Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics

Arbitrage-free SVI volatility surfaces

Jim Gatheral



The City University of New York

Center for the Study of Finance and Insurance Osaka University, December 26, 2012 (Including joint work with Antoine Jacquier)

Introduction ●00	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI 00000000000	Numerics
Outline				

- History of SVI
- Static arbitrage
- Equivalent SVI formulations
- Simple closed-form arbitrage-free SVI surfaces
- How to eliminate butterfly arbitrage
- How to interpolate and extrapolate
- Fit quality on SPX
- An alternative to SABR?

Introduction 000	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI 00000000000	Numerics
	6 O) //			

- History of SVI
 - SVI was originally devised at Merrill Lynch in 1999 and subsequently publicly disseminated in [4].
 - SVI has two key properties that have led to its subsequent popularity with practitioners:
 - For a fixed time to expiry t, the implied Black-Scholes variance $\sigma_{BS}^2(k, t)$ is linear in the log-strike k as $|k| \to \infty$ consistent with Roger Lee's moment formula [11].
 - It is relatively easy to fit listed option prices whilst ensuring no calendar spread arbitrage.
 - The consistency of the SVI parameterization with arbitrage bounds for extreme strikes has also led to its use as an extrapolation formula [9].
 - As shown in [6], the SVI parameterization is not arbitrary in the sense that the large-maturity limit of the Heston implied volatility smile is exactly SVI.

Introduction	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI 00000000000	Numerics 00000000
Drovious y	work			

- Calibration of SVI to given implied volatility data (for example [12]).
- [2] showed how to parameterize the volatility surface so as to preclude dynamic arbitrage.
- Arbitrage-free interpolation of implied volatilities by [1], [3], [8], [10].
- Prior work has not successfully attempted to eliminate static arbitrage.
- Efforts to find simple closed-form arbitrage-free parameterizations of the implied volatility surface are widely considered to be futile.

Introduction 000	Static arbitrage ●000	SVI formulations	SSVI 00000000000	Numerics
Notation				

- Given a stock price process $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ with natural filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$, the forward price process $(F_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is $F_t := \mathbb{E}(S_t|\mathcal{F}_0)$.
- For any k ∈ ℝ and t > 0, C_{BS}(k, σ²t) denotes the Black-Scholes price of a European Call option on S with strike F_te^k, maturity t and volatility σ > 0.

- $\sigma_{\rm BS}(k,t)$ denotes Black-Scholes implied volatility.
- Total implied variance is $w(k, t) = \sigma_{BS}^2(k, t)t$.
- The implied variance $v(k,t) = \sigma_{BS}^2(k,t) = w(k,t)/t$.
- The map $(k, t) \mapsto w(k, t)$ is the volatility surface.
- For any fixed expiry t > 0, the function k → w(k, t) represents a slice.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
	0000			

Characterisation of static arbitrage

Definition 2.1

A volatility surface is free of static arbitrage if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) it is free of calendar spread arbitrage;
- (ii) each time slice is free of butterfly arbitrage.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	00●0		0000000000	00000000

Calendar spread arbitrage

Lemma 2.2

If dividends are proportional to the stock price, the volatility surface w is free of calendar spread arbitrage if and only if

 $\partial_t w(k,t) \ge 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and t > 0.

• Thus there is no calendar spread arbitrage if there are no crossed lines on a total variance plot.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	000●		00000000000	00000000
Butterfly	arbitrage			

Definition 2.3

A slice is said to be free of butterfly arbitrage if the corresponding density is non-negative.

Now introduce the function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(k) := \left(1 - \frac{kw'(k)}{2w(k)}\right)^2 - \frac{w'(k)^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{w(k)} + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{w''(k)}{2}$$

Lemma 2.4

A slice is free of butterfly arbitrage if and only if $g(k) \ge 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim_{k \to +\infty} d_+(k) = -\infty$.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000	•000000000000	00000000000	00000000

The raw SVI parameterization

For a given parameter set $\chi_R = \{a, b, \rho, m, \sigma\}$, the raw SVI parameterization of total implied variance reads:

Raw SVI parameterization

$$w(k;\chi_R) = a + b \left\{ \rho(k-m) + \sqrt{(k-m)^2 + \sigma^2} \right\}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \ge 0$, $|\rho| < 1$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma > 0$, and the obvious condition $a + b \sigma \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \ge 0$, which ensures that $w(k, \chi_R) \ge 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$. This condition ensures that the minimum of the function $w(\cdot, \chi_R)$ is non-negative.

Introduction 000	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI 00000000000	Numerics

Meaning of raw SVI parameters

Changes in the parameters have the following effects:

- Increasing *a* increases the general level of variance, a vertical translation of the smile;
- Increasing b increases the slopes of both the put and call wings, tightening the smile;
- Increasing ρ decreases (increases) the slope of the left(right) wing, a counter-clockwise rotation of the smile;
- Increasing *m* translates the smile to the right;
- Increasing σ reduces the at-the-money (ATM) curvature of the smile.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000

The natural SVI parameterization

For a given parameter set $\chi_N = \{\Delta, \mu, \rho, \omega, \zeta\}$, the *natural SVI parameterization* of total implied variance reads:

Natural SVI parameterization

$$w(k;\chi_N) = \Delta + \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ 1 + \zeta \rho \left(k - \mu\right) + \sqrt{\left(\zeta \left(k - \mu\right) + \rho\right)^2 + \left(1 - \rho^2\right)} \right\},$$

where $\omega \geq 0$, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\rho| < 1$ and $\zeta > 0$.

 This parameterization is a natural generalization of the time ∞ Heston smile explored in [6].

The SVI Jump-Wings (SVI-JW) parameterization

- Neither the raw SVI nor the natural SVI parameterizations are intuitive to traders.
- There is no reason to expect these parameters to be particularly stable.
- The SVI-Jump-Wings (SVI-JW) parameterization of the implied variance v (rather than the implied total variance w) was inspired by a similar parameterization attributed to Tim Klassen, then at Goldman Sachs.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000

SVI-JW

For a given time to expiry t > 0 and a parameter set $\chi_J = \{v_t, \psi_t, p_t, c_t, \tilde{v}_t\}$ the SVI-JW parameters are defined from the raw SVI parameters as follows:

SVI-JW parameterization

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v}_t &= \frac{\mathbf{a} + b \left\{ -\rho \, \mathbf{m} + \sqrt{\mathbf{m}^2 + \sigma^2} \right\}}{t}, \\ \psi_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_t}} \frac{b}{2} \left(-\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{m}^2 + \sigma^2}} + \rho \right), \\ p_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_t}} b \left(1 - \rho \right), \\ c_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_t}} b \left(1 + \rho \right), \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t &= \left(\mathbf{a} + b \, \sigma \, \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \right) / t \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

with $w_t := v_t t$.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		0000000000	00000000

Interpretation of SVI-JW parameters

The SVI-JW parameters have the following interpretations:

- v_t gives the ATM variance;
- ψ_t gives the ATM skew;
- p_t gives the slope of the left (put) wing;
- c_t gives the slope of the right (call) wing;
- \tilde{v}_t is the minimum implied variance.



Features of the SVI-JW parameterization

- If smiles scaled perfectly as $1/\sqrt{w_t}$, SVI-JW parameters would be constant, independent of the slice *t*.
 - This makes it easy to extrapolate the SVI surface to expirations beyond the longest expiration in the data set.
- The choice

$$\psi_t = \left. \frac{\partial \sigma_{\rm BS}(k,t)}{\partial k} \right|_{k=0}$$

of volatility skew as the skew measure rather than variance skew for example, reflects the empirical observation that volatility is roughly lognormally distributed.

- Since both features are roughly consistent with empirical observation, we expect (and see) greater parameter stability over time.
 - Traders can keep parameters in their heads.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
		000000000000		

SVI slices may cross at no more than four points



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□ ● ● ●

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
		0000000000000		

Condition for no calendar spread arbitrage

Lemma 3.1

Two raw SVI slices admit no calendar spread arbitrage if a certain quartic polynomial has no real root.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000

Ferrari Cardano

The idea is as follows:

• Two total variance slices cross if

$$a_1 + b_1 \left\{ \rho_1 \left(k - m_1 \right) + \sqrt{\left(k - m_1 \right)^2 + \sigma_1^2} \right\}$$
$$= a_2 + b_2 \left\{ \rho_2 \left(k - m_2 \right) + \sqrt{\left(k - m_2 \right)^2 + \sigma_2^2} \right\}$$

• Rearranging and squaring gives a quartic polynomial equation of the form

$$\alpha_4 \, k^4 + \alpha_3 \, k^3 + \alpha_2 \, k^2 + \alpha_1 \, k + \alpha_0 = 0,$$

where each of the coefficients are lengthy yet explicit expressions in terms of the raw SVI parameters.

• If this quartic polynomial has no real root, then the slices do not intersect.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000

SVI butterfly arbitrage

Recall the definition:

$$g(k) := \left(1 - \frac{kw'(k)}{2w(k)}\right)^2 - \frac{w'(k)^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{w(k)} + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{w''(k)}{2}.$$

• The highly nonlinear behavior of g makes it seemingly impossible to find general conditions on the parameters that would eliminate butterfly arbitrage.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

• We now provide an example where butterfly arbitrage is violated.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000	0000000000000	0000000000	0000000

Axel Vogt post on Wilmott.com



AVt Senior Member

Posts: 971 Joined: Dec 2001 Thu Apr 06, 06 08:37 PM

It works for observables and far beyond for extrapolation.

But for a (theoretical) experiment try the following data

a = -.40998372001772e-1, b = .13308181151379, m = .35858898335748, rho = .30602086142471, sigma = .41531878803777

Introduction 000	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI 00000000000	Numerics
The Vogt	smile			



Figure 1: Plots of the total variance smile w (left) and the function g (right), using Axel Vogt's parameters

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

Introduction 000	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI •0000000000	Numerics
	/1			

Consider now the following extension of the natural SVI parameterization:

Surface SVI (SSVI) parameterization

$$w(k,\theta_t) = \frac{\theta_t}{2} \left\{ 1 + \rho \varphi(\theta_t) k + \sqrt{(\varphi(\theta_t)k + \rho)^2 + (1 - \rho^2)} \right\}$$
(1)

with $\theta_t > 0$ for t > 0, and where φ is a smooth function from $(0, \infty)$ to $(0, \infty)$ such that the limit $\lim_{t\to 0} \theta_t \varphi(\theta_t)$ exists in \mathbb{R} .



- This representation amounts to considering the volatility surface in terms of ATM variance time, instead of standard calendar time.
- The ATM total variance is $\theta_t = \sigma_{\rm BS}^2(0, t) t$ and the ATM volatility skew is given by

$$\left.\partial_k \sigma_{\mathrm{BS}}(k,t)\right|_{k=0} = \left.\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\theta_t t}}\partial_k w(k,\theta_t)\right|_{k=0} = \frac{\rho\sqrt{\theta_t}}{2\sqrt{t}}\varphi(\theta_t).$$

• The smile is symmetric around at-the-money if and only if $\rho = 0$, a well-known property of stochastic volatility models.

Conditions on SSVI for no calendar spread arbitrage

Theorem 4.1

The SSVI surface (1) is free of calendar spread arbitrage if and only if

2
$$0 \leq \partial_{ heta}(heta arphi(heta)) \leq rac{1}{
ho^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 -
ho^2}
ight) arphi(heta)$$
, for all $heta > 0$

where the upper bound is infinite when $\rho = 0$.

- In particular, SSVI is free of calendar spread arbitrage if:
 - the skew in total variance terms is monotonically increasing in trading time and
 - the skew in implied variance terms is monotonically decreasing in trading time.
- In practice, any reasonable skew term structure that a trader defines will have these properties.

SSVI 0000000000 Numerics 00000000

Conditions on SSVI for no butterfly arbitrage

Theorem 4.2

The volatility surface (1) is free of butterfly arbitrage if the following conditions are satisfied for all $\theta > 0$:

2
$$\theta \varphi(\theta)^2 (1+|\rho|) \leq 4.$$

Remark

Condition 1 needs to be a strict inequality so that $\lim_{k \to +\infty} d_+(k) = -\infty \text{ and the SVI density integrates to one.}$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Introduction 000	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI 0000000000	Numerics

Are these conditions necessary?

Lemma 4.2

The volatility surface (1) is free of butterfly arbitrage only if

$$\theta \varphi(\theta) \left(1 + |\rho|\right) \leq 4$$
, for all $\theta > 0$.

Moreover, if $\theta \varphi(\theta) (1 + |\rho|) = 4$, the surface (1) is free of butterfly arbitrage only if

$$heta arphi(heta)^2 \left(1+|
ho|
ight) \leq 4.$$

So the theorem is almost if-and-only-if.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
			0000000000	

No butterfly arbitrage in terms of SVI-JW parameters

A volatility smile of the form (1) is free of butterfly arbitrage if

 $\sqrt{v_t t} \max(p_t, c_t) < 4$, and $(p_t + c_t) \max(p_t, c_t) \le 8$, hold for all t > 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		000000●0000	00000000

The Roger Lee arbitrage bounds

• The asymptotic behavior of the surface (1) as |k| tends to infinity is

$$w(k, heta_t) = rac{(1\pm
ho)\, heta_t}{2} arphi(heta_t)\,|k| + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad ext{for any } t>0.$$

Thus the condition θφ(θ) (1 + |ρ|) ≤ 4 of Theorem 4.2 corresponds to the upper bound of 2 on the asymptotic slope established by Lee [11].

• Again, Condition 1 of the theorem is necessary.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
			000000000000	0000000

No static arbitrage with SSVI

Corollary 4.1

The SSVI surface (1) is free of static arbitrage if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\textbf{@} \ \mathsf{0} \leq \partial_{\theta}(\theta \varphi(\theta)) \leq \tfrac{1}{\rho^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}\right) \varphi(\theta), \text{ for all } \theta > 0,$$

3
$$heta \varphi(heta) \left(1+|
ho|
ight) < 4$$
, for all $heta > 0$;

- $\theta \varphi(\theta)^2 (1+|\rho|) \leq 4$, for all $\theta > 0$.
 - A large class of simple closed-form arbitrage-free volatility surfaces!

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000	000000000000	0000000000000	00000000

A Heston-like surface

Example 4.1

The function φ defined as

$$arphi(heta) = rac{1}{\lambda heta} \left\{ 1 - rac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda heta}}{\lambda heta}
ight\},$$

with $\lambda \ge \left(1+|
ho|\right)/4$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 4.1.

• This function is consistent with the implied variance skew in the Heston model as shown in [5] (equation 3.19).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		०००००००००●०	00000000

A power-law surface

Example 4.2

The choice

$$arphi(heta) = rac{\eta}{ heta^\gamma \, (1+ heta)^{1-\gamma}}$$

gives a surface that is completely free of static arbitrage provided that $\gamma \in (0, 1/2]$ and $\eta (1 + |\rho|) \le 2$.

• This function is more consistent with the empirically-observed term structure of the volatility skew.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Introduction 000	Static arbitrage 0000	SVI formulations	SSVI ००००००००००	Numerics

Even more flexibility...

Theorem 4.3

Let $(k, t) \mapsto w(k, t)$ be a volatility surface free of static arbitrage, and $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ a non-negative and increasing function of time. Then the volatility surface $w_{\alpha}(k, \theta_t) := w(k, \theta_t) + \alpha_t$ is also free of static arbitrage.

- Corollary 4.1 gives us the freedom to match three features of one smile (level, skew, and curvature say) but only two features of all the other smiles (level and skew say), subject of course to the given smiles being themselves arbitrage-free.
- Theorem 4.3 may allow us to match an additional feature of each smile through α_t.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
				0000000

How to eliminate butterfly arbitrage

- We have shown how to define a volatility smile (SSVI) that is free of butterfly arbitrage.
- This smile is completely defined given three observables.
 - The ATM volatility and ATM skew are obvious choices for two of them.
 - The most obvious choice for the third observable in equity markets would be the asymptotic slope for *k* negative and in FX markets and interest rate markets, perhaps the ATM curvature of the smile might be more appropriate.



How to fix butterfly arbitrage

• Supposing we choose to fix the SVI-JW parameters v_t , ψ_t and p_t of a given SVI smile, we may guarantee a smile with no butterfly arbitrage by choosing the remaining parameters c'_t and \tilde{v}'_t according to SSVI as

$$c_t' = p_t + 2\psi_t$$
, and $\widetilde{v}_t' = v_t \frac{4p_t c_t'}{(p_t + c_t')^2}$.

• That is, given a smile defined in terms of its SVI-JW parameters, we are guaranteed to be able to eliminate butterfly arbitrage by changing the call wing c_t and the minimum variance \tilde{v}_t , both parameters that are hard to calibrate with available quotes in equity options markets.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
				0000000

Example: Fixing the Vogt smile

- The SVI-JW parameters corresponding to the Vogt smile are:
 - $(\mathbf{v}_t, \psi_t, \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{c}_t, \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t)$
 - $= \quad (0.01742625, -0.1752111, 0.6997381, 1.316798, 0.0116249) \, .$
- We know then that choosing $(c_t, \tilde{v}_t) = (0.3493158, 0.01548182)$ must give a smile free of butterfly arbitrage.
- There must exist some pair of parameters $\{c_t, \tilde{v}_t\}$ with $c_t \in (0.349, 1.317)$ and $\tilde{v}_t \in (0.0116, 0.0155)$ such that the new smile is free of butterfly arbitrage and is as close as possible to the original one in some sense.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000
Numerical	optimization			

 In this particular case, choosing the objective function as the sum of squared option price differences plus a large penalty for butterfly arbitrage, we arrive at the following "optimal" choices of the call wing and minimum variance parameters that still ensure no butterfly arbitrage:

 $(c_t, \tilde{v}_t) = (0.8564763, 0.0116249).$

- Note that the optimizer has left \tilde{v}_t unchanged but has decreased the call wing.
- The resulting smiles and plots of the function g are shown in Figure 2.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000





Figure 2: Plots of the total variance smile (left) and the function g (right). The graphs corresponding to the original Axel Vogt parameters is solid, to the guaranteed butterfly-arbitrage-free parameters dashed, and to the "optimal" choice of parameters dotted.

SSVI 000000000

Numerics 00000●00

Why extra flexibility may not help

- The additional flexibility potentially afforded to us through the parameter α_t of Theorem 4.3 sadly does not help us with the Vogt smile.
- For α_t to help, we must have α_t > 0; it is straightforward to verify that this translates to the condition v_t (1 − ρ²) < v_t which is violated in the Vogt case.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
				00000000

Quantifying lines crossing

- Consider two SVI slices with parameters χ_1 and χ_2 where $t_2 > t_1$.
- We first compute the points k_i (i = 1,..., n) with n ≤ 4 at which the slices cross, sorting them in increasing order. If n > 0, we define the points k_i as

$$egin{array}{rcl} \widetilde{k}_1 &:= & k_1 - 1, \ \widetilde{k}_i &:= & rac{1}{2} (k_{i-1} + k_i), & ext{if } 2 \leq i \leq n, \ \widetilde{k}_{n+1} &:= & k_n + 1. \end{array}$$

• For each of the *n* + 1 points \tilde{k}_i , we compute the amounts c_i by which the slices cross:

$$c_i = \max\left[0, w(\widetilde{k}_i, \chi_1) - w(\widetilde{k}_i, \chi_2)\right].$$

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000
Crossedness				

Definition 5.1

The crossedness of two SVI slices is defined as the maximum of the c_i (i = 1, ..., n). If n = 0, the crossedness is null.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A sample calibration recipe

Calibration recipe

- Given mid implied volatilities $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{BS}(k_i, t_j)$, compute mid option prices using the Black-Scholes formula.
- Fit the square-root SVI surface by minimizing sum of squared distances between the fitted prices and the mid option prices. This is now the initial guess.
- Starting with the square-root SVI initial guess, change SVI parameters slice-by slice so as to minimize the sum of squared distances between the fitted prices and the mid option prices with a big penalty for crossing either the previous slice or the next slice (as quantified by the crossedness from Definition 5.1).

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000

Interpolation

Lemma 5.1

Given two volatility smiles $w(k, t_1)$ and $w(k, t_2)$ with $t_1 < t_2$ where the two smiles are free of butterfly arbitrage and such that $w(k, \tau_2) \ge w(k, \tau_1)$ for all k, there exists an interpolation such that the interpolated volatility surface is free of static arbitrage for $t_1 < t < t_2$.

For example;

$$\frac{C_t}{K_t} = \alpha_t \frac{C_1}{K_1} + (1 - \alpha_t) \frac{C_2}{K_2},$$

where for any $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, we define

$$\alpha_t := \frac{\sqrt{\theta_{t_2}} - \sqrt{\theta_t}}{\sqrt{\theta_{t_2}} - \sqrt{\theta_{t_1}}} \in [0, 1].$$

works.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
				00000000

A possible choice of extrapolation

- At time $t_0 = 0$, the value of a call option is just the intrinsic value.
- Then we can interpolate between t_0 and t_1 using the above algorithm, guaranteeing no static arbitrage.
- For extrapolation beyond the final slice, first recalibrate the final slice using the simple SVI form (1).
- Then fix a monotonic increasing extrapolation of θ_t and extrapolate the smile for $t > t_n$ according to

$$w(k,\theta_t) = w(k,\theta_{t_n}) + \theta_t - \theta_{t_n},$$

which is free of static arbitrage if $w(k, \theta_{t_n})$ is free of butterfly arbitrage by Theorem 4.3.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000				00000000

SVI square-root calibration



Figure 3: SPX option quotes as of 3pm on 15-Sep-2011. Red triangles are bid implied volatilities; blue triangles are offered implied volatilities; the orange solid line is the square-root SVI fit

ъ

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000	000000000000	0000000000	00000000

SVI square-root calibration: December 2011 detail



Figure 4: SPX Dec-2011 option quotes as of 3pm on 15-Sep-2011. Red triangles are bid implied volatilities; blue triangles are offered implied volatilities; the orange solid line is the square-root SVI fit

ロトスポトメヨトメヨト

э

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		0000000000	00000000

Full SVI calibration



Figure 5: SPX option quotes as of 3pm on 15-Sep-2011. Red triangles are bid implied volatilities; blue triangles are offered implied volatilities; the orange solid line is the SVI fit

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
				0000000

Full SVI calibration: March 2012 detail



Figure 6: SPX Mar-2012 option quotes as of 3pm on 15-Sep-2011. Red triangles are bid implied volatilities; blue triangles are offered implied volatilities; the orange solid line is the SVI fit



Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000

SVI-SABR

• Consider the (lognormal) SABR formula with $\beta = 1$:

$$\sigma_{BS}(k) = \alpha f\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}\right)$$

with

$$f(y) = -\frac{\nu y}{\log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu^2 y^2 + 2\rho \nu y + 1} - \nu y - \rho}{1 - \rho}\right)}.$$
 (2)

• Compare this with the simpler SVI-SABR formula:

$$\sigma_{\rm BS}^2(k) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left\{ 1 + \rho \frac{\nu}{\alpha} k + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\nu}{\alpha} k + \rho\right)^2 + (1 - \rho^2)} \right\}$$
(3)

which is guaranteed free of butterfly arbitrage if $\alpha \nu (1 + |\rho|) < 4$ and $\nu^2 (1 + |\rho|) < 4$.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000
Butterfly	arbitrage			

- It is well known that the SABR volatility smile is susceptible to butterfly arbitrage.
 - The corresponding density is often negative for extreme strikes.
- On the other hand, the SVI-SABR density is guaranteed positive so long as α ν t (1 + |ρ|) < 4 and ν² t (1 + |ρ|) < 4.
 - Typical values of these parameters for SPX are $\nu^2 t = 0.6$, $\alpha = 0.2$, $\rho = -0.7$ so for SPX there is empirically no butterfly arbitrage.
 - SABR and SVI-SABR fit parameters are not identical but they are similar.





Figure 7: SPX Mar-2012 option quotes as of 3pm on 15-Sep-2011. Red and blue triangles are bid and ask implied volatilities; the orange solid line is the SVI fit, the green line the SABR fit, the purple line the SVI-SABR fit





Figure 8: g(k) for the SABR fit is in green, g(k) for the SVI-SABR fit in purple. The negative SABR density is clearly visible in the extreme left wing.

• We note that around at-the-money, the two densities are very similar. However, as the strike moves away from ATM, the densities diverge and the SABR density goes negative.

Introduction	Static arbitrage	SVI formulations	SSVI	Numerics
000	0000		00000000000	00000000
Summary				

- We have found and described a large class of arbitrage-free SVI volatility surfaces with a simple closed-form representation.
- Taking advantage of the existence of such surfaces, we showed how to eliminate both calendar spread and butterfly arbitrages when calibrating SVI to implied volatility data.
- We further demonstrated the high quality of typical SVI fits with a numerical example using recent SPX options data.
- Finally, we showed how a guaranteed arbitrage-free simple SVI smile could potentially replace SABR in applications.

000	Static arbitrage	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000	00000000
Refere	nces			
	[1] Andreasen J., Huge B. Volatility inter	polation, <i>Risk</i> , 86–89, March 2011		
	[2] Carr, P., Wu, L. A new simple approa at SSRN, 2010.	ch for for constructing implied vola	tility surfaces, <i>Preprint</i> avai	ilable
	[3] Fengler, M. Arbitrage-free smoothing 417–428, 2009.	of the implied volatility surface, Q	uantitative Finance 9 (4):	
	[4] Gatheral, J., A parsimonious arbitrage valuation of volatility derivatives, <i>Present</i>	e-free implied volatility parameteriza tation at Global Derivatives, 2004.	ation with application to th	e
	[5] Gatheral, J., The Volatility Surface: A	A Practitioner's Guide, Wiley Finan	ce, 2006.	
	[6] Gatheral, J., Jacquier, A., Convergence	ce of Heston to SVI, Quantitative F	inance 11 (8): 1129–1132, 2	2011.
	[7] Gatheral, J., Jacquier, A., Arbitrage-f	ree SVI volatility surfaces, SSRN p	reprint, 2012.	
	[8] Glaser, J., Heider, P., Arbitrage-free a <i>Quantitative Finance</i> 12 (1): 61–73, 2012	approximation of call price surfaces	and input data risk,	
	[9] Jäckel, P., Kahl, C. Hyp hyp hooray,	Wilmott Magazine 70–81, March 2	008.	
	[10] Kahalé, N. An arbitrage-free interpo	lation of volatilities, <i>Risk</i> 17:102–10	06, 2004.	
	[11] Lee, R., The moment formula for im 469–480, 2004.	plied volatility at extreme strikes, <i>l</i>	Mathematical Finance 14(3)):
	[12] Zeliade Systems, Quasi-explicit calib	ration of Gatheral's SVI model, Zer	liade white paper, 2009. • < ⊕ • < ≧ • < ≧ •	≣ ୬୯୯