

Article



Applications of Neutrosophic *q*-Poisson distribution Series for Subclass of Analytic Functions and Bi-Univalent Functions

Abdullah Alsoboh ^{1,*}, Ala Amourah ^{2,*}, Maslina Darus ³, and Rami Issa Al Sharefeen ⁴

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Al-Leith University College, Umm Al-Qura University, Mecca 24382, Saudi Arabia
- ² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Irbid National University, Irbid 21110, Jordan
- ³ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia
- ⁴ Faculty of Resilience, Rabdan Academy, Abu Dhabi 22401, United Arab Emirates
- * Correspondence: amsoboh@uqu.edu.sa (A.A.); dr.alm@inu.edu.jo (A.A.)

Abstract: By using the generalization of the neutrosophic *q*-Poisson distribution series, we introduce a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk. We then apply the *q*-Gegenbauer polynomials to investigate the estimates for the Taylor coefficients and Fekete–Szegö type inequalities of the functions belonging to this new subclass. In addition, we consider several corollaries and the consequences of the results presented in this paper. The neutrosophic *q*-Poisson distribution is expected to be significant in a number of areas of mathematics, science, and technology.

Keywords: neutrosophic *q*-Poisson distribution; *q*-gegenbauer polynomials; bi-univalent functions; analytic functions; Fekete–Szegö problem; *q*-calculus

MSC: 30C45; 30C50



Citation: Alsoboh, A.; Amourah, A.; Darus, M.; Sharefeen, R.I.A. Applications of Neutrosophic *q*-Poisson distribution Series for Subclass of Analytic Functions and Bi-Univalent Functions. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 868. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/math11040868

Academic Editor: Giancarlo Consolo

Received: 29 December 2022 Revised: 16 January 2023 Accepted: 19 January 2023 Published: 8 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The distributions of random variables have attracted a lot of interest recently. In probability theory and statistics, their probability density functions have been crucial. As a result, distributions have been extensively studied. Many other types of distributions, including the binomial distribution, the Poisson distribution, and the hypergeometric distribution, are taken into account from real-world scenarios.

The probability density function of a Poisson distribution for a discrete random variable x is as follows [1]:

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-j}}{x!}j^x, x = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 $(j > 0),$

where *j* is the parameter of the distribution.

Legendre [2] first made the discovery of orthogonal polynomials in 1784. Under specific model restrictions, orthogonal polynomials are frequently employed to solve ordinary differential equations. Furthermore, a crucial function in the approximation theory is performed by the orthogonal polynomials [3].

Let P_m and P_n are two polynomials of order *m* and *n*, respectively. Then, P_m and P_n are orthogonal polynomial over the interval [a, b] if

$$P_n, P_m \rangle = \int_a^b P_n(x) P_m(x) s(x) dx = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad m \neq n,$$

where s(x) is a non-negative function in the interval (a, b); therefore, all finite order polynomials $P_n(x)$ have a well-defined integral (for more details see [2,3]).

Gegenbauer polynomials are a specific type of orthogonal polynomials. As found in [4], when traditional algebraic formulations are used, the generating function of Gegenbauer polynomials and the integral representation of typically real functions T_R are related to each other in a symbolic way T_R . This undoubtedly caused a number of helpful inequalities from the world of Gegenbauer polynomials to emerge.

q-Orthogonal polynomials are now of particular relevance in both physics and mathematics due to the development of quantum groups. The *q*-deformed A harmonic oscillator, for instance, has a group-theoretic setting for the *q*-Laguerre and *q*-Hermite polynomials. Jackson's *q*-exponential plays a crucial part in the mathematical framework required to characterize the properties of these *q*-polynomials, such as the recurrence relations, generating functions, and orthogonality relations. Jackson's *q*-exponential has recently been expressed by Quesne [5] as a closed form multiplicative series of regular exponentials with known coefficients. In this case, it is crucial to look into how this discovery might affect the theory of *q*-orthogonal polynomials. An effort in such regard is made in the current work. To get novel nonlinear connection equations for *q*-Gegenbauer in terms of their respective classical equivalents, we use the aforementioned result in particular. The orthogonal polynomials are the theoretic basis for solving the simple governing PDE of pressure distributions in fractured media see ([6,7]).

This study analyzes various features of the class under consideration after associating some bi-univalent functions with *q*-Gegenbauer polynomials. The following part lays the foundation for mathematical notations and definitions.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathfrak{A} denote the class of all analytic functions f defined in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1\}$ and normalized by the conditions f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1. Thus, each $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ has a Taylor–Maclaurin series expansion of the form

$$f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{U}).$$
⁽¹⁾

Further, let S denote the class of all functions $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ which are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

Let the functions f and g be analytic in \mathbb{U} . We say that the function f is subordinate to g, written as $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function w, which is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$w(0) = 0$$
 and $|w(\xi)| < 1$ $(\xi \in \mathbb{U})$,

such that

$$f(\xi) = g(w(\xi)).$$

In addition, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the following equivalence holds

$$f(\xi) \prec g(\xi)$$
 if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$,

and

$$f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$$

It is well known that every function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(\xi)) = \xi$$
 $(\xi \in \mathbb{U}),$

and

$$f^{-1}(f(w)) = w$$
 $\left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4} \right),$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots$$
 (2)

A function is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both $f(\xi)$ and $f^{-1}(\xi)$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} given by (1). Example of functions in the class Σ are

$$\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{1-\tilde{\xi}}$$
, $\log\sqrt{\frac{1+\tilde{\xi}}{1-\tilde{\xi}}}$.

In 2021, Mustafa and Nezir [8] introduced certain subclasses of analytic and univalent functions in \mathbb{U} . In addition, applications of a *q*-Poisson distribution series on the analytic functions.

A discrete random variable ϑ is said to have *q*–Poisson distribution if it takes the values 0, 1, 2, 3, . . . with the probabilities

$$e_q^{-\mu}, \frac{\mu e_q^{-\mu}}{[1]_q!}, \frac{\mu^2 e_q^{-\mu}}{[2]_q!}, \frac{\mu^3 e_q^{-\mu}}{[3]_q!}, \frac{\mu^4 e_q^{-\mu}}{[4]_q!}, \dots$$

respectively, where μ is called the parameter and

$$e_q^{\vartheta} = 1 + \frac{\vartheta}{[1]_q!} + \frac{\vartheta^2}{[2]_q!} + \frac{\vartheta^3}{[3]_q!} + \dots + \frac{\vartheta^m}{[m]_q!} + \dots = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\vartheta^m}{[m]_q!}$$

is the *q*-analogue of the exponential function e^{ϑ} and

$$[m]_q! = [m]_q \cdot [m-1]_q \cdots [2]_q [1]_q$$

is the *q*-analogue of the factorial function $m! = m.(m-1)\cdots 3.2.1$, (see [9,10]).

Thus, for *q*–Poisson distribution, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_q(\vartheta=m)=\frac{\mu^m}{[m]_q!}e_q^{-\mu}, \quad m=0,1,2,\cdots.$$

Meanwhile, *q*–Poisson distribution series is defined as

$$\xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{m-1} e_q^{-\mu}}{[m-1]_q!} \xi^m, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{U}.$$
(3)

We note that, by using ratio test we conclude that the radius of convergence of the above power series is infinity.

Recently, precisely in 1995, Smarandache introduced the concept of neutrosophic theory. It is a new branch of philosophy as a generalization for the fuzzy logic, also as a generalization of the intrinstic fuzzy logic (see [11]). Neutrosophic *q*–Poisson distribution of a discrete variable ϑ is a classical *q*–Poisson distribution of ϑ , but its parameter is imprecise, *m* can be set with two or more elements. The most common of such distribution is when *m* is interval. Let

$$\mathcal{NP}_q(\vartheta = k) = \frac{(m_\mathcal{N})^k}{[k]_q!} e_q^{-m_\mathcal{N}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

where m_N is the distribution parameter and is equal to the expected value and the variance, that is,

$$\mathcal{N}E(\vartheta) = \mathcal{N}V(\vartheta) = m_{\mathcal{N}},$$

and $\mathcal{N} = d + I$ is a neutrosophic statistical number (see [11]) and the references therein. Now, we modify (3) as follows

$$\mathfrak{M}_{q}(m_{\mathcal{N}},\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{n-1} e_{q}^{-m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{[n-1]_{q}!} \xi^{n}, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{U}.$$
(4)

Now, we consider the linear operator $\mathfrak{B}_{m_N}(\xi) : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ defined by the convolution or Hadamard product

$$\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi) = \mathfrak{M}_q(m_{\mathcal{N}},\xi) * f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{n-1} e_q^{-m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{[n-1]_q!} a_n \xi^n, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{U},$$
(5)

In 1983, Askey and Ismail [12] found a class of polynomials which can be interpreted as *q*-analogues of the Gegenbauer polynomials. These are essentially, the polynomials

$$\mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{n}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)\,\xi^{n},\tag{6}$$

the initial values of $C_n^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa; q)$ was found by Chakrabarti et al. [13] in 2006, given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{0}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) = 1, \qquad \mathcal{C}_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) = [\aleph]_{q}C_{1}^{1}(\varkappa) = 2[\aleph]_{q}\varkappa, \qquad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{2}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) = [\aleph]_{q^{2}}C_{2}^{1}(\varkappa) - \frac{1}{2}\left([\aleph]_{q^{2}} - [\aleph]_{q}^{2}\right)C_{1}^{2}(\varkappa) = 2\left([\aleph]_{q^{2}} + [\aleph]_{q}^{2}\right)\varkappa^{2} - [\aleph]_{q^{2}}.$$

where $\aleph \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, q \in (0, 1) \text{ and } C_n^{\alpha}(\varkappa) \text{ is the classical Gegenbauer polynomial of degree } n$ (for more details, see [14]).

In 2021, Amourah et al. [14,15] considered the classical Gegenbauer polynomials $\mathfrak{G}^{(\lambda)}(x,\xi)$ where $\xi \in \mathbb{U}$ and $x \in [-1,1]$. For fixed x the function $\mathfrak{G}^{(\lambda)}$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} , so it can be expanded in a Taylor series as

$$\mathfrak{G}^{(\alpha)}(\varkappa,\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{\alpha}(\varkappa)\xi^n,$$

where $C_n^{\alpha}(\varkappa)$ is the classical Gegenbauer polynomial of degree *n* (see, [16]).

In 2022, Amourah et al. [16] three subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions are introduced through the use of *q*-Gegenbauer polynomials. For functions falling within these subclasses, coefficient bounds $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ as well as Fekete–Szegö inequalities are derived.

Recently, several authors have begun examining bi-univalent functions connected to orthogonal polynomials, of which a few are worth mentioning ([17–29]). This study can be applied to risk and financial management, and there are several recently published studies ([30,31]).

As far as we are aware, there is no published work on bi-univalent functions for the neutrophilic *q*-Poisson distribution series subordinate *q*-Gegenbauer polynomials. The major objective of this work is to start an investigation of the characteristics of bi-univalent functions related to *q*-Gegenbauer polynomials. In order to accomplish so, we consider the definitions below.

3. The Class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$

In this section, we introduce a new subclass of Σ involving the new constructed series (4) and *q*-analogues of the Gegenbauer polynomials.

Definition 1. For $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and 0 < q < 1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$ if the following subordination is satisfied:

$$(1-\delta)\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi)}{\xi} + \delta\partial_{q}\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi) \prec \mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi), \tag{8}$$

$$(1-\delta)\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}g(\omega)}{\omega} + \delta\partial_{q}\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}g(\omega) \prec \mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\omega), \tag{9}$$

where $\aleph > 0$, $\delta \ge 0$ and *m* is a nonzero real constant, and the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1).

Special cases:

(i) Let $\delta = 1$, $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and 0 < q < 1, A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(1,\mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi))$ if the following subordination is satisfied:

$$\partial_q \mathfrak{B}_{m_N} f(\xi) \prec \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi),$$

and

$$\partial_q \mathfrak{B}_{m_N} g(\omega) \prec \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \omega),$$

where $\aleph > 0$.

(ii) Let $\delta = 0, x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and 0 < q < 1, A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(0,\mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi))$ if the following subordination is satisfied:

$$\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi)}{\xi}\prec\mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}g(\omega)}{\omega}\prec\mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\omega),$$

where $\aleph > 0$.

4. Coefficient Bounds of the Subclass $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$

First, we give the coefficient estimates for the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$ given in Definition 1.

Theorem 1. Let given by (1) belong to the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2[\aleph]_q \varkappa e_q^{m_N} \sqrt{2[2]_q[\aleph]_q \varkappa}}{m_N \sqrt{\left(4[\aleph]_q^2 e_q^{m_N} (1+q(1+q)\delta) - 2[2]_q (1+q\delta)^2 ([\aleph]_{q^2} + [\aleph]_q^2)\right) \varkappa^2} + [2]_q (1+q\delta)^2 [\aleph]_{q^2}}$$

and

$$a_{3} \Big| \leq \frac{4[\aleph]_{q}^{2} \varkappa^{2} (e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}})^{2}}{\left((1+q\delta)m_{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{2}} + \frac{2[2]_{q}[\aleph]_{q} \varkappa e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{(1+([3]_{q}-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$. From Definition 1, for some analytical ω, v such that $\omega(0) = v(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, $|v(\omega)| < 1$ for all $z, \omega \in \mathbb{U}$, then we can write

$$(1-\delta)\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi)}{\xi} + \delta\partial_{q}\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi) = \mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi), \tag{10}$$

$$(1-\delta)\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}g(\omega)}{\omega} + \delta\partial_{q}\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}g(\omega) = \mathfrak{G}_{q}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\omega), \tag{11}$$

From the equalities (10) and (11), we obtain that

$$(1-\delta)\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi)}{\xi} + \delta\partial_{q}\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}f(\xi) = 1 + C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)c_{1}z + \left[C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)c_{2} + C_{2}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)c_{1}^{2}\right]z^{2} + \cdots$$
(12)
and

$$(1-\delta)\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}g(\omega)}{\omega} + \delta\partial_{q}\mathfrak{B}_{m_{\mathcal{N}}}g(\omega) = 1 + C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)d_{1}w + \left[C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)d_{2} + C_{2}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)d_{1}^{2}\right])w^{2} + \cdots$$
(13)
It is fairly well known that if

It is fairly well known that if

$$|w(\xi)| = \left|c_1\xi + c_2\xi^2 + c_3\xi^3 + \cdots\right| < 1, \ (\xi \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$|v(w)| = |d_1w + d_2w^2 + d_3w^3 + \dots| < 1, \ (w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|c_j| \le 1 \text{ and } |d_j| \le 1 \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(14)

Thus, upon comparing the corresponding coefficients in (12) and (13), we have

$$\frac{(1+([2]_q-1)\delta)m_{\mathcal{N}}}{e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}a_2 = C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)c_1,$$
(15)

$$\frac{(1+([3]_q-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^2}{[2]_q!e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}a_3 = C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)c_2 + C_2^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)c_1^2,$$
(16)

$$-\frac{(1+([2]_q-1)\delta)m_{\mathcal{N}}}{e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}a_2 = C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)d_1,$$
(17)

and

$$\frac{(1+([3]_q-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^2}{[2]_q!e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}\Big(2a_2^2-a_3\Big)=C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)d_2+C_2^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)d_1^2.$$
(18)

It follows from (15) and (17) that

$$c_1 = -d_1 \tag{19}$$

and

$$2\left(\frac{(1+([2]_q-1)\delta)m_{\mathcal{N}}}{e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}\right)^2 a_2^2 = \left[C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)\right]^2 \left(c_1^2 + d_1^2\right).$$
(20)

If we add (16) and (18), we get

$$\frac{2(1+([3]_q-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^2}{[2]_q!e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}a_2^2 = C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)(c_2+d_2) + C_2^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)(c_1^2+d_1^2)$$
(21)

Substituting the value of $(c_1^2 + d_1^2)$ from (20) in the right hand side of (21), we deduce that

$$\left[\frac{(1+([3]_q-1)\delta)}{[2]_q!} - \frac{(1+([2]_q-1)\delta)^2}{e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}} \frac{C_2^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)}{\left[C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)\right]^2}\right] \frac{2(m_{\mathcal{N}})^2}{e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}} a_2^2 = C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)(c_2+d_2)$$
(22)

Moreover, using (7) and (22), we find that

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2[\aleph]_q \varkappa e_q^{m_N} \sqrt{2[2]_q[\aleph]_q \varkappa}}{m_N \sqrt{\left(4[\aleph]_q^2 e_q^{m_N} (1+q(1+q)\delta) - 2[2]_q (1+q\delta)^2 ([\aleph]_{q^2} + [\aleph]_q^2)\right) \varkappa^2 + [2]_q (1+q\delta)^2 [\aleph]_{q^2}}}.$$

_

Moreover, if we subtract (18) from (16), we obtain

$$\frac{2(1+([3]_q-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^2}{[2]_q!e_q^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}\Big(a_3-a_2^2\Big) = C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)(c_2-d_2) + C_2^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)(c_1^2-d_1^2).$$
(23)

Then, in view of (20), (23) becomes

$$a_{3} = \frac{\left(e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}\right)^{2} \left[C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)\right]^{2}}{2\left((1+q\delta)m_{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{2}} \left(c_{1}^{2}+d_{1}^{2}\right) + \frac{[2]_{q}e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)}{2(1+([3]_{q}-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}(c_{2}-d_{2}).$$

Thus, applying (7) and (14), we conclude that

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{4[\aleph]_{q}^{2} \varkappa^{2} (e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}})^{2}}{\left((1+q\delta)m_{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{2}} + \frac{2[2]_{q}[\aleph]_{q} \varkappa e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{(1+([3]_{q}-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \Box

Motivated by the result of Zaprawa [32], we discuss the following Fekete–Szegö inequality for functions in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$.

Theorem 2. Let given by (1) belong to the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$, then

$$\begin{split} \left| a_{3} - \sigma a_{2}^{2} \right| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{2[2]_{q}e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} \left| [\aleph]_{q} \right|_{\varkappa}}{(1 + ([3]_{q} - 1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}, & |\sigma - 1| \leq \varpi(\varkappa) \\ \frac{8 \left| [\aleph]_{q} \right|^{3} \varkappa^{3} [2]_{q} \left(e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} \right)^{2} |1 - \sigma|}{\left| \left(4 [\aleph]_{q}^{2} (1 + ([3]_{q} - 1)\delta)e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} - 2[2]_{q} (1 + q\delta)^{2} \left([\aleph]_{q^{2}} + [\aleph]_{q}^{2} \right) \right) \varkappa^{2}}, & |\sigma - 1| \geq \varpi(\varkappa) \\ + [2]_{q} (1 + q\delta)^{2} [\aleph]_{q^{2}} \end{vmatrix}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\varpi}(\boldsymbol{\varkappa}) = \left| 1 - \frac{[2]_q (1+q\delta)^2 \left(2\left([\aleph]_{q^2} + [\aleph]_q^2 \right) \boldsymbol{\varkappa}^2 - [\aleph]_{q^2} \right)}{(1 + ([3]_q - 1)\delta) e_q^{m_N} 4[\aleph]_q^2 \boldsymbol{\varkappa}^2} \right|$$

Proof. From (22) and (23)

$$\begin{split} a_{3} - \sigma a_{2}^{2} &= \frac{[2]_{q} e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)}{2(1 + ([3]_{q} - 1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}} (c_{2} - d_{2}) \\ &+ \frac{(1 - \sigma)[2]_{q} (e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}})^{2} \Big[C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big]^{3}}{2(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2} \Big[(1 + ([3]_{q} - 1)\delta) e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} \Big[C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big]^{2} + [2]_{q} (1 + q\delta)^{2} C_{2}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big]} (c_{2} + d_{2}) \\ &= C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big[\mathfrak{h}(\sigma) + \frac{[2]_{q} e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{2(1 + ([3]_{q} - 1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}} \Big] c_{2} \\ &+ C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big[\mathfrak{h}(\sigma) - \frac{[2]_{q} e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{2(1 + ([3]_{q} - 1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}} \Big] d_{2} \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{h}(\sigma) = \frac{(1-\sigma)[2]_q (e_q^{m_N})^2 \Big[C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big]^2}{2(m_N)^2 \Big[(1+([3]_q-1)\delta) e_q^{m_N} \Big[C_1^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big]^2 - [2]_q (1+q\delta)^2 C_2^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q) \Big]}.$$

Then, in view of (7), we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \left|a_{3}-\sigma a_{2}^{2}\right| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{\left[2\right]_{q}e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}\left|C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)\right|}{(1+(\left[3\right]_{q}-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}, & \left|\mathfrak{h}(\sigma)\right| &\leq \frac{\left[2\right]_{q}e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{2(1+(\left[3\right]_{q}-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}, \\ &\\ 2\left|C_{1}^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa;q)\right|\left|\mathfrak{h}(\sigma)\right|, & \left|\mathfrak{h}(\sigma)\right| &\geq \frac{\left[2\right]_{q}e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{2(1+(\left[3\right]_{q}-1)\delta)(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}. \end{cases}$$

5. Corollaries and Consequences

Each new corollary and implication that follows is derived from our key findings in this section.

Corollary 1. Let given by (1) belong to the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(1,\mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi))$, then

$$\begin{split} |a_{2}| &\leq \frac{2[\aleph]_{q} \varkappa e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} \sqrt{2[2]_{q}[\aleph]_{q} \varkappa}}{m_{\mathcal{N}} \sqrt{\left(4[\aleph]_{q}^{2} e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} (1+q(1+q)\delta) - 2[2]_{q} (1+q)^{2} ([\aleph]_{q^{2}} + [\aleph]_{q}^{2})\right) \varkappa^{2} + [2]_{q} (1+q)^{2} [\aleph]_{q^{2}}}, \\ |a_{3}| &\leq \frac{4[\aleph]_{q}^{2} \varkappa^{2} (e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}})^{2}}{((1+q)m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}} + \frac{2[2]_{q} [\aleph]_{q} \varkappa e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}}}{(1+([3]_{q}-1))(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}, \\ and \\ |a_{3} - \tau a_{2}^{2}| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{2[2]_{q} e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} [[\aleph]_{q}] \varkappa}{(1+([3]_{q}-1))(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}, \\ \frac{8[|\aleph]_{q}|^{3} \varkappa^{3} [2]_{q} (e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}})^{2} (1-\tau)}{(1+([3]_{q}-1))(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}, \\ \frac{|\tau - 1| \leq \varpi(\varkappa)}{|\left(4[\aleph]_{q}^{2} (1+([3]_{q}-1))e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} - 2[2]_{q} (1+q)^{2} ([\aleph]_{q}^{2} + [\aleph]_{q}^{2})\right) \varkappa^{2}}, \\ |\tau - 1| \geq \varpi(\varkappa) \\ &+ [2]_{q} (1+q)^{2} [\aleph]_{q^{2}}| \end{split}$$

Corollary 2. Let given by (1) belong to the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(0,\mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa,\xi))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \frac{2[\aleph]_q \varkappa e_q^{m_N} \sqrt{2[2]_q[\aleph]_q \varkappa}}{m_N \sqrt{\left(4[\aleph]_q^2 e_q^{m_N} - 2[2]_q \left([\aleph]_{q^2} + [\aleph]_q^2\right)\right) \varkappa^2 + [2]_q[\aleph]_{q^2}}},\\ |a_3| &\leq \frac{4[\aleph]_q^2 \varkappa^2 (e_q^{m_N})^2}{(m_N)^2} + \frac{2[2]_q[\aleph]_q \varkappa e_q^{m_N}}{(m_N)^2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| a_{3} - \rho a_{2}^{2} \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2[2]_{q} e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} \left| [\aleph]_{q} \right|_{\varkappa}}{(m_{\mathcal{N}})^{2}}, & |\rho - 1| \leq \varpi(\varkappa) \\ \frac{8 \left| [\aleph]_{q} \right|^{3} \varkappa^{3} [2]_{q} \left(e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} \right)^{2} |1 - \rho|}{\left| \left(4[\aleph]_{q}^{2} e_{q}^{m_{\mathcal{N}}} - 2[2]_{q} \left([\aleph]_{q^{2}} + [\aleph]_{q}^{2} \right) \right) \varkappa^{2} + [2]_{q} [\aleph]_{q^{2}} \right|}, & |\rho - 1| \geq \varpi(\varkappa) \end{cases}$$

6. Concluding Remark

In the current study, we have introduced and examined the coefficient issues related to each of the three new subclasses $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$, $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(0, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(1, \mathfrak{G}_q^{(\aleph)}(\varkappa, \xi))$ of the class of bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . These bi-univalent function classes are described, accordingly, in Definition 1. We have calculated the estimates of the Fekete–Szegö functional problems and the Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in each of these three bi-univalent function classes. Several more fresh outcomes are revealed to follow following specializing the parameters involved in our main results. The bi-univalent functions employing the modified Caputo's derivative operator can be used in this investigation. In the future, it is possible to look into the Hankel determinant

for this distribution. Caputo's derivative operator is expected to be significant in a number of areas of mathematics, science, and technology.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh) and A.A. (Ala Amourah); methodology, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh); validation, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah) and M.D.; formal analysis, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh); investigation, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah) and M.D.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh) and A.A. (Ala Amourah); writing—review and editing, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah); writing—review and editing, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah); writing—review and editing, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah); writing—review and editing, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah); writing—review and editing, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah) and R.I.A.S.; supervision, M.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research at Umm Al-Qura University for supporting this work by Grant Code: (22UQU4320576DSR02).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Poisson, S. Probabilité des Jugements en Matière Criminelle et en Matière civile, Précédées des Règles générales du Calcul des Probabilitiés; Bachelier: Paris, France, 1837; Volume 1.
- Legendre, A. Recherches sur Laattraction des Sphéroides Homogénes; Mémoires présentes par divers savants a laAcadémie des Sciences de laInstitut de France: Paris, France, 1785; Volume 10, pp. 411–434.
- 3. Bateman, H. Higher Transcendental Functions; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1953.
- 4. Kiepiela, K.; Naraniecka, I.; Szynal, J. The Gegenbauer polynomials and typically real functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2003**, 153, 273–282.
- 5. Quesne, C. Disentangling q-exponentials: A general approach. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 2004, 43, 545–559. [CrossRef]
- 6. Long, G.; Liu, Y.; Xu, W.; Zhou, P.; Zhou, J.; Xu, G.; Xiao, B. Analysis of crack problems in multilayered elastic medium by a consecutive stiffness method. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 4403. [CrossRef]
- 7. Wang, R.; Singh, A.K.; Kolan, S.R.; Tsotsas, E. Investigation of the Relationship between the 2D and 3D Box-Counting Fractal Properties and Power Law Fractal Properties of Aggregates. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 728. [CrossRef]
- 8. Mustafa, N.; Nezir, V. Analytic functions expressed with q-Poisson distribution serie. Turk. J. Sci. 2021, 6, 24–30. [CrossRef]
- Zainab, S.; Raza, M.; Xin, Q.; Jabeen, M.; Malik, S.N.; Riaz, S. On *q*-starlike functions defined by *q*-Ruscheweyh differential operator in symmetric conic domain. *Symmetry* 2021, 13, 1974.
- Alsoboh, A.; Darus, M. On Fekete–Szegö problems for certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by differential operator involving-Ruscheweyh Operator. J. Funct. Spaces 2020, 2020, 8459405. [CrossRef]
- 11. Alhabib, R.; Ranna, M.M.; Farah, H.; Salama, A.A. Some neutrosophic probability distributions. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2018**, *22*, 30–38. [CrossRef]
- 12. Askey, R.; Ismail, M.E.H. A Generalization of Ultraspherical Polynomials, Studies of Pure Mathematics; Birkhauser: Boston, MA, USA, 1983.
- 13. Chakrabarti, R.; Jagannathan, R.; Mohammed, S.S.N. New connection formulae for the *q*–orthogonal polynomials via a series expansion of the *q*–exponential. *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **2006**, *39*, 12371.
- 14. Amourah, A.; Frasin, B.A.; Abdeljawad, T. Fekete–Szegö inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to Gegenbauer polynomials. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, *2021*, 5574673. [CrossRef]
- 15. Amourah, A.; Alamoush, A.; Al-Kaseasbeh, M. Gegenbauer polynomials and bi-univalent functions. *Palest. J. Math.* **2021**, *10*, 625–632.
- 16. Amourah, A.; Alsoboh, A.; Ogilat, O.; Gharib, G.M.; Saadeh, R. A generalization of Gegenbauer polynomials and bi-univalent functions. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 128.
- 17. Nazeer, W.; Mehmood, Q.; Kang, S.M.; Haq, A.U. An application of binomial distribution series on certain analytic functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **2019**, *62*, 11–17. [CrossRef]
- Aldawish, I.; Al-Hawary, T.; Frasin, B.A. Subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Frasin differential operator. *Mathematics* 2020, *8*, 783.
- 19. Bulut, S.; Magesh, N.; Abirami, C. A comprehensive class of analytic bi-univalent functions by means of Chebyshev polynomials. *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.* **2017**, *8*, 32–39. [CrossRef]
- 20. Frasin, B.A.; Swamy, S.R.; Nirmala, J. Some special families of holomorphic and Al-Oboudi type bi-univalent functions related to *k*-Fibonacci numbers involving modified Sigmoid activation function. *Afr. Mat.* **2020**, *32*, 631–643. [CrossRef]
- 21. Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Magesh, N.; Prameela, V. Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-univalent function. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013, 2013, 573017. [CrossRef]

- 22. Peng, Z.; Murugusundaramoorthy, G.; Janani, T. Coefficient estimate of bi-univalent functions of complex order associated with the Hohlov operator. *J. Complex Anal.* 2014, 693908. [CrossRef]
- Amourah, A.; Frasin, B.A.; Seoudy, T.M. An Application of Miller–Ross-Type Poisson Distribution on Certain Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions Subordinate to Gegenbauer Polynomials. *Mathematics* 2022, 10, 2462. [CrossRef]
- 24. Srivastava, H.M.; Mishra, A.K.; Gochhayat, P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2010**, *23*, 1188–1192.
- 25. Seoudy, T.; Aouf, M. Admissible classes of multivalent functions associated with an integral operator. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sectio A–Mathematica* **2019**, *73*, 57–73.
- Seoudy, T. Convolution Results and Fekete–Szegö Inequalities for Certain Classes of Symmetric-Starlike and Symmetric-Convex Functions. J. Math. 2022, 2022, 8203921. [CrossRef]
- Shammaky, A.E.; Frasin, B.A.; Seoudy, T.M. Subclass of Analytic Functions Related with Pascal Distribution Series. J. Math. 2022, 2022, 8355285. [CrossRef]
- Yousef, F.; Frasin, B.A.; Al-Hawary, T. Fekete–Szegö inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to Chebyshev polynomials. *Filomat* 2018, 32, 3229–3236. [CrossRef]
- Yousef, F.; Al-Hawary, T.; Murugusundaramoorthy, G. Fekete–Szegö functional problems for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by Frasin differential operator. *Afr. Mat.* 2019, *30*, 495–503. [CrossRef]
- 30. Xu, D.; Cui, X.; Xian, H. An extended EDAS method with a single-valued complex neutrosophic set and its application in green supplier selection. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 282. [CrossRef]
- Popescu, C.R.G.; Popescu, G.N. An exploratory study based on a questionnaire concerning green and sustainable finance, corporate social responsibility, and performance: Evidence from the Romanian business environment. *J. Risk Financ. Manag.* 2019, 12, 162. [CrossRef]
- 32. Zaprawa, P. On the Fekete–Szegö problem for classes of bi-univalent functions. *Bull. Belg. Math.-Soc.-Simon Stevin* 2014, 21, 169–178. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.