Application of resistance energy model to optimising electric power consumption of a belt conveyor system

Awingot Richard Akparibo¹, Erwin Normanyo²

¹Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Ashesi University, Ghana ²Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Mines and Technology, Ghana

Article Info	ABSTRACT		
Article history:	Driven by constantly increasing energy demands, prices, environmenta		
Received Jul 9, 2019 Revised Dec 6, 2019 Accepted Dec 13, 2019	impact caused by carbon dioxide emissions and global warming, efficient use of energy is gaining grounds in both public and private enterprises. The energy consumption of belt conveyors can be lowered using energy modelling techniques. In this research, a resistance-based mathematical energy model was utilised in the electrical energy efficiency optimisation of		
Keywords:	the troughed, inclined belt conveyor system taking into account indentation rolling registance, bulk solid flavure resistance and secondary registance as		
Belt conveyor system Energy model Modelling Optimising energy efficiency Simulation	they together contribute 89% resistance to motion. An optimisation problem was formulated to optimise the electrical energy efficiency of the belt conveyor system and subsequently solved using the "fmincon" solver and interior point algorithm of the MATLAB optimisation toolbox. Analysis of simulation results showed that for the same given operating capacities, an average energy saving of about 7.42% and an annual total cost savings of Gh¢ 5, 852, 669.00 (USD 1, 083, 827.59) for a 2592-hour operation can be achieved when the used model and optimisation technique are employed over the constant speed operation.		
	Copyright © 2020 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. All rights reserved.		

Corresponding Author:

Awingot Richard Akapribo, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Ashesi University, 1st University Avenue, Brekusu, PMB CT3, Cantonments, Accra, Ghana. Email: rakparibo@ashesi.edu.gh

1. **INTRODUCTION**

The rising cost of doing business has necessitated companies to search for better ways of minimising expenses that affect competitiveness and the bottom line. Automating certain functions in manufacturing and material handling does improve productivity and efficiency creating some cost savings [1]. A growing area of concern is the increasing energy cost. Cost of energy forms a large part of the operational cost of belt conveyor systems and according to [2], this constitutes 40% of the operational cost. Conveyor equipment, aside of gravity conveyors, require motors and other equipment that use electricity for power [1]. Saving energy of belt conveyor systems offers a lot of benefits aside of the cost savings. It increases the energy reserves and curbs emissions of carbon dioxide [3].

A belt conveyor is a piece of equipment used to transport materials or products from one place to another. It converts electrical energy into mechanical motion [4, 5]. Belt conveyors are widely used for handling bulk material over short to medium conveying distances because of their high efficiency of transportation as compared to other methods of transportation [5, 6]. They are largely used in the mining industry, in manufacturing, at bulk terminals, in cement plants, power plants and chemical production industries for the transportation of goods and services [7]. A typical conveyor system consists of the tail pulley, idler, belt, take-up and drive pulley [6-8].

Material handling is one of the important phenomena in industry. Belt conveyors are being preferred in most parts of material handling systems because of their high efficiency of transportation. However, they come with their own problems of electrical energy consumption. According to [1], conveying equipment consume up to 50% of a facility's energy usage and account for nearly 70% of electrical load in an industrial facility [1]. This presents both a challenge and an opportunity for energy savings. Driven by constantly increasing energy demands, prices, environmental impact caused by carbon dioxide emissions and global warming, efficient use of energy is gaining grounds in both public and private enterprises [9]. The material handling industry and for that matter, a belt conveyor system is no exception. The electrical energy consumption of a belt conveyor is dependent on the drive's speed and the resistances to motion. The resistances to motion include indentation rolling resistance, bulk solid flexure resistance, secondary resistance, idler roll rotating resistance and belt flexure resistances [11-16]. This research paper gives focus to utilisation of resistance energy model taking into account indentation rolling resistance, bulk solid flexure resistance and secondary resistance as they together contribute 89% resistance to motion. Field studies and model development approach for the drive system are given consideration.



Figure 1. A belt conveyor system with critical values of motion resistances

2. FIELD STUDIES AND DATA COLLECTION

A number of belt conveyors at the operations of a mining company located in the Tarkwa-Nsuaem municipality of the Western region of Ghana were studied. During the studies, the electric power consumed, belt speed, and feed rate of the 12-conveyor system of the mine were recorded at different time intervals with the aid of the field instruments. The electric power consumed was measured against the belt speed when the feed rate was held constant at T = 65.4 t/h. Also, the electric power consumed was measured against the feed rate when the speed was held constant at $\nu = 3.7$ m/s.

Data on the belt conveyor system of the mining company were collected and analysed. The various conveyors studied were grouped into two as overland conveyors and crusher conveyors. The data collected on each conveyor aided the calculation of model parameters C_1 and C_2 . Also, instruments such as electrical energy meter, belt motion monitor and belt weightometer were employed to aid in the determination of the electric motor output power (P_M), belt speed (v) and belt load carrying capacity (T), respectively

3. RESISTANCE ENERGY MODEL

Our focus is to utilise a resistance-based energy model and also a model for the energy cost of a belt conveyor system in order to minimise electrical energy consumption and operating cost of the belt conveyor system. The resistance- based energy model is obtained from mathematical calculations of mechanical resistances of the belt conveyor. Under stationary operating conditions, the energy consumption of belt conveyors is mainly determined by the resistances to motion of the belt conveyor. With nominal values of system settings, resistance of the belt conveyor can be calculated. ISO 5048, DIN 22101 and CEMA [17-22], distinguish four components that make up the total mechanical resistance.

The primary or main resistance component, $F_{\rm H}$ consists of various resistances including flexing resistances of the conveyor belt as well as the bulk solid material and the indentation rolling resistance of the idlers. The secondary resistance, $F_{\rm N}$ is the resistance force that is due mainly to frictional and acceleration forces in the feeding area. The slope/gradient resistance, $F_{\rm St}$ is the resistance due to inclination of the belt conveyor. The special resistance component, $F_{\rm s}$ is the resistance for special designed belt conveyors, e.g. situations where, special curves are involved. The resistance energy model is given by (1) [10-17].

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{U}} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{H}} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{N}} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{St}} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{1}$$

The diagrammatic model of a troughed, inclined belt conveyor system modified after [16], is shown in Figure 2. It is powered by an electric motor-driven system and supported by a system of pulleys. It carries the bulk material on top of the troughed surface of the belt. The troughed structure of the belt is maintained by sets of evenly spaced carrying and return idlers. The appropriate idler spacing is determined during the design stage as recommended by international standards such as CEMA, JIS, ISO and DIN 22101 [21, 22] to avoid excessive belt sag and potential spillages. This ensures that the cross-sectional area of the belt is fairly constant. The belt is usually fitted with accessories such as a feed chute at the tail end and a scraper below the head end. The main focus is to utilise a resistance energy model taking into account indentation rolling resistance and bulk solid flexure resistance which together largely form the main resistance, $F_{\rm H}$ and secondary resistance, $F_{\rm N}$ but since the belt conveyor system under study is inclined, the slope/gradient resistance component must be considered as well. The free body diagram illustrated by the primary resista- nce of the belt conveyor is given by Figure 3.



Figure 2. Model of the troughed inclined belt conveyor system



Figure 3. Illustration of primary resistance

The resistance energy model is obtained as follows:

$$F_H = \mu.m.g \tag{2}$$

$$m = \operatorname{Lg}\left[Q_{\mathrm{R0}} + Q_{\mathrm{RU}} + (2Q_B + Q_G)\cos\delta\right]$$
(3)

 Q_{RR} and Q_{B} represent the unit mass of rotating rolls and the unit mass of the belt respectively.

In the belt conveyor world, the friction coefficient μ is replaced by the letter f [23]. Therefore, the main resistance is given in (4) [20, 22, 23] as:

$$F_H = f L g \left[Q_{R0} + Q_{RU} + (2Q_B + Q_G) \cos \delta \right]$$
(4)

Let:
$$Q_{RR} = Q_{R0} + Q_{RU}$$

then, F_{H} is expressed as in (5).

$$F_H = f L g \left[Q_{RR} + (2Q_B + Q_G) \cos \delta \right]$$
(5)

According to experiments [10, 22, 23], the secondary resistance can be expressed with adequate correctness for belt conveyors with L > 80 m by (6).

$$F_{\rm N} = (C - 1) F_{\rm H}$$
 (6)

where, C = conveyor length coefficient.

Now, by adding (5) and (6), we obtain (7) as follows:

$$F_{H} + F_{N} = f L g [Q_{RR} + (2Q_{B} + Q_{G}) \cos \delta] + (C-1) F_{H}$$

= $f L g [Q_{RR} + (2Q_{B} + Q_{G}) \cos \delta] + (C-1) (f L g [Q_{RR} + (2Q_{B} + Q_{G}) \cos \delta])$
= $f L g [Q_{RR} + (2Q_{B} + Q_{G}) \cos \delta] + C f L g [Q_{RR} + (2Q_{B} + Q_{G}) \cos \delta] - f L g [Q_{RR} + (2Q_{B} + Q_{G}) \cos \delta]$
= $C f L g [Q_{RR} + (2Q_{B} + Q_{G}) \cos \delta]$ (7)

the coefficient, C in (7) depends on the length of the conveyor and can be found in either graphs or in tables. Neglecting the special resistance component, F_s since it is too small, the total resistance to motion can be found by adding the slope resistance, F_{s_t} to (7) to give (8).

$$F_T = F_H + F_N + F_{\text{St}} = \text{C f L g} \left[Q_{\text{RR}} + (2Q_B + Q_G) \cos \delta \right] + \text{g H } Q_G$$
(8)

The unit mass of transporting material, Q_G can also be calculated using (9) [20, 22].

$$Q_G = \frac{T}{3.6 \times \nu} \tag{9}$$

 Q_G is obtained from the product of the cross-sectional area (A) of the material conveyed and the material density ($^{\rho}$). It is therefore right for one to say that the total resistances to motion of the belt conveyor are dependent on the amount of material that the conveyor belt is carrying, specified as Q_G since Q_{RR} and Q_B which represent the unit mass of rotating rolls and the unit mass of the belt respectively remain fairly constant whiles the conveyor system is installed. Therefore, F_T can be written as in (10).

$$F_T = f(Q_G) = C f L g (Q_{RR} + 2Q_B \cos \delta) + (C f L g \cos \delta + g H)Q_G$$
(10)

The mechanical power of the belt conveyor can be calculated using (11) [20, 22].

$$P_T = F_U \times v \tag{11}$$

Now, based on the mechanical power of the conveyor it is possible to calculate the electric power of the drive motor which sets the belt into motion using (12) [20, 22].

$$P_M = \frac{P_T}{\eta} \tag{12}$$

where, P_M = electric power drawn by the drive motor in kW. From (12) the electric power of the drive motor is given as:

$$P_{M} = \frac{P_{T}}{\eta} = \frac{F_{T.v}}{\eta} = \frac{C \operatorname{fLg}\left(Q_{RR} + 2Q_{B}\operatorname{Cos}\delta\right).v}{\eta} + \frac{(C \operatorname{fLg}\operatorname{Cos}\delta + \operatorname{gH})Q_{G.v}}{\eta}$$
(13)
Let: $C_{1} = \frac{C \operatorname{fLg}\left(Q_{RR} + 2Q_{B}\operatorname{Cos}\delta\right)}{\eta} \operatorname{and} C_{2} = \frac{(C \operatorname{fLg}\operatorname{Cos}\delta + \operatorname{gH})}{\eta}$

then (13) reduces to (14). C_1 and C_2 are physical parameters that can be calculated for a given belt conveyor system [14-16].

$$P_M = C_I \nu + C_2 \nu Q_G = C_I \nu + C_2 \nu \frac{T}{3.6 \times \nu} = C_I \nu + C_2 \frac{T}{3.6}$$
(14)

Therefore, the belt conveyor's electric power consumption can be expressed as a function of v and T as given in (15).

$$P_M = f(v,T) = C_1 v + C_2 \frac{T}{3.6}$$
(15)

Thus, the total electrical energy consumed can be calculated by integrating (15) over a given time interval t_0 to t_1 . This is given in (16).

$$E_{\rm ec}(t_0, t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(v(t), T(t)) dt = C_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} v(t) dt + \frac{C_2}{3.6} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} T(t) dt$$
(16)

The total energy cost can therefore, be obtained by multiplying the total electrical energy consumed by the TOU tariff function, U (t) as given in (17).

$$E_{c}(t_{0}, t_{1}) = \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} f(v(t), T(t)) (U(t)) dt = C_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} v(t) U(t) dt + \frac{C_{2}}{3.6} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} T(t) U(t) dt$$
(17)

For ease of discrete-time numerical analysis, the energy consumption function of (16) and the cost function (17) are discretised. Let the sampling time be given as in (18).

$$t_s = \frac{t_1 - t_0}{N} \tag{18}$$

where, N = number of samples. Now, the discrete form of the total energy consumption and total energy cost can be obtained as in (19) and (20).

$$E_{\rm ec} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(\nu_j, T_j) t_s \tag{19}$$

$$E_c = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(v_j, T_j) P_j t_s$$
⁽²⁰⁾

 E_{ec} and E_c are performance indicators, which are to be employed as the objective functions for optimisation.

4. OPTIMISATION OF THE ELECTRICAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF THE BELT CONVERYOR SYSTEM

Many a time, belt conveyors work under reduced or minimal feed rates. Sometimes, they even run on no load due to mismatched feeds. The mismatch between speed and the feed rate exists because in practice, conveyors tend to operate at slightly below full capacity. They are usually oversized during design in anticipation of capacity expansions and sometimes to standardise component sizes in an effort to lower maintenance costs [24]. It could also be due to material blockages. In mining applications, conveyors are at times loaded by an excavator resulting in an uneven loading of the belt, so that the overall material flow rate

is 50% to 70% of full capacity [25]. In this research therefore, we try to optimise the electrical energy efficiency of the belt conveyor by matching belt speed to the input material feed rate in order to maximise the mass of material conveyed per unit length and, consequently, per unit of energy. To achieve this, the electric power is employed as the objective function for minimisation formulated as follows:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Min} & = f(\,,\,T) \\ \mbox{Subject to} & \mbox{Tmin} \leq T \leq \mbox{Tmax} \\ & \leq & \leq \\ \mbox{where, } f(v,T) & = \mbox{function of electric power drawn by the drive motor} \\ & = \mbox{minimum belt speed in m/s} \\ & = \mbox{maximum belt speed in m/s} \\ \mbox{Tmin} & = \mbox{minimum belt feed rate in t/h} \\ \mbox{Tmax} & = \mbox{maximum belt feed rate in t/h} \\ \end{tabular}$

4.1. Computer simulations of the belt conveyor system

Simulations of the optimisation problem were carried out in MATLAB environment using the optimisation toolbox. It provides functions for finding parameters that minimise or maximise objectives while satisfying constraints. The toolbox includes solvers for linear programming, mixed-integer linear programming, quadratic programming, nonlinear optimisation, and nonlinear least squares. These solvers can be used to find optimal solutions to continuous and discrete problems, perform trade-off analyses, and incorporate optimisation methods into algorithms and applications. The "fmincon" solver finds a minimum of a constrained multivariable function using the interior point algorithm. It finds the minimum of a problem specified by:

$$\min_{x} f(x) \text{ such that} \begin{cases} c(x) \le 0\\ ceq(x) = 0\\ A \cdot x \le b\\ Aeq \cdot x = beq\\ b \le x \le ub \end{cases}$$

where, b and beq = vectors

A and Aeq = matrices c(x) and ceq(x) = functions that return vectors f(x) = function that returns a scalar Ib and ub = the lower boundary and upper boundary and can be passed as vectors or matrices. f(x), c(x), and ceq(x) can be linear or nonlinear functions of x.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This section presents the results and discussions of the research. The results from field studies and computer simulations of the belt conveyor system are discussed.

5.1. Field studies results

The results from the field studies are presented in Figure 4 and Figure 5.







Figure 5. Graph of electric power consumption against feed rate at constant belt speed of v = 3.7 m/s

5.2. Results of computer simulation for optimisation

The computer simulations were meant to find the optimal solutions of the system of belt conveyors for belt speed and feed rate both varying from minimum to maximum values i.e., $v_{min} \le v \le v_{max}$ and $T_{min} \le T \le T_{max}$ respectively. This was necessary to improve the operation efficiency of the belt conveyors by matching belt speed to the input material feed rate in order to maximise the mass of material conveyed per unit length and, consequently, per unit of energy. The optimisation problem was solved repeatedly for each of the twelve conveyors when the feed rate was varied from 100 t/h to 2000 t/h. Results of the plot of the feed rate at conveyor base case operating speed of 4.5 m/s which is constant and at the optimised speed, v varying from 2 m/s to 6 m/s against electric power consumption on the same graph for the twelve conveyors are given in Figures 6-17. The minimum and maximum speed values selected here were based on belt conveyors manufacturer's manual.



Figure 6. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the crusher conveyor CVR 12



Figure 7. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the crusher conveyor CVR 13





Application of resistance energy model to optimising electric power ... (Awingot Richard Akapribo)



Figure 9. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the crusher conveyor CVR 15



Figure 10. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the crusher conveyor CVR 16



Figure 11. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the crusher conveyor CVR 17



Figure 12. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the crusher conveyor CVR 18



Figure 13. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the crusher conveyor CVR 19



Figure 14. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the overland conveyor CVR 5B

Application of resistance energy model to optimising electric power ... (Awingot Richard Akapribo)



Figure 15. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the overland conveyor CVR 5C



Figure 16. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the overland conveyor CVR 5D



Figure 17. Computer simulation results of optimisation for the overland conveyor CVR 06

5.3. Electrical energy and cost saving analyses

The power savings for each conveyor for given operating capacities were calculated by summing the differences in power consumption between the optimised case and the base case at each feed rate point. The percentage savings were calculated using (17). The results of the calculations for each of the twelve conveyors are given in Table 1.

ISSN: 2088-8708

% kW	=	Σ (Base case power–Optimised case power at each feed rate point)	
		Σ Base case power at each feed rate point	~ 100

TT 1 1 T

(17)

Table 1. Power Savings for the Twelve Conveyors					
SN	Conveyors	Power Savings (kW)	%bPower Savings		
1	CVR 12	85.96	7.31		
2	CVR 13	286.00	7.79		
3	CVR 14	53.60	7.29		
4	CVR 15	176.20	8.56		
5	CVR 16	159.16	7.73		
6	CVR 17	164.68	8.00		
7	CVR 18	150.00	7.28		
8	CVR 19	150.20	7.29		
9	CVR 5B	536.60	7.30		
10	CVR 5C	208.50	7.09		
11	CVR 5D	136.40	6.18		
12	CVR 06	106.40	7.24		
	7.42				

Given that the belt conveyor is operated 30% of the available time of 360 days per year at 24 hours per day, the production time per year can be computed using Equation Production time per year,

$$t = \frac{30}{100} \times 360 \times 24 \text{ hrs} = 2592 \text{ hrs}$$
(18)

The electrical energy savings per year can therefore be calculated using (18). Electrical energy savings per year = kW x t. Given the current electricity tariff of Gh¢ 1.02 per kWh [26], the cost of electricity savings of each conveyor can be found by multiplying the electrical energy savings by the tariff. Calculations of the electrical energy savings and the corresponding cost savings per year of each conveyor are tabulated in Table 2.

SN	Conveyors	Power Savings (kW)	Electrical Energy Savings/Year (kWh)	Cost Savings/Year (Gh¢)
1	CVR 12	85.96	222808.30	227264.50
2	CVR 13	286.00	741312.00	756138.20
3	CVR 14	53.60	138931.20	141709.80
4	CVR 15	176.20	456710.40	465844.60
5	CVR 16	159.16	412542.70	420793.60
6	CVR 17	164.68	426850.60	435387.60
7	CVR 18	150.00	388800.00	396576.00
8	CVR 19	150.20	389318.40	397104.80
9	CVR 5B	536.60	1390867.00	14186850
10	CVR 5C	208.50	540432.00	551240.60
11	CVR 5D	136.40	353548.80	360619.8
12	CVR 06	106.40	275788.80	281304.60
То	tal Savings	31104.00	5737910.00	5 852 669.00

Table 2. Electrical energy and cost savings for the twelve conveyors

5.4. Discussions

This section of the paper discusses the following: field study results, the results of computer simulations for optimisation and cost savings.

5.4.1. Discussion of field study results

Field studies results revealed that at a constant feed rate of 65.4 t/h, the belt speed and the electric power consumption of the conveyor maintain a fairly linear relationship shown by the graph in Figure 6. Moreover, given a constant speed of 3.7 m/s of the belt conveyor, the electric power consumption and the feed rate shows a more linear correlation compared to the case of constant feed rate. Running the belt conveyor at a reduced speed while achieving the same purpose can give significant energy savings. From these analyses, it is important to note that running the belt conveyor at a fixed speed whiles the feed rate is varying can waste electric power. It becomes imperative therefore, to match belt speed to the feed rate in order to save energy.

5.4.2. Discussion of results of computer simulations for optimisation

From Fig. 7 to Fig. 18, it is clear that the belt conveyors consume less power when running at optimised belt speed from 2 m/s to 6 m/s than when operated at the constant belt speed of 4.5 m/s. It is also clearly shown that the further the feed rate is reduced; the more energy can be saved through the optimisation of the belt speed. The graphs look similar though, but analyses of the results show that each of the conveyors has slightly different power improvement levels. Conveyor CVR 15 for example, appears to have performed quite better than all the other conveyors with power savings of 8.56%. This is quite obvious due to its high effective efficiency which is 0.86 coupled with its relatively low friction coefficient value of 0.023. Conveyors CVR 5C and CVR 5D gave quite low power savings of 7.09% and 6.18% respectively as compared to the rest. This was attributed to low effective efficiencies which are 0.76 and 0.73 respectively and high coefficients of friction 0.026 each. For low power requirements, a typical overland conveyor requires efficiency values ranging from 0.80 to 0.98 and a friction coefficient ranging from 0.022 to 0.025 [10].

5.4.3. Discussion of results of cost savings

The cost savings analysis of each of the twelve conveyors given a total production time of 2592 hours per year and a current electricity tariff of Gh¢ 1.02 per kW for non-residential consumers who fall in the range of 600 kWh and above have been presented in Table 2. The analysis showed that AGA Iduapriem Mine will be saving a total electrical energy of 5737910.00 kWh and a corresponding cost of Gh¢ 5 852 669.00 when the twelve conveyors are optimally operated in terms of belt speed matching to feed rate for 2592 hours over a period of one year.

CONCLUSION 6.

A mathematical energy model for electrical energy efficiency optimisation of belt conveyor system was successfully utilised. It was established that to improve the operation efficiency of the belt conveyor, it is necessary to operationally match belt speed to the feed rate. Furthermore, from the findings the following conclusions hold valid: Belt conveyors consume a considerable amount of the total electrical energy supply and can be lowered using energy models and optimisation techniques. For optimal performance of the belt conveyors with regard to electric power consumption, the belt speed should be varied within the maximum and minimum limits commensurate with the feed rate. Electric power consumption and operational cost of belt conveyors highly depend on the belt speed, feed rate, effective efficiency and length of the belt conveyor. The analytical energy model is more appropriate for short belt conveyor analyses while the proposed model is desirable for long belt conveyors. A considerable amount of power and cost savings for all the twelve belt conveyors are achievable when the used model and optimisation technique are employed over the fixed speed operation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are forever grateful to team members of AngloGold Ashanti, Iduapriem Mine, especially Mr Joseph Effah, Electrical Manager for their unreserved assistance in obtaining the useful data for the research. We cannot thank Mr Kisman Eghan of AngloGold Ashanti, Obuasi Mine enough for his genuine comments.

REFERENCES

- Habasit America, "How to Reduce Energy Costs on Conveyor Systems," [Online]. Available at: [1] www.habasitamerica.com, [Accessed: September 18, 2015].
- [2] Zhang, S. and Xia, X., "Optimal Control of Operation Efficiency of Belt Conveyor Systems," Applied Energy, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 1929-1937, 2010.
- [3] Anders H Nordström, "Trends in Global Energy Efficiency: An Analysis of Industry and Utilities," [Online]. Available at: www.trends-in-global-energy-efficiency.com, [Accessed: September 23, 2015].
- [4] Gannavarapu, S. V. A., Chand, A. G. and Gopal, D. R., "Modelling and Analysis of Side Grip Conveyor for Bottle Inspection Machine," *IPASJ International Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 2, no. 12, pp. 005-011, 2014.
 [5] Zhang, S. and Xia, X., "Modelling and Energy Efficiency Optimisation of Belt Conveyors," *Applied Energy*,
- vol. 88, no. 9, pp. 3061-3071, 2011.
- [6] He, D., Pang, Y. and Lodewijks, G., "Determination of Acceleration for Belt Conveyor Speed Control in Transient Operation," IACSIT International Journal of Engineering and Technology, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 206-211, 2016.

- [7] Pang, Y., "Intelligent Belt Conveyor Monitoring and Control," *PhD Thesis Report*, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands, pp. 1–186, 2010.
- [8] Blijswijk, M. V., "Overview and Characteristics of Belt Conveyor Systems and Applications," *Report on Transport Engineering and Logistics*, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands, 2014.
- [9] Bandow, G., Wotzel, A. and Man, K. Y., "Performance Measurement System for Efficiency of Intra-logistics Systems," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Competitive Manufacturing*, Stellenbosch, South Africa, pp. 1 – 12, 2013.
- [10] Lodewijks, G., Schott, D. L. and Pang, Y., "Energy Savings at Belt Conveyors by Speed Control," *Proceedings of the Beltcon 16 Conference*, Johannesburg, Republic of South Africa, pp. 1 10, 2011.
- [11] Wheeler, C. A., "Design Considerations to Minimise Energy Consumption and Costs of Belt Conveyors," *Tunra Bulk Solids Research Associates*, [Online]. Available at: www.Paper1DesignConsiderationtominimizeenergyconsump-tionandcostofbeltconveyor.dpdf.com, [Accessed: November 11, 2015].
- [12] Youssef, G. S., Taha, I., Shihata, L. A., Abdel-ghany, W. E. and Ebeid, S. J., "Improved Energy Efficiency in Troughed Belt Conveyors: Selected Factors and Effects," *International Journal of Engineering and Technical Research*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 174–180, 2015.
- [13] Fekete, P., Martin, S., Kuhn, K. and Wright, N., "The Status of Energy Monitoring in Science and Industry by the Example of Material Handling Processes," *Business, Management and Education*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 213–227, 2014.
- [14] Zhang, S. and Xia, X., "A New Energy Calculation Model of Belt Conveyor," Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE Conference AFRICON, Nairobi, Kenya, 2009.
- [15] Shen, Y. and Xia, X., "Adaptive Parameter Estimation for an Energy Model of Belt Conveyor with DC Motor," *Asian Journal of Control*, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 1122–113, 2014.
- [16] Mathaba, T. and Xia, X., "A Parametric Energy Model for Energy Management of Long Belt Conveyors," *Energies*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 13590–13608, 2015.
- [17] Nuttall, A. J. G. and Lodewijks, G., "Dynamics of Multiple Drive Belt Conveyor Systems," *Particle and Particle Systems Characterisation*, vol. 24, no. 4-5, pp. 365 369, 2007.
- [18] Karolewski, B. and Ligocki, P. (2014), "Modelling of Long Belt Conveyors," *Maintenance and Reliability*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 179 187.
- [19] Songsiri, J. and Banjerdpongchai, D., "Dynamic Models of Servo-Driven Conveyor System," Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Region 10 Conference on Analogue and Digital Techniques in Electrical Engineering, 2004.
- [20] "Indian Standard (IS 11592: 2000): Selection and Design of Belt Conveyors-Code of Practice," [Online]. Available at: www.is.11592.2000.pdf.com, [Accessed: February 04, 2016].
- [21] "Conveyor Equipment Manufacturers Association", The 87th CEMA Engineering Conference, Naples, Florida, USA, 130pp, [Online]. Available at: www. 2014-CEMA-Engineering-Conference-Minutes-Set-.pdf.com, [Accessed: January 11, 2016].
- [22] "Phoenix Conveyor Belts Design Fundamentals-New DIN 22101", [Online]. Available at: www.phoenixag.com.com, [Accessed: January 11, 2015].
- [23] Graaf, M. C., "Viability Study of an Energy-recovery System for Belt Conveyors", *Report on Transport Engineering and Logistics*, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands, pp. 77, 2013. [Online]. Available at: https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid:af5d5696-4f88-4640-b028-d2937296e26e
- [24] Hiltermann, J., Lodewijks, G., Schott, D. L., Rijsenbrij, J. C., Dekkers, J. A. J. M. and Pang, Y., "A Methodology to Predict Power Saving of Troughed Belt Conveyors by Speed Control," *Particulate Science and Technology*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 14–27, 2011.
- [25] Jeftenic, B., Ristic, L., Bebic, M., Statkic, S., Mihailovic, I. and Jevtic, D., "Optimal Utilisation of the Bulk Material Transport System Based on Speed Control Drives," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electrical Machines*, Rome, Italy, 2010.
- [26] "PURC Approved Tariff," [Online]. Available at: www.ecgonline.info/index.php/customercare/services/tariff.com, [Accessed on: September 20, 2016].