

# Approximating Pseudo-Boolean Functions on Non-Uniform Domains\*

R. F. Lax<sup>1</sup>, Guoli Ding<sup>1</sup>, Peter P. Chen<sup>2</sup>, and J. Chen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803

<sup>2</sup> Department of Computer Science, 298 Coates Hall, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803  
lax@math.lsu.edu, ding@math.lsu.edu, chen@bit.csc.lsu.edu, jianhua@bit.csc.lsu.edu,

## Abstract

In Machine Learning (ML) and Evolutionary Computation (EC), it is often beneficial to approximate a complicated function by a simpler one, such as a linear or quadratic function, for computational efficiency or feasibility reasons (cf. [Jin, 2005]). A complicated function (the target function in ML or the fitness function in EC) may require an exponential amount of computation to learn/evaluate, and thus approximations by simpler functions are needed. We consider the problem of approximating pseudo-Boolean functions by simpler (e.g., linear) functions when the instance space is associated with a probability distribution. We consider  $\{0, 1\}^n$  as a sample space with a (possibly non-uniform) probability measure on it, thus making pseudo-Boolean functions into random variables. This is also in the spirit of the PAC learning framework of Valiant [Valiant, 1984] where the instance space has a probability distribution on it. The best approximation to a target function  $f$  is then defined as the function  $g$  (from all possible approximating functions of the simpler form) that minimizes the expected distance to  $f$ . In an example, we use methods from linear algebra to find, in this more general setting, the best approximation to a given pseudo-Boolean function by a linear function.

## 1 Introduction

A pseudo-Boolean function of  $n$  variables is a function from  $\{0, 1\}^n$  to the real numbers. Such functions are used in 0-1 optimization problems, cooperative game theory, multicriteria decision making, and as fitness functions. It is not hard to see that such a function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has a unique expression as a multilinear polynomial

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{T \subseteq N} \left[ a_T \prod_{i \in T} x_i \right],$$

\*Research partially supported by NSF grant ITR-0326387 and AFOSR grants F49620-03-1-0238, F49620-03-1-0239, and F49620-03-1-0241

where  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and the  $a_T$  are real numbers. By the *degree* of a pseudo-Boolean function, we mean the degree of its multilinear polynomial representation.

Several authors have considered the problem of finding the best pseudo-Boolean function of degree  $\leq k$  approximating a given pseudo-Boolean function  $f$ , where “best” means a least squares criterion. Hammer and Holzman [Hammer and Holzman, 1992] derived a system of equations for finding such a best degree  $\leq k$  approximation, and gave explicit solutions when  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$ . They proved that such an approximation is characterized as the unique function of degree  $\leq k$  that agrees with  $f$  in all average  $m$ -th order derivatives for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , in analogy with the Taylor polynomials from calculus. Grabisch, Marichal, and Roubens [Grabisch *et al.*, 2000] solve the system of equations derived by Hammer and Holzman, and give explicit formulas for the coefficients of the best degree  $\leq k$  function. Zhang and Rowe [Zhang and Rowe, 2004] use linear algebra to find the best approximation that lies in a linear subspace of the space of pseudo-Boolean functions; for example, these methods can be used to find the best approximation of degree  $\leq k$ .

Here, instead of simply viewing the domain of a pseudo-Boolean function as the set  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , we consider  $\{0, 1\}^n$  as a discrete sample space and introduce a probability measure on this space. Thus, a pseudo-Boolean function will be a random variable on this sample space. (Viewing  $\{0, 1\}$  simply as a set corresponds to viewing all of its points as equally likely outcomes.) Given a pseudo-Boolean random variable  $f$ , we then use methods from linear algebra to find the best approximation to  $f$  that lies in a linear subspace, taking into account the weighting of the elements of  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . Such a best approximation will then be close to  $f$  at the “most likely”  $n$ -tuples, and may not be so close to  $f$  at the “least likely”  $n$ -tuples.

## 2 Best Approximation on a Non-Uniform Domain

We will identify the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$  with the elements in  $B^n$  via binary representation. Let  $p(i), i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ , be a probability measure on  $B^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the space of all pseudo-Boolean functions in  $n$  variables. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has the structure of a real vector space. Define

an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_p = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f(i)g(i)p(i).$$

We note that  $\langle f, g \rangle_p$  is the expected value of the random variable  $fg$ . Put  $\|f\|_p = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_p}$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{F}$  of dimension  $m$ . For example,  $\mathcal{L}$  might be the space of all pseudo-Boolean functions of degree at most  $k$ , for some fixed  $k$ . We recall how to use an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{L}$  to find the best approximation to a given element of  $\mathcal{F}$  (cf. [Hoffman and Kunze, 1971]).

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ . We can find an orthonormal basis  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  by applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. This orthonormal basis satisfies the property  $\langle u_r, u_s \rangle_p = \delta_{rs}$  for  $r, s = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $\delta_{rs}$  equals 0 if  $r \neq s$  and equals 1 if  $r = s$ . The orthonormal basis can be obtained as follows: Take  $u_1 = (1/\|v_1\|_p)v_1$ . If  $u_1, \dots, u_{r-1}$  have been obtained, then put  $w_r = v_r - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \langle v_r, u_j \rangle_p u_j$ , and take  $u_r = (1/\|w_r\|_p)w_r$ .

Given  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , the “best approximation” to  $f$  by functions in  $\mathcal{L}$  is that function  $g \in \mathcal{L}$  that minimizes

$$\|f - g\|_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (f(i) - g(i))^2 p(i)}.$$

Notice that if we take the uniform distribution on  $B^n$ , so that  $p(i) = (1/2)^n$  for all  $i$ , then the best approximation to  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is the function  $g \in \mathcal{L}$  that also minimizes  $\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (f(i) - g(i))^2$ . This is the usual “least squares” condition used in [Hammer and Holzman, 1992], [Grabisch *et al.*, 2000], [Zhang and Rowe, 2004], and in this case one may simply use the usual Euclidean inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ . In our more general setting, it follows from section 8.2 of [Hoffman and Kunze, 1971] that the best approximation to  $f$  by functions in  $\mathcal{L}$  is the unique function  $g = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle f, u_j \rangle_p u_j$ .

### 3 Example

To illustrate these ideas, we look at an example considered by [Zhang and Rowe, 2004]. Take  $n = 3$  and  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 5x_1 + 13x_3 + 9x_1x_2 - 4x_1x_3 - 4x_2x_3 + 4x_1x_2x_3$ . We wish to approximate  $f$  by the best linear function, so we let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the space spanned by the functions  $v_1 = 1, v_2 = x_1, v_3 = x_2, v_4 = x_3$ . If we take the uniform distribution on  $B^3$ , so that  $p(i) = 1/8$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 7$ , then by applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm we get the following orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ :

$$u_1 = 1, u_2 = 2x_1 - 1, u_3 = 2x_2 - 1, u_4 = 2x_3 - 1.$$

(More generally, one can show that, for any  $n$ , an orthonormal basis for the space of pseudo-Boolean functions of degree at most 1 with respect to the uniform distribution is  $1, 2x_1 - 1, \dots, 2x_n - 1$ .) Then the best linear approximation to  $f$  is  $g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \langle f, u_j \rangle_p u_j =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{39}{4} \cdot 1 + \frac{17}{4}(2x_1 - 1) + \frac{7}{4}(2x_2 - 1) + 5(2x_3 - 1) \\ &= -\frac{5}{4} + \frac{17}{2}x_1 + \frac{7}{2}x_2 + 10x_3, \end{aligned}$$

in agreement with Example 4.1 of [Zhang and Rowe, 2004]. Here,  $\|f - g\|_p \approx 2.88$ .

Now we take a different probability measure on  $B^3$ . Supposing that a “1” is twice as likely as a “0” we define a probability measure  $\tilde{p}$  on  $B^3$  by  $\tilde{p}(0) = 1/27, \tilde{p}(1) = 2/27, \tilde{p}(2) = 2/27, \tilde{p}(3) = 4/27, \tilde{p}(4) = 2/27, \tilde{p}(5) = 4/27, \tilde{p}(6) = 4/27, \tilde{p}(7) = 8/27$ . An orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{p}}$  is then

$$\tilde{u}_1 = 1, \tilde{u}_2 = \frac{3x_1 - 2}{\sqrt{2}}, \tilde{u}_3 = \frac{3x_2 - 2}{\sqrt{2}}, \tilde{u}_4 = \frac{3x_3 - 2}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then the best linear approximation to  $f$  is now  $\tilde{g}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \langle f, \tilde{u}_j \rangle_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{u}_j =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{368}{27} \cdot 1 + \frac{91\sqrt{2}}{27} \tilde{u}_2 + \frac{46\sqrt{2}}{27} \tilde{u}_3 + \frac{85\sqrt{2}}{27} \tilde{u}_4 \\ &= (1/27)(-76 + 273x_1 + 138x_2 + 255x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\|f - \tilde{g}\|_{\tilde{p}} \approx 2.55$ . For comparison, the distance now between the linear function  $g$  we found above and the function  $f$  is  $\|f - g\|_p \approx 2.79$ .

### 4 Conclusion

Instead of considering  $B^n = \{0, 1\}^n$  simply as a set, we allow it to be viewed as a sample space with a probability measure  $p$ . Then pseudo-Boolean functions are random variables on this sample space. Given a complicated pseudo-Boolean function, it is natural to want to approximate it by a simpler function, for example a linear or quadratic function. As an example, we found the best linear approximation to a given pseudo-Boolean function in three variables with respect to two different probability measures on  $B^3$ . Further research is needed to find an effective method of computing the best approximation on a non-uniform domain when the number of variables is large.

### References

- [Grabisch *et al.*, 2000] Michel Grabisch, Jean-Luc Marichal, and Marc Roubens. Equivalent representations of set functions. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 25(2):157–178, May 2000.
- [Hammer and Holzman, 1992] P. L. Hammer and R. Holzman. Approximations of pseudo-boolean functions; applications to game theory. *ZOR—Methods and Models of Operations Research*, 36:3–21, 1992.
- [Hoffman and Kunze, 1971] Kenneth Hoffman and Ray Kunze. *Linear Algebra, 2nd edition*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1971.
- [Jin, 2005] Yaochu Jin. A comprehensive survey of fitness approximation in evolutionary computation. *Soft Computing*, 9(1):3–12, 2005.
- [Valiant, 1984] L. G. Valiant. A theory of the learnable. *Communications of the ACM*, 27(11):1134–1142, 1984.
- [Zhang and Rowe, 2004] Hang Zhang and Jonathan E. Rowe. Best approximations of fitness functions of binary strings. *Natural Computing*, 3(1):113–124, 2004.