

Application of SQL RAT Translation

a Statement of RQP/RMP with an Object-oriented Solution

XU Silao

School of Computer (Software), Sichuan University, Chengdu, China
Email: writecoffee@gmail.com

WANG Song and HONG Mei

School of Computer (Software), Sichuan University, Chengdu, China
Email: {wangsong.itechs@gmail.com, hongmei@scu.edu.cn}

Abstract - Since we have already designed a flexible form of representing the Relational Algebra Tree (RAT) translated by the SQL parser, the application of this kind of object-oriented representation should be explored. In this paper, we will show you how to apply this technique to complicated scenarios. The application of Reverse Query Processing and Reverse Manipulate Processing related to this issue will be discussed.

Index Terms - SQL, Reverse Relational Algebra Tree, object-oriented, reverse query processing, reverse manipulate processing.

I. INTRODUCTION

We have already provided an object-oriented means to describe the relational algebra tree (RAT) parsed from the SQL statement. Since an intuitive solution has been found, why couldn't we delve into its usage and explore more flexible variation adapted for different scenarios.

Reverse Query Processing (RQP) [5], a tool generating databases for testing database applications, helps to eliminate the daunting task of manual tester. An extension of RQP is RMP [3] – Reverse Manipulate Processing. It can be used for all the data manipulating statements in SQL which provides an integrated measure to execute stored-process unit testing automatically. It is wise to find a way to depict the interim result of each processing stage, hence that's why we discuss the object-oriented way here for their possible applications.

II. SOLUTIONS

A. Previous work

Our work on the SQL's translating into relational algebra tree can be found in [1] and we have chosen an object-oriented way to depict the translating results. We divided the translating issue into 5 separate parts according to their query type. Each query type is specified with detailed example(s).

The [3] has extended the RQP algorithm to RMP. The RMP helps us to resolve the limitation of RQP and its Evaluator can translate the 'DELETE', 'INSERT' and 'UPDATE' manipulation into 'SELECT' statement with added predicate constraint(s). Therefore, our Relational

Algebra Tree should be adjusted to Reverse Relational Algebra Tree (RRA Tree) and also relationships between classes in the SQL parser should be revised in order to run the procedure more smoothly. Note that some other literatures use the "Query Tree" term so as to depict the query order more conveniently with mathematic symbols or notations.

B. RMP Architecture

The architecture of our RMP system is shown in Fig. 1. It combines the entire architecture of the relational algebra translator with the RQP architecture supplemented with an Evaluator. The following sections will respectively explain every key stage in detail.




Figure 1. Architecture of RMP

C. RMP Evaluating Algorithm

In reference [3], the evaluation of DELETE, UPDATE and INSERT statements have been discussed and verified. In order to adjust the evaluation to the naming process, we should discuss the evaluating algorithm first. The algorithm is presented in Table I.

We need to replace the DELETE, UPDATE and INSERT keywords with customized ones which can be mat-

ched by our new SQL restricted grammar and recognized by the SQL parser. In the parsing stage, we also need to denote a new field in the *Query* class which can reflect its deviation.

TABLE I. RMP EVALUATING ALGORITHM

```

function evaluate (sql : SQLStatement) : string
var resultStr : string;
begin
  case getFirstToken(sql) of
    DELETE:
      appendToken(resultStr, DEL_SLT);
      appendToken(resultStr, ASTERISK);
      append(resultStr, sql);
    INSERT:
      appendToken(resultStr, INS_SLT);
      appendToken(resultStr, INTO);
      if isDefaultTuples(sql) is TRUE then
        appendRelaAttr(resultStr, scanTuplesFromValues(sql));
      else if isPartialTuples(sql) is TRUE then
        appendRelaAttr(resultStr, scanTuplesFromRelaList(sql));
      else
        appendRelaAttr(resultStr, scanTuplesFromRelaList(sql));
      end if
      appendToken(resultStr, VALUES);
      while hasValues(sql) do
        appendValues(resultStr, getValues(sql));
      end while
    UPDATE:
      setStatementType(sql, SELECT);
      appendToken(resultStr, UPD_SLT);
      appendString(resultStr, remove(evaluate(sql), SELECT));
      setStatementType(sql, DELETE);
      appendString(resultStr, evaluate(sql));
      setStatementType(sql, INSERT);
      appendString(resultStr, evaluate(sql));
    SELECT:
      appendString(resultStr, toString(sql));
  end case;
  return resultStr;
end evaluate

```

upper-case items denote the keywords in the SQL grammar

D. Revised SQL Grammar

Because we need to include the DELETE, UPDATE and INSERT statement for our new RMP system, the origin EBNF [6] grammar should be revised so as to recognize all the SQL manipulations. The new restricted grammars are shown in Table II.

TABLE II. REVISED SQL RESTRICTED GRAMMAR

1	query	→ gb_query ngb_query
2	ngb_query	→ unary_query binary_query LPARAN unary_query RPARAN
3	unary_query	→ simple_query exists_query complex_query
4	simple_query	→ SELECT selector FROM relation_list [WHERE simple_predicate]
5	del_simple_query	→ DEL_SLT selector FROM relation_list [WHERE simple_predicate]
6	ins_simple_query	→ INS_SLT selector FROM relation_list [WHERE simple_predicate]
7	upd_simple_query	→ UPD_SLT selector

		FROM relation_list [WHERE simple_predicate]
8	gb_query	→ unary_query GROUP BY gb_attr [HAVING hav_condition]
9	exists_query	→ SELECT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists_predicate
10	del_exists_query	→ DEL_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists_predicate
11	ins_exists_query	→ INS_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists_predicate
12	upd_exists_query	→ UPD_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE exists_predicate
13	complex_query	→ SELECT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query
14	del_complex_query	→ DEL_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query
15	ins_complex_query	→ INS_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query
16	upd_complex_query	→ UPD_SLT selector FROM relation_list WHERE left_term comp_op ngb_query
17	binary_query	→ ngb_query set_op ngb_query
18	relation_list	→ ID relation_list COMMA relation_list ε
19	gb_attr	→ attribute_spec_list
20	hav_condition	→ function_spec comp_op constant function_spec comp_op ngb_query
21	selector	→ attribute_spec_list
22	attribute_spec_list	→ attribute_spec_list COMMA attribute_spec attribute_spec
23	function_spec_list	→ function_spec_list COMMA function_spec function_spec
24	simple_predicate	→ LPARAN simple_predicate boolean simple_predicate RPARAN attribute_spec comp_op attribute_spec attribute_spec comp_op constant
25	exists_predicate	→ EXISTS ngb_query
26	left_term	→ attribute_spec constant
27	function_spec	→ ID LPARAN attribute_spec_list RPARAN
28	attribute_spec	→ ID DOT ID
29	Boolean	→ AND OR
30	set_op	→ UNION MINUS INTERSECT
31	comp_op	→ EQ NOTEQ LT LTEQ GT GTEQ
32	constant	→ NUM STRING

upper-case items denote the tokens recognized by SQL scanner

We have omitted the revised extended SQL grammar in order to save pages. But we should be aware of the new tokens generated by RMP evaluator which should be reflected to new extended grammar. Grammar <in_query>, <in_set_query>, <not_in_query>, <all_query>.

<any_query>, <not_exists_query>, <contains_query>, <does_not_contain_query>, <set_equality_query>, <set_inequality_query> and <compound_query> should be appended with duplicated items with ‘ins_’, ‘del_’ and ‘upd_’ prefix and customized first token like the item 5,6,7 in Table II.

E. Naming Transformation and Preprocessing

In reference [2], the objective of naming transformation is to eliminate SQL ambiguous syntax problem and put the input into a form that can be accepted by the extended grammar.

In the first case, the process is alternative according to different application. In RMP, it is unnecessary to distinguish different instances of the same base relation. In the second case and also the third case, the extension of attribute names and variables eliminated are required.

Next stage, the preprocessing, includes two key steps. The first step is that rewriting the asterisk with relation-attribute pairs corresponding to the closure of all its sub-query. We have no idea about how the database schema is, so relations are required to be included in the input if ASTERISK is an allowed keyword. In RQP and so as the RMP, the input SQL statement is used to compare with the database schema input at runtime. In order to prevent extra conflict judging we have omitted the ‘*’ keyword. The second step is that transforming the non-base query into Group-by Query, Binary Query, Complex Query, Simple Query and Exists Query. The entire transformation discussion can be found in [2].

F. Parsing SQL into RA Tree and Postprocessing

In order to distinguish different Query types we need to add a new field – *RMPTType* to the Query class definition. It is an enumeration type which is used for depicting its origin SQL statement type before evaluation. The Query hierarchy is shown in Fig. 2.




Figure 2. Hierarchy of SQL.

There are five base Query type generated from the postprocessing stage. The class diagrams of each type are shown in Fig. 3, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Fig.7 respectively. Except for the *RMPTType* field there is no big difference from the ones in [1]. The following chapters will discuss each Query type corresponding to its object-oriented representation of the node of Relational Algebra

Tree and the postprocessing stage related to its final output – Reverse Relational Algebra Tree.

The tree nodes in the RRA Tree should be distinguished from the RA Tree node. The Reverse Relational Algebra (RRA) is a reverse variant of the traditional relational algebra and depicted by symbol (operator) marked as op^{-1} [3].

1) Simple Query

Fig. 3 denotes the classes and their relationships in Simple Query. From the association between class *FunctionSpec* and class *Function*, we can figure out that the *function* field of *FunctionSpec* is nullable. When it is null, the instance denotes a group of attributes without any function applied, i.e., the attributes in projection item “PJ[S.A, S.B]”. On the contrary, the attributes are aggregated by a specific function, i.e., “SUM(S.A, S.B)” in projection item “PJ[SUM(S.A, S.B), S.C]”.




Figure 3. Class Diagram for Simple Query.

Especially, the fields in class *SimplePredicate* are of union type. According to the grammar <simple_predicate>, we recognize that the combination of its fields can be {*simple_predicate*, *boolean*, *simple_predicate*}, {*attribute_spec*, *comp_op*, *attribute_spec*} and {*attribute_spec*, *comp_op*, *constant*}. Therefore, there are two possible kinds of attribute for field *left* in class *SimplePredicate*, three possible kinds of attribute for the field *right* and two possibilities for the field *infix*.

There are two possible scenarios in the translation. The first one is that the *simple_predicate* item is empty and there is no other “external” relation. Another one is that *simple_predicate* occurs, which involves further calculation of “external” relations in order to incorporate them in the Cartesian product.

In the first case, we assume that the input string generated through the first three stages in *SQLTranslation* is:
 SELECT F(R.A), S.B, T.C FROM R, S, T (1)

The SQL was translated into RRA Tree whose structure is shown in Fig. 4.

Just like the Cartesian product, the θ -join is a binary operator. It connects two relations with specific predicate. As a matter of fact, until being optimized the θ -join node would never contain any predicate because it originally represents the Cartesian product between two expressions. After obtaining the Cartesian product of these three relations, the aggregation node and then the projection node are constructed upon this binary tree. The top-down sequence of these nodes is consistent with that of the SQL translation algorithm [1].




Figure 4. RRA Tree for Simple Query, Case 1.




Figure 5. RRA Tree for Simple Query, Case 2.

In the second case, we need to assume that some relations in this query have appeared in upper level. So, we can embed this simple query into another kind of query:

SELECT S.A, S.B FROM S WHERE EXISTS (2)
 SELECT R.C, F(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.B = S.A.

Fig 5 is its corresponding relational algebra tree. Attribute 'S.A' and attribute 'S.B' are the "external" attributes extracted from the upper level *Exists Query*. They group the tuples of Cartesian product of 'S' with 'Q' by different values of the tuples of S and the results are manipulated by the aggregate function 'F'.

2) *Group-by Query*

Fig. 6 is the class diagram of the group-by query. We use the class *HavCondition* to represent the predicate of *Group-by Query*. There are two possible kinds of combinations of its fields. They are {function_spec, comp_op, constant} and {function_spec, comp_op, ngb_query}. Because the first two fields of them are the same, we just need a union type to represent the third field of class *HavCondition*.

If the third field is a non-*Group-by-Query*, it means that we have to deal with an unknown nesting query. Because the class *NgbQuery* is an abstract class, we can utilize the polymorphism of object-oriented language for solving the nesting query problem.

We should notice that four cases of *Group-by Query* should be distinguished. The first one is that the GROUP-BY clause has no effect. The second one is that there is no HAVING clause but the aggregate function should be evaluated. The third one and the fourth one are distinguished by the condition whether the HAVING clause has a nesting query or not. Except the first case, the unary query in group-by query should be changed into a form that its projection should incorporate all the attributes of its relations list order to correctly evaluate the functions.

The first case is simple and when we input the following query we get the relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 7.

SELECT R.A FROM R WHERE R.B > 7 (3)
 AND R.C = 'Tom James' GROUP BY R.C




Figure 6. Class Diagram for Group-by Query.




Figure 7. RRA Tree for Group-by Query, Case 1.




Figure 8. RRA Tree for Group-by Query, Case 2.

In the second case, projection items of the *unary_query* field in the group-by query should be rewritten by incorporating all the attributes of the *relations_list* and we have used a table to record the relation-attribute pairs

occurred in the query while constructing the syntax tree. For instance:

SELECT F(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.C = 7 GROUP BY R.B (4)

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 8.

In the third case, we need to evaluate the aggregate function in the HAVING clause and incorporate them with that of the term *unary_query*. For instance,

SELECT F1(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.C = 7 GROUP BY R.B HAVING F2(R.C) > 2 (5)

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 9. Function *F1* and *F2* apply to the tuples grouped by attribute *R.B*.

In the fourth case, we need to evaluate the nesting query in the HAVING clause. We embedded a simple query into the group-by query as the following example:

SELECT F1(R.A) FROM R WHERE R.C = 7 GROUP BY R.B HAVING F2(R.C) > SELECT S.C FROM S. (6)




Figure 9. RRA Tree for Group-by Query, Case 3.




Figure 10. RRA Tree for Group-by Query, Case 4.

Its relational algebra tree is shown in Fig. 10. Two sub-queries are linked by a semi-join with a predicate, '*F2(R.C) > S.C*', extracted from the HAVING clause. In addition, this semi-join can be transformed into a θ -join following with a projection on its left term.

3) *Exists Query*

The class diagram that describes the *Exists Query* is shown in Fig. 11. The key task is to interpret the term *ngb_query*.




Figure 11. Class Diagram for Exists Query.

Exists Query should be discussed in two cases. The first case is that there is no connection between the field *ngb_query* and the field *relation_list* in the class *ExistsQuery*. Whether there is common relation or not is calculated by method *connect* [2] and the "external" relations are obtained by method *other* [2]. For instance,

SELECT R.A FROM R WHERE EXISTS SELECT S.A FROM S WHERE S.B > 7 (7)

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 12. In order to keep the integrity of the relational algebra tree we retain the aggregation node which has no effect and this will be eliminated in the postprocessing.

The second case is that these two fields are related. From the example below, the relation set calculated by method *connect* is {*R*} and the attribute set obtained from method *other* is empty.

SELECT R.A FROM R WHERE EXISTS SELECT S.A FROM S WHERE S.B = R.A (8)

So, the term *ngb_query* has already dealt with all the relations involved in this query and there is no "external" relation. We can perceive this effect through Fig. 13.




Figure 12. RRA Tree for Exists Query, Case 1.




Figure 13. RRA Tree for Exists Query, Case 2.

4) *Complex Query*




Figure 14. Class Diagram for Complex Query.




Figure 15. RRA Tree for Complex Query.

The class diagram is shown in Fig. 14. The complex-query contains a comparison between a *left_term* and a nesting non-Group-by-Query. Being somewhat alike the *Exists Query*, *Complex Query*, it uses the *connect* [2] method to calculate the common relations and the *other*

[2] method to obtain the “external” attributes list and then translate the comparison into a selection operation. We use the following example to reflect this effect:

SELECT S.A FROM S WHERE S.C = (9)
SELECT R.C FROM R WHERE R.B = S.B.

Fig. 15 is the translation result and from this we can recognize that relation ‘S’ is the connecting relation. The sub-query has involved all the relations in this query and the upper query just need to apply the selection ‘S.C = R.C’ on that expression.

5) Binary Query

A Binary Query should be translated into two sub-queries linked by a binary operator (INTERSECT, UNION, or DIFFERENCE) and its descriptive class diagram is shown in Fig. 16. The Binary Query translation requires the sub-query to be associated with “external” attributes calculated by method *other* [2] respectively in order to become useful for upper level queries. For example,




Figure 16. Class Diagram for Binary Query.




Figure 17. RRA Tree for Binary Query.

SELECT R.A FROM R WHERE EXISTS (10)
(SELECT S.B FROM S INTERSECT
SELECT T.B FROM T WHERE T.C = R.C)

is translated into a relational algebra tree shown in Fig. 17. The “external” attribute set of sub-query ‘SELECT S.B FROM S’ is empty and the “external” attribute set of sub-query ‘SELECT T.B FROM T WHERE T.C = R.C’ is {R.A, R.C}. From the “external” attributes sets, we notice that the first sub-query lacks of relation ‘R’ which is required in order to perform the intersection with the second sub-query. Hence an additional Cartesian product of the first sub-query with ‘R’ is required.

6) Postprocessing

Except for the post-processing in [2], here we need to eliminate the tree nodes which have no effect on the expression, such as aggregation node missing the aggregate attribute, θ -join node linking only one expression without predicate or selection node missing predicate.

In RQP, the intersection operation is not allowed. So, we need find another way to transform the intersection node to equivalent mutation. Because operation ‘ $A \cap B$ ’ is equal to ‘ $A - (A - B)$ ’, Difference Operator can substitute the intersection operation in RQP algorithm. Therefore, the RRA Tree in Fig. 17 can be transformed into

the style in Fig. 18. Some redundant nodes are removed but the format of the connecting line in previous diagram is retained so as to reflect its change more clearly.




Figure 18. RRA Tree in Fig. 17 after Reducing the Difference Operation

The semi-join node also needs to be transformed to a θ -join format. The semi-join can be expressed by a θ -join followed with projection onto the left term:

$$A \triangleright B = \pi_A(A \bowtie B) \tag{11}$$

The transformation result of the RRA Tree in Fig. 10 (Group-by Query, case 4) is shown in Fig. 19.




Figure 19. RRA Tree in Fig. 17 after Reducing the Semi-join.




Figure 20. RRA Tree in Fig. 17 after Reducing the Difference Operation

At last, and perhaps the most complicated transformation, we need to detect the comparison in selection node. If the predicate is a comparison between the attribute in left term (left child of the node) and the one in right term

(right child), then the predicate in the selection node should be added to the θ -join. This is for optimizing. The RRA Tree can be simplified and we should “remove” the θ -join without predicate for it is a common situation as we can see in the example through the five type of *Query*. We can look back to the example in Fig. 18. The sub-tree of the Difference Operation node ‘ $-$ ’ which begins with a ‘ π^{-1} ’ node can be transformed to a new style shown in Fig. 21.




Figure 21. RRA Tree in Fig. 18 after Reducing the Empty θ -join.

G. Annotation and Traversal

Every node in the RRA Tree should have a reference of the *Query* parsed by the *SQLParser* for obtaining the attributes in the syntax tree structure. The node also has its own instance of RQP processing data structure for the bottom-up annotation and later processing. In the annotation stage, the Annotator (see the *QueryAnnotator* module in Fig. 1) will process each operator in RRA Tree generating input schema computed and extracted from the given output schema(s). Each operator should check the correctness of the input and ensure that it has generated valid output data. The detailed algorithm and computation can be found in Chapter 5 of [5].

In order to illustrate a comprehensive annotation for a specific RRA Tree, we have cited the database schemas ‘Line-item’ and ‘Orders’ (which could also be found in [5] as an illustrative example) as the input parameters. They can be expressed by the following DDL forms.

```
CREATE TABLE Lineitem (
    lid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
    name VARCHAR(20),
    price FLOAT,
    discount FLOAT
    CHECK (1 >= discount >= 0),
    l_oid INTEGER);
```

```
CREATE TABLE Orders(
    oid INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
    orderdate DATE);
```

Also, a *Group-by Query* is employed to help establish a RRA tree. The translation of the following query is like that one of (5) whose RRA tree structure can help us to understand the relationship of each operator:

```
SELECT SUM(price)
FROM Lineitem, Orders
```

```
WHERE l_oid = oid
GROUP BY orderdate
HAVING AVG(price) <= 100;
```




Figure 22. Annotation of a Join-Operator

The RRA Tree should be traversed in a post-order. Firstly, the two leaf nodes in the RRA Tree is annotated with (12) and (13) setting the operation type to *LEAF*. Their *input-Schema* fields are regarded as the *output-Schema* of their upper node – Join Operation. Then a series of computation of constraints and dependencies should be carried out (interested reader can refer to Section 5.1 in [5]) and the new *inputSchema* can be passed onto its father node. In this way, the annotation continues until it reaches the root node. Fig. 21 has shown us a snap of the annotating process of the Join Operator with inputs of *Lineitem* and *Orders*. Their DDL schemas have been translated into objects instantiated through syntax recognition. Objects are represented with rectangles in Fig. 21. Those highlighted with bold and wider lines are our RRA Tree nodes.

The ensuing processing stages of RQP/RMP are straightforward: reversal in-order traversal of the RRA Tree applying the top-down data initiation algorithm (with model checking when needed). The entire descriptive algorithm can be found in [5]. It is not necessary for us to illustrate this process again here. Similar object representation method can be derived from our annotation illustration (see Fig. 22). Note that each stage, either the

annotation or data initiation, should focus on the SQL parsing outcome for our RRA Tree representation discussed in Section F has been used as a frame of reference.

The Optimizer functions after the Annotator. From RRA Tree perspective, it adjusts the structure pursuing lower cost. In some way this is like the postprocessing in parsing SQL. Since existing optimizing description [5] and our former transmutation have been discussed, it is redundant to present this issue again.

The RQP/RMP system ends up outputting the final database instance with specific form depending on what the experimenter wants to get at. The generated database instances have been stored in MySQL 5.0 database management system in our experiment.

III. FUTURE WORK

The RQP algorithm can be applied to database generation, database app-testing, program verification, view update, and etc. In the meanwhile, when we generate testing data for stored-process, RQP algorithm do have limitation. Because it cannot deal with other SQL statements except for the SELECT. The RMP algorithm can solve that problem nicely. The next step we need to do is to apply the RMP algorithm to generate testing data for stored-process in database and SQL statement embed in programs. The database instance generated when the SQL statement run in error will be taken into consideration further.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have stated the entire procedure of RQP/RMP and displayed an intuitive object-oriented model. The solutions presented in Chapter II have almost covered all the processing scenarios in the RQP/RMP algorithm. The probable application perspectives have also been stated for both us and other further researches.

REFERENCES

- [1] XU Silao, HONG Mei, *Translating SQL Into Relational Algebra Tree - Using Object-Oriented Thinking to Obtain Expression Of Relational Algebra*, in Proc. of IEEE International Symposium on System Modeling, Simulation and Engineering Mathematics (SMSEM), Wuhan City, Hu-bei, China, 22-24 April 2011.
- [2] Stefano Ceri, Georg Gottlob, *Translating SQL Into Relational Algebra: Optimization, Semantics, and Equivalence of SQL Queries*, Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions, vol. SE-11, issue 4, pp. 324 – 345, April 1985.
- [3] FENG Liyun, HONG Mei, YANG Qihui, ZHOU Hongyu, ZANG Kang, *Data generation method of database system test based on reverse query process*, in Journal of Computer Application, 2011 Vol. 31 (04): pp. 948 – 951, ISSN: 1001-9081.
- [4] Carsten Binnig, Donald Kossmann, Eric Lo, *Reverse Query Processing*, icde, pp.506-515, 2007 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Data Engineering, 2007.
- [5] C. Binnig, D. Kossmann, and E. Lo. *Reverse Query Processing*. Technical report, ETH Zurich, <http://www.dbis.ethz.ch/research/publications/rqp.pdf>, 2006.
- [6] Agrawal, R., *Alpha: an extension of relational algebra to express a class of recursive queries*, Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions, vol. 14, issue 7, pp. 879 – 885, July 1988.
- [7] John R. Levine, Tony Mason, Doug Brown, *Lex & Yacc*, O'Reilly & Associates, 1992.
- [8] Thomas Connolly and Carolyn Begg, *Database Systemes: A Practical Approach to Design, Implementation, and Management*, 4th ed., Pearson Education, 2005.
- [9] S. C. Johnson, *YACC: Yet another compiler compiler*, Bell Lab., Murray Hill, NJ, Comput. Sci. Tech. Rep. 32, 1975.
- [10] Kenneth C. Loudon, *Compiler Construction: Principles and Practice*, PWS Publishing Company, 1997.
- [11] N. Bruno, S. Chaudhuri. *Flexible database generators[C]*, in Proc. of Very Large Database, Trondheim, Norway, ACM.2005:1097-1107.



XU Silao, born in Zhongshan, Guangdong Province P. R. China in 1988, is a bachelor student of College of Software Engineering in Sichuan University (SCU), Sichuan Province P. R. China and now is one of the senior student served for Laboratory of Software Automatic Testing, College of Computer Science and Software Engineering, SCU doing researches on Database Automatic Testing and GUI Automatic Testing.



WANG Song, born in Bozhou, Anhui Province P. R. China in 1988, is a bachelor student of College of Software Engineering in Sichuan University (SCU), Sichuan Province P. R. China and now is one of the members of Laboratory of Software Automatic Testing, College of Computer Science and Software Engineering, SCU. His research interest includes Distributed System Testing and Database Automatic Testing.



HONG Mei, born in Zhoushan, Zhejiang Province P. R. China in 1963, earned her bachelor degree of Computer Engineering in Chongqing University in 1984 and her master degree of Computer Science in Sichuan University (SCU) in 1998. She is now a professor of Computer Science and the associate dean of College of Computer Science and Software Engineering, SCU. Her current major research area includes Software Engineering and Software Automatic Testing. She had once served as a visiting scholar at the Department of Computer Science in the University of Maryland, College Park in 2007 engaging in Software Quality Assurance and Software Testing.