen-cooled silicon CCD camera coupled to a monochrometer was used for detection.

Inset: an optical microscope image of the exfoliated monolayer  $MoS_2$  flake used for Raman measurements. The scale bar is 15  $\mu$ m.

For the absorption measurement, monolayers of  $MoS_2$  were grown with chemical-vapor deposition (CVD) and transferred onto a sapphire substrate. The measurement was performed with a confocal microscopy setup using a broadband supercontinuum laser as the light source and a spectrometer equipped with a one-dimensional CCD array for detection of the reflected light. The reference spectrum  $R_{sb}$  was taken on the sapphire substrate near the sample.

#### 2. Sample Preparation

Monolayers of exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and exfoliated graphene were prepared by standard micromechanical exfoliation techniques and identified with optical contrast in a microscope. Figure A1 inset shows an optical microscope image of the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  monolayer used for Raman measurements on a Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate with 300nm SiO<sub>2</sub> thickness.

CVD  $MoS_2$  monolayers were grown onto c-cut sapphire substrates using the ambient pressure vapor transport technique. Sapphire samples were etched in piranha solution for 3 hours at 65 C<sup>o</sup> and washed in DI water multiple times. Growth was performed in a two-zone furnace using semiconductor grade sulphur (access amount ~100mg) and MoO<sub>3</sub> powders (4mg). Samples were placed 1cm directly above MoO<sub>3</sub> powders and the sulphur to reaction chamber distance was

kept at 20 cm. The sulphur gas ( $S_2(g)$ ) was carried by high-purity  $N_2$  gas at a flowrate of 10 sccm. We notice that the temperature at which  $S_2(g)$  passes is of paramount importance to have ultimate control over domain size, shape, quality, and continuity. Typically,  $S_2(g)$  was passed above 590 C° and the growth was performed at 665 C° (at the reaction spot). During growth the flowrate was adjusted down to 3 sccm to improve the overall monolayer quality and improve continuity of monolayers. Growth duration was fixed at 5 minutes and samples were cooled down to 550 C° at a rate of 30 C/min and fast cooled between 550-20 C°.

#### 3. Polarization-resolved Raman spectra of CVD-grown MoS<sub>2</sub>



#### Figure A2: Polarization-resolved Raman spectra of CVD-grown MoS<sub>2</sub>

Figure A2 shows polarization-resolved Raman spectra for (**a**) off-resonance 532 nm excitation and (**b**) on-resonance 633 nm excitation of CVD-grown monolayer  $MoS_2$  on a sapphire substrate. The Raman spectra here show the same behavior as the exfoliated monolayer  $MoS_2$  in the main text in Figure 2. The strong background on-resonance is from the tail of the  $MoS_2$ photoluminescence peak. The A'<sub>1</sub> mode at 408 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> exhibits the same behavior for both onand off-resonance excitations and always obeys the Raman selection rules. The E' mode at 388 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> is absent for the circular same polarization off-resonance, but appears on-resonance in apparent contradiction to the Raman selection rule. The additional peaks in the spectra are due to multi-phonon processes as described in the main text.

#### References

- [1] T. Cao, G. Wang, W. Han, H. Ye, C. Zhu, J. Shi, Q. Niu, P. Tan, E. Wang, B. Liu, and J. Feng, Nat. Commun. 3, 887 (2012).
- [2] J. Kim, X. Hong, C. Jin, S. Shi, C. Y. S. Chang, M. H. Chiu, L. J. Li, and F. Wang, Science 346, 1205 (2014).
- [3] K. F. Mak, K. L. McGill, J. Park, and P. L. McEuen, Science 344, 1489 (2014).
- [4] K. F. Mak, K. He, J. Shan, and T. F. Heinz, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 494 (2012).
- [5] E. J. Sie, J. W. McIver, Y. H. Lee, L. Fu, J. Kong, and N. Gedik, Nat. Mater. 14, (2014).
- [6] D. Xiao, G. B. Liu, W. Feng, X. Xu, and W. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 196802 (2012).

- [7] H. Zeng, J. Dai, W. Yao, D. Xiao, and X. Cui, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 490 (2012).
- [8] K. F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan, and T. F. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 136805 (2010).
- [9] B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti, and A. Kis, Nat. Nanotechnol. 6, 147 (2011).
- [10] A. Splendiani, L. Sun, Y. Zhang, T. Li, J. Kim, C. Y. Chim, G. Galli, and F. Wang, Nano Lett. **10**, 1271 (2010).
- [11] Q. H. Wang, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, A. Kis, J. N. Coleman, and M. S. Strano, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 699 (2012).
- [12] F. Xia, H. Wang, D. Xiao, M. Dubey, and A. Ramasubramaniam, Nat. Photonics 8, 899 (2014).
- [13] Y. Zhao, X. Luo, H. Li, J. Zhang, P. T. Araujo, C. K. Gan, J. Wu, H. Zhang, S. Y. Quek,M. S. Dresselhaus, and Q. Xiong, Nano Lett. 13, 1007 (2013).
- [14] X. Zhang, W. P. Han, J. B. Wu, S. Milana, Y. Lu, Q. Q. Li, A. C. Ferrari, and P. H. Tan, Phys. Rev. B 87, 115413 (2013).
- [15] H. Sahin, S. Tongay, S. Horzum, W. Fan, J. Zhou, J. Li, J. Wu, and F. M. Peeters, Phys. Rev. B 87, 165409 (2013).
- [16] C. Lee, H. Yan, L. E. Brus, T. F. Heinz, J. Hone, and S. Ryu, ACS Nano 4, 2695 (2010).
- [17] A. Berkdemir, H. R. Gutiérrez, A. R. Botello-Méndez, N. Perea-López, A. L. Elías, C. I. Chia, B. Wang, V. H. Crespi, F. López-Urías, J. C. Charlier, H. Terrones, and M. Terrones, Sci.

Rep. 3, 1755 (2013).

[18] X. Luo, Y. Zhao, J. Zhang, M. Toh, C. Kloc, Q. Xiong, and S. Y. Quek, Phys. Rev. B 88, 195313 (2013).

[19] N. Scheuschner, R. Gillen, M. Staiger, and J. Maultzsch, Phys. Rev. B 91, 235409 (2015).

[20] G. Froehlicher, E. Lorchat, F. Fernique, C. Joshi, A. Molina-Sánchez, L. Wirtz, and S. Berciaud, Nano Lett. 15, 6481 (2015).

[21] H. J. Conley, B. Wang, J. I. Ziegler, R. F. Haglund, S. T. Pantelides, and K. I. Bolotin, Nano Lett. 13, 3626 (2013).

[22] T. M. G. Mohiuddin, A. Lombardo, R. R. Nair, A. Bonetti, G. Savini, R. Jalil, N. Bonini,D. M. Basko, C. Galiotis, N. Marzari, K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, and A. C. Ferrari, Phys.Rev. B 79, 205433 (2009).

[23] S. Y. Chen, C. Zheng, M. S. Fuhrer, and J. Yan, Nano Lett. 15, 2526 (2015).

[24] J. Ribeiro-Soares, R. M. Almeida, E. B. Barros, P. T. Araujo, M. S. Dresselhaus, L. G. Cançado, and A. Jorio, Phys. Rev. B 90, 115438 (2014).

[25] F. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Tian, C. Girit, A. Zettl, M. Crommie, and Y. R. Shen, Science 320, 206 (2008).

[26] K. F. Mak, M. Y. Sfeir, Y. Wu, C. H. Lui, J. A. Misewich, and T. F. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett.101, 196405 (2008).

[27] J. U. Lee, J. Park, Y. W. Son, and H. Cheong, Nanoscale 7, 3229 (2015).

[28] K. Gołasa, M. Grzeszczyk, P. Leszczyński, C. Faugeras, A. A. L. Nicolet, A. Wysmołek, M. Potemski, and A. Babiński, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104, 092106 (2014).

- [29] A. M. van der Zande, P. Y. Huang, D. A. Chenet, T. C. Berkelbach, Y. You, G. H. Lee, T.F. Heinz, D. R. Reichman, D. A. Muller, and J. C. Hone, Nat. Mater. 12, 554 (2013).
- [30] P. Yu and M. Cardona, *Fundamentals of Semiconductors: Physics and Material Properties* (Springer, Berlin, 2010).
- [31] M. Dresselhaus, G. Dresselhaus, a Jorio, and V. Heine, *Group Theory: Application to the Physics of Condensed Matter* (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- [32] T. Livneh and J. E. Spanier, 2D Materials 2, 3 (2015).
- [33] A. Molina-Sanchez and L. Wirtz, Phys. Rev. B 84, 155413 (2011).
- [34] D. M. Basko, New Jour. Phys. 11, 095011 (2009).
- [35] P. Kossacki, C. Faugeras, M. Kuhne, M. Orlita, A. A. L. Nicolet, J. M. Schneider, D. M. Basko, Yu. I. Latyshev, and M. Potemski, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235138 (2011).
- [36] A. Kormanyos, V. Zolyami, N. D. Drummond, P. Rakyta, G. Burkard, and V. I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 045416 (2013).
- [37] H. Rostami, A. G. Moghaddam, and R. Asgari, Phys. Rev. B 88, 085440 (2013).
- [38] P. J. Colwell and M. V. Klein, Solid State Commun. 8, 2095 (1970).
- [39] R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 4, 3676 (1971).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was primarily supported by Office of Basic Energy Science, U.S. Department of
Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (van der Waals heterostructure program). S.
G. D. acknowledges Government support under and awarded by DoD, Air Force Office of
Scientific Research, National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate (NDSEG) Fellowship,
32 CFR 168a. The authors thank Yuen-Ron Shen for helpful discussions.

#### **Author Contributions**

S. G. D, J. K, X. H. and F. W. conceived and supervised the experiment. S. G. D., J. K., X. H., and C. J. performed the optical measurements. J. K. prepared the microexfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphene samples. S. T. and J. W. grew the monolayer CVD MoS<sub>2</sub> samples. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the manuscript.

#### **Competing Financial Interests**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

#### **Figures and Figure Captions**

Figure 1: Absorption spectrum of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>.

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

The absorption spectrum of monolayer  $MoS_2$  from a supercontinuum light source. The A and B exciton peaks at 655 nm and 610 nm are clearly visible. The laser excitation wavelengths at 633 nm and 532 nm used for polarization-resolved Raman measurements are labeled. The on-resonance 633 nm excitation is close to both the A and B exciton peaks, while the off-resonance 532 nm excitation is far from both exciton peaks.

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Polarization-resolved Raman spectra for (**a**) off-resonance 532 nm excitation and (**b**) onresonance 633 nm excitation of exfoliated monolayer  $MoS_2$ . The strong background onresonance is from the tail of the  $MoS_2$  photoluminescence peak. The A'<sub>1</sub> mode at 408 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> exhibits the same selection rule for both on- and off-resonance excitations. The E' mode at 388 rel. cm<sup>-1</sup> is absent for the circular same polarization off-resonance, but seems to appear onresonance. The additional peaks in the spectra are mostly due to multi-phonon processes and have been identified in previous studies [25].

Figure 3: Comparison of experimental Raman data to group-theoretical selection rules.

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

a. A schematic of the atomic vibrations for the A'<sub>1</sub> and E' phonon modes in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>.
b. The group theoretical selection rules and the experimental data for the polarization-resolved Raman measurements. "O" indicates that a mode is allowed (theory) or observed (experiment), and "X" indicates that a mode is forbidden (theory) or absent (experiment). The colored and bolded X and O highlight where the experimental data seems to conflict with the theoretical selection rule.

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 4: Polarization-dependent Raman spectra of G mode in graphene

Polarization-dependent Raman spectra for the G mode in graphene. The Raman selection rules for the G mode in graphene, a system with no selective coupling of valley pseudospin to photon helicity, are identical to those of the E' mode in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. For the 633nm excitation, we see that the G mode obeys these selection rules.

Figure 5: Numerical-aperture dependence of on-resonance same circular polarization Raman spectrum of MoS<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Raman spectra for monolayer exfoliated  $MoS_2$  for two different numerical apertures (NAs) for the incident and scattered light having the same circular polarization. Each spectrum is normalized by the maximum of the photoluminescence peak. Reducing the NA does not affect the presence of the E' mode, indicating that in-plane photon momentum likely does not play a large role in the apparent violation of the Raman selection rule for the E' phonon mode.